

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/23177545>

ChemInform Abstract: Tandem Reactions for Streamlining Synthesis: Enantio- and Diastereoselective One-Pot Generation of Functionalized Epoxy Alcohols

ARTICLE *in* ACCOUNTS OF CHEMICAL RESEARCH · SEPTEMBER 2008

Impact Factor: 22.32 · DOI: 10.1021/ar800006h · Source: PubMed

CITATIONS

76

READS

25

2 AUTHORS, INCLUDING:



Mahmud M Hussain

Harvard University

20 PUBLICATIONS 200 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Published in final edited form as:

Acc Chem Res. 2008 August ; 41(8): 883–893. doi:10.1021/ar800006h.


Tandem Reactions for Streamlining Synthesis:

Enantio- and Diastereoselective One-pot Generation of Functionalized Epoxy Alcohols

MAHMUD M. HUSSAIN and PATRICK J. WALSH

P. Roy and Diane T. Vagelos Laboratories, University of Pennsylvania, Department of Chemistry, 231 South 34th Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104-6323

CONSPECTUS



In 1980 Sharpless and Katsuki introduced the asymmetric epoxidation of prochiral allylic alcohols (the Sharpless-Katsuki Asymmetric Epoxidation), which enabled the rapid synthesis of highly enantioenriched epoxy alcohols. This reaction was a milestone in the development of asymmetric catalysis because it was the first highly enantioselective oxidation reaction. Furthermore, it provided access to enantioenriched allylic alcohols that are now standard starting materials in natural product synthesis.

In 1981 Sharpless and coworkers made another seminal contribution by describing the kinetic resolution (KR) of racemic allylic alcohols. This work demonstrated that small-molecule catalysts could compete with enzymatic catalysts in KRs. For these pioneering works, Sharpless was awarded the 2001 Nobel Prize with Knowles and Noyori.

Despite these achievements, the Sharpless KR is not an efficient method to prepare epoxy alcohols with high enantiomeric excess (ee). First, the racemic allylic alcohol must be prepared and purified. KR of the racemic allylic alcohol must be stopped at low conversion, because the ee of the product epoxy alcohol *decreases* as the KR progresses. Thus, better methods to prepare epoxy alcohols containing stereogenic carbinol carbons are needed.

This Account summarizes our efforts to develop one-pot methods for the synthesis of various epoxy alcohols and allylic epoxy alcohols with high enantio-, diastereo-, and chemoselectivity. Our laboratory developed titanium-based catalysts for use in the synthesis of epoxy alcohols with tertiary carbinols. The catalysts are involved in the first step, which is an asymmetric alkyl or allyl addition

to enones. The resulting intermediates are then subjected to a titanium-directed diastereoselective epoxidation to provide tertiary epoxy alcohols. Similarly, the synthesis of acyclic epoxy alcohols begins with asymmetric additions to enals and subsequent epoxidation. The methods described here enable the synthesis of skeletally diverse epoxy alcohols.

1. Introduction

Great progress has been made in the development and application of methods for the synthesis of complex natural products. Given sufficient time, resources, and personnel, the synthesis of even the most complex targets is either achievable or feasible. Thus, synthetic organic chemistry is often considered a mature field. Many syntheses, however, require numerous synthetic steps and purifications, ultimately producing minute quantities of the final product. Although organic synthesis may be mature, there is a great deal of room for improvement! One approach to streamline organic synthesis is to perform reactions in tandem, circumventing the traditional isolation and purification of each intermediate.

This Account details our development of novel tandem reactions for the synthesis of epoxy alcohols with excellent control over enantio-, diastereo- and chemoselectivity. Enantioenriched epoxy alcohols are among the most widely utilized precursors in asymmetric synthesis.^{1,2} Efficient and highly stereoselective methods to prepare these valuable building blocks will facilitate both target and diversity-oriented synthesis.

The first step in these tandem sequences involves an asymmetric organozinc or allylstannane addition to an unsaturated ketone or aldehyde. The resulting allylic alkoxide intermediate is then subjected to a diastereoselective epoxidation to establish up to two additional stereogenic centers.

2. Tandem Enantioselective Additions to Enones and Diastereoselective Epoxidations

Our initial epoxy alcohol synthesis begins with the asymmetric alkylation of enones. Although many efficient and highly enantioselective catalysts have been reported for the alkylation of aldehydes,³ the same catalysts usually exhibit little or no reactivity with ketones. This reactivity difference stems partly from the lower affinity of Lewis acids for ketones relative to their aldehyde counterparts. Likewise, asymmetric reactions with ketones are more challenging than those with aldehydes because discrimination of the enantiotopic faces of the ketone carbonyl is more difficult. With both lone pairs *syn* to non-hydrogen substituents, the lone pairs often have similar steric environments, unlike aldehydes. Thus, it is not surprising that a long-standing challenge in asymmetric catalysis had been the synthesis of tertiary alcohols with high enantioselectivity.

2.1 The First Highly Enantioselective Catalyst for Ketone Alkylations

In 2002, we disclosed the first highly enantioselective catalyst for the asymmetric addition of alkyl groups to ketones. Our catalyst employs the bis(sulfonamide) diol ligand (**1**, Scheme 1).^{4,5} Both enantiomers of **1** are easily synthesized from commercially available materials and have recently become commercially available from Aldrich. The Lewis acid catalyst formed on reaction of titanium tetrakisopropoxide and the bis(sulfonamide) diol ligand promotes the addition of alkyl-,^{4,6-8} phenyl-,^{9,10} vinyl-,^{11,12} and dienylzinc reagents¹² to ketones with enantioselectivities typically > 90%.¹³ A crucial element of the ligand is the diamine backbone. Substitution of *trans*-1,2-diaminocyclohexane in **1** with *trans*-1,2-diaminocyclopentane resulted in a significant reduction in activity and enantioselectivity, probably due to the increased conformational freedom of the cyclopentane ring.¹⁴

2.2 One-Pot Asymmetric Addition/Diastereoselective Epoxidation Under Standard Conditions

Using the bis(sulfonamide) diol ligand **1** (10 mol %), we reported the asymmetric alkylation of cyclic α,β -unsaturated enones following the general protocol in Scheme 1.⁶ We also discovered that if the initially formed alkoxide intermediate was exposed to dioxygen, epoxidation of the allylic double bond took place to afford *syn*-epoxy alcohols (Scheme 2). It is noteworthy that the titanium complex has a dual role in this tandem sequence, first promoting the asymmetric addition then directing the diastereoselective epoxidation. These tandem reactions enable the establishment of three contiguous stereogenic centers with high enantio- and diastereoselectivity.⁶

