Uncovering New Functions for MicroRNAs in Caenorhabditis elegans

Minireview

Allison L. Abbott

In the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans, microRNA (miRNA) regulation of development was first observed in the striking abnormalities of lin-4 and let-7 loss of function mutants. However, after these first two miRNA mutant phenotypes were described, progress on the identification of miRNA functions in worms slowed considerably. Recent advances reveal new functions for miRNAs in embryonic and larval development as well as in the regulation of lifespan and stress response. Results from a combination of computational, biochemical, and genetic approaches have deepened our understanding of miRNA regulation of target mRNAs and support the hypothesis that miRNAs have an important role in ensuring the robustness of developmental and physiological pathways.

Introduction

In the race to understand microRNA (miRNA) functions in development and physiology, Caenorhabditis elegans investigators were the first out of the gate with the cloning and analysis of the lin-4 and let-7 miRNAs [1,2]. The starting point of strong, penetrant loss of function phenotypes facilitated these advancements. However, subsequent functional analysis of miRNAs in C. elegans was hampered by the lack of easily observable loss-of-function phenotypes [3]. There are several possible models to account for this observation. First, redundancy between related miRNAs can account for the absence of phenotypes in mutants missing individual miRNA genes [4,5]. Second, miRNAs may also function redundantly with unrelated miRNAs or other regulatory mechanisms. Third, identification of miRNA functions may require the analysis of specific cells during development, assays typically not included in initial broad phenotypic analyses. For example, the Isy-6 miRNA is an essential regulator of a chemosensory neuron cell fate in C. elegans [6]. Such a specialized function would not have been identified in broad phenotypic analyses. Finally, miRNAs may act to 'fine-tune' gene expression, to maintain protein levels of targets in an optimal range. Loss of this relatively minor regulatory input by miRNAs would not be expected to result in penetrant, observable defects under normal conditions. Recent work has analyzed the functions of individual miRNAs under conditions of environmental or physiological stress. With these approaches, functions for individual miRNAs, which remain elusive under normal growth conditions, have been uncovered. These stresses can be introduced through genetic mutations, environmental perturbations, or through the normal aging process. These results are consistent with the hypothesis that miRNAs act to ensure the robustness of developmental or physiological pathways [7].

Department of Biological Sciences, Marquette University, Milwaukee, WI 53201, USA.

E-mail: allison.abbott@marquette.edu

Searching for MiRNA Targets

Identification of direct miRNA targets is central to the characterization of miRNA functions. miRNAs largely act to down-regulate protein levels of target mRNAs by acting as guide molecules for the miRISC (miRNA induced silencing complex). miRISC activity promotes translational repression and in many cases destabilization of the target mRNA [8]. In nearly all cases, miRNAs in animals bind imperfectly to their target mRNAs. Typical miRNA-binding sites are located in 3' untranslated regions (UTRs) and have a perfect match to the miRNA seed region, which comprises nucleotides 2-8 of the mature miRNA sequence [9]. miRNAs that have identical seed sequences are grouped into miRNA families (Table 1) and are predicted to regulate a set of shared target mRNAs. A subset of targets display atypical miRNA binding sites, which can be located in the 5' UTR or in the coding region and also can bind imperfectly to the miRNA seed region, often with stronger compensatory binding at the 3' end of the miRNA sequence [9]. Algorithms to predict miRNA targets, such as Targetscan, PicTar, and mirWIP [10-12] have been designed to emphasize specific characteristics of miRNAbinding sites, including perfect 7-8 nucleotide seed pairing, the free energy of the miRNA:mRNA duplex, evolutionary conservation, or target site accessibility. Because of these different emphases, there is only moderate concordance between algorithms and it is likely that all algorithms result in many false positive predictions. In addition, most target prediction algorithms would fail to identify atypical binding sites that may be nevertheless biologically relevant. The variation observed in the small number of miRNA targets that have been shown to be biologically relevant precludes making a defined set of rules whereby miRNAs can recognize target mRNAs [13]. Heikkinen et al. [14] recently reported a machine learning-based method to create a 'self-organizing map' using C. elegans experimentally validated target 3' UTRs. While this approach successfully identifies verified targets and fails to identify verified false targets, it is unclear whether it is able to accurately identify novel, biologically relevant target mRNAs.

