

Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2014, 4, 40439Received 30th June 2014
Accepted 18th August 2014

DOI: 10.1039/c4ra06420h

www.rsc.org/advances

Aquatic biomass containing porous silica as an anode for lithium ion batteries

Anna Lisowska-Oleksiak,* Andrzej P. Nowak and Beata Wicikowska

A composite electrode was manufactured by pyrolysis of Red Algae (*Polysiphonia fucoides*) covered by diatoms (*Diatomophyceae*). Electrodes were tested as a half cell with capacity of 500 mA h g⁻¹ after 80 cycles. XPS analysis shows formation of lithium silicate under reduction of silica in an aprotic electrolyte containing LiPF₆ salt.

1. Introduction

The electroactivity of silica has attracted attention for a potential anode for lithium ion batteries (LIBs).^{1–5} The four electron reduction of SiO₂ may lead to formation of silicate and silicon. The other reduction path is irreversible with silicon and lithium oxide as the products.³ Electroactivity of SiO₂ had been found to be related to the grain size of oxides. There are several examples of successful performance of nanostructured silica used as anodes in LIBs, among them laboriously created hollow porous nanocubes were proposed.⁶ Beach sand was also tested and high energy mechanical milling was carried out to reach the appropriate grain size reduction of the oxide to be active under lithiation.⁷ Another source of very fine silica is on hand. It is commonly known that naturally occurring amorphous silica of high porosity is available from aquatic biomass containing diatoms.⁸

In this work we focus on electrochemical activity of electrode materials produced from pyrolysed sea water biomass. Considering the structure and surface modification of silica, we chose naturally occurring matter in the form of Red Algae *Polysiphonia fucoides*, covered by diatoms (*Diatomophyceae*). The diatoms part acts as a highly porous silica source while Red Algae deliver the carbonaceous part of the composite. XPS analysis has been employed for identification of electrode reaction products.

2. Experimental

The material was prepared from sea water biomass, namely red algae (*Polysiphonia fucoides*) covered by diatoms from the Baltic Sea, by thermal treatment according to the procedure given in Patent Application.⁹ The electrodes (referred to as RAD) were prepared from a slurry (90 wt% of active material, and 10 wt% of binder (polyvinylidene fluoride PVdF, Sole, Germany) in NMP on ~10 µm thin copper foil (Schlenk Metallfolien GmbH & Co KG, Germany). Dried disc electrodes were used in two-electrode Swagelok® cells with lithium foil as the counter and reference electrodes.

Cyclic voltammetry (cv) was performed using an electrochemical potentiostat/galvanostat Autolab (PGStat302N, The Netherlands) between 0.01 (±0.004) – 3.2 (±0.004) V versus Li/Li⁺ at a scan rate of 100 µV·s⁻¹. All measurements were performed at 21 (±1) °C. For battery tests the electrochemical measurements were performed in two electrode Swagelok® type cells with active material as the working electrode and lithium foil (99.9% purity, 0.75 mm thickness, AlfaAesar, Germany) as the counter/reference electrode. In the case of pure aerogel the electrode was prepared from a slurry: 50 wt% of SiO_{2ag}, 30 wt% of carbon black (Super P, Timcal, Switzerland) and 20 wt% of binder (polyvinylidene fluoride PVdF, Sole, Germany) in NMP. In all cases the assumed capacity of the composite material was taken as 372 mA h g⁻¹ for comparison to the graphite electrode. A battery charging at a rate of C/20 (C-rate) will deliver its nominal evaluated capacity in 20 h.

Micro-Raman spectra were recorded on a Raman spectrometer inVia Renishaw (Renishaw, England) with an Ar ion laser at a wavelength of 514 nm. The spectral analysis was performed with GRAMS/32 (Galactic) software with the Lorentzian fitting procedure with 5 peaks ($\chi^2 = 1.31 \pm 0.2$).

X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS) measurements (Thermo Fisher Scientific) were carried out at base pressure of ~1 × 10⁻⁹ mbar with Al Kα monochromatized radiation ($h\nu = 1486.6$ eV) as the X-ray source. The binding energies were corrected by setting the C 1s hydrocarbon peak at 285.0 eV.

