NIH Public Access
Author Manuscript
J Am Chem Soc. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 September 7.

Published in final edited form as:
J Am Chem Soc. 2011 September 7; 133(35): 13876–13879. doi:10.1021/ja205673e.

Total Synthesis of Bryostatin 7 *via* C-C Bond Forming Hydrogenation: Merged Redox-Construction Events for Synthetic Efficiency

Yu Lu, **Sang Kook Woo**, and **Michael J. Krische***
University of Texas at Austin, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Austin, TX 78712

Abstract

The marine macrolide bryostatin 7 is prepared in 20 steps (longest linear sequence) and 36 total steps. A total of 5 C-C bonds are formed using hydrogenative methods. The present approach represents the most concise synthesis of any bryostatin reported, to date, setting the stage for practical syntheses of simplified functional analogues.

The bryostatins are a family of 20 marine natural products originally isolated from the bryozoan *Bugula neritina*[1] that possess a polyacetate backbone and differ largely on the basis of substitution at C_7 and C_{20} (Figure 1).[2] The bryostatins display diverse biological effects, including antineoplastic activity, immunopotentiating activity, restoration of apoptotic function, and the ability to act synergistically with other chemotherapeutic agents.[3] Neurological effects also are evident, including activity against Alzheimer's disease,[4] neural growth and repair and the reversal of stroke damage,[5] as well as memory enhancement.[6]

As their natural abundance is insufficient to advance clinical and biochemical studies, the bryostatins have emerged as a vibrant testing ground for polyketide construction. To date, total syntheses of bryostatin 1 (Keck, 2011),[7a] bryostatin 2 (Evans, 1998),[7b,c] bryostatin 3 (Yamamura, 2000),[7d,e] bryostatin 7 (Masamune, 1990),[7f] bryostatin 9 (Wender, 2011)[7g] and bryostatin 16 (Trost, 2008)[7h] have been reported. A formal synthesis of bryostatin 7 (Hale, 2006)[8a] and total syntheses of C20-*epi*-bryostatin 7 (Trost, 2010)[8b] and C20-deoxybryostatin (Thomas, 2011)[8c] have been disclosed. In efforts led by Wender[9,10] and Keck,[11] simplified bryostatin analogues that retain high potency have been identified.

Given the longstanding challenges associated with defining concise routes to the bryostatins, these natural products were deemed an ideal vehicle to benchmark the utility of the C-C bond forming hydrogenations developed in our laboratory.[12] Retrosynthetically, a convergent assembly of the bryostatin 7 core from Fragments **A** and **B** employing the Keck-Yu pyran annulation[13,14] and Yamaguchi macrolactonization[15] was envisioned. For the synthesis of Fragment **A**, hydrogen-mediated reductive coupling of conjugated glyoxal **6** and enyne **9** appeared strategic, as the key C20-C21 bond would be formed with control of the C20 carbinol stereochemistry and C21 olefin geometry.[16] The planned synthesis of Fragment **B**, which incorporates the bryostatin A-ring, takes advantage of three transfer hydrogenative processes: enantioselective double allylation of 1,3-propanediol to furnish the C_2-symmetric diol **11**,17a subsequent aldehyde *tert*-prenylation[17b] to establish the C7 carbinol stereochemistry and install the C8 *gem*-dimethyl moiety and, finally, allylation[17c,d]

mkrische@mail.utexas.edu.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures, spectral, HPLC and GC data. This material is available free of charge *via* the internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

NIH-PA Author Manuscript
NIH-PA Author Manuscript
NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

at C9 to introduce the C11 aldehyde. The feasibility of these fragment syntheses has been established in model systems (Scheme 1).[16,17e]

