

Published on Web 04/13/2010

# Nature of Intermediates in Organo-SOMO Catalysis of $\alpha$ -Arylation of Aldehydes

Joann M. Um,<sup>†</sup> Osvaldo Gutierrez,<sup>†</sup> Franziska Schoenebeck,<sup>†</sup> K. N. Houk,<sup>\*,†</sup> and David W. C. MacMillan<sup>\*,‡</sup>

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90095-1569, and Merck Center for Catalysis at Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544

Received July 28, 2009; E-mail: houk@chem.ucla.edu; dmacmill@princeton.edu

**Abstract:** The intramolecular  $\alpha$ -arylation of aldehydes via organo-SOMO catalysis was investigated using density functional theory (B3LYP and M06-2X functionals). The geometries, spin densities, Mulliken charges, and molecular orbitals of the reacting enamine radical cations were analyzed, and the nature of the resulting cyclized radical cation intermediates was characterized. In agreement with experimental observations, the calculated 1,3-disubstituted aromatic system shows *ortho* selectivity, while the 1,3,4-trisubstituted systems show *para*, *meta* (instead of *ortho*, *meta*) selectivity. The selectivity change for the trisubstituted rings is attributed to a distortion of the *ortho* substituents in the *ortho*, *meta* cyclization transition structures that causes a destabilization of these isomers and therefore results in selectivity for the *para*, *meta* product.

## Introduction

Organo-SOMO catalysis has recently become an important activation mode for asymmetric  $\alpha$ -allylation,  $^{1a}$   $\alpha$ -enolation,  $^{1b}$   $\alpha$ -vinylation,  $^{1c}$   $\alpha$ -carbo-oxidation,  $^{1d}$   $\alpha$ -nitroalkylation,  $^{1e}$  and intramolecular  $\alpha$ -arylation  $^{1f,2}$  of aldehydes. One of our groups has shown that the reactions proceed via a three- $\pi$ -electron radical cation species generated by one-electron oxidation of a chiral enamine. In reports on intramolecular  $\alpha$ -arylation reactions from the Nicolaou group  $^{1f}$  and one of our laboratories,  $^2$  the cyclization of enamine radical cation  $^4$  was shown to selectively attack *ortho* to the methoxy group (Scheme 1).  $^{3,4}$  It was proposed that an intermediate best represented as  $^5$  (rather than  $^6$  described earlier  $^{1f}$ ) was involved. The Nicolaou group showed that 1,3,4-trisubstituted aldehydes  $^8$ – $^10$ , however, react to give *para* aryl products  $^{11}$ – $^{13}$  (Scheme 2). We have explored the mechanisms of these reactions, particularly the nature of the

- † University of California, Los Angeles.
- \* Princeton University.
- (a) Beeson, T. D.; Mastracchio, A.; Hong, J.-B.; Ashton, K.; MacMillan, D. W. C. Science 2007, 316, 582. (b) Jang, H.-Y.; Hong, J.-B.; MacMillan, D. W. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 7004. (c) Kim, H.; MacMillan, D. W. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 398. (d) Graham, T. H.; Jones, C. M.; Jui, N. T.; MacMillan, D. W. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 16494. (e) Wilson, J. E.; Casarez, A. D.; MacMillan, D. W. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 11332. (f) Nicolaou, K. C.; Reingruber, R.; Sarlah, D.; Bräse, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 2086. Correction: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 6640.
- (2) Conrad, J. C.; Kong, J.; Laforteza, B. N.; MacMillan, D. W. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 11640.
- (3) The authors of ref 1f used (2R,5R)-2 as the catalyst. Because our calculations and experiments were performed with the opposite enantiomer, this paper reports the study of catalyst (2S,5S)-2.
- (4) For ortho-selective radical additions to aromatics, see: (a) Tiecco, M.; Testaferri, L. In Reactive Intermediates; Abramovitch, R. A., Ed.; Plenum Press: New York, 1983; Vol. 3, p 61. (b) Guadarrama-Morales, O.; Mendéz, F.; Miranda, L. D. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 4515. (c) Muchowski, J. M.; Cho, I. S.; Jaime-Figueroa, S.; Artis, R. D. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 2456. (d) For a study of the stability of cyclohexadienyl radicals, see: Birch, A. J.; Hinde, A. L.; Radom, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 4074.