Faculty of Chemistry, Department of Chemistry and Technology of Functional Materials, Gdańsk University of Technology, Narutowicza 11/12, 80-233 Gdańsk, Poland. E-mail: alo@pg.gda.pl

3. Results and discussion

The chemical composition of composite was determined by Energy Dispersive X-ray (EDX) analysis. The composite consists of carbon (~ 38 at.%), Si (12 at.%), oxygen (43 at.%) and other elements: Na, Mg, Al, P, S, K, Ca, Fe in small amounts of 1.30, 0.11, 0.62, 0.04, 0.005, $0.006 \pm 0.1\%$ [norm at.%], respectively. The presence of these elements is typical for macroalgae biomass.⁸

The scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image of pyrolysed biomass before milling has been shown in Fig. 1. Red algae and diatoms preserved their skeleton morphology despite pyrolysis. Fibrous, long elements (from red algae) are covered with polyhedron skeletons. The diatoms are located randomly. The average size of the shells is $\sim 30 \mu\text{m}$. The porosity of diatoms is illustrated in the inset, where regular, square voids (of ~ 100 nm side) have been shown.

The Raman spectrum of pyrolysed biomass has been presented in Fig. 2. The band at 430 cm^{-1} has been ascribed to the Si–O bond in SiO_2 .¹⁰ The presence of maxima at $\sim 1350 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and 1585 cm^{-1} are typical for disordered carbonaceous

material.¹¹ The analysis of Raman spectrum in the range $1000\text{--}2000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ should be performed with 5 bands.¹² The meaning of bands D and G is similar to that given in (ref. 13). The meaning of three additional bands is as follows: D2 and D4 are attributed to the disordered graphitic lattice while the D3 band originates from the amorphous carbon phase.^{14,15} The ratio of intensities $I(\text{D})$ to $I(\text{G})$ gives possibility for coarse estimation of the cluster size, L_a .¹¹ The L_a parameter is equal to 24 \AA .

The broad maximum in the range $2500\text{--}3000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ historically has been named G' and it is very often present in graphitic materials.¹⁶ The G' band has nothing to do with the G peak, but is the second order of zone-boundary phonons corresponding to the overtones and combinations of graphitic lattice vibration modes.¹⁷ Thus, the presence of a peak at $\sim 2800 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ confirms the presence of sp^3 (diamond-like) bonds from C/H_x stretching modes.¹³

The material characterised above was used for electrode preparation, see Experimental.

The first two cyclic voltammetry (cv) curves of studied material are shown in Fig. 3. The cathodic current growth, recorded at the threshold potential $E_{\text{th}} = 0.98 \text{ V}$, is much higher at the first scan due to solid electrolyte interphase formation (SEI).⁶ This process appears at the same potential range, where electrode material undergoes reduction. On the reverse scan the products of reduction are oxidized and both cv curves are similar in shape.

The material was tested using galvanostatic cyclic polarization. The data for the 20th and the 80th charging/discharging cycles with change of the capacity, depending on the applied current and number of cycles, have been shown in Fig. 4. The electrode exhibits a capacity drop between the first and the second scan, see inset in Fig. 4, as expected for solid electrolyte interphase (SEI) formation.⁶ The charge capacity for rates $\text{C}/20$ is equal to 530 mA h g^{-1} with capacity retention of 88% over 5 cycles. After increasing the current ($\text{C}/10$ -rate) the capacity diminishes to 467 mA h g^{-1} with capacity retention of 97% for the 5th cycle. At the $\text{C}/5$ -rate the capacity is equal to 419 mA h g^{-1} without significant fading ($\sim 1\%$) over 5 cycles. When a low current was applied again ($\text{C}/20$ -rate, 18 mA g^{-1}), the capacity of




Fig. 1 SEM image of RAD material before milling.




Fig. 2 Raman spectrum of pyrolysed material, inset: the curve fit for the first order Raman spectra of studied material.




Fig. 3 The cv curves of RAD electrode in 1 M LiPF_6 in EC/DMC in the potential range $0.005\text{--}3.2 \text{ V}$. The sweep rate $v = 100 \mu\text{V s}^{-1}$.




Fig. 4 The 20th and 80th charging/discharging curve of RAD, inset: the capacity vs. cycle number of RAD electrode material at different C-rates.