A proposed mechanism for this reaction is illustrated in Scheme 2. It is well documented that dialkylzinc reagents readily react with dioxygen to generate zinc peroxides.^{15,16} We propose that the epoxidation is initiated by insertion of dioxygen into the Zn-C bond to generate a peroxy zinc species. Transmetalation of the zinc-bound peroxide to the titanium allylic alkoxide is followed by oxygen atom transfer to the double bond. Aqueous work up affords the epoxy alcohol products. In support of this proposal, we have also found that TBHP can be substituted for dioxygen in the directed epoxidation step with similar yields.¹⁷ Presumably, the TBHP protonates an alkyl of the dialkylzinc to generate an alkyl zinc peroxide (RZnOO-*t*-Bu), which then follows the same reaction pathway. An advantage of TBHP over dioxygen in the epoxidation is that the rate of addition of the TBHP (5.5 M in decane) can be easily regulated. In our initial report, the addition/epoxidation was conducted using hexanes and toluene as solvent, which is referred to as our “standard conditions.”⁶

The scope of the tandem enantioselective addition/diastereoselective epoxidation under our standard solvent conditions is illustrated in Table 1. 2-Substituted enones are excellent substrates for the enantioselective addition (entries 1-6), while conjugated enones devoid of alkyl substituents α to the carbonyl group, such as 2-cyclohexenone, give poor enantioselectivities. Aryl-substituted enones also underwent alkylation with high enantioselectivity, but with diminished yields (entry 7). Epoxidations in the presence of ZnEt₂ and dioxygen (1 atm) resulted in complete conversion to the *syn*-epoxy alcohols in less than 4 h. In the presence of ZnMe₂, however, epoxidations were very slow. This issue was initially circumvented by adding 2 equiv of ZnEt₂ before exposure to dioxygen. It is noteworthy that the epoxidation is conducted on the allylic alkoxide under *aprotic* conditions, making it very different from Ti(O*i*-Pr)₄/TBHP epoxidation of allylic alcohols.^{18,19}

2.3 One-Pot Asymmetric Addition/Diastereoselective Epoxidation Under Solvent-Free Conditions

In a bid to transform our bis(sulfonamide) diol-based catalyst into a more efficient and environmentally friendly system, we envisioned conducting the tandem reaction under highly concentrated or solvent-free conditions. In a recent review on highly concentrated and solvent-free catalytic asymmetric reactions,²⁰ we defined highly concentrated reactions as those that use < 5 equivalents of solvent relative to substrate and solvent-free reactions as those with less than a 5-fold excess of one of the reagents relative to substrate. We hypothesized that reducing the amount of solvent in the ketone alkylation would increase catalyst and reagent concentrations and could raise the catalyst turnover frequency, ultimately allowing a reduction in catalyst loading. Solvent-free enantioselective reactions are rare, because two of the most important variables for reaction optimization, solvent polarity and reagent concentrations, are forfeited.²⁰ Furthermore, under solvent-free conditions the reaction medium can change dramatically as reagents and substrates are converted to products.

In spite of these potential complications, we tested the addition of dialkylzinc reagents to ketones under solvent-free conditions.⁸ We were surprised to find that in the vast majority of asymmetric additions, the product alcohol ee was $\pm 3\%$ of the value obtained under standard conditions with toluene and hexanes. Furthermore, under solvent-free conditions, the catalyst loading could be reduced by up to 20 fold (to 0.5 mol %). With some substrates, however, the enantioselectivity dropped significantly under solvent-free conditions. We found that addition of 2 equiv toluene (with respect to ketone substrate) and 1 mol % of ligand **1** restored the enantioselectivity in most cases. The optimal protocol for our asymmetric alkylation of ketones employs solvent-free or highly concentrated conditions.⁸

In the tandem reaction the asymmetric addition was conducted under solvent-free conditions followed by injection of 5.5 M TBHP in decane at $-10\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$. The reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 4 h to generate epoxy alcohols in high isolated yields (87-95%, Table 2). These yields are significantly higher than those obtained under our standard solvent conditions (Table 1).⁶ To investigate the scalability of the epoxy alcohol synthesis, we used 5.0 g of 2-pentyl-2-cyclopenten-1-one, 2 equiv of dimethylzinc, and 0.5 mol % of ligand **1** in the addition plus 4 equiv of TBHP for the epoxidation. The resultant epoxy alcohol was isolated in 90% yield (5.45 g, 97% ee) with 90% recovery of the ligand. Other substrates were successfully employed on gram scale, but are not shown here.⁸ The solvent-free conditions for the tandem reactions are both practical and scalable.⁸

3. Tandem Asymmetric Allylation/Diastereoselective Epoxidation of Cyclic Enones

Asymmetric allylation of aldehydes and ketones²¹ generate synthetically valuable homoallylic alcohols. Asymmetric catalysts for the allylation of aldehydes were introduced in the early 1990's by the groups of Tagliavini²² and Keck.²³ Development of analogous catalysts for ketone allylation, however, proved more challenging. We were attracted to this chemistry by Tagliavini's enantioselective (BINOLate)Ti-based catalyst, which exhibited moderate enantioselectivities in ketone allylations.²⁴ Our attraction stemmed from our long standing interest in the structures and mechanisms of titanium-based asymmetric catalysts.²⁵⁻²⁹ During our mechanistic investigations of this system, we discovered a new (BINOLate)Ti-based catalyst that formed in the presence of 20 equiv of isopropanol relative to the substrate. This observation eventually led to the first enantioselective catalyst for the asymmetric allylation of ketones with broad substrate scope.^{30,31} Ironically, mechanistic studies on this system have been complicated by an induction period. The role of the isopropanol, the catalyst structure and reaction mechanism remain elusive. Despite the high enantioselectivities of our catalyst, a significant drawback is that it requires high catalyst loadings (20-30 mol %). As outlined below, we have made progress in reducing the titanium loading.

3.1 Tandem Allylation/Epoxidation Reaction under Standard Conditions

After introduction of our asymmetric allylation catalyst,³⁰ we turned our attention to the development of a tandem asymmetric allylation of cyclic α,β -unsaturated enones followed by a chemo- and diastereoselective directed epoxidation of the allylic alcohol (Table 3).³¹ As with the alkylation of cyclic enones, the α -substituent is crucial in the enantioselective allylation of enones because it allows the catalyst to readily differentiate between the two lone pairs on the carbonyl oxygen. Unlike the ketone alkylation chemistry, the tandem asymmetric allylation/epoxidation reaction involves alkoxide exchange via the alcohol and not the alkoxide (see Scheme 2).