Recently, several groups have employed biochemical approaches to identify target mRNAs in *C. elegans*. Immunoprecipitation of miRISC protein components along with their associated RNAs, including a *C. elegans* GW182 ortholog, AIN-1, and a miRNA pathway Argonaute protein, ALG-1, has generated lists of mRNAs that are likely enriched in miRNA targets [15–17]. In addition, a targeted proteomics method (selected reaction monitoring) to measure protein levels of individual candidate miRNA targets has identified novel targets for *let-7* and *mir-58* [18]. Data from biochemical approaches will lead to further optimization of algorithms to predict miRNA targets and will facilitate identification and validation of biologically relevant miRNA targets.

Despite the central importance of the 3' UTRs for post-transcriptional regulation by miRNAs as well as other regulatory RNAs or proteins, until recently most genes in *C. elegans* lacked annotated 3' UTRs. This gap has now been corrected by using biochemical cloning and high throughput sequencing to define the 3' UTRs of *C. elegans* mRNAs

Table 1. Summary of functions identified for miRNAs in C. elegans.

MiRNA gene/family	Seed sequence	Observed function	Target	References
lin-4	CCCUGAG	Developmental timing, lifespan,	lin-14, lin-28	[24,31–34]
		HSN axon growth		
let-7, mir-48, -84, -241	GAGGUAG	Developmental timing,	daf-12, hbl-1, let-60, lin-41	[2,4,35-38]
		vulval cell fate specification		
lsy-6	UUUGUAU	ASEL/R neuron fate specification	cog-1	[6]
mir-1	GGAAUGU	Synaptic transmission	unc-29, unc-63, mef-2	[39]
mir-34	GGCAGUG	DNA damage response		[28]
mir-35, -36, -37, -38, -39, -40, -41, -42	CACCGGG	Embryogenesis		[5]
mir-51, -52, -53, -54, -55, -56	ACCCGUA	Embryogenesis, pharynx attachment, developmental timing	cdh-3	[5,21,23]
mir-57	ACCCUGU	Posterior cell fate specification	nob-1	[30]
mir-58	GAGAUCG	Locomotion, body size, egg laying,	1100-1	[50] [5]
	GAGAUCG	dauer entry		[9]
mir-59	CGAAUCG	Gonad migration, embryogenesis,		[23]
		adult viability		
mir-80, -81, -82	GAGAUCA	Locomotion, body size, egg laying,		[5]
		dauer entry		
mir-71	GAAAGAC	Lifespan		[26]
mir-77	UCAUCAG	Embryogenesis		[23]
mir-83	AGCACCA	Gonad migration		[23]
mir-124	AAGGCAC	Gonad migration		[23]
mir-228	AUGGCAC	Developmental timing,		[23]
		embryogenesis		
mir-235	AUUGCAC	Adult viability		[23]
mir-238, -239a/b	UUGUACU	Developmental timing, lifespan		[23,26]
mir-240	ACUGGCC	Defecation cycling, fertility		[23]
mir-244	CUUUGGU	Developmental timing		[23]
mir-246	UACAUGU	Embryogenesis, lifespan		[23,26]
mir-247	GACUAGA	Gonad migration		[23]
mir-259	AAUCUCA	Developmental timing,		[23]
		gonad migration		
mir-786	AAUGCCC	Defecation cycling, fertility		[3,23]
mir-797	AUCACAG	Gonad migration		[23]

[19,20]. Interestingly, in both 3' UTR datasets from Jan et al. [19] and Mangone et al. [20] many genes (31–43%) were found to have alternative, shorter isoforms from proximal cleavage sites or from alternative 3' exons. This indicates that the ability of a miRNA to bind to a particular target mRNA can be developmentally regulated through splicing or cleavage and polyadenylation, thus adding an additional layer of complexity to miRNA regulation of mRNA targets.