#### Scheme 1

MeO 
$$\frac{1}{1}$$
  $\frac{1}{1}$   $\frac{1}{1}$ 

intermediates and the origins of selectivity, using quantummechanical calculations.<sup>5</sup>

# **Results and Discussion**

Density functional theory (DFT) calculations at the UB3LYP level were performed on the simple model radical cation  $14^*$ . Bond distances, spin densities, Mulliken charges, and singly occupied molecular orbital (SOMO) coefficients of  $14^*$  are shown in Figure 1. The C1–C2 bond distance (1.39 Å) is longer than that of an enamine (1.34 Å), and the N–C1 distance (1.33 Å) is closer to that of an iminium ion (1.29 Å) than that of an enamine (1.40 Å). The majority of the spin is on the carbon  $\beta$ 

ARTICLES Um et al.

#### Scheme 2

$$R^3$$
  $R^4$   $O$   $CHO$   $CHO$ 

9: R<sup>3</sup> = OMe; R<sup>4</sup> = Me

**11**:  $R^3 = R^4 = OMe$ **12**:  $R^3 = OMe$ ;  $R^4 = Me$ 

**10**:  $R^3$ ,  $R^4 = -OCH_2O$ 

**13**:  $R^3$ ,  $R^4 = -OCH_2O$ -

to the nitrogen (C2), and the charge is mainly on the iminium carbons  $\alpha$  to the nitrogen, as in ammonium cations. A molecular orbital (MO) analysis showed that the largest coefficient of the singly occupied  $\pi$  orbital lies on C2. The species is of course a resonance hybrid (14) but is best characterized as an alkyl radical conjugated to an iminium cation. The spin density is consistent with major contributions from 14a and 14b.

The enamine radical cation of propanal with catalyst 2 (15- $E^*$ ) is similar (Figure 2). The reported enantioselectivity range with this catalyst was 84–98%. <sup>1f,2</sup> The lowest-energy conformer is expected to directly attack from the less hindered *Si* ("bottom") face of 15- $E^*$ , which is in agreement with the experimentally observed stereoisomer. <sup>3</sup> The lowest-energy Z isomer 15- $Z^*$ , which would give the opposite enantiomer of the product, is 3.0 kcal/mol higher in energy than 15- $E^*$ .

Having established the charge and spin density distribution of the enamine radical cations, we investigated the transition structures for *para* and *ortho* attack of achiral radical cation 16\* on the anisole ring (Figure 3). In agreement with experimental results, *ortho* attack (TS1\*) is predicted to be favored over *para* attack (TS2\*) by 0.5 kcal/mol. The activation free energy for attack on the unsubstituted benzene is  $\sim$ 3 kcal/mol higher (18.3 kcal/mol), in agreement with the failed cyclization of this arene under the same reaction conditions.<sup>7</sup>

The endergonicities for formation of 17\* and 18\* suggest that the cyclization step is easily reversible. Since 17\* should accumulate in higher concentrations than 18\*, the potential subsequent steps were explored. Deprotonation of the more abundant and more rapidly formed 17\* leads to the favored *ortho* product. Oxidation of 17\*, as suggested in ref 2, was calculated to be at least as feasible as oxidation of the enamine that gives 16\*. Radical trapping of 17\*, followed by deprotonation/aromatization and iminium ion hydrolysis, or trapping of the iminium ion by water, followed by oxidation and subsequent deprotonation/aromatization and hydrolysis, are potential fates of the cyclized radical cations. Both of these





Figure 1. Model enamine radical cation 14\* [UB3LYP/6-31G(d)].


Figure 2. Model enamine radical cation 15\* [UB3LYP/6-31G(d)].




**Figure 3.** Intramolecular  $\alpha$ -arylation of 16\* [UB3LYP/6-31G(d)  $\Delta G$  values for aqueous solution at 268 K; optimizations in CPCM water].

trapping mechanisms are expected to occur readily with little rate dependence on *ortho/para* selectivity, so the greater stabilization of the cyclohexadienyl radical by the methoxy

## Model cyclohexadienyl cations:



# Model cyclohexadienyl radicals:




**Figure 4.** Model *ortho*- and *para*-methoxycyclohexadienyl cations and radicals [CBS-QB3  $\Delta H$  values; B3LYP/6-31G(d) values are given in parentheses].

