Pd(0)/PR 3 -Catalyzed Intermolecular Arylation of sp 3 C—H Bonds

ARTICLE *in* JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN CHEMICAL SOCIETY · AUGUST 2009

Impact Factor: 12.11 · DOI: 10.1021/ja903573p · Source: PubMed

Masayuki Wasa
Harvard University

Keary Engle
The Scripps Research Institute

Jin-Quan Yu
The Scripps Research Institute

Published in final edited form as:
J Am Chem Soc. 2009 July 29; 131(29): 9886–9887. doi:10.1021/ja903573p.

Pd(0)/PR_3-Catalyzed Intermolecular Arylation of *sp³* C–H Bonds

Masayuki Wasa, **Keary M. Engle**, and **Jin-Quan Yu**[*]
Department of Chemistry, The Scripps Research Institute, 10550 N. Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, California 92037, USA

Abstract



Pd(0)-catalyzed intermolecular arylation of *sp³* C–H bonds has been achieved using PR_3/ArI. This protocol can be used to arylate a variety of aliphatic carboxylic acid derivatives, including a number of bioactive drug molecules. The use of fluorinated aryl iodides also allows for the introduction of fluorine into a molecule of interest.

Palladium-catalyzed arylation of C–H bonds using Pd(0)/PAr_3/ArI has attracted a great deal of attention during the past several decades.[1–3] Compared to Pd(II)-catalyzed oxidative C–H functionalization processes, arylation using this type of catalytic system accommodates phosphine and NHC ligands and does not require a co-oxidant, both of which can be significant practical advantages. Despite pioneering efforts to apply this arylation reaction to *sp³* C–H bonds, to date, only a few examples of alkyl C–H activation by an intramolecular ArPdBr species have been reported by Dyker and others (eq 1–3).[4–5] Herein we report an example of Pd(0)-catalyzed intermolecular arylation of β-C–H bonds in a wide range of amide substrates (eq 4). The development of a simple and readily removable amide directing group was crucial for enabling facile C–H activation under our reaction conditions. Moreover, the use of CsF as the base allowed the substrate scope to be expanded to include amides that contain α-hydrogen atoms.

Previously, we have reported various auxiliary approaches for β-C–H functionalization of aliphatic acid derivatives using Pd(II)/Pd(IV) and Pd(II)/Pd(0) catalysis.[6] In particular, the excellent observed reactivity of *O*-methyl hydroxamic acids for β-C–H activation prompted us to test whether intermolecular arylation of β-C–H bonds could be achieved using this substrate and an ArI/Pd(0)/PPh_3 catalytic system. During our preliminary screening, however, we found that the N–H bond of the CONHOMe moiety readily underwent Buchwald–Hartwig amination with ArI. We hypothesized that by reducing the nucleophilicity of the amide N–H bond, we could suppress the amination pathway. To this end, we examined the effect of replacing the N–OMe group with a wide range of N–aryl groups (Table 1) and screened each of these substrates with a variety of different bases and ligands. Among the bases tested, only

yu200@scripps.edu.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedure and characterization of all new compounds (PDF). This material is available free of charge *via* the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

CsF was found to be effective for arylation with substrates that contained α-hydrogen atoms, although substrates that did not contain α-hydrogen atoms worked well with Cs_2CO_3 (see SI). With guidance from previous studies,[1–4] we also screened an array of different phosphine ligands (Table 2). Overall, we found that the desired β-C–H arylation reaction proceed best using an CONH–C_6F_5 directing group, with Buchwald's Cyclohexyl JohnPhos ligand and CsF as base. Notably, the use of Fu's strategy for improving the stability of the phosphine ligands by forming the HBF_4 salt[7] allowed our reaction to be performed without using stringent air-sensitive techniques.

Using this newly developed protocol, a wide range of α-methylated carboxylic acids (after first being converted to the corresponding amides) were arylated in excellent yields. β-Arylation of amide **2**, which contains a quaternary α-carbon atom, gave the mono-arylated product **2a** in 78% yield. Amides with tertiary and secondary α-carbon atoms were also arylated in good yields (**1a**, **3a–6a**), although the arylation of β-methylene C–H bonds in pentanoic acid derived substrate gives only trace amount of porduct. Since amides **1** and **3** are derived from abundant and cheap feedstock chemicals (propanoic and isopropanoic acid, respectively), this arylation process provides a potential method for installing these prevalent three- and four-carbon units onto advanced arene precursors in synthesis. Functional groups such as protected amines, ethers and ketones were tolerated (**5a, 7a, 9a, 10a**). The directing group in the product can be removed through either hydrolysis with 2 N KOH in ethylene glycol at 80 °C or treatment with MeI/Na_2CO_3 followed by 2 N HCl at 24 °C.

