

Asymmetric Formation of Quaternary Carbon Centers Catalyzed by Novel Chiral 2,5-Dialkyl-7-phenyl-7-phosphabicyclo[2.2.1]heptanes

Zhaogen Chen, Guoxin Zhu, Qiongzong Jiang,
Dengming Xiao, Ping Cao, and Xumu Zhang*

Department of Chemistry, 152 Davey Laboratory,
The Pennsylvania State University,
University Park, Pennsylvania 16802

Received December 1, 1997

Many important biologically active compounds contain quaternary carbon centers. Efficient asymmetric syntheses of these compounds represent a significant challenge in organic chemistry.¹ Some catalytic synthetic methods directed toward this problem include proline-catalyzed aldol reactions,² Michael additions,³ alkylations with phase-transfer catalysts,⁴ palladium-catalyzed allylations,⁵ Heck reactions,⁶ Diels–Alder reactions,⁷ and cyclopropanations.⁸

Recently, we prepared a new class of chiral monophosphines, 2,5-dialkyl-7-phenyl-7-phosphabicyclo[2.2.1]heptanes (**1**, **2**, Figure 1). High enantioselectivities (>90% ee) have been obtained for Pd-catalyzed allylic alkylations⁹ and phosphine-catalyzed [3 + 2] cycloadditions¹⁰ using these species. The success of asymmetric phosphine-catalyzed [3 + 2] annulation between 2,3-butadienoates and electron-deficient olefins has prompted us to look at other phosphine-catalyzed reactions.¹¹ One such reaction, discovered by Trost, is the phosphine-catalyzed C–C bond formation at the γ -position of




Figure 1. Chiral phosphines.

2-butynoates with malonate-type nucleophiles (Scheme 1).¹² The potential for asymmetric synthesis of quaternary carbon centers based on this transformation seemed to us to be an attractive synthetic strategy.

In this phosphine-catalyzed C–C bond-forming reaction, generation of electrophilic character at the γ -carbon of 2-butynoates creates a regiochemical complement to the Michael addition. The mechanistic rationale proposed by Trost is illustrated in Scheme 2. The first intermediate **7** comes from Michael addition of PPh₃ to ethyl 2-butynoate. Proton transfer within **7** generates **8**. Deprotonation of a pronucleophile by **8** produces a vinylphosphonium species **9** and the anionic nucleophile. Nucleophilic addition of this species to **9** leads to **10**. Facile proton transfer then affords **11** with subsequent elimination of PPh₃ to give the final γ -addition products.

Since some aspects of this γ -addition process are similar to the phosphine-catalyzed [3 + 2] cycloaddition discovered by Lu,¹³ which we previously developed as an asymmetric reaction, we investigated the asymmetric version of this reaction using chiral phosphabicyclo[2.2.1]heptanes **1** and **2** as catalysts (Table 1). Under conditions similar to those cited by Trost, moderate enantioselectivities (42–68% ee, Table 1, entries 1–4) have been obtained between ethyl 2-butynoate and several pronucleophiles with **1** as the catalyst; however, these reactions do not proceed at room temperature.



Because the nucleophilic addition of a phosphine to ethyl 2,3-butadienoate readily gives the intermediate **8** (Scheme 2), Lu et al.¹⁴ have demonstrated that the C–C bond formation can be effected under mild conditions using ethyl 2,3-butadienoate instead of 2-butynoate. Using this alternative electrophile, we have studied the γ -addition reaction under various conditions by changing catalysts, additives, and substrates. Table 2 lists the results of this asymmetric reaction with several chiral phosphines (**1**–**6**). The new phosphines **1** and **2** (Table 2, entries 1–2) are more selective and active catalysts than the previously reported chiral phosphines **4**–**6** (Table 2, entries 4–6). Compared to the conformationally rigid dimethyl phosphabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane **1** (Table 2, entry 1, 74% ee), the corresponding five-membered ring phosphacycle **4**¹⁵ gives much lower enantioselectivity

