

Biochemistry, Author manuscript: available in PMC 2009 December 16.

Published in final edited form as:

Biochemistry. 2008 December 16; 47(50): 13169–13178. doi:10.1021/bi800453h.

Bimodal Recognition of DNA Geometry by Human Topoisomerase IIα:

Preferential Relaxation of Positively Supercoiled DNA Requires Elements in the C-Terminal Domain†

A. Kathleen McClendon[‡], Amanda C. Gentry[‡], Jennifer S. Dickey[‡], Marie Brinch[§], Simon Bendsen[§], Anni H. Andersen[§], and Neil Osheroff^{*,‡,¶}

Department of Biochemistry, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Nashville, Tennessee 37232-0146

¶Department of Medicine (Hematology/Oncology), Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Nashville, Tennessee 37232-0146

§Department of Molecular Biology, University of Aarhus, 8000 Århus C, Denmark

Abstract

Human topoisomerase IIα, but not topoisomerase IIβ, can sense the geometry of DNA during relaxation and removes positive supercoils >10-fold faster than it does negative superhelical twists. In contrast, both isoforms maintain lower levels of DNA cleavage intermediates with positively supercoiled substrates. Since topoisomerase II α and β differ primarily in their C-terminal domains (CTD), this portion of the protein may play a role in sensing DNA geometry. Therefore, to more fully assess the importance of the topoisomerase IIα CTD in the recognition of DNA topology, $hTop2\alpha\Delta1175$, a mutant human enzyme that lacks its CTD was examined. The mutant enzyme relaxed negative and positive supercoils at similar rates but still maintained lower levels of cleavage complexes with positively supercoiled DNA. Furthermore, when the CTD of topoisomerase IIB was replaced with that of the α isoform, the resulting enzyme preferentially relaxed positively supercoiled substrates. In contrast, a chimeric topoisomerase $\Pi\alpha$ that carried the CTD of the β isoform lost its ability to recognize the geometry of DNA supercoils during relaxation. These findings demonstrate that human topoisomerase IIa recognizes DNA geometry in a bimodal fashion, with the ability to preferentially relax positive DNA supercoils residing in the CTD. Finally, results with a series of human topoisomerase IIα mutants suggest that clusters of positively charged amino acid residues in the CTD are required for the enzyme to distinguish supercoil geometry during DNA relaxation and that deletion of even the most C-terminal cluster abrogates this recognition.

Topoisomerases are essential enzymes that modulate the topological state of DNA in the cell (1-7). Type II enzymes act by passing an intact double helix through a transient double-stranded break that they generate in a separate segment of DNA (3,4,7-9). As a consequence of their double-stranded DNA passage reactions, type II topoisomerases are able to alleviate torsional stress in duplex DNA and remove knots and tangles from the genetic material (1-7).

Based on amino acid comparisons to prokaryotic type II enzymes, eukaryotic topoisomerase II can be divided into three domains (1,4,7,10-12). The N-terminal (or ATPase) domain

[†]This work was supported by National Institutes of Health research grant GM33944 (N.O.) and the Danish Cancer Society, The Danish Medical Research Council, and The Danish Natural Science Research Council (A.H.A). A.K.M. and A.C.G. were trainees under National Institutes of Health grant 5 T32 CA09582; J.S.D was a trainee under National Institutes of Health grant 5 T32 HD07043.

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed: tel: 615-343-4338; fax: 615-343-1166; e-mail: neil.osheroff@vanderbilt.edu

contains the site for ATP binding and hydrolysis that is required for the DNA strand passage event. The central (or DNA cleavage/ligation) domain contains the active site tyrosyl residue that covalently attaches to the 5'-terminus of DNA during the scission event. These two domains are highly conserved in all eukaryotes. In contrast, the C-terminal domain (CTD)¹ varies from species to species. This portion of the protein is not required for catalytic activity, and its contributions to the enzymatic actions of eukaryotic type II topoisomerases have remained obscure. The CTD appears to be important for the cellular physiology of topoisomerase II and contains nuclear localization signals and sites of phosphorylation (13-20). Furthermore, recent work suggests that the CTD contributes to the chromosomal localization of topoisomerase II isoforms and the mitotic functions of topoisomerase IIa (21). However, it is not known whether this proposed function of the CTD is mediated by direct DNA contacts or by protein-protein interactions.

Vertebrates express two closely related isoforms of topoisomerase II, α and β (1-9,22-24). While these isoforms display similar enzymological characteristics, topoisomerase II α and β play distinct physiological roles (2,5,20,22-27). Topoisomerase II α is believed to be the isoform that functions in growth-dependent processes, including mitosis and DNA replication (2,5,28,29). The enzyme works behind replication forks to resolve precatenanes and later in the cell cycle to unlink intertwined daughter chromosomes that were not resolved during replication (2-5). While this decatenation activity appears to be the essential function of topoisomerase II α , evidence suggests that the enzyme also may act ahead of the replication machinery to help alleviate the acute overwinding (*i.e.*, positive supercoiling) that is created by the actions of replicative helicases (3,30,31).

Several lines of evidence support a role for type II topoisomerases, including topoisomerase IIα, ahead of replication forks. First, Eschericia coli topoisomerase IV (whose functions in bacteria appear to parallel those of topoisomerase II in eukaryotes) can partially compensate for the loss of DNA gyrase during replication elongation (32,33). Second, topoisomerase II can compensate for the loss of topoisomerase I in Saccharomyces cerevisiae, but the loss of both enzymes abruptly halts DNA synthesis (34,35). This finding indicates that the type II enzyme can assume the role of topoisomerase I, relaxing positive DNA supercoils ahead of the replication machinery. A role for topoisomerase II ahead of the replication fork in yeast is further implied by monitoring the influence of enzyme activity on levels of DNA supercoiling in the 2 µM plasmid during S phase (36). When the temperature was raised to the nonpermissive level in a strain that harbored human topoisomerase I and a temperature sensitive yeast topoisomerase II mutant, levels of positive supercoiling increased several-fold. This conclusion is consistent with a recent study that mapped interactions between topoisomerase I and topoisomerase II and active origins of replication (37). These authors concluded that the type I and II enzymes acted in a coordinated fashion to allow progression of the replication fork in yeast. Third, in mammalian cells, topoisomerase II activity is required as a swivelase in the late stage of SV40 DNA replication (38). In addition, topoisomerase IIa, but not topoisomerase IIB, is associated with DNA replication forks in human cells (39).