The potential of this new catalytic reaction for application in a host of different settings, including drug diversification was further demonstrated by the arylation of amides **7–11** derived from the drugs gemfibrozil, ibuprofen, ketoprofen, fenoprofen and flurbiprofen (Table 3). Strategically, the ability to selectively functionalize inert methyl groups in biologically active molecules is of great importance in drug discovery.

Considering the difficulty of introducing fluorine onto arenes, arylation of C–H bonds using fluorinated aryl iodides could be a useful alternative for the synthesis of fluorinated arenes in medicinal chemistry. To demonstrate this idea, a variety of fluorinated aryl iodides were shown to react with **6** (Table 4).

The mechanistic implications of this intermolecular C–H activation reaction merit discussion. The data in Table 1 show that an acidic N–H bond in the directing group is essential for reactivity. The fact that amination of the ArI is not observed in the presence of well-established ligands for amination is inconsistent with the involvement of a Pd–NCOAr species **A** (Fig. 1). We propose that the C–H activation precursor **B** bears a coordination mode analogous to that of the complex involved in *ortho*-C–H cleavage of phenyl acetic acid substrates by Pd$(OAc)_2$ (Fig. 1).[8] At this stage, the mechanism at play in the subsequent C–H cleavage step in our system has yet to be fully elucidated. For instance, it is unclear whether the phosphine ligand remains bound to the Pd(II) center during this process. Furthermore, the question of whether classical oxidative addition or proton abstraction by an external F^- is the operative pathway also warrants further investigation (Fig. 1).

In summary, we have developed a novel protocol for intermolecular arylation of sp^3 C–H bonds. This reaction provides a simple method for β-arylation of carboxylic acids. Studies to develop an enantioselective version of this reaction are currently underway in our laboratory.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge The Scripps Research Institute, the National Institutes of Health (NIGMS, 1 R01 GM084019-02), Amgen and Eli Lilly for financial support. We thank the A. P. Sloan Foundation for a fellowship (J.-Q.Y.), and the National Science Foundation, the Department of Defense, and the Skaggs Oxford Scholarship program for predoctoral fellowships (K.M.E.).

References

1. For arylation of heterocycles see: (a)Nakamura N, Tajima Y, Sakai K. Heterocycles 1982;17:235.(b) Akita Y, Ohta A. Heterocycles 1982;19:329.(c)Pivsa-Art S, Satoh T, Kawamura Y, Miura M, Nomura M. Bull Chem Soc Jpn 1998;71:467.(d)Park CH, Ryabova V, Seregin IV, Sromek AW, Gevorgyan V. Org Lett 2004;6:1159. [PubMed: 15040747](e)Touré BB, Lane BS, Sames D. Org Lett 2006;8:1979. [PubMed: 16671761](f)Seregin IV, Gevorgyan V. Chem Soc Rev 2007;36:1173. [PubMed: 17576484]
2. For pioneering work on Pd(0)-catalyzed arylation of arenes see: (a)Hennings DD, Iwasa S, Rawal VH. J Org Chem 1997;62:2. [PubMed: 11671356](b)Satoh T, Kawamura Y, Miura M, Nomura M. Angew Chem Int Ed 1997;36:1740.
3. For Pd(0)-catalyzed intermolecular arylation of sp^2 C–H bonds see: (a)Kametani Y, Satoh T, Miura M, Nomura M. Tetrahedron Lett 2000;41:2655.(b)Lafrance M, Fagnou K. J Am Chem Soc 2006;128:16496. [PubMed: 17177387](c)Chiong HA, Pham QN, Daugulis O. J Am Chem Soc 2007;129:9879. [PubMed: 17649995]
4. (a) Dyker G. Angew Chem Int Ed 1992;31:1023. (b) Baudoin O, Herrbach A, Guéritte F. Angew Chem Int Ed 2003;42:5736. (c) Zhao J, Campo M, Larock RC. Angew Chem Int Ed 2005;44:1873. (d) Barder TE, Walker SD, Martinelli JR, Buchwald SL. J Am Chem Soc 2005;127:4685. [PubMed: 15796535] (e) Ren H, Knochel P. Angew Chem Int Ed 2006;45:3462. (f) Lafrance M, Gorelsky SI, Fagnou K. J Am Chem Soc 2007;129:14570. [PubMed: 17985911] (g) Watanabe T, Oishi S, Fujii N, Ohno H. Org Lett 2008;10:1759. [PubMed: 18393521]
5. For an example of Cu(II)-catalyzed arylation of sp^3 C–H bonds adjacent to a nitrogen atom with boronic acids see: Baslé O, Li CJ. Org Lett 2008;10:3661. [PubMed: 18662002]
6. (a) Giri R, Liang J, Lei JG, Li JJ, Wang DH, Chen X, Naggar IC, Guo C, Foxman BM, Yu JQ. Angew Chem Int Ed 2005;44:7420. (b) Wang DH, Wasa M, Giri R, Yu JQ. J Am Chem Soc 2008;130:7190. [PubMed: 18479089]
7. Netherton MR, Fu GC. Org Lett 2001;3:4295. [PubMed: 11784201]
8. For detailed discussion and evidence for cation-promoted Pd insertion into C–H bonds, see: Giri R, Yu JQ. J Am Chem Soc 2008;130:14082. and references therein. [PubMed: 18834125]



