See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/10769490

Mercuric Triflate Catalyzed Hydroxylative Carbocyclization of 1,6-Enynes

ARTICLE in ORGANIC LETTERS · JUNE 2003

Impact Factor: 6.36 · DOI: 10.1021/ol034201u · Source: PubMed

CITATIONS

58 20

6 AUTHORS, INCLUDING:



Veejendra Kumar Yadav

Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur

98 PUBLICATIONS 1,197 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



READS

Mariusz Skwarczynski

University of Queensland

92 PUBLICATIONS 1,221 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Takumichi Sugihara

Niigata University of Pharmacy and Applied L...

86 PUBLICATIONS **1,466** CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

2003 Vol. 5, No. 10 1609–1611

Mercuric Triflate Catalyzed Hydroxylative Carbocyclization of 1,6-Enynes

Mugio Nishizawa,* Veejendra K. Yadav,† Mariusz Skwarczynski, Hiroko Takao, Hiroshi Imagawa, and Takumichi Sugihara

Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Tokushima Bunri University, Yamashiro-cho, Tokushima 770-8514, Japan

mugi@ph.bunri-u.ac.jp

Received February 5, 2003

ABSTRACT

Hg(OTf)₂ exhibits remarkable catalytic activity for the hydroxylative cyclization of 1,6-enynes. The present procedure should involve a sequence of mercuration of a terminal alkyne, carbocyclization, hydration, and protodemercuration that regenerates the catalyst.

Carbocyclization is an important subject in modern organic synthesis, 1,2 and α , ω -enynes have been employed as the key substrate for transition metal (Pd, 3 Pt, 4 Ru, 5 Rh, 6 Ir, 7 Ti, 8 or Ga 9) catalyzed alkene-exo mode cyclization. Mercuric salts have also been employed for enyne carbocyclization; however, stoichiometric amounts were used. 10 We have developed mercuric triflate Hg(OTf)₂ as a highly efficient olefin cyclization agent, 11 and its complex with an amine or

tetramethylurea (TMU) was employed for the synthesis of a variety of polycyclic natural products. Recently, we discovered that Hg(OTf)₂•(TMU)₂ complex exhibits effective catalytic activity for the hydration of terminal alkynes to give

(10) (a) Riediker, M.; Schwartz, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 5842–5844. (b) Larock, R. C.; Liu, C.-L. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 2151–2158. (c) Larock, R. C.; Harrison, L. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 6, 4218–4227. (d) Douin, J. D.; Boaventura, M-. A.; Conia, J-. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 1726–1729. (e) Forsyth, C. J.; Clardy, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 3497–3505. (f) Huang, H.; Forsyth, C. J. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 2773–2779. (g) Ciminiello, P.; Fattorusso, E.; Magno, S.; Magngoni, A.; Pansini, M. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 5746–5747. (11) (a) Nishizawa, M.; Takenaka, H.; Nishide, H.; Hayashi, Y.

Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 2581–2584. (b) Nishizawa, M.; Morikuni, E.; Asoh, K.; Kan, Y.; Uenoyama, K.; Imagawa, H. Synlett 1995, 169–170. (12) (a) Nishizawa, M.; Takenaka, H.; Hayashi, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 4290–4291. (b) Nishizawa, M.; Takenaka, H.; Hayashi, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 522–523. (c) Nishizawa, M.; Takenaka, H.; Hayashi, Y. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 806. (d) Nishizawa, M.; Yamada, H.; Hayashi, Y. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 27, 187–190. (e) Nishizawa, M.; Yamada, H.; Hayashi, Y. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 4878. (f) Nishizawa, M.; Takao, H.; Kanoh, N.; Asoh, K.; Hatakeyama, S.; Yamada, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 5693–5696. (g) Nishizawa, M.; Morikuni, E.; Takeji, M.; Asoh, K.; Hyodo, I.; Imagawa, H.; Yamada, H. Synlett 1996, 927–928. (h) Nishizawa, M.; Takao, H.; Iwamoto, Y.; Yamada, H.; Imagawa, H. Synlett 1998, 76–78. (i) Nishizawa, M.; Imagawa, H.; Hyodo, I.; Takeji, M.; Morikuni, E.; Asoh, K.; Yamada, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 389–392. (j) Nishizawa, M.; Takao, H.; Iwamoto, Y.; Yamada, H.; Imagawa, H. Synlett 1998, 76–78. (k) Imagawa, H.; Shigaraki, T.; Suzuki, T.; Takao, H.; Yamada, H.; Sugihara, T.; Nishizawa, M. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1998, 46, 1341–1342. (l) Nishizawa, M.; Shigaraki, T.; Takao, H.; Imagawa, H.; Sugihara, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 1153–1156.

