

Highly Efficient Synthesis of Polysubstituted 1,2-Dihydroquinolines via Tandem Reaction of α -Ketoesters and Arylamines Catalyzed by **Indium Triflate**

Ji-Chen Zhang^{†,‡} and Jian-Xin Ji*,[†]

[†]Chengdu Institute of Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Chengdu 610041, P. R. China

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A simple and efficient method for the synthesis of polysubstituted 1,2-dihydroquinolines has been developed via an indium(III)catalyzed tandem reaction of α -ketoesters with primary or secondary aromatic amines. With respect to the reactions of pyruvates with amines, indium triflate (1 mol %) demonstrated superior catalytic activity and efficiency compared with previously reported AuCl₃/AgSbF₆ (5 mol %/ 15 mol %) cocatalyst. The reactions of α -alkyl substituted ketoesters and arylamines, which could not be effectively accomplished by the previous AuCl₃/AgSbF₆ and HNO₃ catalytic systems proceeded smoothly in the presence of 10 mol % In(OTf)₃ to afford the desired products in moderate to good yields (43%–91%).

KEYWORDS: polysubstituted dihydroquinoline, ketoester, aromatic amine, indium triflate, catalytic synthesis

ihydroquinoline skeletons are key structural motifs in a variety of natural products, 1-3 pharmaceuticals, and synthetic intermediates. They have attracted attention because of their wide spectrum of biological activities and potential pharmacological applications. 4-9 Various synthetic methods for the preparation of dihydroquinolines have been developed. $^{10-27}$ In general, traditional procedures are laborious, low yielding, and require special synthetic precursors. 16 Recently, efficient strategies toward the synthesis of dihydroquinolines have been focused on a catalytic version. For example, transition metals such as palladium, 17 ruthenium, 18,19 silver, 20 and gold 21 catalyzed reactions of anilines with alkynes; scandium triflate,²² silicotungstic acid,²³ and zeolite²⁴ catalyzed reactions of anilines with ketones; indium mediated allylation of quinolines;²⁵ AuCl₃/AgSbF₆ (5 mol %/15 mol %) cocatalyzed aldol condensation of pyruvates with arylamines (Scheme 1).26 Very recently, we reported a convenient approach to the synthesis of polysubstituted 1,2-dihydroquinolines via a Brønsted acid (HNO₃)-catalyzed tandem reaction of pyruvates with primary or secondary aromatic amines.²⁷ However, this method is not suitable for α -alkyl substituted ketoesters because of the steric effect, which restricts its widespread applications. Herein, we describe a new indium(III)-catalyzed method for the construction of polysubstituted dihydroquinolines from various αketoesters and arylamines (Scheme 2). With respect to the reactions of pyruvates with amines, indium triflate (1 mol %) demonstrated superior catalytic activity and efficiency compared with AuCl₃/AgSbF₆ (5 mol %/15 mol %) cocatalyst and HNO₃ (10 mol %). The reactions of α -alkyl substituted

ketoesters and arylamines, which could not be effectively accomplished by the previous AuCl₃/AgSbF₆ and HNO₃ catalytic systems, proceeded smoothly in the presence of 10 mol % In(OTf)₃ to afford the desired products in moderate to good yields (43%-91%).

Initially, the reaction of methyl pyruvate (1a) with p-chloroaniline (2a) was performed to examine the catalytic activity of various simple metal complexes such as In, Fe, Sn, Zn, and Cu salts (Table 1, entries 2-9). Among these metal salts (5 mol %) examined, In(OTf)₃ was found to be the most effective catalyst and afforded the desired product 3aa in 95% yield (Table 1, entry 9). No product was observed in the absence of catalyst (Table 1, entry 1). Further optimization suggested that In-(OTf)₃ still maintained high efficiency even when the catalyst loading was reduced to 1 mol % (Table 1, entries 10 and 11). After an extensive screening of the reaction parameters (Table 1, entries 11-17), the best yield of 3aa was obtained when reaction was performed in acetonitrile at 80 °C (Table 1, entry 11).

