See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/259983405

A Mild Ni/Cu-Catalyzed Silylation via C.lb.O Cleavage

ARTICLE in JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN CHEMICAL SOCIETY · JANUARY 2014						
Impact Factor: 12.11 · DOI: 10.1021/ja412107b · Source: PubMed						
CITATIONS	READS					
23	36					

2 AUTHORS, INCLUDING:



Cayetana Zarate

ICIQ Institute of Chemical Research of Catalonia

7 PUBLICATIONS 68 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



A Mild Ni/Cu-Catalyzed Silylation via C-O Cleavage

Cayetana Zarate[†] and Ruben Martin*,^{†,§}

[†]Institute of Chemical Research of Catalonia (ICIQ), Av. Països Catalans 16, 43007, Tarragona, Spain

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A Ni/Cu-catalyzed silvlation of unactivated C-O electrophiles derived from phenols or benzyl alcohols is described. This transformation is characterized by its wide scope and mild conditions, providing a direct access to synthetically versatile silvlated compounds. The protocol allows for the coupling of C(sp²)-O and even $C(sp^3)$ -O bonds with similar efficiency.

ultimetallic catalysis has recently received a considerable interest for designing unconventional synthetic strategies that are unattainable by other means. 1 Among these, the use of Pd and Cu catalysts has shown to be particularly effective in methodologies that have changed the landscape of organic synthesis, such as the Sonogashira-Hagihara coupling² or the Wacker-Tsuji oxidation,³ among others. While Ni catalysts play a dominant role in the cross-coupling arena,4 it comes as a surprise that the combination of Ni and Cu catalysts has been virtually unexplored in homogeneous catalysis.⁵ Therefore, the discovery of new protocols within this field might lead to novel synthetic routes toward advanced intermediates, counterintuitive at first sight, thus increasing our organic chemist's repertoire.

In recent years, the utilization of C-O electrophiles has received considerable attention due to their lack of toxicity and the readily availability of phenol as compared to commonly employed organic halides.⁶ Unlike the use of activated aryl sulfonates, a limited knowledge has been acquired when employing simpler aryl esters via catalytic C-O cleavage. This is probably due to the high activation barrier for C-O cleavage, the proclivity of esters toward hydrolysis under strong basic conditions, and the site-selectivity issues in the presence of multiple C-O reaction sites. Despite the advances realized, the vast majority of C-O bond-cleavage reactions are restricted to the formation of C-C bonds. Indeed, the development of catalytic C-heteroatom bond-forming reactions remains an elusive task in the cross-coupling arena when utilizing unactivated C-O bonds. We envisioned that organic silanes, valuable synthetic intermediates of considerable interest in medicinal and material science, 8 could be within reach by a C-Si bond-forming event from unactivated C-O electrophiles under certain reaction conditions. Such a method would constitute an alternative to classical metal/halogen exchange (Scheme 1, path a), the coupling of aryl halides with R₃SiH^{10a-c} or (R₃Si)₂ counterparts to (path b) and C-H^{11a-d} or C-CN functionalization techniques that are conducted at high temperatures¹¹ and using ortho-directing groups^{11a-d} (path c). As part of our ongoing studies in this field, 12 we report herein the discovery of a Ni/Cu catalytic couple that allows for a C-Si

Scheme 1. Synthesis of Aryl and Aliphatic Silanes

bond-forming reaction via cleavage of unactivated C-O bonds in ester derivatives (path d). 13 The method is distinguished by its mild conditions, robustness, and wide substrate scope, including the formation of particularly elusive C(sp³)–Si bonds, ¹⁴ without compromising its application profile.