~500 mA h g⁻¹ was achieved over 80 cycles with a capacity retention of 94%. This result is close to data presented by other authors for silica nanoparticles coated by carbon.⁴ The authors reported a capacity of 500 mA h g⁻¹ for current density equal to 50 mA g⁻¹ after the 50th cycle. The best results of capacity ~1000 mA h g⁻¹ for current density of 100 mA g⁻¹ were achieved for laboriously tailored hollow porous silica nanocubes⁶ and high energy milled quartz.⁷

The cycling properties at higher rates have been shown in Fig. 5. The first five cycles were performed to activate electrode material including SEI formation. Thus, these cycles were not shown in Fig. 5. The following 20 cycles for each C-rate exhibit capacities of 362 mA h g⁻¹ for 1 C, 274 mA h g⁻¹ for 2 C, 212 mA h g⁻¹ for 5 C and 141 mA h g⁻¹ for 10 C. The capacity retention after 20 cycles was 99.17%, 98.20%, 98.60% and 98.53% for 1 C, 2 C, 5 C and 10 C, respectively.

The RAD electrode shows quite stable cyclic performance with relatively high capacities for slow C-rates. The higher currents give non-rewarding capacities and limit the usage of such electrodes for high power application. However, it was shown that the presence of carbon particles is very likely to influence the current rate and capacity values. Thus,




Fig. 5 Capacity vs. cycle number of RAD electrode for a fast charging/discharging process.

better electrochemical performance is expected to be achieved by optimization of the carbon amount in the sample and interaction between silica particles and the electronic conductor.




Fig. 6 XPS spectra of (a) C 1s (b) O 1s and (c) Si 2p core level of the RAD electrodes at different charge stages.

In order to identify products of electrode reactions, XPS analysis was performed for the pristine electrode, for the reduced (charged) electrode and the oxidized (discharged) electrode.

The C 1s spectrum for all studied materials, shown in Fig. 6a, exhibits several peaks in the range 283–291 eV, attributed to C–C, C–H and CO_x groups.^{18–25}

For the O 1s spectrum (Fig. 6b) peaks between 528–533 eV are ascribed to Me–O, Si–O, CO_x groups as is given in ref. 18, 23 and 26–28.


In Si 2p the signals in the range 101–103 eV are recorded for all samples, see Fig. 6c. The signals between 100.60–102.40 eV can be attributed to the Si–Li bond in Li_xSi,³ as well as the Si–O bond in SiO_x and/or Li_xSiO_y.^{19,20} Presence of signals at ~102.60–103.60 eV is attributed to the Si–O bond in SiO₂.^{20,29,30}

Thus, since the pristine material mainly contains SiO₂ and some amount of metal oxides, it has been proved that Li_xSiO_y and Li_xSi are present in the charged material. However, not all SiO₂ reacts with Li⁺ during cathodic polarization. After the discharging process, the material consisted of SiO₂ and MeO, as observed for pure material.

Taking into account the results from galvanostatic cyclic polarization and XPS we confirmed lithium silicates formation according to reactions given in ref. 6:



The obtained Si reacted further with lithium ions with lithium silicide formation:



No lithium oxide was identified by XPS analysis, being advantageous as electroreduction of silica leading to the Li₂O product is irreversible as reaction (2) opposite to reaction (1).⁶

4. Conclusions

Aquatic biomass in the form of red algae covered by diatoms has been found to be a source of electronic conductor (carbon) and substrate for faradaic reactions (silica) if treated thermally and mechanically.

The electrode material obtained from pyrolysed material has been characterized by SEM, Raman spectroscopy and electrochemical techniques. The material mainly consists of silica and the carbonaceous part. The XPS measurements confirm formation of Li_xSiO_y and Li_xSi during electroreduction of silica in contact with LiPF₆ in EC/DMC electrolyte.

The galvanostatic charging/discharging test gives a capacity of 419 mA h g^{−1} for the C/5-rate. Slow cycling (C/20-rate) allows a higher capacity above 500 mA h g^{−1} over 80 charging/discharging cycles to be achieved. For higher current rates the capacity is lower due to faradaic current kinetic limitations. It is worthy to work on the size of the particles as it was performed for pure silica³¹ or to take into account the incorporation into carbon nanotubes as it was done for some alloy system.³²

Aquatic biomass was successfully used for anode preparation for Li-ion batteries. Activity of the electrodes relies on the porous silica part resulting from diatoms. The porous structure of diatoms, being a naturally formed nanostructure, preserves the proper condition for good electrode performance. The application of naturally occurring nano-materials saves energy during technological steps and is in general less expensive than manufacture from scratch.

Here we have shown that nanoporous silica is readily available in the form of diatoms and can be used as an active electrode material achieving promising capacity for application in lithium ion batteries.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the financial support from the National Science Centre, Kraków, Poland (NN 1503/B/H03/2011/40).

