See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/231718637

A Novel 1,3-Stannyl Shift Promoted Intramolecular Cyclization of α-Stannyl Radicals with a Formyl Group

ARTICLE in ORGANIC LETTERS · AUGUST 1999)	
Impact Factor: 6.36 · DOI: 10.1021/ol9901990		
CITATIONS	READS	
1/	Q	

5 AUTHORS, INCLUDING:



Yeun-Min Tsai National Taiwan University

66 PUBLICATIONS 1,296 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

A Novel 1,3-Stannyl Shift Promoted Intramolecular Cyclizations of α -Stannyl Radicals with a Formyl Group

ORGANIC LETTERS

1999 Vol. 1, No. 6 945–948

Sheng-Yueh Chang, Yar-Fang Shao, Shu-Fang Chu, Gang-Ting Fan, and Yeun-Min Tsai*

Department of Chemistry, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan 106, Republic of China

ymtsai@mail.ch.ntu.edu.tw

Received July 29, 1999

ABSTRACT

Reactions of α -stannyl bromides and xanthates with tributyltin hydride generate α -stannyl radicals. Intramolecular cyclizations of these radicals with a formyl group afford γ -stannyl alkoxy radicals that undergo a 1,3-stannyl shift from carbon to oxygen. The carbon radicals obtained can be trapped inter- or intramolecularly. Approximately, the rates of 5-exo cyclizations of α -stannyl radicals with a formyl group and terminal olefin are similar.

Intramolecular radical addition to a carbonyl to give a cyclic alcohol is a potentially useful reaction.¹ However, this type of cyclizations is reversible, and the reverse reaction is generally faster than the cyclization.² In the cases of acylgermanes,³ acylsilanes,¹ thioesters,⁴ and selenoesters,⁴ intramolecular radical additions to the carbonyl moieties in these compounds are followed by irreversible processes. Therefore, these cyclizations can be stopped at the cyclization side.⁵ Herein, we wish to report the intramolecular cyclization

(1) Chang, S.-Y.; Jiaang, W.-T.; Cherng, C.-D.; Tang, K.-H.; Huang, C.-H.; Tsai, Y.-M. *J. Org. Chem.* **1997**, *62*, 9089–9098 and references

of a formyl group with an α -stannyl radical⁶ (eq 1). In this cyclization, a novel homolytic 1,3-stannyl shift from carbon to oxygen^{7–10} serves as the driving force.

As shown in eq 2, aldehydes $\mathbf{1}^{11}$ were coupled with tributyltin lithium, 12 and the resulting α -stannyl alcohols were

(9) For homolytic 1,4-stannyl shift from oxygen to oxygen, see: Alberti, A.; Hudson, A. *Chem. Phy. Lett.* **1977**, 48, 331–333.

(10) For homolytic 1,5-stannyl shift from oxygen to oxygen, see: Davies, A. G.; Tse, M.-W. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1978**, *155*, 25–30.

(11) Konosu, T.; Oida, S. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1993**, *41*, 1012–1018. (12) Still, W. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1978**, *100*, 1481–1487.

^{(2) (}a) Beckwith, A. L. J.; Hay, B. P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989**, *111*, 230–234. (b) Beckwith, A. L. J.; Hay, B. P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989**, *111*, 2674–2681. (c) Beckwith, A. L. J.; Raner, K. D. *J. Org. Chem.* **1992**, *57*, 4954–4962.

^{(3) (}a) Curran, D. P.; Liu, H. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 3463–3465. (b) Curran, D. P.; Palovich, M. Synlett 1992, 631–632. (c) Curran, D. P.; Diederichsen, U.; Palovich, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 4797–4804. (d) Diederichsen, U.; Curran, D. P. J. Organomet. Chem. 1997, 531, 9–12. (4) Kim, S.; Jon, S. Y. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1996, 1335–1336.

⁽⁵⁾ For other strategies to drive the equilibrium, see: (a) Hays, D. S.; Fu, G. C. *J. Org. Chem.* **1998**, *63*, 6375–6381. (b) Kim, S.; Oh, D. H. *Synlett* **1998**, 525–527. (c) Batey, R. A.; MacKay, D. B. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, *39*, 7267–7270.

⁽⁶⁾ Tsai, Y.-M.; Chang, S.-Y. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1995, 981–982

⁽⁷⁾ For homolytic 1,5-stannyl shift from carbon to oxygen, see: (a) Kim, S.; Lee, S.; Koh, J. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1991**, *113*, 5106–5107. (b) Kim, S.; Lim, K. M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1993**, *34*, 4851–4854.