If type II topoisomerases play a role ahead of replication forks, then positively supercoiled (*i.e.*, overwound) DNA should be the preferred relaxation substrate. To this point, bacterial topoisomerase IV relaxes positive DNA supercoils \sim 20-fold faster than it does negative supercoils (40,41). In addition, topoisomerase II α , the isoform that is involved in replicative processes in vertebrates, relaxes positively supercoiled plasmids >10-fold faster than negatively supercoiled (*i.e.*, underwound) molecules (31). In contrast, the enzyme maintains

CTD

C-terminal domain

¹Abbreviation:

lower levels of DNA cleavage complexes with positively supercoiled substrates, reducing the probability that collisions with replication enzymes would generate permanent double-stranded breaks in the genome (31). Topoisomerase II β , which is not involved in replicative processes in vertebrates, displays no preferential relaxation of positively supercoiled DNA, but does maintain lower levels of cleavage complexes with these substrates (31,42).

Although topoisomerase II α and β possess a high degree of amino acid sequence identity in their N-terminal ATPase and central DNA cleavage/ligation domains (~79% identity), the two enzymes diverge considerably in their CTDs (~31% identity) (3,4,7,10,11,43). On the basis of amino acid sequence differences between topoisomerase II α and β , it was suggested that the ability of the α isoform to preferentially relax positive DNA supercoils resides in the variable CTD of the protein (31). Furthermore, the ability of type II topoisomerases to discern DNA geometry during cleavage resides within the conserved N-terminal or central domain of the protein (31).

The structure of the CTD of the ParC subunit of topoisomerase IV, which is equivalent to the CTD of eukaryotic topoisomerase II, has been solved (44,45). Modeling studies, coupled with nucleic acid binding experiments, led to the proposal that the CTD of topoisomerase IV interacts with the T-segment [*i.e.*, transport segment (8)] of DNA and plays an integral role in the recognition of supercoil geometry during strand passage (44-46). Moreover, deletion of the CTD of ParC results in an enzyme that no longer preferentially relaxes positively supercoiled molecules (45). Finally, two related chlorella virus type II enzymes, PBCV-1 and CVM-1 topoisomerase II, which display a high degree of amino acid sequence identity to human topoisomerase IIα but naturally lack a corresponding CTD, relax negatively and positively supercoiled substrates at similar rates. However, both viral enzymes maintain lower levels of cleavage complexes with positively supercoiled molecules (47).

To more fully assess the role of the CTD of topoisomerase II α in the recognition of DNA topology, hTop2 $\alpha\Delta1175$ (48), a mutant human enzyme that lacks its CTD, was constructed. The mutant enzyme relaxed negatively and positively supercoiled plasmids at similar rates but still maintained lower levels of cleavage complexes with positively supercoiled DNA. Furthermore, when the CTD of topoisomerase II β was replaced with that of the α isoform, the resulting enzyme (hTop2 β CTD α) preferentially relaxed positively supercoiled substrates. These findings confirm the hypothesis that human topoisomerase II α recognizes DNA geometry in a bimodal fashion, with the ability to preferentially relax positive DNA supercoils residing in the CTD. Finally, results with a series of human topoisomerase II α mutants suggest that clusters of positively charged amino acid residues in the CTD are required for the enzyme to distinguish supercoil geometry during DNA relaxation and that deletion of even the most C-terminal cluster abrogates this recognition.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Enzymes and Materials

Human topoisomerase IIα, hTop2αΔ1175, hTop2αCTDα, and hTop2□CTDα were expressed in *S. cerevisiae* JEL-1-Δtop1 and purified as described by Kingma *et al.* (49). hTop2αΔ1175 was constructed as described previously (48). As a prelude to the construction of hTop2αCTD□ and hTop2□CTDα, a KpnI-SalI fragment of hTop2β from YepWob6 plasmid was inserted into puc18 plasmid and subjected to PCR to introduce an AvrII site beginning at nt3157 of hTop2β. The following forward and reverse primers were used, respectively: GTTATTACGGTTACGTAAGGAGTGGCTCCTAGGAATGTTGGG and CCCAACATTCCTAGGAGCCACTCCTTACGTAAACCGTAATAAC. DNA was subjected to 16 cycles of PCR using the following program: denaturation at 95 °C for 1 min, annealing at 55 °C for 1 min and primer extension at 68 °C for 8 min. Following the last round

of PCR, primers were allowed to extend for an additional 5 min at 68 °C. The new insert was digested with KpnI and SalI and ligated back into the previously digested YepWob6 plasmid. Human topoisomerase II α and β in YepWob6 plasmid were then digested with AvrII and XmaI to release C-terminal fragments beginning at nt3111 in topoisomerase II α (amino acid 1037) and at the corresponding nt3159 (amino acid 1053) in topoisomerase II α . The CTDs were gel purified and ligated back into the AvrII and XmaI digested YepWob6 plasmid containing the opposite isoform. A set of mutant human topoisomerase II α enzymes that were partially deleted in their CTD or that replaced amino acids starting with residue 1501 with the corresponding portion of human topoisomerase II β were constructed, expressed in *S. cerevisiae* JEL-1- $\Delta topI$ under the control of the yeast Gal promoter, and purified as described previously (50). All chemicals were analytical reagent grade.

Negatively supercoiled pBR322 plasmid DNA was prepared using a Plasmid Mega Kit (Qiagen) as described by the manufacturer. Positively supercoiled pBR322 DNA was prepared by treating negatively supercoiled molecules with recombinant Archaeoglobus fulgidus reverse gyrase (31,51). The average number of superhelical twists present in DNA substrates and the resulting σ values were determined by electrophoretic band counting relative to fully relaxed molecules (31). For negatively supercoiled substrates, time courses for the relaxation of pBR322 by topoisomerase I were resolved by electrophoresis in 1% agarose gels containing 1-2 μ g/ml chloroquine (Sigma) in the running buffer. The initial plasmid contained ~15 to 17 negative superhelical twists per molecule ($\sigma \approx -0.035$ to -0.039). This superhelical density is typical of plasmids isolated from E. coli. For positively supercoiled substrates, time courses for the generation of positive superhelical twists by reverse gyrase were resolved by electrophoresis as above in running buffer containing 5-15 µg/ml netropsin B (Boehringer Mannheim). These plasmids contained \sim 15 to 17 positive superhelical twists per molecule (σ $\approx +0.035$ to +0.039). The handedness of positively supercoiled DNA was confirmed by twodimensional gel electrophoresis (31). Thus, the supercoiled substrates employed for this study contained equivalent numbers of superhelical twists, but were of opposite handedness.