- (1) For a recent review, see: Fuji, K. *Chem. Rev.* **1993**, 93, 2037.
- (2) (a) Eder, U.; Sauer, G.; Wiechert, R. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1971**, 10, 496. (b) Agami, C.; Levisalles, J.; Puchot, C. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1985**, 441.
- (3) (a) Hermann, K.; Wynberg, H. *J. Org. Chem.* **1979**, 44, 2238. (b) Cram, D. J.; Sogah, G. D. Y. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1981**, 625. (c) Brunner, H.; Hammer, B. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1984**, 23, 312. (d) Sawamura, M.; Hamashima, H.; Ito, Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, 114, 8295.
- (4) (a) Dolling, U.-H.; Davis, P.; Grabowski, E. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1984**, 106, 446. (b) Hughes, D. L.; Dolling, U.-H.; Ryan, K. M.; Schoenewaldt, E. F.; Grabowski, E. J. *J. Org. Chem.* **1987**, 52, 4745. (c) Conn, R. S. E.; Lovell, A. V.; Karady, S.; Weinstock, L. M. *J. Org. Chem.* **1986**, 51, 4710.
- (5) (a) Hayashi, T.; Kanehira, K.; Hagihara, T.; Kumada, M. *J. Org. Chem.* **1988**, 53, 113. (b) Sawamura, M.; Nagata, H.; Sakamoto, H.; Ito, Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, 114, 2586. (c) Trost, B. M.; Radinov, R.; Grenzer, E. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, 119, 7879.
- (6) (a) Sato, Y.; Sodeoka, M.; Shibasaki, M. *J. Org. Chem.* **1989**, 54, 4738. (b) Kagechika, K.; Shibasaki, M. *J. Org. Chem.* **1991**, 56, 4093. (c) Carpenter, N. E.; Kucera, D. J.; Overman, L. E. *J. Org. Chem.* **1989**, 54, 5836. (d) Ashimori, A.; Overman, L. E. *J. Org. Chem.* **1992**, 57, 4571.
- (7) Maruoka, K.; Yamamoto, H. in *Catalytic Asymmetric Synthesis*; Ojima, I., Ed.; VCH: New York, 1993; Chapter 9, p 413.
- (8) Doyle, M. P. In *Catalytic Asymmetric Synthesis*; Ojima, I., Ed.; VCH: New York, 1993; Chapter 3, p 63.
- (9) Chen, Z.; Jiang, Q.; Zhu, G.; Xiao, D.; Cao, P.; Guo, C.; Zhang, X. *J. Org. Chem.* **1997**, 62, 4521.
- (10) Zhu, G.; Chen, Z.; Jiang, Q.; Xiao, D.; Cao, P.; Zhang, X. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, 119, 3836.
- (11) (a) Xu, Z.; Lu, X. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, 38, 3461. (b) Rafel, S.; Leahy, J. *J. Org. Chem.* **1997**, 62, 1521. (c) Nozaki, K.; Sato, N.; Ikeda, K.; Takaya, H. *J. Org. Chem.* **1996**, 61, 4516. (d) Vedejs, E.; Dangulis, O.; Diver, S. T. *J. Org. Chem.* **1996**, 61, 430. (e) Trost, B. M.; Li, C.-J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, 116, 10819. (f) Hanamoto, T.; Baba, Y.; Inanaga, J. *J. Org. Chem.* **1993**, 58, 299. (g) Roth, F.; Hygax, P.; Frater, G. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, 33, 1045.

(12) Trost, B. M.; Li, C.-J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, 116, 3167.

(13) Zhang, C.; Lu, X. *J. Org. Chem.* **1995**, 60, 2906.

(14) Zhang, C.; Lu, X. *Synlett* **1995**, 645.

Scheme 1**Scheme 2****Table 1. Phosphine-Catalyzed Asymmetric γ -Addition^a**

$\text{EtOOC}-\text{C} \equiv \text{C}-\text{H} \xrightarrow[\text{1 in PhCH}_3]{\text{Nu-H, NaOAc + HOAc}} \text{EtOOC}-\text{C} = \text{C}-\text{Nu}$						
Entry	Substrate (NuH)	T (°C)	Time (h)	Yield (%)	ee % ^b	Product
1		80	16	76	59 (-)	(12)
2		50	72	57	68 (-)	(12)
3		110	50	44	51 (+)	(13)
4		110	18	93	43 (-)	(14)

a: The reaction was carried out under N₂ with chiral phosphine **1** (30 mol%), NaOAc (50 mol%), acetic acid (50 mol%), ethyl 2-butynoate (100 mol%) and Nu-H (100 mol%). b: % ee was measured by GC with a γ -Dex column.


