Journal Article Analysis: C3-C14 Domain of 7-Deoxyokadaic Acid Due February 18

An article from a recent issue of the *Journal of Organic Chemistry* is on subsequent pages. It is about the synthesis of part of a molecule called 7-deoxyokadaic acid. You are to answer the following questions and perform the following tasks related to this article. Be sure to look at the links provided in the syllabus for useful abbreviations. I prefer that you type your answers (you may hand-draw structures), but you may not use quotes. When you are showing mechanisms you must avoid using abbreviations unless that portion of the molecule is not involved in the mechanism. Be sure to use the same numbering scheme the authors use (I will use it as well).

- 1. What is the goal of the article? (It is proper to refer to the scientists who wrote the article as "the authors" or "the researchers")
- 2. Why is okadaic acid important and how is 7-deoxyokadaic acid different?
- 3. In SCHEME 1 what are the authors trying to show? You should try to understand the word "retrosynthesis".

Answer the following questions about SCHEME 2

- 4. What does the (±) mean for **16**? What are the authors trying to do in going from **16** to **17** and what is the consequence of producing **18**?
- 5. In converting **13** to **19** show the mechanisms for steps 1) and 2). What is PMB (draw a structure) and what is its role?
- 6. Show the mechanism for synthesizing **11** from **20**. You will need to look up the structures of Imidazole, TESCl (not ionic, by the way), DMAP, and DCM and describe what their roles are.

Answer the following questions about SCHEME 3

- 7. In synthesizing **22** from **21** what does diastereomeric ratio mean? Do the authors want a high or low ratio and why? If it is a ratio, what are the two molecules which are making up the ratio?
- 8. What is going on in the **22** to **23** to **24** sequence and why are the authors doing it? Be sure to use your own words! You will need to know the structure of TBDPSCl (not ionic!).

Answer the following questions about SCHEME 4

- 9. Show the mechanisms of the steps to synthesize **26** from **11**.
- 10. In the synthesis of 10 from 26 what is DMP and why is it a good oxidizing agent?
- 11. Show the mechanism to synthesize 27 from 10. You will not be able to show how the TES groups are removed, just assume that the OTES group have become and OH (alcohol) groups. You will need to figure out the structure and role of TsOH. If you look up DIHMA you may get confused.
- 12. Show the mechanisms of the steps to synthesize 28 from 27.
- 13. Show the mechanism to synthesize **29** from **28**.



Versatile Synthesis of the C3-C14 Domain of 7-Deoxyokadaic Acid

Timothy M. Trygstad, [†] Yucheng Pang, [‡] and Craig J. Forsyth*,

Department of Chemistry and Physical Sciences, The College of St. Scholastica, Duluth, Minnesota 55811, and Department of Chemistry, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210

forsyth@chemistry.ohio-state.edu

Received August 05, 2008

Described is a flexible synthesis of the C3-C14 domain of 7-deoxyokadaic acid that is amenable to facile structural diversification. Treatment of ynone 10 under acidic conditions led to net bis-conjugate addition of the latent diol to give the thermodynamically favored spiroketal 27, a versatile intermediate, en route to 7-deoxyokadaic acid and analogs.

Okadaic acid (1, Figure 1) is the archetypal seriene/threonine protein phosphatase inhibitor. The natural product 7-deoxyokadaic acid (2) maintains similar protein serine/threonine phosphatase inhibitory activity, whereas omission of the C7 hydroxyl group substantially simplifies synthetic access.³ Hence, 7-deoxyokadaic acid has become the primary template for our research into the development of selective phosphatase inhibitors.

