

In the domain  $Q = \{(x, t, \tau): x \in \mathbb{R}^n, x_j > 0, j = 1, \dots, n, t \in \mathbb{R}^m, t_j > 0, j = 1, \dots, m, \tau \in \mathbb{R}^p\}$  we consider the equation

$$\sum_{j=1}^m u_{t_j} + \sum_{j=1}^n u_{\tau_j} - \sum_{j=1}^n u_{x_j x_j}. \quad (1)$$

We consider the problem of restoring in  $Q$  the solution of Eq. (1), satisfying the conditions

$$u|_{t_j=0} = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, m. \quad (2)$$

if the values of the solution for  $x_j = a_j, a_j \geq 0, \sum_{j=1}^n a_j > 0, j = 1, \dots, n$ , are known:

$$u|_{x_j=a_j} = f_j(x^{(j)}, t, \tau), \quad x^{(j)} = (x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n). \quad (3)$$

As it is known (see [1-4]), problems of this type are ill-posed in the sense that the smallness of  $u|_{x_q=a_q}, a_q > 0, 1 \leq q \leq n$ , in some norm does not imply always the smallness of  $u(x, t, \tau)$  for  $0 \leq x_q < a_q$  in the same norm.

Assuming that the solution of Eq. (1) under the conditions (2) and (3) exists and belongs to a definite class, one has, firstly, to obtain a theorem characterizing the conditional stability and, secondly, to construct an approximate solution from the values of the solution at  $x_j = a_j, j = 1, \dots, n$ , known with a certain error.

By virtue of Holmgren's theorem, problem (1)-(3) has at most one solution in the class of bounded functions.

We rewrite Eq. (1) in the form

$$\partial_l u = \sum_{j=1}^n u_{x_j x_j}, \quad (4)$$

where  $\partial_l u = \sum_{j=1}^m u_{t_j} + \sum_{j=1}^n u_{\tau_j}$ . By a solution of this equation we shall mean a function having

second-order derivatives with respect to  $x_j, j = 1, \dots, n$ , and first-order derivative in the direction of the vector  $l = (1, \dots, 1)$  at each point of the domain  $Q$ , and satisfying Eq. (4). We denote  $R_j^{n-1} = \{(x^{(j)}): x^{(j)} = (x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n)\}, j = 1, \dots, n$ . For  $j = 1, \dots, n$  we extend the function  $u(x, t, \tau)|_{x_j=0}$  by zero into the space  $R_j^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{m+p} = \{(x^{(j)}, t, \tau): x^{(j)} \in R_j^{n-1}, t \in \mathbb{R}^m, \tau \in \mathbb{R}^p\}$ . We have the following representation of the solution of Eq. (4) under the condition (2):

$$u(x, t, \tau) = \sum_{j=1}^n u_j(x, t, \tau),$$

where  $u_j(x, t, \tau) = \frac{x_j}{(4\pi)^{n/2}} \int_0^{t_1} \int_{R_j^{n-1}} (t_1 - \eta)^{-n/2-1} \exp\left(-\frac{x_j^2 + |x^{(j)} - \zeta^{(j)}|^2}{4(t_1 - \eta)}\right) \varphi_j(\zeta^{(j)}, \eta, t^{(1)} - t_1 + \eta, \tau - t_1 + \eta) d\zeta^{(j)} d\eta,$

$\varphi_j(x^{(j)}, t, \tau) = u(x, t, \tau)|_{x_j=0}, x_q \geq 0, q = 1, \dots, j-1, j+1, \dots, n, \varphi_j(x^{(j)}, t, \tau)$  is even with respect to each of the components  $x^{(j)}$  relative to zero,  $t^{(1)} - t_1 + \eta = (t_2 - t_1 + \eta, \dots, t_m - t_1 + \eta), \tau - t_1 + \eta = (\tau_1 - t_1 + \eta, \dots, \tau_p - t_1 + \eta)$ .

We note that  $u_j(x, t, \tau) \rightarrow 0$  for  $x_q \rightarrow 0, q = 1, \dots, j-1, j+1, \dots, n, u_{x_j} = u_j x_j$  for  $x_j = 0, j = 1, \dots, n$ .