(Table 2, entry 4, 8% ee). This result is similar to that observed in the asymmetric phosphine-catalyzed [3 + 2] cycloaddition.¹⁰ To rigidify the conformationally flexible five-membered ring in **4**, we have also synthesized a new chiral phosphacycle **3**, which has three fused five-membered rings. This phosphine was made from (1*R*,1'*R*)-bicyclopentyl-(2*S*,2'*S*)-diol¹⁶ previously reported by us as shown in Figure 2. Moderate enantioselectivity has been obtained with phosphine **3** (Table 2, entry 3). Our study demonstrates that phosphine **1** is one of the most effective catalysts for the asymmetric γ -addition reaction. A

rationale for the asymmetric C–C forming reaction is not available.

Additives and phosphine stoichiometry play important roles in this C–C bond-forming reaction. Table 3 summarizes some experimental results with **1** as the catalyst. Higher catalyst loading accelerates the reaction (Table 3, entries 1, 2, and 4) with a slight loss of enantioselectivity. At lower temperatures (Table 3, entry 3, 0 °C, 81% ee), the reaction proceeds with higher enantioselectivity and much lower reaction rate than at room temperature. The catalytic reaction occurs much faster in the absence of acetic acid–sodium acetate (Table 3, entry 6) or in the presence of sodium acetate only (Table 3, entry 5). However, the enantioselectivities are significantly lower than those obtained with acetic acid–sodium acetate as



(15) Burk, M. J.; Feaster, J. E.; Hawlow, R. L. *Organometallics* **1990**, 9, 2653.

(16) Zhu, G.; Cao, P.; Jiang, Q.; Zhang, X. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, 119, 1799.

Table 2. Asymmetric γ -Addition Catalyzed by Various Chiral Phosphines^a


entry	phosphine	time	yield (%)	ee ^b (%)	rotation
1	1	27 h	76	74	—
2	2	27 h	80	69	—
3	3	18	71	35	+
4	4	4 d	58	8	—
5	5	5 d	66	20	+
6	6	>10 d	46	20	+

^a The reaction was carried out under N₂ at rt in PhCH₃ with chiral phosphines (**1–6**) (10 mol %), NaOAc (50 mol %), AcOH (50 mol %), ethyl 2,3-butadienoate (100 mol %), and 2-methoxycarbonyl cyclopentanone (100 mol %). ^b % ee was measured by GC with a γ -Dex column.


**Figure 2.** Synthesis of chiral phosphine **3**.**Table 3.** Effect of Additives to the Phosphine-Catalyzed Asymmetric γ -Addition^a


entry	1 (mol %)	NaOAc (mol %)	HOAc (mol %)	T (°C)	time	yield (%)	ee ^b (%)
1	35	50	50	rt	10 h	79	72
2	10	50	50	rt	27 h	76	74
3	10	50	50	0	10 d	67	81
4	5	50	50	rt	5 d	84	75
5	10	100	0	rt	5 h	84	54
6	10	0	0	rt	7 h	82	54
7	10	0	100	rt		NR	

^a The reaction was carried out under N₂ with chiral phosphine **1**, ethyl 2,3-butadienoate (100 mol %), and 2-methoxycarbonyl cyclopentanone (100 mol %). ^b % ee was measured by GC with a γ -Dex column.

additives. In the presence of acetic acid, the reaction does not occur because the carbon nucleophile cannot be generated.

Since an enolate nucleophile is the putative intermediate in this asymmetric reaction, we have studied the effects of different counteranions (Table 4). While enantioselectivity with various cations remains at the same level (Table 4, entries 1–5), the reactivity with Na⁺, K⁺, and Cs⁺ are significantly higher than with Li⁺ and NH₄⁺. Overall, the reaction with Na⁺ gives higher activity and selectivity (Table 4, entry 1). Under the optimized conditions with phosphine **1**, several different substrates have been tested for asymmetric C–C bond formation (Table 5). Changing the ester groups in the 2,3-butadienoates has no significant influence on the enantioselectivities (Table 5, entries 1–3). However, varying enantioselectivities have been observed with different pronucleophile structures. Lower enantioselectivities were obtained by replacing an ester group with a ketone (Table 5, entry 4 vs entry 2), or by substituting a cyclic ketone for a lactone (Table 5, entry 5 vs entry 4).

