2004 Vol. 6, No. 22 3917-3920

Highly (Z)-Selective Synthesis of β -Monosubstituted α , β -Unsaturated Cyanides Using the Peterson Reaction

Satoshi Kojima,*,† Tomohide Fukuzaki,† Atsushi Yamakawa,† and Yutaka Murai†

Department of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science, and Center of Quantum Life Sciences, Hiroshima University, 1-3-1 Kagamiyama, Higashi-Hiroshima 739-8526, Japan

skojima@hiroshima-u.ac.jp

Received July 10, 2004

ABSTRACT

The Peterson reaction between (t-BuO)Ph₂SiCH₂CN and various aldehydes furnishes the corresponding β -monosubstituted α , β -unsaturated cyanides with high Z selectivity (Z:E = 92:8 to >98:2).

Since α,β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds are multifunctional, they are considered to be versatile building blocks in organic synthesis. For the efficient preparation of the thermodynamically less stable disubstituted (*Z*)-olefins from aldehydes, a few methods based upon Wittig-type^{1–5} and Peterson-type^{6–8} reactions have been reported. The cyano group functions similarly to carbonyls, but the inherent small

size of the substituent allows for chemistry of its own.⁹ As for methods for the selective preparation of (Z)- α , β -unsaturated cyanides, there are a few based upon phosphorus ^{10,11} and silicon ^{12,13} chemistry and there are interesting reports that describe the use of cross-metathesis. ¹⁴ Other than multistep methods, ¹³ however, reactions involving aromatic aldehydes have been less successful, giving products with only up to ca. 3:1 selectivity.

Previously, we reported that α-triphenylsilylacetamides (Ph₃SiCH₂CONR'₂) were useful reagents for the preparation

[†] Graduate School of Science, Hiroshima University.

[‡] Center of Quantum Life Sciences, Hiroshima University.

⁽¹⁾ Still, W. C.; Gennari, C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 4405–4408. (2) (a) Ando, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 4105–4108. (b) Ando, K. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 1934–1939. (c) Ando, K. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 8411–8416. (d) Ando, K. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 8406–8408. (e) Kokin, K.; Motoyoshiya, J.; Hayashi, S.; Aoyama, H. Synth. Commun. 1997, 27, 2387–2392.

^{(3) (}a) Kojima, S.; Takagi, R.; Akiba, K.-y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119*, 5970–5971. For related mechanistic studies, see: (b) Kojima, S.; Akiba, K.-y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, *38*, 547–550. (c) Kojima, S.; Kawaguchi, K.; Akiba, K.-y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, *38*, 7753–7756. (d) Kojima, S.; Kawaguchi, K.; Matsukawa, S.; Akiba, K.-y. *Tetrahedron* **2003**, *59*, 255–265.

⁽⁴⁾ Yu, W.; Su, M.; Jin, Z. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 6725-6728.

^{(5) (}a) Ando, K. Synlett **2001**, 1272–1274. (b) Kojima, S.; Hidaka, T.; Ohba, Y.; Ohkata, K. Phosphorus Sulfur Silicon **2002**, 177, 729–732. (c) Kojima, S.; Hidaka, T.; Ohba, Y. Heteroatom Chem. In press.

⁽⁶⁾ For reviews on the Peterson reaction: (a) Ager, D. J. *Synthesis* **1984**, 384–398. (b) Ager, D. J. *Org. React.* **1990**, 42, 1–223. (c) van Staden, L. F.; Gravestock, D.; Ager, D. J. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2002**, 31, 195–200.

^{(7) (}a) Larcheveque, M.; Debal, A. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1981**, 877–878. (b) Matsuda, I.; Izumi, Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1981**, *19*, 1805–1808. (c) Arai, S.; Tsuzuki, Y.; Matsuda, S.; Kitagaki, S.; Kita, Y. *Synlett* **1991**, 911–912.

^{(8) (}a) Kojima, S.; Inai, H.; Hidaka, T.; Ohkata, K. *Chem. Commun.* **2000**, 1795–1796. (b) Kojima, S.; Inai, H.; Hidaka, T.; Fukuzaki, T.; Ohkata, K. *J. Org. Chem.* **2002**, *67*, 4093–4099.

⁽⁹⁾ Fleming, F. F.; Wang, Q. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 2035-2077.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Zhang, T. Y.; O'Toole, J. C.; Dunigan, J. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 1461–1464.