group at the 1-position rather than the 3-position provides the basic origin of the selectivity. Exactly the opposite would happen were the intermediate to have primarily cyclohexadienyl cation character. CBS-QB3 calculations of model 1- and 3-methoxy-cyclohexadienyl cations (19\* and 20\*, respectively; Figure 4) showed that the 3-methoxy cation (20\*) is 3.1 kcal/mol more stable than the 1-methoxy cation (19\*). This can be explained by the stabilization of the positive charge of 21\* at C3 by the methoxy group and a larger LUMO coefficient at this position. On the contrary, the 1-methoxycyclohexadienyl radical (22\*) is 3.6 kcal/mol more stable than the 3-methoxy radical (23\*). Both the spin density and SOMO coefficient of 24\* are larger at C3 than at C1. Thus, the explanation for the relative stabilities of cyclohexadienyl radicals requires more than a simple spin density or MO analysis. <sup>10</sup>

We next investigated the cyclization of aldehydes 8-10 via the model dimethylenamine radical cations (Figures 5–7). Our calculations show that *para*, *meta* cyclization is favored over *ortho*, *meta* cyclization by 2.4-3.4 kcal/mol, in agreement with experiment. The intermediates, 26\*-27\*, 29\*-30\*, and 32\*-33\* have the same predominantly cyclohexadienyl radical character as described for 17\* and 18\*. The *para*, *meta* 


- (5) With the exceptions of 14\*, 15-E\*, 15-Z\*, and 19\*-24\*, which were optimized in the gas phase, all of the geometries were optimized in water (CPCM model) using DFT at the UB3LYP/6-31G(d) level, as implemented in the Gaussian03 suite of programs (Frisch, M. J.; et al. *Gaussian* 03, revision C.02; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, 2004). Model cations and radicals 19\*-24\* were optimized using both CBS-QB3 (Gaussian 03) and UB3LYP/6-31G(d). All of the stationary points were verified by vibrational frequency analysis. Single-point calculations were also performed using M06-2X/6-31+G(d), as implemented in Gaussian09 (Frisch, M. J.; et al. *Gaussian* 09, revision A.1; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, 2009). Each of the computed structures is designated with an asterisk (\*). The resulting energies are reported in kcal/mol in all figures and schemes.
- (6) (a) Olah, G. A.; Prakash, G. K. S.; Rasul, G. Chem.—Eur. J. 2009, 15, 8443. (b) No, K.-T.; Grant, J. A.; Jhon, M.-S.; Scheraga, H. A. J. Phys. Chem. 1990, 94, 4740. (c) Würthwein, E.-U.; Sen, K. D.; Pople, J. A.; Schleyer, P. v. R. Inorg. Chem. 1983, 22, 496.
- (7) Unpublished results.
- (8) See the Supporting Information.
- (9) For an example of water-assisted reactivity of radical cations, see: Heinemann, C.; Demuth, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 4894.
- (10) This study is underway and will be reported in due course.



**Figure 5.** Intramolecular  $\alpha$ -arylation of **25\*** [UB3LYP/6-31G(d)  $\Delta G$  values for aqueous solution at 268 K; optimizations in CPCM water].

cyclization barriers **TS4\***, **TS6\***, and **TS8\*** are similar to **TS1\*** (15–16 kcal/mol), while the *ortho*, *meta* cyclization barriers **TS3\***, **TS5\***, and **TS7\*** are larger than **TS1\*** (~18 kcal/mol). These results suggest that the *meta* substituent (R<sup>4</sup>) causes the *ortho*, *meta* transition states to be destabilized. Since the activation energies of **TS3\***, **TS5\***, and **TS7\*** are similar to that of the unsubstituted system, it is possible that the *ortho*, *meta* cyclizations do not occur under the reaction conditions (–30 °C). The M06-2X functional has been found to be reliable for weak dispersion interactions and has been used successfully for organic reactions involving radicals, <sup>11</sup> so we applied this method by applying single-point calculations to the B3LYP-optimized geometries. The results are summarized with the B3LYP energies in Table 1.

ARTICLES Um et al.



**Figure 6.** Intramolecular  $\alpha$ -arylation of **28\*** [UB3LYP/6-31G(d)  $\Delta G$  values for aqueous solution at 268 K; optimizations in CPCM water].

