

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/239222428>

Main group compounds as amphoteric ligands to transition metals. Synthesis and molecular structure of $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{CH}_2\text{Ga}(\text{CH}_2\text{CMe}_3)_2\cdot\text{NMe}_3]$

ARTICLE in ORGANOMETALLICS · NOVEMBER 1996

Impact Factor: 4.13 · DOI: 10.1021/om960547f

CITATIONS

10

READS

17

3 AUTHORS, INCLUDING:



John P. Kopasz

Argonne National Laboratory

13 PUBLICATIONS 146 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Robin D Rogers

McGill University

926 PUBLICATIONS 33,604 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
<small>Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.</small>				
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE 7-10-96		3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Technical Report
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Main Group Compounds as Amphoteric Ligands to Transition Metals. Synthesis and Molecular Structure of $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{CH}_2\text{Ga}(\text{CH}_2\text{CMe}_3)_2\cdot\text{NMe}_3]$				5. FUNDING NUMBERS Grant: n00014-96-1-0483 R&T Code: 4135002
6. AUTHOR(S) O. T. Beachley, Jr, Michael A. Banks, John P. Kopasz and Robin D. Rogers				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Department of Chemistry State University of New York at Buffalo NSM Complex Buffalo, NY 14260-3000				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER Technical Report No. 45
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Office of Naval Research 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217-5000				10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER n/a
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Accepted for publication - Organometallics				19961101 024
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited. Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States government.				
				12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE n/a
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) The reactivities of the group 13 compounds, $\text{R}_2\text{MCH}_2\text{PPh}_2$ and R_2MPPh_2 , as amphoteric ligands to transition metals have been investigated. The ligands $\text{R}_2\text{MCH}_2\text{PPh}_2$ ($\text{R} = \text{CH}_2\text{CMe}_3$, CH_2SiMe_3 ; $\text{M} = \text{Ga}$, In) reacted readily with $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5\text{NMe}_3$ in benzene solution to form $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{CH}_2\text{MR}_2\cdot\text{NMe}_3]$ whereas for ligands of the type R_2MPPh_2 ($\text{R} = \text{CH}_2\text{CMe}_3$, CH_2SiMe_3 ; $\text{M} = \text{Al}$, Ga , In), only the two aluminum compounds and $(\text{Me}_3\text{CCH}_2)_2\text{GaPPh}_2$ formed isolable products of the type $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{MR}_2\cdot\text{NMe}_3]$. However, the gallium and indium ligands with trimethylsilylmethyl substituents $(\text{Me}_3\text{SiCH}_2)_2\text{MPPh}_2$ reacted with $\text{NEt}_4\text{M}_\text{T}(\text{CO})_5\text{Cl}$ ($\text{M}_\text{T} = \text{Cr}$, Mo , W) to form products of the type $\text{NEt}_4\text{M}_\text{T}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{MR}_2\text{Cl}]$. All new compounds were characterized by their physical properties, C and H analyses, and ^1H and ^{31}P NMR and IR spectral properties. The (continued on next page)				
14. SUBJECT TERMS Organo-gallium and -indium compounds, transition metal derivatives, amphoteric ligands, X-ray structural study				15. NUMBER OF PAGES 22
				16. PRICE CODE n/a
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UL	

Abstract (cont.)

The identity of $\text{NEt}_4\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{In}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2\text{Cl}]$ was further confirmed by the subsequent identification of products from reactions with anhydrous HCl and with MeI . In addition, $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{CH}_2\text{Ga}(\text{CH}_2\text{CMe}_3)_2\cdot\text{NMe}_3]$ was characterized by an X-ray structural study.

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

Contract N-00014-96-1-0483

R&T Code 4135002

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 45

Main Group Compounds as Amphoteric Ligands to Transition Metals.
Synthesis and Molecular Structure of $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{CH}_2\text{Ga}(\text{CH}_2\text{CMe}_3)_2\cdot\text{NMe}_3]$

by

O. T. Beachley, Jr., Michael A. Banks, John P. Kopasz
and Robin D. Rogers

Prepared for Publication

in

Organometallics

State University of New York at Buffalo
Department of Chemistry
Buffalo, New York 14260-3000

7 October 1996

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for
any purpose of the United States Government

*This document has been approved for public release
and sale; its distribution is unlimited

Contribution from the Departments of Chemistry, State University of New York at Buffalo,
Buffalo, NY 14260 and Northern Illinois University, DeKalb, IL 60115

**MAIN GROUP COMPOUNDS AS AMPHOTERIC LIGANDS TO
TRANSITION METALS. SYNTHESIS AND MOLECULAR STRUCTURE
OF $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{CH}_2\text{Ga}(\text{CH}_2\text{CMe}_3)_2\cdot\text{NMe}_3]$**

by

O. T. Beachley, Jr.^{*}, Michael A. Banks, John P. Kopasz and Robin D. Rogers^{*†}


ABSTRACT

The reactivities of the group 13 compounds, $\text{R}_2\text{MCH}_2\text{PPh}_2$ and R_2MPPh_2 , as amphoteric ligands to transition metals have been investigated. The ligands $\text{R}_2\text{MCH}_2\text{PPh}_2$ ($\text{R} = \text{CH}_2\text{CMe}_3$, CH_2SiMe_3 ; $\text{M} = \text{Ga, In}$) reacted readily with $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5\text{NMe}_3$ in benzene solution to form $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{CH}_2\text{MR}_2\cdot\text{NMe}_3]$ whereas for ligands of the type R_2MPPh_2 ($\text{R} = \text{CH}_2\text{CMe}_3$, CH_2SiMe_3 ; $\text{M} = \text{Al, Ga, In}$), only the two aluminum compounds and $(\text{Me}_3\text{CCH}_2)_2\text{GaPPh}_2$ formed isolable products of the type $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{MR}_2\cdot\text{NMe}_3]$. However, the gallium and indium ligands with trimethylsilylmethyl substituents $(\text{Me}_3\text{SiCH}_2)_2\text{MPPh}_2$ reacted with $\text{NEt}_4\text{M}_\text{T}(\text{CO})_5\text{Cl}$ ($\text{M}_\text{T} = \text{Cr, Mo, W}$) to form products of the type $\text{NEt}_4\text{M}_\text{T}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{MR}_2\text{Cl}]$. All new compounds were characterized by their physical properties, C and H analyses, and ^1H and ^{31}P NMR and IR spectral properties. The identity of $\text{NEt}_4\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{In}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2\text{Cl}]$ was further confirmed by the subsequent identification of products from reactions with anhydrous HCl and with MeI . In addition, $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{CH}_2\text{Ga}(\text{CH}_2\text{CMe}_3)_2\cdot\text{NMe}_3]$ was characterized by an X-ray structural study.

