See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/259112217

# An Efficient, Modular Approach for the Synthesis of (+)-Strictifolione and a Related Natural Product

ARTICLE in ORGANIC LETTERS · DECEMBER 2013

Impact Factor: 6.36 · DOI: 10.1021/ol403110p · Source: PubMed

**CITATIONS** 

8

**READS** 

37

#### 3 AUTHORS:



Susanthi Jayasinghe

University of Kansas

7 PUBLICATIONS 12 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Paul R. Hanson

University of Kansas

155 PUBLICATIONS 2,790 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Phanindra Venukadasula

Southern Research Institute

9 PUBLICATIONS 43 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



### An Efficient, Modular Approach for the Synthesis of (+)-Strictifolione and a Related Natural Product

Susanthi Jayasinghe, Phanindra K. M. Venukadasula, and Paul R. Hanson\*

Department of Chemistry, University of Kansas, 1251 Wescoe Hall Drive, Lawrence, Kansas 66045-7582, United States

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: An efficient, library amenable, "pot economical" total synthesis of (+)-strictifolione and the related natural product, (6R)-6[(E,4R,6R)-4,6-dihydroxy-10-phenyl-1-decenyl]-5,6-dihydro-2H-2-pyrone, are reported. This modular approach takes advantage of two consecutive phosphate tether-mediated, one-pot, sequential protocols, followed by a final cross metathesis to deliver both antifungal natural products in a three-pot process from the respective enantiomeric (R,R)- and (S,S)-trienes with minimal purification. A salient feature of this route is that additional protecting groups are not required as a result of the orthogonal protecting- and leaving-group properties innate to phosphate triesters.

(+)-Strictifolione (1) was isolated and structurally characterized by Aimi and co-workers from the stem bark of Cryptocaria stritifolia, a member of the family Lauraceae that grows in the rainforests of west Kalimantan, Indonesia. The structure of 1, including the absolute configuration of the stereogenic centers, was also confirmed by Aimi and co-workers after accomplishing its first total synthesis, employing (S)-malic acid and (S)glycidol in 18 steps.<sup>2</sup> A related compound, (6R)-6[(E,4R,6R)-4,6-dihydroxy-10-phenyl-1-decenyl]-5,6-dihydro-2*H*-2-pyrone (2), was isolated by Hostettmann and co-workers in 2001 from the leaves and bark of Ravensara crassifolia, which is an endemic genus in Madagascar, along with another structurally similar compound (6S)-5,6-dihydro-6-[(2R)-2-hydroxy-6-phenylexyl]-2H-pyran-2-one (3).3 Krishna and co-workers accomplished the first total synthesis of 2 by iterative use of Jacobsen's hydrolytic kinetic resolution with a longest linear sequence (LLS) of 17 steps.<sup>4</sup> All three compounds (1-3, Figure 1) have been shown to possess antifungal activity.

Key structural features in 1 and 2 include a Michael accepting 5,6-dihydro- $\alpha$ -pyrone moiety in the eastern subunit, a central 1,3-anti diol, and lipophilic substitution in the western subunit. It is generally believed that the unsaturated pyranone functional group can react with the nucleophilic warhead of a target enzyme and, thus, attenuate its activity.<sup>5</sup>

Among several synthetic methods for the construction of 1,6 notable streamlined efforts have recently been made. In 2003, Cossy and co-workers developed a concise and elegant synthetic pathway consisting of a longest linear sequence of 9

**Figure 1.** Natural products 1−3.

steps, starting from 3-phenylpropionaldehyde, that utilized the dual use of enantioselective allyltitanation in conjunction with cross metathesis (CM).6a In 2010, Das and co-workers devised a comparable pathway with an LLS of 10 steps using Sharpless kinetic resolution and olefin cross metathesis.<sup>6g</sup> In 2010, She and co-workers<sup>6h</sup> developed an efficient route employing a onepot, double allylboration comprised of a pathway with a 7-step LLS using an Ipc<sub>2</sub>BH-derived boryl-substituted allylborane, derived in two steps from propargyl bromide,7 3-butenal, derived in two steps from glyoxal, and a ketal-protected aldehyde. Despite significant attributes of these syntheses, the development of simple, efficient, scalable strategies that are