In comparison with the B3LYP activation free energies, the M06-2X barriers are 2.1–4.2 kcal/mol lower. The M06-2X reaction free energies are less endergonic than the B3LYP values by 4.6–6.1 kcal/mol. The calculated M06-2X selectivities are in good agreement with experimental observations and B3LYP predictions. For cyclization of monomethoxy radical cation 16\*, the difference between TS1\* and TS2\* is 1.5 kcal/mol at the M06-2X level, compared with 0.5 kcal/mol using B3LYP. The *ortho* cyclized radical cation 17\* is 4.4 kcal/mol more stable than the *para* isomer 18\* using M06-2X. Of the 1,3,4-

*Figure 7.* Intramolecular α-arylation of  $31^*$  [UB3LYP/6-31G(d)  $\Delta G$  values for aqueous solution at 268 K; optimizations in CPCM water].

trisubstituted systems, 25\* and 31\* show a 2.3 kcal/mol selectivity for para, meta cyclization at the M06-2X level (TS4\* vs TS3\* and TS8\* vs TS7\*), while 28\* shows a smaller selectivity of 1.3 kcal/mol (TS6\* vs TS5\*). M06-2X calculations showed little difference in the relative stabilities of para, meta cyclized radical cations 27\* and 30\* with respect to the ortho, meta isomers (26\* and 29\*, respectively). The para, meta radical cation 33\* is more stable than the ortho, meta isomer 32\* by 1.0 kcal/mol. In view of the lack of stability difference between the ortho, meta and para, meta cyclized radical cations as well as the consistently high ortho, meta arylation activation barriers, which are within 1.4 kcal/mol of the barrier for the completely unreactive unsubstituted aldehyde, we conclude that the selectivity of 1,3,4-trisubstituted aldehydes 8–10 is controlled by the activation free energies.

<sup>(11)</sup> For examples, see: (a) Zhao, Y.; Truhlar, D. G. J. Phys. Chem. A 2008, 112, 1095. (b) Hohenstein, E. G.; Chill, S. T.; Sherrill, C. D. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2008, 4, 1996. (c) Valdes, H.; Pluháčková, K.; Pitonák, M.; Řezáč, J.; Hobza, P. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2008, 10, 2747.

Table 1. Intramolecular α-Arylation of Aryl Rings

$$\mathbb{R}^4$$
 $\mathbb{R}^3 \oplus \mathbb{N}^3 \oplus \mathbb$ 

		UB3LYP/6-31G(d) <sup>a</sup>				$M06-2X/6-31+G(d)^{a,b}$			
		$\Delta \mathcal{G}^{\dagger}$		$\Delta G_{\sf rxn}$		$\Delta \mathcal{G}^{\ddagger}$		$\Delta \mathcal{G}_{\sf rxn}$	
entry	uncyclized radical cation	ortho, meta	para, meta	ortho, meta	para, meta	ortho, meta	para, meta	ortho, meta	para, meta
1	$R^3 = OMe, R^4 = H, 16*$	15.2 <b>TS1</b> *	15.7 <b>TS2</b> *	12.2 <b>17*</b>	15.1 <b>18</b> *	12.1 <b>TS1*</b>	13.6 <b>TS2</b> *	6.1 <b>17</b> *	10.5 <b>18</b> *
2	$R^3 = R^4 = OMe, 25*$	17.9 <b>TS3*</b>	15.5 <b>TS4</b> *	14.7 <b>26*</b>	14.8 <b>27*</b>	14.7 <b>TS3*</b>	12.4 <b>TS4</b> *	9.0 <b>26*</b>	8.9 <b>27</b> *
3	$R^3 = OMe, R^4 = Me, 28*$	18.8 <b>TS5</b> *	15.4 <b>TS6*</b>	15.8 <b>29</b> *	14.9 <b>30</b> *	14.6 <b>TS5</b> *	13.3 <b>TS6*</b>	9.9 <b>29*</b>	10.2 <b>30</b> *
4	$R^3$ , $R^4 = -OCH_2O-$ , 31*	17.8 <b>TS7</b> *	14.8 <b>TS8</b> *	14.8 <b>32</b> *	13.6 <b>33</b> *	14.7 <b>TS7</b> *	12.4 TS8*	9.2 <b>32</b> *	8.2 <b>33</b> *
5	$R^3 = R^4 = H, 34*$	18.3, <b>TS9</b> *		16.0, <b>35</b> *		16.0, <b>TS9</b> *		10.7, <b>36*</b>	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> UB3LYP/6-31G(d) thermal corrections at 268 K were applied. <sup>b</sup> Reported values represent single-point calculations at the UB3LYP/6-31G(d)-optimized geometries.