[†]Current Address: Robin D. Rogers, Department of Chemistry, University of Alabama,
Tuscaloosa, AL 35487

Compounds of the type R_2MER_2' (M = group 13 element, E = group 15 element) are amphoteric and have the potential to be ligands to transition metals. The goal of such a compound¹ was realized when $Cr(CO)_5[PPh_2Al(CH_2SiMe_3)_2 \cdot NMe_3]$ was prepared from $Cr(CO)_5NMe_3$ and $(Me_3SiCH_2)_2AlPPh_2$ in benzene and was fully characterized. The preparative reaction was surprisingly slow at room temperature as significant quantities of product were not observed by NMR spectroscopy until after approximately 9 h of reaction time had elapsed after 2M solutions of reactants had been combined. When the potential amphoteric ligand was Et_2AlPPh_2 , no transition metal derivative was formed¹. The difference in reactivity between the two amphoteric ligands was attributed to their different degrees of association in solution. The compound $(Me_3SiCH_2)_2AlPPh_2$ exists as a monomer-dimer equilibrium mixture² in benzene solution whereas Et_2AlPPh_2 is a dimer^{2,3}. As more examples of aluminum-, gallium- and indium-phosphorus derivatives with different degrees of association and with different types of bonding are now available, additional reactions with $Cr(CO)_5NMe_3$ have been investigated. These main-group amphoteric compounds have also been reacted with $NEt_4M_T(CO)_5X$ ($M_T = Cr, Mo, W$; $X = Cl, Br$) in benzene in order to learn more about their reactivity patterns.

The potential ligands with the empirical formulas $(Me_3CCH_2)_2AlPPh_2$ ⁴, $(Me_3SiCH_2)_2GaPPh_2$ ⁵, $(Me_3CCH_2)_2GaPPh_2$ ⁵, $(Me_3SiCH_2)_2InPPh_2$ ⁶, and $(Me_3CCH_2)_2InPPh_2$ ^{5,6} were combined with $Cr(CO)_5NMe_3$ in benzene. However, only two of these compounds reacted to form the isolable products, $Cr(CO)_5[PPh_2Al(CH_2CMe_3)_2 \cdot NMe_3]$ and $Cr(CO)_5[PPh_2Ga(CH_2CMe_3)_2 \cdot NMe_3]$. These two new transition metal derivatives were



characterized by their physical properties, C and H analyses, and their ^1H and ^{31}P NMR and IR spectra. A comparison of the spectral data for these new compounds with that previously observed for $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{Al}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2\cdot\text{NMe}_3]$, a compound which had been characterized by X-ray crystallography¹, confirmed the identity of the new products. It is noteworthy that even though $(\text{Me}_3\text{CCH}_2)_2\text{AlPPh}_2$ exists as a dimer in benzene solution⁴ according to cryoscopic molecular weight studies, it is significantly more reactive with $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5\text{NMe}_3$ than is $(\text{Me}_3\text{SiCH}_2)_2\text{AlPPh}_2$, a monomer-dimer equilibrium mixture.² Furthermore, $(\text{Me}_3\text{CCH}_2)_2\text{GaPPh}_2$ is also a dimer⁵ and is reactive with $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5\text{NMe}_3$, while $(\text{Me}_3\text{SiCH}_2)_2\text{GaPPh}_2$ ⁵, $(\text{Me}_3\text{CCH}_2)_2\text{InPPh}_2$ ^{5,6}, and $(\text{Me}_3\text{SiCH}_2)_2\text{InPPh}_2$ ⁶ are monomer-dimer equilibrium mixtures in benzene solutions and are unreactive. These observations suggest that the Lewis acidity of the main group moiety is more important than is the degree of association. The group 13 moiety apparently ties up the trimethylamine so that the phosphorus can react with the transition metal.

Another class of group 13-15 compounds which we have previously prepared and fully characterized and which can function as an amphoteric ligand to a transition metal is $\text{R}_2\text{MCH}_2\text{PPh}_2$. All four of the compounds which were investigated ($\text{M} = \text{Ga, In; R} = \text{CH}_2\text{CMe}_3, \text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3$)⁷ reacted readily with $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5\text{NMe}_3$ to form compounds of the type $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{CH}_2\text{MR}_2\cdot\text{NMe}_3]$. Even though these potential ligands are dimers in benzene


solution, all were more reactive than was $(\text{Me}_3\text{CCH}_2)_2\text{GaPPh}_2$. For example, when $[(\text{Me}_3\text{CCH}_2)_2\text{GaCH}_2\text{PPh}_2]_2$ was combined with excess $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5\text{NMe}_3$ in benzene at 20°C , reaction was complete in 30 h whereas when the reactant was $(\text{Me}_3\text{CCH}_2)_2\text{GaPPh}_2$, the ratio of product to reactant was estimated by ^1H NMR spectroscopy to be only 4.5 to 1 after 47 h. The general trend for the reactivity of the $\text{R}_2\text{MCH}_2\text{PPh}_2$ species follows the relative Lewis acidity of the group 13 moiety with $(\text{Me}_3\text{CCH}_2)_2\text{GaCH}_2\text{PPh}_2$ being the most reactive of the four compounds studied whereas $(\text{Me}_3\text{SiCH}_2)_2\text{InCH}_2\text{PPh}_2$ was least reactive. There are two possible reasons for the increased Lewis acidity and, in turn, the increased reactivity of $\text{R}_2\text{MCH}_2\text{PPh}_2$ in comparison with that for R_2MPPh_2 . The insertion of the methylene group between the group 13 metal and phosphorus reduces the steric bulk around both the Lewis acid and base sites in the ligand and also eliminates any possibility for π -bonding between the group 13 metal and phosphorus.