The scope and generality of this reaction were further tested with various combinations of aromatic amines and pyruvates (Table 2). The electronic effect on the aromatic ring of amines has little impact on this transformation; both the electron-rich and the electron-poor amines gave the desired targets in moderate to high yields (3aa-3ah). The phenolic hydroxyl

Received: June 9, 2011 Revised: August 8, 2011 Published: August 15, 2011

[‡]Graduate School of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, P. R. China

ACS Catalysis LETTER

Scheme 1. Au/Ag co-Catalyzed Process

Scheme 2. Indium(III)-Catalyzed Process in This Work

Table 1. Reaction of Methyl Pyruvate (1a) and p-Chloroaniline (2a) Under Various Reaction Conditions^a

| entry | catalyst (mol %) | solvent | <i>T</i> (°C) | yield $(\%)^b$ |
|-------|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------|----------------|
| 1 | None | CH ₃ CN | 80 | none |
| 2 | $InCl_3(5)$ | CH ₃ CN | 80 | 61 |
| 3 | $FeCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O(5)$ | CH ₃ CN | 80 | 56 |
| 4 | $SnCl_2 \cdot 2H_2O(5)$ | CH ₃ CN | 80 | 48 |
| 5 | $ZnBr_{2}(5)$ | CH ₃ CN | 80 | 43 |
| 6 | $Zn(OTf)_2(5)$ | CH ₃ CN | 80 | 61 |
| 7 | $Cu(OTf)_2(5)$ | CH ₃ CN | 80 | 53 |
| 8 | $CuBr_2(5)$ | CH ₃ CN | 80 | 93 |
| 9 | $In(OTf)_3(5)$ | CH ₃ CN | 80 | 95 |
| 10 | $CuBr_2(1)$ | CH ₃ CN | 80 | 77 |
| 11 | $In(OTf)_3(1)$ | CH ₃ CN | 80 | 95 |
| 12 | $In(OTf)_3(1)$ | DMF | 80 | 14 |
| 13 | $In(OTf)_3(1)$ | THF | Rflux | 76 |
| 14 | $In(OTf)_3(1)$ | 1,4-dioxane | 80 | 51 |
| 15 | $In(OTf)_3(1)$ | n-hexane | 80 | 65 |
| 16 | $In(OTf)_3(1)$ | CH ₃ CN | r. t. | 17 |
| 17 | $In(OTf)_3(1)$ | CH ₃ CN | 60 | 53 |

 a Reaction conditions: compound 1a (1.5 mmol), 2a (1 mmol), catalyst (1 or 5 mol %), solvent (1.5 mL), 8 h. b Isolated yields based on 1a.

group was tolerated in this process, and the corresponding product 3ah was obtained in 74% yield. Substituent at the 2-position of arylamine had significant impact on the yields because of the steric effect (3ai). It was noteworthy that when the secondary amine indoline and 1-aminonaphthalene were used, the expected tricyclic compounds 3aj and 3ak were afforded in 49% and 82% yields, respectively, which provided a convenient route for the construction of complex tricyclic-

Table 2. Indium(III)-Catalyzed Tandem Reaction of Various Aromatic Amines and Pyruvates a,b

$$2 \xrightarrow{O \cap OR^{1}} + R^{2} \xrightarrow{I \cap R} \xrightarrow{R^{2} \cap R^{2} \cap R} \frac{1 \text{ mol } \% \text{ ln}(OTf)_{3}}{\text{MeCN},80^{\circ}C} \xrightarrow{R^{2} \cap R^{2} \cap R^{2} \cap R^{2}} R^{2} \xrightarrow{I \cap R^{2} \cap R^{2} \cap R^{2} \cap R^{2}} R^{2} \xrightarrow{I \cap R^{2} \cap R^{2} \cap R^{2} \cap R^{2}} R^{2} \xrightarrow{I \cap R^{2} \cap R^{2} \cap R^{2} \cap R^{2}} R^{2} \xrightarrow{I \cap R^{2} \cap R^{2} \cap R^{2} \cap R^{2}} R^{2} \xrightarrow{I \cap R^{2} \cap R^{2}} R^{2} \xrightarrow{I \cap R^{2} \cap R^{2} \cap R^{2}} R^{2} \xrightarrow{I \cap R^{2} \cap R^{2}} R^{2} \xrightarrow{I \cap R^{2} \cap R^{2} \cap R^{2}} R^{2} \xrightarrow{I \cap R^{2}} R^{2} \xrightarrow{I \cap R^{2} \cap R^{2}} R^{2} \xrightarrow{I \cap R^{2}} R^{2} \xrightarrow{I \cap R^{2} \cap R^{2}} R^{2} \xrightarrow{I \cap R$$

