See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/50865103

Dramatic Structural Rearrangements in Porous Coordination Networks

ARTICLE in JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN CHEMICAL SOCIETY · MARCH 2011

Impact Factor: 12.11 · DOI: 10.1021/ja109160a · Source: PubMed

CITATIONS	READS
29	41

6 AUTHORS, INCLUDING:



Javier Marti-Rujas
Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia
40 PUBLICATIONS 429 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Nazrul Islam
Cambridge Display Technology
9 PUBLICATIONS 127 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE




Dramatic Structural Rearrangements in Porous Coordination Networks

Javier Martí-Rujas,^{†,#} Nazrul Islam,^{||} Daisuke Hashizume,[‡] Fujio Izumi,[§] Makoto Fujita,[†] and Masaki Kawano^{*,||}

The Division of Advanced Materials Science, Pohang University of Science and Technology (POSTECH), San 31 Hyojadong, Pohang 790-784, Korea



ABSTRACT: With the use of *ab initio* X-ray powder diffraction, a family of isostructural crystalline porous coordination networks, $[(ZnX_2)_3(TPT)_2]_n$ · (solvent) (X = I, Br, Cl), has been studied at elevated temperatures of 573–723 K. Upon heating, all three networks exhibited crystalline-to-amorphous-to-crystalline (CAC) phase transformations to three new networks, $[(ZnI_2)_3(TPT)_2]_m$, $[(ZnBr_2)_3(TPT)_2]_n$ · (H_2O) and $[(ZnBr_2)(\mu-Br)(ZnBr)(TPT)]_m$ respectively. A set of control experiments was used to obtain detailed mechanistic aspects of the CAC transformations. We demonstrate how bonds are broken and formed in these significant molecular rearrangements and how the initial arrangement plays a crucial role in the formation of the new networks after the CAC transformations. The structural information in the amorphous phase is retained and passed from a



metastable to a more stable crystal, thus, reinforcing the notion that coordination networks are flexible and chemically active.

■ INTRODUCTION

Porous coordination networks are extremely versatile materials due to the hybrid nature of their constituent building blocks (i. e., metal ions and organic ligands), which allows them to be used in applications such as conductivity, catalysis, and gas adsorption. The formation of thermodynamically stable porous coordination networks is crucial for their potential applications. Depending on the crystallization conditions during the self-assembling process, the resulting porous coordination networks can be stable thermodynamic products or metastable kinetic products. Thermodynamically controlled porous coordination networks can be obtained via conventional self-assembly methods in solution over long periods of time (i.e., days to weeks). $^{10-12}$ The resulting stable products yield crystals that can be readily determined by single crystal X-ray diffraction and, in particular cases, single-crystal-to-single-crystal (SCSC) reactions allow solid-state reactions to be studied in detail. $^{13-16}$ Conversely, metastable products are more difficult to be characterized by single crystal X-ray diffraction due to their small crystal size resulting from fast crystallization process and due to their tendency to transform into more stable structures. 17

One of the primary problems chemists face in studying solidstate reactions is that often the single crystals transform into poly-microcrystalline samples and therefore are not suitable for structural characterization by single-crystal X-ray diffraction. For this reason, there are many solid-state chemical reactions that remained unexplored. However, thanks to recent advances in *ab initio* structure determination by X-ray powder diffraction (XRPD), $^{18-26}$ chemical reactions can be characterized in detail in a single-crystal-to-polycrystalline manner.

Solid-state reactions involving intermediate amorphous phases are challenging, yet fascinating reactions because they can form new materials that are difficult (if not impossible) to be obtained from solution, providing that the solid-state reactions have different reaction conditions to those in the solution state.²⁷

In this regard, we recently reported how a double interpenetrated porous coordination network $[(ZnI_2)_3(TPT)_2]_n \cdot 5.5$ $(C_6H_5NO_2)(H_2O)$ (1), where TPT is tris(4-pyridyl)triazine, transforms into the remarkably stable porous network $[(ZnI_2)_3(TPT)_2]_n$ (1a) in a solid-state reaction at high temperatures exceeding 573 K.²⁸ The reaction occurs via a crystalline-to-amorphous-to-crystalline (CAC) phase transformation that involves significant

Received: October 19, 2010 Published: March 25, 2011

[†]Department of Applied Chemistry, School of Engineering, The University of Tokyo, Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan

[‡]Advanced Technology Support Division, RIKEN, Hirosawa, Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan

[§]Quantum Beam Center, National Institute for Materials Science, 1-1 Namiki, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0044, Japan

molecular rearrangements. From those results, it seems that the initial arrangement of molecules within metastable structure (1) can play an important role in the synthesis of new crystal structures. To gain insights in the mechanistic aspects of such CAC phase transformations, we exploited state-of-the-art methods for structure determination from powder X-ray diffraction data to study a set of isostructural metastable coordination networks $[(ZnBr_2)_3(TPT)_2]_n \cdot S(C_6H_5NO_2)(H_2O)$ (2) and $[(ZnCl_2)_3(TPT)_2]_n \cdot S.S(C_6H_5NO_2)(H_2O)$ (3) at elevated temperatures. We found that the metastable structures (1–3) undergo CAC phase transformation involving bond-breaking and

Scheme 1. Porous Coordination Networks, 1, 2, and 3 Synthesized Using the "Instant Synthesis" Method

$Znl_2 \qquad [(Znl_2)_3(TPT)_2]_n \bullet (solvent)$ (1) (I) (I)

Isostructural 3D Networks




Figure 1. Crystal structure transformation from 1 to 1a. (a) In 1, the two interpenetrating networks are shown in blue and orange, while nitrobenzene guest molecules are in green. (b) In 1a, there is no network interpenetration and the porous structure is maintained without guest molecules filling the pores. Compound 1a is stable up to 623 K.

bond-forming to yield three new stable products. Furthermore, we studied the role of the intermediate amorphous phase in order to elucidate whether a structural memory effect exists during the CAC transformations. In addition to size difference in halides, the difference in strength of Zn—halide coordination bonds in the metastable structures plays a crucial role in the final structures.

■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis of Metastable Interpenetrated Networks 1, 2, and 3. Kinetically controlled metastable compounds 1, 2, and 3 were synthesized using the "instant synthesis" method (Scheme 1).²⁹ A fine homogeneous powder of 1 was obtained by instant mixing of ZnI₂ (0.24 mmol) with TPT (0.16 mmol) in an 8:1 nitrobenzene/methanol solution (36 mL) within 30 s. Compounds 2 and 3 were obtained using the same method but replacing ZnI₂ with ZnBr₂ and ZnCl₂, respectively. The XRPD patterns, simulated powder patterns from single crystal structures, and elemental analyses all indicate that pure phases of 1, 2, and 3 were obtained.³⁰ Compounds 1, 2, and 3 are isostructural and are described as double interpenetrated (10,3-b) networks.³¹ The shortest circuit within the networks is composed of 10 Zn halides and 10 TPT molecules.³² Thermogravimetric analysis and differential scanning calorimetry (TG-DSC) experiments show that all three structures gradually release solvent between 300 and 500 K (See Supporting Information).

Thermodynamic Stability and Structural Properties of 1. Upon heating from 300 to 673 K, compound 1 (Figure 1a) undergoes a CAC transformation.²⁸ In situ XRPD shows that 1 changes to an amorphous phase at 473 K and upon further heating a new crystalline porous phase (1a) appears uniformly at 573 K and is stable up to 673 K (Figure 1b). TG-DSC experiments revealed that after the release of solvent molecules a new crystalline phase is obtained as indicated by the exothermic peak at ca. 573 K (Figure S1).

Importantly, the metal-to-ligand ratio (M/L) of 3:2 in 1a remains unchanged throughout the reaction. The stability of 1a was studied under vacuum conditions and low temperature (95 K). The XRPD data demonstrates that 1a is stable under those conditions. Direct *ab initio* structure solution structure solution indicates that the framework in 1a under vacuum is the same as the one at atmospheric pressure, with only a small distortion of the ligand TPT. Guest inclusion behavior of 1a was demonstrated by immersion of 1a in nitrobenzene. Because of the potential




Figure 2. Simulated XRPD patterns of 1a (black) and $1a \cdot G_1$ (red) obtained from *ab initio* structure solution. The peak at ca. $2\theta \approx 19^{\circ}$ (red circle) corresponds to the 22-1 *hkl* plane which contains the I_2 molecule in the pore (wavelength used for simulation, $\lambda = 1.5406$ Å).




Figure 3. Experimental (red), calculated (pale-blue), and difference (dark-blue) XRPD profiles from the final Rietveld refinement of $1a \cdot G_1$ (top). Temperature-dependent reversible guest inclusion/removal of I_2 in 1a (bottom).




