See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/6950073

Stability of High-Energy N 14 H 4 2+ Ion and the Effects of Carbon and Halogen Substitution

ARTICLE in THE JOURNAL OF PHYSICAL CHEMISTRY A · AUGUST 2006

Impact Factor: 2.69 · DOI: 10.1021/jp0620318 · Source: PubMed

READS

21

5 AUTHORS, INCLUDING:



Jacqueline Jones
University of Michigan
10 PUBLICATIONS 74 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Douglas L. Strout
Alabama State University
54 PUBLICATIONS 1,391 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



J Phys Chem A. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2008 December 8.

Published in final edited form as:

J Phys Chem A. 2006 July 20; 110(28): 9011–9013. doi:10.1021/jp0620318.

Stability of High-Energy N₁₄H₄²⁺ Ion and the Effects of Carbon and **Halogen Substitution**

Roshawnda Cottrell¹, Jacqueline Jones¹, Ami Gilchrist¹, Danielle Shields¹, and Douglas L. Strout²

- 1 Department of Biological Sciences, Alabama State University, Montgomery, AL 36101, USA
- 2 Department of Physical Sciences, Alabama State University, Montgomery, AL 36101, USA

Abstract

Previous studies of oxygen addition into an N_{12} cage framework revealed the possibility of stable high energy density materials (HEDM) resulting from such additions. In the current study, nitrogen addition into N₁₂ is studied as a means of generating stable HEDM. Nitrogen addition into N₁₂ is shown to yield an $N_{14}H_4^{2+}$ ion, which is examined by theoretical calculations to determine its stability with respect to dissociation. Other variations on this ion are generated by substituting carbon for nitrogen and/or halogens for hydrogen. The cage structures will be compared with respect to stability, and factors that enhance stability will be discussed.

Introduction

Nitrogen molecules have been the subjects of many recent studies because of their potential as high energy density materials (HEDM). An all-nitrogen molecule N_x can undergo the reaction $N_x \rightarrow (x/2) N_2$, a reaction that can be exothermic by 50 kcal/mol or more per nitrogen atom^{1,2}. To be a practical energy source, however, a molecule N_x would have to resist dissociation well enough to be a stable fuel. Theoretical studies^{3–7} have shown that numerous N_x molecules are not sufficiently stable to be practical HEDM, including cyclic and acyclic isomers with eight to twelve atoms. Cage isomers of N_8 and N_{12} have also been shown 7-10by theoretical calculations to be unstable. Experimental progress in the synthesis of nitrogen molecules has been very encouraging, with the N⁵⁺ and N⁵⁻ ions having been produced ¹¹, ¹² in the laboratory. More recently, a network polymer of nitrogen has been produced ¹³ under very high pressure conditions. Experimental successes have sparked theoretical studies 1,14, 15 on other potential allnitrogen molecules. More recent developments include the experimental synthesis of high energy molecules consisting predominantly of nitrogen, including azides 16,17 of various heteroatom-containing compounds and polyazido isomers ¹⁸ of compounds such as 1,3,5-triazine. Future developments in experiment and theory will further broaden the horizons of high energy nitrogen research.

The stability properties of N_x molecules have also been extensively studied in a computational survey ¹⁹ of various structural forms with up to 20 atoms. Cyclic, acyclic, and cage isomers have been examined to determine the bonding properties and energetics over a wide range of molecules. A more recent computational study 20 of cage isomers of N_{12} examined the specific structural features that lead to the most stable molecules among the three-coordinate nitrogen cages. Those results showed that molecules with the most pentagons in the nitrogen network tend to be the most stable, with a secondary stabilizing effect due to triangles in the cage

structure. A recent study 21 of larger nitrogen molecules N_{24} , N_{30} , and N_{36} showed significant deviations from the pentagon-favoring trend. Each of these molecule sizes has fullerene-like cages consisting solely of pentagons and hexagons, but a large stability advantage was found for molecules with fewer pentagons, more triangles, and an overall structure more cylindrical than spheroidal. Studies 22 . 23 of intermediate-sized molecules N_{14} , N_{16} , and N_{18} also showed that the cage isomer with the most pentagons was not the most stable cage, even when compared to isomer(s) containing triangles (which have 60° angles that should have significant angle strain). For each of these molecule sizes, spheroidally-shaped molecules proved to be less stable than elongated, cylindrical ones.