The synthesis of Fragment **A** begins with the hydroxymethylation of 3-methyl-2-butanone **1** to furnish the aldol product in accordance with the literature procedure.[18] Moffatt-Swern oxidation of the aldol product provides ketoaldehyde **2**, which upon Horner-Wadworth-Emmons olefination delivers the α,β-unsaturated ester **3**. All compounds up to this point are isolated by vacuum distillation, expediting access to large quantities of material. Conversion of **3** to the enol silane followed by addition of $LiAlH_4$ to the reaction mixture directly provides the allylic alcohol **4**.[19] Treatment of crude allylic alcohol **4** with *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl chloride followed *N*-bromosuccinimide provides the α-bromoketone **5** in 84% yield over the two-step sequence from α,β-unsaturated ester **3**. Finally, Kornblum oxidation of α-bromoketone **5** delivers the glyoxal **6** (Scheme 2).[20]

Preparation of the requisite 1,3-enyne **9** takes advantage of the Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation of crotononitrile **7**, which provides the diol in 86% enantiomeric excess.[21] The diol is converted to the acetonide and exposed to diisobutylaluminum hydride to provide the aldehyde **8**, which is a known compound previously prepared using a 6-step sequence.[22] Chelation controlled propargylzinc addition converts aldehyde **8** to the homopropargylic alcohol, which is formed as a 5:1 mixture of diastereomers.[22] As described in the supporting information, the minor isomer is easily converted to the desired epimer using a Mitsunobu inversion protocol. The homopropargylic alcohol is converted to the TBDPS ether and subjected to Sonogashira coupling to deliver the 1,3-enyne **9** (Scheme 2).

To complete the synthesis of Fragment **A**, the glyoxal **6** and 1,3-enyne **9** are subjected to hydrogen-mediated reductive coupling to furnish the α-hydroxyketone in 77% yield as a 7:1 mixture of diastereomers.[16] Notably, although the coupling product incorporates multiple points of unsaturation, over-reduction is not observed under the conditions of hydrogenative coupling. Exposure of α-hydroxyketone to acetic anhydride provides the acetate. Selective deprotection of the allylic TBS-ether in the presence of the TBDPS ether, which is accomplished using HF-pyridine, provides the allylic alcohol. Finally, oxidation of allylic alcohol delivers the enal, Fragment **A**, in a total of 10 steps from 3-methyl-2-butanone **1** or crotononitrile **7** (Scheme 2).

Efforts toward Fragment **B** begin with allyl acetate mediated double allylation of 1,3-propanediol **10**[17a,e] to form C_2-symmetric diol **11**. This process employs an iridium catalyst generated *in situ* from $[Ir(cod)Cl]_2$, allyl acetate, 4-chloro-3-nitrobenzoic acid and (*S*)-Cl,MeO-BIPHEP. Because the minor enantiomer of the mono-allylated intermediate is converted to the *meso*-diastereomer,[23] diol **11** is obtained as a single enantiomer, as determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC analysis. Previously, the *mono*-TBS ether of diol **11** was prepared in 7 steps from 1,3-propanediol through iterative use of Brown's reagent for carbonyl allylation.[24a] Alternatively, a four step protocol for the preparation diol **11** from acetylacetone is described.[24b] Ozonolysis of diol **11** delivers an unstable lactol, which is protected *in situ* as the *bis*-TBS ether to provide aldehyde **12** as a single isomer. Transfer hydrogenation of aldehyde **12** in the presence of 1,1-dimethylallene promotes *tert*-prenylation[17b] to form neopentyl alcohol **13**. In this process, isopropanol serves as the hydrogen donor and the discrete iridium complex prepared from $[Ir(cod)Cl]_2$, allyl acetate, *m*-nitrobenzoic acid and (*S*)-SEGPHOS[25] is used as catalyst. Notably, complete levels of catalyst directed diastereoselectivity are observed.[26] Exposure of neopentyl alcohol **13** to acetic anhydride followed by ozonolysis provides β-acetoxy aldehyde **14**. Reductive coupling of aldehyde **14** and allyl acetate under transfer hydrogenation conditions results in the formation of homoallylic alcohol **15**. As the stereochemistry of this addition is irrelevant, an achiral iridium complex derived from $[Ir(cod)Cl]_2$, allyl acetate, *m*-nitrobenzoic acid and

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

BIPHEP is employed as catalyst. Selective removal of the glycosidic silyl ether followed by concomitant Dess-Martin oxidation of the lactol and homoallylic alcohols provides β,γ-enone **16**. Remarkably, treatment of a methanolic solution of **16** to pyridinium *p*-toluenesulfonate triggers sequential lactone ring opening followed by formation of the cyclic ketal **17a**. Ozonolysis of **17a** provides Fragment **B** in a total of 10 steps from 1,3-propanediol **10** (Scheme 3).

