

Coupling of cold vapor generation with an atmospheric pressure glow microdischarge sustained between a miniature flow helium jet and a flowing liquid cathode for the determination of mercury by optical emission spectrometry

Krzysztof Greda,* Piotr Jamroz and Pawel Pohl

Cite this: *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.*, 2014, 29, 893

A direct current atmospheric pressure glow microdischarge (dc- μ APGD), generated between a miniature flow He jet nozzle anode and a small-sized flowing liquid cathode, was combined with a continuous flow cold vapor generation (CVG) system to improve the sensitivity of the determination of Hg by optical emission spectrometry (OES). In this arrangement, Hg(II) ions were converted to cold vapor in the reaction with NaBH₄ and subsequently delivered in a stream of He carrier/jet-supporting gas to the microdischarge through the nozzle anode. Additional He shielding gas was used to prevent discharge zones from the access of ambient air. A vertical distribution of emission from the Hg I 253.7 nm line between both electrodes was acquired, and the highest response for Hg was established in the near-anode region of the microdischarge. Several operating parameters that affect the CVG reaction and discharge were optimized. Under compromised conditions, the intensity of the Hg I line was improved over 4000 times compared to that obtained in a μ APGD-OES system without the CVG system. The efficiency of CVG of Hg and its transport to the microdischarge was evaluated to be $98 \pm 1\%$. For comparison, in the μ APGD system without CVG, the efficiency of sputtering was merely lower by about 20%, i.e., $77 \pm 4\%$. A likely explanation of the enhancement of Hg response observed for CVG- μ APGD was discussed. The detection limit (DL) of Hg assessed for CVG- μ APGD-OES was $0.14 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ (3σ criterion). To assess the accuracy of the new method, Hg was quantified in a certified reference material (CRM) of human hair (NCS ZC 81002). Excellent agreement between certified and measured concentrations of Hg was obtained. In addition, recoveries of Hg added to samples of different waters were evaluated. They were in the range of 96–103% proving the good accuracy of CVG- μ APGD-OES. The repeatability of the signal over the linearity concentration range of 5–500 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ of Hg was within 2.1–4.1% (as relative standard deviation, RSD).

Received 18th December 2013

Accepted 11th March 2014

DOI: 10.1039/c3ja50395j

www.rsc.org/jaas

1. Introduction

Atmospheric pressure glow discharges (APGDs) generated in contact with a flowing liquid cathode solution have been applied as alternative excitation sources in optical emission spectrometry (OES) since the mid-90s.^{1–3} The main advantages of such APGDs are low-energy consumption, the possibility of analysis of samples at atmospheric pressure without the need for using discharge-sustaining gases and simple design of discharge devices. Since analyzed samples are used as flowing liquid cathode solutions, they are sputtered in contact with a discharge phase and, therefore, no nebulizers and spray chambers are required for introduction of sample solutions to

this excitation source. This certainly reduces costs of the equipment and its operation.^{3–5} In recent years, APGD has been successfully used for spectrochemical analysis of different samples, *e.g.*, vaccines,⁶ tea,⁷ tap water^{7,8} as well as spruce needles, soils and lake waters.⁹ Commonly, these kinds of excitation sources provide favorable conditions for excitation of alkali metals, therefore, detection limits (DLs) of Li and Na are typically better than $1 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$.^{7–10} The values of DLs of alkaline earth metals and transition metals are higher and usually span the range of $1\text{--}25 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$.^{7–14}

Considering the achievements made in the last 3 years in the application of APGD generated in contact with the liquid cathode as an efficient excitation source for analytical OES, it is evident that much effort has been devoted to improving the DL of Hg. This element is usually present in food and water samples at very low concentrations. Due to its extremely high toxicity and tendency to accumulate in tissues, Hg is recognized

Wroclaw University of Technology, Faculty of Chemistry, Division of Analytical Chemistry, Wybrzeze Stanislaw Wyspianskiego 27, 50-370 Wroclaw, Poland. E-mail: krzysztof.greda@pwr.wroc.pl; Fax: +48-71-320-2494; Tel: +48-71-320-3807

as a serious environmental pollutant which should be routinely monitored and controlled in environmental and food samples. Modifications of existing techniques, the improvement of their analytical performance or the development of new methods are necessary because of the vital necessity of reliable determination of Hg at very low levels. This also includes the development of figures of merit of APGD-OES operated in contact with the flowing liquid cathode. Apparently, Shekhar studied the effects of the concentration of low molecular weight organic compounds, *i.e.*, ethanol ($\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{OH}$), formic acid (HCOOH) and acetic acid (CH_3COOH), added to a solution of the liquid cathode on the response of the Hg I 253.7 nm emission line.¹⁵ The researcher established that the presence of 5.0% (m/v) CH_3COOH in solutions led to a 5-fold enhancement of the intensity of the mentioned line. It was presumed that the effect observed was due to an increase in the sputtering rate of the liquid cathode solution. As a result, the efficiency of transport of Hg to the discharge phase was higher. For that, the DL of Hg was improved ($2 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$). A similar experiment was carried out by Doroski *et al.*,¹⁶ who examined the influence of the addition of $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{OH}$, HCOOH and CH_3COOH to the liquid cathode solution on the response of Hg and Ag, Pb and Se. Each compound, separately added to the solution, was found to enhance (10–13 times) the intensity of the Hg I 253.7 nm emission line, likely due to changes in physicochemical properties of the solution. Although there was no evidence to credit CVG of Hg for observed signal improvements, authors did not exclude such a possibility. The DL of Hg evaluated for this system was $2 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$. It was improved by one order of magnitude as compared to conditions without low molecular weight compounds in the liquid cathode solution.

Improvements of APGD generated in contact with the liquid cathode reported in the literature mostly concern modifications of the composition of liquid cathode solutions. A less frequent modification, with a considerable impact on excitation conditions in APGD and its performance, was a change of the composition of the discharge gas atmosphere. By replacing a conventional metallic pin electrode with a miniature flow of He from a nozzle anode, Shirai *et al.* sustained APGD in an air– H_2O vapor–He atmosphere between a bulky solution liquid cathode and a miniature flow He jet.¹⁷ In addition, a glass tube was used to surround discharge zones and prevent them from penetration of ambient air. Authors observed that the intensity of N_2 molecular bands, *e.g.*, with the band head at 337.1 nm, was strongly suppressed in such a semi-closed discharge system. They also noted that the concentration of nitrate ions in the solution of the liquid cathode treated with APGD was decreased. Unfortunately, the analytical performance of the modified semi-closed APGD system has not been evaluated. It could be expected that operation of APGD in a system with the flowing liquid cathode in an atmosphere of H_2O vapor and He but without access of air to discharge zones would be quite desirable. It could result in reduction in the level of the background and its fluctuation as well as in the increase in the intensity of atomic emission lines of metals. In addition, by applying the miniature flow He jet nozzle anode, a new opportunity to broaden the way of analytes' delivery to the discharge, *e.g.*, as

cold vapor of Hg formed in the CVG reaction and purged from a reaction/separation system by a stream of He carrier/jet-supporting gas, would be opened.

