

Am Chem Soc. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 November 20.

Published in final edited form as:

J Am Chem Soc. 2013 November 20; 135(46): . doi:10.1021/ja409014v.

Ligand-Accelerated *ortho*-C-H Alkylation of Arylcarboxylic Acids Using Alkyl Boron Reagents

Peter S. Thuy-Boun[†], Giorgio Villa[†], Devin Dang[†], Paul Richardson[‡], Shun Su[§], and Jin-Quan Yu[†]

[†]Department of Chemistry, The Scripps Research Institute, 10550 N. Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla. CA 92037, USA

[‡]Pfizer Global Research and Development, 10770 Science Center Dr. San Diego, CA 92121, USA

§Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, 311 Pennington Rocky Hill Road, Pennington, NJ 08534, USA

Abstract

A protocol for the Pd(II)-catalyzed *ortho*-C–H alkylation of phenylacetic and benzoic acids using alkylboron reagents is disclosed. Mono-protected amino acid ligands (MPAA) were found to significantly promote reactivity. Both potassium alkyltrifluoroborates and alkylboronic acids were compatible coupling partners. The possibility of a radical alkyl transfer to Pd(II) was also investigated.

1.Introduction

The importance of the aryl-alkyl motif is exemplified by its abundance in natural products¹ and pharmaceuticals,² and many methods for its construction have been described.^{3,4} A comple-mentary approach that is rapidly gaining traction utilizes C-H bonds as coupling partners.^{5,6} Notable progress has been made on this front despite the propensity for intermediate metal-alkyl fragments to undergo undesired β-hydride elimination and homocoupling side reactions. Our early efforts focused on the development of C(sp²)–H alkylation reactions using model substrates containing strongly coordinating pyridine and oxazoline⁵ directing groups (Scheme 1, A) with the long-term goal of imparting reactivity on more synthetically useful substrates.⁶ Thus far, we have achieved C-H alkylation using removable amide^{6h}, and O-methylhydroxamic acid⁷ directing groups which are capable of outcompeting unproductive side reactions. We have also reported a single example of electron-rich benzoic acid C(sp²)-H methylation; ^{6a} however, the *ortho*-alkylation of arylcarboxylic acids using other alkylboron reagents is in general, hampered by the βhydride elimination pathway. Inspired by Fu's successful development of tailored ligands for alkyl-alkyl cross-coupling, 4a-d we sought to utilize the accelerated C-H cleavage reactivity imparted by mono-N-protected amino acids to similar ends. Herein, we report the ligand-accelerated C(sp²)-H alkylation of phenylacetic and benzoic acids using Pd(II) as a catalyst (Scheme 1, B). This protocol provides a one-step route to ortho-alkylated benzoic⁹ and phenylacetic acids, which are useful building blocks for the preparation of medicinally

Corresponding Authoryu200@scripps.edu (J.-Q.Y.).

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Supporting Information. Experimental procedures and analytical data for all new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

relevant compounds. Furthermore, we demonstrate the utility of this protocol by using it to install a "magic methyl" group onto a biaryl scaffold generating lead compound **BMS-98947-055-01**.

2.Results and Discussion

2.1 Initial Discovery and Optimizations

Initial studies were guided by conditions optimized from our previous C-H arylation work using aryltrifluoroborates as coupling partners. 8d For alkyltrifluoroborate coupling, three key modifications were essential for providing alkylated 3a in good yields: (1) Exchanging KHCO₃ for Li₂CO₃ (Table 1, entry 14), (2) conducting reactions under an O₂ free atmosphere (entries 4, 5), and (3) employing an optimized ligand (Boc-Thr(tBu)-OH). Examination of various inorganic salts indicated that both Li⁺ and CO ^{2–}₃ are beneficial for this reaction. In addition to promoting C-H insertion,^{6a} salt additives were previously shown to impact the transmetallation step (entries 13-18). 11 Alkylated 3a was observed with or without Ag₂CO₃ but the inclusion of Ag₂CO₃ in-creased yields significantly. O₂ was found to decrease yields (entries 4, 5). An optimized ligand, Boc-Thr(tBu)-OH, was identified from an extensive screen of commercially available mono-N-protected amino acids (see supporting information, SI: S6-S7). Although sterically demanding ligands can discourage β-hydride elimination in alkylation reactions, 4 substrate-ligand matching may also be important for promoting C-H activation. During the ligand screen using model substrates 1a and 1e, non-N-protected amino acids and amino acids with strongly coordinating side chains such as methionine, histidine, or tryptophan were found to generally inhibit this reaction (see supporting information, SI: S6-S7 for ligand screen).