Digging Deeper to Find Elusive MiRNA Functions in Worms

Whereas most loss of function mutants for individual miRNAs do not present easily detectable abnormalities in worms [3], compound mutants that are missing multiple miRNA family members can display penetrant developmental phenotypes [4,5]. A summary of functions identified in worms through analysis of loss of function miRNA mutants is presented in Table 1. Loss of most or all of the mir-35 or mir-51 family members results in embryonic or larval lethality, while loss of mir-58 miRNA family members results in a pleiotropic phenotype with observed defects in locomotion, body size, egg laying, and dauer larva formation [5]. For the compound mutants generated for the remaining twelve miRNA families, no defects in development or viability were observed. In further analysis of the compound mutants missing the mir-51 family member miRNAs, Shaw et al. [21] found that the observed failure of pharynx attachment was likely due, in part, to the regulation of the cadherin ortholog cdh-3. In many cases, family members likely function redundantly to regulate overlapping sets of targets, since

expression of any miRNA family member can rescue compound mutant phenotypes. While this rescue is observed for the let-7 [22], mir-35 [5] and mir-51 [5,21] families of miRNAs, it is likely that the let-7 family members have both overlapping and non-overlapping targets since mir-48, mir-84, and mir-241 do not repress the activity of the let-7 target, lin-41 [4]. Redundancy between miRNA family members accounts for some, but not all, of the scarcity of loss of function phenotypes for single gene miRNA mutants. To address whether miRNAs function with unrelated miRNAs or with other gene regulatory mechanisms, loss of miRNAencoding genes were examined in a sensitized genetic background in which all miRNAs are lower or in which chromatin modification or transcriptional regulation is perturbed. Using this approach, mutant phenotypes were detected for 25 out of 31 miRNAs studied [23]. Thus, in many cases the phenotypic consequences of loss of a single miRNA gene may only be evident in conditions where other regulatory mechanisms are compromised.

MiRNAs Function to Regulate Lifespan and Stress Response

Cellular and genetic regulatory mechanisms can be compromised by conditions of environmental or physiological stress. Recent functional analysis indicates that certain miRNAs regulate lifespan in *C. elegans*. A major pathway that functions to regulate lifespan in worms is the insulin/insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1) pathway, which has been shown to be regulated by the *lin-4* miRNA [24]. *lin-4* mutants display a shortened lifespan with accelerated aging

and increased sensitivity to stresses such as heat shock. In contrast, loss of the lin-4 target, lin-14, is associated with lengthened lifespan and delayed aging [24]. Interestingly, lin-4 is not the only miRNA that regulates aging. Using microarrays, 50 out of 114 miRNAs analyzed were found to change in expression level during C. elegans aging [25]. The majority of these miRNAs decrease in expression, suggesting that loss of miRNA regulation may promote the observed cell and tissue declines, such as the loss of muscle integrity. Recently, it has been demonstrated that loss of function mutants in four individual miRNAs that show significant changes in expression during aging also display lifespan defects [26]. In this study, deep sequencing was used to profile small RNAs during worm aging, allowing the authors to analyze all miRNAs and to discover novel miRNAs: 7 miRNAs were found to be strongly upregulated (2.2- to 5.9fold change) during aging while 23 were found to be strongly downregulated. (2.1- to 7.4-fold change). Of those miRNAs that showed strong upregulation, loss of function mutations in these genes demonstrated that four displayed lifespan defects (mir-71, mir-238, mir-239, and mir-246). It is noteworthy that while mir-71, mir-238, and mir-246 mutants displayed decreased lifespans, mir-239 mutant worms showed a significant extension in lifespan. In addition, mir-71, mir-238, and mir-246 mutants showed reduced stress resistance while mir-239 mutants showed enhanced resistance. Thus, regulation of gene expression by miRNAs during aging is complex, with miRNAs showing opposing functions.

Starting with a similar approach of profiling miRNA expression levels, Karp et al. [27] identified 14 individual miRNAs that showed differences in expression between worms that developed continuously, under optimal conditions and worms that went through the dauer developmental program. Worms enter the dauer stage after the L2 stage in response to unfavorable conditions, such as crowding or starvation. When conditions again become favorable, worms exit the dauer stage, complete the L3 and L4 stages of larval development, and become adults. Interestingly, two miRNA genes, mir-34 and mir-71, shown to be significantly upregulated during aging [26], are also upregulated in response to development through the dauer pathway [27], indicating a more general role for these miRNAs in the worm's response to physiological and environmental stress. In addition, mir-34 mutants display sensitivity to the stress of exposure to radiation [28]. The critical next step will be to further characterize the pathways and direct mRNA targets regulated by miRNAs in aging and stress response in worms.