The primary amino acid sequences of the okadaic acid receptors protein phosphatases 1 and 2A (PP1 and PP2A, respectively) are ca. 50% conserved.4 Subtle yet significant structural differences in and about the active sites of PP1 and PP2A may contribute to differential sensitivities toward 7-deoxyokadaic acid, with $K_i = 150$ and 30 nM for PP1 and PP2A, respectively. ^{2,5,6} Differential levels of binding affinities to PP1 versus PP2A have also been reported for most members of the

2 R¹ = H, R² = Me, R³ = Me 3 R¹ = H, R² = Me, R³ = H 4 R¹ = H, R² = H, R³ = Me

5 $R^1 = H$, $R^2 = H$, $R^3 = H$

FIGURE 1. Okadaic acid and some targeted analogues.

okadaic acid class of inhibitors.7 The continued development of an empirical understanding of the essential structural differences between the binding of inhibitors to PP1 versus PP2A is expected to facilitate the identification of novel small molecules with enhanced levels of specificity.

The availability of X-ray crystallographic data for cocrystals of okadaic acid and both PP1 and PP2A now provide a wealth of information toward this goal.^{8,9} Within the similar topologies of the PP1/PP2A active sites, where the C1-C14 portion of okadaic acid docks, there are several likely binding interactions involving the C10 and C13 methyl substituents of okadaic acid. For the C10 methyl group, contacts may occur with Cys273 (3.72 Å), Glu275 (3.29 Å), and Phe275 (3.74 Å) of PP1 and with Cys266 (3.97 Å), Arg268 (3.70 Å), and Cys269 (3.84 Å) when bound to PP2A. The C13 methyl substituent has potential interactions with Val250 (3.95 Å), Tyr 255 (4.53 Å), and Phe276 (3.72 Å) of PP1 and with Leu243 (3.47 Å) and Tyr265 (4.32 Å) of PP2A. These crystallographic correlations have prompted the development of a versatile new synthetic entry to the C3-C14 domain of okadaic acid that may vary in functionalization at C10, C13 (e.g., 3-5), and other sites.

The original retrosynthesis of 7-deoxyokadaic acid began with a disconnection between C14 and C15 leading to the C1-C14 aldehyde 6 and the C15-C38 β -ketophosphonate 7 (Scheme 1).3 Compound 6 could, in turn, be prepared from the lithium enolate of Seebach's lactate (8)¹⁰ and the C3-C14 aldehyde 9.3 At this juncture, we planned to assemble the spiroketal from ynone 10 via a double intramolecular hetero-Michael addition (DIHMA) process. 11 We anticipated that under thermodynamic

The College of St. Scholastica.

[‡] The Ohio State University.

^{(1) (}a) Tachibana, K.; Scheuer, P. J.; Tsukitani, Y.; Kikuchi, H.; Van Engen, D.; Clardy, J.; Gopichand, Y.; Schmitz, F. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 2469. (b) Dounay, A. B.; Forsyth, C. J. Curr. Med. Chem. 2002, 9, 1851.

⁽²⁾ Takai, A.; Murata, M.; Torigow, K.; Isobe, M.; Mieskes, G.; Yasumoto, T. Biochem. J. 1992, 284, 539.

⁽³⁾ Dounay, A. B.; Urbanek, R. A.; Sabes, S. F.; Forsyth, C. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 2258.

⁽⁴⁾ Huang, X.; Cheng, A.; Honkanen, R. E. Genomics 1997, 44, 336

⁽⁵⁾ Takai, A.; Mieskes, G. Biochem. J. 1991, 275, 233.

⁽⁶⁾ Bialojan, C.; Takai, A. Biochem. J. 1988, 256, 283.