However, while it is possible to identify in relative terms which nitrogen cages are the most stable, it has been shown 7 in the case of N_{12} that even the most stable N_{12} cage is unstable with respect to dissociation. The number of studies demonstrating the instability of various all-nitrogen molecules has resulted in considerable attention toward compounds that are predominantly nitrogen but contain heteroatoms that stabilize the structure. In addition to the experimental studies $^{16-18}$ cited above, theoretical studies have been carried out that show, for example, that nitrogen cages can be stabilized by oxygen insertion $^{24-26}$ or phosphorus substitution 27 .

In the current study, an isomer of N_{12} will be subjected to insertion of additional nitrogen atoms, resulting in an $N_{14}H_4^{2+}$ ion. The additional nitrogen atoms are intended to relieve ring strain and stabilize the parent N_{12} . The hydrogen atoms simply satisfy the valences of the additional nitrogen atoms. The overall structure is still very nitrogen-rich. The stability of the ion will be evaluated by theoretical calculations of various dissociation processes. Variations of the $N_{14}H_4^{2+}$ structure will also be examined, including carbon substitutions for nitrogen atoms and halogen substitution for the hydrogen atoms. The effects of these substitutions on stability will be calculated and discussed, with the goal of identifying stable molecules and identifying stabilizing structural features that may lead to the experimental synthesis of stable high-energy molecules.

Computational Methods

Geometries are optimized with the Hartree-Fock (HF) method, and single energy points are calculated with fourth-order perturbation theory 28 (MP4(SDQ)). The basis set is the correlation-consistent polarized valence double zeta (cc-pVDZ) set of Dunning 29 . This choice of theory method and basis set is valid for the molecules in this study, for several reasons: (1) MP4 theory has been previously shown to agree well with CCSD(T) in a similar bond-breaking study 30 , (2) MP4 theory, when used for single points, has been previously shown 26 to be insensitive to the choice of geometry, and (3) basis set effects were shown 30 to be small in a similar bond-breaking study. (In fact, for several intermediates in this study, density functional theory optimizations were attempted. Failure of DFT for molecules of this type is also consistent with previous work 26 .) Geometries of intact molecules are optimized in the singlet state, and dissociation intermediates are optimized in the triplet state, which is the ground state for all dissociations in this study. The intact molecules and all of their dissociation intermediates have been verified as local minima by HF/cc-pVDZ vibrational frequencies. All calculations have been carried out with the Gaussian03 quantum chemistry software 31 and its Windows-based counterpart Gaussian03W.

Results and Discussion

The structure of $N_{14}H_4^{2+}$ is shown in Figure 1. This structural framework is applicable to all molecules in this study. The other molecules in this study result from substitutions on the $N_{14}H_4^{2+}$ molecule. Carbon substitution for the four-coordinate nitrogens leads to a molecule

of $N_{12}C_2H_4$. Both $N_{14}H_4^{2+}$ and $N_{12}C_2H_4$ are subjected to substitutions of halogens for the hydrogens, resulting in molecules $N_{14}F_4^{2+}$, $N_{14}Cl_4^{2+}$, $N_{12}C_2F_4$, and $N_{12}C_2Cl_4$. Therefore, this study includes six molecules in all. All of the molecules have D_{2d} point group symmetry, and each cage structure has four symmetry-independent framework bonds, which are labeled in Figure 1. Energies are calculated for one-bond-breaking processes on each of the framework bonds. Bond dissociation energies are computed as energy differences between the reactant molecule with all bonds intact and the respective dissociation intermediates with one bond broken. The bond with the lowest bond-breaking energy is considered the weakest link in the framework and the likeliest site where the detonation of the high-energy molecule would begin.