The destabilization of ortho, meta transition states TS3\*, TS5\*, and TS7\* relative to para, meta isomers TS4\*, TS6\*, and TS8\* can be explained by the conformation of the meta substituent R<sup>3</sup>. It has been established that the methoxy groups of anisole prefer a planar conformation. 12 The same conformational preference holds true for ortho (22\*) and para (23\*) methoxycyclohexadienyl radicals. In ortho, meta transition states TS3\* and TS5\*, the ortho methoxy group cannot be planar because of steric hindrance from the meta R<sup>4</sup> group, raising the energies relative to the para, meta transition states. The meta oxygen of ortho, meta transition state TS7\* is also nonplanar because the parent 1,3-benzodioxole prefers a nonplanar conformation in which the methylene moiety is puckered with respect to the five-membered ring.<sup>13</sup> This is due to the anomeric effect, which involves stabilization by  $n \rightarrow \sigma^*$  interactions between the oxygen lone pairs and the C-O  $\sigma^*$  orbitals of the puckered conformation. Electrostatic repulsion may exist between the oxygen lone pairs and the iminium moiety of the catalyst. This repulsion is relieved in cyclized radical cations 26\*, 29\*, and 32\* as the iminium group bends away from the cyclohexadienyl radical (see the comparison of the dihedral angles a-b-c-d in Table 2).

In conclusion, the selectivity of the  $\alpha$ -arylation reactions is attributed to the activation energies and relative stabilities of the isomeric transition states. In the case of the monomethoxyaryl system 1\*, both *ortho* and *para* arylation barriers are possible under the reaction conditions. These reactions are endergonic, and the transition-state energies are related to the relative stabilities of the resulting cyclized radical cation intermediates. For the more highly substituted aldehydes 8\*-10\*,  $R^3$  is distorted from planarity, which raises the energy of the *ortho*,

**Table 2.** Comparison of Dihedral Angles (a-b-c-d) in *ortho*, *meta* TSs and *ortho*, *meta* Cyclized Radical Cations

ortho, meta TS

ortho, meta radical cation

		dihedral angle (deg)			
entry	R <sup>3</sup> , R <sup>4</sup>	TS	cyclized radical cation		
1	$R^3 = R^4 = OMe$	95, <b>TS3*</b>	128, <b>26*</b>		
2	$R^3 = OMe, R^4 = Me$	95, <b>TS5</b> *	119, <b>29</b> *		
3	$R^3$ , $R^4 = -OCH_2O-$	98, <b>TS7*</b>	131, <b>32*</b>		

meta transition states with respect to the para, meta isomers. The ortho, meta activation energies are similar to those of unreactive aldehydes. Thus, ortho, meta cyclization does not occur under the reaction conditions, resulting in the experimentally observed para, meta selectivity. A detailed study of the origins of the relative stabilities of cyclohexadienyl radicals is underway and will be reported in due course.

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to the National Institute of General Medical Sciences of the National Institutes of Health (GM 36700 to K.N.H. and R01 GM 078201-01-01 to D.W.C.M.), Ronald S. Gabriel, M.D./Scrubs Unlimited SRF (O.G.), Novartis/ACS (J.M.U.), and the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation for a Feodor Lynen Fellowship (F.S.) for support of this work. Computations were performed on the NSF TeraGrid resources provided by NCSA (CHE0400414) and the UCLA Academic Technology Services (ATS) Hoffman2 and IDRE clusters.

**Supporting Information Available:** Complete ref 5 and Cartesian coordinates and energies of all reported structures and model systems. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

JA9063074

<sup>(12)</sup> The preferred planar methoxy conformation holds true for anisole. For example, see: Emsley, J. W.; Foord, E. K.; Lindon, J. C. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1998, 1211.

<sup>(13) (</sup>a) Sakurai, S.; Meinander, N.; Morris, K.; Laane, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 5056. (b) Moon, S.; Kwon, Y.; Lee, J.; Choo, J. J. Phys. Chem. A 2001, 105, 3221.