(13) (a) Parker, K.; Resnick, L. *J. Org. Chem.* **1995**, *60*, 5726–5728. (b) Newcomb, N. J.; Ya, F.; Hiemstra, H.; Speckamp, W. N. *J. Chem. Soc.*, *Chem. Commun.* **1994**, 767–768. (c) Gopalan, A. S.; Prieto, R.; Mueller, B.; Peters, D. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, *33*, 1679–1682.

[†] On leave from Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur, India, as a JSPS Visiting Scientist

⁽¹⁾ Řeviews on metal-catalyzed carbocyclization: (a) Ojima, I.; Tzamarioudaki, M. L. Z.; Donovan, R. J. *Chem. Rev.* **1996**, *96*, *635*–*662*. (b) Negishi, E.; Coperet, C.; Ma, S.; Liou, S.-Y.; Liu, F. *Chem. Rev.* **1996**, *96*, 365–393. (c) Trost, B. M. *Chem. Eur. J.* **1998**, *4*, 2405–2412.

^{(2) (}a) Trost, B. M.; Krische, M. J. Synlett **1998**, 1–16. (b) Trost, B. M. Acc. Chem. Res. **1990**, 23, 34–42.

^{(3) (}a) Trost, B. M.; Lautens, M.; Chan, C.; Jebaratnam, D. J.; Mueller, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1991**, *113*, 636–644. (b) Trost, B. M.; Toste, F. D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *121*, 9728–9729.

^{(4) (}a) Mendez, M.; Munoz, P. M.; Nevado, C.; Cardenas, D. J. Echavarren, A. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 10511.

^{(5) (}a) Trost, B. M.; Toste, F. D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 714–715. (b) Chatani, N.; Inoue, H.; Ikeda, T.; Murai, S. *J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, *65*, 4913–4918. (c) Chatani, N.; Kataoka, K.; Murai, S.; Furukawa, N.; Seki, Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 9104–9105.

^{(6) (}a) Cao, P.; Wang, B.; Zhang, X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2000**, 122, 6490–6491. (b) Cao, P.; Zhang, X. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2000**, 39, 4104–4106.

⁽⁷⁾ Chatani, N.; Inoue, H.; Morimoto, T.; Muto, T.; Murai, S. J. Org. Chem. **2001**, *66*, 4433–4436.

⁽⁸⁾ Sturla, S. J.; Kablaoui, N. M.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1999**, 121, 1976—1977.

⁽⁹⁾ Chatani, N.; Inoue, H.; Kotsuma, T.; Murai, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2002**, 124, 10294–10295.

Table 1. Hg(OTf)₂-Catalyzed Hydroxylative Cyclization of 1

entry	Hg(OTf) ₂ (mol %)	TMU (mol %)	time (h)	yield (%) ^a
	10	0	3	99
2	5	0	6	99
3	1	0	16	99
4	0.1	0	30^b	99
5	10	10	3	96
6	5	5	5	99
7	1	1	16	98
8	10	20	3	93
9	5	10	6	89
10	1	2	16	93
11 ^c			16	0

 a GLC yield using hexadecane as an internal standard. b Reaction was carried out on a 0.5 M substrate concentration. c Reaction with 10 mol % of TfOH

methyl ketones in excellent yields. ¹⁴ The reaction should involve Hg^{2+} -induced hydration of alkyne and subsequent protodemercuration ^{10c} by TfOH that is generated in situ. Thus, we expected the intervention of a cyclization step prior to the hydration and developed an efficient catalytic process to prepare exomethylene carbocycles. Although the mercuric salt catalyzed cyclization of ω -alkynoic acids affording lactones is reported, ¹⁵ to our best knowledge the present protocol is the first mercuric salt catalyzed carbocyclization.

The reaction of prenyl propargyl ether **1** with 10 mol % of Hg(OTf)₂ in the presence of 5 equiv of water in CH₃-NO₂/CH₃CN (9:1, 0.1 M concentration) at room temperature for 3 h afforded the exomethylene carbinol **2** in quantitative yield (Table 1, entry 1). The reaction should involve the vinyl mercury compound **3** as the intermediate, and the reaction with triflic acid, generated in situ, regenerates mercuric triflate, which establishes the catalytic cycle. A 5 or 1 mol % loading of catalyst was also enough to complete the reaction to give **2** in 99% yield within 5 and 16 h, respectively (entries 2 and 3). Even 0.1 mol % of Hg(OTf)₂ afforded **2** quantitatively within 30 h by conducting the reaction at 0.5 M substrate concentration (entry 4). When a 1:1 complex of Hg(OTf)₂ and TMU was employed, es-