Further optimization studies based upon these three modifications yielded two sets of standard conditions: one utilizing catalytic benzoquinone (Std-BQ, Table 1, entry 1) and the other utilizing dimethylformamide (Std-DMF, Table 1, entry 11). Differences in conversion were observed depending on the additive employed, but in general, these additives increased the reproducibility of reaction outcomes; the effects of these additives were also studied in detail (see SI: S22-S24) and the observations were consistent with many previously reported cross-coupling reactions. ¹²⁻¹⁴ Importantly, with a simple inorganic base modification, alkyl boronic acids were found to be compatible coupling partners under the reported protocol (Table 2, also see SI: S10-S11).

2.2 Substrate Scope

Substrate scope was investigated using the Std-DMF conditions. Electron poor (Table 3, **3a-d**) and electron rich (Table 3, **3e-l**) phenylacetic acids were generally well tolerated. Ligand enhancement effects were consistently pronounced for electron poor arenes (**3a-d**). With respect to electron rich arenes, yields varied depending on ring-substitution: **3i** (72%), **3j** (13%), and **3k** (70%) and importantly, *ortho*-substituents appear to have a positive effect. We also note that ligand optimization may still be necessary for particular substrates. For example, when Boc-Leu-OH was applied in place of Boc-Thr(tBu)-OH for the coupling of **1g**, the yield nearly tripled from 22% to 64% (**3g**). Notably over-the-counter NSAID drugs, naproxen (**1l**) and ketoprofen (**1o**) were compatible substrates and the alkylation of enantiopure **1l** proceeded without erosion of stereochemistry at the adjacent acidic α -carbon. The presence of large α -substituents hampered this reaction (**3m**). In general, mono:di selectivities of phenyl acetic acids were poor in the absence of *ortho*- or *meta*- substitution (**3n**-mono:**3n**'-di 1:0.6). However, the use of excess alkyltrifluoroborate and Ag₂CO₃ led to the predominant formation of di product (**3n**-mono:**3n**'-di 1:17).

With respect to benzoic acids, we rescreened ligands and found Ac-Val-OH to be most effective. It is also interesting to note that electron poor substrates (Table 4, 5a and 5b) benefited most from the application of ligands where alkylation of electron rich substrates varied depending on arene substitution pattern (5c-f). Notably, monoselectivity increased for 5d with the application of a ligand (mono:di from 1.9:1 to 7.7:1). For unsubstituted benzoic acid, the di product (5g') was formed as the major product in the presence of ligands. Efforts to suppress the di alkylation of benzoic acid to selectively form mono alkylated product were unsuccessful (see SI: S25-S26).