DNA Relaxation

DNA relaxation assays were based on the protocol of McClendon $\it et\,al.$ (31). Reaction mixtures contained 1-2 nM wild-type or mutant human topoisomerase IIa enzymes, 1 mM ATP, and 5 nM negatively or positively supercoiled pBR322 DNA in a total of 20 μL of 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.9, 175 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 0.1 mM NaEDTA, and 2.5% glycerol. Mixtures were incubated at 37 °C for times up to 60 min and stopped by the addition of 3 μL of 0.5% SDS and 77 mM EDTA. Samples were mixed with agarose gel loading buffer (60% sucrose in 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.9) and subjected to electrophoresis in 1% agarose gels in 100 mM Tris-borate, pH 8.3, 2 mM EDTA. Gels were stained for 30 min with 0.5 $\mu g/mL$ ethidium bromide. DNA bands were visualized by UV light and were quantified using an Alpha Innotech digital imaging system (San Leandro, CA). DNA relaxation was monitored both by the formation of the fully relaxed DNA product and by the loss of the initial supercoiled substrate.

DNA Cleavage

DNA cleavage reactions were based on the procedure of McClendon *et al.* (31). Reaction mixtures contained 0-800 nM hTop2 $\alpha\Delta$ 1175, and 10 nM negatively or positively supercoiled pBR322 DNA in a total of 20 μ L of DNA cleavage buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.9, 100 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 0.1 mM NaEDTA, and 2.5% glycerol). Mixtures were incubated for 6 min at 37 °C to establish cleavage/religation equilibria. DNA cleavage intermediates were trapped by the addition of 2 μ L of 5% SDS and 2 μ L of 250 mM NaEDTA, pH 8.0. Proteinase K was added (2 μ L of 0.8 mg/ml) and mixtures were incubated for 30 min at 45 °C to digest the type II enzyme. Samples were mixed with 2 μ L of agarose gel loading buffer, heated for 2 min at 45 °C, and subjected to electrophoresis in 1% agarose gels in 40 mM Tris-acetate, pH 8.3, and

2~mM EDTA containing 0.5 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ ethidium bromide. DNA bands were quantified by digital imaging as described above. DNA cleavage was monitored by the conversion of supercoiled plasmid to linear molecules.

DNA cleavage reactions were performed in the absence of ATP so that the topological state of the DNA would not change during the course of the reaction. It should be noted that the nucleotide cofactor does not influence the mechanism of topoisomerase II-mediated DNA scission (3).

DNA Binding

The ability of hTop2 $\alpha\Delta$ 1175 to bind negatively and positively supercoiled DNA was assessed using a competitive nitrocellulose filter-binding assay (31). Binding mixtures contained 400 nM hTop2 $\alpha\Delta$ 1175, 5 nM linear pBR322 DNA that was cleaved with *Hind* III and terminally labeled with [\$^{32}P]phosphate, and 0-20 nM negatively or positively supercoiled DNA in a total of 20 μ L of binding buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.9, 175 mM KCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, and 2.5% glycerol). Samples were incubated at 37 °C for 6 min. Under the conditions of the assay, a DNA binding equilibrium was established in less than 1 min. Nitrocellulose membranes (0.45 μ m HA, Millipore) were prepared by incubation in binding buffer for 10 min. Samples were applied to the membranes and filtered *in vacuo*. Membranes were washed 3 times with 1 mL of binding buffer, dried, and submerged in 8 mL of scintillation fluid (Econo-Safe, Research Products International). Radioactivity remaining on the membranes was quantified using a Beckman LS 5000 TD Scintillation Counter. The percent linear DNA bound to topoisomerase II α was determined based on the ratio of radioactivity on the membranes ν s. that of the input DNA.

It should be noted that DNA binding experiments were performed in the absence of ATP and Mg^{2+} . This was done to prevent the formation of concatenated DNA multimers, which are too large to pass through the filter, or the generation of covalent enzyme-DNA cleavage complexes during the course of the assay.

DNA Ligation

The ability of hTop2 α Δ1175 to ligate cleaved DNA was monitored by the procedure of Fortune *et al.* (52). DNA cleavage/ligation equilibria were established in DNA cleavage buffer as described above except that MgCl₂ in the reaction buffer was replaced by 5 mM CaCl₂. Enzyme-DNA cleavage complexes were trapped by the addition of EDTA, pH 8.0, to a 6 mM final concentration. NaCl was added to a 500 mM final concentration in order to prevent recleavage of the DNA following ligation. Ligation was initiated by the addition of MgCl₂ at a 0.25 mM final concentration and terminated at times up to 60 s by the addition of 2 μ L of 5% SDS. Samples were processed and analyzed as described for DNA cleavage reactions. The percent DNA cleavage at time 0 was set to 100% and the rate of ligation was determined by quantifying the loss of cleaved DNA over time.

RESULTS

Recognition of DNA Supercoil Geometry by hTop2αΔ1175

Human topoisomerase II α senses the geometry of supercoiled DNA during the strand passage reaction and relaxes positively supercoiled DNA >10-fold faster than it does negatively supercoiled molecules (see Figure 1, *dashed lines*) (31). It has been suggested that the CTD of topoisomerase II α plays an important role in discerning DNA geometry during relaxation (31). As an initial test of the hypothesis, the ability of hTop2 α Δ1175 to relax negatively and positively supercoiled DNA was characterized. This mutant form of human topoisomerase II α is truncated following amino acid residue 1175, which corresponds to the C-terminal

residue of PBCV-1 topoisomerase II (48,53). hTop $2\alpha\Delta1175$ allows a direct comparison between full-length human topoisomerase II α and an equivalent enzyme that contains only its N-terminal ATPase and central DNA cleavage/ligation domains.

Since human topoisomerase II α relaxes positively supercoiled DNA in a less processive manner than it does negatively supercoiled molecules (31), DNA relaxation was monitored by quantifying either the gain of relaxed product (Figure 1, *left panel*) or the loss of supercoiled substrate (Figure 1, *right panel*). Removal of the CTD of the human enzyme abrogated the ability of topoisomerase II α to sense DNA geometry during the strand passage reaction. Rates of relaxation of negatively and positively supercoiled plasmids catalyzed by hTop2 α Δ1175, determined by either method of analysis, were similar. If anything, negatively supercoiled molecules were relaxed slightly faster than positively supercoiled plasmids. This finding strongly suggests that the ability of human topoisomerase II α to sense the handedness of its DNA substrate during the strand passage reaction resides in the CTD of the protein.

