

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/271212291>

Iron-Catalyzed Borylation of Alkyl, Allyl, and Aryl Halides: Isolation of an Iron(I) Boryl Complex

ARTICLE in ORGANOMETALLICS · OCTOBER 2014

Impact Factor: 4.13 · DOI: 10.1021/om500847j

CITATIONS

17

READS

38

6 AUTHORS, INCLUDING:



[Robin B Bedford](#)

University of Bristol

131 PUBLICATIONS 4,716 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



[Peter B. Brenner](#)

University of Bristol

27 PUBLICATIONS 402 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



[Emma Carter](#)

Cardiff University

48 PUBLICATIONS 603 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



[Damien M Murphy](#)

Cardiff University

135 PUBLICATIONS 2,542 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Iron-Catalyzed Borylation of Alkyl, Allyl, and Aryl Halides: Isolation of an Iron(I) Boryl Complex


Robin B. Bedford,^{*,†} Peter B. Brenner,[†] Emma Carter,[‡] Timothy Gallagher,[†] Damien M. Murphy,[‡] and Dominic R. Pye[†]

[†]School of Chemistry, University of Bristol, Cantock's Close, Bristol BS8 1TS, U.K.

[‡]School of Chemistry, Cardiff University, Main Building, Park Place, Cardiff CF10 3AT, U.K.

S Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Activation of B_2pin_2 with $tBuLi$ facilitates the Fe-catalyzed borylation of alkyl, allyl, benzyl, and aryl halides via the formation of $Li[B_2pin_2(tBu)]$ (1). The reaction of 1 with a representative iron phosphine precatalyst generates the unique iron(I) boryl complex $[Fe(Bpin)(dpbz)_2]$ (2).



Alkylboronic acid derivatives are of great synthetic interest and can be prepared by a variety of methods.¹ A recent and highly attractive methodology, developed originally by Marder and co-workers,² relies on the borylation of alkyl halides with diboron esters, in particular bis(pinacolato)-diboron (B_2pin_2) (Scheme 1). Originally, this method required

Scheme 1



Cu catalysts,^{2,3} but the scope has been expanded to include Pd,⁴ Ni,⁵ and, most recently, Zn-based catalysts.⁶ The equivalent iron-catalyzed process is highly desirable due to iron's low cost and toxicity.⁷ We report that both phosphine-containing and coligand-free iron-based catalysts can be employed in the reaction to excellent effect. Furthermore, we show that a unique iron(I) boryl complex can be isolated under catalytically relevant conditions.

From optimization studies (Table 1) it was clear that the barrier to success lay with the transfer of the boryl group from B_2pin_2 to the iron center. Initial attempts at coupling $nBuBr$ with B_2pin_2 , activated with KF, KO^tBu, or NEt₃ in the presence of $MgBr_2$ (20 mol %), gave little or none of the desired product. Alkyl anions have been shown to be useful activating agents in the iron-catalyzed Suzuki coupling of arylboronic pinacol esters,⁸ and this approach proved fruitful here, particularly when $tBuLi$ was exploited in the presence of cocatalytic $MgBr_2$ (entry 5).

Table 1. Optimization of Iron-Catalyzed Borylation^a

$R-X + B_2pin_2 \xrightarrow[\text{THF, r.t.}]{[Fe-cat], \text{activator}} R-Bpin$			
entry	[Fe-cat]	activator	yield, % ^b
1	$[FeCl_2(dppe)]$	KF + $MgBr_2^c$	0
2		KO ^t Bu + $MgBr_2^c$	3
3		NEt ₃ + $MgBr_2^c$	6
4		EtMgBr	38
5		$tBuLi$ + $MgBr_2^c$	71
6	$[FeCl_2(dppe)]^d$		71
7	$FeBr_2$		80
8	$FeBr_2$ + xantphos		85
9	$FeBr_2$ + dcpe		90
10	$[FeCl_2(dcpe)]$		90
11	none		0
12	$[FeCl_2(dcpe)]$	$MgBr_2^c$	0
13	$[FeCl_2(dcpe)]^e$	$tBuLi$ + $MgBr_2^c$	88
14	$[FeCl_2(dcpe)]$	$tBuLi$	71
15		$tBuLi$ + $AlBr_3^c$	69
16		$tBuLi$ + $ZnBr_2^c$	77

^a $tBuBpin$ produced as coproduct, observed by GC-MS. ^bBy GC (dodecane standard). ^c20 mol % with respect to $nBuBr$. ^dFrom 99.99% purity $FeCl_2$. ^e6 mol % [Fe].