⁽¹¹⁾ Kojima, S.; Kawaguchi, K.; Matsukawa, S.; Uchida, K.; Akiba, K.-y. *Chem. Lett.* **2002**, 170–171.

^{(12) (}a) Yamakado, Y.; Ishiguro, M.; Ikeda, N.; Yamamoto, H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1981**, *103*, 5568–5570. (b) Haruta, R.; Ishiguro, M.; Furuta, K.; Mori, A.; Ikeda, N.; Yamamoto, H. *Chem. Lett.* **1982**, 1093–1096. (c) Furuta, K.; Ishiguro, M.; Haruta, R.; Mori, A.; Ikeda, N.; Yamamoto, H. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1984**, *57*, 2768–2776. (d) Palomo, C.; Aizpurua, J. M.; Aurrekoetxea, N. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1990**, *31*, 2209–2210.

^{(13) (}a) Sato, Y.; Niinomi, Y. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. **1982**, 56–57. (b) Matsuda, I.; Okada, H.; Izumi, Y. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. **1983**, 56, 528–532.

⁽¹⁴⁾ Crowe, W. E.; Goldberg, D. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1995**, 117, 5162–5163; Randl, S.; Gessler, S.; Wakamatsu, H.; Blechert, S. Synlett **2001**, 430–432.

of (Z)- β -monosubstituted α , β -unsaturated amides, whereas a reagent bearing a less electronegative trimethylsilyl group either exhibited (E)-selectivity or gave no olefinic product. Based upon this finding, we investigated the reactions of 1a and 1b with various aldehydes. Here the silyl group is even more electronegative than a triphenylsilyl due to the presence of the alkoxy groups in the place of the phenyl groups. We have found that the reagents bearing the electronegative silyl groups also produce (Z)-olefination products with high selectivity from various aldehydes. Even aromatic aldehydes give (Z)- α , β -unsaturated cyanides with good levels of selectivity, with 1a being the better of the two. Herein we describe our results.

Reagents **1a** and **1b** were prepared in moderate yields (62 and 53%, respectively) as chromatographable compounds by the reaction of $(t\text{-BuO})_n\text{Ph}_{3-n}\text{SiCl}^{15}$ with the lithium enolate of acetonitrile. The two starting halides were prepared from $\text{Ph}_{3-n}\text{SiCl}_{n+1}$ and t-BuOH (Scheme 1). The preparations of

(MeO)Ph₂SiCH₂CN and (*i*-PrO)Ph₂SiCH₂CN were also attempted. However, these compounds were prone to decomposition and difficult to purify. Therefore, these compounds were not pursued any further.

All the reactions were carried out in THF at -78 °C (Scheme 2). Benzaldehyde and 3-phenylpropionaldehyde were the first aldehydes examined using reagent 1a with KHMDS (which was the optimal base for the reactions of previously investigated $Ph_3SiCH_2CONR'_2$) (Table 1, entries 1 and 7). Both substrates gave the expected (Z)-olefin with selectivity as high as 96:4.

With the (*Z*)-selective $Ph_3SiCH_2CONR'_2$ we had observed a countercation effect, i.e., selectivity in the order of $K^+ > Na^+ > Li^+$. To see whether a similar tendency could be observed, NaHMDS and *n*-BuLi were also examined with

Scheme 2 1) base, THF, -78 °C 1a or 1b 2) BCHO 2a-o E За-о 2a: R=Ph 2i: R=PhCH2CH2 2b: R=4-MeOC₆H₄ **2j**: R=*c*-Hexyl 2c: R=3-MeOC₆H₄ 2k: R=Ph(CH₃)CH 2d: R=2-MeOC₆H₄ 21: R=t-Bu 2m: R=Ph(CH₃)₂C 2e: R=4-CIC₆H₄ **2f**: R=2-CIC₆H₄ 2n: R=(E)-PhCH=CH 2g: R=2-Furyl 2o: R=TBSC ■C 2h: R=2-Pyridyl

the same two aldehydes. It was found that for the aromatic aldehyde and the aliphatic aldehyde, *n*-BuLi (entry 5) and NaHMDS (entry 9) gave the best results, respectively. However, the differences in selectivity upon changing the countercation were minute compared with the reactions of Ph₃SiCH₂CONR'₂. Other commonly used bases such as *t*-BuOK led to lower yields and selectivity. Reagent **1b** was similarly surveyed (even-numbered entries), and it was found to furnish comparable selectivity. However, reduction in yields was observed. Thus, we decided to focus on reagent **1a** for ensuing investigations.

