

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/281512929>

Efficient Biosynthesis of Fungal Polyketides Containing the Dioxabicyclo-octane Ring System

ARTICLE *in* JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN CHEMICAL SOCIETY · SEPTEMBER 2015

Impact Factor: 12.11 · DOI: 10.1021/jacs.5b07816 · Source: PubMed

CITATION

1

READS

86

11 AUTHORS, INCLUDING:



Matthew N. Grayson

University of Cambridge

9 PUBLICATIONS 83 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Yong-Quan Li

Zhejiang University

79 PUBLICATIONS 747 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Wen-Bing Yin

Chinese Academy of Sciences

25 PUBLICATIONS 506 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Yit-Heng Chooi

University of Western Australia

40 PUBLICATIONS 583 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Efficient Biosynthesis of Fungal Polyketides Containing the Dioxabicyclo-octane Ring System

Xu-Ming Mao,^{†,‡,⊥} Zha-Jun Zhan,^{‡,||,⊥} Matthew N. Grayson,[§] Man-Cheng Tang,[‡] Wei Xu,[‡] Yong-Quan Li,[†] Wen-Bing Yin,^{‡,⊗} Hsiao-Ching Lin,^{‡,▽} Yit-Heng Chooi,^{‡,×} K. N. Houk,[§] and Yi Tang^{*,‡,§}[†]College of Life Sciences, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310058, China[‡]Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering and [§]Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90095, United States^{||}College of Pharmaceutical Science, Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou 310014, China

S Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Aurovertins are fungal polyketides that exhibit potent inhibition of adenosine triphosphate synthase. Aurovertins contain a 2,6-dioxabicyclo[3.2.1]octane ring that is proposed to be derived from a polyene precursor through regioselective oxidations and epoxide openings. In this study, we identified only four enzymes required to produce aurovertin E. The core polyketide synthase produces a polyene α -pyrone. Following pyrone O-methylation by a methyltransferase, a flavin-dependent mono-oxygenase and an epoxide hydrolase can iteratively transform the terminal triene portion of the precursor into the dioxabicyclo[3.2.1]octane scaffold. We demonstrate that a tetrahydrofuranyl polyene is the first stable intermediate in the transformation, which can undergo epoxidation and anti-Baldwin 6-endo-tet ring opening to yield the cyclic ether product. Our results further demonstrate the highly concise and efficient ways in which fungal biosynthetic pathways can generate complex natural product scaffolds.

Linear polyketides synthesized by fungal polyketide synthases (PKSs) can be morphed into structurally complex natural products in a few succinct steps. Examples include the biosynthesis of decalin cores in lovastatin¹ and equisetin,² the isoindolone moieties in cytochalasans,³ and highly oxygenated, multicyclic rings in meroterpenoids.⁴ The concise biosynthetic pathways of these natural products showcase the synchronization between the PKS, which constructs the polyketide chain with strategically positioned reactive groups, e.g., double bonds and hydroxyl groups, and the associated tailoring enzymes that have impressive catalytic prowess optimally tuned for the polyketide precursor. Thus, understanding the mechanistic basis of these collaborative transformations can not only lead to the discovery of new enzymatic tools but also inspire biomimetic strategies for organic synthesis.

An unusual polyketide-derived structure is the 2,6-dioxabicyclo[3.2.1]octane (DBO) ring system found in the aurovertin family of natural products (1–6, Figure 1A) isolated from fungal species like *Calcarisporium arbuscula*.⁵ Aurovertin E (4) represents the structurally simplest member of the family and is the biosynthetic precursor to other derivatives. The bicyclic




Figure 1. Aurovertins and the biosynthetic cluster. (A) Aurovertin and related fungal natural products are proposed to derive from a polyene polyketide precursor. The 2,6-dioxabicyclo[3.2.1]octane ring is shown in red. (B) The *aur* biosynthetic gene cluster in *C. arbuscula*. Abbreviations: HRPKS, highly reducing polyketide synthase; KS, ketosynthase; AT or AcT, acyltransferase; MT, methyltransferase; FMO, flavin-dependent mono-oxygenase; TF, transcriptional factor. (C) Genetic knockout of *aurA* in *C. arbuscula* followed by HPLC analysis of organic extracts.