For the tandem reaction, the ketone allylation was conducted in the usual fashion and 1 equiv of anhydrous TBHP (5.5 M) was subsequently added to the reaction mixture. The epoxidation

proceeded readily at room temperature to afford the *syn*-epoxy alcohols in good yields (72-89%, Table 3). No erosion of ee was observed during the epoxidation and only a single diastereomer was detected by NMR spectroscopy.³¹

3.2 Asymmetric Allylation Reaction under Highly Concentrated Conditions

Our allylation catalyst, formed from a 1 to 1 ratio of titanium tetraisopropoxide to BINOL and excess isopropanol, required 20-30 mol % loading to achieve maximum enantioselectivity. In an effort to reduce the amount of solvent employed and lower the catalyst loading, the tandem allylation/epoxidation was conducted in the absence of dichloromethane solvent. The enantioselectivity, however, fell sharply under the highly concentrated condition with this catalyst system.³²

It is known that the ratio of titanium to BINOL can impact the enantioselectivity in the asymmetric allylation of aldehydes.³³ In order to adapt our allylation catalyst to highly concentrated conditions, we reoptimized the catalyst with a 1 : 2 ratio of titanium tetraisopropoxide to BINOL and only 3 equiv of isopropanol with respect to the ketone substrate (no other solvent). In this process a new catalyst was developed for highly concentrated conditions. With this catalyst the titanium tetraisopropoxide loading could be lowered from 30 mol % under standard solvent conditions to 10 mol % with the highly concentrated conditions. Furthermore, dichloromethane was eliminated from the reaction.

3.3 Tandem Allylation/Epoxidation under Concentrated Conditions

Adaptation of the asymmetric allylation under concentrated conditions to the tandem allylation/epoxidation was straightforward. The allylation was followed by addition of 1 equiv of anhydrous TBHP (5.5 M) resulting in smooth epoxidation to afford the *syn*-epoxy alcohols as single diastereomers in 84 - 87% yield and with enantioselectivities around 90% (Table 4).³²


Starting from achiral precursors, both our asymmetric alkylation/diastereoselective epoxidation and our asymmetric allylation/diastereoselective epoxidation result in the generation of three contiguous stereocenters with excellent enantio-, diastereo-, and chemoselectivity. Furthermore, our tandem reactions result in a significant increase in molecular complexity. It is noteworthy that both tandem reactions could be adapted to solvent-free and highly concentrated reaction conditions, suggesting that other catalysts may also perform successfully at high concentrations.²⁰ The outstanding diastereoselectivities observed is a result of the titanium-directed epoxidation reaction. Because of the constrained nature of these cyclic allylic alkoxides and allylic alcohols, epoxidation can only occur *syn* to the alkoxy or hydroxy groups. In the next section, we focus on acyclic substrates in which diastereoselective epoxidations are more challenging.

4. Tandem Asymmetric Additions to Aldehydes/Diastereoselective Epoxidations


4.1 Synthesis of Acyclic Epoxy Alcohols

Acyclic epoxy alcohols are among the most versatile and useful intermediates in organic synthesis due to their straightforward synthesis and potential for undergoing regioselective ring-opening reactions.¹ Enantioenriched epoxy alcohols are typically synthesized by the Sharpless-Katsuki asymmetric epoxidation of prochiral allylic alcohols (eq 1).^{18,34} If the desired epoxy alcohol contains an additional stereogenic center at the carbinol carbon, the Sharpless kinetic resolution of racemic allylic alcohols can be employed (eq 2).³⁵ Despite the enormous impact of the latter method, it suffers from significant limitations, including a maximum yield of 50%. Furthermore, if the desired product is the epoxy alcohol, the kinetic resolution must be quenched at low conversion to ensure product of high ee. Alternatively, the

resolved allylic alcohol can be isolated from the KR and subjected to a diastereoselective epoxidation. In order to address these drawbacks, we investigated three related one-pot procedures to synthesize highly enantio- and diastereoenriched epoxy alcohols with up to three contiguous stereocenters.



(1)



yield = 27-47%
ee = 90-99%

(2)

4.1.1 Asymmetric Alkylation of Enals/Diastereoselective Epoxidations—We performed highly enantioselective alkyl additions to α,β -unsaturated aldehydes with 4 mol % of various catalysts (Table 5). The asymmetric catalysts are formed from Nugent's (-)-MIB^{36,37} (entries 1 - 4) or the bis(sulfonamide) ligands (entries 5-9). Upon completion of the addition, the resulting allylic alkoxide was exposed to 1 atm of dioxygen at 0 °C for 30 min and cooled to -20 °C.³⁸ When (-)-MIB was used, ¹³ which generates a zinc-based catalyst, titanium tetraisopropoxide (20 mol %) was added to initiate the diastereoselective epoxidation. With the bis(sulfonamide) ligands, which are titanium-based catalysts, the titanium tetraalkoxide used in the asymmetric addition also promotes the epoxidation. As illustrated in Table 5, both the (-)-MIB and bis(sulfonamide)-based catalysts gave excellent enantioselectivities with a range of aldehyde substitution patterns. In the case of dimethylzinc additions (entries 8 and 9), the bis(sulfonamide)/Ti(*Or*-Bu)₄ catalyst system³⁹ is the best choice, because it is significantly faster than the MIB-based catalyst in methyl addition reactions.

As outlined in Table 5, the diastereomeric ratios [dr] observed in the epoxidation step are excellent. This is surprising, because the allylic alkoxides generated in Table 5 exhibit either A^{1,2} or A^{1,3} strain in one of the diastereomeric epoxidation transition states. To facilitate discussion of diastereoselectivity in the epoxidations throughout section 4, reactions will be presented such that interaction between R¹ and R² (R² ≠ H, R³ = H) give rises to A^{1,2} strain whereas interaction between R¹ and R³ (R³ ≠ H, R² = H) results in A^{1,3} strain. Due to the

disposition of R⁴, it has a small impact on the dr. The three most common diastereoselective epoxidation systems are Ti(Oi-Pr)₄/TBHP, VO(acac)₂/TBHP, and *m*CPBA. The diastereoselectivities of these reagents with a series of allylic alcohols are listed in Table 6.¹⁹ None of these reagents give good diastereoselectivity with substrate classes leading to both A^{1,2} and A^{1,3} strain (Table 6). Our alkoxide-based epoxidation is unique because of its high diastereoselectivity with substrates resulting in *either* A^{1,2} *or* A^{1,3} strain (Table 5). It is possible that the observed diastereoselectivities in Table 6 stem from a C=C-C-O dihedral angle of 90°.