As a prerequisite to the strand passage event, type II topoisomerases generate a transient double-stranded break in the genetic material (1,3,4,6-8,30). A previous study found that human topoisomerase II α maintained a lower level of DNA cleavage intermediates (3- to 4-fold) when positively supercoiled, as opposed to negatively supercoiled, plasmids were employed as substrates (31). Since topoisomerase II β and the chlorella virus enzymes show similar DNA cleavage patterns with underwound and overwound molecules, it has been suggested that the ability to discern DNA geometry during cleavage resides in the N-terminal and/or central domain of the type II enzyme (42,47). As a direct test of this proposal for human topoisomerase II α , the ability of hTop2 α Δ 1175 to cleave negatively and positively supercoiled DNA was assessed (Figure 2). Similar to findings with full-length topoisomerase II α , the truncated human enzyme maintained lower levels (\sim 2- to 3-fold) of cleavage complexes with positively supercoiled molecules.

To further characterize the recognition of DNA geometry by the N-terminal and central domains of topoisomerase $II\alpha$, the ability of $hTop2\alpha\Delta1175$ to bind and ligate underwound and overwound substrates was determined. A previous study with wild-type human topoisomerase $II\alpha$ found that the full length enzyme bound negatively supercoiled plasmids slightly better than positively supercoiled molecules (31). In addition, the wild-type enzyme ligated underand overwound molecules at similar rates (42).

Comparable results were observed for hTop $2\alpha\Delta1175$. As determined by competition nitrocellulose filter binding assays that monitored the ability of plasmids to displace radioactively labeled DNA from topoisomerase II, the truncated human enzyme bound negatively and positively supercoiled plasmids similarly, with a slight preference for negatively supercoiled DNA (Figure 3). In addition, the enzyme ligated negatively and positively supercoiled molecules at similar rates (Figure 4).

Taken together, the above findings demonstrate that topoisomerase $II\alpha$ recognizes DNA geometry in a bimodal fashion. While the ability of the human enzyme to sense the handedness of its DNA substrate during strand passage resides in the CTD, the elements that sense DNA geometry during cleavage and ligation must be located in the N-terminal and/or central domain of the protein.

The CTD of Human Topoisomerase II α Confers the Ability to Recognize Supercoil Geometry During DNA Relaxation

As demonstrated above using hTop2 $\alpha\Delta$ 1175, removal of the CTD of topoisomerase II α abolishes the ability of the human enzyme to recognize supercoil geometry during DNA relaxation. While this finding implies a direct role for the CTD in discerning the handedness

of DNA supercoils during the relaxation process, it is possible that this portion of the enzyme does not interact directly with the DNA substrate. Rather, removing the CTD may alter the structure of topoisomerase II α and prevent the recognition of DNA topology. Therefore, to more fully examine the role of the CTD of human topoisomerase II α in the recognition of supercoil geometry during DNA relaxation, two additional mutants enzymes were constructed in which the CTDs of human topoisomerase II α and β were switched.

First, the ability of topoisomerase II α that carried the CTD of topoisomerase II β (hTop2 α CTD β) to relax negatively and positively supercoiled DNA was determined. As seen in Figure 5, replacement of the CTD of topoisomerase II α with that of the β isoform resulted in an enzyme that no longer recognized supercoil geometry during relaxation. hTop2 α CTD β relaxed negatively and positively supercoiled substrates with rates that differed by only 2-fold.

Second, the ability of topoisomerase II β that carried the CTD of topoisomerase II α (hTop2 β CTD α) to relax negatively and positively supercoiled DNA was determined. Although wild-type topoisomerase II β does not preferentially relax positively supercoiled DNA, the enzyme gained this ability when its CTD was replaced with that of topoisomerase II α . As seen in Figure 6, hTop2 β CTD α relaxed positively supercoiled substrates >10-fold faster than negatively supercoiled molecules.

These results provide strong evidence that the rapid relaxation of positively supercoiled DNA by human topoisomerase $II\alpha$ is modulated by elements in the CTD. Furthermore, they confirm an active role for the CTD in the recognition of DNA geometry during this process.

Elements in the CTD of Human Topoisomerase II α that Confer the Ability to Recognize Supercoil Geometry During DNA Relaxation

There is no information available for the three-dimensional structure of the CTD of human topoisomerase II α . However, crystal structures for the CTDs of the two bacterial type II enzymes, DNA gyrase (GyrA) and topoisomerase IV (ParC), were solved recently (44-46, 54). The CTD of GyrA adopts a unique fold, called a β -pinwheel, which forms a closed circular structure made up of six "blades" (46,54). The outer rim of each blade contains a cluster of positively charged amino acids that are believed to interact with DNA (46,54). The CTD of ParC adopts a "broken β -propeller" structure that is similar to the β -pinwheel of GyrA, but lacks ring closure (44,45). Depending on the bacterial species, the CTD of ParC is predicted to contain as few as three and as many as eight blades. Once again, the outer rims of the blades contain positively charged amino acids. The CTDs of GyrA and ParC both have been shown to bind and bend DNA (46).

Extensive efforts were made to model the CTD of human topoisomerase II α based on the structures of the bacterial type II enzymes. Unfortunately, homology between the CTDs of the different topoisomerase II species was too low to generate a predicted structure with confidence. However, amino acid sequence alignments comparing the CTDs of topoisomerase II α with those of GyrA and ParC revealed similarities. Like the bacterial enzymes, human topoisomerase II α contains a series of positively charged amino acid clusters that could potentially interact with DNA. Ten such clusters were identified and are indicated with red bars in Figure 7.

As a first attempt to narrow down the region(s) of the topoisomerase II α CTD that is responsible for the preferential relaxation of positively supercoiled DNA, a series of C-terminally deleted enzymes was generated. This series contained full-length topoisomerase II α , a mutant truncated human enzyme that included all of the predicted clusters of positive amino acids (truncated following amino acid 1521, hTop2 $\alpha\Delta$ 1521), and enzymes that lacked one (hTop2 $\alpha\Delta$ 1501), two (hTop2 $\alpha\Delta$ 1476), or six clusters (hTop2 $\alpha\Delta$ 1321), respectively. Time courses for the

relaxation of negatively and positively supercoiled plasmids by wild-type topoisomerase $II\alpha$, these truncated enzymes, and hTop2 $\alpha\Delta1175$ are shown in Figure 8.