Considering eventual benefits, the investigation of such a new excitation source, its analytical performance and suitability for the determination of Hg seems to be interesting. Therefore, in this paper, the coupling of CVG with μAPGD sustained between the miniature flow He jet and the small-sized flowing liquid cathode has been proposed to improve the sensitivity of the determination of Hg by OES. To shelter microdischarge zones from penetration by ambient air, μAPGD was operated in a semi-closed chamber and in an atmosphere of He shielding gas. Various operating parameters related to the CVG reaction and discharge were optimized. Under the optimized conditions, figures of merit for CVG- μAPGD -OES were assessed. The proposed method was successfully applied for analysis of a certified reference material (CRM) of human hair and different water samples spiked with Hg.

2. Experimental

2.1. Instrumentation

dc- μAPGD was sustained in an open-to-air atmosphere between the surface of an acidic solution overflowing a small-sized quartz tube (the liquid cathode) and a stainless steel nozzle fed with a miniature flow of He (the anode). A liquid cathode compartment consisted of a polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) tube (ID 9.0 mm), working as a waste reservoir, and a quartz delivery tube (ID 2.0 mm). An additional graphite tube (ID 4.0 mm) was inserted into the quartz tube in such a way that its side was about 2 mm above the edge of the quartz tube and the PTFE tube (see details in Fig. 1a). A 0.4% (m/v) HCl solution was delivered through the quartz tube using a 2-channel peristaltic pump. This solution overflowed the graphite–quartz tube and was drained out of the cathode compartment with the same peristaltic pump. A miniature flow of He was introduced through a nozzle using a Tylan General (CA, USA) FC-2900 flow controller and an RO-28 digital flow meter. The length of the nozzle was 70 mm while its diameters were 1.15/0.75 mm (OD/ID). The nozzle and the graphite–quartz tube were vertically oriented. The gap between them (the discharge gap) was 5.0 mm. A Pt wire attached to the graphite tube was used for maintaining electric contact with the liquid cathode solution. A stable microdischarge was formed when a miniature flow of He was passed through the nozzle and a potential of 1000–1500 V from an HV dc power supplier was applied to both electrodes. This resulted in a flow of discharge current of 15–40 mA through electrodes, additionally stabilized by a 10 k Ω ballast resistor placed in an anode circuit. The discharge cell was placed in a holder that enabled its movement in two directions. Therefore, it was possible to collect emission spectra from different zones of the microdischarge.

A continuous flow CVG system is shown in Fig. 1b. It consisted of a 4-channel peristaltic pump with delivery tubes and a home-made cylindrical (ID 20 mm, the total volume is 20 mL) reactor/gas–liquid phase separator (GLS). The GLS had a glass frit at the bottom and a drainage tube inserted from the top. To




Fig. 1 Experimental set-up of μ APGD operated between the miniature flow He jet and the flowing liquid cathode (A), the reaction/separation chamber (B) and the semi-closed chamber with shielding the microdischarge by the He flow (C).

generate cold vapor of Hg, a NaBH_4 solution alkalized with NaOH (0.1% (m/v)) and a sample solution acidified with HCl to a concentration of 16% (m/v) were pumped in two separate streams to a Y-junction where reagents were mixed and immediately reacted. The reaction mixture was subsequently passed into the GLS. Gaseous products were separated from a post-reaction solution by purging the GLS with He carrier gas. The flow of this gas was introduced through the glass frit. Vapor of Hg along with H_2 were directly swept into the microdischarge through the nozzle. To simplify coupling of CVG with μ APGD realized between the liquid cathode and the miniature flow He jet, He carrier gas supported the gaseous jet and enabled sustainment of the microdischarge. When the post-reaction solution level exceeded 15 mm above the glass frit, it was drained out of the GLS using a peristaltic pump.

In some experiments, μ APGD was sustained in the atmosphere of H_2O vapor and He but without contact with ambient air. In this case, the cathode compartment and the nozzle anode were inserted into a cylindrical glass chamber (ID 50 mm, the total volume is 250 mL) through which an additional flow of He shielding gas was passed. He shielding gas was introduced to this semi-closed system (see Fig. 1c) next to a quartz observation window. Its flow rate was controlled by a rotameter. This prevented awkward condensation of H_2O vapor on the observation window. He and H_2O vapors were leaked outside the chamber through a hole (ID 5 mm), the same that was used to introduce the nozzle.

Radiation emitted by μ APGD was imaged (1 : 1) on the entrance slit (100 mm) of a 320 mm focal length single grating imaging spectrometer Triax 320 (HORIBA Jobin Yvon, France) using an achromatic UV lens. The spectrometer was equipped with an in-build diaphragm (1.0 mm or fully opened), a 1200 grooves per mm holographic grating and a Hamamatsu R-928 photomultiplier. A voltage of -700 V was used to bias the photomultiplier. Emission spectra of the microdischarge were measured with a step of 0.01 nm. The output signal of the photomultiplier, integrated at 0.1 s, was amplified using a HORIBA Jobin Yvon SpectraAcq2 single photon counting acquisition system. SpectraMax/32 for Windows software (Instruments SA, Inc.), version 3.2, was used to handle the

spectrometer and control its configuration. Acquisition and data processing were made using the same computer program.

2.2. Reagents and solutions

Doubly distilled water was used throughout the work. He (99.999% grade) was supplied by Air Products (Poland). A stock standard solution of Hg (1000 mg L^{-1}), NaOH and NaBH_4 were supplied by Sigma-Aldrich Chemie GmbH (Germany). Single-element solutions of Hg were prepared by appropriate dilutions of the stock standard solution. To stabilize the reducing agent, solid NaBH_4 was dissolved in a 0.1% (m/v) NaOH solution. Solutions of NaBH_4 were freshly prepared and filtered through cellulose filter papers (grade 595). A concentrated HCl solution (37–38% (m/m)) obtained from J. T. Baker (Netherlands) was used to acidify all sample solutions. In addition, J. T. Baker 65% (m/m) HNO_3 and Sigma-Aldrich 30% (m/m) H_2O_2 solutions were used for open-vessel wet oxidative digestion of samples. All reagents were of analytical grade or better. A CRM of human hair (NCS ZC 81002) approved by the Chinese National Analysis Center for Iron and Steel was used for validation.