Table 4. Effect of Counter Cations to the Phosphine-Catalyzed Asymmetric γ -Addition^a


entry	MOAc	time	yield (%)	ee ^b (%)
1	LiOAc	10 d	67	72 (–)
2	NaOAc	27 h	76	74 (–)
3	KOAc	20 h	82	67 (–)
4	CsOAc	20 h	84	70 (–)
5	NH ₄ OAc	7 d	62	70 (–)

^a The reaction was carried out under N₂ at rt with chiral phosphines **1** (10 mol %), MOAc (50 mol %), AcOH (50 mol %), ethyl 2,3-butadienoate (100 mol %), and 2-methoxycarbonyl cyclopentanone (100 mol %). ^b % ee was measured by GC with γ -Dex column.

Moderate enantioselectivities have been obtained with a six-membered ring pronucleophile (Table 5, entry 6, 41% ee) and an acyclic pronucleophile (Table 5, entry 7, 45% ee).

In summary, we have developed new chiral phosphines as catalysts for the asymmetric C–C bond formation of quaternary carbon centers. Moderate to good enantioselectivities have been obtained with a variety of substrates. The present work provides potentially useful addition to phosphine-catalyzed asymmetric organic reactions.

Experimental Section

All reactions and manipulations were performed in a nitrogen-filled glovebox or using standard Schlenk techniques. Toluene was distilled from sodium benzophenone ketyl under nitrogen. Column chromatography was performed using EM silica gel 60(230–400 mesh). ¹H, ¹³C, and ³¹P NMR were recorded using 300 or 360 MHz NMR spectrometers. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm downfield from TMS with the solvent resonance as the internal standard. GC analyses were done using chiral capillary columns (Supelco γ -Dex 225 or β -Dex 120).

(1*R*,1'*R*)-Bicyclopentyl-(2*S*,2'*S*)-diol Bis(methanesulfonate). To a solution of (1*R*,1'*R*)-bicyclopentyl-(2*S*,2'*S*)-diol¹⁶ (0.8 g, 4.65 mmol) and triethylamine (1.68 mL, 12.09 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL) was added dropwise a solution of methanesulfonyl chloride (0.76 mL, 9.92 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL) at 0 °C. After 30 min at 0 °C, the reaction mixture was stirred for an additional 2 h at room temperature and then quenched by saturated aqueous ammonium chloride solution (25 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 20 mL), and the combined organic solution was dried over Na₂SO₄. After evaporation of the solvent, a white solid was obtained that was used directly for the next step: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 200 MHz) δ 5.01 (m, 2 H), 3.04 (s, 6 H), 2.17 (m, 2 H), 2.15–1.65 (m, 10 H), 1.43–1.52 (m, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 90 MHz) δ 86.8, 48.2, 38.4, 32.8, 27.4, 22.5.

3-BH₃. To phenylphosphine (0.39 mL, 3.55 mmol) in THF (50 mL) was added *n*-BuLi (4.9 mL of a 1.6 M solution in hexane, 7.8 mmol) via syringe at 0 °C over 2 min. The orange solution was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 1 h. To the resulting orange-yellow suspension was added a solution of (1*R*,1'*R*)-bicyclopentyl-(2*S*,2'*S*)-diol bis(methanesulfonate) (1.16 g, 3.55 mmol) in THF (30 mL) over 3 min. After the mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature, the pale-yellow suspension was hydrolyzed with saturated NH₄Cl solution. The mixture was extracted with ether (2 × 50 mL), and the combined organic extract was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and evaporated. The residue was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL) and treated with BH₃·THF (10 mL of a 1.0 M solution in THF, 10 mmol), and the mixture was stirred overnight. Workup required addition of saturated NH₄Cl solution and extraction with CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried over