MiRNAs Function to Regulate Embryonic and Larval Development

Although the initial characterization of a set of worms carrying deletion alleles covering 87 miRNA genes did not identify mutant phenotypes for the majority of individual miRNA genes [3], it is important to note that in many cases identification of mutant phenotypes will depend upon more specific, focused analysis. For example, miR-124 has been shown to fine-tune gene expression in ciliated neurons [29]. Using FACS sorting of *mir-124*-expressing cells and transcriptome analysis of wild-type and *mir-124* mutant worms, Clark *et al.* [29] described a set of genes that are likely directly regulated by miR-124. Further analysis of this set of miR-124 regulated genes will elucidate how miR-124 functions to regulate the cell fate specification or the physiology of ciliated neurons. Also, Zhao *et al.* [30] recently

described a function for miR-57 by first determining that this miRNA is specifically expressed in the posterior of the worm during embryogenesis and early larval development. Because mir-57 shows a posterior-specific expression pattern, the authors then focused their analysis on mir-57 loss-of-function mutants and were able to identify a weakly penetrant developmental defect: upon growth at an elevated temperature (26°C) about 12% of the mutants die during embryonic or larval development and 32% are sterile. Most significantly, a small percentage of worms (2.7%) display tail abnormalities that are consistent with defects in posterior cell fate specification. In an effort to induce a more penetrant phenotype, the authors overexpressed mir-57 and indeed found more penetrant posterior cell fate defects. About 30% of mir-57-overexpressing worms display a Nob or Vab phenotype with abnormal bulges observed near the tail. Using a candidate approach the authors identified the posterior Hox gene nob-1 as both an upstream regulator of mir-57 expression as well as a downstream target for miR-57 repression. Thus, mir-57 and nob-1 are in a negative feedback loop that likely functions in the robust execution of posterior cell fate specification. In addition, this experimental approach of using a combination of expression analysis, the sensitized conditions of elevated temperature, overexpression of miRNA genes, and focused analysis on specific cell fates is a model that is sure to be effective in the future characterization of miRNA functions in worms.

Summary

Defects associated with the loss of 44 miRNAs have now been described in *C. elegans*. This represents 28% of the 159 confidently identified miRNAs encoded in the *C. elegans* genome [19]. While this represents a significant leap forward in our understanding of miRNA biology, many challenges remain. One such challenge is to identify the pathways and direct targets that are misregulated in these miRNA mutants. In addition, we have little to no information about the function of newly identified miRNAs. For the many miRNAs for which functions remain unknown, exposure to genetic or environmental stresses will facilitate the identification of new miRNA-dependent pathways. Genetic analysis of miRNAs in *C. elegans* and other model organisms will continue to uncover new functions for miRNAs in the regulation of development and physiology.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Benedict J. Kemp and John L. Brenner for helpful discussions. This work was supported by a grant from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (NIGMS) at the NIH, GM084451.

References

- Lee, R.C., Feinbaum, R.L., and Ambros, V. (1993). The C. elegans heterochronic gene lin-4 encodes small RNAs with antisense complementarity to lin-14. Cell 75, 843–854.
- Reinhart, B.J., Slack, F.J., Basson, M., Pasquinelli, A.E., Bettinger, J.C., Rougvie, A.E., Horvitz, H.R., and Ruvkun, G. (2000). The 21-nucleotide let-7 RNA regulates developmental timing in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Nature 403, 901–906.
- Miska, E.A., Alvarez-Saavedra, E., Abbott, A.L., Lau, N.C., Hellman, A.B., McGonagle, S.M., Bartel, D.P., Ambros, V.R., and Horvitz, H.R. (2007). Most Caenorhabditis elegans microRNAs are individually not essential for development or viability. PLoS Genet. 3, e215.
- Abbott, A.L., Alvarez-Saavedra, E., Miska, E.A., Lau, N.C., Bartel, D.P., Horvitz, H.R., and Ambros, V. (2005). The let-7 microRNA family members mir-48, mir-84, and mir-241 function together to regulate developmental timing in Caenorhabditis elegans. Dev. Cell 9, 403–414.
- Alvarez-Saavedra, E., and Horvitz, H.R. (2010). Many families of C. elegans microRNAs are not essential for development or viability. Curr. Biol. 20, 367–373.