^{(7) (}a) Larsen, K.; Petersen, D.; Wilkins, A. L.; Samdal, I. A.; Sandvik, M.; Rundberget, T.; Goldstone, D.; Arcus, V.; Hovgaard, P.; Rise, F.; Rehmann, N; Hess, P.; Miles, C. O. Chem. Res. Toxicol. 2007, 20, 868. (b) Roberge, M.; Tudan, C.; Hung, S. M. F.; Harder, K. W.; Jirik, F. R.; Anderson, H. Cancer Res. 1994, 54, 6115. (c) Matsuzawa, S.; Suzuki, T.; Suzuki, M.; Matsuda, A.; Kawamura, T; Mizuno, Y.; Kikuchi, K. FEBS Lett. 1994, 356, 272. (d) Li, Y-M.; Casida, J. E Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1992, 89, 11867. (e) Mackintosh, C.; Klumpp, S. FEBS Lett. **1990**, 277, 137. (f) Holmes, C. F. B.; Luu, H. A.; Carrier, F.; Schmitz, F. J. *FEBS Lett.* **1990**, 270, 216. (g) MacKintosh, C.; Beattie, K. A.; Klumpp, S.; Cohen, P.; Codd, G. A. FEBS Lett. 1990, 264, 187. (h) Matsushima, R.; Yoshizawa, S; Watanabe, M. F.; Harada, K.; Furusawa, M.; Carmichael, W. W.; Fujiki, H Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 1990, 171, 867. (i) Ishihara, H.; Martin, B. L.; Brautigan, D. L.; Karaki, H.; Ozaki, H.; Kato, Y.; Fusetani, N.; Watabe, S.; Hashimoto, K. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 1989, 159, 871. (j) Ohuchi, K.; Tamura, T.; Ohashi, M.; Watanabe, M.; Hirasawa, N.; Tsurufuji, S; Fujiki, H. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1989, 1013, 86.

⁽⁸⁾ Maynes, J. T.; Bateman, K. S.; Cherney, M. M.; Das, A. K.; Luu, H. A.; Holmes, C. F. B.; James, M. N. G. J. Biol. Chem. 2001, 276, 44078.

⁽⁹⁾ Xing, Y.; Xu, Y.; Chen, Y.; Jeffrey, P. D.; Chao, Y.; Lin, Z.; Li, Z.; Strack, S.; Stock, J. B.; Shi, Y. Cell 2006, 127, 341.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Seebach, D.; Naef, R.; Calderari, G. *Tetrahedron* **1984**, *40*, 1313.

SCHEME 1. Retrosynthesis of 7-Deoxyokadaic Acid

SCHEME 2. Synthesis of the C3-C9 Fragment

control the resident configurations at C4 and C12 would favor the formation of the natural product's C8 stereogenic center.

Intermediate 10 was prepared from the C3-C9 fragment alkyne 11 and C10-C14 aldehyde 12, both of which were obtained from commercially available compounds 1-butyne (13), epichlorohydrin (14), and methyl-(R)-3-hydroxy-2-methylpropionate (15). The synthesis of the C3-C9 alkyne 11 began with protected glycidol ether 16 (Scheme 2), which was prepared as previously described and identified via ¹H NMR spectroscopic comparison. 12,13 Hydrolytic kinetic resolution (HKR)¹⁴ of **16**

SCHEME 3. Synthesis of the C10-C14 Fragment

provided enantiomerically enriched 17,13 which was converted into the internal alkyne 19 via reaction with in situ formed 1-lithio-1-butyne. 15 At the stage of 19 it was found that the HKR process had given an approximate 6.4:1 ratio of (R)-17 to (S)-17, based on chiral column analysis against a racemic standard. 16

Compound 19 was isomerized to the terminal alkyne 20 under Brown's strongly basic conditions.¹⁷ Attempts to accomplish this conversion in the presence of silyl protecting groups were unsuccessful. The secondary alcohol 20 was converted into the TES ether 11, completing the synthesis of the C3–C9 fragment in ca. 61% overall yield over four steps from (\pm) -16.