Recrystallization of $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{CH}_2\text{Ga}(\text{CH}_2\text{CMe}_3)_2\cdot\text{NMe}_3]$ from a saturated pentane solution at -25°C afforded crystallographic quality crystals. The crystal consisted of discrete molecular units. There were no abnormally close contacts in the unit cell. The labelling of the atoms is given in Figure 1 and the significant interatomic bond distances and angles are listed in Table 1. The Cr-P bond distance is $2.409(1)\text{\AA}$, which is shorter than the corresponding distance in $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{Al}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2\cdot\text{NMe}_3]^1$ of $2.482(1)\text{\AA}$ and in $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5\text{PPh}_3^8$ of $2.422(1)\text{\AA}$ but longer than the distance in $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2(\text{CH}_2)_4\text{OAl}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2\cdot\text{NMe}_3]^9$ of $2.383(6)\text{\AA}$. The Ga-N distance of $2.235(3)\text{\AA}$ is similar to that observed for the trineopentylgallium-amine adduct¹⁰, $\text{Ga}(\text{CH}_2\text{CMe}_3)_3\cdot\text{NMe}_2\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{NMe}_2\cdot\text{Ga}(\text{CH}_2\text{CMe}_3)_3$ ($2.241(9)\text{\AA}$), but longer than the distances observed for other trimethylgallium-amine adducts, $2.139(17)\text{\AA}$ and $2.138(9)\text{\AA}$ for the


trimethylgallium-utropine adducts,¹¹ $\text{Me}_3\text{Ga}\cdot\text{C}_6\text{H}_{12}\text{N}_4$ and $(\text{Me}_3\text{Ga})_2\cdot\text{C}_6\text{H}_{12}\text{N}_4$, respectively, and 2.012(4) Å for $\text{Me}_3\text{Ga}\cdot\text{NH}_2(\text{t-Bu})$.¹² Another noteworthy feature of the structure of $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{CH}_2\text{Ga}(\text{CH}_2\text{CMe}_3)_2\cdot\text{NMe}_3]$ relates to the angular relationship between the three carbon atoms and the nitrogen atom bonded to gallium. The two α -carbon atoms of the two neopentyl groups and the methylene carbon atom bonded to phosphorus have angles which are representative of distorted trigonal planar geometry rather than tetrahedral as $\text{C}(6)\text{-Ga-C}(24) = 115.2(1)^\circ$, $\text{C}(6)\text{-Ga-C}(19) = 118.8(1)^\circ$, and $\text{C}(19)\text{-Ga-C}(24) = 119.8(1)^\circ$. The carbon-gallium-nitrogen angles are, in turn, smaller than the normal tetrahedral angle with $\text{N-Ga-C}(6) = 95.1(1)^\circ$, $\text{N-Ga-C}(19) = 99.3(1)^\circ$ and $\text{N-Ga-C}(24) = 100.4(1)^\circ$. The angles between the six ligands around chromium are typical of a slightly distorted octahedral complex.

The reactions of $(\text{Me}_3\text{SiCH}_2)_2\text{GaPPh}_2$ and $(\text{Me}_3\text{SiCH}_2)_2\text{InPPh}_2$ with a different transition metal derivative, $\text{NEt}_4\text{M}_\text{T}(\text{CO})_5\text{Cl}$ ($\text{M}_\text{T} = \text{Cr}, \text{Mo}, \text{W}$), in benzene were also investigated and compounds of the type $\text{NEt}_4\text{M}_\text{T}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{M}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2\text{Cl}]$ were readily formed. Each of the reactions appeared to be complete within minutes of mixing the reagents as indicated by the disappearance of the initially insoluble transition metal reagent. Products were typically isolated as waxy solids or viscous oils with the exception of $\text{NEt}_4\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{In}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2\text{Cl}]$ which was obtained as a light yellow crystalline powder in ~80% yield. The formation of $\text{NEt}_4\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{In}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2\text{Cl}]$ is fully supported by C and H elemental analyses as well as by ^1H , ^{31}P and ^{13}C NMR and IR spectral data. Further confirmation of $\text{NEt}_4\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{In}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2\text{Cl}]$ with a bond between chromium and phosphorus was obtained by investigating its reaction chemistry with HCl and MeI. When

$\text{NEt}_4\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{In}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2\text{Cl}]$ was reacted with anhydrous HCl in a 1:2 mol ratio, respectively, the isolated products were $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5\text{PPh}_2\text{H}$ and SiMe_4 (equation 2). The product



$\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5\text{PPh}_2\text{H}$ was formed in near quantitative yield as based on ^{31}P NMR spectral data but was isolated in only 69% yield. The isolated sample of $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5\text{PPh}_2\text{H}$ was identified by comparing its melting point and IR spectrum with the literature values.¹³ The SiMe_4 was isolated in 82% yield and was identified by its ^1H NMR spectrum. No attempt was made to isolate an indium containing product. When $\text{NEt}_4\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{In}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2\text{Cl}]$ was reacted with MeI (equation 3), the only phosphorus containing product was $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5\text{PPh}_2\text{Me}$ ¹⁴



according to the ^{31}P NMR spectrum of the product mixture. However, the isolated product which was thought to be $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5\text{PPh}_2\text{Me}$ was not pure. The IR spectrum of the isolated product exhibited all absorption bands expected for $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5\text{PPh}_2\text{Me}$ ¹⁴ but also had an extra band at 2035 cm^{-1} , a band observed in the IR spectrum of the starting compound, $\text{NEt}_4\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{In}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2\text{Cl}]$. No attempt was made to isolate and characterize an

indium containing product from the reaction. It is noteworthy that a cryoscopic molecular weight study of $\text{NEt}_4\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{In}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2\text{Cl}]$ in benzene suggested the existence of an equilibrium between very highly associated species. When the calculated concentration of the solution as based on the empirical formula was 0.0990 m, the observed degree of association was 5.99, whereas when the solution was 0.0342 m, the association was 2.69. These unusual degrees of association might serve to provide an explanation for the very large, unsolvable unit cell which was determined by the preliminary X-ray structural study of the molecule.