| CO ₂ CH ₃ | Br N CO ₂ CH ₃ | O_2N N CO_2CH_3 N CO_2CH_3 |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| 3aa (95%) | 3ab (92%) | 3ac (66%) |
| nBu CO ₂ CH ₃ | CO ₂ CH ₃ | H ₃ CO CO ₂ CH ₃ N CO ₂ CH ₃ |
| 3ad (91%) | 3ae (94%) | 3af (93%) |
| CO ₂ CH ₃ | HO CO ₂ CH ₃ | CO ₂ CH ₃ |
| 3ag (91%) | 3ah (74%) | 3ai (61%) |
| CO ₂ CH ₃ | CO ₂ CH ₃ | $\begin{array}{c} \text{CO}_2\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\\ \text{N} \text{CO}_2\text{C}_2\text{H}_5 \end{array}$ |
| 3aj (49%) | 3ak (82%) | 3ba (85%) |

 a Reaction conditions: compound 1 (1.5 mmol), 2 (1 mmol), In-(OTf)_3 (1 mol %), CH_3CN (1.5 mL), 6–24 h. b Isolated yields based on 1.

dihydroquinolines. In addition, ethyl pyruvate could also give the desired product 3ba in high yield.

Furthermore, the scope of the α -alkyl substituted ketoesters was also investigated in the presence of 1 mol % In(OTf)₃. Nevertheless, none of the expected target was obtained, and

ACS Catalysis LETTER

Scheme 3. Reaction of 1c and 2a with 1 mol % In(OTf)₃

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{CI} & \text{CI} \\ \text{OO} \\ \text{O} \\ \text{O} \\ \text{NH}_2 \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 1 \text{ mol } \% \text{ ln(OTf)}_{3} \\ \text{MeCN, 80°C} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{NH} \\ \text{OC}_2\text{H}_5 \\ \text{O} \\ \text{4ca (65\%)} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{CI} \\ \text{NH} \\ \text{OC}_2\text{H}_5 \\ \text{5ca} \end{array}$$

Table 3. Indium(III)-Catalyzed Tandem Reaction of Various Aromatic Amines and α -Alkyl Substituted Ketoesters^{a,b}

 a Reaction conditions: compound 1 (1.2 mmol), 2 (0.5 mmol), In-(OTf)₃ (10 mol %), CH₃CN (1.5 mL), 8–30 h. b Isolated yields based on 2.

enamine 4ca, the isomer of the possible intermediate imine 5ca, $^{28-30}$ was the main product, indicating that the presence of the alkyl group inhibited subsequent transformations (Scheme 3).

To our delight, the desired tetra-substituted dihydroquinolines were obtained in moderate to good yields when the catalyst loading was increased to 10 mol % (Table 3). Anilines with different substituent groups provided the polysubstituted dihydroquinolines in moderate yields (3ca, 3ce, 3cg, 3cl, 3 cm, and 3de). 1-Aminonaphthalene, 2-aminonaphthalene, and 2-aminoanthracene showed excellent reactivity in this procedure and gave the tricyclic or tetracyclic-dihydroquinolines in good yields (3ck, 3cn, 3co, 3dk, 3dn, and 3do). It was noteworthy that no desired tetra-substituted dihydroquinoline 3ca was obtained

Scheme 4. Control Experiments of 1a with 2f or 5af

Scheme 5. Control Experiment of 4ca with 1c

when the reaction of α -alkyl substituted ketoester 1c with *p*-chloroaniline 2a was performed in a previously reported system using the Au/Ag cocatalyst. ²⁶ In addition, when changing the reaction conditions to those described in Table 3 while keeping the AuCl₃/AgSbF₆ (5 mol %/15 mol %) cocatalyst, the product 3ca could be achieved with a yield of 24%.