We obtain estimates for the conditional stability of the solution of the problem (2)-(4). Let  $\delta$  be a parameter, defining uniquely a line  $l$ , parallel to the vector  $l$ . We denote

$$\|u\|_{L_2}^2(x_j, \delta) = \int_0^\infty \underbrace{\int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty}_{n-1} |u(x, t, \tau)|^2 dx^{(j)} dt. \quad (5)$$

**LEMMA.** Assume that

$$\|u_j\|_{L_2}(x_j, \delta) < \varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon > 0, \quad a_j > 0, \quad (6)$$

$$\|u_{x_j}\|_{L_2}(x_j = 0, \delta) < E, \quad E > 0 \quad (7)$$

hold uniformly relative to  $\delta$  (or lines  $\ell$ ). Then

$$\|u_j\|_{L_2}(x_j, \delta) \leq (\sqrt{2} E)^{1-x_j/a_j} \varepsilon^{x_j/a_j} \left(a_j^{-1} \ln \frac{2E^2}{\varepsilon^2}\right)^{-1+x_j/a_j} (1 + o(1)) \quad (8)$$

for  $\varepsilon/E \rightarrow 0, 0 < x_j < a_j$ ,

$$\|u\|_{L_2}(x_j = 0, \delta) \leq \sqrt{2} a_j E \left(\ln \frac{a_j E/\varepsilon}{\ln \sqrt{2} E/\varepsilon}\right)^{-1} \left(1 + o\left(\left(\ln \frac{\sqrt{2} E/\varepsilon}{\ln \sqrt{2} E/\varepsilon}\right)^{-1}\right)\right) \quad (9)$$

for  $\varepsilon/E \rightarrow 0$ ; there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{(x^{(j)}, t, \tau)} |u_j(x, t, \tau)| \leq C \left(-a_j^{-1} \ln \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2} E a_j} \ln \frac{2E^2}{\varepsilon^2}\right)\right)^{n+1} \left(a_j^{-1} \ln \frac{2E^2}{\varepsilon^2}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2} E a_j} \ln \frac{2E^2}{\varepsilon^2}\right)^{\frac{x_j}{a_j} + \left(\ln \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{E a_j \sqrt{2}} \ln \frac{2E^2}{\varepsilon^2}\right)\right)^{-1}} (1 + o(1)) \quad (10)$$

for  $\varepsilon/E \rightarrow 0, x_j > -a_j \left(\ln \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2} E a_j} \ln \frac{2E^2}{\varepsilon^2}\right)\right)^{-1}$ .

The estimates (8) and (9) are uniform relative to  $\delta$  (or lines  $\ell$ ).

**Proof.** For each fixed parameter  $\delta$ , we can assume that  $u_j(x, t, \tau)$  depends only on  $x$  and  $t_1$ . Let  $\hat{u}_j(x_j, \xi^{(j)}, s, \delta)$  be the Fourier transform of the function  $u_j(x, t, \tau)$  with respect to  $x^{(j)}$  and  $t_1$  for a fixed  $\delta$ . By the theorem on the convolution of two functions, from (5) we obtain

$$\hat{u}_j(x_j, \xi^{(j)}, s, \delta) = \exp(-x_j \sqrt{|\xi^{(j)}|^2 - is}) \hat{\varphi}_j(\xi^{(j)}, s, \delta),$$

where  $\exp(-x_j \sqrt{|\xi^{(j)}|^2 - is}) = \exp\left(-\frac{x_j}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{|\xi^{(j)}|^2 + \sqrt{|\xi^{(j)}|^4 + s^2}} + ix_j s \left(2|\xi^{(j)}|^2 + 2\sqrt{|\xi^{(j)}|^4 + s^2}\right)^{-1/2}\right)$ ,

