

which on X-ray analysis fully confirmed the preceding and subsequent stereochemical assignments.¹¹ Hydrogenation of the two enone diester *E* isomer mixture (**6c,d**) followed by C-3 epimerization, methylenation, and *i*-Bu₂AlH as described also gave ca. 10% of pure diol **10**.

The somewhat unstable dialdehyde **12** in DME was added by syringe pump over 24 h to a refluxing suspension of McMurry Ti reagent from Zn-Cu and TiCl₃ in DME.¹⁴ After a further 18 h at reflux, neutral workup and chromatography over Si gel/AgNO₃ using 15:1 hexane-ether gave the single taxane triene **13**¹⁵ in 20% yield, accompanied by ca. 10% of a C₂₀H₃₂O₂ diene diol established by NMR and by X-ray analysis of its crystalline (enol) monoacetate as the stable enol **15**, arising from vinylogous reductive coupling of dialdehyde **12**¹⁶ (Scheme I).

The convergent phase of our synthesis leads from acetal **3** in 10 steps and 5% yield to the key dialdehyde **12**, from which the sterically encumbered eight-membered B-ring can uniquely be formed by McMurry cyclization. To our knowledge this is the first direct cyclization of the taxane B-ring from any bicyclic seco-B intermediate. Moreover, triene **13** is not only the first synthetic compound containing the stereochemically correct taxane structure but offers attractive potential for taxusin synthesis. Thus **13** underwent selective allylic oxidation with CrO₃/2,5-dimethylpyrazole¹⁷ to give enone **14**¹⁸ in ca. 44% yield. Enone **14** with MCPBA (5 equiv, CH₂Cl₂, room temperature, 1 h) undergoes smooth epoxidation at the C-4 methylene group, suggesting fruitful possibilities for selective B- and C-ring functionalizations.¹⁹

(14) (a) McMurry, J. E.; Kees, K. L. *J. Org. Chem.* **1977**, *42*, 2655. (b) Review: McMurry, J. E. *Acct. Chem. Res.* **1983**, *16*, 405.

(15) **13**: 400-MHz, ¹H NMR, (CDCl₃, partial) δ 5.90 (1 H, br d, *J* = 11.6 Hz), 5.15 (1 H, d, *J* = 11.6 Hz), 4.86 (1 H, s), 4.64 (1 H, s), 1.72 (3 H, s), 1.07, 1.03, and 0.86 (each 3 H, s).

(16) Evidence for structure **15** will be detailed in our full paper.

(17) Salmond, W. G.; Barta, M. A.; Havens, J. L. *J. Org. Chem.* **1978**, *43*, 2057.

(18) **14**: 400-MHz, ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, partial) δ 6.01 (1 H, br d, 12.5), 5.27 (1 H, d, 12.5), 4.86 (1 H, s), 4.64 (1 H, s), 2.90 (1 H, dd, *J* = 19.5, 6.5 Hz), 2.10 (1 H, d, *J* = 19.5 Hz), 1.82 (3 H, s), 1.19, 1.14, and 0.92 (each 3 H, s). The δ and *J* values for the C-14 α- and β-protons at 2.9 and 2.1 parallel those given for a related enone system by: Woods, M. C.; Nakanishi, K.; Bhacca, N. S. *Tetrahedron* **1966**, *22*, 243.

(19) Partial support of this research by grant CA-18846, awarded by the National Cancer Institute, USPHS, DHHS, is gratefully acknowledged.

Ab Initio Predictions and Experimental Confirmation of Large Tunneling Contributions to Rate Constants and Kinetic Isotope Effects for Hydrogen Atom Transfer Reactions

Bruce C. Garrett

Chemical Dynamic Corporation
Columbus, Ohio 43220

Donald G. Truhlar*

Department of Chemistry, University of Minnesota
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455

Joel M. Bowman

Department of Chemistry, Illinois Institute of
Technology, Chicago, Illinois 60616

Albert F. Wagner



Chemistry Division, Argonne National Laboratory
Argonne, Illinois 60439

Daniel Robie, Sivaram Arepalli, Nathan Presser, and
Robert J. Gordon



Department of Chemistry
University of Illinois at Chicago
Chicago, Illinois 60680
Received November 8, 1985