The synthesis of the C10-C14 fragment began with the known aldehyde 21¹⁸ (Scheme 3), which was identified via ¹H NMR spectroscopic comparison. The C12 stereogenic center was installed via allylation¹⁹ of **21** to give the terminal alkene 22 in a 15:1 diastereomeric ratio based upon ¹H NMR spectroscopy. Subsequent protection of the secondary alcohol 22 with TESCl gave 23. Cleavage of the PMB ether of 23, followed by TBDPS ether formation, yielded 25. These protecting group manipulations became necessary for two reasons. First, in the synthesis of 11, the PMB protecting group aided the alkyne migration process. Second, the presence of the PMB protecting group facilitated the tin-mediated chelation controlled allylation reaction leading to 12, and the subsequent conversion to the TBDPS protecting group was preferred for terminal differentiation post convergence with 11. Ozonolysis of 25 gave aldehyde 12, completing the synthesis of the C10–C14 fragment in an overall yield of ca. 50% over five steps from 21.

Completion of the synthesis of the C3-C14 domain began with the coupling of the lithium acetylide derived from 11 with 12 to afford the propargylic alcohols 26 (Scheme 4) as a diastereomeric mixture (epimeric at both C4 and C10). Oxida-

^{(11) (}a) Wang, C.; Forsyth, C. J. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 2997. (b) Geisler, L. K.; Nguyen, S.; Forsyth, C. J. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 4159. (c) Hao, J.; Forsyth, C. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 1. (d) Aiguade, J.; Hao, J.; Forsyth, C. J. Org. Lett.

⁽¹²⁾ Mouzin, G.; Cousse, H.; Rieu, J. P.; Duflos, A. Synthesis 1983, 2, 117.

^{(13) (}a) Dounay, A. B. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Minnesota, 2001, p 201. (b) Nicolaou, K. C.; Fylaktakidou, K. C.; Monenschein, H.; Li, Y.; Weyershausen, B.; Mitchell, H. J.; Wei, H.; Guntupalli, P.; Hepworth, D.; Sugita, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 15433. and compound 48 therein.

⁽¹⁴⁾ Furrow, M. E.; Schaus, S. E.; Jacobsen, E. N. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 6776.

⁽¹⁵⁾ Yamaguchi, M.; Hirao, I. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 391.

⁽¹⁶⁾ HP Series 1100, Chiralcel OD, column no. OD00CE-AJ015, 1.0 μL injection, 0.5 mL/min, 95:5 hexane/iPrOH, UV detection $\lambda = 280$ nm.

^{(17) (}a) Macaulay, S. R. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 734. (b) Brown, C. A.; Yamashita, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 891.

⁽¹⁸⁾ Organ, M. G.; Wang, J. *J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, *68*, 5568. (19) (a) Harried, S. S.; Lee, C. P.; Yang, G.; Lee, T. I. H.; Myles, D. C. *J.* Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 6646. (b) White, J. D.; Hong, J.; Robarge, L. A. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 6206. (c) Keck, G. E.; Park, M.; Krishnamurthy, D. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 3787.

SCHEME 4. Synthesis of the C3-C14 Domain

tion of alcohols **26** gave ynones (4R/S)-**10**. At this stage, the minor (4R)-diastereomer resulting from the HKR of (\pm)-16 was chromatographically separated from (4S)-10. Subsequent implementation of the DIHMA process using the (4S)-diastereomer of 10 provided spiroketal 27. For this, prolonged exposure to acid was intended to assist equilibration to capture the benefit of mutual anomeric stablization in 27. To complete the synthesis of the 7-deoxyokadaic acid intermediate 29, ketone 27 was subjected to Wittig olefination to yield the exo methylene compound 28. Subsequent isomerization to the internal alkene 29 was accomplished under acidic conditions (Scheme 4). Remarkably, none of the alternative endocyclic alkene isomer was detected to accompany 29. This regioselective alkene migration may reflect an enhanced acidity of the α-ketal methylene protons and/or alkene conjugation with a transient oxocarbenium intermediate. In any event, it places the alkene α to the C8 spiroketal center as is observed among okadaic acid and its congeners.