We began our investigations by examining the reactivity of **1a** using nickel catalysts (Table 1). 15,16 While silylboranes have extensively been employed for promoting silylborylation of unsaturated C-C bonds,¹⁷ to the best of our knowledge their utilization en route to aryl silanes has not been explored. As shown in entry 3, we found promising results when employing readily available 2a, ¹⁸ Ni(COD)₂ as the catalyst, and PCy₃ as the supporting ligand at 50 °C. In line with our studies in the field, we found that the absence of COD had a deleterious impact on reactivity (entries 1 and 2), suggesting that non-innocent ancillary ligands might stabilize the active species within the catalytic cycle. 12 As anticipated, 17 additives played a crucial role by activating the Si-B bond (entries 4-15). As shown in entries 6-8, the inclusion of AgF or CuF₂ was rather promising for our purposes, suggesting the intermediacy of MSiEt₃ species (M = Ag(I), Cu(II)). While the addition of CsF (1 equiv) in combination with CuF₂ (30 mol %) had a cooperative effect on reactivity, obtaining a 90% isolated yield of 3a (entry 10), the use of structurally related KF and more soluble fluoride sources such as TBAF or K₃PO₄, among others, had a deleterious effect (entry 9). We believe these results indicate an intimate and unique interplay of CuF₂ and CsF and suggest that CuF₂ does not simply act as a soluble fluoride source. Such perception was further corroborated when comparing the results shown in entry 5 and entries 7–9 with entry 10. A simple filtration of the crude mixture through a plug of Celite was necessary, thus greatly simplifying the workup. Interestingly, the counterion and oxidation state of the additives utilized were found to be critical factors for success (entries 12–15). Control experiments in which the Ni catalyst

Received: November 27, 2013 Published: January 30, 2014

[§]Catalan Institution for Research and Advanced Studies (ICREA), Passeig Lluïs Companys, 23, 08010, Barcelona, Spain

Table 1. Optimization of the Reaction Conditions^a

entry	Ni catalyst	CsF(x)	additive (mol %)	3a (%) ^b
1	$Ni(PCy_3)_2(C_2H_4)$	0	_	1
2	$NiCl_2(PCy_3)_2$	0	_	O^c
3	$Ni(COD)_2$	0	_	11
4	$Ni(COD)_2$	0	CsF (30)	10
5	$Ni(COD)_2$	0	CsF (100)	10
6	$Ni(COD)_2$	0	AgF (30)	20
7	$Ni(COD)_2$	0	CuF_{2} (30)	10
8	$Ni(COD)_2$	0	CuF_2 (50)	18^d
9	$Ni(COD)_2$	0	$CuF_{2}(30)$	0^e , 1^f , 24^g
10	$Ni(COD)_2$	1	$CuF_2(30)$	$94(90)^{h}$
11	$Ni(COD)_2$	1	CuF_{2} (30)	88 $(85)^{h,i}$
12	$Ni(COD)_2$	1	AgF (30)	21
13	$Ni(COD)_2$	1	CuBr ₂ (30)	0
14	$Ni(COD)_2$	1	CuS0 ₄ (30)	20
15	$Ni(COD)_2$	1	Cul (30)	0
16	_	1	$CuF_{2}(30)$	0

^aReaction conditions: 1a (0.25 mmol), 2a (0.30 mmol), Ni(COD)₂ (0.025 mmol), PCy₃ (0.05 mmol) in toluene (2.0 mL), 50 °C, 2 h. ^bGC yields using decane as an internal standard. ^cZn (0.25 mmol) was used. ^dSimilar result was obtained with CuF₂ (100 mol %). ^eTBAF (1 equiv) as additive. ^fK₃PO₄ (1 equiv) as additive. ^gKF (1 equiv) as additive. ^hIsolated yield. ⁱ110 °C.

was omitted resulted in no product formation (entry 16). Similarly, little conversion was observed in the absence of CuF₂ (entries 3 and 5), suggesting that both Ni and Cu assist the C–O cleavage/C–Si bond-forming event. Although silylboranes have been used as a platform for preparing aryl boronates, ^{18,21} no traces of C–B bond-formation were detected by NMR spectroscopy of the crude mixture, hence showing the distinctive features of our protocol.