Received: October 29, 2013 Published: December 2, 2013 Organic Letters Letter

library amenable for installation of key diversity elements in a divergent manner, is notably absent in the literature. In this regard, one-pot protocols have emerged as powerful synthetic strategies to achieve total/intermediate/analog synthesis, due to the ability to form multiple bonds and stereocenters, while invoking step, atom, green, and pot economy, thus saving time and resources. Herein, we disclose an efficient, modular approach for the total synthesis of both naturally occurring antifungal compounds 1 and 2, highlighting the utility of two consecutive phosphate tether-mediated one-pot, sequential protocols, namely a one-pot, sequential, RCM/CM/chemoselective hydrogenation protocol, 11 followed by a one-pot. sequential reductive allylic transposition/tether removal method and final CM with overall minimal purification. A critical feature of this strategy is modular installation of the western and eastern 5,6-dihydro-α-pyrone subunits via two facile CM reactions, thus opening future opportunities in library development.

Retrosynthetic analysis reveals that both natural products 1 and 2 can be readily derived from key diol-containing intermediates 4 and 9, respectively, via CM with vinyl lactone 5 (Scheme 1). The pivotal diol 4 in turn can be synthesized

Scheme 1. Retrosynthetic Analysis of Natural Products 1 and

from phosphate **6**, employing a regioselective Pd(0)-catalyzed reductive allylic transposition and phosphate tether removal under reductive conditions. The phenyl substituted bicyclic phosphate **6** can be achieved from triene (R,R)-7 via a one-pot, sequential RCM/CM/" $H_2$ " with *cis*-stilbene as the CM partner, followed by chemoselective hydrogenation employing diimide reduction conditions with o-nitrobenzenesulfonyl hydrazine (o-

NBSH).  $^{11,12}$  Triene (R,R)-7 is readily prepared in two steps via sequential tripodal coupling of the  $C_2$ -symmetric *anti*-diene diol (R,R)-8 and allyl alcohol with POCl<sub>3</sub> or in one step utilizing phosphoramidite chemistry.  $^{13c}$  Similarly, phosphate 10 can be synthesized following the same sequence of RCM/CM/"H<sub>2</sub>" starting with enantiomeric triene (S,S)-7 which is obtained from 1,3-*anti*-diene diol (S,S)-8 and employing phenyl-but-3-ene as the cross-coupling partner. Vinyl lactone 5 can be readily derived (S,S)-1 from diene 11 and TIPS-protected propargyl aldehyde 12 using Jacobsen hetero-Diels—Alder chemistry.  $^{14}$ 

Following the previously reported optimized conditions for RCM/CM/"H<sub>2</sub>", <sup>11</sup> triene (*R*,*R*)-7 was first subjected to an RCM reaction with the second generation Hoveyda—Grubbs catalyst (HG-II) 14<sup>15</sup> (6 mol %) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (0.007 M), and upon completion, solvent was evaporated and the cross metathesis partner *cis*-stilbene in DCE was introduced with continued heating for 2 h (Scheme 2). It should be noted that

Scheme 2. Consecutive One-Pot, Sequential Protocols and CM

cross metathesis with styrene was not productive in comparison to *cis*-stilbene due to deleterious homodimerization of styrene, a type I olefin. <sup>16</sup> Subsequent chemoselective diimide reduction by simple addition of *o*-NBSH into the reaction mixture provided the phenyl-substituted phosphate 6 in 52% overall yield, representing an 81% average yield/reaction in the one-pot, sequential protocol.