4.1.2 Asymmetric Vinylation/Diastereoselective Epoxidations—The second route to epoxy alcohols entails the addition of divinylzinc reagents to aliphatic and aromatic aldehydes (Table 7). The divinylzinc reagents were prepared and then purified by sublimation.^{40,41} To minimize the equivalents of divinylzinc employed, 3.1 equiv diethylzinc was added to generate the mixed ethyl vinyl zinc species, which transfers the vinyl group orders of magnitude faster than the ethyl group. Following the asymmetric addition with the (-)-MIB-based catalyst, epoxidation was carried out as above. High enantioselectivities were obtained with most aldehydes with the exception of unbranched aldehydes, which gave enantioselectivities around 80% (Table 7, entry 4). Diastereoselectivities in the epoxidation were also quite high with allylic alkoxides leading to A^{1,2} (entries 1 and 2) or A^{1,3} (entries 3 and 4) strain.

4.1.3 Tandem Vinylation-Epoxidation with in situ formed Vinylzinc Reagents—Although the above route provides epoxy alcohols with high enantio- and diastereoselectivity, the need to prepare and isolate the divinylzinc reagents is inconvenient. An in situ method to prepare vinylzinc reagents was developed by Srebnik⁴² and Oppolzer⁴³ involving hydroboration of a terminal alkyne, transmetalation of the resulting vinylborane to zinc, and asymmetric addition. Using Oppolzer's method, we performed the hydroboration and asymmetric addition in the presence of the (-)-MIB-based catalyst (4 mol %) and exposed the resulting allylic alkoxide reaction mixture to dioxygen and titanium isopropoxide (Table 8). Unfortunately, the epoxidation proceeded with low diastereoselection (~2:1) due to the absence of A^{1,2} or A^{1,3} strain in the epoxidation transition states (see Table 6, entry 4). To our knowledge, all allylic alcohol epoxidation reagents exhibit low diastereoselectivity in the absence of either A^{1,2} or A^{1,3} strain, with the exception of the Sharpless-Katsuki asymmetric epoxidation catalyst.³⁴ At the outset of these experiments, we were unaware of the formidable challenge to development of a highly diastereoselective epoxidation system for this class of substrates. After many unsuccessful experiments, however, we decided to emulate the Sharpless-Katsuki catalyst. Thus, a preformed titanium diisopropoxy tartrate complex, which was generated by combining titanium tetrakisopropoxide with (+)-DIPT and removal of the liberated isopropanol under reduced pressure, was added after exposure of the reaction mixture to dioxygen. While this modification resulted in increased diastereoselectivity, the dr was still low ranging from 2.9:1 to 4.5:1 in the match case favoring the *threo*-diastereomer (Table 8). The same epoxy alcohol diastereomer was obtained in the mismatched case with (-)-DIPT.⁴⁴ Although our catalyst system is more *threo* selective than the reagents listed in Table 6, there is considerable room for improvement. It is noteworthy that the predominant diastereomer is opposite of the epoxy alcohol expected from the Sharpless KR, highlighting the significant differences between the Sharpless-Katsuki catalyst and our diastereoselective titanium/zinc-based epoxidation catalyst.

4.2 Synthesis of Allylic Epoxy Alcohols

With an eye on increasing the versatility and molecular complexity of our epoxy alcohol products, we developed a one-pot method for the synthesis of densely functionalized allylic epoxy alcohols (Scheme 4). This chemistry adds another layer of complexity to the reactions

described above in that the epoxidation must be both chemoselective and diastereoselective.¹⁷ The first step is to generate unsymmetric bis(allylic alkoxide) intermediates by vinylation of α,β -unsaturated aldehydes. The key to success in the chemoselective epoxidation is the electrophilic nature of the peroxy titanium species, which reacts faster with more electron rich double bonds.

4.2.1 Epoxy Allylic Alcohols from In Situ Generated Vinylzinc Reagents—The first route begins with in situ generation of (*E*)-disubstituted vinylzinc reagents using Oppolzer's procedure⁴³ followed by asymmetric vinylation of an enal (Table 9). The enal must bear non-hydrogen substituents in the R² or R³ position such that A^{1,2} or A^{1,3} strain will be present in one of the diastereomeric epoxidation transition states. The unsymmetrical bis(allylic alkoxide) then undergoes a highly chemoselective directed epoxidation of the more electron-rich double bond while minimizing A^{1,2} or A^{1,3} strain. As can be seen in the examples in Table 9, this method was surprisingly successful.¹⁷

4.2.2 Epoxy Allylic Alcohols from Isolated Divinylzinc Reagents—The second route involves addition of an isolated and purified divinylzinc reagent to an enal (Table 10). In these cases, both of the allylic groups possess substitution patterns that lead to A^{1,2} or A^{1,3} strain in the diastereomeric epoxidation transition states. In chemoselective epoxidations it was found that endocyclic trisubstituted allylic alkoxides were epoxidized more rapidly than acyclic trisubstituted allylic alkoxides and trisubstituted allylic alkoxides were epoxidized faster than disubstituted derivatives (Table 10, entries 1-3). Epoxidation of the *cis* double bond in entry 4 was not observed due to deactivation by the electron withdrawing silyl ether. In the case of vinyl additions to ynals, the epoxy alcohol products were generated with slightly lower enantioselectivity (87 %) and with a 5:1 dr. Although it should be possible to raise the enantioselectivity by optimization of the chiral ligand structure, the reduced diastereoselectivity is a result of the small size of the alkyne, which results in a decreased energy difference between the diastereomeric epoxidation transition states.¹⁷

4.3 Tandem Syntheses of Epoxy and Allylic Epoxy Alcohols from 1-Chloro-1-alkynes

Our tandem vinylation procedure of section 4.2.1 begins with hydroboration of terminal alkynes and proceeds via (*E*)-vinylzinc species, ultimately affording *trans*-disubstituted allylic epoxy alcohols. Complementary to this approach would be generation of the corresponding (*Z*)-vinylzinc reagents and their use in asymmetric addition to aldehydes. The difficulty is that nearly all hydrometallation reactions proceed via *cis* additions while *trans* hydrometallation reactions are rare. Our approach to the generation of (*Z*)-vinylboranes in route to (*Z*)-vinylzinc reagents takes advantage of chemistry developed by Negishi,⁴⁵ Molander⁴⁶ and Brown.⁴⁷ It is known that hydroboration of 1-halo-1-alkynes proceeds with high regioselectivity to furnish 1-halo-1-alkenyl boranes (Scheme 5). The reaction of 1-halo-1-alkenyl boranes with hydride sources results in the exclusive generation of (*Z*)-vinylboranes. The resulting (*Z*)-vinylboranes are unreactive and have not been used outside of Suzuki cross-coupling reactions and oxidations. We envisioned transmetalation of the (*Z*)-vinylboranes to zinc followed by asymmetric addition under conditions used in the addition of (*E*)-vinylzinc reagents to aldehydes. We chose to use Molander's hydride source, *tert*-butyllithium, in the formation of the (*Z*)-vinylborane.⁴⁶ The reaction proceeded smoothly to afford (*Z*)-allylic alcohols in high yields and without contamination from (*E*)-allylic alcohols. However, the product was racemic.