An exploration of potassium alkyltrifluoroborate scope was undertaken using both Std-DMF and Std-BQ conditions (Table 5). Non-coordinating primary alkyltrifluoroborates (methyl, trifluoropropyl, phenethyl) were compatible coupling partners (7a-c) along with benzyl (7d), methylcyclohexyl (7e), and methylcyclopentyl (7f). However, the coupling of 1e with methylcyclobutyl boron gave only trace amounts of desired product (7g) and methylcyclopropyl did not couple at all. There is a possibility that the alkyl intermediates underwent β-carbon scission instead of reductive elimination. Alkyltrifluoborates containing functional handles (protected amine, ketone, ester) were also compatible coupling partners (7h-j). Unfortunately, alkyltrifluoroborates containing α-heteroatoms, olefins, or alkynes did not yield desired coupled products. It was also found that with the exception of cyclopropyltrifluoroborate (7k and 7l) and cyclopentyltrifluoroborate (7m¹⁵ and 7n). reactions with secondary alkylborons were problematic. ¹⁶ We anticipate that extensive ligand development could provide a solution to this problem in the future. A GC/MS sampling of organic phase extracted from reactions using cyclohexyltrifluoroborate yielded cyclohexene and bicyclohexyl suggesting that β-hydride elimination¹⁷ and homocoupling could be competing pathways.

2.3 Mechanistic Considerations

The ineffective coupling of methylcyclobutyl and methylcyclopropyl borons, and the need for an O_2 free atmosphere prompted us to investigate the possibility of alkyl radical formation. ¹⁸ First, nearly complete inhibition of this reaction by TEMPO was observed (Scheme 2, A) and without substrate **1e**, phenethylTEMPO adduct was obtained in 67% GC yield (see SI: S12-S14). A control experiment revealed that only Ag_2CO_3 was necessary to generate alkyl radicals as evidenced by the formation of phenethylTEMPO adduct (see SI: S12-S14). Second, homocoupling product **8a** was formed by treating phenethyltrifluoroborate (**6c**) with Ag_2CO_3 in the absence of TEMPO (Scheme 2, B). The incompatibility of methylcyclopropyl boron could stem from a radical ring-opening/ isomerization to the terminal radical olefin species which could then unproductively polymerize.

Radical alkyl intermediacy poses an interesting mechanistic dilemma. 6n,20 Following C–H cleavage, a transmetallation event could occur to give R-Pd(II)-Ar species. Alternatively, alkyl radical capture by Ar-Pd(II)-Y (where Y may be any anionic species present in solution such as OAc $^-$ or OtBu $^-$) may provide intermediate R-Pd(III)-Ar which could then reductively eliminate to give the alkylated products (Scheme 2, C). While evidence for the latter sequence is scarce, the possibility cannot be ruled out at this time. The coupling of α -stereogenic alkyl borons could provide more definitive evidence. 18,21,22 Unfortunately, they are incompatible under the reported conditions (see SI).

With the application of amino acid ligands, significant acceleration effects were observed in contrast to reactions where ligands were not applied (Figure 1). Additives BQ and DMF were not used in order to isolate the effects of the amino acid ligands on this C–H alkylation reaction. Reactivity was probed using a set of electronically diverse substrates (Table 6). A

ligand loading survey revealed unusual trends where for electron poor substrates (**1a**, **1b**), a Ligand:Pd ratio of 0.5:1 was as effective as a ratio of 2:1. In contrast, for electron-rich substrates (**1e** and **1f**), conversion correlatively increased with increased ligand loading. To understand the origin of the substrate-dependent ligand effects, we attempted to identify the rate-determining steps for the *n*butylation of **1a** and **1e**. KIE (kinetic isotope effect) values for the *n*butylation of electron-poor **1a** were found to be 1.5 and 3.4 when 20 mol% and 2.5 mol% ligand were used, respectively. These results suggest that for electron poor **1a**, C–H cleavage is slow (relative to other elementary steps) at low ligand loading, and with sufficient amounts of ligand, C–H cleavage is significantly accelerated to the extent that C–H cleavage is no longer rate-limiting. In contrast, KIE values of electron-rich **1e** were small under both conditions (20 mol% ligand: **1.4**; 2.5 mol% ligand: **0.9**) suggesting that C–H cleavage may not be involved in rate limiting step in either case with this substrate. However, the alkylation of electron rich **1e** and **1f** are enhanced by the application of ligand thus suggesting that ligands may play an additional role in catalysis beyond accelerating the C–H cleavage step. For a more extensive treatment, see SI S15-S24.