Figure 1.
Possible Coordination Modes



Scheme 1.
Pd(0)-Catalyzed Arylation of C–H Bonds

Table 1

Directing Group Screening[a,b]

X = OMe a 0% b 0%	 a 3% b 0%



a 24%
b 12%

[a]Conditions: 0.2 mmol of substrate, 10 mol% $Pd(OAc)_2$, 20 mol% ligand, 3.0 equiv of CsF, 3.0 equiv of aryl iodide, 100 mg 3Å MS, 1 mL toluene, 100 °C, N_2, 24 h.

[b]Yield was determined by ^1H NMR analysis of crude product using CH_2Br_2 as the internal standard.

Table 2

Ligand Screening[a]



entry	PR_3	^{1}H NMR yield (%) 1a	1b	entry	PR_3	^{1}H NMR yield (%) 1a	1b
1	PPh_3	3	0	10	$PAd_2{}^nBu{\cdot}HBF_4$	0	0
2	$P(p\text{-Tol})_3$	2	0	11	$PCy_2(o\text{-Tol}){\cdot}HBF_4$	0	0
3	$P^iPr_3{\cdot}HBF_4$	36	18	12	Cy-JohnPhos•HBF_4	34	54
4	$PCy_3{\cdot}HBF_4$	42	20	13	MePhos•HBF_4	38	53
5	$P^tBu_3{\cdot}HBF_4$	0	0	14	DavePhos•HBF_4	48	18
6	$P^tBu_2Me{\cdot}HBF_4$	0	0	15	SPhos•HBF_4	11	0
7	$PCy_2{}^tBu{\cdot}HBF_4$	8	0	16	RuPhos•HBF_4	5	0
8	$PPhEt_2{\cdot}HBF_4$	10	0	17	XPhos•HBF_4	0	0
9	$PPhHex_2{\cdot}HBF_4$	7	0				



Cy-JohnPhos: $R^1=R^2=R^3=H$

DavePhos: $R^1=R^2=H$, $R^3=NMe_2$

RuPhos: $R^1=R^3=O^iPr$, $R^2=H$

MePhos: $R^1=R^2=H$, $R^3=Me$

SPhos: $R^1=R^3=OMe$, $R^2=H$

XPhos: $R^1=R^2=R^3={}^iPr$

[a]The reaction conditions are identical to those described in Table 1.

NIH-PA Author Manuscript
NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Table 3

β-Arylation of Carboxylic Acid Amides[a]



1a 30% *mono*
1b 53% *di*



4a 80%



7a 60% *mono*
7b 22% *di*



9a 68%



[a]The reaction conditions are the same as described in Table 1.

Table 4

Arylation with Fluorinated ArI[a]



6



6b 68%

[a]The reaction conditions are identical to those described in Table 1.