The recognition of DNA geometry by hTop2 $\alpha\Delta$ 1521 during relaxation was similar to that of the full-length enzyme. However, removal of even a single cluster of positively charged amino acids from the CTD abolished the ability of topoisomerase II α to discern the handedness of its DNA substrate. Comparable rates of relaxation of negatively and positively supercoiled plasmids were observed for hTop2 $\alpha\Delta$ 1501, hTop2 $\alpha\Delta$ 1476, and hTop2 $\alpha\Delta$ 1321. These findings imply a role for the positively charged amino acid clusters of the CTD in the recognition of DNA geometry during strand passage. They also suggest that the removal of even the most C-terminal cluster has the potential to impact the ability of topoisomerase II α to preferentially remove positive superhelical twists.

To further investigate the role of the C-terminal cluster of positive amino acids, a chimeric enzyme was constructed (hTop $2\alpha\Delta1501/\beta$) in which residues 1502-1531 of human topoisomerase II α were replaced with the corresponding C-terminal region of topoisomerase II β (residues 1585-1621). As seen in Figure 8 (inset, upper right panel), the chimeric enzyme lost its ability to discern the handedness of DNA supercoils during relaxation. These data provide additional evidence that the most C-terminal cluster of positive amino acids in topoisomerase II α is required to preferentially relax positively supercoiled DNA substrates.

It is notable that the overall rate of relaxation of positively supercoiled DNA dropped (\sim 5- to 6-fold) as the length of the mutant enzymes decreased. This suggests that the presence of the CTD enhances the DNA relaxation activity of topoisomerase II α with positively supercoiled substrates. In some cases (hTop2 α Δ1501, hTop2 α Δ1476, and hTop2 α Δ1321), however, mutant enzymes also displayed a modest (\sim 2-fold) increase in the rate of relaxation of negatively supercoiled plasmids or the decatenation of kDNA (not shown). Whether this apparent increase in activity reflects a negative regulatory function of the CTD or a slight variation in the level of active enzyme in the topoisomerase II α preparations is not known at the present time.

DISCUSSION

Human topoisomerase II α and β display different abilities to sense the handedness of DNA supercoils (31,42). Whereas the α isoform preferentially removes positive superhelical twists, the β isoform relaxes under- and overwound substrates at comparable rates (31,42). Conversely, both enzymes maintain lower levels of DNA cleavage intermediates with positively supercoiled substrates (31,42). These findings suggest that type II topoisomerases discern DNA geometry in a bimodal fashion, using separate portions of the protein to distinguish nucleic acid handedness during different enzymatic processes.

The N-terminal and central domains of topoisomerase $II\alpha$ and β share a high degree of amino acid sequence identity (4,43,55). In contrast, the CTDs of the two isoforms diverge considerably. Consequently, it was suggested that the ability of topoisomerase $II\alpha$ to discern the handedness of DNA supercoils during strand passage resides in the CTD (31). This enzyme domain plays important roles in the cellular physiology of topoisomerase II (13-21). However, since it varies from species to species and is not required for catalytic activity, its role in enzyme action has remained obscure (1,4,7,10-12,48).

To investigate the bimodal recognition of DNA geometry by human type II topoisomerases, the present work assessed the role of the CTD in sensing the handedness of DNA supercoils. To this end, the ability of hTop2 $\alpha\Delta$ 1175 (human topoisomerase II α that lacks the CTD) to relax and cleave negatively and positively supercoiled plasmids was characterized. Whereas

hTop $2\alpha\Delta 1175$ lost its ability to preferentially relax positively supercoiled plasmids, it still maintained lower levels of cleavage complexes with this substrate. These results provide strong evidence that human topoisomerase II α requires its CTD to discern the geometry of DNA supercoils during strand passage, while elements in the N-terminal and/or central domains of the enzyme are sufficient to distinguish DNA handedness during cleavage. Further experiments demonstrated that replacing the CTD of topoisomerase II β with that of the α isoform conferred the ability to preferentially relax positively supercoiled substrates. This finding confirms a direct role for the CTD of topoisomerase II α in sensing DNA geometry during strand passage.

There are two possible mechanisms by which the CTD of topoisomerase $II\alpha$ increases the rate of relaxation of overwound molecules. The first postulates that the catalytic core of the enzyme has a preference for relaxing positive over negative DNA supercoils. This may be due to the difference in the acute vs. obtuse crossover angles present in positive (\sim 60°) and negative (\sim 120°) DNA nodes, respectively. In this case, interactions between negatively supercoiled molecules and the CTD would alter the geometry of DNA in the active site of the enzyme and impose an acute crossover angle that is similar to that of a positive node (44,45).

The second mechanism postulates that the catalytic core of topoisomerase $II\alpha$ is indifferent to the handedness of DNA supercoils with regard to relaxation, and passes negative and positive supercoils equally and without prejudice. However, positively supercoiled DNA substrates would possess a unique ability to form specific interactions with the CTD of topoisomerase $II\alpha$, which would (by a process that has yet to be defined) facilitate and accelerate the strand passage reaction. As part of this mechanism, the CTD could also interact with negatively supercoiled molecules and retard rates of strand passage with this substrate.

If the first mechanism were correct, deletion of the CTD would severely impair the ability of topoisomerase II α to alter the crossover angle of DNA supercoils. This would result in an enzyme that could still pass positive supercoils at accelerated rates, but would be even less competent to pass negatively supercoiled molecules. In contrast, as predicted by the second mechanism, hTop2 α Δ1175 passed positive and negative DNA supercoils with a similar ability. Therefore, it appears that the second mechanism more accurately reflects the basis for the preferential relaxation of positive supercoils by human topoisomerase II α .

The interactions between positively supercoiled DNA and the CTD that enhance rates of strand passage are not known. Unfortunately, there is no structural information available for this region of the protein. Furthermore, modeling studies based on the published structures of the CTDs of bacterial DNA gyrase and topoisomerase IV reveal no global structural motifs. However, deletion studies with human topoisomerase II α suggest that clusters of positively charged amino acids in the CTD are important for sensing nucleic acid geometry and that the most C-terminal cluster is required for this process.

