# Temperature Dependence of Equilibrium and Transport Properties of Decyldimethylbenzylammonium Chloride in Aqueous Solutions

A. González-Pérez,† J. L. Del Castillo,† J. Czapkiewicz,‡ and J. R. Rodríguez\*,†

Universidad de Santiago de Compostela, Facultad de Física, Departamento de Física de la Materia Condensada, E-15706 Santiago de Compostela, Spain, and Jagiellonian University, Faculty of Chemistry, Ingardena 3, 30-060 Krakow, Poland

Apparent and partial molar volumes of decyldimethylbenzylammonium chloride ( $C_{10}DBACl$ ) at (15, 25, and 35) °C have been calculated from results of density measurements. The specific conductivities of the solutions have been determined at the same temperatures. The results served for the estimation of critical micelle concentration, cmc, ionization degree,  $\beta$ , and standard free energy of micellization,  $\Delta G_{\rm m}^{\rm o}$ , of the surfactant. From equivalent conductivity measured at 25 °C in the low concentration range, the transport number of the  $C_{10}DBA^+$  cation has been estimated. The aggregation number, n, of the micelles has been estimated from the conductivity data.

#### Introduction

Alkyldimethylbenzylammonium salts are well-known for their germicidal activity and have found a broad application as antiseptics and disinfectants (Kopecky, 1996). The long-chain homologues (C14 and C16) form stable water/oil microemulsions (Jayalakshmi and Beysens, 1992). The micellar properties of such salts in aqueous solutions have been examined by various authors, and a survey of the results was presented in a recent paper (Del Castillo et al., 2000). This paper is a continuation of our conductivity and density measurements of  $C_{10}DBACl$  in aqueous solution.

Specific conductivity data at (15, 25, and 35) °C served for the evaluation of the temperature-dependent critical micelle concentration (cmc), the micelle ionization degree  $(\beta)$ , and the standard free energy of micellization  $(\Delta G_{\rm m}^{\circ})$ . The limiting equivalent conductivity  $(\Lambda_0)$  of the salt was calculated from conductivity results at 25 °C in the low concentration range. This value served for the estimation of the transport number  $(t_{\rm m})$  of the  $C_{10}{\rm DBA}^+$  cation and the aggregation number (n) of the micelles. The apparent and partial molar volume data were derived from density measurements at (15, 25, and 35) °C.

## **Materials and Methods**

 $C_{10}DBACl$  was synthesized by quaternization of N,N-benzyldimethylamine with decyl chloride in ethanol and purified as described earlier (Del Castillo et al., 2000). The air-dried salt existed as a dihydrate, the amount of water being determined by the Karl Fischer method. Bidistilled water and solutions were properly degassed using an ultrasound unit.

Specific conductivity was measured by using a Kyoto Electronics conductometer, model CM-117, and, independently, on a different sample of  $C_{10}DBACl$ , using an Elmetron conductometer, model CX-732. The cell constants were determined at different temperatures using KCl solutions.

The conductivity of the water was  $(1.8 \pm 0.2, 2.3 \pm 0.2, \text{ and } 2.7 \pm 0.3) \, \mu \text{S cm}^{-1}$  at  $(15, 25, \text{ and } 35) \, ^{\circ}\text{C}$ , respectively. Weighed amounts of concentrated solutions of known molalities were introduced into the cells and were stirred continuously. The conductivities were measured at constant temperature while the solutions were diluted successively by adding predetermined amounts of water. Measurements made with selected samples at different temperatures show a repeatability better than 0.2% and 0.7% for the Elmetron and Kyoto conductometers, respectively. The cells were immersed in a thermostated bath, maintaining the temperature within  $\pm 0.01 \, ^{\circ}\text{C}$ . In each experiment, the conductivity of pure water was subtracted from the value indicated by the conductometer.