Figure 4. *In situ* synchrotron XRPD patterns of **2** measured at different temperatures. The formation of an intermediate amorphous phase at 473 K shows that significant molecular reorganization occurs during the solid-state reaction. The formation of a new crystalline phase is observed at 573 K at a heating rate 10 °C/min.

industrial applications of porous coordination networks to materials for catalysts, conductivity, chemical sensors, and so on, we were prompted to further investigate the inclusion of smaller molecules (I_2). Compound $[(ZnI_2)_3(TPT)_2 \cdot x(G_1)]_n$ (with x = 0.6 ($1a \cdot G_1$), where $G_1 = I_2$) was successfully obtained by exposure of I_2 to vapors of I_2 at 300 K for 12 h. The peak shift




Figure 5. Experimental (red), calculated (pale-blue), and difference (dark-blue) XRPD profiles from the final Rietveld refinement of **2a** (top). Crystal structure of **2a**: (a) detailed view along the c-axis of the two interpenetrated circuits (green and red) formed after the CAC transformation. (b) Side view of the same interpenetrated networks showing the π - π stacking between TPT ligands (bottom).




Figure 6. Structural rearrangement of the noninterpenetrated network **2b** into the interpenetrated structure of **2a** upon heating (553 K) via CAC phase transformations.

and change in the relative intensities of the XRPD pattern suggest the inclusion of I_2 (Figure 2). In particular, the intense peak in $1\mathbf{a}\cdot\mathbf{G}_1$ at $2\theta\approx19^\circ$, which corresponds to the hkl plane 22-1, indicates that I_2 is included in the pore. This is also supported by the color change from light yellow ($1\mathbf{a}$) to brown ($1\mathbf{a}\cdot\mathbf{G}_1$). The structure of $1\mathbf{a}\cdot\mathbf{G}_1$ was solved directly by ab initio XRPD. The good agreement between experimental and calculated diffraction




Figure 7. XRPD patterns and structures of 2 and 2a obtained from *ab initio* XRPD. Compound 2 was transformed to 2a in the solid-state via amorphous phase upon heating at 573 K, whereas grinding solid TPT and ZnBr₂ and heating to 573 K did not form 2a.

patterns in the Rietveld refinement shows the correctness of the structure (Figure 3). The guest inclusion of I_2 is reversible since heating $1a \cdot G_1$ to 573 K produced 1a again (Figure 3).

The I_2 molecules are located between iodide atoms of ZnI_2 units at the center of the pore. The intermolecular distance between I_2 molecules is 6.59 Å which suggests that there is no interaction among I_2 molecules. The dihalogen I_2 acts as Lewis acid (halogen bond donor) and the I from the ZnI_2 acts as Lewis base (halogen bond acceptor)^{35–39} to form a one-dimensional array through halogen bonding interactions. One I_2 is halogen bonded to two I atoms through the $I \cdot \cdot \cdot I - I$ interactions ($d_1 = 3.76$ Å; 158° and $d_2 = 3.67$ Å; 174°). The attractive nature of this halogen bonding interaction is evidenced by the $I \cdot \cdot \cdot I$ distances that are shorter than the sum of van der Waals radii of two I atoms (3.96 Å). Unlike other compounds involving halogen bonding interactions with I_2 , the I_2 in $Ia \cdot G_1$ does not have amphoteric behavior (i. e., both termini of the I_2 act as a Lewis acid).³⁶ Thus, the attractive nature of the halogen bonding interaction can be considered as the main driving force for the inclusion of the I_2 in Ia.

Retention of Structural Information through the CAC Transformation in 1. The formation of the amorphous phase can be rationalized by the significant molecular reorganization occurring during the phase transition (423 to 523 K) from double interpenetrated to the noninterpenetrated topology of 1a. Clearly, unlocking of the two networks implies cleavage and formation of zinc—nitrogen coordination bonds. In this solid-state reaction, it is likely that a certain degree of structural memory of 1 persists in the amorphous phase (473 K) in order to form 1a. To prove that, we carried out a control experiment by finely grinding powder of ZnI₂ and TPT (3:2 molar ratio). However, the amorphous phase obtained after grinding did not produce 1a at 573 K (Figure S4a). Moreover, DSC experiments of a grinding mixture of ZnI₂ and TPT (3:2 molar ratio) did not show any exothermic peak upon heating to 573 K, indicating that

no crystallization of **1a** occurs (Figure S4b). The same experiment but with the addition of a small amount of nitrobenzene to the mixture of ZnI_2 and ligand TPT also did not form **1a** after heating to 573 K. These experiments, where there is no prior molecular rearrangement, suggest that the preorganization in **1** is crucial for the uniform formation of **1a**. Furthermore, in order to study if the same phenomena (i.e., bond breaking and bond forming) occurred when a different type of interpenetrated porous coordination network is used as starting material, the biporous coordination network $[(ZnI_2)_3(TPT)_2(triphenylene)]_n \cdot x(C_6H_5NO_2) \cdot y(CH_3OH)$ (where $x \approx 4$ and $y \approx 2$) (**1b**)⁴⁰ was heated at 573 K. Interestingly, PXRD analysis shows that the same thermodynamically stable structure **1a** is obtained (Figures S5 and S6).