2.4 Application in Medicinal Chemistry

Finally, with practicality in mind, we sought to apply this protocol in a medicinal chemistry setting. From the perspective of small molecule therapeutics, the installation of methyl groups has long been recognized as a method for significantly attenuating the biological activity of a molecule while minimally perturbing its sterics and electronics. For example, the addition of a single methyl group to the piperidine ring of Merck's orexin-1/2 (OX_1R/OX_2R) antagonist was found to increase potency by >480 fold.²³ In this case, methylation was thought to induce a critical conformational change in the antagonist, but in general, this may not be true as the origin of these effects require case-bycase examination. Colloquially, these beneficial methyl additions have been termed "magic methyl" effects and to fully understand their origins, a diverse and robust repertoire of methylation methods is required.¹⁰ Here we apply this alkylation protocol to rapidly and selectively *ortho*-methylate a biaryl carboxylic acid generating a medicinally relevant compound BMS-98947-055-01 (9b) in 45% yield (Scheme 3). The use of Boc-Phe-OH as a ligand, lower temperature (90 °C), and an extended reaction time (12 h) improved yield (55%) over our standard condition (STD-BQ) for this particular substrate.

3. Conclusion

In summary, a ligand-accelerated C–H alkylation of phenylacetic and benzoic acids is disclosed. Both electron rich and poor substrates are reactive. Alkyl trifluoroborates and alkyl boronic acids are compatible coupling partners. A variety of primary alkyl boron coupling partners are compatible, including fragments possessing trifluoromethyl, phenyl, Boc-amine, ester, or ketone functional groups. Unusual ligand acceleration effects were noted. Despite these advances, coupling with α -secondary or α -tertiary alkylborons remains a challenge, and achievement of this goal will enable a more conclusive investigation of alkyl radical intermediacy within the context of this C–H functionalization manifold.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge The Scripps Research Institute, the NIH (NIGMS, 2R01GM084019) and Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. for financial support. We thank the US EPA (STAR predoctoral fellowship for P.S.T.-B. Assistance Agreement no. FP917296-01-0), Swiss National Science Foundation (postdoctoral fellowship for G.V.), ACS

SEED Program (high school internship program for D.D.) and Frontier Scientific (for the generous donation of alkylboron reagents). TSRI Manuscript No. 25052.


REFERENCES

 (1). (a) Kobayashi J, Ishibashi M. Chem. Rev. 1993; 93:1753–1769.(b) Newman DJ, Cragg GM. J. Nat. Prod. 2004; 67:1216–1238. [PubMed: 15332835] (c) Cragg DJ, Grothaus PG, Newman D. J. Chem. Rev. 2009; 109:3012–3043.