We next developed a one-pot Pd-catalyzed, reductive allylic transposition <sup>17</sup> and tether removal protocol. In this regard, allylic transposition [Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub>, HCOONH<sub>4</sub>, PPh<sub>3</sub>] <sup>18</sup> on phosphate **6** generated the requisite terminal olefin that was followed by *in situ* tether removal by consecutive addition of dimethyl sulfate (Me<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) (reflux 3 h) and LiAlH<sub>4</sub> (0 °C), followed by facile Feiser workup, <sup>19</sup> to furnish diol 4 as a single diastereomer in 65% overall yield (87% average yield/reaction). <sup>20</sup>

With the advanced fragment 4 in hand, the total synthesis of 1 was accomplished via CM of diol alkene 4 and the readily prepared vinyl lactone 5, vide infra (Scheme 2), in the presence of the HG-II catalyst in  $CH_2Cl_2$  in 77% yield and with excellent *E*-selectivity. The spectral data ( $H^1$ ,  $C^{13}$ , IR, HRMS) and optical rotation of 1 were in complete agreement with those

Organic Letters Letter

reported in the literature.<sup>2</sup> Overall, the three-pot process afforded 1 in 26% yield from triene (R,R)-7.

Since diol 4 was obtained in high purity without chromatography, the protocol outlined in Scheme 2 was further optimized to employ simple cannulation after the aforementioned Feiser workup (i.e., before CM). Thus, after reduction with LiAlH<sub>4</sub>, and Fieser workup, the resulting THF solution was transferred via cannula, concentrated, and subjected to CM with vinyl lactone 5 in  $\mathrm{CH_2Cl_2}$  to afford 1 in 26% overall yield (72% average yield/reaction, Scheme 3).

## Scheme 3. One-Pot, Pd-Catalyzed Reductive Allylic Transposition, Tether Removal Protocol, and CM

The aforementioned vinyl lactone 5 was readily synthesized utilizing Jacobsen hetero-Diels—Alder chemistry as outlined in Scheme 4. The isopropyl acetal alkyne 17 was obtained

Scheme 4. Synthesis of Vinyl Lactone 5

following the Jacobsen protocol employing hetero-Diels—Alder catalyst  $13.^{14}$  Subsequent Lindlar hydrogenation with Pd—CaCO<sub>3</sub>, in the presence of freshly distilled quinoline in EtOAc under H<sub>2</sub>, afforded olefin 18 in 80% yield on gram scale (Scheme 4). The required vinyl lactone 5 was obtained in good yield via direct oxidation of the isopropyl acetal olefin 18 with PCC in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> in the presence of AcOH.

We next highlighted this approach in the synthesis of the natural product (6R)-6[(E,4R,6R)-4,6-dihydroxy-10-phenyl-1-decenyl]-5,6-dihydro-2H-2-pyrone (2) using the enantiomeric triene (S,S)-7 and CM partners 19 and 5 as outlined in Scheme 5. The synthesis of 2 was achieved following a similar sequence starting with the enantiomerically pure diene diol (S,S)-8. After completion of the RCM reaction with triene (S,S)-7, CM was carried out with phenyl-but-1-ene (19) with subsequent diimide reduction affording phosphate 10 in 54% overall yield in the one-pot, three-reaction protocol. Subsequent Pd-catalyzed reductive allylic transposition  $[Pd(OAc)_2, HCOOH,$ 

Scheme 5. Consecutive One-Pot, Sequential Protocols and CM

PPh<sub>3</sub>, and  $Cs_2CO_3$ ], followed by tether removal utilizing consecutive additions of  $Me_2SO_4$ , and  $LiAlH_4$ , and final Feiser workup<sup>19</sup> furnished diol **9**, which was transferred via cannula into a new flask and subjected to CM in  $CH_2Cl_2$  with vinyl lactone **5** to furnish the natural product **2** in 28% overall yield (73% average yield/reaction) and excellent *E*-selectivity. Overall, the three-pot process afforded **2** in 15% yield from triene (*S*,*S*)-**8**.

In conclusion, we have reported synthetic routes to the antifungal natural products 1 and 2 employing a three-pot process from the readily prepared trienes (R,R)-7 and (S,S)-7, respectively. Taken collectively, the orthogonal protecting and leaving group ability of the phosphate triester tether streamlined the synthesis of 1 and 2. We anticipate that our modular approach can be further exploited for the synthesis of an array of analogues to explore SAR within 1 and 2.

#### ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### Supporting Information

Experimental details and spectroscopic data of new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

#### AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### **Corresponding Author**

\*E-mail: phanson@ku.edu.

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This investigation was generously supported by funds provided by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (NIH R01 GM077309). The authors thank Dr. Justin Douglas and Sarah Neuenswander (KU) for assistance with NMR measurements and Dr. Todd Williams (KU) for HRMS analysis. The authors also thank the University of Kansas and the State of Kansas for support of our program. The authors also thank Materia, Inc. for supplying the metathesis catalyst and helpful suggestions.

Organic Letters Letter

#### **■** REFERENCES

- (1) Juliawaty, L. D.; Kitajima, M.; Takayama, H.; Achmad, S. A.; Aimi, N. *Phytochemistry* **2000**, *54*, 989–993.
- (2) Juliawaty, L. D.; Watanabe, Y.; Kitajima, M.; Takayama, H.; Achmad, S. A.; Takayama, H.; Aimi, N. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, 43, 8657–8660.
- (3) (a) Raoelison, G. E.; Terreaux, C.; Queiroz, E. F.; Zsila, F.; Simonyi, M.; Antus, S.; Randriantsova, A.; Hostettmann, K. *Helv. Chim. Acta* **2001**, *84*, 3470–3476. (b) Jaconnet, A.; Avelona, I.; Sahpaz, S.; Terreaux, C.; Hostettmann, K.; Stoeckli-Evans, H.; Rasolondramanitra, J. *Phytochemistry* **1999**, *52*, 265–269.
- (4) Krishna, P. R.; Srinivas, R. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 2013-2015.
- (5) (a) Kasaplar, P.; Çakmak, Y.; Çağır, A. Bioorg. Chem. 2010, 38, 186–189. (b) Kalesse, M.; Christmann, M.; Bhatt, U.; Quitschalle, M.; Claus, E.; Saeed, A.; Burzlaff, A.; Kasper, C.; Haustedt, L. O.; Hofer, E.; Scheper, T.; Beil, W. ChemBioChem 2001, 2, 709–714. (c) Kalesse, E.; Christmann, M. Synthesis 2002, 981–1003. (d) Bialy, L.; Waldmann, H. Chem. Commun. 2003, 1872–1873. (e) Buck, S. B.; Hardouin, C.; Ichikawa, S.; Soenen, D. R.; Gauss, C. M.; Hwang, I.; Swingle, M. R.; Bonness, K. M.; Honkanen, R. E.; Boger, D. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 15694–15695.
- (6) (a) Bouz, B. S.; Cossy, J. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 1995–1997. (b) Tosaki, S.-Y.; Nemoto, T.; Ohshima, T.; Shibasaki, M. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 495–498. (c) Enders, D.; Lenzen, A.; Muller, M. Synthesis 2004, 1486–1496. (d) Ramana, C. V.; Raghupathi, N.; Gurjar, M. K.; Chorghade, M. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 4073–4075. (e) Kumar, P.; Pandey, M.; Gupta, P.; Naidu, S. V.; Dhavale, D. D. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 6993–7004. (f) Sabitha, G.; Fatima, N.; Gopal, P.; Reddy, C. N.; Yadav, J. S. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2009, 20, 184–191. (g) Das, B.; Veeranjaneyulu, B.; Balasubramanyam, P.; Srilatha, M. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2010, 21, 2762–2767. (h) Tang, S.; Xie, X.; Wang, X.; He, L.; Xu, K.; She, X. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 8234–8240. (i) Ghadigaonkar, S.; Koli, M. R.; Gamre, S. S.; Choudhary, K. M.; Chattopadhyay, S.; Sharma, A. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2012, 23, 1093–1099.
- (7) For Ipc<sub>2</sub>BH-derived boryl-substituted allylborane derived in two steps from propargyl bromide, see ref 6h.
- (8) The ketal-protected aldehyde was synthesized in three steps from ethyl acetoacetate; see ref 6h.
- (9) For a review on step economy, see: (a) Wender, P. A.; Verma, V. A.; Paxton, T. J.; Pillow, T. H. Acc. Chem. Soc. 2008, 41, 40–49. For reviews on atom economy, see: (b) Trost, B. M. Science 1991, 254, 1471–1477. (c) Trost, B. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1995, 34, 259–281. For reviews on protecting group-free synthesis, see: (d) Young, S. I.; Baran, P. S. Nat. Chem. 2009, 1, 193–205. (e) Hoffmann, R. W. Synthesis 2006, 3531–3541.
- (10) (a) Ishikawa, H.; Suzuki, T.; Hayashi, Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2009**, 48, 1304–1307. (b) Ishikawa, H.; Honma, M.; Hayashi, Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2011**, 50, 2824–2827. (c) Umemiya, S.; Hayashi, Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2013**, 52, 1–4.
- (11) Venukadasula, P. K. M.; Chegondi, R.; Suryn, G. M.; Hanson, P. R. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 2634–2637.
- (12) (a) Myers, A. G.; Zheng, B.; Movassaghi, M. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 7507. (b) O'Doherty, G. A.; Haukaas, M. H. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 1771–1774. (c) Buszek, K. R.; Brown, N. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 3125–3128.
- (13) (a) Whitehead, A.; McReynolds, M. D.; Moore, J. D.; Hanson, P. R. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 3375–3378. (b) Thomas, C. D.; McParland, J. M.; Hanson, P. R. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 5487–5500. (c) Venukadasula, P. K. M.; Chegondi, R.; Maitra, S.; Hanson, P. R. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 1556–1559.
- (14) (a) Chavez, D. E. Ph. D. Thesis, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, 2003. (b) Gademann, K.; Chavez, D. E.; Jacobsen, E. N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 3059–3061. (c) Chavez, D. E.; Jacobsen, E. N.; Grabowski, E. J. J.; Kubryk, M. Org. Synth. 2005, 82, 34. (d) Chavez, D. E.; Jacobsen, E. N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 3667–3670.

