Preferential Cleavage of Trimethoxy-substituted 1,2,3,4-Tetrahydroisoquinoline Alkaloids†

By A. Brossi* and S. Teitel

(Chemical Research Department, Hoffmann-La Roche Inc., Nutley, New Jersey 07110)

Summary Controlled O-demethylation of vicinal trimethoxy-substituted 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolines with 20% hydrochloric acid cleaves certain substrates to afford a diphenol, together with the expected monophenol.

The preferential cleavage of the middle of three neighbouring aromatic methoxy-groups by mineral acid has been explained by steric interactions¹ and has recently been applied in the ready synthesis of phenethylamines,² 3,4-dihydroiso-quinolines,³ homoberbines,⁴ and homoapomorphines.⁵ We have extended these studies to the 6,7,8-trimethoxy-substituted 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline alkaloids anhalinine⁶ (1), (\pm) -O-methylanhalonidine⁶ (7), and the corresponding tertiary amines O-methylanhalidine⁶ (5) and (\pm) -O-methylpellotine⁶ (11). The 5,6,7-trimethoxy-substituted 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (\pm) -thalifendlerine⁶ (13) has also been included.

The progress of the selective O-demethylation of the substrate in 10—15 volumes of 20% HCl was followed by t.l.c. and the reaction temperature and time optimized

(reflux for 2 to 3 h) to favour the formation of a minimum of products. The reaction mixtures were separated into their components by selective extraction and the isomeric

(7)-(10)
R ² Me Me H H Me	R³ H H H H Me
	Me Me H H

monophenols, when formed, were separated by fractional crystallization.

[†] Presented in part by one of us (A.B.) at the 13th Symposium on the Chemistry of Natural Products, Sapporo, Japan, September 25—27, 1969, Symposium Papers, pp. 177—186.

Treatment of (1), HCl⁸ afforded 4% of the 7,8-diphenolic tetrahydroisoquinoline (4), HCl⁺; m.p. 275—277°; n.m.r. [(CD₃)₂SO] δ 2.88, 3.25 (m, 4H, CH₂CH₂), 3.77 (s, 3H, CH_3O), 4.00 (s, 2H, CH_2), 6.32 (s, 1H, aromatic), 8.53, 8.88 (br, 2H, 2 OH), 9.50 (br, 2H, NH·HCl); u.v. λ_{max} (EtOH) 206 nm (38,500), 271(905), infl 227(7000), infl 280(720), 21% of the 7-monophenol [(2), HCl], 10 4% of the 8-monophenol anhalamine hydrochloride¹¹ [(3), HCl], and 60% of starting material. Similarly, (7), HCl7 yielded 6% of the 7,8-diphenol (10), HCl $^{+}$: m.p. 263—265°, n.m.r. [(CD $_{3}$) $_{2}$ SO] δ 1.53 (d, 3H, J 7Hz CH₃), 2.70—3.50 (CH₂CH₂), 3.74 (s, 3H, CH_3O), 4·47 (q, 1H, J 7Hz, CH), 6·31 (s, 1H, aromatic), 8.90 (br, 4H, 2 OH + NH, HCl); u.v. λ_{max} (EtOH) 207 nm (43,540), 272(850), infl 230(7530), infl 281(640), 20% of the 7-monophenol (8),3 5% of the 8-monophenol (±)-anhalonidine¹¹ (9), and 48% of unchanged (7).

The formation of mixtures of phenolic products could not be avoided. The diphenols (4) and (10) were also obtained by further hydrolysis of their monophenolic pairs (2), (3) and (8), (9), respectively. This finding has been utilized for a ready synthesis of the rare 7,8-methylenedioxy-substituted alkaloids (-)-anhalonine and (-)-lophophorine.§

In contrast, the corresponding tertiary amines (5)8 and (11)6 and the 5,6,7-trimethoxy-substituted tetrahydroisoquinoline (13), HCl9 under similar conditions were O-demethylated at the middle methoxy-group to afford (6), HCl¶ (81%), (12), HCl³ (72%), and the 6-demethyltetrahydroisoquinoline (14), HCl12 (38%), respectively.

We thank Professor S. M. Kupchan, University of Virginia, for providing us with an authentic sample of (14), and Messrs. J. O'Brien and J. Van Burik for technical assistance.

(Received, August 3rd, 1970; Com. 1275.)

- Correct analyses for carbon, hydrogen, and nitrogen were obtained.
- § Forthcoming publication.

 M.p. 216—217°; identical with an authentic sample obtained by reductive condensation of (2) and formaldehyde in the presence of Raney nickel.
- D. Yonemitsu, H. Nakai, Y. Kanoaka, I. L. Karle, and B. Witkop, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1969, 91, 4591; C. F. Wilcox, jun., and M. A. Seager, J. Org. Chem., 1969, 34, 2319; R. G. Wilson and D. H. Williams, J. Chem. Soc. (C), 1968, 2475.
 A. Brossi and S. Teitel, Org. Prep. Procedures, 1969, 1, 171; S. Teitel and A. Brossi, J. Medicin. Chem., 1970, 13, 333.
 A. Brossi, M. Baumann, and R. Borer, Monatsh., 1965, 96, 25; A. Brossi and R. Borer, ibid., p. 1409; T. Kametani, N. Wagatsuma, and F. Sasaki, J. Chem. Soc. Japan, 1966, 10, 913.
 A. Brossi and S. Teitel, Helv. Chim. Acta, 1969, 52, 1228.
 A. Brossi, J. Van Burils, and S. Teitel, Helv. Chim. Acta, 1968, 51, 1965; A. Brossi, J. O'Brian, and S. Teitel, ibid. 1969, 52, 678.

 - ⁵ A. Brossi, J. Van Burik, and S. Teitel, Helv. Chim. Acta, 1968, 51, 1965; A. Brossi, J. O'Brien, and S. Teitel, ibid., 1969, 52, 678.

 - E. Späth, Monatsh, 1921, 42, 97.
 S. Karady, J. Org. Chem., 1962, 27, 3720.
 E. P. Taylor, J. Chem. Soc., 1951, 1150.
 T. R. Govindachari and N. Viswanathan, Indian. J. Chem., 1968, 6, 4.
 E. Späth and H. Roder, Monatsh, 1922, 43, 93.

 - A. Brossi, F. Schenker, and W. Leimgruber, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 1964, 47, 2089.
 S. M. Kupchan, T.-H. Yang, G. S. Vasilikiotis, M. H. Barnes, and M. L. King, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1969, 34, 3884.