To gain further insights into the reaction, several control experiments were conducted as shown in Schemes 4 and 5. When the reaction of methyl pyruvate (1a) and p-methoxyaniline (2f) was performed in the absence of catalyst, imine Saf could be isolated together with a trace amount of enamine isomer (Scheme 4). Furthermore, Saf reacted with 1a under the standard conditions (1 mol % $In(OTf)_3$) leading to the desired target 3af in 77% yield (Scheme 4). In addition, the desired product 3ca was also generated in 31% yields when the reaction of 1c with isolated enamine 4ca (the isomer of imine 5ca²⁸⁻³⁰) was performed in the presence of 10 mol % $In(OTf)_3$ (Scheme 5).

On the basis of the above experimental observations and previous reports, $^{26,31-34}$ we proposed a postulated reaction pathway shown in Scheme 6. Initially, the condensation reaction of α -ketoester 1 with amine 2 gave the imine 5 or its isomeric enamine 4. Subsequently, the $In(OTf)_3$ activated α -ketoester 6^{34} reacted with imine 5 leading to intermediate $7.^{31-33}$ The electron-rich benzene ring attacked the keto-carbonyl group to form intermediate 8. Finally, water elimination followed by proton shift would generate the polysubstituted 1,2-dihydroquinoline 3.

In summary, we have developed a simple and efficient methodology for the construction of polysubstituted 1,2-dihydroquinoline derivatives via a one-pot tandem reaction of α -ketoesters and aromatic amines catalyzed by indium triflate. A series of tri- and tetra-substituted dihydroquinolines were conveniently obtained from simple and readily available starting materials. Application studies of this protocol are ongoing.

ACS Catalysis LETTER

Scheme 6. Postulated Reaction Pathway for Indium-Catalyzed Tandem Process

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information. General procedures for the preparation of polysubstituted dihydroquinolines and spectrum details for all compounds are provided. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*Phone: 86-28-82855463. Fax: 86-28-82855223. E-mail: jijx@-cib.ac.cn.

Funding Sources

We are grateful for the financial support from the National Science Foundation of China (20802072).

■ REFERENCES

- (1) Balayer, A.; Sévenet, T.; Schaller, H.; Hadi, A.; Hamid, A.; Chiaroni, A.; Riche, C.; Païs, M. Nat. Prod. Lett. 1993, 2, 61–67.
 - (2) Parello, J. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1968, 3, 1117-1129.
- (3) Abe, F.; Yamauchi, T.; Shibuya, H.; Kitagawa, I.; Yamashita, M. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1998, 46, 1235–1238.
- (4) Johnson, J. V.; Rauckman, B. S.; Baccanari, D. P.; Roth, B. J. Med. Chem. 1989, 32, 1942–1949.
- (5) Hudson, A. R.; Roach, S. L.; Higuchi, R. I.; Phillips, D. P.; Bissonnette, R. P.; Lamph, W. W.; Yen, J.; Li, Y.; Adams, M. E.; Valdez, L. J.; Vassar, A.; Cuervo, C.; Kallel, E. A.; Gharbaoui, C. J.; Mais, D. E.; Miner, J. N.; Marschke, K. B.; Rungta, D.; Negro-Vilar, A.; Zhi, L. *J. Med. Chem.* 2007, 50, 4699–4709.
- (6) Belleau, B.; Martel, R.; Lacasse, G.; Ménard, M.; Weinberg, N. L.; Perron, Y. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 823–824.
- (7) Kym, P. R.; Kort, M. E.; Coghlan, M. J.; Moore, J. L.; Tang, R.; Ratajczyk, J. D.; Larson, D. P.; Elmore, S. W.; Pratt, J. K.; Stashko, M. A.; Falls, H. D.; Lin, C. W.; Nakane, M.; Miller, L.; Tyree, C. M.; Miner, J. N.; Jacobson, P. B.; Wilcox, D. M.; Nguyen, P.; Lane, B. C. *J. Med. Chem.* **2003**, *46*, 1016–1030.
- (8) Pooley, C. L. F.; Edwards, J. P.; Goldman, M. E.; Wang, M.-W.; Marschke, K. B.; Crombie, D. L.; Jones, T. K. *J. Med. Chem.* **1998**, 41, 3461–3466.
- (9) Pedram, B.; van Oeveren, A.; Mais, D. E.; Marschke, K. B.; Verbost, P. M.; Groen, M. B.; Zhi, L. J. Med. Chem. 2008, 51, 3696–3699.
- (10) Liu, X.-Y.; Che, C.-M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 3805–3810.