The measurements of densities were carried out with an Anton Paar DMA 60/602 vibrating tube densimeter operating under the static option, the accuracy of the instrument being  $\pm 2\times 10^{-6}$  g cm $^{-3}$ . Solutions were prepared by mass using a Mettler H51 precision balance. The molalities of the solutions were prepared within an accuracy of  $\pm 0.0001$  mol kg $^{-1}$ . The temperature inside the vibrating tube cell was measured using Anton Paar DT 100-20 and DT 100-30 digital thermometers and was regulated better than  $\pm 0.01$  °C using a HETO DBT thermostat. The apparatus was calibrated at atmospheric pressure before each series of measurements.

### **Results and Discussion**

The values of specific conductivity,  $\kappa$ , of  $C_{10}DBACl$  as a function of molality, m, at temperatures of (15, 25, and 35) °C are listed in Table 1. The data shown were obtained by using the Elmetron conductometer. Two linear segments in the conductivity versus molality plots can be displayed, and the cmc of the surfactant was taken as the intersection of the apparently straight lines. The degree of ionization,  $\beta$ , of the micelles was estimated from the ratio of the slopes of the two linear segments above and below cmc (Lianos and Lang, 1983). Assuming that micellization occurs according to the charged phase separation model of micellization (Mukerjee, 1961), the standard free energy

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Fax: 34 981 520676. E-mail: fmjulio@usc.es.

<sup>†</sup> Universidad de Santiago de Compostela.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> Jagiellonian University.

Table 1. Specific Conductivity, K, for the  $C_{10}DBACl-Water$  System at Different Temperatures

01000	Clubbilet water system at Different Temperatures							
m	κ	m	κ	m	κ	m	κ	
mmol	mS	mmol	mS	mmol	mS	mmol	mS	
$ m kg^{-1}$	$\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$	$ m kg^{-1}$	$\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$	$ m kg^{-1}$	$\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$	$ m kg^{-1}$	$\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$	
			t =	15 °C				
78.7	3.540	57.1	2.983	42.2	2.544	28.9	1.890	
74.6	3.438	54.9	2.922	39.9	2.449	27.3	1.793	
71.0	3.342	52.9	2.870	37.9	2.356	25.9	1.703	
67.7	3.261	51.1	2.816	36.0	2.257	24.5	1.624	
64.7	3.180	47.8	2.721	34.3	2.168	23.4	1.550	
61.9	3.112	44.8	2.629	32.8	2.079	22.3	1.484	
59.4	3.044			30.7	2.000			
<i>t</i> = 25 °C								
78.9	4.527	52.9	3.602	34.1	2.679	25.7	2.023	
74.7	4.383	49.4	3.466	32.7	2.580	25.0	1.962	
71.0	4.253	46.4	3.343	31.5	2.483	24.3	1.908	
67.7	4.136	43.7	3.229	30.3	2.392	23.3	1.828	
64.6	4.029	41.3	3.117	29.2	2.308	22.5	1.754	
61.9	3.928	39.2	3.010	28.3	2.229	21.7	1.687	
59.4	3.838	37.4	2.901	27.3	2.156	21.0	1.624	
57.0	3.754	35.7	2.785	26.5	2.088			
		t = 25	°C (lov	v concenti	ration)			
1.995	0.189	1.456	0.138	1.081	0.103	0.7724	0.074	
1.895	0.179	1.402	0.133	1.023	0.098	0.7421	0.071	
1.804	0.171	1.352	0.129	0.9704	0.092	0.7141	0.068	
1.722	0.163		0.124	0.9231	0.088	0.6881	0.066	
1.647	0.156	1.221	0.116	0.8801	0.084	0.6640	0.064	
1.578	0.150	1.147	0.109	0.8410	0.080			
1.515	0.144			0.8052	0.077			
<i>t</i> = 35 °C								
76.8	5.598	56.5	4.617		3.535	27.4	2.737	
73.0	5.418	52.5	4.417		3.407	26.4	2.646	
69.6	5.255	49.0	4.241	33.6	3.282	25.5	2.561	
66.5	5.104	46.0	4.079		3.161	24.6	2.482	
63.7	4.964	43.3	3.933	30.8	3.041	23.9	2.408	
61.1	4.836	41.0	3.798	29.6	2.935	23.1	2.337	
58.7	4.722	38.8	3.668	28.4	2.832			