The interpretation of kinetic isotope effects (KIE's) involves potential energy barrier heights, vibrational effects of stretches

and bends, and competition between overbarrier and tunneling mechanisms.¹ In favorable cases, KIE's provide some of the most compelling evidence for or against detailed interpretations of the dynamics of reactive events. The present paper reports such a case in which the detailed question is the role of tunneling² in hydrogen atom transfer reactions in the gas phase. Since many features of H transfer are similar to proton and hydride transfer,³ the role of tunneling in this kind of reaction has far reaching implications for reactions in solution as well as in gas-phase applications, such as combustion and atmospheric chemistry. In this communication we report new calculations and experiments on the bimolecular rate constant ratio k_3/k_4



which, together with earlier results⁴⁻⁹ for k_1 and k_2



provide strong evidence for the dominance of tunneling in all four reactions at temperatures below 500 K.

The KIE's were measured in two complementary experiments. In the first study⁶ k_1 , k_2 , and $k_3 + k_4$ were measured with a flash photolysis apparatus,¹⁰ using atomic resonance fluorescence to monitor the decay of O(³P) in real time. In the new experiment the branching ratio k_3/k_4 was measured with a discharge flow apparatus using laser-induced fluorescence to determine the ratio of the steady-state concentrations of OH and OD products. Oxygen atoms were generated in a microwave discharge of N₂ containing 0.01% O₂ and combined far downstream with a mixture of either HD and N₂ or H₂, D₂, and N₂. The OH and OD fluorescence intensities observed with the H₂/D₂ mixtures were used to normalize the fluorescence ratio obtained with HD.

(1) See, e.g., the following and references therein: (a) Melander, L.; Saunders, W. H., Jr. *Reaction Rates of Isotopic Molecules*, 2nd ed.; Wiley: New York, 1980. (b) Garrett, B. C.; Truhlar, D. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1980**, *102*, 2559. (c) Garrett, B. C.; Truhlar, D. G.; Wagner, A. F.; Dunning, T. H., Jr. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1983**, *78*, 4400. (d) Schatz, G. C.; Wagner, A. F.; Dunning, T. H., Jr. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1984**, *88*, 221. (e) Tucker, S. C.; Truhlar, D. G.; Garrett, B. C.; Isaacson, A. D. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1985**, *82*, 4102.

(2) The dominance of tunneling in H transfer is implied by comparing estimates of the rate constant with classical reaction coordinate motion to those with tunneling; see, e.g.: reference 1c,e. (a) Truhlar, D. G.; Kuppermann, A. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1972**, *56*, 2232. (b) Truhlar, D. G.; Kuppermann, A.; Adams, J. T. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1973**, *59*, 395. (c) Schatz, G. C.; Kuppermann, A. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1976**, *65*, 4668. (d) Truhlar, D. G.; Kuppermann, A.; Dwyer, J. *Mol. Phys.* **1977**, *33*, 683. (e) Truhlar, D. G. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1979**, *83*, 188. (f) Garrett, B. C.; Truhlar, D. G. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **1979**, *76*, 4755. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1980**, *72*, 3460. (g) Garrett, B. C.; Truhlar, D. G.; Grev, R. S.; Magnuson, A. W. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1980**, *84*, 1730. (h) Blais, N. C.; Truhlar, D. G.; Garrett, B. C. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1981**, *85*, 1094. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1982**, *76*, 2768. (i) Truhlar, D. G.; Isaacson, A. D.; Skodje, R. T.; Garrett, B. C. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1982**, *86*, 2232. (j) Isaacson, A. D.; Truhlar, D. G. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1982**, *76*, 1380. (k) Lee, K. T.; Bowman, J. M.; Wagner, A. F.; Schatz, G. C. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1982**, *76*, 3583. (l) Skodje, R. T.; Truhlar, D. G.; Garrett, B. C. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1982**, *77*, 5955. (m) Clary, D. C.; Garrett, B. C.; Truhlar, D. G. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1983**, *78*, 777. (n) Truhlar, D. G.; Grev, R. S.; Garrett, B. C. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1983**, *87*, 3415. (o) Garrett, B. C.; Truhlar, D. G. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1983**, *79*, 4931, **1984**, *81*, 309. (p) Truhlar, D. G.; Runge, K.; Garrett, B. C. In *Twentieth Symposium (International) on Combustion*; Combustion Institute: Pittsburgh, 1984; p 585.