- (11) Parker, K. A.; Mindt, T. L. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 4265–4268.
- (12) Arisawa, M.; Theeraladanon, C.; Nishida, A.; Nakagawa, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 8029–8033.
- (13) Kamiguchi, S.; Takahashi, I.; Kurokawa, H.; Miura, H.; Chihara, T. Appl. Catal. A: Gen. **2006**, 309, 70–75.
- (14) Ranu, B. C.; Hajra, A.; Dey, S. S.; Jana, U. Tetrahedron 2003, 59, 813-819.
- (15) Makino, K.; Hara, O.; Takiguchi, Y.; Katano, T.; Asakawa, Y.; Hatano, K.; Hamada, Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2003**, 44, 8925–8929.
 - (16) Mansake, R. H. F.; Kulka, M. Org. React. 1953, 7, 59-70.
- (17) Lu, G.; Portscheller, J. L.; Malinakova, H. C. Organometallics 2005, 24, 945–961.
- (18) Yi, C. S.; Yun, S. Y.; Guzei, I. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 5782-5783.
 - (19) Yi, C. S.; Yun, S. Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 17000-17006.
 - (20) Luo, Y.; Li, Z.; Li, C.-J. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 2675–2678.
- (21) Liu, X.-Y.; Ding, P.; Huang, J.-S.; Che, C.-M. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 2645–2648.
- (22) Theoclitou, M.-E.; Robinson, L. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 3907–3910.
- (23) Kamakshi, R.; Reddy, B. S. R. Catal. Commun. 2007, 8, 825–828.
- (24) Hegedüs, A.; Hell, Z.; Vargadi, T.; Potor, A.; Gresits, I. Catal. Lett. 2007, 117, 99–101.
- (25) Lee, S. H.; Park, Y. S.; Nam, M. H.; Yoon, C. M. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2004, 2, 2170–2172.
- (26) Waldmann, H.; Karunakar, G. V.; Kumar, K. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 2159–2162.
- (27) Hu, X.-Y.; Zhang, J.-C.; Wei, W.; Ji, J.-X. Tetrahedron Lett. **2011**, 52, 2903–2905.
- (28) Björnestedt, R.; Zhong, G.; Lerner, R. A.; Barbas, C. F., III J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 11720–11724.
- (29) Lammertsma, K.; Bharatam, P. V. J. Org. Chem. **2000**, 65, 4662–4670.
- (30) Amar, A.; Meghezzi, H.; Boucekkine, A.; Kaoua, R. C. R. Chim. **2010**, *13*, 553–560.
- (31) Annunziata, R.; Cinquini, M.; Cozzi, F.; Molteni, V.; Schupp, O. *Tetrahedron* **1997**, *53*, 9715–9726.
- (32) Tanaka, S.; Yasuda, M.; Baba, A. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 800-803.
- (33) Lin, X.-F.; Cui, S.-L.; Wang, Y.-G. Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 3127–3130.
- (34) Endo, K.; Hatakeyama, T.; Nakamura, M.; Nakamura, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 5264–5271.