Received: August 21, 2014

Published: October 22, 2014



Surprisingly, an increase in yield was observed in the *absence* of added ligand (entry 7). Indeed, most of the P- or N-based ligands we surveyed either hindered the catalysis or gave no improvement in yield in comparison to “coligand-free” conditions (see Table S1 in the Supporting Information).⁹ Only xantphos (4,5-bis(diphenylphosphino)-9,9-dimethylxanthene) and dcpe (1,2-bis(dicyclohexylphosphino)ethane) gave higher yields (entries 8–10) than the phosphine-free system. Both iron and ^tBuLi (entries 11 and 12) were found to be essential for the reaction, while an iron precatalyst made from high-purity FeCl₂ gave the same result as that obtained with reagent grade FeCl₂. In the absence of MgBr₂ the yield dropped significantly (entry 14). Replacing MgBr₂ with either AlBr₃ or ZnBr₂ gave little or no improvement in activity in comparison with ^tBuLi alone.

Table 2 summarizes the borylation of a range of substrates. Typically, with more reactive substrates (allyl, benzyl, and secondary alkyl halides) we obtained higher yields under coligand-free conditions in comparison to those when [FeCl₂(dcpe)] was used, whereas with primary alkyl halides, better activity was seen in the presence of the phosphine (see Table S2 (Supporting Information) for full data).⁹ Gratifyingly we obtained some, albeit modest, activity with aryl bromides, a class of substrate that can prove challenging for iron catalysis. Here the best precatalyst proved to be [FeCl₂(dppe)].

















Next we turned our attention to garnering mechanistic insights, starting first with identifying the activated borylating reagent. The reaction of B₂pin₂ with ^tBuLi in THF (Scheme 2) gave a solution of a compound we assign as the borate ion Li[B₂pin₂(^tBu)] (**1**).

The solution of compound **1** showed two peaks in its ¹¹B NMR spectrum, a broad peak at δ 39.1 and a sharper peak at δ 6.4 similar to those observed previously for the related anion [B₂pin₂(OMe)][−] (δ 35.0 and 5.9).¹⁰ After the solvent was removed, the solubility of the isolated solid **1** was poor. Despite this, its reaction with ⁿBuBr, catalyzed by [FeCl₂(dcpe)], still gave ⁿBuBpin in 85% yield, strongly suggesting that **1** is the borylating species. In effect, **1** behaves as a “masked” boryl anion stabilized by coordination to an alkylboronic ester.¹¹

As we previously observed in iron-catalyzed Suzuki coupling reactions, phosphine-free precatalysts gave black, heterogeneous reaction mixtures.^{8b} In contrast, the reaction of ⁿBuBr with **1** catalyzed by [FeCl₂(dcpe)] in THF gave a pale yellow, homogeneous solution, allowing us to undertake initial-rate kinetic studies. These were performed at 5 °C unless specified otherwise. The initial rate of the reaction was found to be independent of [**1**] over the range investigated,⁹ indicating that **1** was not involved in the rate-limiting step. For a reaction run at 2.5 mM precatalyst concentration we observed no turnover with an [MgBr₂]₀ = 8.3 mM. However, by [MgBr₂]₀ = 12.5 mM the rate rose significantly, after which point further addition of MgBr₂ led to only a slight increase in rate. We have yet to ascertain the role(s) played by the MgBr₂, but it is interesting to note that a nontrivial dependence on [MgBr₂] was also observed in Fe-catalyzed Suzuki coupling.^{8b}