The synthesis of the C3–C14 domain was thus completed in an overall yield of ca. 23% over 10 steps from 21. The assignment of relative configuration at the spiroketal center of 29 was made via conversion into a C3,C14-diol, a known compound obtained from an intermediate used in the total synthesis of 2.²⁰ Comparison of the ¹H NMR spectral data indicated identical relative stereochemistry at C4, C8, C12, and C13, as well as the location of the alkene at C9 between 29 and the natural product 7-deoxyokadaic acid.

The advantages of this new route to the functionalized C3–C14 spiroketal domain of **2** are its efficiency and its applicability to readily access several analogs with only minor changes to the overall synthetic route. For instance, 13-desmethyl-7-deoxyokadaic acid analog **3** has been obtained by a simple change of starting material **21** to 1,3-propanediol with essentially the same reaction sequence to assemble a C10–C14 intermediate. Similarly, employing the identical synthetic route to spiroketal **27**, the versatile C10 ketone could provide access

to various derivatives. This has been successfully employed in the synthesis of the 10-desmethyl-7-deoxyokadaic acid analog **4**. A combination of such tactics has led to 7-deoxyokadaic acid analog **5**. Potentially synergystic efforts are also underway to probe the effects of structural variations about the terminal C30-C38 spiroketal of okadaic acid analogs.²¹

Incorporation of C3–C14 structural variants into full length okadaic acid analogs will allow comparative phosphatase binding assays to be performed. This should contribute to an enhanced understanding of the essential roles of the structural moieties within the active site-occupying domain of okadaic acid, as suggested by X-ray crystallography.

Experimental Section

Yneone 10. To a magnetically stirred, room temperature solution of **26** (6.294 g, 7.43 mmol) in methylene chloride (74 mL) was added NaHCO₃ (9.36 g, 111.4 mmol) and Dess-Martin periodinane (6.3 g, 14.9 mmol). After TLC indicated no remaining 26, saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (50 mL) was added dropwise. The separated aqueous phase was extracted with methylene chloride ($3 \times 25 \text{ mL}$), and the combined organic phase was washed with H₂O (50 mL) and then brine (50 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexanes/ ethyl acetate, 20:1, v/v) to give 10 as an oil (5.06 g, 5.98 mmol, 81%): $[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$ +0.5 (c 3.1, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (500 MHz) δ 7.69-7.64 (m, 4H), 7.46-7.35 (m, 6H), 7.25 (d, J=9 Hz, 2H), 6.88 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2H), 4.62 - 4.59 (m, 1H), 4.44 (s, 2H), 3.81 (s, 2H)3H), 3.50 (d, J = 7 Hz, 2H), 3.36 (dd, J = 5.5, 9.5 Hz, 1H), 3.32 (dd, J = 5.5, 9.5 Hz, 1H), 2.66 (dd, J = 9, 15.5 Hz, 1H), 2.58 (dd, J = 9, 15.5 Hz, 1H), 2.J = 5.5, 15.5 Hz, 1H), 2.33 (t, J = 7 Hz, 2H), 2.04–1.96 (m, 1H), 1.72-1.48 (m, 5H), 1.07 (s, 9H), 0.96-0.88 (m, 18H), 0.83 (d, J = 7 Hz, 3H), 0.63-0.55 (m, 12H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz) δ 187.0, 159.4, 135.8, 133.8, 130.6, 129.8, 129.5, 127.9, 113.9, 94.1, 81.7, 81.0, 74.4, 73.2, 71.1, 69.7, 66.0, 55.5, 49.3, 34.2, 31.8, 27.0, 23.8, 22.9, 19.4, 14.3, 11.7, 7.1, 5.2; IR (neat) 3080-3000, 3000-2850, 2212, 1741, 1676, 1612, 1588, 1513, 1462, 1247, 1120–1060 cm⁻¹; HRMS calcd for $C_{49}H_{76}Si_3O_6Na$ (M + Na) 867.4847, found 867.4881; $R_f = 0.30$ (hexanes: ethyl acetate, 8:1, v/v).