Table 2. Values of Critical Micelle Concentration, cmc, Ionization Degree,  $\beta$ , and Standard Free Energy of Micellization,  $\Delta G_{\rm m}^{\rm o}$ , with the Kyoto Electronics CM-117 (a) and the Elmetron CX-731 (b) Conductivimeters

$\frac{t}{^{\circ}C}$	$\frac{\mathrm{cmc}(a)}{\mathrm{mmol}\ \mathrm{kg}^{-1}}$	$\beta$ (a)	$\frac{\Delta G_{\rm m}^{\circ}(a)}{\rm kJ\ mol^{-1}}$	$\frac{cmc(b)}{mmol\ kg^{-1}}$	$\beta$ (b)	$\frac{\Delta G_{\rm m}^{\circ}(b)}{\text{kJ mol}^{-1}}$
15.0	40.7	0.424	-27.2	39.1	0.421	-27.4
25.0	38.3	0.451	-27.7	38.7	0.424	-28.4
35.0	37.7	0.487	-27.8	37.9	0.519	-27.6

of micellization,  $\Delta G_{\mathrm{m}}^{\circ}$ , was calculated from the relation

$$\Delta G_{\rm m}^{\circ} = (2 - \beta)RT \ln x_{\rm cmc} \tag{1}$$

where  $x_{\rm cmc}$  is the value of critical micelle concentration expressed in mole fraction. The values of cmc,  $\beta$ , and  $\Delta G_{\rm m}^{\circ}$  are collected in Table 2, where the results obtained with the two different instruments are included. The relative difference between the two values for cmc is <4%, and that for  $\beta$  is <7%. The obtained values for  $\beta$  are high in comparison with those of normal alkyltrimethylammonium salts, but they are comparable with those found for micelles formed by double-chained amphiphiles (Zana, 1980).

We have used the conductivity data obtained, for the low concentration range, (1.987 to 0.547 mM) of  $C_{10}DBACl$  at 25 °C to estimate, by extrapolation, the equivalent conductivity of the solute at infinite dilution,  $\Lambda_0$ . It amounts to 97.24 cm² S mol<sup>-1</sup>. The concentrations needed for the purpose were calculated from the molality and density data. The limiting equivalent conductivity of the  $C_{10}DBA^+$  cation  $\Lambda_0^{m+}$  equals correspondingly 20.93 cm² S mol<sup>-1</sup> if the value of  $\Lambda_0$  for the chloride anion is taken as 76.31 cm²

Table 3. Density,  $\rho$ , Apparent Molar Volume,  $V_{\phi}$ , and Partial Molar Volume,  $V_2$ , of C<sub>10</sub>DBACl at Different Temperatures