The union of Fragment **A** and Fragment **B** is achieved through Keck-Yu annulation to form the B-ring pyran.[13,14] The desired adduct **18a** is accompanied by the elimination product **18b**, however, both compounds participate in acidic methanolysis to form triol **19b**. Chemoselective hydrolysis of the C1 methyl ester in the presence of the C7 and C20 acetates employing trimethyltin hydroxide[27] followed by selective TES-protection of the triol reveals a hydroxy acid, which upon Yamaguchi macrolactonization[15] provides tetraene **20**. Concomitant Johnson-Lemieux oxidation[28] of the olefinic termini of tetraene **20** in the presence of the neopentyl olefin at C16-C17 installs both the Bring ketone and C-ring enal. Whereas Corey-Gilman oxidation of enal failed,[29] the corresponding *N*-heterocyclic carbene promoted process provided the desired methyl ester **21** in good isolated yield.[30] Finally, as practiced in prior syntheses,[7a,b,c,d,g] asymmetric olefination of the B-ring ketone using Fuji's chiral phosphonate[31] followed by global deprotection using HF-pyridine provides bryostatin 7 (Scheme 4).

The present synthesis of bryostatin 7 is accomplished in 20 linear and 36 total steps,[32] representing the most concise sequence to any bryostatin reported, to date. The concise nature of this approach can be attributed to the rapid assembly of key fragments **A** and **B**, as availed through application of C-C bond forming hydrogenations developed in our laboratory[12] – a technology that has enabled dramatic simplification in the synthesis of other polyketide natural products.[33] This work serves as a prelude to even shorter routes to the bryostatins and simplified functional analogues. More broadly, the merged redox-construction events central to this study speak to an emerging retrosynthetic paradigm, wherein C-C bond construction is accompanied by withdrawal of hydrogen.[34,35]

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

The Welch Foundation (F-0038), the NIH-NIGMS (RO1-GM093905) and the UT Austin, Center for Green Chemistry and Catalysis are acknowledged for financial support. Max Hansmann and Dr. Jin Haek Yang are acknowledged for skillful technical assistance

References

1. (a) Pettit GR, Herald CL, Doubek DL, Herald DL, Arnold E, Clardy J. J Am Chem Soc. 1982; 104:6846.Recently, it was found that the natural product actually derives from a bacterial symbiont of B. neritina: (b) Sudek S, Lopanik NB, Waggoner LE, Hildebrand M, Anderson C, Liu H, Patel A, Sherman DH, Haygood MG. J Nat Prod. 2007; 70:67. [PubMed: 17253852]
2. (a) Pettit GR. J Nat Prod. 1996; 59:812. [PubMed: 8792630] (b) Lopanik N, Gustafson KR, Lindquist N. J Nat Prod. 2004; 67:1412. [PubMed: 15332866]
3. For selected reviews encompassing the biological properties of the bryostatins, see: (a) Hale KJ, Hummersone MG, Manaviazar S, Frigerio M. Nat Prod Rep. 2002; 19:413. [PubMed: 12195811] (b) Kortmansky J, Schwartz GK. Cancer Invest. 2003; 21:924. [PubMed: 14735696] (c)Wender PA, Baryza JL, Hilinski MK, Horan JC, Kan C, Verma VA. Huang Z. Beyond Natural Products:

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Synthetic Analogues of Bryostatin 1. Drug Discovery Research: New Frontiers in the Post-Genomic Era. Wiley-VCHHoboken, NJ2007:127–162.