2.3. Measurements and sample preparation

For optimization of operating parameters of CVG- μ APGD, a univariate method was used, examining the effect of one given parameter at a time and keeping other parameters unchanged. Each measurement, related to the intensity of the Hg I 253.7 nm emission line and the background in the vicinity of this line, under certain experimental conditions, was repeated 3 times and the final background-corrected signal of Hg (net intensity) was averaged.

To assess the efficiency of evaporation of the liquid cathode solution and the efficiency of sputtering of Hg, a 25 mg L^{-1} Hg solution (50.0 g) acidified with HCl to a concentration of 0.4% (m/v) was delivered to the μ APGD system. The whole waste solution was collected and weighed. The efficiency of evaporation of the liquid cathode was evaluated based on the mass loss of this solution. In addition, the concentration of Hg in the waste solution was determined by CVG-ICP-OES using calibration by the double standard solution addition. Both efficiencies

were averaged for 3 independent experiments. The efficiency of CVG of Hg was evaluated by sampling a portion of the waste solution drained from the GLS. The concentration of Hg in this solution was determined in the same way as described above ($n = 3$).

The human hair CRM (NCS ZC 81002) was initially heated at 90 °C in a stove for 4 h. Then, 0.2 g samples were weighed into 25 mL glass beakers, and concentrated HNO₃ (5.0 mL) was added. Beakers were covered with watch glasses and left overnight for pre-digestion at room temperature. Subsequently, the resulted sample suspensions were heated on a hot plate (at 60–70 °C) for about 3 h and then brought to boiling and heated for an additional 3 h. During this step, additional portions of concentrated HNO₃ (2.0 mL) were added. When sample solutions were almost colorless, 30% (m/v) H₂O₂ (3.0 mL) was added. After the next 30 min of heating, sample solutions were evaporated to near dryness and diluted to 30 mL with 16% (m/v) HCl. The determination of Hg in solutions of the digested CRM was made using CVG- μ APGD-OES. Double standard solution addition was used for calibration. Each spectrum was recorded 5 times and the signal of Hg (net intensity of the Hg I line) was averaged. Three independent samples of the CRM and respective blank samples were prepared.

Water samples from Purple and Azure lakelets, located in the Rudawy Landscape Park (Lower Silesian Voivodeship, The Sudetes mountains, Poland), a water sample from the Oder river (Wroclaw, Poland) and a sample of tap water (Wroclaw, Poland) were collected in 200 mL pre-cleaned polyethylene containers. After sampling, samples were acidified with concentrated HCl to a final concentration of 16% (m/v). Next, they were filtered through cellulose filter papers (grade 595) and divided into 2 equal aliquots. One of the aliquots was spiked with a 10 mg L⁻¹ Hg solution to a final concentration of 50 μ g L⁻¹. Each spectrum was recorded 5 times and the signal of Hg was averaged. For each type of water, 3 samples and blanks were independently prepared.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Emission characteristics of different μ APGD systems

At the outset, vertical distributions of the sensitivity of the Hg I line, the background intensity, the background intensity fluctuation (expressed as the standard deviation, SD), and the DL of Hg along the discharge gap (5.0 mm) were studied. The μ APGD system was operated between the miniature flow He jet and the liquid cathode in an open-to-air atmosphere. The flowing liquid cathode was a solution of 25 mg L⁻¹ Hg in 0.4% (m/v) HCl. This solution was introduced to the microdischarge at a flow rate of 1.2 mL min⁻¹. The flow of He used to support the gaseous jet was 100 mL min⁻¹. Under these conditions, after applying a potential of 1450 V, the discharge current was 35 mA. Emission spectra of μ APGD were recorded using a 1.0 mm diaphragm.

It was found that the vertical distribution of the sensitivity of the Hg I line (see Fig. 2a) was related to particular distributions of Zn I 213.8 nm, Cd I 228.8 nm, Mg I 285.2 nm, Cu I 324.7 nm, Pb I 405.8 nm, Na I 589.0 nm and K I 766.5 nm emission lines measured by Webb *et al.*¹⁰ and Mezei *et al.*¹⁸ in APGD systems




Fig. 2 Vertical distributions of the sensitivity of the Hg I 253.7 nm emission line (a.u. per μ g L⁻¹), the detection limit of Hg (DL, μ g L⁻¹), the background intensity (BG, a.u.) and its standard deviation (SDB, a.u.) for APDG systems operated between the miniature flow He jet and the flowing liquid cathode in an open-to-air atmosphere. Hg is delivered as a component of the liquid cathode solution (A) or as vapor produced in the cold vapor generation reaction (B). Liquid cathode solution flow rate: 1.2 mL min⁻¹, He carrier/jet-supporting gas flow rate: 100 mL min⁻¹, discharge gap: 5.0 mm, discharge current: 35 mA, NaBH₄ concentration: 0.1% (m/v), sample solution acidification: 10% (m/v) HCl, flow rate of reagents: 3.0 mL min⁻¹, He shielding gas flow rate: 2.0 L min⁻¹.

with conventional metallic anodes. The highest sensitivity of the Hg I line was seen 2 mm above the surface of the liquid cathode in the near-cathode zone. Close to the anode, the sensitivity of the Hg I line was strongly suppressed. The background surrounding the Hg I line was dominated by the presence of the NO molecular band with the band head at 255.9. The background intensity and its SD were found to increase gradually when the observation zone moved towards the anode (in the range of 0–3 mm above the surface of the liquid cathode). A wide maximum of the background intensity occurred within 3–4 mm from the surface of the liquid cathode. When the 1.0 mm diaphragm was used, the sensitivity of the Hg I line (in a.u. per μ g L⁻¹), the background intensity (in a.u.) near this line, the SD of the background intensity (in a.u.) as well as the DL of Hg (in μ g L⁻¹) measured ($n = 10$) in the near-cathode region, *i.e.*, 2 mm above the surface of the cathode, were 28×10^{-3} , 198, 9.9 and 1.1×10^3 , respectively (see Table 1). When acquisition of emitted radiation from the near-cathode region was made with the fully opened diaphragm, the sensitivity of the Hg I line was 2.9-fold improved but concurrently, the background intensity and its SD were increased, respectively, by 5.5 and 3.8 times. The DL of Hg under these conditions was 1.4×10^3 μ g L⁻¹. As compared to a corresponding APGD system described in our earlier work,⁹ where non-ionic surfactants were used to increase the detection power for Hg and other elements, the DL of Hg obtained here was disappointingly high.