Next, we turned our attention to study the preparative scope of our reaction. As shown in Table 2, the outcome was largely insensitive to changes in the electronic nature of the substrates utilized, obtaining the desired compounds in excellent yields using 2a as coupling counterpart. Interestingly, we found that the coupling of 2aa resulted in moderate yields of 3aa, hence showing the superior activity of 2a.²² The chemoselectivity profile of the method is nicely illustrated by the fact that ethers (3b, 3d), esters (3e, 3h), amides (3g), or silyl ethers (3i) were perfectly tolerated under our optimized protocol. As shown for 3h, the reaction was not seriously hampered by the presence of ortho-substituents, although slightly higher temperatures were required in this case. While $C(sp^2)$ -F bonds are prone to oxidative addition in the presence of Ni catalysts with PCy₃ as supporting ligand, 23 we found that such motifs remained intact under our reaction conditions (3f). Similarly, the presence of nitrogen-containing heterocycles did not interfere with the productive C-Si bond-forming reaction (3j).

A closer look into the literature data indicates that the inclusion of π -extended systems greatly accelerates the rate of $C(sp^2)-O$ bond-cleavage reactions. Encouraged by the findings in Table 2, we speculated that our mild Ni/Cu-catalyzed silylation event could be even extended to the use of simpler, yet challenging, phenyl pivalates. As shown in Table 3, this was indeed the case, and a wide variety of phenyl pivalates, regardless of the electronic effects on the aryl ring, could be coupled in high

Table 2. Ni-Catalyzed Silylation of Naphthyl Pivalates a,b

^aReaction conditions: 1 (0.50 mmol), 2a (0.60 mmol), Ni(COD)₂ (10 mol %), PCy₃ (20 mol %), CuF₂ (30 mol %), CsF (1 equiv) in toluene (3.0 mL) at 50 °C. ^bIsolated yields, average of at least two independent runs. ^cPhMe₂SiBpin (2aa) was utilized. ^d80 °C.

Table 3. Ni-Catalyzed Silylation of Phenyl Pivalates a,b

"As for Table 2. ^bIsolated yields, average of at least two independent runs. ^cGC yields using decane as an internal standard due to volatility issues. ^dReaction conducted at 80 °C. ^e2a (1.0 mmol) and CsF (1.70 equiv).

yields (5a-5k). It is worth noting that the coupling of phenyl and naphthyl pivalates (Tables 2 and 3) operates under otherwise identical reaction conditions, an observation that demonstrates the robustness and generality of our Ni/Cu-catalyzed event. In analogy with the results in Table 2, the presence of *orthosubstituents* did not hinder the C-Si bond-forming reaction (5g, 5h). Likewise, a number of functional groups such as boronic esters (5c), ketones (5d), amines (5f), acetals (5i, 5k), and

heteroaromatics (5j) could also be equally accommodated in good to excellent yields.

To the best of our knowledge, a catalytic C-heteroatom bond-forming reaction via the cleavage of unactivated $C(sp^3)$ –O bonds has no precedents in the literature. Gratifyingly, we observed that primary and even secondary benzylic pivalates could be cross-coupled with 2a in good yields (Table 4).

Table 4. $C(sp^3)$ -O Cleavage/C-Si Bond Formation^{a,b}

 $^a\mathrm{As}$ for Table 2. $^b\mathrm{Isolated}$ yields, average of at least two independent runs. $^c\mathrm{Reaction}$ conducted at 80 $^o\mathrm{C}.$

Importantly, no reoptimization of the reaction conditions was required, a rather valuable finding that demonstrates the outcome of our method. Overall, we believe the results shown in Tables 2–4 nicely illustrate the excellent reactivity and the wide application profile of our Ni/Cu-catalyzed $C(sp^2)$ — and $C(sp^3)$ —O bond-cleavage protocol, suggesting that other conceivable scenarios might be discovered in the near future.²⁶

Although a detailed mechanistic picture requires further studies, we tentatively propose a scenario consisting of two catalytic cycles (Scheme 2). Thus, we favor an initial oxidative

Scheme 2. Mechanistic Proposal

addition of the $C(sp^2)$ –O bond to Ni(0) (II).²⁷ Then, a transmetalation event facilitated by in situ generated III, ^{28,29} followed by a reductive elimination delivers the final product while recovering back the active $Ni(0)L_n$ catalytic species I. Whether other mechanistic pictures come into play³⁰ or the reaction involves single-electron transfer processes is subject of ongoing studies in our laboratory.