4. (a) Etcheberrigaray R, Tan M, Dewachter I, Kuiperi C, Van der Auwera I, Wera S, Qiao L, Bank B, Nelson TJ, Kozikowski AP, Van Leuven F, Alkon DL. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2004; 101:11141. [PubMed: 15263077] (b) Alkon DL, Sun MK, Nelson TJ. Trends Pharmacol Sci. 2007; 28:51. [PubMed: 17218018]
5. Sun MK, Hongpaisan J, Nelson TJ, Alkon DL. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2008; 105:13620. [PubMed: 18768786]
6. (a) Alkon DL, Epstein H, Kuzirian A, Bennett MC, Nelson TJ. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2005; 102:16432. [PubMed: 16258064] (b) Sun MK, Alkon DL. Eur J Pharmacol. 2005; 512:43. [PubMed: 15814089]
7. For total syntheses of naturally occurring bryostatins, see: **Bryostatin 1**: (a) Keck GE, Poudel YB, Cummins TJ, Rudra A, Covel JA. J Am Chem Soc. 2011; 133:744. [PubMed: 21175177] **Bryostatin 2**: (b) Evans DA, Carter PH, Carreira EM, Prunet JA, Charette AB, Lautens M. Angew Chem Int Ed. 1998; 37:2354.(c) Evans DA, Carter PH, Carreira EM, Charette AB, Prunet JA, Lautens M. J Am Chem Soc. 1999; 121:7540.**Bryostatin 3**: (d) Ohmori K, Ogawa Y, Obitsu T, Ishikawa Y, Nishiyama S, Yamamura S. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2000; 39:2290.(e) Ohmori K. Bull Chem Soc Jpn. 2004; 77:875.**Bryostatin 7**: (f) Kageyama M, Tamura T, Nantz M, Roberts JC, Somfai P, Whritenour DC, Masamune S. J Am Chem Soc. 1990; 112:7407.**Bryostatin 9**: (g) Wender PA, Schrier AJ. J Am Chem Soc. 2011; 133:9228. [PubMed: 21618969] **Bryostatin 16**: (h) Trost BM, Dong G. Nature. 2008; 456:485. [PubMed: 19037312]
8. (a) Manaviazar S, Frigerio M, Bhatia GS, Hummersone MG, Aliev AE, Hale KJ. Org Lett. 2006; 8:4477. [PubMed: 16986929] (b) Trost BM, Dong G. J Am Chem Soc. 2010; 132:16403. [PubMed: 21043491] (c) Green AP, Lee ATL, Thomas EJ. Chem Comm. 2011; 47:7200. [PubMed: 21614401]
9. For recent contributions to the primary literature, see: (a) Wender PA, Verma VA. Org Lett. 2006; 8:1893. [PubMed: 16623578] (b) Wender PA, Horan JC. Org Lett. 2006; 8:4581. [PubMed: 16986955] (c) Wender PA, Horan JC, Verma VA. Org Lett. 2006; 8:5299. [PubMed: 17078702] (d) Wender PA, Verma VA. Org Lett. 2008; 10:3331. [PubMed: 18588309] (e) Wender PA, DeChristopher BA, Schreier AJ. J Am Chem Soc. 2008; 130:6658. [PubMed: 18452292]
10. Reviews: (a) Wender PA, Martin-Cantalejo Y, Carpenter AJ, Chiu A, De Brabander J, Harran PG, Jimenez JM, Koehler MFT, Lippa B, Morrison JA, Müller SG, Müller SN, Park CM, Shiozaki M, Siedenbiedel C, Skalitsky DJ, Tanaka M, Irie K. Pure Appl Chem. 1998; 70:539.(b) Wender PA, Hinkle KW, Koehler MFT, Lippa B. Med Res Rev. 1999; 19:388. [PubMed: 10502742] (c) Wender PA, Baryza JL, Brenner SE, Clarke MO, Gamber GG, Horan JC, Jessop TC, Kan C, Pattabiraman K, Williams TJ. Pure Appl Chem. 2003; 75:143.(d) And reference 3c.
11. (a) Keck GE, Truong AP. Org Lett. 2005; 7:2153. [PubMed: 15901157] (b) Keck GE, Welch DS, Vivian PK. Org Lett. 2006; 8:3667. [PubMed: 16898787] (c) Keck GE, Welch DS, Poudel YB. Tetrahedron Lett. 2006; 47:8267. [PubMed: 17404602] (d) Keck GE, Kraft MB, Truong AP, Li W, Sanchez CC, Kedei N, Lewin NE, Blumberg PM. J Am Chem Soc. 2008; 130:6660. [PubMed: 18452293] (e) Keck GE, Poudel YB, Welch DS, Kraft MB, Truong AP, Stephens JC, Kedei N, Lewin NE, Blumberg PM. Org Lett. 2009; 11:593. [PubMed: 19113896] (f) Keck GE, Poudel YB, Rudra A, Stephens JC, Kedei N, Lewin NE, Peach ML, Blumburg PM. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2010; 49:4580.
12. For recent reviews on C-C bond forming hydrogenation and transfer hydrogenation, see: (a) Patman RL, Bower JF, Kim IS, Krische MJ. Aldrichim Acta. 2008; 41:95.(b) Bower JF, Krische MJ. Top Organomet Chem. 2011; 43:107. [PubMed: 21822399]
13. For the parent pyran annulation strategy: (a) Keck GE, Covel JA, Schiff T, Yu T. Org Lett. 2002; 4:1189. [PubMed: 11922815] (b) Yu CM, Lee JY, So B, Hong J. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2002; 41:161.
14. For application of this pyran annulation strategy to bryostatin 1, bryostatin 9 and related structures, see: Keck GA, Welch DS, Poudel YB. Tetrahedron Lett. 2006; 47:8267. [PubMed: 17404602] and references 7a, 9 and 11
15. Inanaga J, Hirata K, Saeki H, Katsuki T, Yamaguchi M. Bull Soc Chem Jpn. 1979; 52:1989.
16. Cho CW, Krische MJ. Org Lett. 2006; 8:891. [PubMed: 16494467]