- (2). (a) McGrath NA, Brichacek M, Njardarson JT. J. Chem. Ed. 2010; 87:1348–1349.(b) Carey JS, Laffan D, Thomson C, Williams MT. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2006; 4:2337–2347. [PubMed: 16763676]
- (3). For selected reviews, see: Kakiuchi F, Chatani N. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2003; 345:1077–1101. Pousen TB, Jorgensen KA. Chem Rev. 2008; 108:2903–2915. [PubMed: 18500844] Chopade PR, Louie J. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2006; 348:2307–2327. Jana R, Pathak TP, Sigman MS. Chem. Rev. 2011; 111:1417–1492. [PubMed: 21319862]
- (4). (a) Littke AF, Fu GC. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1999; 38:2411–2413.(b) Littke AF, Dai C, Fu GC. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000; 122:4020–4028.(c) Netherton MR, Dai C, Neuschutz K, Fu GC. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001; 123:10099. [PubMed: 11592890] (d) Kirchoff JH, Netherton MR, Hills ID, Fu GC. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002; 124:13662–13663. [PubMed: 12431081] (e) Everson DA, Shrestha R, Weix DJ. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010; 132:920–921. [PubMed: 20047282] (f) Everson DA, Jones BA, Weix DJ. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012; 134:6146–6159. [PubMed: 22463689]
- (5). For early examples of catalytic pyridine and oxazoline directed C(sp²)–H alkylation, see: Chen X, Goodhue CE, Yu J-Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006; 128:12634–12635. [PubMed: 17002342] Chen X, Li J-J, Hao X-S, Goodhue CE, Yu J-Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006; 128:78–79. [PubMed: 16390130] Shi B-F, Maugel N, Zhang Y-H, Yu J-Q. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008; 47:4882–4886.
- (6). For other examples of catalytic C(sp²)—H alkylation: Giri R, Maugel N, Li J-J, Wang D-H, Breazzano SP, Saunders LB, Yu J-Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007; 129:3510–3511. [PubMed: 17335217] Zhang Y, Feng J, Li C-J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008; 130:2900–2901. [PubMed: 18269282] Deng G, Zhao L, Li C-J. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008; 47:6278–6282. Zhang Y-H, Shi B-F, Yu J-Q. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009; 48:6097–6100. Ackermann L, Novak P, Vicente R, Hofmann N. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009; 48:6045–6048. Shabashov D, Daugulis O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010; 132:3965–3972. [PubMed: 20175511] Dai H-X, Stepan AF, Plummer MS, Zhang Y-H, Yu J-Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011; 133:7222–7228. [PubMed: 21488638] Wasa M, Chan KSL, Yu J-Q. Chem. Lett. 2011; 40:1004–1006. [PubMed: 23637469] Chen Q, Ilies L, Nakamura E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011; 133:428–429. [PubMed: 21158468] Ackermann L, Hofmann N, Vicente R. Org. Lett. 2011; 13:1875–1877. [PubMed: 21388178] Chen Q, Ilies L, Yoshikai N, Nakamura E. Org. Lett. 2011; 13:3232–3234. [PubMed: 21608992] Li B, Wu Z-H, Gu Y-F, Sun C-L, Wang B-Q, Shi Z-J. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011; 50:1109–1113. Aihara Y, Chatani N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013; 135:5308–5311. [PubMed: 23495861] Neufeldt SR, Seigerman CK, Sanford M. S. Org. Lett. 2013; 15:2302–2305.
- (7). O-Methylhydroxamic Acid Directed C(sp³)–H alkylation: Wang D-H, Wasa M, Giri R, Yu J-Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008; 130:7190. [PubMed: 18479089]
- (8). (a) Engle KM, Mei T-S, Wasa M, Yu J-Q. Acc. Chem. Res. 2012; 45:788–802. [PubMed: 22166158] (b) Wang D-H, Engle KM, Shi B-F, Yu J-Q. Science. 2010; 327:315–319. [PubMed: 19965380] (c) Engle KM, Wang D-H, Yu J-Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010; 132:14137–14151. [PubMed: 20853838] (d) Engle KM, Thuy-Boun PS, Dang M, Yu J-Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011; 133:18183–18193. [PubMed: 21913636]
- (9). While this manuscript was under preparation, this protocol was applied to methylation of a benzoic acid intermediate in the total synthesis of (+)–Hongoquercin A: Rosen BR, Simke LR, Thuy-Boun PS, Dixon DD, Yu J-Q, Baran PS. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013; 52:7317–7320.
- (10). For the importance of "magic methyl" groups, see: Leung CS, Leung SSF, Tirado-Rives J, Jorgenson WL. J. Med. Chem. 2012; 55:4489–4500. [PubMed: 22500930]
- (11). For a discussion of base/salt additives in Suzuki coupling: Molander GA, Biolatto B. J. Org. Chem. 2003; 68:4302–4214. [PubMed: 12762730] Butters M, Harvey JN, Jover J, Lennox AJJ, Lloyd-Jones GC, Murray PM. Angew. Chem Int. Ed. 2010; 49:5156–5160. Carrow BP, Hartwig