Finally, removal of the CTD of topoisomerase $II\alpha$ had little effect on the ability of the enzyme to recognize the geometry of supercoils during DNA cleavage. This finding demonstrates that the elements in the enzyme that are involved in this process must reside in the N-terminal and/or central domain (47). Efforts currently are underway to more specifically identify the portions of topoisomerase $II\alpha$ that allow it to maintain lower levels of cleavage complexes with positively supercoiled substrates.

In summary, human topoisomerase II α recognizes the handedness of DNA supercoils in a bimodal fashion. Elements in the CTD of the enzyme are required to discern nucleic acid geometry during DNA relaxation and allow accelerated rates of strand passage with positively supercoiled substrates. Conversely, elements in the conserved N-terminal ATPase and/or central cleavage/ligation domain of the enzyme are sufficient for recognizing the geometry of

DNA during cleavage. These elements allow the enzyme to maintain reduced concentrations of cleavage complexes with the overwound substrates that are generated ahead of replication forks and transcription complexes. The use of distinct elements in topoisomerase $II\alpha$ to recognize DNA geometry in different catalytic processes may allow the enzyme to participate in a variety of critical nuclear events.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to Omari J. Bandele, Joseph E. Deweese, and Steven L. Pitts for critical reading of this manuscript.

REFERENCES

- 1. Wang JC. DNA topoisomerases. Annu. Rev. Biochem 1996;65:635–692. [PubMed: 8811192]
- Nitiss JL. Investigating the biological functions of DNA topoisomerases in eukaryotic cells. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1998;1400:63–81. [PubMed: 9748506]
- 3. Fortune JM, Osheroff N. Topoisomerase II as a target for anticancer drugs: when enzymes stop being nice. Prog. Nucleic Acid Res. Mol. Biol 2000;64:221–253. [PubMed: 10697411]
- 4. Champoux JJ. DNA topisomerases: structure, function, and mechanism. Annu. Rev. Biochem 2001;70:369–413. [PubMed: 11395412]
- 5. Wang JC. Cellular roles of DNA topoisomerases: a molecular perspective. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell. Biol 2002;3:430–440. [PubMed: 12042765]
- Sabourin, M.; Osheroff, N. Wiley Encyclopedia of Molecular Medicine. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.; 2002. Topoisomerases; p. 3192-3197.
- 7. Velez-Cruz, R.; Osheroff, N. Encyclopedia of Biological Chemistry. Elsevier Inc.; 2004. DNA topoisomerases: type II; p. 806-811.
- 8. Wang JC. Moving one DNA double helix through another by a type II DNA topoisomerase: the story of a simple molecular machine. Q. Rev. Biophys 1998;31:107–144. [PubMed: 9794033]
- McClendon AK, Osheroff N. DNA Topoisomerase II, Genotoxicity and Cancer. Mutation Res 2007;623:83–97. [PubMed: 17681352]
- Lynn R, Giaever G, Swanberg SL, Wang JC. Tandem regions of yeast DNA topoisomerase II share homology with different subunits of bacterial gyrase. Science 1986;233:647–649. [PubMed: 3014661]
- 11. Wyckoff E, Natalie D, Nolan JM, Lee M, Hsieh T. Structure of the *Drosophila* DNA topoisomerase II gene. Nucleotide sequence and homology among topoisomerases II. J. Mol. Biol 1989;205:1–13. [PubMed: 2538621]
- 12. Berger JM. Structure of DNA topoisomerases. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1998;1400:3–18. [PubMed: 9748476]
- Cardenas ME, Dang Q, Glover CV, Gasser SM. Casein kinase II phosphorylates the eukaryotespecific C-terminal domain of topoisomerase II in vivo. EMBO J 1992;11:1785–1796. [PubMed: 1316274]
- Crenshaw DG, Hsieh T. Function of the hydrophilic carboxyl terminus of type II DNA topoisomerase from *Drosophila melanogaster*. I. In vitro studies. J. Biol. Chem 1993;268:21328–21334. [PubMed: 8407971]
- Wells NJ, Addison CM, Fry AM, Ganapathi R, Hickson ID. Serine 1524 is a major site of phosphorylation on human topoisomerase II alpha protein in vivo and is a substrate for casein kinase II in vitro. J. Biol. Chem 1994;269:29746–29751. [PubMed: 7961967]
- 16. Mirski SE, Cole SP. Cytoplasmic localization of a mutant M(r) 160,000 topoisomerase II alpha is associated with the loss of putative bipartite nuclear localization signals in a drug-resistant human lung cancer cell line. Cancer Res 1995;55:2129–2134. [PubMed: 7743513]
- 17. Mirski SE, Gerlach JH, Cummings HJ, Zirngibl R, Greer PA, Cole SP. Bipartite nuclear localization signals in the C terminus of human topoisomerase IIα. Exp. Cell Res 1997;237:452–455. [PubMed: 9434641]

18. Adachi N, Miyaike M, Kato S, Kanamaru R, Koyama H, Kikuchi A. Cellular distribution of mammalian DNA topoisomerase II is determined by its catalytically dispensible C-terminal domain. Nuc. Acids Res 1997;25:3135–3142.