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

17. (a) Lu Y, Kim IS, Hassan A, Del Valle DJ, Krische MJ. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2009; 48:5018.(b) Han SB, Kim IS, Han H, Krische MJ. J Am Chem Soc. 2009; 131:6916. [PubMed: 19453190] (c) Kim IS, Ngai MY, Krische MJ. J Am Chem Soc. 2008; 130:6340. [PubMed: 18444616] (d) Kim IS, Ngai MY, Krische MJ. J Am Chem Soc. 2008; 130:14891. [PubMed: 18841896] (e) Lu Y, Krische MJ. Org Lett. 2009; 11:3108. [PubMed: 19586066]
18. Trost BM, Yang H, Thiel OR, Frontier AJ, Brindle CS. J Am Chem Soc. 2007; 129:2206. [PubMed: 17279751]
19. For a similar enolization-reduction sequence, see: Lovchik MA, Goeke A, Frater G. J Org Chem. 2007; 72:2427. [PubMed: 17335231]
20. Kornblum N, Frazier HW. J Am Chem Soc. 1966; 88:865.
21. AD-mix-β failed to provide complete conversion: Kolb HC, VanNieuwenhze MS, Sharpless KB. Chem Rev. 1994; 94:2483.
22. Almendros P, Rae A, Thomas EJ. Tetrahedron Lett. 2000; 41:9565.
23. For amplification of enantiomeric enrichment in the generation of C_2-symmetric compounds: (a) Kogure T, Eliel EL. J Org Chem. 1984; 49:576.(b) Midland MM, Gabriel J. J Org Chem. 1985; 50:1143.
24. (a) Smith AB III, Minbiole KP, Verhoest PR, Schelhaas M. J Am Chem Soc. 2001; 123:10942. [PubMed: 11686698] (b) Rychnovsky SD, Griesgraber G, Powers JP. Org Synth. 2000; 77:1.
25. SEGPHOS: Saito T, Yokozawa T, Ishizaki T, Moroi T, Sayo N, Miura T, Kumobayashi H. Adv Synth Catal. 2001; 343:264.
26. For selected examples of catalyst-directed diastereoselectivity, see: (a) Minami N, Ko SS, Kishi Y. J Am Chem Soc. 1982; 104:1109.(b) Ko SY, Lee AWM, Masamune S, Reed LA III, Sharpless KB, Walker FJ. Science. 1983; 220:949. [PubMed: 17816019] (c) Kobayashi S, Ohtsubo A, Mukaiyama T. Chem Lett. 1991:831.(d) Hammadi A, Nuzillard JM, Poulin JC, Kagan HB. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry. 1992; 3:1247.(e) Doyle MP, Kalinin AV, Ene DG. J Am Chem Soc. 1996; 118:8837.(f) Trost BM, Calkins TL, Oertelt C, Zambrano J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998; 39:1713.(g) Balskus EP, Jacobsen EN. Science. 2007; 317:1736. [PubMed: 17885133] (h) Han SB, Kong JR, Krische MJ. Org Lett. 2008; 10:4133. [PubMed: 18729371]
27. Nicalaou KC, Estrada AA, Zak M, Lee SH, Safina BS. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2005; 44:1378.
28. For examples of selective Johnson-Lemieux oxidation of terminal olefins in the presence of higher olefins, see: (a) White JD, Kuntiyong P, Lee TH. Org Lett. 2006; 8:6039. [PubMed: 17165924] (b) BouzBouz S, Cossy J. Org Lett. 2003; 5:3029. [PubMed: 12916973]