ether moiety in 4 is fused to a methylated α -pyrone via a triene linker and can be differentially substituted to yield various aurovertin congeners. Acetylated derivatives 2 and 3 are potent and uncompetitive inhibitors of F1 ATPase and have been implicated as potential anticancer therapeutics.⁶ Despite the occurrence of the DBO moiety in other microbial and plant natural products (Scheme S1), e.g., decurrenside A from the goldenrod plant,⁷ the antibiotic sorangicin A from myxobacteria,⁸ and a marine toxin palytoxin from zoanthids,⁹ the enzymatic basis for the formation of this moiety has not been elucidated to date.

The biosynthetic origin of 4 was proposed and subsequently verified from labeling studies to derive from a polyketide pathway.¹⁰ A polyene-fused α -pyrone derived from one unit of propionate and eight units of acetate was proposed to be the

Received: July 26, 2015




Figure 2. Proposed pathway based on observed intermediates and shunt products.

precursor (Figure 2). Three epoxidation steps and a cascade of regioselective epoxide-opening reactions were suggested to take place and yield the DBO moiety.¹¹ Labeling studies using ¹⁸O further revealed that the oxygen between C4 and C8 is derived from H₂O, thereby suggesting the likely site of epoxide hydrolysis.¹¹ Synthetic studies aiming at confirming the feasibility of the biosynthetic proposals were performed to afford 4 and suggested a possible route via a tetrahydrofuran epoxide intermediate.¹² Related fungal polyene metabolites, e.g., citreoviridin,¹³ asteltoxin,¹⁴ and asteltoxin B,¹⁵ are most likely oxidized from pyrone-polyene precursors similar to 4 (Scheme S2). Here, we demonstrate that combining a flavin-dependent monooxygenase (FMO) and an epoxide hydrolase is sufficient to regioselectively install the DBO functionality starting from the PKS product.

Searching through the sequenced genome¹⁶ of *C. arbuscula* using highly reducing (HR) PKSs as leads, we identified one candidate biosynthetic gene cluster (*aur*) on contig 1452. This cluster contains an HRPKS gene (*aurA*) and tailoring genes that potentially match the structural features of 4. The domain architecture of AurA is KS-AT-DH-MT-KR-ACP; the absence of an enoylreductase (ER) is consistent with the formation of a polyene precursor. The neighboring genes encode an O-methyltransferase (MT, *aurB*), FMO (*aurC*), a predicted α/β hydrolase (*aurD*), a protein with sequence homology to bacterial aromatic polyketide cyclase SnoaL (*aurE*),¹⁷ a putative DNA-binding protein (*aurF*), and an acyltransferase (*aurG*) (Figure 1B). Gene clusters with high sequence homology to the *aur* cluster were also found in *Metarhizium anisopliae*, another producer of aurovertins,⁵ and in *Aspergillus terreus*, the producer of citreoviridin¹⁸ (Tables S1 and S2). In *M. anisopliae*, a gene encoding ATP synthase was also found within the cluster and is likely to confer self-resistance (Figure S1). Deleting *aurA* in *C. arbuscula* (Figure S2) completely abolished aurovertin production (Figure 1C), whereas the wild-type (WT) strain produced 1–6 at high titers (~30 mg/L combined) (Figures S16–S27, Tables S7–S9), confirming the role of the *aur* cluster.

With the gene cluster in hand, we next aimed to identify the polyketide precursor synthesized by AurA. Expression of AurA in the yeast strain BJ5464-NpgA¹ (Figure S3) fed with propionate led to yellow-pigmented cell pellets and the isolation of a highly conjugated product 7 (m/z 339 [M+H]⁺, 3.1 mg/L) (Figure 3, iv). Isolation and NMR characterization (Figures S28 and S29, Table S10) showed that 7 is the hexa-ene pyrone shown in Figure 3. Thus, AurA is a highly programmed HRPKS that can (i) select propionate as the starter unit; (ii) synthesize a hexa-ene chain through the repeated functions of the KR and DH domains in the




Figure 3. Investigation of the PKS AurA function. Top: HPLC analyses of metabolites produced from fungal and yeast strains. Bottom: structures of 7 and 8, and lowest energy conformation of 8.

first six iterations; (iii) selectively introduce three α -methyl substitutions at C4, C6, and C16 using the S-adenosylmethionine-dependent MT; and (iv) shut off KR and DH in the last three iterations to afford a 1,3,5-triketone portion that can undergo intramolecular cyclization to yield the α -pyrone product 7 (Figure 2).