Pyranone 27. To a magnetically stirred, room temperature solution of ynone 10 (710 mg, 845 μ mol) in toluene (8.4 mL) was added *p*-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate (192 mg, 1.00 mmol). The mixture was stirred 1 d before saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (2 mL) was added. The mixture was diluted with diethyl ether (10 mL) and the aqueous phase was separated. The organic phase was washed with H₂O (10 mL), then brine (10 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexanes: ethyl acetate, 4:1, v/v) to give 27 as a colorless oil (451 mg, 731 μ mol, 87%): $[\alpha]_D^{24}$ –36 (c 3.3, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (500 MHz) δ 7.65 (d, J = 8 Hz, 4H), 7.44–7.35 (m, 6H), 7.18 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.86 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 4.39 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 2H, 3.92 - 3.90 (m, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.69 (t, J = 4.5 m)Hz, 1H), 3.70 (dd, J = 5.5, 10 Hz, 1H), 3.65-3.60 (m, 1H), 3.28(d, J = 5 Hz, 2H), 2.42 (t, J = 13 Hz, 2H), 2.34 (d, J = 14 Hz,1H), 2.25 (dd, J = 11.5, 14.5 Hz, 1H), 1.95–1.85 (m, 1H), 1.75-1.65 (m, 2H), 1.46-1.41 (m, 2H), 1.29-1.20 (m, 2H), 1.05 (s, 9H), 0.97 (d, J = 7 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz) δ 206.6, 159.2, 135.8, 133.8, 130.8, 129.8, 129.2, 127.8, 113.8, 99.2, 73.0, 72.8, 70.0, 69.7, 65.2, 55.4, 52.0, 44.4, 41.2, 34.7, 27.1, 26.7, 19.4, 18.7, 13.3; IR (neat) 3070, 3048, 3000-2850, 1726, 1612, 1588, 1513, 1464, 1428, 1248, 1110-1000, 823, 741, 705 cm⁻¹; HRMS calcd for $C_{37}H_{48}SiO_6Na$ (M + Na) 639.3112, found 639.3106; R_f = 0.35 (hexanes/ethyl acetate, 4:1, v/v).

Exocyclic Alkene 28. To a magnetically stirred, 0 °C solution of methyltriphenylphosphonium bromide (72 mg, 202 μ mol) in THF

⁽²⁰⁾ Dounay, A. B. Thesis, University of Minnesota, 2001, pp 24-25; $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra, ABD-II-233 and ABD-II-238.

⁽²¹⁾ Forsyth, C. J.; Wang, C. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2008, 18, 3043.

(2 mL) was added n-BuLi (73 μ L of a 2.5 M solution in hexanes, 182 μ mol). After 5 min, the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 2 h before a solution of 27 (25 mg, $40.5 \,\mu\text{mol}$) in THF(1 mL) was added. The mixture was stirred 1 h before saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (4 mL) was added. The separated aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate (3 × 1 mL), and the combined organic phase was dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate, 10:1, v/v) to give 28 as a colorless oil (21 mg, 34 μ mol, 84%): [α]²⁴_D -30 (c 3, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (500 MHz) δ 7.69 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 4H), 7.45–7.36 (m, 6H), 7.23 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2H), 6.87 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2H), 4.81 (s, 1H), 4.78 (s, 1H), 4.50 (d, J= 7 Hz, 1H, 4.44 (d, J = 7 Hz, 1H), 3.88 (dd, J = 4.5, 9.5 Hz,1H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 3.64 (dd, J = 7, 10 Hz, 1H), 3.63-3.60 (m, 1H), 3.58-3.54 (m, 1H), 3.34 (t, J = 4.5 Hz, 2H), 2.32-2.28 (m, 2H), 2.13 (d, J = 13 Hz, 1H), 1.97, (t, J = 13 Hz, 1H), 1.85–1.82 (m, 1H), 1.72-1.68 (m, 1H), 1.68-1.62 (m, 1H), 1.55-1.51 (m, 1H), 1.46-1.41 (m, 2H), 1.30-1.23 (m, 1H), 1.09 (s, 9H), 1.02 (d, J = 7 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz) δ 159.1, 142.4, 135.9, 134.1, 131.2, 129.7, 129.2, 127.7, 113.8, 110.2, 97.1, 73.0, 72.9, 70.8, 69.6, 65.8, 55.4, 44.8, 41.4, 37.4, 35.2, 27.2, 27.1, 19.5, 18.8, 13.6; IR (neat) 3072, 3000-2850, 1656, 1614, 1588, 1513, 1463, 1429, 1247, 1120-1000, 910, 823, 770 cm⁻¹; HRMS calcd for $C_{38}H_{50}SiO_5Na$ (M + Na) 637.3320, found 637.3326; $R_f = 0.34$ (hexanes/ethyl acetate, 8:1, v/v).