(3) Kreevoy, M. M.; Truhlar, D. G. In *Investigation of Rates and Mechanisms of Reaction*, 4th ed.; Bernasconi, C. F., Ed.; Wiley: New York, 1986; Part 1, p 13.

(4) Schott, G. L.; Getzinger, R. W.; Seitz, W. A. *Int. J. Chem. Kinet.* **1974**, *6*, 921.

(5) Westenberg, A. A.; deHaas, N. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1967**, *47*, 4241; **1969**, *50*, 2512.

(6) Presser, N.; Gordon, R. J. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1985**, *82*, 1291.

(7) Bowman, J. M.; Wagner, A. F.; Walch, S. P.; and Dunning, T. H., Jr. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1984**, *81*, 1739.

(8) Garrett, B. C.; Truhlar, D. G. *Int. J. Quantum Chem.*, in press.

(9) Sutherland, J. W.; Michael, J. V.; Nesbitt, F. L.; Klemm, R. B.; Pirraglia, A. N. In *Twenty-first Symposium International on Combustion*, Combustion Institute: Pittsburgh, accepted for publication.

(10) Miller, J. C.; Gordon, R. J. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1983**, *78*, 3713.

Table I. Arrhenius Parameters for Reactions 1-4^a

<i>i</i>	<i>j</i>	<i>T</i> , K	ICVT	ICVT/LAG	CEQB/G	experiment ^b
1		1400-1900	36, 14.0	35, 13.6	48, 14.4	37, 14 ^c
		318-471	6.0, 10.7	0.6, 7.9	0.7, 7.95	0.4 ± 0.1, 7.8 ± 0.1 ^d
1	2	422-472	1.4, 0.8	0.7, 1.8	0.4, 2.3	0.3 ± 0.2, 2.4 ± 0.5
1	3 + 4	422-472	1.3, 0.2	1.3, 0.2	0.9, 0.5	2.3 ± 1.1, -0.3 ± 0.4
3	4	373-500	1.1, 0.5	0.4, 1.9	0.3, 2.1	0.5 ± 0.1, 1.5 ± 0.2

^a Left entry, A_i in 10^{-11} cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ or unitless A_{ij} ; right entry, E_i or E_{ij} in kcal/mol. ^b Uncertainties are one standard deviation. ^c The results of ref 4 over the T range indicated and of a fit over the same T range to the rate constants of ref 9 agree to the two significant figures shown. ^d Reference 6.

Combining the new measurements with previous data^{5,6} on reactions 1 and 2 yields rate constants and their ratios for all four reactions. Further details will be published elsewhere.¹¹

Three theoretical approaches are employed: improved canonical variational theory with classical reaction coordinate motion (ICVT),²⁸ ICVT with a least-action ground-state transmission coefficient to account for tunneling (ICVT/LAG),²⁰ and reduced-dimensionality quantum calculations with an adiabatic incorporation of bending motion (denoted more specifically as collinear exact quantum with ground-state bend or CEQB/G).⁷ Details of the calculations for k_3 and k_4 are identical with those for k_1 and k_2 reported elsewhere.^{7,8} The ICVT/LAG and CEQB/G calculations are our best theoretical estimates of the three-dimensional rate constants, whereas the ICVT calculations provide our best estimate of the classical reaction coordinate overbarrier contribution. Rate constants correspond to a sum of contributions from the ³A' and ³A'' potential energy surfaces and are based on analytic fits^{7,8} of ab initio calculations^{7,12} of these surfaces with no semiempirical parameters. The classical barrier height for both surfaces is 12.58 kcal/mol.

For the present report previous results for reactions 1 and 2 and new results for 3 and 4 are fit to Arrhenius forms $k_i = A_i \exp(-E_i/RT)$ and $k_i/k_j = A_{ij} \exp(E_{ij}/RT)$. Since the parameters depend on the temperature range used for the fit, this is indicated in every case.

Table I first compares the experimental and theoretical Arrhenius parameters for k_1 at high and low temperatures. At 1400-1900 K, theory and experiment^{4,9} agree well, whether or not tunneling is included. At 318-471 K the preexponential factor and the activation energy are lower and the inclusion of tunneling lowers them further. The lower values are in much better agreement with experiment than are the ICVT values calculated without tunneling. We turn to the KIE's for a further confirmation of theory. In two of the three examples (k_1/k_2 and k_3/k_4) the difference in activation energies and the ratio of preexponential factors seen in the experimental data can be explained only if tunneling is included. In the third case the predicted correction due to tunneling is too small to be discerned experimentally.