We hypothesized that racemic product was formed in a rapid LiCl-catalyzed background reaction. Our approach to suppressing this undesirable process was to identify a selective inhibitor to bind the Lewis acidic LiCl yet not inhibit the (-)-MIB-based zinc Lewis acid catalyst. In a related study concerning the catalytic enantioselective synthesis of diarylmethanols,⁴⁸ we found that *N,N,N',N'*-tetraethylethylene diamine (TEEDA) would

chelate selectively to LiCl, but not significantly inhibit the (-)-MIB-based catalyst. Thus, beginning with readily available 1-chloroalkynes, hydroboration, addition of *t*-BuLi, and transmetalation to zinc affords (*Z*)-vinylzinc intermediates. Next (-)-MIB, the diamine inhibitor TEEDA, and finally the aldehyde were added. Workup with water furnished the (*Z*)-disubstituted allylic alcohols with high enantioselectivity (Scheme 5).⁴⁹

The generation and addition of (*Z*)-vinylzinc reagents to aldehydes was then applied to the synthesis of epoxy alcohols and (*Z*)-allylic epoxy alcohols. As illustrated in Table 11, preparation and addition of (*Z*)-vinylzinc reagents to aldehydes was performed as described above. The resulting allylic alkoxides were treated with diethylzinc, TBHP, and Ti(O*i*-Pr)₄ to perform the diastereoselective epoxidation. The epoxidation proceeded with high diastereoselectivity because R³ interacts with R¹ and causes A^{1,3} strain in the epoxidation transition states that leads to the minor diastereomer.

The asymmetric (*Z*)-vinylation reaction was also successfully employed in the synthesis of allylic epoxy alcohols as illustrated in Scheme 6.⁴⁹ In this fashion, the highly functionalized allylic epoxy alcohol could be prepared in good yield with high enantio-, diastereo-, and chemoselectivity.

The tandem reactions outlined in this section enable the efficient synthesis of an array of acyclic allylic alcohols in convenient one-pot procedures.

5. Outlook

The development of truly efficient, practical, and more environmentally friendly catalyst systems is an important goal in asymmetric catalysis and is central to green chemistry. Our approach to increase synthetic efficiency involves circumventing isolation and purification of the intermediates by conducting reactions in a tandem fashion. Furthermore, the same reagents have been used in both steps of the tandem process, as exemplified by titanium tetraisopropoxide, which promotes alkyl addition or allylation in the first step and epoxidation in the second step. We have employed dialkylzinc reagents in a similar fashion as an alkyl group donor that is converted to the oxidant in the epoxidation step. By reducing or eliminating solvents under our highly concentrated and solvent-free reaction conditions, circumventing intermediate purifications, and introducing processes where reagents have dual roles, we have increased the synthetic efficiency and environmental friendliness of our syntheses of enantio- and diastereoenriched epoxy alcohols.

In this Account, we outline a series of methods to prepare highly functionalized epoxy alcohols from simple ketone and aldehyde precursors. The reactions are initiated with Lewis acid catalyzed asymmetric carbonyl additions of organozinc or allylstannane reagents. In the case of ketone substrates, we have developed two novel catalysts for these processes. We have also introduced a new directed epoxidation process that involves reaction of dialkylzinc reagents with dioxygen or TBHP to generate the oxidant employed in the epoxidation. This new titanium/zinc-based epoxidation system is unique in that it is highly diastereoselective with substrates that possess either A^{1,2} or A^{1,3} strain in one of the diastereomeric epoxidation transition states. These developments have enabled the one-pot synthesis of a wide variety of acyclic enantio- and diastereoenriched epoxy alcohols and allylic epoxy alcohols that were previously not accessible or were difficult to prepare.

Given the rapid increase in molecular complexity with defined stereochemical outcome and the ease and efficiency of our one-pot procedures, we anticipate that these methods to synthesize stereodefined epoxy alcohols will be very useful in enantioselective target and diversity oriented synthesis.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the National Science Foundation (CHE-0615210) and National Institutes of Health, National Institute of General Medical Sciences (GM58101) for financial support of this work. PJW is indebted to the talented students and postdoctoral researchers who made experimental and intellectual contributions to this chemistry.

Biography

Patrick J. Walsh received his B.A. from UC San Diego (1986) and Ph.D. in chemistry at UC Berkeley with Prof. Robert G. Bergman (Ph.D., 1991). He was an NSF postdoctoral fellow with Prof. K. B. Sharpless at the Scripps Research Institute. Moving across town from 1994-1999 he was an assistant professor at San Diego State University and also professor at Centro de Graduados e Investigación, Instituto Tecnológico de Tijuana, Mexico (1996-1999). In 1999 he moved to the University of Pennsylvania where he was promoted to associate professor in 2002, professor in 2005, and to the Alan G. MacDiarmid Professor of Chemistry in 2008. Walsh has received several awards, most recent of which was the 2006 Philadelphia Section Award of the ACS. Walsh's interests are in asymmetric catalysis, development of new synthetic methods, reaction mechanisms, and inorganic synthesis. With Prof. Marisa Kozlowski, Walsh authored "*Fundamentals of Asymmetric Catalysis*" which will be published in June of 2008.


Mahmud M. Hussain received his B.A in Chemistry from Bard College in 2005, where he was supported by a merit-based Distinguished Scientist Scholarship. He was an NSF-REU fellow with Professor John E. Baldwin at Syracuse University in 2004. In 2006 Hussain began his doctoral studies at the University of Pennsylvania under the direction of Patrick J. Walsh, and is currently investigating applications of bimetallic reagents in organic synthesis. Hussain has received several awards such as the 2005 Ahmed Zewail Graduate Fellowship and 2003 ACS College Recognition Award.