- Jensen S, Andersen AH, Kjeldsen E, Biersack H, Olsen EH, Andersen TB, Westergaard O, Jakobsen BK. Analysis of functional domain organization in DNA topoisomerase II from humans and Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol 1996;16:3866–3877. [PubMed: 8668204]
- 20. Isaacs RJ, Davies SL, Sandri MI, Redwood C, Wells NJ, Hickson ID. Physiological regulation of eukaryotic topoisomerase II. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1998;1400:121–137. [PubMed: 9748535]
- Linka RM, Porter AC, Volkov A, Mielke C, Boege F, Christensen MO. C-terminal regions of topoisomerase IIalpha and IIbeta determine isoform-specific functioning of the enzymes in vivo. Nuc. Acids Res 2007;35:3810–3822.
- Drake FH, Hofmann GA, Bartus HF, Mattern MR, Crooke ST, Mirabelli CK. Biochemical and pharmacological properties of p170 and p180 forms of topoisomerase II. Biochemistry 1989;28:8154–8160. [PubMed: 2557897]
- 23. Drake FH, Zimmerman JP, McCabe FL, Bartus HF, Per SR, Sullivan DM, Ross WE, Mattern MR, Johnson RK, Crooke ST. Purification of topoisomerase II from amsacrine-resistant P388 leukemia cells. Evidence for two forms of the enzyme. J. Biol. Chem 1987;262:16739–16747. [PubMed: 2824504]
- 24. Austin CA, Marsh KL. Eukaryotic DNA topoisomerase IIβ. BioEssays 1998;20:215–226. [PubMed: 9631649]
- 25. Jensen S, Redwood CS, Jenkins JR, Andersen AH, Hickson ID. Human DNA topoisomerases II alpha and II beta can functionally substitute for yeast TOP2 in chromosome segregation and recombination. Mol. Gen. Genet 1996;252:79–86. [PubMed: 8804406]
- 26. Meczes EL, Marsh KL, Fisher LM, Rogers MP, Austin CA. Complementation of temperature-sensitive topoisomerase II mutations in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* by a human TOP2β construct allows the study of topoisomerase IIβ inhibitors in yeast. Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol 1997;39:367–375. [PubMed: 9025779]
- 27. Grue P, Grasser A, Sehested M, Jensen PB, Uhse A, Straub T, Ness W, Boege F. Essential mitotic functions of DNA topoisomerase IIα are not adopted by topoisomerase IIβ in human H69 cells. J. Biol. Chem 1998;273:33660–33666. [PubMed: 9837951]
- 28. Heck MM, Earnshaw WC. Topoisomerase II: a specific marker for cell proliferation. J. Cell. Biol 1986;103:2569–2581. [PubMed: 3025219]
- 29. Woessner RD, Mattern MR, Mirabelli CK, Johnson RK, Drake FH. Proliferation- and cell cycle-dependent differences in expression of the 170 kilodalton and 180 kilodalton forms of topoisomerase II in NIH-3T3 cells. Cell Growth Differ 1991;2:209–214. [PubMed: 1651102]
- 30. Wilstermann AM, Osheroff N. Stabilization of eukaryotic topoisomerase II-DNA cleavage complexes. Curr. Top. Med. Chem 2003;3:1349–1364.
- 31. McClendon AK, Rodriguez AC, Osheroff N. Human topoisomerase IIα rapidly relaxes positively supercoiled DNA: implications for enzyme action ahead of replication forks. J. Biol. Chem 2005;280:39337–39345. [PubMed: 16188892]
- 32. Hiasa H, Marians KJ. Topoisomerase IV can support oriC DNA replication in vitro. J. Biol. Chem 1994;269:16371–16375. [PubMed: 8206945]
- 33. Khodursky AB, Peter BJ, Schmid MB, DeRisi J, Botstein D, Brown PO, Cozzarelli NR. Analysis of topoisomerase function in bacterial replication fork movement: use of DNA microarrays. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2000;97:9419–9424. [PubMed: 10944214]
- 34. Brill SJ, DiNardo S, Voelkel-Meiman K, Sternglanz R. Need for DNA topoisomerase activity as a swivel for DNA replication for transcription of ribosomal RNA. Nature 1987;326:414–416. [PubMed: 2436053]
- 35. Kim RA, Wang JC. Function of DNA topoisomerases as replication swivels in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J. Mol. Biol 1989;208:257–267. [PubMed: 2549254]
- 36. Koster DA, Palle K, Bot ES, Bjornsti MA, Dekker NH. Antitumour drugs impede DNA uncoiling by topoisomerase I. Nature 2007;448:213–217. [PubMed: 17589503]

37. Bermejo R, Doksani Y, Capra T, Katou YM, Tanaka H, Shirahige K, Foiani M. Top1- and Top2-mediated topological transitions at replication forks ensure fork progression and stability and prevent DNA damage checkpoint activation. Genes Dev 2007;21:1921–1936. [PubMed: 17671091]

- 38. Ishimi Y, Sugasawa K, Hanaoka F, Eki T, Hurwitz J. Topoisomerase II plays an essential role as a swivelase in the late stage of SV40 chromosome replication in vitro. J. Biol. Chem 1992;267:462–466. [PubMed: 1309747]
- Qiu J, Catapano CV, Fernandes DJ. Formation of topoisomerase II alpha complexes with nascent DNA is related to VM-26-induced cytotoxicity. Biochemistry 1996;35:16354–16360. [PubMed: 8973211]
- 40. Crisona NJ, Strick TR, Bensimon D, Croquette V, Cozzarelli NR. Preferential relaxation of positively supercoiled DNA by *E. coli* topoisomerase IV in single-molecule and ensemble measurements. Genes Dev 2000;14:2881–2892. [PubMed: 11090135]
- 41. Stone MD, Bryant Z, Crisona NJ, Smith SB, Vologodskii A, Bustamante C, Cozzarelli NR. Chirality sensing by *Escherichia coli* topoisomerase IV and the mechanism of type II topoisomerases. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2003;100:8654–8659. [PubMed: 12857958]
- 42. McClendon AK, Osheroff N. The geometry of DNA supercoils modulates topoisomerase-mediated DNA cleavage and enzyme response to anticancer drugs. Biochemistry 2006;45:3040–3050. [PubMed: 16503659]
- 43. Austin CA, Sng JH, Patel S, Fisher LM. Novel HeLa topoisomerase II is the II beta isoform: complete coding sequence and homology with other type II topoisomerases. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1993;1172:283–291. [PubMed: 8383537]
- 44. Hsieh TJ, Farh L, Huang WM, Chan NL. Structure of the topoisomerase IV C-terminal domain: a broken beta-propeller implies a role as geometry facilitator in catalysis. J. Biol. Chem 2004;279:55587–55593. [PubMed: 15466871]
- 45. Corbett KD, Schoeffler AJ, Thomsen ND, Berger JM. The structural basis for substrate specificity in DNA topoisomerase IV. J. Mol. Biol 2005;351:545–561. [PubMed: 16023670]
- 46. Corbett KD, Shultzaberger RK, Berger JM. The C-terminal domain of DNA gyrase A adopts a DNA-bending beta-pinwheel fold. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2004;101:7293–7298. [PubMed: 15123801]
- 47. McClendon AK, Dickey JS, Osheroff N. Ability of viral topoisomerase II to discern the handedness of supercoiled DNA: bimodal recognition of DNA geometry by type II enzymes. Biochemistry 2006;45:11674–11680. [PubMed: 16981727]
- 48. Dickey JS, Osheroff N. Impact of the C-terminal domain of topoisomerase IIα on the DNA cleavage activity of the human enzyme. Biochemistry 2005;44:11546–11554. [PubMed: 16114891]
- 49. Kingma PS, Greider CA, Osheroff N. Spontaneous DNA lesions poison human topoisomerase IIα and stimulate cleavage proximal to leukemic 11q23 chromosomal breakpoints. Biochemistry 1997;36:5934–5939. [PubMed: 9166762]
- Oestergaard VH, Bjergbaek L, Skouboe C, Giangiacomo L, Knudsen BR, Andersen AH. The transducer domain is important for clamp operation in human DNA topoisomerase IIalpha. J Biol. Chem 2004;279:1684–1691. [PubMed: 14583603]
- Rodriguez AC. Studies of a positive supercoiling machine. Nucleotide hydrolysis and a multifunctional "latch" in the mechanism of reverse gyrase. J. Biol. Chem 2002;277:29865–29873. [PubMed: 12048189]
- Fortune JM, Lavrukhin OV, Gurnon JR, Van Etten JL, Lloyd RS, Osheroff N. Topoisomerase II from Chlorella virus PBCV-1 has an exceptionally high DNA cleavage activity. J. Biol. Chem 2001;276:24401–24408. [PubMed: 11323425]
- 53. Lavrukhin OV, Fortune JM, Wood TG, Burbank DE, Van Etten JL, Osheroff N, Lloyd RS. Topoisomerase II from Chlorella virus PBCV-1. Characterization of the smallest known type II topoisomerase. J. Biol. Chem 2000;275:6915–6921. [PubMed: 10702252]
- Ruthenburg AJ, Graybosch DM, Huetsch JC, Verdine GL. A superhelical spiral in the *Escherichia coli* DNA gyrase A C-terminal domain imparts unidirectional supercoiling bias. J. Biol. Chem 2005;280:26177–26184. [PubMed: 15897198]
- 55. Jenkins JR, Ayton P, Jones T, Davies SL, Simmons DL, Harris AL, Sheer D, Hickson ID. Isolation of cDNA clones encoding the beta isozyme of human DNA topoisomerase II and localisation of the gene to chromosome 3p24. Nuc. Acids Res 1992;20:5587–5592.