In general there is good agreement between the ICVT/LAG and CEQB/G calculations and the experimental results. This provides confidence in the LAG method which can be used to estimate for each reaction the percentage of ground-state reactive events that occur by tunneling. At 400 K the estimated percentages are 76%, 57%, 80%, and 58% for reactions 1-4, respectively. The trends in Table I also indicate that the ICVT/LAG method actually somewhat underestimates the tunneling effect so these are approximate lower bounds. We conclude that tunneling dominates these hydrogen-atom transfers at 400 K, and it must be included in interpreting the KIE's or in understanding or modeling the detailed dynamics. The LAG method predicts further that the percentage of reaction occurring by tunneling increases as the temperature is lowered, e.g., for reaction 1, to

86% at 350 K and 94% at 300 K.

Acknowledgment. The work at Chemical Dynamics Corporation was supported in part by the U.S. Army Research Office; the work at the other institutions was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences.

Registry No. HD, 13983-20-5; O, 17778-80-2.


Efficient Preparations of 8- and 9-Membered Cyclic Ethers

Larry E. Overman,* Todd A. Blumenkopf,^{1a}
Armando Castañeda,^{1b} and Andrew S. Thompson^{1c}

Department of Chemistry, University of California
Irvine, California 92717

Received March 3, 1986

The preparation of medium rings remains one of the more difficult problems in organic synthesis since cyclization reactions are compromised both by entropic effects and developing transannular interactions.² The isolation³ of a variety of medium-ring cyclic ethers from marine sources, e.g., laurencin (1), has



heightened interest in developing efficient methods for preparing these heterocycles. Progress in this area has recently been recorded⁴ by, among others, the groups of Trost,^{4a} Kocienski,^{4b} Schreiber,^{4c} and Nicolaou.^{4d} In this paper we demonstrate for the first time that eight- and nine-membered cyclic ethers containing Δ^4 unsaturation can be prepared in good yields by direct cyclization of simple unsaturated acetals. We report also that the alkyl side chains characteristic of many eight-membered ring oxacyclic marine natural products² can be stereoselectivity introduced in the cyclization step.

The method is illustrated by treatment of the (methoxyethoxy)methyl ether^{5,6} of 5-hexen-1-ol (2) with 2 equiv of SnCl₄ at -20 °C for 13 h to give, in 83% yield, a 2:1 mixture of *cis*-3,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2*H*-oxacin (3) and 4-chlorooxocane (4).⁷

(1) (a) NIH NRSA Postdoctoral Fellow (GM 09444), 1984, 1986. (d) COSNET-SEP Mexico Graduate Fellow, 1982-1986. (c) NIH NRSA Postdoctoral Fellow (CA 07787), 1985-1986.

(2) See: Illuminati, G.; Mandolini, L. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1981**, *14*, 102 and references cited therein.

(3) Faulkner, D. J. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* **1984**, 251.

(4) (a) Trost, B. M.; Verhoeven, T. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1980**, *102*, 4743. (b) Cockerill, G. S.; Kocienski, P. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans 1* **1985**, 2093, 2101. (c) Schreiber, S.; Kelly, S. E. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1984**, 1757. (d) Nicolaou, K. C. Organic Seminar, University of California, Irvine, Jan 30, 1986.

(5) Corey, E. J.; Gras, S.-L.; Ulrich, P. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1976**, 809.

(6) Cyclization reactions were conducted in CH₂Cl₂ at a concentration 0.02-0.05 M and were quenched with aqueous base prior to workup.

(11) Robie, D.; Arepalli, S.; Presser, N.; Williams, J.; Gordon, R. J., unpublished results.

(12) (a) Walch, S. P.; Dunning, T. H., Jr.; Bobrowicz, F. W.; Raffanetti, R. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1980**, *72*, 406. (b) Walch, S. P.; Wagner, A. F.; Dunning, T. H., Jr.; Schatz, G. C. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1980**, *72*, 2894. (c) Schatz, G. C.; Wagner, A. F.; Walch, S. P.; Bowman, J. M. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1981**, *74*, 4984. (d) Dunning, T. H., Jr.; Walch, S. P.; Wagner, A. F. In *Potential Energy Surfaces and Dynamics Calculations*; Truhlar, D. G., Ed.; Plenum: New York, 1981; p 329.