Table 5. Chiral Phosphine-Catalyzed Asymmetric γ -Addition^a

$\text{ROOC}-\text{C}(\text{H})=\text{C}(\text{H})-\text{C}(\text{H})=\text{C}(\text{H})-\text{COOR} + \text{NuH} \xrightarrow[\text{1 in PhCH}_3]{\text{NaOAc} + \text{HOAc, rt}} \text{Nu}-\text{CH}_2-\text{CH}=\text{CH}-\text{COOEt}$					
Entry	NuH	R	Yield (%)	ee % ^b	Product ^c
1		Me	80 ^d	73 (-)	(15)
2		Et	76	74 (-)	(12)
3		<i>t</i> -Bu	74	75 (-)	(16)
4		Et	67	56 (+)	(17)
5		Et	83	48 (+)	(14)
6		Et	31	41 (-)	(18)
7		Me	47	45 (+)	(19)

a: The reaction was carried out under N₂ at rt. with chiral phosphines **1** (10 mol %), NaOAc (50 mol %), AcOH (50 mol %), 2,3-butadienoate (100 mol %), Nu-H (100 mol %). b: % ee was measured by GC with γ -dex, β -dex columns. c: All compounds have been fully characterized spectroscopically and their elemental composition established by high resolution mass spectrometry. d: The reaction was carried out at 0 °C.

anhydrous Na₂SO₄, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was subjected to silica gel chromatography, eluting with hexanes/CH₂Cl₂ (3:1) to afford the product as a white solid: yield 0.35 g (38%); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz) δ 7.80–7.65 (m, 2 H), 7.55–7.35 (m, 3 H), 3.00–2.10 (m, 4 H), 2.00–1.30 (m, 12 H), 1.30–0.20 (m, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 90 MHz) δ 132.2 (d, ²J(PC) = 8.0 Hz), 130.8 (d, ⁴J(PC) = 2.3 Hz), 129.3 (d, ¹J(PC) = 45.2 Hz), 128.6 (d, ³J(PC) = 9.2 Hz), 53.5 (d, ²J(PC) = 5.1 Hz), 52.6 (d, ²J(PC) = 6.0 Hz), 45.3 (d, ¹J(PC) = 35.9 Hz), 41.0 (d, ¹J(PC) = 37.1 Hz), 32.3 (d, ²J(PC) = 5.1 Hz), 32.0 (d, ²J(PC) = 6.6 Hz), 28.1 (d, ³J(PC) = 5.0 Hz), 27.8 (d, ³J(PC) = 4.8 Hz), 26.1 (d, ³J(PC) = 6.7 Hz), 25.8 (d, ³J(PC) = 6.0 Hz); ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃, 146 MHz) δ 48.1 (q, br, ¹J(PB) = 53 Hz).

Preparation of Compound 3. To a solution of **3**-BH₃ (0.293 g, 1.34 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (8 mL) was added tetrafluoroboric acid–dimethyl ether complex (0.69 mL, 5.69 mmol) dropwise via syringe at –5 °C. After the addition, the reaction mixture was allowed to warm slowly to room temperature and was stirred for 20 h. When ³¹P NMR showed the reaction was complete, the mixture was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ and neutralized with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ solution, and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂. The combined organic solution was washed with brine and water and then dried over Na₂SO₄. Evaporation of the solvent gave a pure phosphine product **3**: yield 0.256 g (92%); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz) δ 7.46–7.42 (m, 2 H), 7.35–7.26 (m, 3 H), 2.93–2.77 (m, 2 H), 2.50–2.40 (m, 2 H), 2.09–2.01 (m, 1 H), 1.87–1.42 (m, 10 H), 1.28–1.19 (m, 1 H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 90 MHz) δ 139.3 (d, ¹J(PC) = 23.8 Hz), 132 (d, ²J(PC) = 17.1 Hz), 127.9 (d, ³J(PC) = 5.3 Hz), 127.4, 54.5 (d, ²J(PC) = 2.0 Hz), 53.3, 44.9 (d, ²J(PC) = 13.4 Hz), 44.1 (d, ²J(PC) = 6.6 Hz), 32.5 (m), 32.2, 31.9, 29.1 (d, ²J(PC) = 5.2 Hz), 26.1, 25.6 (d, ²J(PC) = 7.9 Hz); ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃, 146 MHz) δ 16.3.