Endocyclic Alkene 29. To a magnetically stirred, room temperature solution of 28 (88.4 mg, 144 µmol) in isopropyl alcohol (1.44 mL) was added p-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate (8.2 mg, 43 μ mol). The mixture was heated to 60 °C and allowed to stir for ca. 1 h before saturated aqueous NaHCO3 (2 mL) was added. The mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature and then diluted with diethyl ether (2 mL). The aqueous layer was removed, and the organic phase was washed with H₂O (2 mL) and then brine (2 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate. 50:

1, then 35:1, then 20:1 v/v) to give **29** (36 mg, 58.5 μ mol, ca. 41%) and 28 (35.2 mg, 57.2 μ mol, ca. 40%), both as colorless oils. Data for **29**: $[\alpha]^{24}_{D}$ -36 (c 2.8, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (500 MHz) δ 7.69-7.67 (m, 4H), 7.42-7.34 (m, 6H), 7.17 (d, J=8 Hz, 2H), 6.84 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 5.34 (s, 1H), 4.37 (d, J = 7 Hz, 1H), 4.33(d, J = 7 Hz, 1H), 4.00 (dd, J = 4.5, 10 Hz, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H),3.77-3.73 (m, 1H), 3.72-3.68 (m, 1H), 3.55 (dd, J = 7.5, 9.5 Hz, 1H), 3.32 (dd, J = 4.5, 20 Hz, 1H), 3.25 (dd, J = 5, 20 Hz, 1H), 1.94-1.89 (m, 2H), 1.81 (dd, J = 3, 17 Hz, 2H), 1.70 (s, 3H), 1.58-1.52 (m, 2H), 1.51-1.41 (m, 2H), 1.30-1.26 (m, 1H), 1.08 (s, 9H), 1.03 (d, J = 7 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz) δ 159.2, 136.1, 135.9, 134.2, 130.9, 129.7, 129.6, 127.7, 124.9, 113.8, 94.9, 73.4, 72.8, 69.2, 68.6, 66.4, 55.4, 41.1, 35.1, 33.2, 27.5, 27.1, 23.2, 19.5, 18.7, 13.6; IR (neat) 3069, 3049, 3000-2850, 1740, 1613, 1587, 1513, 1463, 1428, 1247, 1110-990, 823, 704 cm⁻¹; HRMS calcd for $C_{38}H_{50}SiO_5Na$ (M + Na) 637.3325, found 637.3286; R_f = 0.31 (hexanes/ethyl acetate, 8:1, v/v).

Acknowledgment. This publication was made possible by grant number ES10615 from the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS), NIH, and generous unrestricted funding from The Ohio State University. Its contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the NIEHS, NIH. We thank Dr. A. B. Dounay (Pfizer) for the acquisition of spectral correlation data.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures, characterization data, ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra for previously unreported compounds, HPLC trace for compound 19, and comparative ¹H NMR spectra.²⁰ This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

JO8017457