In summary, we have discovered a Ni/Cu-catalyzed C-Si bond-forming reaction via $C(sp^2)$ — and even $C(sp^3)$ —O bond cleavage. This study suggests that other related multimetallic scenarios might lead to the foundation of new discoveries within the field of Ni-catalyzed cleavage of unactivated C-O bonds. The wide substrate scope, mild reaction conditions, robustness, and generality of the method suggest that this protocol can be a powerful alternative to existing methodologies for preparing organic silanes from readily available precursors. Further

mechanistic studies and other related transformations are currently underway in our laboratories.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

Experimental procedures and spectral data. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

rmartinromo@iciq.es

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank ICIQ Foundation, the European Research Council (ERC-277883), and MICINN (CTQ2012-34054) for financial support. Johnson Matthey, Umicore, and Nippon Chemical Industrial are acknowledged for a gift of metal and ligand sources. Dr. N. Cabello at ICIQ and X. Ribas from UdG are acknowledged for HRMS as well as insightful mechanistic discussions.

REFERENCES

- (1) (a) Allen, A. E.; MacMillan, D. W. C. Chem. Sci. 2012, 3, 633. (b) Krautwald, S.; Sarlah, D.; Schafroth, M. A.; Carreira, E. M. Science 2013, 340, 1065.
- (2) (a) Chinchilla, R.; Nájera, C. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 5084.
 (b) Chinchilla, R.; Nájera, C. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 874.
- (3) (a) McDonald, R. I.; Liu, G.; Stahl, S. S. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 2981. (b) Keith, J. A.; Henry, P. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 9038. (c) Cornell, C. N.; Sigman, M. S. Inorg. Chem. 2007, 46, 1903.
- (4) Modern Organonickel Chemistry; Tamaru, Y., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2005.
- (5) For remarkable exceptions: (a) Gallego, G.; Brück, A.; Irran, E.; Meier, F.; Kaupp, M.; Driess, M.; Hartwig, J. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, 135, 15617. (b) Yi, J.; Lu, X.; Sun, Y. —Y.; Xiao, B.; Liu, L. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, 52, 12409. (c) Vechorkin, O.; Barmaz, D.; Proust, V.; Hu, X. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, 131, 12078. (d) Vechorkin, O.; Proust, V.; Hu, X. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2010**, 49, 3061. (e) Beletskaya, I. P.; Latyshev, G. V.; Tsvetkov, A. V.; Lukashev, N. V. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2003**, 44, 5011.
- (6) For selected reviews: (a) Rosen, B. M.; Quasdorf, K. W.; Wilson, D. A.; Zhang, N.; Resmerita, A.-M.; Garg, N. K.; Percec, V. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1346. (b) Li, B.-J.; Yu, D.-G.; Sun, C.-L.; Shi, Z.-J. Chem.—Eur. J. 2011, 17, 1728. (c) Yu, D.-G.; Li, B.-J.; Shi, Z.-J. Acc. Chem. Res. 2010, 43, 1486.
- (7) For exceptions of C-heteroatom bond-forming reactions via cleavage of unactivated C-O bonds: (a) Tobisu, M.; Shimasaki, T.; Chatani, N. *Chem. Lett.* **2009**, *38*, 710. (b) Shimasaki, T.; Tobisu, M.; Chatani, N. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2010**, *16*, 2929. (c) Huang, K.; Yu, D.-G.; Zheng, S.-F.; Wu, Z.-H.; Shi, Z.-J. S. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2011**, *17*, 786. (d) Hie, L.; Ramgren, S. D.; Mesganaw, T.; Garg, N. K. *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 4182.
- (8) (a) Bains, W.; Tacke, R. Curr. Opin. Drug Discovery Dev. 2003, 6, 526. (b) Showwell, G. A.; Mills, J. S. Drug Discovery Today 2003, 8, 551. (c) You, Y.; An, C. –G.; Kim, J. –J.; Park, S. Y. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 6241. (d) Liu, X. M.; He, C.; Haung, J.; Xu, J. Chem. Mater. 2005, 17, 434.
- (9) The Chemistry of Organic Silicon Compounds; Patai, S.; Rappoport, Z., Eds.; Wiley & Sons: New York, 2000.
- (10) For selected references: (a) Hamze, A.; Provot, O.; Alami, M.; Brion, J. –D. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 931. (b) Yamanoi, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 9607. (c) Yamanoi, Y.; Nishihara, H. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 6671. (d) McNeill, Eric; Barder, Timothy E.; Buchwald, Stephen L. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 3785. (e) Denmark, S. E.; Kallemeyn, J. M. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 3483. (f) Minami, Y.; Shimizu, K.; Tsuruoka, C.; Komiyama, T.;