⁽⁸⁾ For homolytic 1,6-stannyl shift from carbon to oxygen, see: (a) Kim, S.; Lim, K. M. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1993**, 1152–1153. (b) Kim, S.; Do, J. Y.; Lim, K. M. *Chem. Lett.* **1996**, 669–670.

1) Bu₃SnLi, THF

$$-78$$
 °C
2) CBr₄, PPh₃
1a n = 3
1b n = 4
1) Bu₃SnLi, THF
 -78 °C
2) CBr₄, PPh₃
 -78 °C
1) CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C
CH₃CN/H₂O
2a (56%)
2b (42%)
2b (42%)

converted to α-stannyl bromides using carbon tetrabromide and triphenylphosphine.¹³ The dithiane moiety was then deprotected¹⁴ to give aldehydes **2** in mild yields over three steps. Treatment of aldehyde **2a** with tributyltin hydride¹⁵ (Scheme 1) followed by quenching the reaction with benzoyl

chloride gave cyclopentyl benzoate (3) in 57% yield. Uncyclized reduction product aldehyde 4 was also isolated in 12% yield along with a trace amount of benzoate 5. Benzoate 5 was presumably derived from over-reduction of aldehyde 4 by excess tributyltin hydride followed by benzoate formation.

Mechanistically, this cyclization reaction occurs through formation of α -stannyl radical **6** first. This radical then cyclizes with the formyl group to generate γ -stannyl alkoxy radical **7**. Because radical cyclizations of carbonyl compounds are generally reversible,² it is likely that the oxygen radical and stannyl group may have a chance to adopt a *syn*-relationship as shown in **7**. Alkoxy radical **7** presumably undergoes a 1,3-stannyl shift from carbon to oxygen to generate carbon radical **8**. It is known that the O-Sn bond

Scheme 2

is stronger than the C-Sn bond by about 25 kcal/mol. ¹⁶ This big difference provides a strong thermodynamic driving force to trap alkoxy radical **7**. Abstraction of hydrogen from tributyltin hydride by radical **8** gives stannyl ether **9**. The oxygen atom in stannyl ethers is known to be quite nucleophilic. ¹⁷ Therefore, for the convenience of isolation and identification, stannyl ether **9** was converted directly to the corresponding benzoate **3**.

When aldehyde 2a (Scheme 2) was treated with allyltributyltin (4 equiv) in the presence of hexabutylditin (0.2 equiv) and initiated by photolysis of long wavelength UV light¹⁸ (12 h), we were able to isolate alcohol **10**¹⁹ in 35% yield. This reaction provided evidence that indeed radical 8 was formed. In the case of 6-exo cyclization (eq 3), aldehyde 2b reacted with tributyltin hydride¹⁵ and gave 27% of cyclohexanol (11), 29% of uncyclized reduction product aldehyde 12, and 9% of over-reduction product alcohol 13. The problem of this reaction was revealed by the reaction of aldehyde 2b with allyltributyltin (eq 4). Along with alcohol 14^{20} (10%), we obtained a 50% yield of aldehyde 15 that contains an allyl group at the α-position of the carbonyl group. This result indicates that a 1,5-hydrogen transfer²¹ occurs after generation of the α-stannyl radical from aldehyde **2b.** This process leads to formation of an α -carbonyl radical. The α -carbonyl radical is then trapped by allyltributyltin to give aldehyde 15.

This stannyl shift that promotes the radical cyclization reaction can be employed in a tandem cyclization mode. Instead of using α -stannyl bromides, we synthesized xanthates 16 and 17 for our studies. The reaction of xanthate

(10%)

hv, 8 h

15

(50%)

946 Org. Lett., Vol. 1, No. 6, 1999

⁽¹³⁾ Torisawa, Y.; Shibasaki, M.; Ikegami, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1981**, 22, 2397–2400.

^{(14) (}a) Ho, T.-L.; Ho, H. C.; Wong, C. M. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1972**, 791–791. (b) Ho, H. C.; Ho, T.-L.; Wong, C. M. *Can. J. Chem.* **1972**, *50*, 2718–2721.

⁽¹⁵⁾ The cyclization reaction was performed by slow addition (4 h) via syringe pump of a benzene solution of tributyltin hydride (1.3 equiv, 0.13 M in benzene) and AIBN (0.05 equiv) to a solution of the bromide (0.1 M) in refluxing benzene.