Similarly, as in the previous experiment, the vertical distribution of the sensitivity of the Hg I line, the background intensity and the SD of the background intensity, and the DL of Hg were also evaluated for μ APGD operated between the miniature flow He jet and the liquid cathode in an open-to-air atmosphere but combined with CVG of Hg. Except for the composition of the liquid cathode solution (a 0.4% (m/v) HCl solution without Hg), all other operating parameters set to the μ APGD system were the same as before. A sample solution for the CVG reaction (a 100 μ g L⁻¹ Hg solution in 10% (m/v) HCl) and an alkaline 0.1% (m/v) NaBH₄ solution were both delivered

Table 1 Comparison of the sensitivity of the Hg I 253.7 nm line, the background intensity, and the detection limit of Hg evaluated for μ APGD-OES and CVG- μ APGD-OES systems. Emission spectra were collected in near-cathode (μ APGD-OES) and near-anode (CVG- μ APGD-OES) regions. Liquid cathode solution flow rate: 1.2 mL min⁻¹, He carrier/jet-supporting gas flow rate: 100 mL min⁻¹, discharge gap: 5.0 mm, discharge current: 35 mA, NaBH₄ concentration: 0.1% (m/v), HCl concentration in the sample solution: 10% (m/v), HCl concentration in the liquid cathode solution: 0.4% (m/v), flow rate of reagents: 3.0 mL min⁻¹, He shielding gas flow rate: 2.0 L min^{-1a}

Studied method (surrounding gas)	Diaphragm	Sensitivity, a.u. per μ g L ⁻¹	Background intensity, a.u.	Detection limit, μ g L ⁻¹
μ APGD-OES (open-to-air)	1.0 mm	$(28.1 \pm 2.2) \times 10^{-3}$	198 \pm 4	$(1.1 \pm 0.1) \times 10^3$
	Fully opened	$(81.8 \pm 9.9) \times 10^{-3}$	$(1.09 \pm 0.05) \times 10^3$	$(1.4 \pm 0.3) \times 10^3$
CVG- μ APGD-OES (open-to-air)	1.0 mm	16.7 \pm 0.7	294 \pm 17	2.3 \pm 0.5
	Fully opened	35.4 \pm 1.5	$(1.42 \pm 0.04) \times 10^3$	3.2 \pm 0.4
CVG- μ APGD-OES (He shielding)	1.0 mm	28.4 \pm 1.8	235 \pm 7	1.9 \pm 0.4
	Fully opened	60.6 \pm 2.2	986 \pm 22	1.4 \pm 0.3

^a Average values ($n = 10$) \pm SD.

to the Y-junction at a flow rate of 3.0 mL min⁻¹. After separation in the GLS, Hg vapor was introduced into the microdischarge through the nozzle anode in the stream of He carrier/jet-supporting gas at 100 mL min⁻¹. As can be seen from Fig. 2b, the vertical distribution of the sensitivity of the Hg I line is different from that described before. The Hg I line reached the highest sensitivity in the near-anode region (4.0 mm above the surface of the liquid cathode), likely due to introduction of Hg vapor through the nozzle anode. However, distributions of the background intensity and the SD of the background intensity are similar to those seen in the previous experiment. Surprisingly, coupling CVG with μ APGD operated between the miniature flow He jet and the flowing liquid cathode resulted in a 600-fold improvement of the sensitivity of the Hg I line. Simultaneously, the background intensity and the SD of the background intensity were increased only by 50 and 30%, respectively. In consequence, when the 1.0 mm diaphragm was used for collecting emitted radiation from the near-anode zone, a DL of 2.3 μ g L⁻¹ of Hg was achieved for CVG- μ APGD-OES. It was improved 480-fold as compared to the DL obtained for μ APGD without the CVG system. With the fully opened diaphragm, the sensitivity of the Hg I line was found to be additionally twice increased but the SD of the background intensity was over 3 times higher than that obtained when the 1.0 mm diaphragm was used. Hence, the DL of Hg evaluated under these conditions was just a little higher, *i.e.*, 3.2 μ g L⁻¹.

Afterwards, the μ APGD device was closed in the semi-closed glass chamber and He shielding gas (2.0 L min⁻¹) was passed through it to remove air. Vertical distributions of the sensitivity of the Hg I line, the background intensity, the SD of the background intensity and the DL of Hg assessed corresponded to those obtained for the CVG- μ APGD system operated in an open-to-air atmosphere. However, by sustaining the microdischarge in a H₂O vapor-He atmosphere with the absence of air, a noticeable suppression of the intensity of emission bands of the NO molecule in the spectral range of 200–270 nm was observed (see Fig. 3) in addition to an increase in the sensitivity of the Hg I line. The DL of Hg evaluated for CVG- μ APGD-OES using this arrangement and measuring the near-anode region was 1.9 and 1.4 μ g L⁻¹ ($n = 10$), correspondingly, with 1.0 mm or fully opened diaphragms. This indicates that it is reasonable to




Fig. 3 Emission spectra of μ APGD operated between the miniature flow He jet and the flowing liquid cathode in an atmosphere of ambient air or He shielding gas. Liquid cathode solution flow rate: 1.2 mL min⁻¹, He carrier/jet-supporting gas flow rate: 100 mL min⁻¹, discharge gap: 5.0 mm, discharge current: 35 mA, He shielding gas flow rate: 2.0 L min⁻¹.

collect emission spectra from a larger discharge area (using the fully opened diaphragm). As a result, more regular profiles of the Hg I line were obtained. It is also noteworthy that the repeatability of signals of Hg obtained for the microdischarge combined with the CVG system was better than 4% (as the relative standard deviation, RSD) and more than 2 times lower compared to the RSD evaluated for the arrangement without the CVG system. Since then, μ APGD combined with CVG of Hg and sustained in the system with He shielding gas was thoroughly studied to improve its analytical performance. The suitability of this system for determining traces of Hg in environmental samples was also evaluated. In all further experiments, emission spectra of the near-anode zone of the microdischarge were acquired with the fully opened diaphragm.

3.2. Areas of stable operation of CVG- μ APGD

The influence of the discharge current (within 15–40 mA) and the flow rate of the liquid cathode solution (within 0.9–2.1 mL min⁻¹) on stable operation of CVG- μ APGD was investigated using 3 different flow rates of He shielding gas, *i.e.*, 1.0, 1.75 and 2.5 L min⁻¹. Other operating parameters of the CVG- μ APGD system were the same as before (see Section 3.1).

In general, it was found that large discharge currents resulted in increased production of H_2O vapor. In consequence, H_2O vapor condensed on the quartz observation window and the nozzle anode causing the microdischarge to extinguish. A higher ($>2 \text{ L min}^{-1}$) He shielding gas flow rate was used to avoid this problem. The increase in the flow rate of the liquid cathode solution was also effective. Under these conditions, the efficiency of vaporization of H_2O was lower. When the flow rate of the liquid cathode solution was too low ($<0.9 \text{ mL min}^{-1}$), the microdischarge was unstable because of sparkles with the graphite tube. Maps of stable operation areas found for the CVG- μ APGD system are shown in Fig. 4.