- Hiyama, T. Chem. Lett. 2013, DOI: 10.1246/cl.130919. (g) Iwasawa, T.; Komano, T.; Tajima, A.; Tokunaga, M.; Obora, Y.; Fujihara, T.; Tsuji, Y. Organometallics 2006, 25, 4665 and citations therein.
- (11) For selected references: (a) Simmons, E. M.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 17092. (b) Tsukada, N.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 5022. (c) Kakiuchi, F.; Matsumoto, M.; Tsuchiya, K.; Igi, K.; Hayamizu, T.; Chatani, N.; Murai, S. J. Organomet. Chem. 2003, 686, 134. (d) Ishiyama, T.; Saiki, T.; Kishida, E.; Sasaki, I.; Ito, H.; Miyaura, N. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2013, 11, 8162. (e) Tobisu, M.; Kita, Y.; Chatani, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 8152. (f) Tobisu, M.; Kita, Y.; Ano, Y.; Chatani, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 15982 and citations therein.
- (12) (a) Cornella, J.; Gómez-Bengoa, E.; Martin, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2013**, 135. (b) Alvarez-Bercedo, P.; Martin, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2010**, 132, 17352. (c) Correa, A.; León, T.; Martin, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2014**, 136, 1062.
- (13) For the coupling of rather activated aryl triflates with strongly reducing triorganosilanes: (a) Murata, M.; Yamasaki, H.; Ueta, T.; Nagata, M.; Ishikura, M.; Watanabe, S.; Masuda, Y. *Tetrahedron* **2007**, 63, 4087. (b) Murata, M.; Ohara, H.; Oiwa, R.; Watanabe, S.; Masuda, Y. *Synthesis* **2006**, 1771.
- (14) For selected references: (a) Kakiuchi, F.; Tsuchiya, K.; Matsumoto, M.; Mizushima, E.; Chatani, N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, 126, 12792. (b) ref 11f. (c) Kang, S. –H.; Han, J. S.; Yoo, B. R.; Lee, M. E.; Jung, I. N. *Organometallics* **2003**, 22, 529.
- (15) See SI for details.
- (16) Use of other related C(sp²)—O electrophiles such as aryl acetates, aryl carbamates, aryl ethers, or aryl benzoates gave significantly lower yields; see ref 15.
- (17) For selected reviews: (a) Oestreich, M.; Hartmann, E.; Mewald, M. Chem. Rev. 2013, 113, 402. (b) Ohmura, T.; Suginome, M. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 2009, 82, 29. (c) Burks, H. E.; Morken, J. P. Chem. Commun. 2007, 4717. (d) Suginome, M.; Ito, Y. Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 3221. (e) Hartmann, E.; Vyas, D. J.; Oestreich, M. Chem. Commun 2011, 7917.
- (18) **2a** is available in bulk quantities in one-step from cheap Et₃SiH (Gelest; 25 g, \$37) and B₂pin₂ (Bepharm, 100 g, \$60): Boebel, A. B.; Hartwig, J. F. *Organometallics* **2008**, *27*, 6013.
- (19) For selected examples about the use of MSiR₃ (M = Cu(I), Ag(I)): (a) Fortman, G. C.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; Nolan, S. P. Organometallics **2010**, 29, 3966. (b) Avent, A. G.; Gehrhus, B.; Hitchcock, P. B.; Lappert, M. F.; Maciejewski, h. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2003**, 686, 321. (c) Klett, J.; Klinkhammer, K. W.; Niemeyer, M. Chem.—Eur. J. **1999**, 5, 2531. (d) Wagner, H.; Schubert, U. Chem. Ber. **1990**, 123, 2101 and citations therein.
- (20) A blank experiment with a more soluble fluoride source such as TBAF, but in the absence of CuF₂, provided very little conversion of **1a**, reinforcing the notion that CuF₂ cannot simply act as a particularly soluble fluoride source.
- (21) Yamamoto, E.; Izumi, K.; Horita, Y.; Ito, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2012**, 134, 19997.
- (22) **2a** has scarcely been used in the literature as coupling partner. See ref 18 for an isolated example.
- (23) Tobisu, M.; Xu, T.; Shimasaki, T.; Chatani, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2011**, 133, 19505.
- (24) For recent C—O bond-cleavage protocols in which the substrate scope is mainly limited to π-extended systems: (a) Wisniewska, H. M.; Swift, E. C.; Jarvo, E. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 9083. (b) Yu, D.-G.; Shi, Z.-J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 7097. (c) Taylor, B. L.; Harris, M. R.; Jarvo, E. R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 7790. (d) Tobisu, M.; Shimasaki, T.; Chatani, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 4866. (e) Zhou, Q.; Srinivas, H. D.; Dasgupta, S.; Watson, M. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 3307. (f) Li, B.-J.; Li, Y.-Z.; Lu, X.-Y.; Liu, J.; Guan, B.-T.; Shi, Z.-J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 10124.
- (25) For selected C—C bond-forming reactions via C(sp³)—O bond cleavage: (a) Harris, M. R.; Hanna, L. E.; Greene, M. A.; Moore, C. E.; Jarvo, E. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 3303. (b) Huang, K.; Li, G.; Huang, W.-P.; Yu, D.-G.; Shi, Z.-J. *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, *47*, 7224. (c) ref 23e.