Crystalline-to-Amorphous-to-Crystalline Phase Transition and Structural Properties of 2: Structural Memory Effect and High Temperature Synthesis of Bromide-Bridged M2L **Network.** Microcrystalline powder of **2** was heated to 573 K in the hot stage of the diffractometer and monitored by in situ XRPD analysis. The diffraction data shows two phase transitions through a CAC process (Figure 4). After annealing 2 in air at 573 K for 36 h, a highly polycrystalline solid was obtained and confirmed by DSC from the exothermic peak at ca. 540 K (Figure S2). We noted that the same XRPD pattern was obtained at lower temperatures (i.e., 543–573 K).²⁹ Elemental analysis suggests that the compound is $[(ZnBr_2)_3(TPT)_2]_n \cdot (H_2O)$ (2a). High quality synchrotron XRPD data of 2a was recorded and structure determination was carried out by ab intio XRPD followed by Rietveld refinement (Figure 5). The compound 2a is a double interpenetrated network obtained after nitrobenzene guest removal and molecular rearrangement (Figure 5). The significant molecular reorganization of the framework, which can be explained by the formation of the amorphous phase, resulted in loss of single crystallinity, a large unit cell volume reduction of 47%, and change in the crystal system from monoclinic to orthorhombic.




Figure 8. Single crystal structure of **2c**. (a) Sheet showing the link among "saddle units" expanding through the plane *ac*. (b) Detailed side view image of the new coordination motif between the Br and the Zn atoms. (c) Three intercalated sheets (purple, green, and color coded) showing porosity. Color code: C, orange; Zn, gray; N, blue; and Br, brown.

Regarding the topology, like in 1 and 2, the shortest circuit observed in 2a consists of 10 molecules of TPT and 10 Zn atoms. One single circuit forms channels along the c-axis (Figure 5a). However, in the absence of guests to fill up the channels, a second circuit interpenetrates through $\pi-\pi$ stacking interactions and forms a nonporous double interpenetrated network (Figure 5b).

It is important to elucidate whether there is cleavage and formation of coordination bonds and whether retention of structural features exists through the amorphous transition. Although the similar double interpenetration connectivity between 2 and 2a is observed, the above structural changes and the presence of amorphous phase during the heating process suggest that the molecular reorganization in 2 should involve cleavage and formation of coordination bonds. To clarify this aspect, we investigated the network $[(ZnBr_2)_3(TPT)_2]_n \cdot 6(C_6H_5NO_2)$ (2b), which is a noninterpenetrated network having 1D channels (diameter: ca. 25 Å) (Figure 6a).²⁹ Heating **2b** to 553 K yields the same XRPD pattern of compound **2a** (Figure S10) and therefore the same crystal structure (Figure 6b). ⁴¹ Clearly, this evidence proves that cleavage and formation of coordination bonds must occur during the CAC transformation from 2b (noninterpenetrated) to 2a (interpenetrated). To understand the role of the amorphous intermediate phase more clearly, we carried out two control experiments. First, we mixed ZnBr2 and TPT powder in a 3:2 molar ratio, ground and heated to 573 K for 36 h. However, XRPD data demonstrated that compound 2a was not formed. Moreover, TG-DSC experiments showed no exothermic peak between 550 and 580 K, indicating that no crystallization of 2a took place (Figure S12). Similarly to the




Figure 9. *In situ* XRPD patterns of 3 measured at different temperatures. The formation of an intermediate amorphous phase at 473 K shows that severe molecular reorganization takes place during the solid-state reaction. The formation of a new crystalline phase (3a) (i.e., similar to that of 2a) is observed at 573 K. Annealing 3a for 60 h with a heating rate 10 °C/min generated a new compound 3b.

CAC transformation of 1 into 1a, the above results show that the molecular prearrangement in 2 and 2b is necessary to form 2a. Therefore, a certain degree of structural features remains in the amorphous phase (i.e., a sort of memory effect) that permits structural information to be propagated from the metastable structure toward a more stable network 2a (Figure 7).