The initial rate showed an approximately first order dependence on the concentration of the precatalyst, [FeCl₂(dcpe)]. Adding extra dcpe proved to be hugely deleterious to the reaction, so much so that we needed to increase the temperature of the reaction to 30 °C and double the concentration of iron precatalyst in order to be able to record meaningful rates. Under these conditions, the addition of 0.5 equiv of dcpe led to an approximately 40-fold decrease in


Table 2. Borylation of Alkyl, Benzyl, Allyl, and Aryl Halides^a

			
Entry	RX	catalyst	Yield, % ^b
1		[FeCl ₂ (dcpe)]	77
2			59
3			69
4			67
5			73
6	ⁿ BuBr		90 ^c
7	ⁿ BuCl		24 ^c
8	ⁿ BuI	FeBr ₂	78 ^c
9			78 ^c
10	X = Cl		24 ^c
11	X = I		70 ^c
12			70
13			71
14			87 ^d
15	X = Cl		58 ^d
16	X = I		63 ^d
17			69
18			95 ^d
19	X = Cl		85 ^d
20			75
21			71
22		[FeCl ₂ (dppe)]	18 ^{a,e}
23	X = I		11 ^{a,e}
24	X = Cl		5 ^{a,e}
25			33 ^{a,e}

^aConditions: THF solution of B₂pin₂ (2.8 mmol) and ^tBuLi (2.8 mmol) added to a THF solution of RX (2 mmol), MgBr₂ (0.4 mmol), and iron precatalyst (3 mol %, unless otherwise indicated), stirred at room temperature for 1 h. ^bIsolated yield, unless otherwise stated. ^cDetermined by GC (dodecane internal standard). ^dDetermined by ¹H NMR (1,3,5-(MeO)₃C₆H₃ internal standard). ^e40 °C, 5 mol % Fe.

initial rate, beyond which point an approximately inverse first-order dependence on [dcpe] was observed. A previously


Scheme 2



observed inverse first-order dependence of rate on diphosphine concentration in a related iron-catalyzed Suzuki coupling^{8b} was attributed to a pre-equilibrium between a stable bis-diphosphine complex and a reactive mono-diphosphine species immediately prior to the rate-determining step: the oxidation of the latter species by the alkyl halide substrate. Here the effect of added phosphine is far more pronounced and may indicate that the active species is in fact diphosphine-free. In this scenario, the phosphine would presumably stabilize resting state species and thus prevent catalyst degradation: much the same role as we previously proposed for chelating diamine ligands in iron-catalyzed Kumada cross-coupling reactions.¹²

While it might be presumed that the reactions proceed via the formation of iron boryl intermediates, we wished to examine whether such species could indeed be formed under catalytically relevant conditions. Under phosphine-free conditions, the reaction of FeBr_2 with excess **1** at room temperature led to the immediate formation of a black suspension.¹³ Although yellow to red homogeneous solutions could be obtained at -78°C on varying the B:Fe ratio from 3:1 through to 5:1, these species underwent rapid decomposition on slight warming. So far we have not been able to isolate any species from these reactions due to their extreme thermal sensitivity; therefore, we focused instead on the equivalent reactions of **1** with Fe phosphine complexes. The reaction of $[\text{FeCl}_2(\text{dpbz})_2]$ ($\text{dpbz} = 1,2\text{-bis}(\text{diphenylphosphino})\text{benzene}$) with **1** led to a rapid change from a yellow suspension to a deep red solution, and we were able to isolate the iron(I) boryl complex **2** in good yield (Scheme 3).