Experimental

All of the compounds which contain group 13 elements were extremely sensitive to oxygen and moisture and were manipulated in a standard vacuum line or in a purified argon atmosphere. All starting group 13-15 compounds and all transition metal reactants were prepared by literature methods. Solvents were dried by conventional procedures. Elemental analyses were performed by Schwarzkopf Microanalytical Laboratory, Woodside, NY. The ^1H NMR spectra were recorded at 400 MHz by using a Varian VXR-400 spectrometer or at 90 MHz by using a Varian Model 390 spectrometer. Proton chemical shifts are reported in δ units (ppm) and are referenced to SiMe_4 at δ 0.00 and C_6H_6 at δ 7.15. The ^{31}P NMR spectra were recorded at 161.9 MHz by using either a Varian VXR-400 spectrometer or at 109.16 MHz by using a JEOL Model FX 270 spectrometer. Chemical shifts are referenced to 85% H_3PO_4 at δ 0.00. Standard abbreviations are used to report the multiplicities of the lines. All samples for NMR spectra were contained in flame-sealed NMR tubes. Infrared spectra were

recorded as solutions by using 0.5mm matched cells with NaCl windows or as Nujol mulls between KBr plates with a Perkin-Elmer 683 spectrometer. Absorption intensities are reported with standard abbreviations. Melting points were observed in sealed capillaries and are uncorrected.

Synthesis of $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{Al}(\text{CH}_2\text{CMe}_3)_2\cdot\text{NMe}_3]$. A flask equipped with Solv-Seal joints and a Teflon valve was charged with 0.260 g (1.04 mmol) $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5\text{NMe}_3$,¹⁵ 0.332 g (0.937 mmol) $(\text{Me}_3\text{CCH}_2)_2\text{AlPPh}_2$ and 15 mL of benzene. The resulting solution was initially yellow-orange and then lightened to pale yellow after stirring for 48 h. Then, the benzene was removed by vacuum distillation to leave a fluffy light-yellow solid. This solid was recrystallized from a 5:1 pentane/benzene mixture to give 0.100 g (0.165 mmol, 17.6% yield based on $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5\text{NMe}_3$) of $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{Al}(\text{CH}_2\text{CMe}_3)_2\cdot\text{NMe}_3]$ as a yellow, crystalline solid. Mp: 116-117 °C (decomp); Anal. Calcd: C, 59.50; H, 6.82. Found: C, 59.43; H, 6.99; ^1H NMR (C_6H_6 , δ): 1.59 (s, 9H, NMe), 1.19 (s, 9H, CMe), 0.86 (s, 4H, CH_2); $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (C_6H_6 , δ): -27.9 (s); IR (ν_{CO} , cm^{-1} , Nujol mull): 2052 (m), 1980 (m), 1945 (m, sh), 1935 (vs), 1920 (s). The compound contained in a sealed vial under vacuum decomposed at room temperature over time (4 months).

Synthesis of $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{Ga}(\text{CH}_2\text{CMe}_3)_2\cdot\text{NMe}_3]$. A 5 mm NMR tube was charged with 0.137 g (0.344 mmol) $(\text{Me}_3\text{CCH}_2)_2\text{GaPPh}_2$, 0.310 g (0.520 mmol) $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5\text{NMe}_3$ and ~0.7 mL of benzene. After the mixture was warmed to ambient temperature, an orange solution was present. The ^1H and $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectra were recorded prior to and after heating the reaction mixture at 55 °C for 5 h. After 5 h at 55 °C, a yellow solution was present. Cooling of this solution to ambient temperature afforded

yellow crystals of $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{Ga}(\text{CH}_2\text{CMe}_3)_2\cdot\text{NMe}_3]$. Mp: 132 - 138 °C (glass transition), 146 - 148 °C (decomp); IR (ν_{CO} , cm^{-1} , pentane): 2063 (w), 1997 (vw), 1953 (vs), 1945 (s), 1917 (vw). Initial NMR spectrum prior to heating, ^1H NMR (C_6H_6 , δ): 1.04 (s, 18 H, CMe_3), 1.22 (s, 0.7 H), 1.33 (t, $^3J_{\text{PGaCH}} = 3.6$ Hz, 3.2 H, CH_2), 1.80 (s, 10 H, Cr-NMe_3), 1.94 (s, 0.70H, Ga-NMe_3); $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (C_6H_6 , δ): 30.7 (s, 1.0), -26.0 (s, 42.7, $(\text{Me}_3\text{CCH}_2)_2\text{GaPPh}_2$); 1 h, 40 min at 55 °C, ^1H NMR: 1.06 (s, 2.4 H, CH_2), 1.13 (s, 18 H), 1.22 (s), 1.72 (s, 7.9 H, Cr-NMe_3), 1.80 (s, 2.0 H, Ga-NMe_3); $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR: 30.7 (s, 0.59), -18.3 (s, 15.8, $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{Ga}(\text{CH}_2\text{CMe}_3)_2\cdot\text{NMe}_3]$, -26.0 (s, 1.0, $(\text{Me}_3\text{CCH}_2)_2\text{GaPPh}_2$)). Additional ^{31}P NMR resonances of very low intensity at 0.5, 27.9, 30.7, 33.2 and 43.3 ppm were observed but could not be identified. The ratio of the intensity of the most intense line at 30.7 ppm relative to the lines at -18.3 ppm and -26.0 ppm was 1.00: 1.7: 26.9, respectively. 5 h at 55 °C, ^1H NMR: 1.05 (s, 2.1 H, CH_2), 1.13 (s, 18 H), 1.23 (s), 1.70 (s, 7.8 H, Cr-NMe_3), 1.80 (s, 2.5 H, Ga-NMe_3). $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR: 30.7 (s, 0.04), -18.3 (s, 22.8, $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{Ga}(\text{CH}_2\text{CMe}_3)_2\cdot\text{NMe}_3]$). The low intensity resonances at 0.5, 27.9 30.7, 33.2 and 43.3 ppm were still present but with little change in intensity from the previous spectrum.