Bond-breaking energies for the $N_{14}X_4^{2+}$ (X = H, F, Cl) ions are shown in Table 1. All framework bonds are nitrogen-nitrogen bonds and are labeled NN1, NN2, NN3, and NN4, as shown in Figure 1. Hartree-Fock theory predicts that the NN3 bond is weakest for $N_{14}H_4^{2+}$ and $N_{14}F_4^{2+}$, but that NN1 is the weakest bond for $N_{14}Cl_4^{2+}$. With the more accurate MP4/HF level of theory, the NN1 bond is the weakest for $N_{14}H_4^{2+}$, $N_{14}F_4^{2+}$, and $N_{14}Cl_4^{2+}$. Further, it appears that the presence of the halogens weakens the NN1 bond, since the NN1 dissociation energies progress as $N_{14}H_4^{2+} > N_{14}F_4^{2+} > N_{14}Cl_4^{2+}$. In $N_{14}H_4^{2+}$, the NN1 dissociation energy is about 30 kcal/mol, so it is possible that this ion is stable enough to be a high energy density material. Since this bond is weaker for the halogens, the halogenated ions may dissociate too easily to be practical energetic materials.

The weakness in the NN1 bond can be resolved, with a loss of some nitrogen content, by substituting a carbon atom on each end of the molecule. Including halogen substitutions, it is possible to devise molecules with the formulas $N_{12}C_2H_4$, $N_{12}C_2F_4$, and $N_{12}C_2Cl_4$, which are based on the same framework shown in Figure 1. Due to the strength of the C-N bond, the weakest bond in these molecules is now the NN3 bond. At the MP4/HF level of theory, this bond has over 35 kcal/mol resistance to dissociation for all of the carbon-containing molecules in this study. Further, the NN3 bond strength is relatively insensitive to the halogen substitution, which may be due to the distance from the NN3 bond to the hydrogen/halogen sites. Each of these molecules should have stability sufficient for a high energy density material.

Energy release properties

The carbon substitution renders the molecules stable enough to be HEDM, but how much energy is released by decomposition of the molecules? Table 3 shows the energies of decomposition reactions of $N_{12}C_2H_4$, $N_{12}C_2F_4$, and $N_{12}C_2Cl_4$. Energies are calculated at the MP4/HF level of theory with the cc-pVDZ basis set. The decomposition reactions shown are unimolecular, making no assumptions about atmosphere or the availability of additional reactants. Therefore, the energies are entirely the result of decomposition. The energies per mole are roughly the same for the three molecules, meaning that the three molecules are equally energetic. The energies per unit mass decrease with halogen substitution because of the decreasing nitrogen content of the molecules.

Conclusion

The stability of predominantly nitrogen cages is influenced by a number of factors, including structure and composition. An effective high energy density material is one that has favorable energetic properties and sufficient stability to be of practical use. Nitrogen content provides the energy release, but nitrogen content may have to be exchanged for substituents that stabilize the structure. For the molecules in this study, carbon substitution for nitrogen brings about greater stability, with some loss of nitrogen content. Halogen substitution causes instability in $N_{14}H_4^{2+}$, and while halogens do not destabilize the carbonated molecules, they cause a decrease in per mass energy release with no increase in stability. The results of this study indicate which structural features are stabilizing and which are destabilizing, which may

influence the experimental synthesis of high-energy molecules in the future. Tailoring high-energy nitrogen materials for practical use involves the inclusion of heteroatoms to maximize stability while maintaining high energy release properties.

Acknowledgements

The Alabama Supercomputer Authority is gratefully acknowledged for a grant of computer time on the SGI Altix in Huntsville, AL. This work was partially supported by the National Computational Science Alliance under grant number CHE050022N and utilized the IBM p690 cluster in Champaign, Illinois. RC, JJ, AG, and DS are undergraduate scholars supported by the Minority Access to Research Careers (MARC) program administered by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (NIH/NIGMS 5T34GM08167-20). DLS is supported by MARC as a faculty research mentor. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation (NSF/HBCU-UP grant 0505872). This work was also supported by the National Institutes of Health (NIH/NCMHD 1P20MD000547-01). The taxpayers of the state of Alabama in particular and the United States in general are gratefully acknowledged.