Scheme 3. Formation of an Fe(I) Boryl Complex



The single-crystal X-ray structure of **2** is shown in Figure 1 (top). The gross structure is very similar to those of other Fe(I) complexes we have reported of the type $[\text{FeX}(\text{PP})_2]$, where X = aryl, halide and PP = dpbz, dppe.¹⁴ To the best of our knowledge, this is both the first example of a boryl ligand on an Fe(I) center (the vast majority of Fe boryl complexes contain Fe(II))¹⁵ and the first example of an iron boryl species supported solely by phosphine ligands. The Fe–B bond length (2.065(3) Å) is similar to those at the longer end of the range reported for iron(II) boryl complexes.¹⁵

Complex **2** is paramagnetic ($\mu_{\text{eff}} = 1.73 \mu_{\text{B}}$, Evans method, THF, room temperature),¹⁶ consistent with an $S = 1/2$ spin state, and is NMR silent. The X-band EPR spectrum of complex **2** (Figure 1, bottom left) is significantly more broadened and lacking in resolved hyperfine structure in comparison to the spectra of related $[\text{FeX}(\text{PP})_2]$ (X = halide,




Figure 1. (top) X-ray structure of **2**, with H atoms and C atoms except for the ipso-C atoms of Ph residues omitted. Ellipsoids were set at 50% probability. (bottom left) X-band EPR spectrum (THF, 140 K) of **2**. (bottom right) Calculated SOMO (isovalue ± 0.05 (electron/ bohr^3)^{1/2}) of low-spin **2**.⁹

aryl) complexes,^{8b,12,14} presumably as a result of superhyperfine coupling to ^{31}P and both superhyperfine and quadrupolar coupling to $^{10,11}\text{B}$. A density functional theory (B3LYP-D2)⁹ analysis of the ground-state structure of **2** gave a geometry and electronic structure consistent with low-spin Fe(I) character (Figure 1, bottom right). The Mulliken spin density corresponding to the unpaired electron is located primarily on the Fe atom (86.7%), with only very small contributions from the ligating P and B atoms and the other ligand atoms.

Unsurprisingly, in view of the highly deleterious effect on the rate of reaction of having >1 diphosphine ligand present in the catalytic reaction, complex **2** showed poor activity as a catalyst in the coupling of $n\text{-BuBr}$ with **1**, giving only 16% of $n\text{-BuBpin}$ after 16 h. This suggests that **2** is not an active catalyst but rather that it slowly converts to an active species. This presumably occurs on reaction with **1**, since complex **2** showed no reaction with a stoichiometric amount of $n\text{-BuBr}$ in the absence of **1** after 16 h.

In summary, the iron-catalyzed borylation of a range of alkyl, allyl, benzyl, and aryl halides can be achieved. Preliminary mechanistic investigations indicate that overcoordination by chelating diphosphines slows the rate enormously. Conversely, the stabilization provided by phosphine ligation allows the isolation of a unique iron(I) boryl complex, the formation of which shows that the activated borate **1** is sufficiently reducing to be able to access oxidation states below Fe(II), adding to the range of nucleophiles that yield Fe(I) under catalytically relevant conditions.¹⁷ We are currently exploring both the scope of the reaction and the mechanism in detail.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Text, figures, tables, and CIF and xyz files giving experimental details, spectroscopic data, and DFT calculations. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the EPSRC for funding from the Bristol Chemical Synthesis Centre for Doctoral Training (D.R.P.) and for postdoctoral support (P.B.B., E.C.) and Dr. Natalie Fey and Joshua Nunn for theory guidance and discussions.