To determine if methylation of the C17 hydroxyl group of 7 takes place prior to epoxidation of the polyene, we inactivated *aurB*, which encodes an O-MT (Figure S4). The *C. arbuscula* mutant was unable to produce aurovertins and accumulated 7 (Figure 3, i and ii). No desmethyl versions of aurovertins could be detected in the extracts. In addition, when AurB was coexpressed with AurA in yeast, we observed the emergence of a new product, 8, that has the expected increase in mass (+14, m/z 353 [M+H]⁺, 2.8 mg/L) compared to 7 (Figure 3, v). NMR characterization confirmed the structure of 8 to be a methylated form of 7 (Figures S30–S35, Table S10). Furthermore, we inactivated *aurC* in *C. arbuscula* (Figure S5), which is the only oxidative enzyme (FMO) in the gene cluster and is most likely responsible for the subsequent epoxidation steps. The Δ *aurC* strain accumulated a significant amount of 8 (Figure 3, iii). Together these results confirmed the role of AurB and suggested that epoxidations, although they are to occur at the distal olefins of the polyene, require the pyrone to be methylated. Indeed, when AurA and AurC were coexpressed in yeast, no oxidized products were observed, and the strain remained producing 7

(Figure 3, vi). Density functional theory (DFT)¹⁹ calculations on 7 and 8 showed that the preferred conformation of the polyene region is nonplanar (C3–C4–C5–C6 dihedral = 43°, Figures 3 and S6) due to the proximity of the methyl groups attached to C4 and C6 (favored over conformer with C3–C4–C5–C6 dihedral \approx 170° by \sim 1 kcal/mol). This implies that the carbon–carbon double bond between C3 and C4 is not in conjugation with the rest of the polyene and that pyrone O-methylation does not change the electron density of the trisubstituted double bonds to any great extent (see Mulliken charges, Figure S6). Thus, the need for methylation to initiate epoxidation is likely due to the substrate specificity of the downstream mono-oxygenase (i.e., AurC).

AurC is predicted to be a membrane-anchored mono-oxygenase that has sequence homology to UbiH, the 2-octaprenyl-6-methoxyphenol hydroxylase in ubiquinone biosynthesis. This sole FMO in the gene cluster is postulated to be involved in the epoxidation steps required to oxidize 8 en route to 4. To investigate the role and timing of AurC, we coexpressed AurABC in yeast, leading to the synthesis of a series of compounds with a molecular weight of 402, including major products 9, 10, and 11 (Figure 4, i).

Comparing to the WT *C. arbuscula* strain, however, only 9 was shared between the two extracts (Figure 4, iii). Purifying these compounds was challenging due to rapid isomerization and instability. For example, purified 9 existed as a mixture of two interchangeable compounds (Figure S7). A dominant form (\sim 75%) was observed in CD₃Cl, which allowed us to assign its structure as the (3R,4R,5R,6S)-tetrahydrofuran pyrone shown in Figure 4 (Table S11, Figures S36–S41). The absolute stereochemistry of the furan ring in 9 is the required configuration to generate the DBO moiety in 4 in a subsequent epoxide-opening step (Figure 2).²⁰ Indeed, when 9 was supplemented to the *C. arbuscula* Δ aurA strain, restored production of aurovertins could be observed (Figure 4, v and vi). Confirming 9 as a biosynthetic intermediate also suggests that the three epoxidation modifications of the precursor 12 occur in two separate steps (Figure 2): bis-epoxidation of the two terminal olefins takes place first to yield 13, and another epoxidation occurs at C7–C8 after tetrahydrofuran formation to yield the epoxide 14.