- (26) Corresponding aryl silanes obtained by our methodology can readily be used as a platform for molecular diversity. For example, we were able to rapidly convert 3a into the corresponding 2-naphthyl iodide, 2-naphthyl bromide, or 2-naphthyl chloride with yields up to 91%. Similarly, we showed that 5a participate in a site-selective C–H bond-functionalization event in C3 when coupled with benzothiophene under Pd-catalyzed conditions. For more details, see Supporting Information.
- (27) Recently, the oxidative addition of aryl pivalates to Ni(0) has been reported: Muto, K.; Yamaguchi, J.; Lei, A.; Itami, K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 16384.
- (28) Unlike Cu(I) salts, C-Si bond-forming reactions using silylboranes has little precedent employing Cu(II) species: (a) Xuan, Q.-Q.; Zhong, N.-J.; Ren, C.-L.; Liu, L.; Wang, D.; Chen, Y.-J.; Li, C.-J. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 11076. (b) Calderone, J. A.; Santos, W. L. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 2090.
- (29) For a related activation of silylboranes with CuF(PPh₃)₃·2MeOH and exogenous fluoride salts en route to Cu(I)-SiR₃ species: Cirriez, V.; Rasson, C.; Riant, O. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2013**, *355*, 3137.
- (30) Alternatively one might anticipate that metal fluorides react with boranes to form F-BPin: Fujita, M.; Nagano, T.; Schneider, U.; Hamada, T.; Ogawa, C.; Kobayashi, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2008**, 130, 2914.
- (31) This work was presented as an oral communication at the 15th Brazilian meeting on organic synthesis (BMOS) on November 13th, 2013.