Webb *et al.*^{19,20} previously reported that movement of air in the atmosphere surrounding APGD generated in contact with the flowing liquid cathode deteriorates its analytical performance, *i.e.*, the repeatability of signals of elements, the level and fluctuation of the background. In the CVG- μ APGD system studied in the present contribution, the increase in the flow rate of He shielding gas did not cause such problems, probably because the use of the miniature flow He jet instead of the metallic pin electrode stabilized the microdischarge. Indeed, it was found that the SD of the background intensity in the vicinity of the Hg I line was not affected by the flow rate of He shielding gas, though the background intensity was increased by 35% when the flow rate of this gas was changed from 1.0 to 2.5 L min^{-1} . As can be seen from Fig. 4, high flow rates of He shielding gas were responsible for extended ranges of stable operation of CVG- μ APGD and a desirable 2.2-fold improvement of the sensitivity of the Hg I line when the flow rate of He shielding gas was increased from 1.0 to 2.5 L min^{-1} .

Results related to the effect of the discharge current on the sensitivity of the Hg I line were completely unexpected. In previously published papers, analytes were delivered to discharge cells as components of the flowing liquid cathode solution^{1,2,6–16,21} and sputtered to the discharge core by bombarding its surface. Higher discharge currents commonly led to an improvement of the efficiency of transport of elements from the solution to the discharge and, in consequence, an increase in the intensity of their emission lines.^{2,10,12} In the CVG- μ APGD system studied here, the microdischarge was fed with Hg vapor present in He carrier/jet-supporting gas that passed through the GLS and was introduced to the nozzle anode. For that reason, sputtering of the liquid cathode solution did not play a

significant role in analyte transport. On the other hand, it could be expected that the growing discharge current would result in an increase in energy density and an enhancement of the efficiency of excitation of Hg atoms. Surprisingly, it was found that the optimal discharge current was relatively low, *i.e.*, 20–25 mA ($U = 1100 \text{ V}$). What is more, its further increase resulted in a decreased sensitivity of the Hg I line. So far, such behavior of APGD has not been described in the literature. A detailed explanation of this singularity requires further investigation.

As mentioned before, higher flow rates of the liquid cathode solution were advantageous for stable operation of CVG- μ APGD. Although the reduction of the flow rate of the liquid cathode solution from 2.1 to 0.9 mL min^{-1} resulted in the increase in the sensitivity of the Hg I line, this improvement was low, *i.e.*, only 20% on the whole. Hence, as a compromise, a flow rate of 1.5 mL min^{-1} was chosen for the study.

Under compromised conditions, *i.e.*, for the discharge current of 25 mA, the He shielding gas flow rate of 2.5 L min^{-1} and the liquid cathode solution flow rate of 1.5 mL min^{-1} , the DL of Hg was 0.45 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$.

3.3. Effect of NaBH_4 and HCl concentrations

To select optimum parameters related to the CVG reaction, the sensitivity of the Hg I line, the background intensity and the DL of Hg were examined *versus* different concentrations of NaBH_4 and HCl. Three different flow rates of He carrier/jet-supporting gas were used, *i.e.*, 100, 200 and 300 mL min^{-1} .

As can be seen from Fig. 5, the sensitivity of the Hg I line is strongly affected by the increasing NaBH_4 concentration within 0.05–0.5% (m/v). A gradual reduction in response for Hg with the concentration of the reducing agent could be explained by an increase in the concentration of H_2 in He carrier/jet-supporting gas. Although APGD with the liquid cathode can be operated in an atmosphere of H_2 ,² a negative impact of this gas on the efficiency of excitation of Hg atoms seems to be undeniable. It is well known that H_2 , as a by-product of the NaBH_4 decomposition, impairs the analytical performance of inductive coupled (ICP) and microwave induced (MIP) plasmas.²² Gielniak *et al.* reported that H_2 introduced into He-APGD sustained between two metallic electrodes also led to a decrease in the sensitivity of the Hg I line.²³




Fig. 4 Effect of the discharge current (15–40 mA), the liquid cathode solution flow rate (0.9–2.1 mL min^{-1}) and the He shielding gas flow rate (A: 1.0, B: 1.75, C: 2.5 L min^{-1}) on the sensitivity of the Hg I 253.7 nm emission line (a.u. per $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$). Liquid cathode solution flow rate: 1.5 mL min^{-1} , He carrier/jet-supporting gas flow rate: 100 mL min^{-1} , discharge gap: 5.0 mm, NaBH_4 concentration: 0.1% (m/v), sample solution acidification: 10% (m/v) HCl, flow rate of reagents: 3.0 mL min^{-1} . The dashed area means instability of μ APGD.




Fig. 5 Effect of the sample solution acidification (2.0–16% (m/v) HCl), the NaBH_4 concentration (0.05–0.5% (m/v)) and the He carrier/jet-supporting gas flow rate (A: 100, B: 200 and C: 300 mL min^{-1}) on the sensitivity of the Hg 253.7 nm emission line (a.u. per $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$). Liquid cathode solution flow rate: 1.5 mL min^{-1} , discharge gap: 5 mm, discharge current: 25 mA, flow rate of reagents: 3.0 mL min^{-1} , He shielding gas flow rate: 2.5 L min^{-1} .

In the case of the influence of the HCl concentration in the sample solution on the performance of CVG- μAPGD , the sensitivity of the Hg I lines was increased by about 20–30% when the HCl concentration was changed from 2.0 to 16% (m/v), irrespective of the NaBH_4 concentration.

The intensity of the background near the Hg I line was heightened by 50–65% when the NaBH_4 concentration was increased from 0.05 to 0.5% (m/v), and declined by about 10% when the HCl concentration was increased from 2.0 to 16% (m/v). The SD of the background intensity appeared not to change with the concentration of HCl in the sample solution. However, it was increased by about 25% when the NaBH_4 concentration was changed from 0.05 to 0.5% (m/v).

A typical concentration of NaBH_4 used in CVG of Hg in combination with ICP or MIP is within 0.1–1.0% (m/v).^{22,24,25} Our additional trials indicated that the optimal concentration of NaBH_4 for CVG- μAPGD could be much lower than 0.1% (m/v). Indeed, examining the effect of the NaBH_4 concentration in the lower concentration range than in the beginning, *i.e.*, 0.005–0.05% (m/v), on the sensitivity of the Hg I line, it was found that response for Hg was inversely proportional to the NaBH_4 concentration. The HCl concentration in the sample solution was kept at 16% (m/v) and different flow rates of He carrier/jet-supporting gas were used, *i.e.*, 100, 200 and 300 mL min^{-1} . Accordingly, when a lower concentration of NaBH_4 was used a greater amplification of the Hg signal was recorded, *i.e.*, by about 7.5% per each 0.01% (m/v) of NaBH_4 . This effect was irrespective of the flow rate of He carrier/jet-supporting gas. Lower concentrations of NaBH_4 were not examined, but it was expected that a further decrease in the NaBH_4 concentration, *i.e.*, <0.005% (m/v), would probably result in an improvement of the sensitivity of the Hg I line. Considering the possibility of competitive reactions of NaBH_4 with other ingredients of sample solutions, it was decided to use a slight excess of the reducing agent. Based on all obtained results, 0.005% (m/v) NaBH_4 and 16% (m/v) HCl concentrations were considered as optimal for the CVG reaction.