Table 2. Hg(OTf)₂-Catalyzed Hydroxylative Cyclization^a

Substrate	$Hg(OTf)_2 (mol\%)$	Yield (%)		
O Ph	1	O Ph 5 (85) OH		
6	5	7 (95) OH		
8	1	9 (13) OH 10 (70)		
0	5			
11		12 (92)		
MeOOC MeOOC	10	MeOOC MeOOC 14 (90) OH		
MeOOC MeOOC	10	MeOOC OH 16 (69%)		
MeOOC				
MeOOC MeOOC	//	0000		
PhO ₂ S-N	10	20 (86) MeOOC MeOOC 21 (3) OH PhO ₂ S-N OH 23 (20) OMe		
24	1 b	OMe 25 (70)		

 $^{\it a}$ Reaction was carried out in the presence of 5 equiv of H₂O. $^{\it b}$ One equivivalent of H₂O was used.

sentially the same results were obtained (entries 5–7). However, the 1:2 complex afforded slightly lower yields (entries 8–10). Generally Hg(OTf)₂ is stable in the presence of H₂O (although it is soluble and hygroscopic);^{11b,12b,c,e} however, some people are suspicious about its decomposition to Hg(OH)₂ and TfOH and feel that it is probably the TfOH that brings about the reaction. Therefore, a control experiment

Org. Lett., Vol. 5, No. 10, 2003

⁽¹⁴⁾ Nishizawa, M.; Skwarczynski, M.; Imagawa, H.; Sugihara, T. Chem. Lett. 2002, 12–13.

^{(15) (}a) Chan, D. M. T.; Marder, T. B.; Milstein, D.; Taylor, N. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1987**, *109*, 6385–6388. (b) Amos, R. A.; Katzenellenbogen, J. A. *J. Org. Chem.* **1978**, *43*, 560–564.

with 10 mol % of TfOH was performed, and it afforded none of the product **2** (entry 11) but an alkylation product (10%) as the only isolable material from a complicated mixture.¹⁶

The reaction of cinnamyl propargyl ether 4 with 1 mol % of Hg(OTf)₂ in the presence of 5 equiv of water in CH₃-NO₂/CH₃CN (9:1) at room temperature for 20 h afforded the carbinol 5 as a 16:1 mixture of diastereomers in 85% yield. Treatment of geranyl propargyl ether 6 with 5 mol % of Hg(OTf)₂ provided carbinol 7 as a 20:1 mixture in 95% yield. Reaction of the homoprenyl propargyl ether 8 with 1 mol % of Hg(OTf)₂, however, afforded six-membered ring ether 9 in only 13% yield, and the major product was the ketone 10 in 70% yield. Bishomoprenyl propargyl ether 11 did not afford any seven-membered ring product, and the ketone 12 was obtained in 92% yield by the reaction using 5 mol % catalyst. Dimethyl malonate derivative 13 afforded the cyclization product 14; however, it required at least 10 mol % of catalyst to complete the reaction, probably as a result of chelation with the malonate residue. Reaction of 15 with 10 mol % of catalyst afforded the six-membered carbocycle 16 in 69% yield. However, the reaction was not as clean as the others, affording the isomeric carbinol 17 (6%) and the methyl ketone 18 (19%). On the other hand, the reaction of the enyne 19 with 10 mol % catalyst and 2 equiv of water afforded the lactone 20 in 86% yield along with the alcohol 21 (3%). The reaction of sulfonamide derivative 22 and 10 mol % of catalyst afforded the fivemembered ring carbinol 23, albeit in only 20% yield. Methoxybenzyl propargyl ether 24 reacted with 1 mol % Hg(OTf)₂ and 1 equiv of water to furnish the dimerization

(16) The structure of the alkylation product was deduced from spectral data to be following.

product **25** in 70% yield, probably via acid-catalyzed dimerization of the primary product **26**. Reaction with 5 equiv of water, on the other hand, afforded only the hydrolysis product **27** in 85% yield. Thus, we have developed

a novel Hg(OTf)₂-catalyzed hydroxylative carbocyclization to construct five-membered ring products in good to excellent yields via mercuration of a terminal alkyne, carbocyclization, hydration, and protodemercuration sequence regenerating the catalyst, Hg(OTf)₂. The reaction is mild enough to be applied to a variety of substrates, and particularly, the observation of the equal applicability of the mercuric triflate—TMU complex allows a wider possibility to achieve the reactions of acid-sensitive substrates. The efficiency to construct sixmembered rings from 1,7-enynes under Hg(OTf)₂-catalysis is an unsolved problem, and we are currently exploring to find suitable conditions.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by a Grantin-Aid for Priority Area (no. 14044111) and Fundamental Research A (no. 14208075) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology of Japanese Government. We thank Dr. Masao Toyota of Tokushima Bunri University for his kind technical support.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedure and NMR data. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

OL034201U

Org. Lett., Vol. 5, No. 10, 2003