6α-Deuteriopenicillin. Steric Control of Fast Reversible Protonation¹

By RAYMOND A. FIRESTONE and BURTON G. CHRISTENSEN

(Merck Sharp & Dohme Research Laboratories, Division of Merck & Co., Inc., Rahway, New Jersey 07065)

Summary Even under equilibrating conditions, steric control of deuterium approach to the anion (V) gives the thermodynamically less stable isomer (I) as the principal product.

Many electronegative groups have been introduced at the 6-position of the penicillin nucleus utilizing Schiff bases such as (I). The simplest substituent would be deuterium, and since the bioactivity of 6α -deuteriopenicillin (IV) might be significantly different from that of normal penicillin, we have investigated its synthesis.

However, when (I) was converted into (II) with phenyllithium in tetrahydrofuran (THF) at -78 °C and then treated with 99.5% D₂O containing CD₃CO₂D, the 6α -protio-compound (I) was recovered, with at most a few

† Lithiated (VI) also retains its configuration in THF (ref. 2c).

percent of the 6α -deuterio Schiff base (III).³ The reagents and technique were the same as those described previously.^{2a,2b,4} We believed that (II) was formed under these conditions, because (i) the difference in pK_a between benzene and (I) must be ca. 30,5 (ii) the reaction between (I) and phenyl-lithium is visible in that an intense blue colour appears instantaneously, and (iii) although (III) is sluggish toward weak electrophiles such as methyl iodide without activation by e.g., HCONMe₂, it reacts rapidly with stronger electrophiles such as t-butyl hypochlorite⁶ and N-bromosuccinimide^{2c} to give the 6-halogeno-derivatives. Previous work had shown that (II) retains its configuration in THF since protonation gives back (I) and not the more stable 6-epimer (VI).^{2a,4†}

Other workers had also obtained only recovered (I) and negligible amounts of (III), despite careful experimental techniques. We therefore sought other conditions for the preparation of (III).

When (I) is treated with triethylamine at room temperature, equilibrium with a few percent of the anion (V) is rapidly established as shown by the pale blue colour, and within a few minutes, depending on the solvent, >85% of the thermodynamically more stable (VI) is obtained. The reaction cannot be followed further because a second and

irreversible isomerization to the thiazepine (VII) occurs almost as quickly as the equilibrium (I) \rightleftharpoons (VI).⁴ Despite the greater stability of (VI), however, irreversible protona-

tion of (V) under other conditions (THF-HCONMe₂, Li salt, -10 to -78 °C) favours (I) over (VI) by a 2:1 ratio, 4 doubtless owing to steric approach control.

We now report that steric approach control of protonation also prevails under conditions of rapid equilibration. Treatment of (I) at room temperature for 5-10 s with Et₃N in acetonitrile containing a molar excess of D2O afforded mixtures of (VI) and (I). Compound (VI), of course, was deuteriated because it passed through the anion (V). More interesting was the fact that recovered (I) was also deuteriated [i.e., contained (III)] to varying extents, depending on

reaction time. At 10% epimerization, there was 40-50% of (III) in recovered (I) + (III), and at ca. 40% epimerization > 70% (III). From these data it can be seen that protonation of anion (V) in acetonitrile at 25 °C occurs preferentially from the less hindered a face, giving (I) and (VI) in a ca. 4:1 ratio.

The latter mixture of (I), (III), (VI), and (VII) was converted into penicillin G by known methods,4 with isomers separated en route, and in this way a sample of penicillin G containing > 70% of 6α -deuteriopenicillin (IV) was obtained. Its antimicrobial activity was similar to that of penicillin G itself.

It was possible to measure approximately the isotope effect for protonation of (V) to (VI). In an experiment taken to 45% epimerization in which the D:H ratio in the total proton pool, including the 6-H in (I), was 86.8:1, the D: H ratio in the epimerized product (VI) was 100:14.65. From this, the apparent $k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D}=ca$. 12.7, a minimum figure since some of the (VI) must have exchanged more than once. This is about twice the theoretical maximum of 6.9 expected from zero-point effects,8 and would presumably be even larger if the transition state stood at the midpoint of the reaction co-ordinate.9 Since (VI) with Et₃N gives only small amounts of (V) in equilibrium, the transition state for protonation of (V) should lie much closer to (V) than to (VI).10 Such abnormally large isotope effects are usually ascribed to proton tunnelling.11

(Received, 6th February 1976; Com. 124.)

¹ For previous paper in the series: 'Substituted Penicillins and Cephalosporins' see R. A. Firestone and B. G. Christensen, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1973, 38, 1436.