- (15) (a) Kingsbury, J. S.; Harrity, J. P. A.; Bonitatebus, P. J., Jr.; Hoveyda, A. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 791–799. (b) Garber, S. B.; Kingsbury, J. S.; Gray, B. L.; Hoveyda, A. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 8168–8179. (c) Gessler, S.; Randl, S.; Blechert, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 9973–9976.
- (16) Chatterjee, A. K.; Choi, T.-L.; Sanders, D. P.; Grubbs, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 11360–11370.
- (17) (a) Tsuji, J.; Mandai, T. Synthesis 1996, 1–24 and references therein. (b) Tsuji, J.; Minami, I.; Shimizu, I. Synthesis 1986, 623–627. (c) Mandai, T.; Matsumoto, T.; Kawada, M.; Tsuji, J. Tetrahedron 1993, 49, 5483–5493. (d) Tsuji, J.; Yamakawa, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1979, 7, 613–616. (e) Hayashi, T. Acc. Chem. Res. 2000, 33, 354–362. (f) Hayashi, T. J. Organomet. Chem. 1999, 576, 195–202. (g) Lautens, M.; Paquin, J.-F. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 3391–3394.
- (18) Use of  $H(Bu_3P)BF_4$  provided the branch olefin in a 1:3 (*b:l*) ratio, while use of PPh<sub>3</sub> provided mainly the terminal olefin.
- (19) (a) Fieser, L. F.; Fieser, M. Reagents for Organic Synthesis, Vol. 1; Wiley: New York, 1967; pp 581–595. (b) See Supporting Information.
- (20) It should be noted that use of  ${\rm Me_2SO_4}$  facilitated the methylation in the presence of Pd which allowed us to operate using a one-pot sequence, whereas previous use of TMS—diazomethane did not.