16 with tributyltin hydride¹⁵ (eq 5) gave monocyclic aldehyde 18 in 33% yield. This aldehyde was derived from the addition of an α-stannyl radical to the olefin first. Alcohol 19 (5%) was also obtained. This material was presumably derived from reduction of aldehyde 18 by excess tributyltin hydride. Bicyclic alcohol 20 was isolated in 29% yield. Small amounts of the benzoate derived from bicyclic alcohol 21 were detected in 4% yield through benzoylation of the crude cyclization mixture. The benzoates derived from alcohols 20 and 21 thus obtained are identical to that reported by Wilcox et al.²² The stereochemistry of alcohols 20 and 21

can therefore be determined. There appeared to be other stereoisomers of the alcohols 20 and 21; however, the amount was very small and we were not able to identify these minor isomers. Bicyclic alcohols 20 and 21 are tandem cyclization products derived from the addition of α -stannyl radical 22 (Scheme 3) to the formyl group first. The cyclization

presumably prefers to adopt a chair transition state²³ with the large groups located at the equatorial position as shown in **22**. This leads to the formation of the alkoxy radical **23** with a predominant *trans*-1,3-relationship. The stannyl shift

of alkoxy radical **23** gives radical **24**. This radical cyclizes with the olefin to give bicyclic alcohol **20** as the major isomer with known *endo*-selectivity.²⁴

The rates for the addition of an α-stannyl radical to an olefin and a formyl group appear to be similar because the total yield of monocyclic products **18** and **19** is close to that of bicyclic alcohols **20** and **21**. With this information available, it is possible to attenuate the tandem system to favor the bicyclic product. For example, it is known that 5-exo cyclization of 5-hexynyl radical is slower than the corresponding 5-hexenyl radical cyclization by nearly 10-fold.²⁵ Therefore, for xanthate **17**, one would expect carbonyl cyclization to be faster than alkyne cyclization. As shown in eq 6, cyclization of xanthate **17** gave four isomeric bicyclic alcohols **25** and **26** in a combined yield of 68%.²⁶ Monocyclic alcohol **27** was isolated in 10% yield. The ratio of carbonyl addition products versus alkyne addition products was about 7:1.

In conclusion, a 1,3-stannyl shift promoted cyclization of an α -stannyl radical with a formyl group was developed. This process is successful for 5-exo cyclization. In comparison, the corresponding 6-exo cyclization seriously competes with a 1,5-hydrogen transfer reaction. Approximately, 5-exo cyclizations of an α -stannyl radical with a formyl group or with a terminal olefin have similar rates. This information will be useful in the design of tandem cyclizations. However, the reversibility of formyl group cyclization requires further

Org. Lett., Vol. 1, No. 6, 1999

⁽¹⁶⁾ Jackson, R. A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1979, 166, 17-19.

⁽¹⁷⁾ Pereyre, M.; Quintard, J.-P.; Rahm, A. *Tin in Organic Synthesis*; Butterworths: London, 1997; Chapter 11, p 261.

⁽¹⁸⁾ A Rayonet photochemical reactor equipped with 3500 Å lamps was used.

⁽¹⁹⁾ Curran, D. P.; Liu, H. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1994, 1377—1393.

⁽²⁰⁾ Hegedus, L. S.; McKearin, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 2444-2451.

⁽²¹⁾ Beckwith, A. L. J.; Ingold, K. U. *Rearrangements in ground and excited states*; de Mayo, P., Ed.; Academic Press: New York, 1980; Vol 1, pp 161–310.

⁽²²⁾ Nagai, M.; Lazor, J.; Wilcox, C. S. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 3440–3442.

^{(23) (}a) Beckwith, A. L. J.; Schiesser, C. H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1985**, 26, 373–376. (b) Beckwith, A. L. J.; Schiesser, C. H. *Tetrahedron* **1985**, 41, 3925–3941. (c) Spellmeyer, D. C.; Houk, K. N. *J. Org. Chem.* **1987**, 52, 959–974.

^{(24) (}a) RajanBabu, T. V. Acc. Chem. Res. **1991**, 24, 139–145. (b) Curran, D. P.; Porter, N. A.; Giese, B. Stereochemistry of Radical Reactions; VCH: New York, 1996; p 57.

⁽²⁵⁾ Beckwith, A. L. J. Tetrahedron 1981, 37, 3073-3100.

⁽²⁶⁾ The stereochemistry of these compounds were determined by NOE experiments.

investigation. In the tandem cyclizations, the α -stannyl xanthate moiety serves as a novel *gem*-diyl equivalent.²⁷

Acknowledgment. Financial support by the National Science Council of the Republic of China is gratefully acknowledged.

(27) For the use of gem-dihalide as gem-diyl equivalent, see ref 22.

Supporting Information Available: Synthetic schemes for 16 and 17. Details of compound characterization of 2a,b, 4, 12, 13, 15–20, and 25–27. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

OL990199O

948 Org. Lett., Vol. 1, No. 6, 1999