Various aromatic aldehydes were now examined (Table 2). Since the differences in selectivity with the various bases used were small for **2a**, all three bases (KHMDS, NaHMDS, and *n*-BuLi) were surveyed for typical aromatic aldehydes. For all the substrates examined, (*Z*)-selectivity exceeded 9:1. Again, the countercation effect was found to be minimal and no particular trend could be deduced from the results. Where

Table 1. Reaction of 1a and 1b with Aldehydes 2a and $2i^a$ (t-BuO)Ph₂SiCH₂CN

1a
or
1) base, THF, -78 °C
2) RCHO 2a,i

(t-BuO)₂PhSiCH₂CN
1b

3a,i

Entry	Aldehyde	Reagent	Base	Product	$Z: E^b$	Yield ^c
1	_	1a	KHMDS		96: 4	74
2	∥ у⊢сно	1b	KHMDS	CN	95: 5	39
3	`—∕ 2a	1a	NaHMDS	3a	98: 2	79
4	Za	1b	NaHMDS	Ja	97: 3	54
5		1a	n-BuLi		99: 1	93
6		1b	n-BuLi		97: 3	49
7		1a	KHMDS		96: 4	99
8	()	1b	KHMDS		97: 3	37
9		1a	NaHMDS	ÇN	>98: 2	88
10	сно	1b	NaHMDS		97: 3	53
11	2i	1a	n-BuLi	3i	96: 4	99
12		1b	<i>n</i> -BuLi		66:34	24

 a In all the reactions, the aldehyde (0.34 mmol) was dissolved in THF (3 mL) and the reactions were run for 3 h at -78 °C. b Determined by 1 H NMR (500 MHz) measurement of the crude mixture. c Combined isolated yield of (Z)- and (E)-olefins.

3918 Org. Lett., Vol. 6, No. 22, 2004

^{(15) (}t-BuO)Ph₂SiCl: Gillard, J. W.; Fortin, R.; Morton, H. E.; Yoakim, C.; Quesnelle, C. A.; Daignault, S.; Guindon, Y. *J. Org. Chem.* **1988**, *53*, 2602–2608.

Table 2. Reaction of 1a with Aromatic Aldehydes 2b-ha

Entry	Aldehyde	Base	Product	Z : E ^b	Yield ^c
1 2	меО———— СНО 2b	KHMDS n-BuLi	MeO CN	96: 4 98: 2	94 79
3	MeO 2c	KHMDS	MeO CN	97: 3	79
4	CHO OMe 2d	KHMDS	MeO 3d	96: 4	91
5	сі—Сно	KHMDS	CI ÇN	97: 3	68
6 7	2e	NaHMDS <i>n</i> -BuLi	3e	98: 2 96: 4	72 94
8 9 10 11	CHO CI 2f	KHMDS NaHMDS <i>n</i> -BuLi <i>n</i> -BuLi ^d	CN Cl 3f	93: 7 92: 8 87:13 89:11	74 71 63 78
12 13 14	сно 2 g	KHMDS NaHMDS n-BuLi	3g CN	98: 2 94: 6 97: 3	62 85 90
15 16 17 18	CHO 2h	KHMDS NaHMDS n-BuLi n-BuLi ^d	CN 3h	92: 8 90:10 87:13 91: 9	88 75 63 71

 a In all the reactions, the aldehyde (0.34 mmol) was dissolved in THF (3 mL) and the reactions were run for 3 h at -78 °C. b Determined by 1 H NMR (500 MHz) measurement of the crude mixture. c Combined isolated yield of (Z)- and (E)-olefins. d TMEDA was used as an additive.

selectivity was somewhat low using n-BuLi, the effect of TMEDA as an additive was examined (entries 11 and 18). In these runs, a slight increase in selectivity was seen.

As for aliphatic aldehydes other than 3-phenylpropional-dehyde, examinations were carried out in connection with steric bulk α to the formyl group (Table 3). With cyclohex-anecarboxyaldehyde, the formation of the (Z)-olefin was exclusive using KHMDS (entry 1). 2-Phenylpropionaldehyde, which did not give any olefinic product due to enolization in the case of Ph₃SiCH₂CONR'₂, was also found to give the desired (Z)-olefin exclusively with KHMDS, although in low yield (entry 2). However, changing the base to NaHMDS and then to n-BuLi led to a progressive increase in yield with only a small decrease in selectivity (entries 3 and 4). Applying TMEDA to the reaction using n-BuLi as the base led to a small decrease in yield. However, the (Z)-olefin was the exclusive product.