We purified 10 from the yeast cell extract and solved its structure to be a 6R epimer of 9 (Table S11, Figures S42–S47).




Figure 4. Roles of AurC and AurD in biosynthesis of aurovertins. Traces i and ii are extracts from yeast; both UV and extracted ion MS traces are shown. Traces iii–vii are extracts from *C. arbuscula*. The extracted ion chromatogram of WT is shown for comparison.

The inversion in stereochemistry, however, places the C4-OH of 10 away from the C7–C8 double bond and cannot lead to the formation of 4. As expected, feeding of 10 to the Δ aurA strain did not restore aurovertin production (Figure 4, vii). We reason that, in the absence of a regioselective epoxide hydrolase, both 9 and 10 can form from 13 via a spontaneous S_N1-like reaction to yield the resonance-stabilized allylic carbocation at C6, which can be attacked by H₂O to yield the mixed stereoisomers 16 (Figure 2). Attack of the C6-OH on C3 results in formation of the diastereomers 9 and 10. Purification of 11 was not successful, as it rapidly degraded under all attempted conditions. Based on its identical UV absorbance and *m/z* to those of 9 and 10, 11 should also be a spontaneously cyclized product.

Given that 9 is the only on-pathway intermediate, and previous labeling studies confirmed the C4 oxygen is derived from H₂O rather than O₂, the formation of 9 in *C. arbuscula* must be catalyzed by an epoxide hydrolase via the 5-*exo*-tet mechanism shown in Figure 2. The only possible candidate in the gene cluster is AurD, predicted to be an integral membrane-bound α / β hydrolase based on 1D protein structure.²¹ Knocking out *aurD* in *C. arbuscula* (Figure S8) did not eliminate the production of 2 but accumulated significant amounts of new shunt products, including 10 and 11 (Figure S9). Furthermore, coexpression of AurD with AurABC in yeast led to drastic attenuation of both 10 and 11, while 9 became the predominant product, with molecular weight of 402 (Figure 4, ii). Hence, AurD is involved in the regioselective epoxide hydrolysis of 13 to form 9.

Surprisingly, in the yeast AurABCD overexpression strain, we observed noticeable amounts of 4 (Figure 4, ii). Selected-ion monitoring revealed that, even in the AurABC expression strain, production of 4 can be detected (Figure 4, i). This suggested that AurC and AurD may also be involved in the transformation of 9 to 4, which requires forming the epoxide 14 and an *anti*-Baldwin 6-*endo*-tet epoxide opening (Figure 2). We confirmed the involvement of AurC in the C7–C8 epoxidation step, as feeding of 9 to the *C. arbuscula* Δ aurC strain could not restore the production of aurovertins. Despite repeated attempts, no soluble or active AurC could be obtained for biochemical assays. Nonetheless, the yeast reconstitution and chemical complementation results confirmed the multifunctional role of AurC in catalyzing the epoxidation of three double bonds in two separate steps in the biosynthesis of 4. The low amount of 4 in AurABC-expressing yeast is due to the low amount of spontaneously formed 9. In the presence of AurD and the elevated amount of 9, the level of 4 increased correspondingly. We also observed incomplete conversion of 8 to 4 in the yeast host, most likely due to suboptimal expression and activities of fungal membrane proteins in the simpler yeast.

After formation of 14, the C4 hydroxyl group is spatially set up to attack either C7 (5-*exo*-tet) or C8 (6-*endo*-tet), to yield the dioxabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane (DBH) 15 and the DBO-containing 4, respectively. No compounds related to 15 can be found in *C. arbuscula* or in the yeast strain expressing AurABCD, suggesting that the *anti*-Baldwin epoxide opening to yield 4 is preferred and may thus also be enzymatically controlled. To understand the epoxide-opening mechanisms, DFT calculations using model substrate 17 were performed (Figure S10). The 6-*endo*-tet product was calculated to be thermodynamically favored over the 5-*exo* and 5-*endo*-tet products by 4.1 and 19.6 kcal/mol, respectively. Epoxide opening requires either an acid catalyst at the epoxide oxygen or a base catalyst at the hydroxyl, or both.²² The transition structures (TSs) for 5-*exo*, 5-*endo*, and 6-*endo*-tet epoxide openings were located with hydroxide as the base to