To study if breaking of the interpenetration in 2a and formation of a new network was possible, 2a was heated in air to 723 K for 4 h in a furnace. After cooling down to 300 K over 36 h, single crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained. Single crystal X-ray analysis revealed new bromide-bridged coordination network $[(ZnBr_2)(\mu-Br)(ZnBr)(TPT)]_n$ (2c) (Figure 8). The metal-to-ligand ratio changed from M₃L₂ to M₂L during this process. The new three-dimensional network (2c) has two TPT ligands connected through one ZnBr2. Because of local changes in the coordination environment, one of the two Zn atoms has a tetrahedral geometry through coordination to three Br and one pyridyl group (Figure 8b). The bridging coordination bond extends two-dimensionally along the a- and c-axes directions and forms layers (Figure 8a). Each layer can be regarded as a porous structure. However, adjacent two-dimensional layers are not interpenetrated but rather intercalated, and therefore, the intrinsic porosity of each layer is lost upon stacking (Figure 8c).

Crystalline-to-Amorphous-to-Crystalline Phase Transition and Structural Properties of 3: High Temperature Synthesis of Chloride-Bridged M₂L Network. Deliberately, we synthesized the metastable interpenetrated network $[(ZnCl_2)_3(TPT)_2]_n \cdot 5.5(C_6H_5NO_2)(CH_3OH)(H_2O)$ (3) homogeneously




Figure 10. Single crystal structure of **3b.** (a) Shortest circuit composed of TPT and Zn in **3b.** (b) Detailed coordination environment around the Zn₁ and Zn₂ atoms. (c) Side view showing the interpenetration between the networks. Color code (top): C, orange; Zn, gray; N, blue; and Cl, green.

by using the instant synthesis method. X-ray structure determination, elemental analysis, and thermogravimetric studies revealed that compound 3 was isostructural to 1 and 2.⁴² Similarly to 1 and 2, a CAC phase transition of 3 during heating at 573 K was observed. Note that the diffraction pattern observed at 573 K was similar to that of 2a. This observation indicates the presence of a nonporous desolvated structure (i.e., namely 3a).⁴³ However, the powder 3a was not as stable as 2a, so that successive 60 h annealing at 573 K produced a new powder pattern (Figure 9).

Interestingly, further annealing of 3 in a furnace at 723 K for 4 h and subsequently cooling to 300 K over 36 h produced single crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography. Single crystal X-ray crystallographic analysis revealed the formation of a new structure $[(\text{ZnCl}_2)(\mu\text{-Cl})(\text{ZnCl})(\text{TPT})]_n$ ($3\mathbf{b}$). The simulated XRPD pattern from single crystal data reasonably matched the diffraction pattern of the product obtained at 573 K (Figures S18 and S19). The TG-DSC analysis revealed that 3 gradually releases nitrobenzene first at temperatures from 300 to 500 K, followed by the formation of phase $3\mathbf{b}$ as evidenced by the exothermic peak around 525 K (Figure S3).

As in 2c, the metal-to-ligand ratio in 3b also changed from M₃L₂ to M₂L during this process. One Zn metal coordinates to three Cl atoms and one pyridyl group, while the second Zn atom coordinates to two Cl and two pyridyl groups (Figure 10); thus, both Zn centers adopt a tetrahedral geometry. Compound 3b is a two-fold interpenetrated network in which each network forms a square channel. However, the channels are no longer present due to the interpenetration of individual networks. Despite having the bridging motif between the Zn and Cl atoms, 3b is not isostructural to 2c. In a control experiment, grinding ZnCl₂ and TPT in a 3:2 molar ratio and then heating to 573 K for 60 h did not produce 3a but rather 3b as shown by XRPD. This was further supported by TG-DSC analysis in which again a grinding mixture of a 3:2 metal-to-ligand ratio showed an exothermic peak at ca. 553 K as a result of the crystallization of 3b (Figure S22).




Figure 11. Comparison of DSC of 1 (black), 2 (red), and 3 (blue). Crystallization of 1a, 2a, and 3b occurs after the formation of the amorphous phase and is clearly observed by the exothermic peaks at 573, 540, and 502 K, respectively, where the temperatures indicate the rising rather than the peak top positions.