6. References


- (1). Katsuki T, Martín VS. *Org. React* 1996;1–299.
- (2). Zhang W, Yamamoto H. Vanadium-Catalyzed Asymmetric Epoxidation of Homoallylic Alcohols. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2007;129:286–287. [PubMed: 17212403]
- (3). Pu L, Yu H-B. Catalytic Asymmetric Organozinc Additions to Carbonyl Compounds. *Chem. Rev* 2001;101:757–824. [PubMed: 11712502]
- (4). García C, LaRochelle LK, Walsh PJ. A Practical Catalytic Asymmetric Addition of Alkyl Groups to Ketones. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2002;124:10970–10971. [PubMed: 12224931]
- (5). Betancort JM, García C, Walsh PJ. Development of the First Practical Catalyst for the Asymmetric Addition of Alkyl- and Arylzinc Reagents to Ketones. *Synlett* 2004:749–760.
- (6). Jeon S-J, Walsh PJ. Asymmetric Addition of Alkylzinc Reagents to Cyclic α,β -Unsaturated Ketones and a Tandem Enantioselective Addition/Diastereoselective Epoxidation with Dioxigen. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2003;125:9544–9545. [PubMed: 12903993]
- (7). Jeon S-J, Li H, García C, LaRochelle LK, Walsh PJ. Catalytic Asymmetric Addition of Alkylzinc and Functionalized Alkylzinc Reagents to Ketones. *J. Org. Chem* 2005;70:448–455. [PubMed: 15651785]
- (8). Jeon SJ, Li H, Walsh PJ. A Green Chemistry Approach to a More Efficient Asymmetric Catalyst: Solvent-Free and Highly Concentrated Alkyl Additions to Ketones. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2005;127:16416–16425. [PubMed: 16305227]
- (9). García C, Walsh PJ. Highly Enantioselective Catalytic Phenylation of Ketones with a Constrained Geometry Titanium Catalyst. *Org. Lett* 2003;5:3641–3644. [PubMed: 14507193]
- (10). Li H, García C, Walsh PJ. Catalytic Asymmetric Addition of Diphenylzinc to Cyclic α,β -Unsaturated Ketones. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci* 2004;101:5425–5427. [PubMed: 15067130]

- (11). Li H, Walsh PJ. Asymmetric Vinylation of Ketones. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2004;126:6538–6539. [PubMed: 15161266]
- (12). Li H, Walsh PJ. Catalytic Asymmetric Vinylation and Dienylation of Ketones. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2005;127:8355–8361. [PubMed: 15941269]
- (13). Shortly after we published our results with this ligand, Yus and coworkers published a very similar manuscript with the same ligand. The Yus article, however, contains no experimental section, characterization, or procedures. For full experimental procedures and characterization of compounds, see reference ⁴. Yus M, Ramón DJ, Prieto O. Highly Enantioselective Addition of Dialkylzinc Reagents to Ketones Promoted by Titanium Tetraisopropoxide. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 2002;13:2291–2293.
- (14). Anaya de Parrodi C, Walsh PJ. trans-1,2-Diaminocyclopentane-Based Catalyst for the Asymmetric Addition of Alkyl-, Aryl-, and Vinyl Groups to Ketones. *Synlett* 2004:2417–2420.
- (15). Yamamoto K, Yamamoto N. Epoxidation of α , β -Unsaturated Ketones Using Dialkylzinc-Oxygen Reagents. *Chem. Lett* 1989:1149–1152.
- (16). Enders D, Zhu JQ, Raabe G. Asymmetric Epoxidation of Enones with Oxygen in the Presence of Diethylzinc and (*R,R*)-*N*-Methylpseudoephedrine. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed* 1996;35:1725–1728.
- (17). Rowley Kelly A, Lurain AE, Walsh PJ. Highly Enantio- and Diastereoselective One-Pot Synthesis of Acyclic Epoxy Alcohols and Allylic Epoxy Alcohols. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2005;127:14668–14674. [PubMed: 16231920]
- (18). Katsuki T, Sharpless KB. The First Practical Method for Asymmetric Epoxidation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1980;102:5974–5776.
- (19). Adam W, Wirth T. Hydroxy Group Directivity in the Epoxidation of Chiral Allylic Alcohols: Control of Diastereoselectivity through Allylic Strain and Hydrogen Bonding. *Acc. Chem. Res* 1999;32:703–710.
- (20). Walsh PJ, Li H, Anaya de Parrodi C. A Green Approach to Asymmetric Catalysis: Solvent-Free and Highly Concentrated Reactions. *Chem. Rev* 2007;107:2503–2545. [PubMed: 17530908]
- (21). Denmark SE, Fu J. Catalytic Enantioselective Addition of Allylic Organometallic Reagents to Aldehydes and Ketones. *Chem. Rev* 2003;103:2763–2794. [PubMed: 12914480]
- (22). Costa AL, Piazza MG, Tagliavini C, Umani-Ronchi A. Catalytic Asymmetric Synthesis of Homoallylic Alcohols. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1993;115:7001–7002.
- (23). Keck GE, Tarbet K, Geraci LS. Catalytic Asymmetric Allylation of Aldehydes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1993;115:8467–8468.
- (24). Casolari S, D'Addario D, Tagliavini E. BINOL-Ti-Catalyzed Synthesis of Tertiary Homoallylic Alcohols: The First Catalytic Asymmetric Allylation of Ketones. *Org. Lett* 1999;1:1061–1063.
- (25). Pritchett S, Woodmansee DH, Gantzel P, Walsh PJ. Synthesis and Crystal Structures of Bis (sulfonamido) Titanium Bis(alkoxide) Complexes: Mechanistic Implications in the Bis (sulfonamide) Catalyzed Asymmetric Addition of Dialkylzinc Reagents to Aldehydes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1998;120:6423–6424.
- (26). Balsells J, Walsh PJ. The Use of Achiral Ligands to Convey Asymmetry: Chiral Environment Amplification. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2000;122:1802–1803.
- (27). Balsells J, Betancort JM, Walsh PJ. Strong Evidence for the C_2 -Symmetric Conformation of the Bis(sulfonamido) Ligands in the Asymmetric Addition to Aldehydes. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed* 2000;39:3428–3430.
- (28). Balsells J, Walsh PJ. Design of Diastereomeric Self-Inhibiting Catalysts for Control of Turnover Frequency and Enantioselectivity. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2000;122:3250–3251.
- (29). Balsells J, Davis TJ, Carroll PJ, Walsh PJ. Insight into the Mechanism of the Asymmetric Addition of Alkyl Groups to Aldehydes Catalyzed by Titanium-BINOLate Species. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2002;124:10336–10348. [PubMed: 12197736]
- (30). Waltz KM, Gavenonis J, Walsh PJ. A Simple, Reliable Catalytic Asymmetric Allylation of Ketones. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed* 2002;41:3697–3699.
- (31). Kim JG, García IF, Kwiatkowski D, Walsh PJ. Catalytic Asymmetric Allylation of Ketones and a Tandem Asymmetric Allylation/Diastereoselective Epoxidation of Cyclic Enones. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2004;126:12580–12585. [PubMed: 15453790]