References

- 1 J. Yang, Y. Takeda, N. Imanishi, C. Capiglia, J. Y. Xie and O. Yamamoto, *Solid State Ionics*, 2002, **152–153**, 125.
- 2 Q. Sun, B. Zhang and Z.-W. Fu, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2008, **254**, 3774.
- 3 B. Guo, J. Shu, Z. Wang, H. Yang, L. Shi, Y. Liu and L. Chen, *Electrochem. Commun.*, 2008, **10**, 1876.
- 4 Y. Yao, J. Zhang, L. Xue, T. Huang and A. Yu, *J. Power Sources*, 2011, **196**, 10240.
- 5 C.-H. Doh, H.-M. Shin, D.-H. Kim, Y.-C. Ha, B.-S. Jin, H.-S. Kim, S.-I. Moon and A. Veluchamy, *Electrochem. Commun.*, 2008, **10**, 233.
- 6 N. Yan, F. Wang, H. Zhong, Y. Li, Y. Wang, L. Hu and Q. Chen, *Sci. Rep.*, 2013, **3**, 1568.
- 7 W.-S. Chang, C.-M. Park, J.-H. Kim, Y.-U. Kim, G. Jeong and H.-J. Sohn, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2012, **5**, 6895.
- 8 D. Werner, *The Biology Of Diatoms*, University of California Press, 1977.
- 9 A. Lisowska-Oleksiak, A. P. Nowak and B. Wicikowska, *Sposób Otrzymania Anod Ogniwo Litowo-Jonowych Przez Użycie Ziemi Okrzemkowej I/lub Okrzemek*, 2014.
- 10 C. Washington, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2000, **57**, 2.
- 11 F. Tuinstra and J. I. Koenig, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1970, **53**, 1126.
- 12 A. Sadezky, H. Muckenhuber, H. Grothe, R. Niessner and U. Pöschl, *Carbon*, 2005, **43**, 1731.
- 13 A. C. Ferrari and J. Robertson, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2000, **61**, 14095.
- 14 Y. Wang, D. C. Alsmeyer and R. L. McCreery, *Chem. Mater.*, 1990, **2**, 557.
- 15 B. Dippel, H. Jander and J. Heintzenberg, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 1999, **1**, 4707.
- 16 M. Pimenta, G. Dresselhaus, M. S. Dresselhaus, L. G. Cançado, A. Jorio and R. Saito, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2007, **9**, 1276.
- 17 P. Dhamelincourt and J. Laureyns, *Carbon*, 1994, **32**, 1523.
- 18 H. Nara, T. Yokoshima, M. Otaki, T. Momma and T. Osaka, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2013, **110**, 403.

- 19 B. Philippe, J. Allouche, F. Lindgren, M. Gorgoi, D. Gonbeau and K. Edström, *Chem. Mater.*, 2012, **24**, 1107.
- 20 H. Hamze, M. Jimenez, D. Deresmes, A. Beaurain, N. Nuns and M. Traisnel, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2014, DOI: 10.1016/j.apsusc.2013.12.108.
- 21 A. A. Galuska, J. C. Uht and N. Martinez, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol., A*, 1988, **6**, 110.
- 22 M. P. Delplancke, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol., A*, 1991, **9**, 450.
- 23 J. Światowska, V. Lair, C. Pereira-Nabais, G. Cote, P. Marcus and A. Chagnes, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2011, **257**, 9110.
- 24 M. Herstedt, D. P. Abraham, J. B. Kerr and K. Edström, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2004, **49**, 5097.
- 25 C. K. Chan, R. Ruffo, S. S. Hong and Y. Cui, *J. Power Sources*, 2009, **189**, 1132.
- 26 <http://srdata.nist.gov/xps>.
- 27 G. Beamson, *Surf. Sci. Spectra*, 1994, **3**, 357.
- 28 X. Liu, M.-C. Zheng and K. Xie, *J. Power Sources*, 2011, **196**, 10667.
- 29 A. Avila, I. Montero, L. Galán, J. M. Ripalda and R. Levy, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 2001, **89**, 212.
- 30 T. Asakawa, K. Tanaka and I. Toyoshima, *Langmuir*, 1988, **4**, 521.
- 31 Z. Ma, T. Li, Y. L. Huang, J. Liu, Y. Zhou and D. Xue, *RSC Adv.*, 2013, **3**, 7398.
- 32 W. X. Lei, Y. Pan, Y. C. Zhou, W. Zhou, M. L. Peng and Z. S. Ma, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 3233.