3.4. Effect of flow rates of He carrier/jet-supporting gas and reagents

The impact of the flow rate of He carrier/jet-supporting gas on the sensitivity of the Hg I line was examined within 40–340 mL min^{-1} .




Fig. 6 Effect of the He carrier/jet-supporting gas flow rate (40–340 mL min^{-1}) on the sensitivity of the Hg I 253.7 nm emission line (a.u. per $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$), the detection limit of Hg (DL, $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$) and the background intensity (BG, a.u.). Liquid cathode solution flow rate: 1.5 mL min^{-1} , discharge gap: 5 mm, discharge current: 25 mA, NaBH_4 concentration: 0.005% (m/v), sample solution acidity: 16% (m/v) HCl, flow rate of reagents: 3.0 mL min^{-1} , He shielding gas flow rate: 2.5 L min^{-1} .

min^{-1} . As can be seen from Fig. 6, the sensitivity of the Hg I line is strongly improved with increasing He flow rates up to 150 mL min^{-1} . Apparently, at low He flow rates, the residence time of Hg in the microdischarge core was the longest, but excitation conditions of Hg atoms were possibly unfavorable due to a relatively high concentration of H_2 in He carrier/jet-supporting gas. When the He flow rate exceeded 150 mL min^{-1} , the sensitivity of the Hg I line reached a plateau (150–340 mL min^{-1}). DLs of Hg evaluated for CVG- μAPGD when it was operated using He carrier/jet-supporting gas at 100, 200 and 300 mL min^{-1} were 0.19, 0.18 and 0.22 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$, respectively. The flow rate of He carrier/jet supporting gas of 200 mL min^{-1} was preferred in further experiments.

The influence of flow rates of reagents for the CVG reaction (within 0.3–6.0 mL min^{-1}) and He carrier/jet-supporting gas (within 40–340 mL min^{-1}) was also examined on the sensitivity of the Hg I 253.7 nm line, the background intensity and the DL of Hg. As can be seen from Fig. 7, the sensitivity of the Hg I line strongly increases in the beginning (up to 3.5 mL min^{-1}) and then, the increase in response for Hg is much slower. This could be explained by an increase in the concentration of H_2 in discharge gas. Although the lowest DL of Hg (0.14 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$) was ascertained when the highest flow rate of reagents was used, *i.e.*, 6.0 mL min^{-1} , the flow rate of 3.0 mL min^{-1} was considered as




Fig. 7 Effect of the flow rate of sample and NaBH_4 solutions ($0.3\text{--}6.0\text{ mL min}^{-1}$) on the sensitivity of the Hg I 253.7 nm emission line (a.u. per $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$), the detection limit of Hg (DL, $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$) and the background intensity (BG, a.u.). Liquid cathode solution flow rate: 1.5 mL min^{-1} , He carrier/jet-supporting flow rate: 200 mL min^{-1} , discharge gap: 5.0 mm , discharge current: 25 mA , NaBH_4 concentration: 0.005% (m/v), sample solution acidity: 16% (m/v) HCl, He shielding gas flow rate: 2.5 L min^{-1} .

optimal to reduce unacceptably high consumption of the sample solution.

3.5. Effect of the liquid cathode solution composition

It is well known that the composition of the liquid cathode solution plays a significant role in processes of sputtering of analytes and their excitation in APGD.^{9,13,15,16,21,26} In our latest papers,^{9,21} physicochemical properties of the liquid cathode solution were modified by addition of non-ionic Triton surfactants. The effect of size and concentration of Triton surfactants on the analytical performance of APGD-OES was investigated. It was acknowledged that heavy Triton x-405 enabled reduction of DLs of Hg and other elements examined at least several times. Under optimized conditions, response for Hg was enhanced more than 5 times. Furthermore, the background intensity and its fluctuation in the vicinity of the Hg I 253.7 nm emission line and atomic emission lines of other elements were considerably decreased. As a result, the DL of 0.13 mg L^{-1} of Hg, although relatively high, was 15 times lower than that assessed before addition of the surfactant.

The effect of the HCl concentration in the liquid cathode solution on Hg response for the CVG- μ APGD system was examined in the range of $0.05\text{--}8.8\%$ (m/v). It was established that for the acid concentration lower than 0.05% (m/v) it was impossible to sustain the microdischarge. Unlike in other papers,^{1,2,11,12,27} the increase in the HCl concentration from 0.05 to 8.8% (m/v) resulted in a very slight linear decrease in the sensitivity of the Hg I line, *i.e.*, only by 8% on the whole. In addition, it was observed that the microdischarge became unstable, and the SD of the background intensity was gradually increased when the HCl concentration in the liquid cathode solution exceeded 1.1% (m/v). The background intensity decreased by over 15% when the HCl concentration was changed from 0.05 to 1.8% (m/v), and then, above the latter concentration, it was practically unchanged. In effect, DLs of Hg evaluated when 0.05 and 8.8% (m/v) HCl solutions were used as flowing liquid cathodes were similar, *i.e.*, 0.16 and $0.25\text{ }\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$, respectively.

The effect of addition of Triton x-405 (within $0.05\text{--}10 \times \text{CMC}$, where CMC is the critical micelle concentration of 0.81 mmol L^{-1})⁹ to the liquid cathode solution was also examined. It has already been reported that addition of non-ionic and ionic surfactants to liquid cathode solutions allows the decrease of DLs of most metals at least by one order of magnitude.^{9,21,27,28} In the present contribution, the presence of Triton x-405 at low concentrations ($0.05\text{--}1.5 \times \text{CMC}$) did not lead to any changes in the sensitivity of the Hg I line and the DL of Hg obtained with the CVG- μ APGD system. When the amount of the surfactant was increased from $1.5 \times \text{CMC}$ to $7.0 \times \text{CMC}$, the sensitivity of the Hg I line was gradually decreased by about 5.0% per each $1.0 \times \text{CMC}$. Afterwards, above $7.0 \times \text{CMC}$ of Triton x-405, the sensitivity of the Hg I line practically remained unchanged. In the case of the SD of the background intensity, it slightly increased when the amount of added Triton x-405 exceeded its $1.5 \times \text{CMC}$. In effect, DLs of Hg evaluated under conditions of Triton x-405 presence in solutions of the liquid cathode in amounts of $1.5 \times \text{CMC}$ and $10 \times \text{CMC}$ were not much differentiated, *i.e.*, 0.15 and $0.20\text{ }\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$, respectively.