Synthesis of $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{CH}_2\text{Ga}(\text{CH}_2\text{CMe}_3)_2\cdot\text{NMe}_3]$. A flask equipped with a Teflon valve was charged with 0.576 g (1.26 mmol) $(\text{Me}_3\text{CCH}_2)_2\text{GaCH}_2\text{PPh}_2$, 0.456 g (1.82 mmol) $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5\text{NMe}_3$ and 15 mL benzene. The initial mixture, an orange solution with a colorless precipitate, was heated at 60 °C with stirring for 3.5 h to form a clear yellow solution. The benzene was removed by vacuum distillation to leave a light-yellow oil. This oil was heated at 60 °C for 15 h with continuous evacuation and then 30 mL pentane was

added by vacuum distillation. The resulting light-yellow solution was stirred for ~30 min and the pentane was removed by vacuum distillation and discarded. This procedure of adding and removing pentane was repeated 3 times until a pale yellow solid with only a trace of oil remained. Evacuation for another 18 h was followed by the addition of 45 mL pentane and heating with a warm water bath. The resulting mixture was filtered with a coarse frit to remove a colorless precipitate and leave a yellow solution. Cooling of the solution to -25 °C afforded pale yellow crystals of $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{CH}_2\text{Ga}(\text{CH}_2\text{CMe}_3)_2\cdot\text{NMe}_3]$ (0.330 g, 0.498 mmol, 39.4 % yield based on $(\text{Me}_3\text{CCH}_2)_2\text{GaCH}_2\text{PPh}_2$). These crystals were suitable for the X-ray structural study. Mp: 95.0 - 96.5 °C. ^1H NMR (C_6H_6 , δ): 0.55 (s, 4H, $-\text{CH}_2-$), 0.96 (s, 18H, $-\text{CMe}_3$), 1.58 (s, 6H, NMe_3), 1.71 (s, 2H, $-\text{CH}_2\text{P}-$). $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (C_6H_6 , δ): 48.2 (s). Anal. Calcd.: C, 56.21; H, 6.54; P, 4.68. Found: C, 55.87; H, 6.72; P, 4.99. IR (pentane, ν_{CO} , cm^{-1}): 2060 (s), 1989 (sh), 1980 (s), 1969 (vs), 1956 (sh).

Synthesis of $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{CH}_2\text{In}(\text{CH}_2\text{CMe}_3)_2\cdot\text{NMe}_3]$. The synthesis of the compound was achieved by using 0.536 g (1.10 mmol) $(\text{Me}_3\text{CCH}_2)_2\text{InCH}_2\text{PPh}_2$, 0.439 g (1.75 mmol) $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5\text{NMe}_3$ and 20 mL benzene and the procedure described for the previous compound. Pale yellow crystals of $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{CH}_2\text{In}(\text{CH}_2\text{CMe}_3)_2\cdot\text{NMe}_3]$ (0.156g, 0.211 mmol, 19.2% based on $(\text{Me}_3\text{CCH}_2)_2\text{InCH}_2\text{PPh}_2$) were obtained by cooling a saturated pentane solution to -30 °C. Mp: 120 - 122 °C. ^1H NMR (C_6H_6 , δ): 0.64 (s, 4H, $-\text{CH}_2-$), 1.03 (s, 18H, $-\text{CMe}_3$), 1.43 (d, $J = 4.5$ Hz, 2H, $-\text{CH}_2\text{P}-$), 1.68 (s, 9H, NMe_3). In addition there were low intensity lines at 0.86 and 1.26 ppm which are consistent with a pentane impurity but these lines were not conclusively identified. Anal. Calcd.: C, 52.63; H, 6.13.

Found: C, 52.24; H, 5.43. IR (pentane, ν_{CO} , cm^{-1}): 2058 (m), 1990 (w), 1980 (w), 1955 (sh), 1943 (vs), 1935 (vs), 1870 (w, br).

Reaction of $\text{R}_2\text{MCH}_2\text{PPh}_2$ with $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5\text{NMe}_3$ ($\text{M} = \text{Ga}$, $\text{R} = \text{CH}_2\text{CMe}_3$, CH_2SiMe_3 ; $\text{M} = \text{In}$, $\text{R} = \text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3$) as Monitored by ^{31}P NMR Spectroscopy. All reactions were carried out in 10 mm NMR tubes by using the following procedure. Weighed quantities of reactants were added to the tube and then ~ 1.9 mL benzene was added by vacuum distillation. The tube was sealed by fusion and the ^{31}P NMR spectrum recorded after the sample was held at room temperature for the time indicated. $(\text{Me}_3\text{CCH}_2)_2\text{GaCH}_2\text{PPh}_2$ (0.0402 g, 0.0907 mmol), $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5\text{NMe}_3$ (0.0260 g, 0.104 mmol): 20 min: -10.2 (s, $(\text{Me}_3\text{CCH}_2)_2\text{GaCH}_2\text{PPh}_2$); 38 h: -10.1 (s, 4.6, $(\text{Me}_3\text{CCH}_2)_2\text{GaCH}_2\text{PPh}_2$), 48.1 (s, 1.0, $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{CH}_2\text{Ga}(\text{CH}_2\text{CMe}_3)_2\cdot\text{NMe}_3]$). $(\text{Me}_3\text{SiCH}_2)_2\text{GaCH}_2\text{PPh}_2$ (0.0509 g, 0.118 mmol), $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5\text{NMe}_3$ (0.0297 g, 0.118 mmol): 37 h: -10.2 (s, 4.7, $(\text{Me}_3\text{SiCH}_2)_2\text{GaCH}_2\text{PPh}_2$), 46.3 (s, 1.0, $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{CH}_2\text{Ga}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2\cdot\text{NMe}_3]$). $(\text{Me}_3\text{SiCH}_2)_2\text{InCH}_2\text{PPh}_2$ (0.0596 g, 0.122 mmol), $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5\text{NMe}_3$ (0.0319 g, 0.127 mmol): 38 h: -9.8 (s, 8.1, $(\text{Me}_3\text{SiCH}_2)_2\text{InCH}_2\text{PPh}_2$), 47.5 (s, 1.0, $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{CH}_2\text{In}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2\cdot\text{NMe}_3]$).