Typical Experimental Procedure for the γ -Addition Reaction. A mixture of ethyl 2,3-butadienoate (56 mg, 0.5

mmol), 2-methoxycarbonyl cyclopentanone (74 mg, 0.5 mmol), chiral phosphine **1** (0.1M in toluene, 0.5 mL, 0.05 mmol), acetic acid (15 mg, 0.25 mmol), and sodium acetate (20 mg, 0.25 mmol) in 5 mL of toluene was stirred at room temperature under nitrogen. The reaction was monitored by TLC. After the reaction was complete, enantioselectivity was determined by GC using a chiral capillary column [Supelco γ -Dex-225 (0.25 mm \times 30 m)]. The solvent was removed, and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel to give 97 mg of 2-(methoxycarbonyl)-2-[3'-(ethoxycarbonyl)-2'(*E*)-propen-1'-yl]-cyclopentanone (76% yield, 74% ee).

2-(Methoxycarbonyl)-2-[3'-(ethoxycarbonyl)-2'(*E*)-propen-1'-yl]cyclopentanone (12): ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz) δ 6.77 (dt, *J* = 7.66, 15.53 Hz, 1H), 5.85 (dt, *J* = 1.35, 15.54 Hz, 1H), 4.15 (q, *J* = 7.13 Hz, 2H), 3.7 (s, 3H), 2.84–2.78 (m, 1H), 2.48–2.39 (m, 3H), 2.28–2.21 (m, 1H), 2.01–1.87 (m, 3H), 1.26 (t, *J* = 7.13 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 213.5, 170.8, 165.8, 142.8, 125.2, 60.3, 59.4, 52.7, 37.7, 35.9, 32.4, 19.5, 14.2; HRMS calcd for C₁₃H₁₈O₅ 254.1154 (M⁺), found 254.1152.

2-(Ethoxycarbonyl)-2-[3'-(ethoxycarbonyl)-2'(*E*)-propen-1'-yl]cyclohexanone (13): ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz) δ 6.83 (dt, *J* = 7.7, 15.6 Hz, 1 H), 5.79 (dt, *J* = 1.4, 15.60 Hz, 1 H), 4.08 (m, 4 H), 2.72–2.65 (m, 1 H), 2.49–2.41 (m, 4 H), 2.09–2.01 (m, 1 H), 1.74–1.51 (m, 3 H), 1.49–1.42 (m, 1 H), 1.27–1.20 (m, 6 H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 90 MHz) δ 206.6, 170.9, 165.8, 143.4, 124.6, 61.5, 60.6, 60.2, 40.9, 37.5, 36.0, 27.3, 22.4, 14.2, 14.0; HRMS calcd for C₁₅H₂₂O₅ 282.1467 (M⁺), found: 282.1460.

2-Acetyl-2-[3'-(ethoxycarbonyl)-2'(*E*)-propen-1'-yl]- γ -butyrolactone (14): ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz) δ 6.65 (dt, *J* = 7.4, 15.5 Hz, 1 H), 5.89 (dt, *J* = 1.4, 15.5 Hz, 1 H), 4.31–4.25 (m, 1 H), 4.20–4.11 (m, 3 H), 2.90–2.82 (m, 2 H), 2.75–2.69 (m, 1 H), 2.30 (s, 3 H), 2.11–2.02 (m, 1 H), 1.24 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 90 MHz) δ 201.2, 174.5, 165.3, 140.5, 126.1, 66.3, 60.6, 60.5, 36.8, 29.0, 25.6, 14.1; HRMS calcd for C₁₂H₁₆O₅ 240.0998 (M⁺), found 240.0999.

2-(Methoxycarbonyl)-2-[3'-(methoxycarbonyl)-2'(*E*)-propen-1'-yl]cyclopentanone (15): ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 360 MHz) δ 6.80 (dt, $J = 7.6$, 15.6 Hz, 1 H), 5.88 (dt, $J = 1.3$, 15.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.72 (s, 6 H), 2.86–2.79 (m, 1 H), 2.51–2.41 (m, 3 H), 2.31–2.23 (m, 1 H), 2.09–1.88 (m, 3 H); ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 90 MHz) δ 202.9, 160.2, 155.7, 132.7, 114.1, 48.9, 42.1, 40.9, 27.2, 25.4, 21.9, 8.9; HRMS calcd for $\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_{16}\text{O}_5$ 240.0998 (M^+), found 240.0987.