JF. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011; 133:2116–2119. [PubMed: 21280669] Amatore C, Jutand A, Le Duc G. Chem. Eur. J. 2011; 17:2492–2503. [PubMed: 21319240] Amatore C, Jutand A, Le Duc G. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011; 50:1–5. Schmidt AF, Kurokhtina AA, Larina E. V. Russ. J. Gen. Chem. 2011; 81:1573–1574. Lennox AJJ, Lloyd-Jones GC. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012; 134:7431–7441. [PubMed: 22512340]

- (12). Johnson JB, Rovis T. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008; 47:840–871.
- (13). Excess BQ reduced conversion (Table 1, entry 10) presumably through coordinative saturation of Pd and due to an undesired side reaction. A GC/MS analysis of crude reaction mixtures revealed masses correlating to variably alkylated benzoquinones.
- (14). Crude 3h reaction mixtures separated by preparative TLC revealed α -acetoxylated 1h and the butylesters of 1h and 3h.
- (15). From table 5: 7m' was likely the β -hydride elimination product of a second C–H activation event centered on the newly installed benzylic methine carbon of 7m.



- (16). For early examples of coupling with secondary alkyltrifluoroborate salts: van den Hoogenband A, Lange JHM, Terpstra JN, Koch M, Visser GM, Visser M, Korstanjae TJ, Jastrzebski JTBH. Tetrahedron Lett. 2008; 49:4122–4124. Dreher S, Dormer PG, Sandrock DL, Molander GA. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008; 130:9257–9259. [PubMed: 18582050]
- (17). For a discussion of β -hydride elimination, see: Koga N, Obara S, Kitaura K, Morokuma K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985; 107:7109–7116. Pudasaini B, Janesko BG. Organometallics. 2011; 30:4564–4571.
- (18). Minisci F, Vismara E, Fontana F. Heterocycles. 1989; 28:489–519. For a treatment of alkylboron derived radicals, see: Ollivier C, Renaud P. Chem. Rev. 2001; 101:3415–3434. [PubMed: 11840989] Sorin G, Mallorquin RM, Contie Y, Baralle A, Malacria M, Goddard J-P, Fensterbank L. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010; 49:8721–8723. Fujiwara Y, Domingo V, Seiple IB, Gianatassio R, Del Bel M, Baran PS. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011; 133:3292–3295. [PubMed: 21341741]
- (19). Alkyl silver intermediates may also play a role: Snyder HR, Kuck JA, Johnson JR. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1938; 60:105–111. Johnson JR, Van Campen MG, Grummitt O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1938; 60:111–115. Brown HC, Hebert NC, Snyder CH. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1961; 83:1001–1002. For a

- review, see: Weibel J-M, Blanc A, Pale P. Chem. Rev. 2008; 108:3149–3173. [PubMed: 18616324]
- (20). For select examples where metal catalyzed C–H/radical coupling is implicated, see: Yu W-Y, Sit WN, Lai K-M, Zhou Z, Chan ASC. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008; 130:3304–3306. [PubMed: 18298118] Yu W-Y, Sit WN, Zhou Z, Chan ASC. Org. Lett. 2009; 11:3174–3177. [PubMed: 19583200]
- (21). Examples of Suzuki coupling w/ retention of configuration: Matos K, Soderquist JA. J. Org. Chem. 1998; 63:461–470. [PubMed: 11672034] Imao D, Glasspoole BW, Laberge VS, Crudden CM. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009; 131:5024–5025. [PubMed: 19301820] Crudden CM, Glasspoole BW, Lata C. J. Chem. Commun. 2009:6704–6716. Inversion of configuration: Ohmura T, Awano T, Suginome M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010; 132:13191–13193. [PubMed: 20822146]
- (22). For leading references discussing the use of organotrifluoroborates, see: Vedejs E, Chapman RW, Fields SC, Lin S, Schrimpf MR. J. Org. Chem. 1995; 60:3020–3027. Molander GA, Ellis N. Acc. Chem. Res. 2007; 40:275–286. [PubMed: 17256882] Stefani H, Cella R, Vieira A. Tetrahedron. 2007; 63:3623–3658. Darses S, Genet J-P. Chem. Rev. 2008; 108:288–325. [PubMed: 18095714] For alkyltrifluoroborates, see: Molander GA, Ito T. Org. Lett. 2001; 3:393–396. [PubMed: 11428022] Doucet H. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2008; 12:2013–2030. For a recent example of C–H arylation using organoborons: Karthikeyan J, Haridharan R, Cheng C-H. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012; 51:12343–12347.
- (23). Coleman PJ, Schreier JD, Cox CD, Breslin MJ, Whitman DB, Bogusky MJ, McGaughey GB, Bednar RA, Lemaire W, Doran SM, Fox SV, Garson SL, Gotter AL, Harrell CM, Reiss DR, Cabalu TD, Cui D, Prueksaritanont T, Stevens J, Tannenbaum PL, Ball RG, Stellabott J, Young SD, Hartman GD, Winrow CJ, Renger J. J. ChemMedChem. 2012; 7:415–424.