$i$  is the imaginary unit. According to Parseval's equality, we have

$$\|u_{x_j}\|_{L_2}^2(x_j = 0, \delta) = 2^{1-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|\xi^{(j)}|^4 + s^2)^{1/2} |\hat{\varphi}_j(\xi^{(j)}, s, \delta)|^2 d\xi^{(j)} ds,$$

$$\|u_j\|_{L_2}^2(x_j, \delta) = 2^{1-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left(-x_j \sqrt{2|\xi^{(j)}|^2 + 2\sqrt{|\xi^{(j)}|^4 + s^2}}\right) |\hat{\varphi}_j(\xi^{(j)}, s, \delta)|^2 d\xi^{(j)} ds.$$

In the sequel we need a function of the form (see [1, p. 13])

$$\mu = \Phi(r^{-2} \exp(-br)) = r^{-2} \exp(-b_1 r), \quad 0 < b < b_1,$$

which has the following properties:

1)  $\Phi(\lambda)/\lambda$  increases monotonically with respect to  $\lambda$ ,

2)  $\mu = \Phi(\lambda)$  is a convex function,

3)  $\lambda = \Phi^{-1}(\mu) = \mu^{b/b_1} \left(b_1^{-1} \ln \frac{1}{\mu}\right)^{2(-1+b/b_1)} (1 + o(1))$  when  $\mu \rightarrow 0$ .

We denote  $r = \sqrt{2|\xi^{(j)}|^2 + 2\sqrt{|\xi^{(j)}|^4 + s^2}}$ ,  $b = \sqrt{2} x_j$ ,  $b_1 = \sqrt{2} a_j$ ,  $\lambda = r^{-2} \exp(-br)$ ,  $\mu = r^{-2} \exp(-b_1 r)$ . Then

$$\|u_j\|_{L_2}^2(x_j, \delta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-br) |\hat{\varphi}_j(\xi^{(j)}, s, \delta)|^2 d\xi^{(j)} ds.$$

By virtue of Jensen's inequality and condition (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi \left( \|u_j\|_{L_2}^2(x_j, \delta) \right) / \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} r^2 |\widehat{\varphi}_j(\xi^{(j)}, s, \delta)|^2 d\xi^{(j)} ds &\leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \Phi(\lambda) r^2 |\widehat{\varphi}_j(\xi^{(j)}, s, \delta)|^2 d\xi^{(j)} ds / \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} r^2 |\widehat{\varphi}_j(\xi^{(j)}, s, \delta)|^2 d\xi^{(j)} ds = \\ &= \|u_j\|_{L_2}^2(a_j, \delta) / \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} r^2 |\widehat{\varphi}_j(\xi^{(j)}, s, \delta)|^2 d\xi^{(j)} ds \leq \varepsilon^2 / \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} r^2 |\widehat{\varphi}_j(\xi^{(j)}, s, \delta)|^2 d\xi^{(j)} ds. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

From (7) there follows the estimate

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} r^2 |\widehat{\varphi}_j(\xi^{(j)}, s, \delta)|^2 d\xi^{(j)} ds < 2E^2.$$

Then from the properties 1, 3 of the function  $\mu = \Phi(\lambda)$  and the inequality (11) it is easy to derive the desired estimate (8). The estimate (9) is proved in a similar manner. In this case one makes use of a function of the form (see [1, p. 8])  $\mu = \Phi(\lambda) = \lambda \exp(-b_1 \sqrt{2/\lambda})$ ,  $b_1 > 0$ . The estimate (10) is a consequence of the estimate (8).

With the aid of the lemma one proves the following

**THEOREM.** Let  $u(x, t, \tau)|_{x_j=0} \geq 0$ ,  $\|u\|_{L_2}(a_j, \delta) < \varepsilon$ ,  $\|u_{x_j}\|_{L_2}(x_j = 0, \delta) < E$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $E > 0$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , uniformly with respect to  $\delta$ . Then

$$\|u\|_{L_2}(x_j, \delta) \leq (\sqrt{2}E)^{1-\frac{x_j}{a_j}} \varepsilon^{\frac{x_j}{a_j}} \left( a_j^{-1} \ln \frac{2E^2}{\varepsilon^2} \right)^{-1+\frac{x_j}{a_j}} (1 + o(1))$$

for  $\varepsilon/E \rightarrow 0$ ,  $0 < x_j < a_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ ,