The only positive effect of addition of the non-ionic Triton x-405 surfactant was a linear suppression of the background intensity in the vicinity of the Hg I line. The decay of the background level in the whole studied range (from $0.05 \times \text{CMC}$ to $10 \times \text{CMC}$) was higher than 25% . Based on these results, the composition of the liquid cathode solution was not modified in further experiments by adding Triton x-405. A 0.4% (m/v) HCl solution was used as the liquid cathode in the coupled CVG- μ APGD system.

3.6. Transport efficiency and excitation conditions of Hg

The efficiency of CVG of Hg assessed under initial operating conditions (NaBH_4 and HCl concentrations of 0.1% (m/v) and 10% (m/v), respectively, the flow rate of He carrier/jet-supporting gas of 100 mL min^{-1}) was $97 \pm 3\%$ ($n = 3$). Under optimized operating conditions (NaBH_4 and HCl concentrations of 0.005% (m/v) and 16% (m/v), respectively, the flow rate of He carrier/jet-supporting gas of 200 mL min^{-1}), the efficiency of transport of Hg was practically the same, *i.e.*, $98 \pm 1\%$ ($n = 3$). In both cases, considering very low concentrations of NaBH_4 , both CVG efficiencies were unexpectedly high. However, it was evident that the increase in the concentration of the reducing agent did not lead to the improvement of the sensitivity of the Hg I line (see Fig. 5).

Somewhat surprising was the high value of the efficiency of sputtering of Hg from the liquid cathode solution in the case of the μ APGD system without CVG. In this case, the microdischarge was operated under the following conditions: a discharge current of 35 mA , a flow rate of He jet-supporting gas of 100 mL min^{-1} , a solution flow rate of 1.2 mL min^{-1} , a concentration of Hg of 25 mg L^{-1} in 0.4% (m/v) HCl. The efficiency of sputtering was $77 \pm 4\%$ ($n = 3$), while the efficiency of sample vaporization was merely $28 \pm 1\%$ ($n = 3$). The very high value of this efficiency of Hg from the liquid cathode solution to APGD operated in contact with a conventional metallic pin anode was previously reported by Cserfalvi *et al.*²⁹ Zhu *et al.*

suggested that transport of Hg from the liquid cathode solution to the discharge phase could be enhanced due to production of vapor of this element.³⁰

The coupling of μ APGD with CVG of Hg increases the efficiency of transport of this element but just by about 20% in relation to the sample delivery by sputtering in the case of the μ APGD system without CVG. Therefore, it appears that more effective transport of Hg cannot be the only one explanation for the observed enhancement of the sensitivity of the Hg I line (over 3 orders of magnitude). According to Kim *et al.*,¹² just above the surface of the liquid cathode, H₂O vapor is the main component of discharge gas. APGD with the liquid cathode seems to be resistant to saturation by H₂O vapor thanks to high energy density (several kW cm⁻³).³ On the other hand, most of the supplied energy is consumed by H₂O molecules in their vaporization, ionization and dissociation processes. Stable μ APGD can be operated under these conditions but suffers from a small number of electrons with sufficiently high energy to excite Hg atoms. When Hg is delivered to the microdischarge as a component of the liquid cathode solution, it reaches the highest concentration in the near-cathode region. H₂O vapor saturation of this region is extremely high and, as a consequence, the energy of electrons ready for excitation of Hg atoms is dissipated.

The main component of μ APGD in the near-anode region was He atoms that likely promoted formation of high energy electrons. As a result, supplied energy was not consumed in the mentioned side processes and could be used for efficient excitation of Hg atoms in μ APGD coupled with CVG.

3.7. Analytical performance

Under optimal conditions, the DL of Hg evaluated for CVG- μ APGD-OES was 0.14 μ g L⁻¹. Due to such a low DL, the studied method can be easily adapted for the monitoring of water quality (according to the US Environmental Protection Agency the maximum contaminant level for inorganic Hg in drinking water is 2 μ g L⁻¹). A comparison of DLs of Hg assessed for other competitive analytical methods reported in the literature (without pre-concentration of Hg with an Au trap) is given in Table 2. As it can be seen, in most cases, the DL of Hg evaluated for the proposed method is improved by 4 to over 80 times as compared to DLs of Hg obtained for other methods. This DL can be even improved by prolonging the integration time of measurements (in this work it was merely 0.1 s).

It was found that, for the studied CVG- μ APGD-OES method, the upper linearity range of the calibration curve was 500 μ g L⁻¹. The repeatability of the signal ($n = 10$) at 5, 10, 25, 50 and 100 μ g L⁻¹ of Hg was 4.1, 3.0, 2.9, 3.5 and 2.1%, respectively. The method was successfully applied for the determination of Hg in the CRM of human hair (NCS ZC 81002). The result obtained, *i.e.*, $2.17 \pm 0.08 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ($n = 3$), was consistent with the certified value, *i.e.*, $2.16 \pm 0.21 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$. Additionally, samples of tap water, Oder river water, Azure Lakelet water and Purple Lakelet water, which contained Hg below 0.14 μ g L⁻¹, were spiked with known amounts of this element (50 μ g L⁻¹) and analyzed. Average recoveries ($n = 3$) of added Hg for these

Table 2 Comparison of detection limits of Hg for different excitation sources used in optical emission spectrometric determination of this element

	Detection limit, $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	Integration time, s	Ref.
CVG- μ APGD	0.14 ^{a,b,c}	0.1	This work
ELCAD	15 ^{c,d}	0.3	11
	10 ^{d,e}	—	
ELCAD	2 ^{d,e}	0.5	15
CVG-MIP	1.4 ^{a,b,c,f}	0.1	24
CVG-MSP	9 ^{a,c,f}	0.1	25
	0.11 ^{c,f,g}	0.1	
SCGD-ICP	0.7 ^{c,d,f}	3.0	30
	1.2 ^{d,e,f}	0.2	
ECVG-MSP	1.1 ^{b,c}	0.3	31
CVG-DBD	0.014 ^{b,c,g}	10	32
	0.043 ^{c,f,g}	10	
CVG- μ CCP	0.012 ^{c,f,g}	10	33

^a CVG with NaBH₄. ^b Operated in He. ^c Continuous flow mode. ^d Operated in the air. ^e Flow injection mode. ^f Operated in Ar. ^g CVG with SnCl₂. CVG, chemical vapor generation. μ APGD, atmospheric pressure glow microdischarge. ELCAD, electrolyte cathode discharge. MIP, microwave induced plasma. MSP, microstrip plasma. SCGD, solution cathode glow discharge. ICP, inductively coupled plasma. ECVG, electrochemical cold vapor generation. DBD, dielectric barrier discharge. μ CCP, capacitively coupled plasma microtorch.

waters ($n = 3$) were 96, 103, 102 and 102%, respectively. All these results proved the good precision and accuracy of CVG- μ APGD-OES.