- Johnston, R.J., and Hobert, O. (2003). A microRNA controlling left/right neuronal asymmetry in Caenorhabditis elegans. Nature 426, 845–849.
- Hornstein, E., and Shomron, N. (2006). Canalization of development by microRNAs. Nat. Gen. 38, S20–24.
- Djuranovic, S., Nahvi, A., and Green, R. (2011). A parsimonious model for gene regulation by miRNAs. Science 331, 550–553.
- Bartel, D.P. (2009). MicroRNAs: target recognition and regulatory functions. Cell 136. 215–233.
- Hammell, M., Long, D., Zhang, L., Lee, A., Carmack, C.S., Han, M., Ding, Y., and Ambros, V. (2008). mirWIP: microRNA target prediction based on micro-RNA-containing ribonucleoprotein-enriched transcripts. Nat. Methods 5, 813–819.
- Lall, S., Grün, D., Krek, A., Chen, K., Wang, Y.-L., Dewey, C.N., Sood, P., Colombo, T., Bray, N., Macmenamin, P., et al. (2006). A genome-wide map of conserved microRNA targets in C. elegans. Curr. Biol. 16, 460–471.
- Ruby, J.G., Jan, C., Player, C., Axtell, M.J., Lee, W., Nusbaum, C., Ge, H., and Bartel, D.P. (2006). Large-scale sequencing reveals 21U-RNAs and additional microRNAs and endogenous siRNAs in C. elegans. Cell 127, 1193–1207.
- Thomas, M., Lieberman, J., and Lal, A. (2010). Desperately seeking microRNA targets. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 17, 1169–1174.
- Heikkinen, L., Kolehmainen, M., and Wong, G. (2011). Prediction of microRNA targets in Caenorhabditis elegans using a self-organizing map. Bioinformatics 27, 1247–1254.
- Zhang, L., Ding, L., Cheung, T.H., Dong, M.Q., Chen, J., Sewell, A.K., Liu, X., Yates, J.R., and Han, M. (2007). Systematic identification of C. elegans miRISC proteins, miRNAs, and mRNA targets by their interactions with GW182 proteins AlN-1 and AlN-2. Mol. Cell 28, 598–613.
- Zhang, L., Hammell, M., Kudlow, B.A., Ambros, V., and Han, M. (2009).
 Systematic analysis of dynamic miRNA-target interactions during C. elegans development. Development 136, 3043–3055.
- Zisoulis, D.G., Lovci, M.T., Wilbert, M.L., Hutt, K.R., Liang, T.Y., Pasquinelli, A.E., and Yeo, G.W. (2010). Comprehensive discovery of endogenous Argonaute binding sites in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 17. 173–179.
- Jovanovic, M., Reiter, L., Picotti, P., Lange, V., Bogan, E., Hurschler, B.A., Blenkiron, C., Lehrbach, N.J., Ding, X.C., Weiss, M., et al. (2010). A quantitative targeted proteomics approach to validate predicted microRNA targets in C. elegans. Nat. Methods 7, 837–842.
- Jan, C.H., Friedman, R.C., Ruby, J.G., and Bartel, D.P. (2011). Formation, regulation and evolution of *Caenorhabditis elegans* 3' UTRs. Nature 469, 97–101.
- Mangone, M., Manoharan, A.P., Thierry-Mieg, D., Thierry-Mieg, J., Han, T., Mackowiak, S.D., Mis, E., Zegar, C., Gutwein, M.R., Khivansara, V., et al. (2010). The landscape of C. elegans 3' UTRs. Science 329, 432–435.
- Shaw, W.R., Armisen, J., Lehrbach, N.J., and Miska, E.A. (2010). The conserved miR-51 microRNA family is redundantly required for embryonic development and pharynx attachment in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Genetics 185, 897–905
- Hayes, G.D., Frand, A.R., and Ruvkun, G. (2006). The mir-84 and let-7 paralogous microRNA genes of Caenorhabditis elegans direct the cessation of molting via the conserved nuclear hormone receptors NHR-23 and NHR-25. Development 133, 4631–4641.
- Brenner, J.L., Jasiewicz, K.L., Fahley, A.F., Kemp, B.J., and Abbott, A.L. (2010). Loss of individual microRNAs causes mutant phenotypes in sensitized genetic backgrounds in C. elegans. Curr. Biol. 20, 1321–1325.
- Boehm, M., and Slack, F. (2005). A developmental timing microRNA and its target regulate life span in C. elegans. Science 310, 1954–1957.
- Ibáñez-Ventoso, C., Yang, M., Guo, S., Robins, H., Padgett, R.W., and Driscoll, M. (2006). Modulated microRNA expression during adult lifespan in Caenorhabditis elegans. Aging Cell 5, 235–246.
- de Lencastre, A., Pincus, Z., Zhou, K., Kato, M., Lee, S.S., and Slack, F.J. (2010). MicroRNAs both promote and antagonize longevity in *C. elegans*. Curr. Biol. 20, 2159–2168.
- Karp, X., Hammell, M., Ow, M.C., and Ambros, V. (2011). Effect of life history on microRNA expression during C. elegans development. RNA 17, 639–651.
- Kato, M., Paranjape, T., Ullrich, R., Nallur, S., Gillespie, E., Keane, K., Esquela-Kerscher, A., Weidhaas, J.B., and Slack, F. (2009). The mir-34 microRNA is required for the DNA damage response in vivo in C. elegans and in vitro in human breast cancer cells. Oncogene, 2419–2424.
- Clark, A.M., Goldstein, L.D., Tevlin, M., Tavaré, S., Shaham, S., and Miska, E.A. (2010). The microRNA miR-124 controls gene expression in the sensory nervous system of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Nucleic. Acids Res. 38, 3780– 3793.
- Zhao, Z., Boyle, T.J., Liu, Z., Murray, J.I., Wood, W.B., and Waterston, R.H. (2010). A negative regulatory loop between microRNA and Hox gene controls posterior identities in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. PLoS Genet. 6, e1001089.
- Ambros, V. (1989). A hierarchy of regulatory genes controls a larva-to-adult developmental switch in C. elegans. Cell 57, 49–57.
- Moss, E.G., Lee, R.C., and Ambros, V. (1997). The cold shock domain protein LIN-28 controls developmental timing in *C. elegans* and is regulated by the lin-4 RNA. Cell 88, 637–646.