$$\|u\|_{L_2}(x_j = 0, \delta) \leq \sqrt{2} a_j E \left( \ln \frac{a_j E/\varepsilon}{\ln \frac{\sqrt{2}E}{\varepsilon}} \right)^{-1} \left( 1 + o \left( \left( \ln \frac{\sqrt{2}E/\varepsilon}{\ln \frac{\sqrt{2}E}{\varepsilon}} \right)^{-1} \right) \right)$$

for  $\varepsilon/E \rightarrow 0$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ ; there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{(x^{(j)}, t, \tau)} |u(x, t, \tau)| \leq C \left( -a_j^{-1} \ln \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2}E a_j} \ln \frac{2E^2}{\varepsilon^2} \right) \right)^{n+1} \left( a_j^{-1} \ln \frac{2E^2}{\varepsilon^2} \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2}E a_j} \ln \frac{2E^2}{\varepsilon^2} \right)^{\frac{x_j}{a_j} + \left( \ln \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2}E a_j} \ln \frac{2E^2}{\varepsilon^2} \right) \right)^{-1}} (1 + o(1))$$

for  $\varepsilon/E \rightarrow 0$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $x_j > -a_j \left( \ln \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2}E a_j} \ln \frac{2E^2}{\varepsilon^2} \right) \right)^{-1}$ . (The estimates are uniform with respect to  $\delta$ .)

We give an explicit representation of an approximate solution  $u(x, t, \tau)$  of the problem (2)-(4) in the case when  $a_j = 0$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k-1, k+1, \dots, n$ ,  $a_k > 0$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . Assume that with some error  $\varepsilon > 0$  we know the values  $f_j(x^{(j)}, t, \tau)$  of the exact solution  $u_T(x, t, \tau)$  for  $x_j = a_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ :

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty |u_T(x, t, \tau)|_{x_j=a_j} - f_j(x^{(j)}, t, \tau)|^2 dx^{(j)} d\tau < \varepsilon^2, \quad \varepsilon > 0$$

uniformly with respect to the lines  $\ell$ . We extend  $f_j(x^{(j)}, t, \tau)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $x_q$ ,  $q = 1, \dots, j-1, j+1, \dots, n$ , in an odd manner with respect to  $x_q = 0$ , and by zero with respect to  $t_q$ ,  $q = 1, \dots, m$ , in  $\mathbf{R}_j^{n-1} \times \mathbf{R}^{m+p}$ . We construct the solution in the form

$$u(x, t, \tau) = \sum_{j=1}^n u_j(x, t, \tau),$$

$$u_j(x, t, \tau) = \frac{x_j}{(4\pi)^{n/2}} \int_0^{t_1} \int_{\mathbf{R}_j^{n-1}} (t_1 - \eta)^{-\frac{n}{2}-1} \exp \left( -\frac{x_j^2 + |x^{(j)} - \xi^{(j)}|^2}{4(t_1 - \eta)} \right) f_j(\xi^{(j)}, \eta, t^{(1)} - t_1 + \eta, \tau - t_1 + \eta) d\xi^{(j)} d\eta$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, k-1, k+1, \dots, n$ ,

$$u_k(x, t, \tau) = \frac{x_k}{(4\pi)^{n/2}} \int_0^{t_1} \int_{\mathbf{R}_k^{n-1}} (t_1 - \eta)^{-\frac{n}{2}-1} \exp \left( -\frac{x_k^2 + |x^{(k)} - \xi^{(k)}|^2}{4(t_1 - \eta)} \right) \psi_k(\xi^{(k)}, \eta, t^{(1)} - t_1 + \eta, \tau - t_1 + \eta) d\xi^{(k)} d\eta,$$

where  $\psi_k(x^{(k)}, t, \tau)$  has to be determined. We set  $\Phi_k(x^{(k)}, t, \tau) = f_k(x^{(k)}, t, \tau) - \sum_{j=k}^n u_j(x, t, \tau)|_{x_k=a_k}$ . Assume that the inequality