X-Ray Data Collection, Structure Determination and Refinement for

$\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{CH}_2\text{Ga}(\text{CH}_2\text{CMe}_3)_2\cdot\text{NMe}_3]$. A yellow fragment of a single crystal of the title compound was mounted under argon in a thin walled glass capillary and transferred to the goniometer. The space group was determined to be either the centric $\text{P}\bar{1}$ or acentric $\text{P}1$. The subsequent solution and successful refinement of the structure was carried out in the centric space group $\text{P}\bar{1}$. A summary of data collection parameters is given in Table 2.

Least-squares refinement with isothermal parameters led to $R = 0.095$. The phenyl and methylene hydrogen atoms were placed in calculated positions 0.95\AA from the bonded carbon atoms and allowed to ride on that atom with B fixed at 5.5\AA^2 . The methyl hydrogen atoms were located from a difference Fourier map and included with fixed contributions ($B = 5.5\text{\AA}^2$). Refinement of the nonhydrogen atoms with anisotropic temperature factors led to final values of $R = 0.038$ and $R_w = 0.039$.

Synthesis of $\text{NEt}_4\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{In}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2\text{Cl}]$. A flask was charged with 0.530 g (1.12 mmol) $(\text{Me}_3\text{SiCH}_2)_2\text{InPPh}_2$ and 0.400 g (1.12 mmol) $\text{NEt}_4\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5\text{Cl}^{16}$. After 30 mL benzene was transferred to the flask by vacuum distillation, the contents were warmed to room temperature and a light yellow solution formed within approximately 10 min. The mixture was stirred for 12 h and then the benzene was removed by vacuum distillation to leave a material which was a mixture of a light yellow oil and light yellow solid. This material was washed 4 times with 20 mL pentane. After the pentane was removed by vacuum distillation, the product was evacuated for 1 h to give a light yellow solid, 0.755 g (0.907 mmol, 80.9% yield) $\text{NEt}_4\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{In}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2\text{Cl}]$. Mp: $102 - 105\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ (glass), $110 - 120\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ (becomes translucent), $140 - 160\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ (decomp yellow to red glass). Anal. Calcd.: C, 47.63; H 6.30. Found: C, 47.53; H 6.33. Cryoscopic molecular weight, fw 832 (obsd molality, obsd mol wt, association): 0.0165, 4983, 5.99; 0.0142, 3652, 4.39; 0.0130, 2904, 3.49; 0.0177, 3536, 4.25; 0.0140, 2796, 3.36; 0.0127, 2238, 2.69. ^1H NMR (C_6H_6 , δ): 2.15 (q, 8H, NCH_2), 0.44 (t, 12H, NCH_2CH_3), 0.36 (s, 18 H, SiCH_3), 0.13 (t, 4H, InCH_2). ^{13}C NMR (C_6H_6 , δ): 226.14 (d, $J = 7\text{ Hz}$, trans CO), 220.21 (d, $J = 8.8\text{ Hz}$, cis CO), 54.01 (t, NCH_2CH_3), 8.66 (s, NCH_2CH_3), 4.61 (s, SiCH_3), InCH_2 not observed. ^{31}P NMR (C_6H_6 ,

δ): -18.3 (s). IR (ν_{CO} , THF solution): 2065 (w), 2050 (m), 2035 (w, sh, impurity), 1937 (s, sh), 1927 (vs), 1890 (m, sh).

Reaction of $\text{NEt}_4\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{In}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2\text{Cl}]$ with MeI. A 100 mL flask was charged with 0.555 g (0.667 mmol) $\text{NEt}_4\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{In}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2\text{Cl}]$ and then excess MeI (15mL) was added by vacuum distillation. The resulting solution was stirred for 2.5 h at room temperature and then all volatile components were removed by vacuum distillation to leave a sticky, yellow solid. The sticky nature of this solid made it impossible to weigh the product. Thus, the solid was dissolved in benzene for spectroscopic characterization. ^{31}P NMR (δ , ppm): 36.0 ppm (Lit.¹⁴ $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5\text{PPh}_2\text{Me}$, 35.0 ppm). IR (ν , cm^{-1} , THF): 2064 (m), 2035 (w), 1980 (w), 1947 (s, sh), 1940 (vs) 1885 (w). (Lit.¹⁴ $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5\text{PPh}_2\text{Me}$, 2064 (s), 1981 (vw), 1947 (sh), 1939 (vs) cm^{-1}).

Reaction of $\text{NEt}_4\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{In}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2\text{Cl}]$ with HCl. A flask was charged with 0.833 g (1.00 mmol) $\text{NEt}_4\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{In}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2\text{Cl}]$, and 25 mL of benzene. Then, anhydrous HCl (2.04 mmol) was condensed into the flask by using a liquid N_2 bath. The flask was warmed to room temperature and the resulting solution was stirred for 1/2 h. The SiMe_4 was separated by fractionation through two -78°C traps and collected in a -196°C trap. The amount of SiMe_4 was calculated from PVT measurements (1.64 mmol, 82% based on $\text{NEt}_4\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{In}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2\text{Cl}]$). The remaining solid was extracted 8 times with 20 mL pentane to give 0.260 g $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5\text{PPh}_2\text{H}$ (0.687 mmol, 68.7 % based on $\text{NEt}_4\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{In}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2\text{Cl}]$). Mp: $56 - 59^\circ\text{C}$ (Lit.¹³ $55 - 65^\circ\text{C}$). IR (δ , cm^{-1} , Nujol mull): 2330 (w), 2060 (s), 1982 (m), 1907 (s). (Lit.¹³ Nujol mull): 2325 (w), 2068 (m), 1979 (m), 1937 (vs), 1900 (sh).

