


Communication

Controllable Fabrication of Supramolecular Nanocoils and Nanoribbons and Their Morphology-Dependent Photoswitching

Yiqun Zhang, Penglei Chen, Lang Jiang, Wenping Hu, and Minghua Liu

J. Am. Chem. Soc., **2009**, 131 (8), 2756-2757 • DOI: 10.1021/ja805891k • Publication Date (Web): 10 February 2009

Downloaded from <http://pubs.acs.org> on February 25, 2009



More About This Article

Additional resources and features associated with this article are available within the HTML version:

- Supporting Information
- Access to high resolution figures
- Links to articles and content related to this article
- Copyright permission to reproduce figures and/or text from this article


[View the Full Text HTML](#)



ACS Publications
High quality. High impact.

detected. The 1B_b band is also accompanied by a shoulder peak centered at 257 nm. These results indicate most of the J-aggregates, formed before the plateau region, are transformed to H-aggregates upon increasing surface pressure. As shown in Scheme 1, slight

Scheme 1. Formation of Nanocoils and Straight Nanoribbons^a



^a The alkyl chains take trans-zigzag and gauche conformations in the nanocoil and nanoribbon, respectively, both of which are schemed in a straight conformation for simplicity. The intermolecular hydrogen bonds between amide hydrogens and carbonyl groups are omitted for clarity. An optimized supramolecular assembly is presented in Figure S6.

π - π overlapping exists in the J-aggregates, whereas relatively strong π - π overlapping occurs in the H-aggregates.

It should be noted the 1L_a band, which is maximum at 388 nm in solution, is red-shifted to 395 and 390 nm for the films deposited at 9 and 20 mN/m, respectively. These red shifts can be assigned to the formation of "oblique aggregates", where the dipole moments of the anthracene rings are staggered at a certain angle rather than exactly parallel to each other.⁸ This inspired us to investigate the circular dichroism (CD) spectra of the films (Figure S4).⁹ The film deposited at 9 mN/m shows no CD signals, while that deposited at 20 mN/m exhibits a Cotton effect with an exciton couplet.⁷ This indicates the molecules have experienced symmetry breaking at the air/water interface at a higher surface pressure.⁹ The film deposited at 9 mN/m displays no CD signal, indicating the chromophores are not stacked efficiently. However, the strong exciton couplet indicates the neighboring chromophores are stacked in a rather close way in the film deposited at 20 mN/m. These results indicate only a slight π - π overlapping exists in the film deposited at 9 mN/m, while the π - π overlapping is large in the film deposited at 20 mN/m, where the anthracene chromophores are arranged as H-aggregates.

Quantum mechanical calculations and structural analysis¹⁰ have predicted that efficient charge transport can be obtained when conjugated molecules have strong interactions with neighboring molecules to maximize the overlap of π molecular orbits. Hence, the larger π - π overlapping in the film deposited at 20 mN/m is expected to provide more efficient carrier transport. As shown in Scheme 1, the intermolecular hydrogen bonds (FT-IR, Figure S5) together with π - π interactions link the molecules to form one-dimensional assemblies. Due to the directionality nature of H-bonding, the adjacent units are stacked at a certain angle, resulting in the formation of coiled nanostructures or chiroptical nanoribbons under different surface pressures. When the surface pressure is 9 mN/m, the neighboring anthracene rings show only a slight π - π overlapping, which disfavors the carrier moving and decreases the carrier mobility μ .¹⁰ When the surface pressure is 20 mN/m, the molecules are compressed to form H-aggregates, resulting in a larger efficient π - π overlapping. This kind of packing favors the carrier moving and giving an appropriate carrier mobility μ .⁹ The different molecular packings in these two types of nanostructures, and their different responses to the photoirradiation, would cause a difference in the number of free carriers and in the carrier mobility, which should be responsible for their different photocurrent response properties.¹⁰ Actually, under photoirradiation, there are two possible working mechanisms for the devices. One is

similar to that of organic photovoltaic devices, but in our case no photovoltage was observed. Another possible mechanism is that the films worked as a photoconductor. When an incident light falls on the surface of the photoconductor, carriers are generated, resulting in an increase of conductivity ($\sigma = ne\mu$, where σ is the conductivity, n is the number of carriers, e is the charge of the electron, and μ is the mobility). The results of Figure 2A demonstrate that the films deposited at 20 mN/m have a very high sensitivity to photoirradiation. It is highly possible that such films work as a photoconductor in this case. From the UV-vis spectra, the energy gap of the AN is estimated to be ca. 2.6 eV. This value is narrow enough to permit the generation of substantial numbers of charge carriers by white light irradiation.^{4a}

In summary, we have shown AN could be controllably assembled to nanocoils and straight nanoribbons through the interfacial organization at different surface pressures. While the nanocoils do not show the photocurrent response, the nanoribbons exhibit a photocurrent, which is switchable during the on/off process. The results open up a facile way to fabricate controllable nanostructures showing a morphology-dependent property.