4. Conclusions

A novel analytical method, *i.e.*, optical emission spectrometry with excitation in an atmospheric pressure glow microdischarge sustained between a miniature flow He jet and a small-sized flowing liquid cathode, and combined with cold vapor generation of Hg (CVG- μ APGD-OES), was described. As compared to the μ APGD system without CVG, the efficiency of excitation of Hg atoms in the microdischarge combined with CVG was several thousand times higher. As a consequence, the DL of Hg was improved by 4 orders of magnitude. Increasing the integration time or using an Au trap could help in achieving a further improvement of the DL of Hg. To improve the sensitivity of the determination of Hg, the concentration of H₂ in discharge gas has to be reduced, *e.g.*, by replacing NaBH₄ with SnCl₂. However, an essential factor to improve the efficiency of excitation of Hg atoms is the prevention of saturation of discharge gas by H₂O vapor. To increase the application possibilities of the new device, its design should be modified to limit the consumption of He shielding gas. In spite of this, CVG- μ APGD-OES was shown to be suitable for determination of Hg concentrations of several to a few micrograms per L.

At present, compared to CVG heated quartz tube atomic absorption spectrometry (HQT-AAS), where Ar is used for purging Hg vapor, CVG- μ APGD-OES described in the present contribution uses He as a discharge and carrier gas and seems to be about 3 fold more expensive. However, consumption of

gases in CVG-ICP-OES or CVG-MIP-OES is much higher, and therefore the proposed alternative is cheaper. In our opinion, there is space for the improvement of the system by decreasing the flow rate of He or replacing the latter gas with Ar. Certainly, the cost of the device of the newer method, *i.e.*, CVG- μ APGD-OES, is much lower compared to devices for CVG-HQT-AAS, CVG-ICP-OES and CVG-MIP-OES.

Acknowledgements

The work was financed by a statutory activity subsidy from the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education for the Faculty of Chemistry of Wrocław University of Technology.

References

- 1 T. Cserfalvi, P. Mezei and P. Apai, *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.*, 1993, **26**, 2184–2188.
- 2 T. Cserfalvi and P. Mezei, *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.*, 1994, **9**, 345–349.
- 3 P. Jamroz, K. Greda and P. Pohl, *Trends Anal. Chem.*, 2012, **41**, 105–121.
- 4 M. R. Webb and G. M. Hieftje, *Anal. Chem.*, 2009, **81**, 862–867.
- 5 P. Mezei and T. Cserfalvi, *Appl. Spectrosc. Rev.*, 2007, **42**, 573–604.
- 6 R. Shekhar, D. Karunasagar, K. Dash and M. Ranjit, *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.*, 2010, **25**, 875–879.
- 7 P. Jamroz, P. Pohl and W. Zyrnicki, *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.*, 2012, **27**, 1032–1037.
- 8 K. Greda, P. Jamroz and P. Pohl, *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.*, 2013, **28**, 1233–1241.
- 9 K. Greda, P. Jamroz and P. Pohl, *Talanta*, 2013, **108**, 74–82.
- 10 M. R. Webb, F. J. Andrade, G. Gamez, R. McCrindle and G. M. Hieftje, *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.*, 2005, **20**, 1218–1225.
- 11 R. Shekhar, D. Karunasagar, M. Ranjit and J. Arunachalam, *Anal. Chem.*, 2009, **81**, 8157–8166.
- 12 H. J. Kim, J. H. Lee, M. Y. Kim, T. Cserfalvi and P. Mezei, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part B*, 2000, **55**, 823–831.
- 13 T. Cserfalvi and P. Mezei, *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.*, 2003, **18**, 596–602.
- 14 Q. Xiao, Z. Zhu, H. Zheng, H. He, C. Huang and S. Hu, *Talanta*, 2013, **106**, 144–149.
- 15 R. Shekhar, *Talanta*, 2012, **93**, 32–36.
- 16 T. A. Doroski and M. R. Webb, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part B*, 2013, **88**, 40–45.
- 17 N. Shirai, M. Nakazawa, S. Ibuka and S. Ishii, *Electr. Eng. Jpn.*, 2012, **178**, 8–15.
- 18 P. Mezei, T. Cserfalvi and L. Csillag, *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.*, 2005, **38**, 2804–2811.
- 19 M. R. Webb, F. J. Andrade and G. M. Hieftje, *Anal. Chem.*, 2007, **79**, 7899–7905.
- 20 M. R. Webb, F. J. Andrade and G. M. Hieftje, *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.*, 2007, **22**, 766–774.
- 21 K. Greda, P. Jamroz and P. Pohl, *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.*, 2013, **28**, 134–141.
- 22 P. Pohl, *Trends Anal. Chem.*, 2004, **23**, 87–101.
- 23 B. Gielniak, T. Fiedler and J. A. C. Broekaert, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part B*, 2011, **66**, 21–27.
- 24 P. Jamroz, P. Pohl and W. Zyrnicki, *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.*, 2012, **27**, 1772–1779.
- 25 P. Pohl, I. Jimene Zapata, E. Voges, N. H. Bings and J. A. C. Broekaert, *Microchim. Acta*, 2008, **161**, 175–184.
- 26 R. Manjusha, M. A. Reddy, R. Shekhar and S. J. Kumar, *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.*, 2013, **28**, 1932–1939.
- 27 R. Shekhar, K. Madhavi, N. N. Meeravali and S. J. Kumar, *Anal. Methods*, 2014, **6**, 732–740.
- 28 Z. Zhang, Z. Wang, Q. Li, H. Zou and Y. Shi, *Talanta*, 2014, **119**, 613–619.
- 29 T. Cserfalvi and P. Mezei, *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.*, 2005, **9**, 939–944.
- 30 Z. Zhu, G. C.-Y. Chan, S. J. Ray, X. Zhang and G. M. Hieftje, *Anal. Chem.*, 2008, **80**, 7043–7050.
- 31 V. Cervený, M. Horváth and J. A. C. Broekaert, *Microchem. J.*, 2013, **107**, 10–16.
- 32 Z. Zhu, G. C.-Y. Chan, S. J. Ray, X. Zhang and G. M. Hieftje, *Anal. Chem.*, 2008, **80**, 8622–8627.
- 33 T. Frentiu, A. I. Mihaltan, M. Senila, E. Darvasi, M. Ponta, M. Frentiu and B. P. Pintican, *Microchem. J.*, 2013, **110**, 545–552.