29. (a) Corey EJ, Gilman NW, Ganem BE. J Am Chem Soc. 1968; 90:5616.(b) Corey EJ, Katzenellenbogen JA, Gilman NW, Roman SA, Erickson BW. J Am Chem Soc. 1968; 90:5618.(c) Gilman NW. Chem Commun. 1971:733.
30. Maki BE, Scheidt KA. Org Lett. 2008; 10:4331. [PubMed: 18759433]
31. (a) Tanaka K, Ohta Y, Fuji K, Taga T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993; 34:4071.(b) Tanaka K, Otsubo K, Fuji K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996; 37:3735.
32. A conventional step-counting formalism is employed wherein one step is defined as a “one-pot” procedure that does not require the removal and exchange of reaction solvent.
33. Han SB, Hassan A, Kim IS, Krische MJ. J Am Chem Soc. 2010; 132:15559. [PubMed: 20961111]
34. “The ideal synthesis creates a complex skeleton… in a sequence only of successive construction reactions involving no intermediary refunctionalizations, and leading directly to the structure of the target, not only its skeleton but also its correctly placed functionality.” Hendrickson JB. J Am Chem Soc. 1975; 97:5784.
35. For an overview of “redox economy” in organic synthesis, see: Baran PS, Hoffmann RW, Burns NZ. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2009; 48:2854.



Figure 1.

Bryostatins 1–17 and prior total syntheses.[a]

[a]See supporting information for a graphical summary of prior syntheses.

NIH-PA Author Manuscript NIH-PA Author Manuscript NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript



Scheme 1.

Retrosynthetic analysis of bryostatin 7 illustrating C-C bonds formed *via* hydrogenative coupling.



Scheme 2.

Synthesis of Fragment **A** *via* hydrogen-mediated reductive coupling of glyoxal **6** and 1,3-enyne **9**.[a]

[a]Indicated yields are of material isolated by silica gel chromatography or distillation. See supporting information for experimental details.

NIH-PA Author Manuscript NIH-PA Author Manuscript NIH-PA Author Manuscript



Scheme 3.

Synthesis of Fragment **B** employing multiple transfer hydrogenative C-C bond formations.[a]

[a]Indicated yields are of material isolated by silica gel chromatography. See supporting information for experimental details.

NIH-PA Author Manuscript NIH-PA Author Manuscript NIH-PA Author Manuscript



Scheme 4.

Union of Fragment **A** and Fragment **B** and total synthesis of bryostatin 7.[a]

[a]Indicated yields are of material isolated by silica gel chromatography. See supporting information for experimental details.

NIH-PA Author Manuscript NIH-PA Author Manuscript NIH-PA Author Manuscript