Figure 1. Ligand Effect: Rate Profile for Conversion of **1a** to **3a**.

(A)
$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Cat. Pd} \\ \text{batchwise } R_4 \text{Sn} \\ \text{Me} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{Cat. Pd} \\ \text{batchwise } R_4 \text{Sn} \\ \text{Previous work} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{N} \\ \text{Me} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{N} \\ \text{Me} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{N} = 0 \text{ or } 1 \\ \text{R} = \text{Me, Et, } \\ \text{NPr. } n\text{Bu, }$$

Scheme 1. Development of C(sp²)–H Alkylation



Scheme 2.(A) Reaction Inhibition by TEMPO (B) Alkyltrifluoroborate Homocoupling (C) Putative Reaction Pathways

Scheme 3. Selective C–H Methylation of Biaryl 9a Generates 9b, BMS-98947-055-01. **Reaction Conditions**: ^aStd-BQ: 1.5 equiv MeBF₃K, Ligand = Boc-Thr(*t*Bu)-OH, 110 °C, 2 h; ^b3 equiv MeBF₃K, Ligand = Boc-Phe-OH, 90 °C, 12 h.

Table 1 Standard Conditions and Deviations

Entry	Deviation		Isolated Yield (%)	Material Balance (%)
1	No Deviation	84	69	83
2	1 h	70	64	91
	4 h	82	72	87
4	1 atm Air		41	84
5	$1 \text{ atm } 0_2$	13	13	88
6	No Pd(OAc)2	0	0	94
7	No Ag_2CO_3	3	3	94
8	No Ligand	13	13	85
9	No BQ	88	79	89
10	20 mol% BQ	27	25	91
11	no BQ, 1 equiv DMF (Dimethytformamide)	82	73	89
12	no Li_2CO_3	0	0	91
13	Li Carboxylate, no $\text{Li}_2\text{C}0_3$	0	0	91
14	2 equiv KHCO $_3$ instead of Li $_2$ CO $_3$	7	6	93
15	Li Carboxylate, 2 equiv \mbox{KHCO}_3 instead of $\mbox{Li}_2\mbox{C0}_3$	58	52	82
16	K Carboxylate, 2 equiv Li ₂ C0 ₃	60	45	79
17	2 equiv LiCI instead of Li_2C0_3	52	47	93
18	4 equiv LiCI instead of Li_2CO_3	9	8	92

Reaction Conditions: carboxylic acid substrate (0.5 mmol), alkyl trifluoroborate (0.75 mmol), Li₂CO₃ (1.0 mmol), Ag₂CO₃ (1.0 mmol), BQ (0.025 mmol), Ligand (0.1 mmol), Pd(OAc)₂ (0.05 mmol), t-BuOH (2.5 mL).

 $^{^{}a}$ determined by 1 H-NMR.

b material balance determined based on isolated $\bf 3a$ and recovered starting material (1a).