m		$V_{\phi}$	$V_2$	m		$V_{\phi}$	$V_2$
mmol	ρ	cm <sup>3</sup>	cm <sup>3</sup>	mmol	ρ	cm <sup>3</sup>	cm <sup>3</sup>
$ m kg^{-1}$	g cm <sup>-1</sup>	$mol^{-1}$	$mol^{-1}$	$ m kg^{-1}$	g cm <sup>-1</sup>	$mol^{-1}$	$mol^{-1}$
			t = 1	5 °C			
0	0.999 097			58.3	0.999259	309.4	314.2
10.2	0.999148	307.3	307.2	66.9	0.999246	309.9	314.4
14.9	0.999 172	307.2	307.1	71.2	0.999235	310.3	314.3
19.5	0.999 195	307.2	307.2	78.2	0.999223	310.6	313.8
25.7	0.999225	307.2	307.2	98.5	0.999185	311.3	314.3
31.6	0.999255	307.2	307.8	134.1	0.999 104	312.2	314.7
37.2	0.999274	307.4	309.0	150.8	0.999074	312.4	314.1
41.9	0.999288	307.6	310.8	186.9	$0.999\ 004$	312.8	314.1
49.6	0.999280	308.5	314.0	318.1	0.998 778	313.3	314.3
55.3	0.999 267	309.1	314.9	439.3	$0.998\ 591$	313.5	314.3
			t=2	25 °C			
0	0.997 043			66.9	0.996973	313.9	317.2
10.2	$0.997\ 064$	311.2	311.4	71.2	0.99696	314.1	316.7
14.9	0.997 068	311.3	311.8	78.2	0.996928	314.4	317.4
19.5	0.997 073	311.5	312.0	97.8	0.996842	315.0	305.2
25.7	0.997 079	311.6	312.0	98.5	0.996856	314.8	304.5
31.6	0.997 081	311.6	312.4	134.1	0.996697	315.6	317.1
37.2	0.997 079	311.9	314.2	150.8	$0.996\ 651$	315.6	316.4
41.9	0.997 068	312.3	314.6	186.9	0.996505	315.9	317.1
55.3	0.997~028	313.1	317.1	318.1	$0.996\ 017$	316.4	317.2
58.3	0.997 015	313.4	317.4	439.3	$0.995\ 614$	316.6	317.2
			t=3	85 °C			
0	$0.994\ 027$			58.3	0.993 871	316.6	318.5
10.2	$0.994\ 021$	314.7	314.7	66.9	0.99382	317.1	320.4
14.9	0.994 017	314.7	314.7	71.2	0.993 792	317.3	319.9
19.5	0.994 013	314.7	315.1	78.2	0.993 756	317.4	319.5
25.7	0.994 002	314.9	315.5	97.8	$0.993\ 64$	318.0	320.1
31.6	0.993994	315.0	315.2	134.1	$0.993\ 423$	318.6	320.1
37.2	0.993~987	315.0	315.1	186.9	$0.993\ 14$	318.9	319.8
41.9	0.993981	315.0	318.5	318.1	0.992 445	319.4	320.0
49.6	$0.993\ 911$	316.3	321.7	439.3	$0.991\ 892$	319.4	319.6
55.3	0.993881	316.6	318.4				

S mol<sup>-1</sup> (Vanysek, 1996). The transport number,  $t_m = \Lambda_0^{m+}/\Lambda_0$ , for the C<sub>10</sub>DBA<sup>+</sup> cation at 25 °C amounts thus to 0.215

An estimation of the micellar charge from conductivity data of aqueous detergent solutions can be made by applying the approach of Kimizuka and Satake (1962); they assumed that above the cmc there is only one kind of micelle of aggregation number n, with a degree of ionization amounting to  $\beta$ . An additional assumption that  $\Lambda = \Lambda_0 + a\sqrt{I}$  (where a is a constant and I is the ionic strength) is valid in the pre- and postmicellar regions and leads to the equation

$$\left(\frac{\Lambda_0 - \Lambda}{\Lambda_0 - \Lambda_{\rm cmc}}\right)^2 = \left(1 - \frac{\beta(1 + n\beta)}{2}\right) + \frac{\beta(1 + n\beta)}{2}\left(\frac{c}{c_{\rm cmc}}\right)$$
(2)

where  $\Lambda_{\rm cmc}$  is the equivalent conductivity of the solute just at the cmc. From the linear relation between  $[(\Lambda_0 - \Lambda)/(\Lambda_0 - \Lambda_{\rm cmc})]^2$  and  $c/c_{\rm cmc}$ , the value of the aggregation number n can be inferred. The value of n can be obtained either from the intercept or from the slope. Both approaches yield comparable values of n, the mean value amounting to 48  $\pm$  2.