References

- 1. Fau S, Bartlett RJ. J Phys Chem A 2001;105:4096.
- 2. Tian A, Ding F, Zhang L, Xie Y, Schaefer HF III. J Phys Chem A 1997;101:1946.
- 3. Chung G, Schmidt MW, Gordon MS. J Phys Chem A 2000;104:5647.
- 4. Strout DL. J Phys Chem A 2002;106:816.
- 5. Thompson MD, Bledson TM, Strout DL. J Phys Chem A 2002;106:6880.
- 6. Li QS, Liu YD. Chem Phys Lett 2002;353:204.Li QS, Qu H, Zhu HS. Chin Sci Bull 1996;41:1184.
- 7. Li QS, Zhao JF. J Phys Chem A 2002;106:5367.Qu H, Li QS, Zhu HS. Chin Sci Bull 1997;42:462.
- 8. Gagliardi L, Evangelisti S, Widmark PO, Roos BO. Theor Chem Acc 1997;97:136.
- 9. Gagliardi L, Evangelisti S, Bernhardsson A, Lindh R, Roos BO. Int J Quantum Chem 2000;77:311.
- 10. Schmidt MW, Gordon MS, Boatz JA. Int J Quantum Chem 2000;76:434.
- 11. Christe KO, Wilson WW, Sheehy JA, Boatz JA. Angew Chem Int Ed 1999;38:2004.
- 12. Vij A, Pavlovich JG, Wilson WW, Vij V, Christe KO. Angew Chem Int Ed 2002;41:3051.Butler RN, Stephens JC, Burke LA. Chem Commun 2003;8:1016.
- 13. Eremets MI, Gavriliuk AG, Trojan IA, Dzivenko DA, Boehler R. Nature Materials 2004;3:558.
- 14. Fau S, Wilson KJ, Bartlett RJ. J Phys Chem A 2002;106:4639.
- 15. Dixon DA, Feller D, Christe KO, Wilson WW, Vij A, Vij V, Jenkins HDB, Olson RM, Gordon MS. J Am Chem Soc 2004;126:834. [PubMed: 14733558]
- 16. Knapp C, Passmore J. Angew Chem Int Ed 2004;43:4834.
- 17. Haiges R, Schneider S, Schroer T, Christe KO. Angew Chem Int Ed 2004;43:4919.
- 18. Huynh MV, Hiskey MA, Hartline EL, Montoya DP, Gilardi R, Angew Chem Int Ed 2004;43:4924.
- 19. Glukhovtsev MN, Jiao H, Schleyer PvR. Inorg Chem 1996;35:7124. [PubMed: 11666896]
- 20. Bruney LY, Bledson TM, Strout DL. Inorg Chem 2003;42:8117. [PubMed: 14632534]
- 21. Strout DL. J Phys Chem A 2004;108:2555.
- 22. Sturdivant SE, Nelson FA, Strout DL. J Phys Chem A 2004;108:7087.
- 23. Strout DL. J Phys Chem A 2004;108:10911.
- 24. Strout DL. J Phys Chem A 2003;107:1647.
- 25. Sturdivant SE, Strout DL. J Phys Chem A 2004;108:4773.
- 26. Colvin KD, Strout DL. J Phys Chem A 2005;109:8011. [PubMed: 16834183]
- 27. Strout DL. J Chem Theory Comput 2005;1:561.
- 28. Moller C, Plesset MS. Phys Rev 1934;46:618.
- 29. Dunning TH Jr. J Chem Phys 1989;90:1007.
- 30. Strout DL. J Phys Chem A 2006;110:4089. [PubMed: 16539433]
- 31. Frisch, MJ.; Trucks, GW.; Schlegel, HB.; Scuseria, GE.; Robb, MA.; Cheeseman, JR.; Montgomery, JA., Jr; Vreven, T.; Kudin, KN.; Burant, JC.; Millam, JM.; Iyengar, SS.; Tomasi, J.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Cossi, M.; Scalmani, G.; Rega, N.; Petersson, GA.; Nakatsuji, H.; Hada, M.; Ehara,