Acknowledgment. We thank the 973 Program (2007CB808005, 2006CB932101), NNSFC (20533050, 20773141), and CAS fund for financial support. We are grateful to Prof. Dr. Shenggui He and Dr. Yanping Ma of ICCAS for their help on theoretical calculations and to Ms. Qing Meng for cyclic voltammetry measurements.

Supporting Information Available: The experimental details, the CD, FT-IR spectra of the LB films, and other supplementary data. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

References

- (1) (a) El-Sayed, M. A. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2001**, *34*, 257. (b) Baughman, R. H.; Zakhidov, A. A.; De Heer, W. A. *Science* **2002**, *297*, 787. (c) Larsson, E. M.; Alegret, J.; Käll, M.; Sutherland, D. S. *Nano Lett.* **2007**, *7*, 1256. (d) Bell, D. J.; Bauert, T. E.; Zhang, L.; Dong, L. X.; Sun, Y.; Güntzmaier, D.; Nelson, B. J. *Nanotechnology* **2007**, *18*, 055304.
- (2) (a) Li, X.; Zhang, L.; Wang, X.; Shimoyama, I.; Sun, X.; Seo, W.; Dai, H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 4890. (b) Xia, Y.; Yang, P.; Sun, Y.; Wu, Y.; Mayers, B.; Gates, B.; Yin, Y.; Kim, F.; Yan, H. *Adv. Mater.* **2003**, *15*, 353. (c) Patzke, G. R.; Krumeich, F.; Nesper, R. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2002**, *41*, 2446. (d) Hurst, S. J.; Payne, E. K.; Qin, L.; Mirkin, C. A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 2672.
- (3) Reitzel, N.; Hassenkam, T.; Balashev, K.; Jensen, T. R.; Howes, P. B.; Kjaer, K.; Fechtenkötter, A.; Tchebotareva, N.; Ito, S.; Müllen, K.; Björnholm, T. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2001**, *7*, 4894.
- (4) (a) Jiang, L.; Fu, Y.; Li, H.; Hu, W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 3937. (b) Che, Y.; Datar, A.; Balakrishnan, K.; Zang, L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 7234. (c) Balakrishnan, K.; Datar, A.; Naddo, T.; Huang, J.; Oitker, R.; Yen, M.; Zhao, J.; Zang, L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 7390. (d) Tang, M. L.; Reichardt, A. D.; Miyaki, N.; Stoltenberg, R. M.; Bao, Z. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 6064. (e) Roberson, L. B.; Kowalik, J.; Tolbert, L. M.; Kloc, C.; Zeis, R.; Chi, X.; Fleming, R.; Wilkins, C. J. *Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 3069. (f) Zeis, R.; Besnard, C.; Siegrist, T.; Schlockermann, C.; Chi, X.; Kloc, C. *Chem. Mater.* **2006**, *18*, 244.
- (5) (a) Lang, A.-D.; Huang, C.-H.; Gan, L.-B.; Zhou, D.; Ashwell, G. J. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **1999**, *1*, 2487. (b) Lu, Y.; Spitler, M. T.; Parkinson, B. A. *Langmuir* **2007**, *23*, 11637.
- (6) (a) Meng, H.; Sun, F.; Goldfinger, M. B.; Gao, F.; Londono, D. J.; Marshal, W. J.; Blackman, G. S.; Dobbs, K. D.; Keys, D. E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 9304. (b) Zareie, M. H.; Ma, H.; Reed, B. W.; Jen, A. K.-Y.; Sarikaya, M. *Nano Lett.* **2003**, *3*, 139. (c) Meng, H.; Sun, F.; Goldfinger, M. B.; Jaycox, G. D.; Li, Z.; Marshall, W. J.; Blackman, G. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 2406.
- (7) (a) Harada, N.; Nakanishi, K. *Circular Dichroic Spectroscopy-Exciton Coupling in Organic Stereochemistry*; University Science Books: Mill Valley, CA, 1983. (b) Durrfee, W. S.; Storck, W.; Willig, F.; von Frieling, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1987**, *109*, 1297.
- (8) Dutta, A. K. *Langmuir* **1997**, *13*, 5678.
- (9) (a) Yuan, J.; Liu, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 5051. (b) Huang, X.; Li, C.; Jiang, S.; Wang, X.; Zhang, B.; Liu, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 1322. (c) Qiu, Y.; Chen, P.; Liu, M. *Langmuir* **2008**, *24*, 7200.
- (10) (a) Coropceanu, V.; Cornil, J.; da Silva Filho, D. A.; Olivier, Y.; Silbey, R.; Brédas, J. *Chem. Rev.* **2007**, *107*, 926. (b) Mas-Torrent, M.; Hadley, P.; Bromley, S. T.; Ribas, X.; Tarrés, J.; Mas, M.; Molins, E.; Veciana, J.; Rovira, C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 8546. (c) Curtis, M. D.; Cao, J.; Kampf, J. W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 4318.

JA805891K