² (a) R. A. Firestone, N. Schelechow, D. B. R. Johnston, and B. G. Christensen, *Tetrahedron Letters*, 1972, 375; (b) R. A. Firestone, N. Schelechow, and B. G. Christensen, *J.C.S. Chem. Comm.*, 1972, 1106; (c) L. D. Cama and B. G. Christensen, *Tetrahedron Letters*, 1972, 1075, (d) B. Beiger and B. G. Christensen, *Tetrahedron Letters*, 1972, 1075, (d) B. Beiger and B. G. Christensen, *P. Traphitte I. Letters*, 1972, 1075, (d) B. Beiger and B. G. Christensen, *P. Traphitte I. Letters*, 1972, 1075, (d) B. Beiger and B. G. Christensen, *P. Traphitte I. Letters*, 1972, 1075, (d) B. Beiger and B. G. Christensen, *P. Traphitte I. Letters*, 1972, 1075, (d) B. Beiger and B. G. Christensen, *P. Traphitte I. Letters*, 1972, 1075, (d) B. Beiger and B. G. Christensen, *P. Traphitte I. Letters*, 1972, 1075, (d) B. Beiger and B. G. Christensen, *P. Traphitte I. Letters*, 1972, 1075, (d) B. Beiger and B. G. Christensen, *P. Traphitte I. Letters*, 1972, 1075, (d) B. Beiger and B. G. Christensen, *P. Traphitte I. Letters*, 1972, 1075, (d) B. Beiger and B. G. Christensen, *P. Traphitte I. Letters*, 1972, 1075, (d) B. Beiger and B. G. Christensen, *P. Traphitte I. Letters*, 1972, 1075, (d) B. Beiger and B. G. Christensen, *P. Traphitte I. Letters*, 1972, (d) B. Beiger and B. G. Christensen, *P. Traphitte I. Letters*, 1972, (d) B. Beiger and B. G. Christensen, *P. Traphitte I. Letters*, 1972, (d) B. Beiger and B. G. Christensen, *P. Traphitte I. Letters*, 1972, (d) B. Beiger and B. G. Christensen, *P. Traphitte I. Letters*, 1972, (d) B. Beiger and B. G. Christensen, *P. Traphitte I. Letters*, 1972, (d) B. Beiger and B. G. Christensen, *P. Traphitte I. Letters*, 1972, (d) B. Beiger and B. G. Christensen, *P. Traphitte I. Letters*, 1972, (d) B. Beiger and B. G. Christensen, *P. Traphitte I. Letters*, 1972, (d) B. Beiger and B. G. Christensen, *P. Traphitte I. Letters*, 19 1973, 3505; (d) R. Reiner and P. Zeller, Helv. Chim. Acta, 1968, 51, 1905; E. H. W. Bohme, H. E. Applegate, B. Toeplitz, J. E. Dolfini, and J. Z. Gougoutas, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1971, 93, 4324; D. B. R. Johnston, S. M. Schmitt, R. A. Firestone, and B. G. Christensen, Tetrahedron Letters, 1972, 4917; G. H. Rasmusson, G. F. Reynolds, and G. E. Arth, ibid., 1973, 145; W. A. Spitzer, T. Goodson, R. J. Smithey, and I. G. Wright, J.C.S. Chem. Comm., 1972, 1138; H. E. Applegate, J. E. Dolfini, M. S. Puar, W. A. Slusarchyk, B. Toeplitz, and J. Z. Gougoutas, J. Org. Chem., 1974, 39, 2794.

³ S. Marburg, personal communication. ⁴ R. A. Firestone, N. S. Maciejewicz, R. W. Ratcliffe, and B. G. Christensen, J. Org. Chem., 1974, 39, 437.

⁵ The pK_a of benzene is 43; A. Streitwieser, Jr., P. J. Scannon, and H. M. Niemeyer, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1972, 94, 7936. The pK_a of (I) is ca. 12-13 since it reacts slightly with triethylamine.

Unpublished observations.

⁷ The failure to deuteriate penicillin 6-enolates is not without precedent; S. Wolfe and W. S. Lee, Chem. Comm., 1968, 242; S. Wolfe, W. S. Lee, and R. Misra, *ibid.*, 1970, 1067; P. L. Creger, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1970, **92**, 1396; M. W. Rathke and A. Lindert, *ibid.*, 1971, **93**, 2318. Recent experiments also show unexpected behaviour of (II). Thus, (III) with PhLi in THF at -78 °C, followed by H_2O -AcOH, gave (III) and not (I). Therefore (II) is not simply a tight ion pair (R. Waack, M. A. Doran, E. B. Baker, and G. A. Olah, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1966, **88**, 1272; R. Waack, L. McKeever, and M. A. Doran, *Chem. Comm.*, 1969, 117).

 K. B. Wiberg, Chem. Rev., 1955, 55, 713.
 F. H. Westheimer, Chem. Rev., 1961, 61, 265; R. P. Bell and D. M. Goodall, Proc. Roy. Soc., 1966, A, 294, 273; E. F. Caldin and G. I. Tomalin, Trans. Faraday Soc., 1968, 64, 2823;
S. B. Hanna, C. Jermini, H. Loewenschuss, and H. Zollinger, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1974, 96, 7222. However, see F. G. Bordwell and W. J. Boyle, Jr., ibid., 1971, 93, 512.
M. G. Evans and M. Polanyi, Trans. Faraday Soc., 1936, 32, 1340;
R. P. Bell, Proc. Roy. Soc., 1936, A, 154, 414;
G. S. Hammond,

J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1955, 77, 334.
 ¹¹ E. F. Caldin, Chem. Rev., 1969, 69, 135.