

## The Need to Correct the Indication for Liver Resection in Cirrhotic Patients with Hepatocellular Carcinoma: Reply

Kun-Ming Chan · Wei-Chen Lee · Miin-Fu Chen

Published online: 26 April 2012  
© Société Internationale de Chirurgie 2012

We are grateful to Di Carlo et al. [1] for their interest in and comments concerning the need to correct the indication for liver resection (LR) in cirrhotic patients with hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), which we described in our recent article [2]. As we know, LR remains without doubt the main curative treatment for patients with HCC [3], and the criteria for LR proposed by Makuuchi et al. [4] have subsequently been widely utilized for assessing the extent of LR. However, we believe that the majority of centers worldwide might have their own modified criteria for LR based on the frequency of liver surgery at the center and the experience of the surgeons, and also on the facilities available at the respective institute [5–8]. Therefore, Makuuchi's algorithm should, in principle, be considered only a guideline and not applicable to every patient. Each individual should be thoroughly assessed as to whether he/she is suitable to undergo LR for HCC. Theoretically, factors, including the size, number, and location of tumors; hepatic functional reserve; and the patient's physical status, should be taken into consideration when evaluating the suitability of LR.

Indeed, in our study, the patients who died from uncontrollable resistant ascites after LR were mostly those who suffered from postoperative liver failure. Of the 45 patients with uncontrollable resistant ascites, nine had Child class B liver cirrhosis, and 24 patients who had Child-Turcotte-Pugh scores  $\leq 6$  received extensive LR ( $\geq 3$  segments). One might argue that these patients were not

suitable for surgical resection at the initial assessment or that a limited LR would be better for these patients. Nonetheless, numerous patients with similar conditions have recovered very well, and even without postoperative ascites, following LR. Therefore, the contention remains controversial. Recently, Kuroda et al. [9] presented selection criteria for LR in patients with Child class B liver cirrhosis complicated by HCC [9], and the findings of this study are very helpful for dealing with these patients in daily practice. However, our study was conducted on patients who had undergone LR between 2001 and 2005 for which the information of Kuroda et al. has not yet been reported.

We agree with Dr. Di Carlo's comments that the correct indication for LR could prevent the development of postoperative ascites in cirrhotic patients. Indeed, another objective of our study was to risk stratifying patients who may not benefit from LR. Accordingly, patients at high risk of postoperative ascites could be considered for alternative treatment such as local ablation and/or referred for liver transplantation if indicated. Moreover, along with the advancement of treatment modalities and the progress of liver transplantation programs, we have modified our strategy of managing cirrhotic patients with HCC. Currently, HCC patients with Child class B liver cirrhosis are rarely subjected to LR. Instead, locoregional therapies are frequently suggested for those patients at our institute who might be candidates for liver transplantation [10].

With regard to LR, we also agree with the commentator's statement that it is important to determine the exact liver volume that can be removed from a cirrhotic patient. The ICG test proposed by Makuuchi et al. is without doubt a convenient and widely used tool for assessing the extent of LR for cirrhotic patients. Nevertheless, despite the importance of the ICG test and the extent of LR, a successful

---

K.-M. Chan (✉) · W.-C. Lee · M.-F. Chen  
Division of Liver and Organs Transplantation Surgery,  
Department of General Surgery, Chang Gung Memorial Hospital  
at Linkou, Chang Gung University College of Medicine, 5,  
Fu-Hsing Street, Kwei-Shan Township, Taoyuan 33305, Taiwan  
e-mail: chankunming@adm.cgmh.org.tw

outcome of LR may also depend on perioperative management and meticulous surgical technique. Therefore, we should not totally exclude all patients at high risk of postoperative ascites because LR remains the best potential curative therapy available for treating patients with HCC.

## References

1. Di Carlo I, Toro A (2012) Correct indication for surgery can prevent postoperative ascites in cirrhotic patients affected by hepatocellular carcinoma. *World J Surg*. doi:[10.1007/s00268-012-1569-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00268-012-1569-1)
2. Chan KM, Lee CF, Wu TJ et al (2012) Adverse outcomes in patients with postoperative ascites after liver resection for hepatocellular carcinoma. *World J Surg* 36(2):392–400. doi:[10.1007/s00268-011-1367-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00268-011-1367-1)
3. Koniaris LG, Levi DM, Pedroso FE et al (2011) Is surgical resection superior to transplantation in the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma? *Ann Surg* 254(3):527–537
4. Makuuchi M, Kosuge T, Takayama T et al (1993) Surgery for small liver cancers. *Semin Surg Oncol* 9(4):298–304
5. Hemming AW, Gallinger S, Greig PD et al (2001) The hippurate ratio as an indicator of functional hepatic reserve for resection of hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhotic patients. *J Gastrointest Surg* 5(3):316–321
6. Lam CM, Fan ST, Lo CM, Wong J (1999) Major hepatectomy for hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with an unsatisfactory indocyanine green clearance test. *Br J Surg* 86(8):1012–1017
7. Lee CF, Yu MC, Kuo LM et al (2007) Using indocyanine green test to avoid post-hepatectomy liver dysfunction. *Chang Gung Med J* 30(4):333–338
8. Shirabe K, Shimada M, Gion T et al (1999) Postoperative liver failure after major hepatic resection for hepatocellular carcinoma in the modern era with special reference to remnant liver volume. *J Am Coll Surg* 188(3):304–309
9. Kuroda S, Tashiro H, Kobayashi T et al (2011) Selection criteria for hepatectomy in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma classified as Child-Pugh class B. *World J Surg* 35(4):834–841. doi:[10.1007/s00268-010-0929-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00268-010-0929-y)
10. Chan KM, Yu MC, Chou HS et al (2011) Significance of tumor necrosis for outcome of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma receiving locoregional therapy prior to liver transplantation. *Ann Surg Oncol* 18(9):2638–2646