The values of the density,  $\rho$ , of C<sub>10</sub>DBACl in aqueous solutions at (15, 25, and 35) °C are listed in Table 3, together with apparent and partial molar volumes. The values of the apparent molar volume,  $V_{\phi}$ , have been calculated from the expression

$$V_{\phi} = M/\rho - 10^{3}(\rho - \rho_{0})/(m\rho\rho_{0})$$
 (3)

Table 4. Debye-Hückel Limiting Law Coefficient, Av, Apparent Molar Volumes at Infinite Dilution,  $V_{\scriptscriptstyle arphi}$ , and at the cmc,  $V_{\scriptscriptstyle \varphi}^{\rm cmc}$ , and Apparent Molar Volumes of Micellization,  $\Delta V_{\varphi}^{\text{m}}$ , at (15, 25, and 35) °C

<u>−</u>	$\frac{A_{\rm v}}{{\rm cm}^3~{\rm kg}^{1/2}~{\rm mol}^{-3/2}}$	$\frac{V_\phi^{\circ}}{\mathrm{cm}^3\ \mathrm{mol}^{-1}}$	$\frac{V_\phi^{\rm cmc}}{{\rm cm}^3~{\rm mol}^{-1}}$	$\frac{\Delta  V_\phi^{\rm m}}{{\rm cm}^3  {\rm mol}^{-1}}$
15.0	1.696	307.2	307.2	7.0
25.0	1.865	310.7	311.3	5.7
35.0	2.040	314.3	315.3	4.7

where  $\rho_0$  is the density of pure water, M is the molar mass of the solute, and *m* is its molality. From this, the partial molar volume  $V_2$  can be obtained as

$$V_2 = V_{\phi} + m(\partial V_{\phi}/\partial m)_{TP} \tag{4}$$

In the premicellar region, the values of  $V_{\phi}$  can be expressed by the relation

$$V_{\phi} = V_{\phi}^{\circ} + A_{v} m^{1/2} + B_{v} m \tag{5}$$

where  $V_{\phi}^{\circ}$  is the value of apparent molar volume at infinite dilution, Av is the Debye-Hückel limiting law coefficient, and  $B_v$  is an adjustable parameter. The fit of the  $V_{\phi}$  values to this equation enables us to obtain the limiting value of  $V_{\phi}^{\circ}$ . These values and the values of  $A_{\rm v}$  for

1:1 electrolytes are listed in Table 4. In the postmicellar region, the values of  $V_{\phi}$  have been fitted to the asymptotic function

$$V_{\phi} = V_{\phi}^{\text{cmc}} + \Delta V_{\phi}^{\text{m}}(m - \text{cmc})/[B + (m - \text{cmc})] \quad (6)$$

where  $V_\phi^{\rm cmc}$  is the value of the apparent molar volume at the cmc and  $\Delta V_\phi^{\rm m}$  is the difference between the limiting value at high concentration of surfactant and  $V_\phi^{\rm cmc}$ . It can be identified with the change in the apparent molar volume upon micellization. Values for these parameters are also listed in Table 4.

#### **Literature Cited**

Del Castillo, J. L.; Czapkiewicz, J.; González-Pérez, A.; Rodríguez, J. R. Colloids Surf., A 2000, 166, 161-169. Jayalakshmi, Y.; Beysens, D. Phys. Rev. A 1992, 45, 8709. Kimizuka, H.; Satake, I. Bull Chem Soc. Jpn. 1962, 35, 251-253. Kopecky, F. Pharmazie 1996, 51, 135. Lianos, P.; Lang, J. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 1983, 96, 222. Mukerjee, P. Adv. Colloid Interface Sci. 1961, 1, 241. Vanýsek, P. In Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 76th ed.; CRC Press: New York, 1996; pp 5–90. Zana, R. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 1980, 78, 330.

Received for review April 19, 2000. Accepted February 12, 2001. JE000114Q