Synthesis of $\text{NEt}_4\text{M}_\text{T}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{M}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2\text{Cl}]$ ($\text{M}_\text{T} = \text{Cr, Mo, W}$; $\text{M} = \text{Ga}$; $\text{M}_\text{T} = \text{Mo, W}$; $\text{M} = \text{In}$). All compounds were prepared by using the following procedure. A flask was charged with 1.0 mmol of the transition metal carbonyl chloride, 1.0 mmol of the main-group metal phosphide and 15 mL benzene. A clear solution formed approximately 10 min after the benzene was added to the reactants. After the solution was stirred for 24 h, the benzene was removed by vacuum distillation to leave a thick viscous oil or waxy solid which was resistant to crystallization. Some purification was achieved by dissolving the product in 20 mL of benzene and then adding 10 mL of pentane. This mixture was stirred for 1 h and then allowed to settle into two layers, a dark brown, lower layer and a light yellow upper layer. The light yellow upper layer was removed in the dry box by using a syringe whereas the brown, lower layer was discarded without further study. The solvent was removed by vacuum distillation to leave a viscous oil which turned into a sticky, waxy yellow material after continuous evacuation for 12 h. This product was characterized by IR and ^{31}P NMR spectroscopy. The sticky nature of the products precluded a reliable estimate of mass and percent yield. Attempts to purify these compounds by thin layer or column chromatography resulted in apparent hydrolysis with formation of $\text{M}_\text{T}(\text{CO})_5\text{PPh}_2\text{H}^{13}$ ($\text{M}_\text{T} = \text{Cr, Mo, W}$).

$\text{NEt}_4\text{M}_\text{T}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{M}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2\text{Cl}]$: Cr, Ga. ^{31}P NMR (δ , ppm): -14.9; IR (ν , cm^{-1} , C_6H_6): 2060 (w), 2042 (w), 1940 (m), 1920 (vs), 1890 (s); IR (THF): 2060 (w), 2040 (w), 1940 (m), 1925 (vs), 1905 (s), 1895 (s,sh); Mo, Ga. ^{31}P NMR: -25.8; IR (THF): 2075 (w), 2057 (m), 1950 (m,sh), 1930 (vs), 1893 (s); W, Ga. ^{31}P NMR: -42.4 ($J_{\text{W-P}} = 156 \text{ Hz}$); IR (THF): 2070 (w), 2058 (m), 1940 (vs), 1925 (s,sh), 1908 (s), 1870 (m,sh); Mo, In. ^{31}P NMR: -31.3; IR (THF): 2075 (w), 2060 (m), 1950 (m,sh), 1932 (vs), 1895 (m); In, W. ^{31}P

NMR: -49.0 ($J_{W-P} = 166$ Hz); IR (THF): 2070 (w), 2058 (m), 1940 (m,sh), 1920 (vs), 1890 (m).

Acknowledgements. This work was supported in part by the Office of Naval Research (O. T. B.). The extensive efforts by Professor Melvyn R. Churchill to determine the structure of $\text{NEt}_4\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{In}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2\text{Cl}]$ by X-ray crystallography was greatly appreciated.

Supplementary Material Available. Complete tables of positional parameters, interatomic distances and angles, anisotropic thermal parameters and calculated positions for hydrogen atoms (pages). For ordering information see any current masthead page.

References

1. Tessier-Youngs, C.; Bueno, C.; Beachley, O. T., Jr.; Churchill, M. R. *Inorg. Chem.* **1983**, *22*, 1054.
2. Beachley, O. T., Jr.; Tessier-Youngs, C. *Organometallics* **1983**, *2*, 796.
3. Johnson, A. W.; Larson, W. D.; Dahl, G. H. *Can. J. Chem.* **1963**, *43*, 1338.
4. Beachley, O. T., Jr.; Victoriano, L. *Organometallics* **1988**, *7*, 63.
5. Banks, M. A.; Beachley, O. T., Jr.; Buttrey, L. A.; Churchill, M. R.; Fettingner, J. C. *Organometallics* **1991**, *10*, 1901.
6. Beachley, O. T., Jr.; Kopasz, J. P.; Zhang, H.; Hunter, W. E.; Atwood, J. L. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1987**, *325*, 69.
7. Beachley, O. T., Jr.; Banks, M. A.; Churchill, M. R.; Feighery, W. G.; Fettingner, J. C. *Organometallics* **1991**, *10*, 3036.
8. Plastas, H. J.; Stewart, J. M.; Grim, S. O. *Inorg. Chem.* **1973**, *12*, 265.
9. Tessier-Youngs, C.; Youngs, W.; Beachley, O. T., Jr.; Churchill, M. R. *Organometallics* **1983**, *2*, 1128.
10. Hallock, R. B.; Hunter, W. E.; Atwood, J. L.; Beachley, O. T., Jr. *Organometallics* **1985**, *3*, 547.
11. Krause, H.; Sille, K.; Hausen, H.-D.; Weidlein, J. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1982**, *135*, 253.
12. Atwood, D. A.; Jones, R. A.; Cowley, A. H.; Bott, S. G.; Atwood, J. L. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1992**, *434*, 143.

13. Smith, J. G.; Thompson, D. T. *J. Chem. Soc. A* **1967**, 1694.
14. Grim, S. D.; Wheatland, D. A.; McFarlane, W. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1967**, 89, 5573.
15. Wasserman, H. J.; Wovkulich, M. J.; Atwood, J. D.; Churchill, M. R. *Inorg. Chem.* **1980**, 19, 2831.
16. Abel, W. E.; Butler, I. S.; Reid, J. G. *J. Chem. Soc.* **1963**, 2068.
17. Sheldrick, G. M., SHELX76, a system of computer programs for X-ray structure determination as locally modified (1976).
18. Germain, G.; Main, P.; Woolfson, M. M. *Acta Cryst.* **1971**, A27, 368.

Table 1. Important Interatomic Distances (Å) and Angles (°) for

 $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{CH}_2\text{Ga}(\text{CH}_2\text{CMe}_3)_2]\cdot\text{NMe}_3$.