Table 2 Alkylboronic Acid Compatibility

Entry	Deviation	%Conv (%Yield)
1	No Deviation	6% (6%)
2	K ₂ CO ₃ instead of Li ₂ CO ₃	95% (68%)
3	K ₂ CO ₃ instead of Li ₂ CO ₃ and 1 atm 02	32%

Reaction Conditions: carboxylic acid substrate (0.5 mmol), *n*Pr–B (OH)₂ (0.75 mmol), Li₂CO₃ (1.0 mmol), Ag₂CO₃ (1.0 mmol), Ligand (0.1 mmol), Pd(OAc)₂ (0.05 mmol), *t*-BuOH (2.5 mL).

Table 3
Phenylacetic Acid Substrate Scope

Reaction Conditions (Std-DMF): carboxylic acid substrate (0.5 mmol), alkyl trifluoroborate (0.75 mmol), Li₂CO₃ (1.0 mmol), Ag₂CO₃ (1.0 mmol), DMF (0.5 mmol), Ligand (0.1 mmol), Pd(OAc)₂ (0.05 mmol), *t*-BuOH (2.5 mL).; Quantification: Isolated yields;

aLigand = Boc-(L)-Thr(tBu)-OH

 $[^]b$ No Ligand

^cLigand = Boc-Leu-OH

dLigand = Boc-(D)-Thr(tBu)-OH

 $[^]e2$ equiv nBu–BF3K, 3 equiv AgM2CO3.

Table 4

Benzoic Acid Substrate Scope

Reaction Conditions (Std-DMF): carboxylic acid substrate (0.5 mmol), alkyl trifluoroborate (0.75 mmol), Li₂CO₃ (1.0 mmol), Ag₂CO₃ (1.0 mmol), DMF (0.5 mmol), Ligand (0.1 mmol), Pd(OAc)₂ (0.05 mmol), t-BuOH (2.5 mL); Quantification:

^aLigand = Ac-Val-OH, Isolated yield

 $[^]b$ No Ligand, 1HNMR conversion

 $[^]c5$ mol% BQ, no DMF

^d₃ equiv *n*Bu–BF3K, 3 equiv Ag₂CO₃.

Table 5 PPotassium Alkyltrifluoroborate Scope

Reaction Conditions (Std-DMF):

^aStd-BQ: carboxylic acid substrate (0.5 mmol), alkyl trifluoroborate (0.75 mmol), Li₂CO₃ (1.0 mmol), Ag₂CO₃ (1.0 mmol), BQ (0.025 mmol), Ligand (0.1 mmol), Pd(OAc)₂ (0.05 mmol), t-BuOH (2.5 mL), 4h reaction time or

^b**Std-DMF**: carboxylic acid substrate (0.5 mmol), alkyl trifluoroborate (0.75 mmol), Li2CO3 (1.0 mmol), Ag₂CO₃ (1.0 mmol), DMF (0.5 mmol), Ligand (0.1 mmol), Pd(OAc)₂ (0.05 mmol), t-BuOH (2.5 mL), 4 h reaction time; Quantification: Conv. determined by 1H-NMR, isolated yields in parenthessi

Table 6

Effects of Ligand Loading

Ligand Loading	ort/io-CF ₃	ortho-Mo 1e	meta-CF ₃	meta-Me 1f		
		1H-NMR Conversion (%)				
20 mol%	83%	86%	>99%	87%		
5mol%	85%	48%	>99%	68%		
0 mol%	13%	27%	12%	33%		

Reaction Conditions: carboxylic acid substrate (0.5 mmol), alkyl trifluoroborate (0.75 mmol), Li₂CO₃ (1.0 mmol), Ag₂CO₃ (1.0 mmol), Ligand (0.1 mmol), Pd(OAc)₂ (0.05 mmol), *t*-BuOH (2.5 mL).

 $[^]a$ determined by 1 H-NMR.

 $b \atop \text{material}$ balance determined based on isolated 3a and recovered starting material (1a).