$$\frac{2^{1-n}}{E^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{V|\xi^{(k)}|^4 + s^2 |\Phi_k(\xi^{(k)}, s, \delta)|^2 d\xi^{(k)} ds}{\exp(-a_k \sqrt{2|\xi^{(k)}|^2 + 2\sqrt{|\xi^{(k)}|^4 + s^2}}) + \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{E}\right)^2 \sqrt{|\xi^{(k)}|^4 + s^2}} < 1$$

is satisfied uniformly with respect to  $\delta$ . By the least square method we construct

$$\psi_k(x^{(k)}, t, \tau) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\Phi_k(\xi^{(k)}, s, \delta) \exp(-a_k \sqrt{2|\xi^{(k)}|^2 + 2\sqrt{|\xi^{(k)}|^4 + s^2}} - i(\xi^{(k)}, x^{(k)}) - it_1 s) d\xi^{(k)} ds}{\exp(-a_k \sqrt{2|\xi^{(k)}|^2 + 2\sqrt{|\xi^{(k)}|^4 + s^2}}) + \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{E}\right)^2 \sqrt{|\xi^{(k)}|^4 + s^2}}.$$

It is easy to show that  $u(x, t, \tau) = \sum_{j=1}^n u_j(x, t, \tau)$  satisfies Eq. (1), condition (2) and

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty |u_T(x, t, \tau) - u(x, t, \tau)|^2 dx^{(j)} dt < C(\varepsilon), \quad C(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0, \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0,$$

$j = 1, \dots, n.$

#### LITERATURE CITED

1. G. Talenti and S. Vessella, "A note on an ill-posed problem for the heat equation," Preprint Instituto Matematico Ulisse Dini, No. 4, Firenze (1980/81).
2. A. N. Tikhonov and V. B. Glasko, "On the question of the methods of determining the surface temperatures of a body," Zh. Vychisl. Mat. Mat. Fiz., 7, No. 4, 910-914 (1967).
3. V. B. Glasko, M. V. Zakharov, and A. Ya. Kolp, "On the application of the regularization method to the solving of a certain inverse problem of the nonlinear theory of heat conduction," Zh. Vychisl. Mat. Mat. Fiz., 15, No. 6, 1607-1611 (1975).
4. L. G. Gomboev, "On an ill-posed problem for a degenerate parabolic equation," in: Some Problems of Analysis and Algebra [in Russian], Nauka, Novosibirsk (1985), pp. 38-45.

#### CARLEMAN'S FORMULA FOR FUNCTIONS OF MATRICES

G. Khudaiberganov

UDC 517.55

In the theory of functions of one complex variable, the classical Carleman formula [1] and its generalization obtained in [2, 3]

$$f(z) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_M \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi - z} \left[ \frac{\varphi(\xi)}{\varphi(z)} \right]^m d\xi \quad (1)$$

are well known; here  $f(z)$  is a function of the Hardy class  $H^1(D)$ ,  $D$  is a bounded, simply connected domain in  $C^1$  with a piecewise smooth boundary  $\partial D$ , the set  $M \subset \partial D$  has positive one-dimensional Lebesgue measure,  $\varphi(z) = \exp \psi(z)$ , while  $\psi(z)$  is holomorphic in  $D$  and is constructed with the aid of the solution of an appropriate Dirichlet problem for a harmonic function [2].

Multidimensional analogues of formula (1) are given in [4] (also there see the reference regarding this topic).

In this paper we obtain an analogue of formula (1) for functions of matrices.

Let  $\tau_0 = \{w \in C^{n \times n} : ww^* < I\}$  be the "generalized unit circle," where  $w$  is a square  $n \times n$  matrix,  $w^* = \bar{w}^T$ ,  $I$  is the identity matrix, and the notation  $ww^* < I$  means that the Hermitian matrix  $I - ww^*$  is positive definite.

We denote by  $G \subset C^{n \times n}$  a bounded set of  $n \times n$  matrices  $w$ . Then, by Gershgorin's theorem [5], there exists some bounded, simply connected domain  $D \subset C^1$  with a piecewise smooth boundary, containing all the eigenvalues of  $w \in G$ .

Tashkent. Translated from Sibirskii Matematicheskii Zhurnal, Vol. 29, No. 1, pp. 207-208, January-February, 1988. Original article submitted March 3, 1986.