- (32). Wooten AJ, Kim JG, Walsh PJ. Highly Concentrated Catalytic Asymmetric Allylation of Ketones. *Org. Lett* 2007;9:381–384. [PubMed: 17249767]
- (33). Keck GE, Geraci LS. Catalytic Asymmetric Allylation (CAA) Reactions. II. A New Enantioselective Allylation Procedure. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1993;34:7827–7828.
- (34). Katsuki, T. Epoxidation of Allylic Alcohols. In: Jacobsen, EN.; Pfaltz, A.; Yamamoto, H., editors. *Comprehensive Asymmetric Catalysis*. Vol. 2. Springer; Berlin: 1999. p. 621–648.
- (35). Martín VS, Woodard SS, Katsuki T, Yamada Y, Ikeda M, Sharpless KB. Kinetic Resolution of Racemic Allylic Alcohols by Enantioselective Epoxidation. A Route to Substances of Absolute Enantiomeric Purity? *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1981;103:6237–6240.
- (36). Nugent WA. MIB: An Advantageous Alternative to DAIB for the Addition of Organozinc Reagents. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun* 1999:1369–1370.
- (37). Chen YK, Jeon SJ, Walsh PJ, Nugent WA. Synthesis of (2S)-(-)-3-exo-Morpholinoisoborneol. *Org. Synth* 2005;82:87–92.
- (38). Lurain AE, Maestri A, Kelly AR, Carroll PJ, Walsh PJ. Highly Enantio- and Diastereoselective One-Pot Synthesis of Acyclic Epoxy Alcohols with Three Contiguous Stereocenters. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2004;126:13608–13609. [PubMed: 15493905]
- (39). Nowotny S, Vettel S, Knochel P. Dramatic Titanium Alkoxide Effect in the Catalytic Enantioselective Addition of Dialkylzincs to Aldehydes. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1994;35:4539–4540.
- (40). Shibata T, Nakatsui K, Soai K. Highly Enantioselective Catalytic Isoprexylation of Aldehydes Using diisopropenylzinc. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 1999;296:33–36.
- (41). Wooten A, Carroll PJ, Maestri AG, Walsh PJ. Unprecedented Alkene Complex of Zinc(II): Structures and Bonding of Divinylzinc Complexes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2006;128:4624–4631. [PubMed: 16594699]
- (42). Srebnik M. Stereospecific Preparation of Trisubstituted Allylic Alcohols by Alkene Transfer from Boron to Zinc. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1991;32:2449–2452.
- (43). Oppolzer W, Radinov RN. Catalytic Asymmetric Synthesis of Secondary (*E*)-Allyl Alcohols from Acetylenes and Aldehydes via (1-Alkenyl)zinc Intermediates. *Helv. Chim. Acta* 1992;75:170–173.
- (44). Lurain AE, Carroll PJ, Walsh PJ. One-Pot Asymmetric Synthesis of Chiral Epoxy Alcohols: A Tandem Approach Using Vinylzinc Addition to an Aldehyde and in situ Epoxidation with Molecular Oxygen. *J. Org. Chem* 2005;70:1262–1268. [PubMed: 15704959]
- (45). Negishi E, Williams RM, Lew G, Yoshida T. Stereoselective Synthesis of *Cis*-Alkenylboranes. *J. Organomet. Chem* 1975;92:C4–C6.
- (46). Campbell JBJ, Molander GA. Improved Synthesis of *cis*-Alkenylboranes. *J. Organomet. Chem* 1978;156:71–79.
- (47). Brown HC, Imai T, Bhat NG. Vinylic Organoboranes. 7 Stereoselective Synthesis of (*E*)-(1-Substituted-1-alkenyl)boronic Esters by the Nucleophilic Substitution of (*Z*)-(1-Bromo-1-alkenyl) boronic Esters with Organolithium or Grignard Reagents. Isolation and Oxidation to Ketones. *J. Org. Chem* 1986;51:5277–5282.
- (48). Kim JG, Walsh PJ. Catalytic Asymmetric Arylation of Aldehydes: Development of a One-Pot Method Employing Aryl Bromides. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed* 2006;45:4175–4178.
- (49). Salvi L, Jeon S-J, Fisher EL, Carroll PJ, Walsh PJ. Catalytic Asymmetric Generation of (*Z*)-Disubstituted Allylic Alcohols. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2007;129:16119–16125. [PubMed: 18052173]

**Scheme 1.**


Asymmetric addition of alkyl, phenyl, vinyl, and dienyl groups to ketones with our catalyst formed from ligand **1**.

**Scheme 2.**


Proposed mechanism for the one-pot asymmetric addition/diastereoselective epoxidation reaction with dioxygen.




Scheme 3.
Our Catalytic System for the Asymmetric Allylation of Cyclic Enones.

**Scheme 4.**

Synthesis of allylic epoxy alcohols involving chemo- and diastereoselective epoxidations.

**Scheme 5.**


Proposed reaction pathway for the generation of (Z)-vinylboranes and ultimately (Z)-allylic alcohols.

**Scheme 6.**

Tandem (*Z*)-vinylation of an enal followed by diastereo- and chemoselective epoxidation.

Table 1


One-Pot Synthesis of Epoxy Alcohols via Dioxygen Initiated Epoxidation




entry

substrates

1



2



entry


substrates


3

4

5

6






entry

substrates



7



^a Only one diastereomer observed in each case.


Table 2

Solvent-free Conditions with TBHP





time (h)
addition/epoxidation

% ee
(% y)^a




45 4

97 (87)




% ee
(% y)^a

time (h)
additionepoxidation





97 (95)



time (h)
additionepoxidation

% ee
(% y)^d






time (h)
 addition
 epoxidation


% ee
 (% y)^a


Table 3

Tandem Asymmetric Allylation under Standard Conditions followed by a Chemo- and Diastereoselective Epoxidation

	
entry	substrates

1







entry

substrates

2






entry


substrates

3



n-Pent


HO



entry

substrates


4




^a Only one diastereomer observed in each case.