Figure 1. Relaxation of negatively and positively supercoiled substrates by hTop2 $\alpha\Delta$ 1175. Ethidium bromide-stained gels (top) depict a time course for relaxation of negatively [(-)SC, left] or positively supercoiled [(+)SC, right] pBR322 plasmid DNA by hTop2 $\alpha\Delta$ 1175. The positions of supercoiled (form I, FI) and nicked DNA (form II, FII) are indicated. DNA relaxation was quantified from either the gain of relaxed product (left panel) or the loss of supercoiled substrate (right panel). Relaxation of negatively (open boxes) and positively (closed boxes) supercoiled substrates by hTop2 $\alpha\Delta$ 1175 (solid lines) is shown. Relaxation by full-length enzyme (dashed lines) is included for comparison (from Ref. 31). Error bars represent the standard deviation of at least three independent experiments.




Figure 2. Cleavage of negatively and positively supercoiled substrates by hTop2 $\alpha\Delta$ 1175. The ability of hTop2 $\alpha\Delta$ 1175 to cleave negatively [(-)SC, open circles] or positively [(+)SC, closed circles] supercoiled pBR322 plasmid DNA is shown. Error bars represent the standard deviation of three independent experiments.




Figure 3. Binding of hTop2α Δ 1175 to negatively and positively supercoiled DNA. The ability of negatively [(-)SC, open circles] or positively [(+)SC, closed circles] supercoiled pBR322 plasmid DNA to compete with the binding of 32 P-labeled linear pBR322 DNA by hTop2α Δ 1175 is shown. The percentage of linear DNA bound was determined by the ratio of the cpm retained on a nitrocellulose filter versus the input amount of radioactivity. Error bars represent the standard deviations of three independent assays.




Figure 4. Ligation of negatively and positively supercoiled DNA by hTop $2\alpha\Delta1175$. A time course of ligation of negatively [(-)SC, open circles] or positively [(+)SC, closed circles] supercoiled plasmid pBR322 is shown. The initial level of cleavage was set to 100% and levels of ligation were determined by quantifying the loss of cleaved DNA over time. Error bars represent the standard deviations of three independent assays.




Figure 5. Relaxation of negatively and positively supercoiled substrates by hTop2 α CTD β . Ethidium bromide-stained gels (top) depict a time course for relaxation of negatively [(-)SC, left] or positively [(+)SC, right] supercoiled pBR322 plasmid DNA by hTop2 α CTD β . The positions of supercoiled and nicked DNA are as indicated in Figure 1. DNA relaxation was quantified from either the gain of relaxed product (left panel) or the loss of supercoiled substrate (right panel). Data for negatively supercoiled substrates are represented by open circles and positively supercoiled substrates by closed circles. Error bars represent the standard deviation of three independent experiments.




Figure 6. Relaxation of negatively and positively supercoiled substrates by hTop2βCTDα. Ethidium bromide-stained gels (top) depict a time course for relaxation of negatively [(-)SC, left] or positively [(+)SC, right] supercoiled pBR322 plasmid DNA by hTop2βCTDα. The positions of supercoiled and nicked DNA are as indicated in Figure 1. DNA relaxation was quantified from either the gain of relaxed product (left panel) or the loss of supercoiled substrate (right panel). Data for negatively supercoiled substrates are represented by open circles and positively supercoiled substrates by closed circles. Error bars represent the standard deviation of three independent experiments.




Figure 7. Sequence of the CTD of human topoisomerase $II\alpha$. Positively charged amino acid clusters corresponding to those of DNA gyrase and topoIV are demarcated by red bars. Points of C-terminal truncations in topoisomerase $II\alpha$ mutant enzymes are indicated.




Figure 8. Time courses for relaxation of negatively and positively supercoiled substrates by C-terminally truncated topoisomerase II α mutant enzymes. The ability of hTop2 $\alpha\Delta$ 1521, hTop2 $\alpha\Delta$ 1501, hTop2 $\alpha\Delta$ 1476, and hTop2 $\alpha\Delta$ 1321 (lacking zero, one, two and six clusters of positive charges, respectively) and hTop2 $\alpha\Delta$ 1501/ β [(a chimeric enzyme in which residues 1502-1531 of human topoisomerase II α were replaced with the corresponding C-terminal region of topoisomerase II β (residues 1585-1621)] to relax negatively [(-)SC, open circles] and positively supercoiled substrates [(+)SC, closed circles] is shown. Results with full-length human topoisomerase II α and hTop2 $\alpha\Delta$ 1175 also are included. To aid comparisons, levels of DNA relaxation for negatively supercoiled substrates were normalized to 1.0 at 30 min.