(A) Bond distances (Å)

Atoms			Distance	Atoms			Distance
Ga	--	N	2.235(3)	Ga	--	C(6)	2.027(3)
Ga	--	C(19)	2.005(3)	Ga	--	C(24)	1.993(3)
Cr	--	P	2.409(1)	Cr	--	C(1)	1.889(4)
Cr	--	C(2)	1.854(4)	Cr	--	C(3)	1.888(5)
Cr	--	C(4)	1.903(4)	Cr	--	C(5)	1.843(4)
P	--	C(6)	1.812(3)	P	--	C(7)	1.815(3)
P	--	C(13)	1.835(3)	O(1)	--	C(1)	1.134(4)
O(2)	--	C(2)	1.150(4)	O(3)	--	C(3)	1.136(5)
O(4)	--	C(4)	1.137(4)	O(5)	--	C(5)	1.147(4)
N	--	C(29)	1.476(5)	N	--	C(30)	1.462(5)
N	--	C(31)	1.462(5)				

(B) Bond angles (°)

Atoms					Angle	Atoms					Angle
N	--	Ga	--	C(6)	95.1(1)	N	--	Ga	--	C(19)	99.3(1)
C(6)	--	Ga	--	C(19)	118.8(1)	N	--	Ga	--	C(24)	100.4(1)
C(6)	--	Ga	--	C(24)	115.2(1)	C(19)	--	Ga	--	C(24)	119.8(1)
P	--	Cr	--	C(1)	90.7(1)	P	--	Cr	--	C(2)	87.5(1)
C(1)	--	Cr	--	C(2)	88.3(2)	P	--	Cr	--	C(3)	88.9(1)
C(1)	--	Cr	--	C(3)	178.0(2)	C(2)	--	Cr	--	C(3)	89.7(2)
P	--	Cr	--	C(4)	93.5(1)	C(1)	--	Cr	--	C(4)	89.4(2)
C(2)	--	Cr	--	C(4)	177.5(2)	C(3)	--	Cr	--	C(4)	92.7(2)
P	--	Cr	--	C(5)	175.9(1)	C(1)	--	Cr	--	C(5)	89.0(2)
C(2)	--	Cr	--	C(5)	88.4(2)	C(3)	--	Cr	--	C(5)	91.4(2)
C(4)	--	Cr	--	C(5)	90.6(2)	Cr	--	P	--	C(6)	113.7(1)
Cr	--	P	--	C(7)	109.1(1)	C(6)	--	P	--	C(7)	106.8(2)
Cr	--	P	--	C(13)	118.6(1)	C(6)	--	P	--	C(13)	104.2(2)
C(7)	--	P	--	C(13)	103.3(2)	Ga	--	N	--	C(29)	112.2(2)
Ga	--	N	--	C(30)	110.5(2)	C(29)	--	N	--	C(30)	108.3(3)
Ga	--	N	--	C(31)	108.7(2)	C(29)	--	N	--	C(31)	108.3(3)
C(30)	--	N	--	C(31)	108.7(3)						
Cr	--	C(2)	--	O(2)	178.6(4)						
Cr	--	C(4)	--	O(4)	176.8(4)						
Ga	--	C(6)	--	P	129.8(2)						
P	--	C(7)	--	C(12)	120.3(3)						

Table 2. Crystal Data and Summary of Intensity Data Collection and Structure Refinement for $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{CH}_2\text{Ga}(\text{CH}_2\text{CMe}_3)_2\cdot\text{NMe}_3]$.


molec. formula	$\text{CrGaPNC}_{31}\text{H}_{43}\text{O}_5$
color/shape	yellow/irregular
molec. wt.	662.4
crystal syst.	triclinic
space group	$\text{P}\bar{1}$
Temp., °C	20
a, Å	10.768(8)
b, Å	10.875(8)
c, Å	15.155(8)
α , deg	90.65(5)
β , deg	104.66(6)
γ , deg	90.38(6)
Å ³	1716.8
Z	2
D_{calc} , cm ⁻¹	1.28
μ_{calc} , cm ⁻¹	11.2
Diffractionmeter/Scan	Enraf-Nonius Cad4/ θ -2 θ
Range of relative transm. factors, %	88/100
Radiation, graphite monochromator	Mo K α (λ = 0.71073)

Table 2. (cont.)

Max. crystal dimensions, mm	$0.30 \times 0.40 \times 0.55$
Reflections measured	6002
2θ range, deg	$2 \leq 2\theta \leq 50$
Range of h, k, l	$+12, \pm 12, \pm 18$
Reflections observed [$F_o \geq 5\sigma(F_o)$]	4638
Computer programs	SHELX ¹⁷
Structure solution	MULTAN ¹⁸
$R = \sum F_o - F_c / \sum F_o $	0.038
R_w	0.039
Largest feature final diff. map	$0.5e^{-\text{\AA}^{-3}}$

Caption to Figure

Figure 1. Molecular geometry of $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5[\text{PPh}_2\text{CH}_2\text{Ga}(\text{CH}_2\text{CMe}_3)_2\cdot\text{NMe}_3]$. ORTEP diagram for non-hydrogen atoms and with hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity.



TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST - GENERAL

Office of Naval Research (1)*
Chemistry Division, ONR 331
800 North Quincy Street
Arlington, Virginia 22217-5660

Dr. Richard W. Drisko (1)
Naval Facilities & Engineering
Service Center
Code L52
Port Hueneme, CA 93043

Defense Technical Information
Center (2)
Building 5, Cameron Station
Alexandria, VA 22314

Dr. Eugene C. Fischer (1)
Code 2840
Naval Surface Warfare Center
Carderock Division Detachment
Annapolis, MD 21402-1198

Dr. James S. Murday (1)
Chemistry Division, Code 6100
Naval Research Laboratory
Washington, D.C. 20375-5320

Dr. Bernard E. Douda (1)
Crane Division
Naval Surface Warfare Center
Crane, Indiana 47522-5000

Dr. John Fischer, Director (1)
Chemistry Division, C0235
Naval Air Weapons Center
Weapons Division
China Lake, CA 93555-6001

Dr. Peter Seligman (1)
Naval Command, Control and
Ocean Surveillance Center
RDT&E Division
San Diego, CA 92152-5000

* Number of copies to forward