M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Klene, M.; Li, X.; Knox, JE.; Hratchian, HP.; Cross, JB.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, RE.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, AJ.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, JW.; Ayala, PY.; Morokuma, K.; Voth, GA.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, JJ.; Zakrzewski, VG.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, AD.; Strain, MC.; Farkas, O.; Malick, DK.; Rabuck, AD.; Raghavachari, K.; Foresman, JB.; Ortiz, JV.; Cui, Q.; Baboul, AG.; Clifford, S.; Cioslowski, J.; Stefanov, BB.; Liu, G.; Liashenko, A.; Piskorz, P.; Komaromi, I.; Martin, RL.; Fox, DJ.; Keith, T.; Al-Laham, MA.; Peng, CY.; Nanayakkara, A.; Challacombe, M.; Gill, PMW.; Johnson, B.; Chen, W.; Wong, MW.; Gonzalez, C.; Pople, JA. Gaussian 03, Revision B.01. Gaussian, Inc; Pittsburgh PA: 2003.




Figure 1. Structural diagram for $N_{14}H_4^{2+}$ and substituted molecules in this study. Atoms shown in white are nitrogen in all molecules. Gray represents the site for carbon/nitrogen substitution. Black represents the site for hydrogen/halogen substitution. Symmetry-independent framework bonds are labeled.

 $\textbf{TABLE 1}\\ Bond-breaking energies for N_{14}H_4^{2+},\,N_{14}F_4^{2+},\,and\,\,N_{14}Cl_4^{2+}.\,All\,\,calculations\,\,carried\,\,out\,\,with\,\,cc-pVDZ\,\,basis\,\,set$ (energies in kcal/mol)

Method	Bond	$N_{14}H_4^{2+}$	$N_{14}F_4^{2+}$	$N_{14}Cl_4^{2+}$
HF	NN1	+3.7	+0.1	-5.1
	NN2	+9.2	+4.1	+9.6
	NN3	+3.0	-1.3	+5.4
	NN4	+42.7	+38.5	+42.3
MP4/HF	NN1	+30.6	+28.5	+23.6
	NN2	+36.1	+30.8	+36.2
	NN3	+34.1	+30.3	+34.5
	NN4	+65.4	+62.4	+64.0

TABLE 2

Bond-breaking energies for $N_{12}C_2H_4$, $N_{12}C_2F_4$, and $N_{12}C_2Cl_4$. All calculations carried out with cc-pVDZ basis set (energies in kcal/mol)

Method	Bond	$N_{12}C_2H_4$	$N_{12}C_2F_4$	$N_{14}C_2Cl_2$
HF	CN	+57.0	+56.0	+42.7
	NN2	+21.9	+19.7	+18.7
	NN3	+8.3	+5.9	+8.4
	NN4	+53.8	+52.7	+53.0
MP4/HF	CN	+81.5	+81.0	+69.9
	NN2	+48.7	+46.2	+45.5
	NN3	+37.1	+35.1	+36.7
	NN4	+77.9	+77.8	+76.7

TABLE 3

Energy release properties of the nitrogen-carbon molecules $N_{12}C_2H_4$, $N_{12}C_2F_4$, and $N_{12}C_2Cl_4$. Energies are calculated for unimolecular dissociations, with no assumptions about the atmosphere in which the energy release takes place. Energies are calculated at the MP4/HF level of theory with the cc-pVDZ basis set

Molecule	Reaction	kcal/mol	kcal/g
$N_{12}C_2H_4$	$N_{12}C_2H_4 \rightarrow 6 N_2 + C_2H_4$	-440.5	-2.2
$N_{12}C_2F_4$	$N_{12}C_2F_4 \rightarrow 6 N_2 + C_2F_4$	-433.2	-1.6
$N_{12}C_2Cl_4$	$N_{12}C_2Cl_4 \rightarrow 6 N_2 + C_2Cl_4$	-465.4	-1.4