The Influence of Zinc Halides in the Solid-State Reaction of Interpenetrated Porous Networks. Upon heating, each interpenetrated porous network 1, 2, and 3 initially produces amorphous phases. However, interestingly, if heating continues, network 1 converts into a noninterpenetrated network (1a), whereas networks 2 and 3 give interpenetrated networks 2a and 3a, respectively. Even though once periodicity of frameworks is lost at 473 K, surprisingly further heating produces new thermally stable crystalline phases. Metastable networks 1, 2, and 3 are isostructural and contain the same solvent. Therefore, the different crystal structures 1a and 2a can be rationalized due to the presence of different zinc halides. To better understand this aspect, we compared the DSC experiments of 1, 2, and 3 upon heating from 300 to 725 K (Figure 11). The removal of solvent molecules takes place at 300-473 K, followed by the formation of the amorphous phase (i.e., corroborated by XRPD) and crystallization as denoted by the exothermic peaks at 573 and 540 K corresponding to 1a and 2a, respectively. Importantly, the two exothermic peaks appear at different temperatures, indicating that higher energy is required to form 1a than 2a. Thus, after the amorphous phase formation, different reorganization processes such as bond-breaking and bond-forming can occur and hence may explain the different structures of 1a and 2a. In case of 3, the desolvated structure 3a was unstable and thus directly transformed to 3b. These reactivity differences can be attributable to the different strength of coordination bonds between Zn and halide atoms.

■ CONCLUSIONS

Through our studies, we determined that 1, 2, and 3 differ in the solid-state reactivity at elevated temperatures while having in common the same interpenetrated topology and crystal-to-amorphous-to-crystal transformations. As a result, three new structures with different topology were obtained upon heating. We hypothesized that these differences are attributable to the different strength of coordination bonds between Zn and halide atoms. Importantly, those networks can not be prepared from the solid-state reactions of each starting material, ZnX_2 (X = I, Br, Cl) and TPT. This leads us to conclude that the initial arrangement of the building units in the interpenetrated structures is a critical

factor influencing the reaction trajectory, suggesting that perhaps a sort of "memory effect" exists in the described phase transitions for transferring structural information between structures. Although the results reported in this paper are for a particular family of isostructural porous coordination networks (10,3-b), we believe that the process can be applied to other materials to prepare a new class of solids. Meanwhile, *ab initio* powder X-ray diffraction determination will be a very powerful analytical tool. We anticipate that the strategy reported here will be widely adopted, thus, contributing to the progress in materials science.

■ EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

X-ray Powder Diffraction Data. High quality powder X-ray diffraction pattern for compounds $1 \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{G_1}$ and $2 \mathbf{a}$ were recorded at 83 and 100 K, respectively, in transmission mode [0.3 mm capillary; synchrotron radiation $\lambda = 0.9948$ Å; 2θ range = $3-60^\circ$; step width = 0.01° ; data collection time 20 min for $1 \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{G_1}$ and $\lambda = 1.3$ Å; 2θ range = $4-68^\circ$; step width = 0.01° ; data collection time 30 min for $2 \mathbf{a}$] on a diffractometer equipped with a blue imaging plate detector at SPring-8 BL19B2 and BL02B2 beamlines.

Ab Initio Structure Determination. Details of the structure determination of 1a have been reported elsewhere. The XRPD pattern of $1a \cdot G_1$ and 2a were indexed using the program DICVOL. The structure determination was carried out by the simulated annealing method with the program DASH. The Rietveld refinements were performed with programs RIETAN-FP⁴⁷ and VESTA. Restraints but no constraints for all bond lengths were employed to maintain the molecular geometry. Thermal temperature factors were refined isotropically and uniform values were applied to the framework. The details of the *ab initio* structure determinations are in the Supporting Information section.

Single Crystal X-ray Structure Determination of 2c and 3b. The diffraction data for 2c and 3b were recorded with a Bruker APEX-II/ CCD diffractometer equipped with a focusing mirror (Mo Kα radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) with a cryostat system equipped with an N₂ generator (Japan Thermal Eng. Co., Ltd.). Crystal data for 2c: C₁₈H₁₂Br₄N₆Zn₂, $M_{\rm r} = 762.72$, crystal dimensions 0.02 × 0.02 × 0.01 mm³, orthorhombic, space group, *Pbca*, unit cell, a = 17.339(6), b = 14.752(6), c = 18.507(7) Å, V = 4734(3) ų, T = 85 K, Z = 8, 4942 unique reflections out of 5992 with $I > 2\sigma(I)$, 271 parameters, 2.12 < θ < 28.91°, final R factors $R_1 = 0.0220$ and $wR_2 = 0.0483$.

Crystal data for **3b**: $C_{18}H_{12}Cl_4N_6Zn_2$, $M_r=584.88$, crystal dimensions $0.02\times0.02\times0.01$ mm³, monoclinic, space group, $P2_1/n$, unit cell, a=11.759(4), b=9.403(3), c=19.364(7) Å, $\beta=100.515(4)^\circ$, V=2105.2(13) Å³, T=85 K, Z=4, 3313 unique reflections out of 5146 with $I>2\sigma(I)$, 271 parameters, $1.89<\theta<28.78^\circ$, final R factors $R_1=0.0445$ and $wR_2=0.0847$.