simulate base-catalyzed conditions (Figure S11).^{22,23} In agreement with Baldwin's rules, 5-*exo*-tet cyclization is favored by 1.6 and 22.5 kcal/mol over the 6-*endo* and 5-*endo*-tet pathways, respectively. In contrast, the 6-*endo*-tet TS is favored over S_N1 C–O bond cleavage and 5-*exo*-tet TSs under acid-catalyzed conditions by 5.3 and 7.9 kcal/mol, respectively (Figure S12). This change in mechanism is due to stabilization of the cationic TS by the carbon–carbon double bond in the 6-*endo*-tet TS.²⁴ Thus, the outcome of the spontaneous reaction is predicted to be dependent upon the reaction conditions.

To simulate simultaneous general acid/base catalysis which is common in enzymatic epoxide hydrolysis,^{22,23,25} TSs were located with formate as the base and a formic acid to protonate the epoxide oxygen. The lowest energy 6-*endo*-tet TS is lower in energy by 1.3 kcal/mol relative to 5-*exo*-tet TS. This energy difference increases to 3.1 kcal/mol if the lowest energy 6-*endo*-tet TS is compared to a 5-*exo*-tet TS with similar catalytic residue positioning (Figure S13). A 3.1 kcal/mol energy difference predicts almost exclusive formation of the 6-*endo* product (>100:1). Hence, the computational results suggest that formation of **4** from **14** is facilitated by the enzyme environment, like that of AurD. Alternatively, the active site of AurC may also provide the general acid/base residues required for formation of **4**, immediately after the epoxidation reaction.

Other enzymes in the pathways are not essential for the formation of the DBO moiety of **4**. Deleting the SnoaL-like enzyme AurE reduced yields of products, while yeast reconstitution studies with AurA and AurE showed that AurE enhances the rate of pyrone formation and product release off the PKS, consistent with its predicted role as a cyclase.^{12b} AurG was verified to be the O-acyltransferase that converts **4** to **2**, while AurF was shown to be most likely the transcriptional activator of the *aur* cluster (Figures S14 and S15).

Our studies show that the aurovertin pathway is concise and uses only two enzymes to convert the polyene pyrone into **4**. The activities of the FMO and hydrolase are well orchestrated to sequentially oxidize and regioselectively hydrolyze the epoxides. The biosyntheses of citreoviridin and asteltoxin likely involve same enzymes to generate the complexities from pyrone polyene precursors.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

● Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/jacs.5b07816.

Experimental details, spectroscopic data, computational details, complete ref 19, and computational data (PDF)

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*yitang@ucla.edu

Present Addresses

✉W.-B.Y.: The Institute of Microbiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences

▽H.-C.L.: IBC, Academia Sinica, Taiwan

✉Y.-H.C.: School of Chemistry and Biochemistry, The University of Western Australia

Author Contributions

†X.-M.M. and Z.-J.Z. contributed equally.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the NIH (1DP1GM106413 and IR01GM085128 to Y.T.), a fellowship of New Star Project from Zhejiang University to X.-M.M., the English-Speaking Union (Lindemann Trust Fellowship to M.N.G.), the NSF (CHE-1361104 to K.N.H.), and Natural Science Foundation of China (31470178 to W.-B.Y.). Computational resources were provided by the UCLA Institute for Digital Research and Education (IDRE) and the Extreme Science and Engineering Discovery Environment (XSEDE), which is supported by the NSF (OCI-1053575).