This difference in reactivity compared with the corresponding amide-type reagent is probably due to the difference

Table 3. Reaction of 1a with Aliphatic Aldehydes 2j-o^a

Entry	Aldehyde	Base	Product	Z : E ^b	Yield ^c
1	<u>С</u> -сно 2j	KHMDS	O _{3j} CN	>98: 2	(quant) ^{ef}
2		KHMDS		>98: 2	20
3	\ \'	NaHMDS	CN	96: 4	55
4	> -сно	<i>n</i> -BuLi		97: 3	72
5	2k	<i>n-</i> BuLi ^d	3k	>98: 2	60
6	 сно	KHMDS	✓ CN	88:12	(quant) ^e
7	21	<i>n</i> -BuLi	31	94: 6	52(quant)
8	СНО	KHMDS ^g	C / CN	86:14 95: 5	93
9 10	\ <u> </u>	NaHMDS ^g	~ //	95: 5 95: 5	85 87
10	2m	n-BuLi°	3m	95. 5	01
11		KHMDS	CN CN	93: 7	83
12	СНО	NaHMDS		94: 6	85
13	2n	<i>n</i> -BuLi	3n	92: 8	89
14		KHMDS	THO ON	94: 6	75
15	тмѕ—— сно	NaHMDS	TMS CN	93: 7	98
16	2o	n-BuLi	30	95: 5	93

^a In all the reactions, the aldehyde (0.34 mmol) was dissolved in THF (3 mL) and the reactions were run for 3 h (expect where noted otherwise) at −78 °C. ^b Determined by ¹H NMR (500 MHz) measurement of the crude mixture. ^c Combined isolated yield of (Z)- and (E)-olefins with NMR yields in parentheses. ^d TMEDA was used as an additive. ^e Could not be separated from toluene, the solvent of the commercial KHMDS used here. ^f Run using t-BuOK allowed isolation in 51% (96:4) yield. ^g Reaction was run for 6 h.

in size between the cyano group and an amide group, allowing the reagent with the former group to react more readily. The propensity for aliphatic aldehydes (without too much steric hindrance, vide infra) to give (*Z*)-olefins with higher selectivity than aromatic aldehydes parallels that of previous examinations with phosphorus- and silicon-based reagents. ^{10–12}

The reactions of the aldehydes with a quaternary carbon α to the formyl group were found to be somewhat sluggish, and in the case of 2-methyl-2-phenylpropionaldehyde, longer reaction times were required (entries 8–10). The selectivity dropped notably upon using KHMDS (entries 6 and 8) but increased to an acceptable level upon using NaHMDS or n-BuLi. The propensity for the less bulky aliphatic aldehyde to give higher selectivity is opposite to what has been observed with the corresponding (Z)-selective Horner—Wadsworth—Emmons reagent. 10

Conjugated aliphatic aldehydes were also found to give good results, furnishing the corresponding products from *trans*-cinnamaldehyde and 3-trimethylsilylprop-2-yn-1-one with selectivity up to 94:6 and 95:5, respectively. Therefore, this reagent should be useful for the preparation of extended conjugated alkenes of defined geometry.

Org. Lett., Vol. 6, No. 22, **2004**

Although the (t-BuO)Ph₂Si group worked extremely well for the acetonitrile-derived reagent, the same could not be said for the ethyl acetate derivative. In this case, yields and selectivity were low. Since there is no reason to believe that the small perturbation in the electronic nature of the enolate of the silyl compound, from carbonitrile-stabilized anion to ester-stabilized anion, should change the electronic reactivity of the reagent, we believe the cause of this difference between the two reagents could be attributed to the steric bulk of the reagent. The fact that the presumably bulkier (t-BuO)₂PhSiCH₂CN (1b) was less effective in the reaction also supports this notion.

In summary, we have developed a Peterson reagent, (t-BuO)Ph₂SiCH₂CN (**1a**), that has generality for the preparation of (Z)- β -monosubstituted α , β -unsaturated cyanides from both aromatic and aliphatic aldehydes. Further investigations

on substituent effects involving the silyl moiety and mechanistic studies of the reaction are currently underway.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported in part by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (Nos. 14540497 and 16550098) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. We also acknowledge the Natural Science Center for Basic Research and Development of Hiroshima University for machine time on analytical instruments.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures and characterization data for the product (Z)- β -monosubstituted α , β -unsaturated cyanides. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

OL0486728

3920 Org. Lett., Vol. 6, No. 22, 2004