Single Crystal X-ray Structure Determination of 3c. Data diffraction of 3c was collected at Pohang Accelerator Laboratory (beamline 6B1, $\lambda = 0.88559 \text{ Å}$).

Crystal data for 3c: $C_{72}H_{55}Cl_6N_{18}O_{12}Zn_3$, $M_r=1772.14$, crystal dimensions $0.10\times0.04\times0.04$ mm³, orthorhombic, space group, Fdd2, unit cell, a=49.6200(1), b=50.5890(6), c=6.0590(6) Å, V=15209.5(15) ų, T=90 K, Z=8, 4010 unique reflections out of 4491 with $I>2\sigma(I)$, 456 parameters, $2.05<\theta<28.76^\circ$, final R factors $R_1=0.0792$ and $wR_2=0.2152$.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information. Synthetic details, elemental analyses, TG-DSC, XRPD patterns, and crystallographic details (CIF; also see CCDC entries 781948—781953). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

mkawano@postech.ac.kr

Present Addresses

*Italian Institute of Technology, Centre for Nano Science and Technology (CNST-IIT@PoliMi), Via Pascoli 70/3, 20133 Milan, Italy.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research was supported by WCU (World Class University) program through the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (Project No R31-2008-000-10059-0). We thank the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (fellowship to J.M.-R.) for funding. This work was approved by SPring-8 (Proposals 2008A1843 and 2008A1938). Single crystal and powder X-ray diffraction experiments were performed at the Pohang Accelerator Laboratory (beamlines 6B1 and 8C2) supported by Pohang University of Science and Technology.

REFERENCES

- (1) Batten, S. R.; Robson, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 1460-1494.
- (2) Eddaoudi, M.; Moler, D. B.; Li, H.; Chen, B.; Reineke, T. M.; O'Keeffe, M. O.; Yaghi, O. M. Acc. Chem. Res. **2001**, 34, 319–330.
- (3) Moulton, B.; Zaworotko, M. J. Chem. Rev. 2001, 101, 1629–1658.
- (4) Kitagawa, S.; Kitaura, R.; Noro, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 2334–2375.
 - (5) Férey, G. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37, 191-214.
- (6) Wang, B.; Côté, A. P.; Furukawa, H.; O'Keeffe, M.; Yaghi, O. M. Nature **2008**, 453, 207–211.
- (7) Férey, G.; Millange, F.; Morcrette, M.; Serre, C.; Doublet, M. L.; Grenèche, J. M.; Tarascon, J. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 3259–3263.
- (8) Fujita, M.; Kwon, Y. J.; Washizu, S.; Ogura, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 1151–1152.
- (9) Matsuda, R.; Kitaura, R.; Kitagawa, S.; Kubota, Y.; Belosludov, R. V.; Kobayashi, T. C.; Sakamoto, H.; Chiba, T.; Takata, M.; Kawazoe, Y.; Mita, Y. *Nature* **2005**, *436*, 238–241.
- (10) Philp, D.; Stoddart, J. F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1996, 35, 1154–1196.
 - (11) Lehn, J.-M. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2007, 36, 151-160.
 - (12) Fujita, M. Chem. Soc. Rev. 1998, 27, 417-425.
- (13) Kawano, M.; Fujita, M. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2007, 251, 2592–2605.
- (14) Haneda, T.; Kawano, M.; Kawamichi, T.; Fujita, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 1578–1579.
- (15) Das, S.; Kim, H.; Kim, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 3814–3815.
- (16) Kawamichi, T.; Haneda, T.; Kawano, M.; Fujita, M. *Nature* **2009**, *461*, 633–635.
- (17) Martí-Rujas, J.; Matsushita, Y.; Izumi, F.; Fujita, M.; Kawano, M. Chem. Commun. **2010**, 46, 6516–6517.
- (18) Harris, K. D. M.; Tremayne, M.; Lightfoot, P.; Bruce, P. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 3543–3547.
- (19) Harris, K. D. M.; Cheung, E. Y. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2004, 33, 526–538.
- (20) Harris, K. D. M.; Tremayne, M.; Kariuki, B. Angew. Chem. 2001, 113, 1674–1700; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 1626–1651.
- (21) Guo, F.; Martí-Rujas, J.; Pan, Z.; Hughes, C. E.; Harris, K. D. M. J. Phys. Chem. C. **2008**, 112, 19793–19796.