■ REFERENCES

- (1) *Boronic Acids*; Hall, D. G., Ed.; Wiley: Weinheim, Germany, 2012.
- (2) (a) Kleeberg, C.; Dang, L.; Lin, Z.; Marder, T. B. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 5350. (b) Yang, C.-T.; Zhang, Z.-Q.; Tajuddin, H.; Wu, C.-C.; Liang, J.; Liu, J.-H.; Fu, Y.; Czyzewska, M.; Steel, P. G.; Marder, T. B.; Liu, L. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2011**, *51*, 528.
- (3) Ito, H.; Kubota, K. *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 890.
- (4) (a) Bej, A.; Srimani, D.; Sarkar, A. *Green Chem.* **2012**, *14*, 661. (b) Yi, J.; Liu, J.-H.; Liang, J.; Dai, J.-J.; Yang, C.-T.; Fu, Y.; Liu, L. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2012**, *354*, 1685. (c) Joshi-Pangu, A.; Ma, X.; Diane, M.; Iqbal, S.; Kribs, R. J.; Huang, R.; Wang, C.-Y.; Biscoe, M. R. *J. Org. Chem.* **2012**, *77*, 6629.
- (5) Dudnik, A. S.; Fu, G. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 10693.
- (6) Bose, S. K.; Fucke, K.; Liu, L.; Steel, P. G.; Marder, T. B. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 1799.
- (7) During the preparation of this paper iron TMEDA systems were also found to be active, albeit at significantly higher catalyst loading. See: Attack, T. C.; Lecker, R. M.; Cook, S. P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 9521.
- (8) (a) Hatakeyama, T.; Hashimoto, T.; Kondo, Y.; Fujiwara, Y.; Seike, H.; Takaya, H.; Tamada, Y.; Ono, T.; Nakamura, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 10674. (b) Bedford, R. B.; Brenner, P. B.; Carter, E.; Carvell, T. W.; Cogswell, P. M.; Gallagher, T.; Harvey, J. N.; Murphy, D. M.; Neeve, E. C.; Nunn, J.; Pye, D. R. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2014**, *20*, 7935.
- (9) See the Supporting Information for details.
- (10) Pubill-Ulldemolins, C.; Bonet, A.; Bo, C.; Gulyás, H.; Fernández, E. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2012**, *18*, 1121.
- (11) Boryl anions are rare. For an example, see: Segawa, Y.; Yamashita, M.; Nozaki, K. *Science* **2006**, *314*, 113.
- (12) Bedford, R. B.; Brenner, P. B.; Carter, E.; Cogswell, P. M.; Haddow, M. F.; Harvey, J. N.; Murphy, D. M.; Nunn, J.; Woodall, C. H. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 1804.
- (13) Most likely iron(0) nanoparticles. See ref 12 and: Bedford, R. B.; Betham, M.; Bruce, D. W.; Davis, S. A.; Frost, R. M.; Hird, M. *Chem. Commun.* **2006**, 1398.
- (14) (a) Adams, C. J.; Bedford, R. B.; Carter, E.; Gower, N. J.; Haddow, M. F.; Harvey, J. N.; Huwe, M.; Cartes, M. A.; Mansell, S. M.; Mendoza, C.; Murphy, D. M.; Neeve, E. C.; Nunn, J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 10333. (b) Bedford, R. B.; Carter, E.; Cogswell, P. M.; Gower, N. J.; Haddow, M. F.; Harvey, J. N.; Murphy, D. M.; Neeve, E. C.; Nunn, J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 1285. (c) Bedford, R. B.; Brenner, P. B.; Carter, E.; Clifton, J.; Cogswell, P. M.; Gower, N. J.; Haddow, M. F.; Harvey, J. N.; Kehl, J. A.; Murphy, D. M.; Neeve, E. C.; Neidig, M. L.; Nunn, J.; Snyder, B. E. R.; Taylor, J. *Organometallics* **2014**, DOI: 10.1021/om500518r.
- (15) Selected reviews: (a) Irvine, G. J.; Lesley, M. J. G.; Marder, T. B.; Norman, N. C.; Rice, C. R.; Robins, E. G.; Roper, W. R.; Whittell, G. R.; Wright, L. J. *Chem. Rev.* **1998**, *98*, 2685. (b) Aldridge, S.; Coombs, D. L. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2004**, *248*, 535. (c) Braunschweig, H.; Dewhurst, R. D.; Schneider, A. *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 3924.
- (16) (a) Bain, G. A.; Berry, J. F. *J. Chem. Educ.* **2008**, *85*, 532. (b) Piguet, C. *J. Chem. Educ.* **1997**, *74*, 815.
- (17) These include a wide range of RZn-, RMg-, RB-, RAl-, and RIn-based nucleophiles. For examples and leading references see refs 8b, 12, and 14.