Table 4

Tandem Asymmetric Allylation under Concentrated Conditions followed by a Chemo- and Diastereoselective Epoxidation

	
entry	substrates

1





1) $\text{Ti}(\text{O}i\text{-Pr})_4$ (10 mol%)
BINOL (20 mol %)
 $\text{HO}i\text{-Pr}$ (3 equiv)
 $\text{Sn}(\text{allyl})_4$ (1.5 equiv)
highly concentrated


2) TBHP (1 equiv)




entry

substrates

2





1) $\text{Ti}(\text{O}i\text{-Pr})_4$ (10 mol%)
BINOL (20 mol %)
 $\text{HO}i\text{-Pr}$ (3 equiv)
 $\text{Sn}(\text{allyl})_4$ (1.5 equiv)
highly concentrated


2) TBHP (1 equiv)



entry

substrates


3



^a Only one diastereomer observed in each case.

Table 5


entry	L*, Ti(OR) ₄ and oxidant	substrate	product	% ee (% y)	[dr]
1 2 3				93 (60) 96 (65) 91 (96)	[17 : 1] [18 : 1] [1 : 10]
4				98 (86)	[20 : 1]





entry	L*, Ti(OR) ₄ and oxidant	substrate	product	% ee (% y)	[dr]
5				95 (93)	[1 : 20]
6				97 (85)	[20 : 1]
7				96 (86)	[1 : 20]
8				99 (89)	[19 : 1]
9				96 (72)	[1 : 18]


Table 6

Diastereoselective Epoxidations of Different Classes of Allylic Alcohols with Ti(O*i*-Pr)₄/TBHP, VO(acac)₂/TBHP, and *m*CPBA

entry	strain	substrate	Diastereomeric Ratios (<i>erythro</i> : <i>threo</i>)		
			Ti(O <i>i</i> -Pr) ₄ - <i>t</i> -BuOOHV(O(acac)) ₂ - <i>t</i> -BuOOH/ <i>m</i> CPBA		
1	A ^{1,3}		1 : 10	1 : 2.4	1 : 19

entry	strain	substrate	Diastereomeric Ratios (<i>erythro</i> : <i>threo</i>)
			$\text{Ti}(\text{O}i\text{-Pr})_2\text{-BuOOHV}\text{O}(\text{acac})_2\text{-BuOOH}_m\text{CPBA}$
2	A ^{1,3}		1 : 19 1 : 6.1 1 : 19

entry	strain	substrate	Diastereomeric Ratios (<i>erythro</i> : <i>threo</i>)		
			Ti(O <i>i</i> -Pr) ₄ -BuOOHV(O(acac)) ₂ -BuOOH <i>m</i> CPBA		
3	A ^{1,2}		3.5 : 1	19 : 1	1.2 : 1


entry	strain	substrate	Diastereomeric Ratios (<i>erthyro</i> : <i>threo</i>)		
			Ti(O <i>i</i> -Pr) ₄ -BuOOHV(O(acac)) ₂ -BuOOH _m CPBA		
4	none		1 : 1.9	2.4 : 1	1 : 1.8







substrate

entrystrain

Table 7

One-Pot Synthesis of Epoxy Alcohols using Isolated and Purified Divinyl Reagents



entry	vinylzinc reagent	substrate	product	% ee (% y)	[dr]
1				92 (87)	[17 : 1]
2				96 (82)	[16 : 1]






entry	vinylzinc reagent	substrate	product	% ee (% y)	[dr]
3	$\left(\begin{array}{c} \text{R}^2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \text{C}=\text{C} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{R}^4 \quad \text{R}^3 \end{array} \right)_2 \text{Zn} + \text{R}^1\text{CHO}$ <p> $\text{R}^2 = \text{Me}, \text{R}^3, \text{R}^4 = \text{H}$ $\text{R}^2 = \text{H}, \text{R}^3, \text{R}^4 = \text{Me}$ </p>	$\xrightarrow[\text{ZnEt}_2 \text{ (3.1 equiv)}]{(-)\text{-MIB (4 mol \%)}} \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{OZnR}^1 \\ \\ \text{R}^2 \quad \text{C}=\text{C} \quad \text{R}^3 \\ \\ \text{R}^4 \end{array} \right]$	$\xrightarrow[\text{iii) H}_2\text{O}]{\text{i) O}_2, \text{ii) Ti(Oi-Pr)}_4 \text{ (20 mol \%)}$ 	96 (84)	[1 : 18]
4			85 (78)	[1 : 17]	

Table 8

Alzinc Reagents



Acc Chem Res. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2009 November 2.





Acc Chem Res. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2009 November 2.

% ee
(% y) [dr]^a

product

substrate






Acc Chem Res. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2009 November 2.

% ee
(% y) [dr]^a

product

substrate








Table 9

zinc Reagents


substrate	product	% ee (% y)	[dr]
$ \begin{array}{c} \text{H} \\ \\ \text{C} \equiv \text{C} \\ \\ \text{R}^{4'} \end{array} \xrightarrow[\text{ZnEt}_2, -10^\circ\text{C}]{\begin{array}{l} \text{i) Et}_2\text{BH} \\ \text{ii) (-)-MIB (4 mol \%)} \end{array}} \begin{array}{c} \text{R}^2 \text{ CHO} \\ \\ \text{C} = \text{C} \\ \quad \\ \text{R}^4 \quad \text{R}^3 \end{array} \xrightarrow[\text{vi) H}_2\text{O}]{\begin{array}{l} \text{iv) O}_2 \\ \text{v) Ti(O}i\text{-Pr)}_4 \\ \text{(20 mol \%)} \end{array}} \begin{array}{c} \text{OH} \\ \\ \text{C} \\ \quad \quad \\ \text{R}^2 \quad \text{C} \quad \text{R}^3 \\ \quad \\ \text{R}^{4'} \quad \text{R}^4 \end{array} $		99 (78)	[20 : 1]




Acc Chem Res. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2009 November 2.





98 (76)[1 : 20]



substrate	product	% ee (% y)	[dr]
-----------	---------	---------------	------








Acc Chem Res. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2009 November 2.


substrate	product	% ee (% y)	[dr]
-----------	---------	---------------	------


Table 10






% ee
(% *y*) [dr]

product






substrate	product	% ee (% y)	[dr]
		95 (90)	[20 : 1]




Acc Chem Res. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2009 November 2.

product

substrate







Acc Chem Res. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2009 November 2.

product

product



95 (80)[20 : 1]



Acc Chem Res. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2009 November 2.


% ee
(% δ) [dr]

product

substrate




87 (92) [1 : 5]






Acc Chem Res. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2009 November 2.

Table 11







entry	vinylzinc reagent	substrate	product	% ee (% y)	[dr]
-------	-------------------	-----------	---------	---------------	------





entry	vinylzinc reagent	substrate	product	% ee (% y)	[dr]
-------	-------------------	-----------	---------	---------------	------





entry	vinylzinc reagent	substrate	product	% ee (% y)	[dr]
-------	-------------------	-----------	---------	---------------	------