■ REFERENCES

- (1) Ma, S. M.; Li, J. W.; Choi, J. W.; Zhou, H.; Lee, K. K.; Moorthie, V. A.; Xie, X.; Kealey, J. T.; Da Silva, N. A.; Vederas, J. C.; Tang, Y. *Science* **2009**, 326, 589.
- (2) Kakule, T. B.; Sardar, D.; Lin, Z.; Schmidt, E. W. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **2013**, 8, 1549.
- (3) Scherlach, K.; Boettger, D.; Remme, N.; Hertweck, C. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* **2010**, 27, 869.
- (4) Matsuda, Y.; Awakawa, T.; Wakimoto, T.; Abe, I. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, 135, 10962.
- (5) (a) Azumi, M.; Ishidoh, K.; Kinoshita, H.; Nihira, T.; Ihara, F.; Fujita, T.; Igarashi, Y. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2008**, 71, 278. (b) Mulheirn, L. J.; Beechey, R. B.; Leworthy, D. P.; Osselton, M. D. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1974**, 874. (c) Niu, X. M.; Wang, Y. L.; Chu, Y. S.; Xue, H. X.; Li, N.; Wei, L. X.; Mo, M. H.; Zhang, K. Q. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2010**, 58, 828.
- (6) (a) van Raaij, M. J.; Abrahams, J. P.; Leslie, A. G.; Walker, J. E. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **1996**, 93, 6913. (b) Huang, T. C.; Chang, H. Y.; Hsu, C. H.; Kuo, W. H.; Chang, K. J.; Juan, H. F. *J. Proteome Res.* **2008**, 7, 1433.
- (7) Shiraiwa, K.; Yuan, S.; Fujiyama, A.; Matsuo, Y.; Tanaka, T.; Jiang, Z. H.; Kouno, I. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2012**, 75, 88.
- (8) Smith, A. B., 3rd; Dong, S.; Brennenman, J. B.; Fox, R. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, 131, 12109.
- (9) Moore, R. E.; Scheuer, P. J. *Science* **1971**, 172, 495.
- (10) Steyn, P. S.; Vleggaar, R.; Wessels, P. L. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1981**, 1298.
- (11) Steyn, P. S.; Vleggaar, R. J. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1985**, 1796.
- (12) (a) Forbes, J. E.; Bowden, M. C.; Pattenden, G. J. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1991**, 1, 1967. (b) Nishiyama, S.; Toshima, H.; Kanai, H.; Yamamura, S. *Tetrahedron* **1988**, 44, 6315.
- (13) Ueno, Y.; Ueno, I. *Jpn. J. Exp. Med.* **1972**, 42, 91.
- (14) Kawai, K.; Fukushima, H.; Nozawa, Y. *Toxicol. Lett.* **1985**, 28, 73.
- (15) Adachi, H.; Doi, H.; Kasahara, Y.; Sawa, R.; Nakajima, K.; Kubota, Y.; Hosokawa, N.; Tateishi, K.; Nomoto, A. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2015**, 78, 1730.
- (16) Mao, X. M.; Xu, W.; Li, D.; Yin, W. B.; Chooi, Y. H.; Li, Y. Q.; Tang, Y.; Hu, Y. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2015**, 54, 7592.
- (17) Sultana, A.; Kallio, P.; Jansson, A.; Wang, J. S.; Niemi, J.; Mantsala, P.; Schneider, G. *EMBO J.* **2004**, 23, 1911.
- (18) Sayood, S. F.; Suh, H.; Wilcox, C. S.; Schuster, S. M. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* **1989**, 270, 714.
- (19) Frisch, M. J.; et al. *Gaussian 09*; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, 2013.
- (20) Forbes, J. E.; Pattenden, G. J. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1991**, 1599.
- (21) Chen, K.; Kurgan, L. A.; Ruan, J. J. *Comput. Chem.* **2008**, 29, 1596.
- (22) Na, J.; Houk, K. N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, 118, 9204.
- (23) Hotta, K.; Chen, X.; Paton, R. S.; Minami, A.; Li, H.; Swaminathan, K.; Mathews, II; Watanabe, K.; Oikawa, H.; Houk, K. N.; Kim, C. Y. *Nature* **2012**, 483, 355.
- (24) Nicolaou, K. C.; Prasad, C. V. C.; Somers, P. K.; Hwang, C. K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989**, 111, 5330.
- (25) Wong, F. T.; Hotta, K.; Chen, X.; Fang, M.; Watanabe, K.; Kim, C. Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, 137, 86.