- Olsson-Carter, K., and Slack, F.J. (2010). A developmental timing switch promotes axon outgrowth independent of known guidance receptors. PLoS Genet. 6, e1001054.
- Wightman, B., Ha, I., and Ruvkun, G. (1993). Posttranscriptional regulation of the heterochronic gene lin-14 by lin-4 mediates temporal pattern formation in C. elegans. Cell 75, 855–862.
- Abrahante, J.E., Daul, A.L., Li, M., Volk, M.L., Tennessen, J.M., Miller, E.A., and Rougvie, A.E. (2003). The Caenorhabditis elegans hunchback-like gene lin-57/hbl-1 controls developmental time and is regulated by micro-RNAs. Dev. Cell 4. 625–637.
- Grosshans, H., Johnson, T., Reinert, K.L., Gerstein, M., and Slack, F.J. (2005). The temporal patterning microRNA let-7 regulates several transcription factors at the larval to adult transition in C. elegans. Dev. Cell 8, 321–330.
- Johnson, S.M., Grosshans, H., Shingara, J., Byrom, M., Jarvis, R., Cheng, A., Labourier, E., Reinert, K.L., Brown, D., and Slack, F.J. (2005). RAS is regulated by the *let-7* microRNA family. Cell *120*, 635–647.
- Lin, S.-Y., Johnson, S.M., Abraham, M., Vella, M.C., Pasquinelli, A., Gamberi, C., Gottlieb, E., and Slack, F.J. (2003). The C. elegans hunchback homolog, hbl-1, controls temporal patterning and is a probable microRNA target. Dev. Cell 4, 639–650.
- Simon, D.J., Madison, J.M., Conery, A.L., Thompson-Peer, K.L., Soskis, M., Ruvkun, G.B., Kaplan, J.M., and Kim, J.K. (2008). The microRNA miR-1 regulates a MEF-2-dependent retrograde signal at neuromuscular junctions. Cell 133, 903-915.