2-(Methoxycarbonyl)-2-[3'-(*tert*-butoxycarbonyl)-2'(*E*)-propen-1'-yl]cyclopentanone (16): ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 360 MHz) δ 6.68 (dt, $J = 7.6$, 15.5 Hz, 1 H), 5.80 (dt, $J = 1.3$, 15.5 Hz, 1 H), 3.71 (s, 3 H), 2.84–2.78 (m, 1 H), 2.50–2.39 (m, 3 H), 2.29–2.20 (m, 1 H), 2.05–1.90 (m, 3 H), 1.31 (s, 9 H); ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 90 MHz) δ 213.8, 170.9, 165.3, 141.6, 126.9, 80.5, 59.5, 52.8, 37.8, 35.8, 32.3, 28.1, 19.5; HRMS calcd for $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{22}\text{O}_5$ 209.0814 ($\text{M}^+ - \text{O}-t\text{-Bu}$), found 209.0853.

2-Acetyl-2-[3'-(ethoxycarbonyl)-2'(*E*)-propen-1'-yl]cyclopentanone (17): ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 360 MHz) δ 6.61 (dt, $J = 7.7$, 15.5 Hz, 1 H), 5.80 (dt, $J = 1.4$, 15.5 Hz, 1 H), 4.10 (q, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 2 H), 2.78–2.72 (m, 1 H), 2.60–2.57 (m, 1 H), 2.51–2.48 (m, 1 H), 2.29–2.13 (m, 2 H), 2.08 (s, 3 H), 1.89–1.82 (m, 2 H), 1.73–1.67 (m, 1 H), 1.20 (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 3 H); ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 90 MHz) δ 214.6, 202.8, 165.5, 142.1, 125.0, 67.6, 60.3, 38.1, 36.8, 30.4, 26.0, 19.2, 14.0; HRMS calcd for $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{18}\text{O}_4$ 238.1205 (M^+), found: 238.1207.

2-Nitro-2-[3'-(ethoxycarbonyl)-2'(*E*)-propen-1'-yl]cyclohexanone (18): ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 360 MHz) δ 6.77 (dt, $J = 7.7$, 15.6 Hz, 1 H), 5.86 (dt, $J = 1.3$, 15.5 Hz, 1 H), 4.16 (q, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 2 H), 2.97–2.74 (m, 3 H), 2.61–2.57 (m, 2 H), 2.06–2.03 (m, 1 H), 1.83–1.67 (m, 4 H), 1.26 (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 3 H); ^{13}C NMR

(CDCl_3 , 90 MHz) δ 199.4, 165.3, 139.3, 126.7, 95.9, 60.5, 39.6, 37.9, 36.2, 26.6, 21.2, 14.2; HRMS calcd for $\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_{17}\text{NO}_5$: 255.1107 (M^+), found 255.1094.

Methyl 5-(ethoxycarbonyl)-5-cyano-2(*E*)-hexenoate (19): ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 360 MHz) δ 6.83 (dt, $J = 9.2$, 18.7 Hz, 1 H), 5.95 (dt, $J = 1.4$, 18.7 Hz, 1 H), 4.23 (q, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2 H), 3.69 (s, 3 H), 2.81–2.74 (m, 1 H), 2.65–2.58 (m, 1 H), 1.58 (s, 3 H), 1.27 (t, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 3 H); ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 90 MHz) δ 168.2, 165.7, 139.8, 126.3, 118.9, 63.1, 51.6, 43.0, 39.9, 23.0, 13.9; HRMS calcd for $\text{C}_{11}\text{H}_{15}\text{NO}_4$ 225.1001 (M^+), found 225.1003.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by National Science Foundation, a Camille and Henry Dreyfus New Faculty Award, an ONR Young Investigator Award, a DuPont Young Faculty Award, Amoco, and Hoechst Celanese Corporation. We thank Supelco for a gift of DEX GC columns.

Supporting Information Available: A modified synthetic procedure of (1*S*,2*R*,4*S*,5*R*)-(+)-2,5-dimethylcyclohexane-1,4-diol, an intermediate for the synthesis of **1**, and NMR spectra of compounds **3** and **12–19** (20 pages). This material is contained in libraries on microfiche, immediately follows this article in the microfilm version of the journal, and can be ordered from the ACS; see any current masthead page for ordering information.

JO9721756