- (22) Martí-Rujas, J.; Morte-Ródenas, A.; Guo, F.; Thomas, N.; Fujii, K.; Kariuki, B. M.; Harris, K. D. M. Crys. Growth Des. 2010, 10, 3176–3181.
- (23) Pagola, S.; Stephens, P. W.; Bohle, D. S.; Kosar, A. D.; Madsen, S. K. *Nature* **2000**, 404, 307–310.
- (24) Férey, G.; Mellot-Draznieks, C.; Serre, C.; Millange, F.; Dutour, J.; Surblé, S.; Margiolak, I. *Science* **2005**, *309*, 2040–2042.
- (25) Structure Determination from Powder Diffraction Data; David, W. I. F., Shankland, D., McCusker, L. B., Baerlocher, C., Eds.; IUCr Monographs on Crystallography 13; Oxford University Press: Oxford, U.K., 2002.
- (26) Izumi, F. *The Rietveld Method*; Oxford University Press: Oxford, U.K., 1995.
- (27) Cohen, M. D.; Schmidt, G. M.; Flavian, S. J. Chem. Soc. 1964, 2041–2051.
- (28) Ohara, K.; Martí-Rujas, J.; Haneda, T.; Kawano, M.; Hashizume, D.; Izumi, F.; Fujita, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2009**, 131, 3860–3861.
- (29) Kawano, M.; Haneda, T.; Hashizume, D.; Izumi, F.; Fujita, M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 1269–1271.
- (30) Robson et al. reported the network 3 without detailed information in reference. We attempted to redetermine the crystal structure in this study using single crystal X-ray diffraction, but high quality data was not obtained due to polycrystallinity. However, we determined the 10,3-b type framework (see ref 42). Our X-ray powder diffraction experiments, TG-DSC, and elemental analysis suggest that the product 3 is the same interpenetrated network as 1 and 2. Therefore, we used 3 as a starting material for the heating experiment.
- (31) Delgado-Friedrichs, O.; O'Keeffe, M.; Yaghi, O. M. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A 2003, 59, 515–525.
- (32) Biradha, K.; Fujita, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 3392–3395.
- (33) For the details of the *ab initio* structure determination at 95 K (vacuum), and structural information, see Supporting Information.
- (34) Due to experimental conditions, the XRPD of $1a \cdot G_1$ and 1a were collected at different temperatures 95 K (vacuum) and 82 K.
- (35) Cavallo, G.; Metrangolo, P.; Pilati, T.; Resnati, G.; Sansotera, M.; Terraneo, G. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2010, 39, 3772–3783.
- (36) Rimmer, E. L.; Bailey, R. D.; Hanks, T. W.; Pennington, W. T. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2000**, *6*, 4071–4081.
- (37) Metrangolo, P.; Neukirch, H.; Pilati, T.; Resnati, G. Acc. Chem. Res. 2005, 38, 386–395.
- (38) Caronna, T.; Liantonio, R.; Logothetis, T. A.; Metrangolo, P.; Pilati, T.; Resnati, G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 4500–4501.
- (39) Metrangolo, P.; Meyer, F.; Pilati, T.; Resnati, G.; Terraneo., G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 6114–6127.
- (40) Ohmori, O.; Kawano, M.; Fujita, M. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2005**, 44, 1962–1964. **1b** has an interpenetrated structure in which triphenylene is intercalated between two triazine ligands. Triphenylene is regarded as a host framework rather than guest because it cannot be replaced by common aromatic compounds under guest exchange conditions, due to the strong stacking between triazine panels and triphenylene thorough charge-transfer interactions.
- (41) See Supporting Information to see the XRPD pattern of **2a** obtained after heating **2** and **2b** at 553 K (Figure S10). We also obtained the same XRPD pattern and hence the same interpenetrated structure **2a** from a biporous coordination network reported in ref 29 (Figure S11).
- (42) As explained in ref 30, 3 has the same topology of 1 and 2. We managed to obtain the crystal structure of 3 using single crystal X-ray diffraction and, although we could not locate the nitrobenzene molecules, we did determine the framework (Figure S16) and simulate the XRPD pattern. Figure S17 shows the comparison between the experimental and simulated XRPD patterns. Slow layer-to-layer diffusion method with the same stoichiometry of starting reagents produced single crystals of the 3D network structure $[(ZnCl_2)_3(TPT)_2]_n \cdot 6(C_6H_5NO_2)$ (3c) although 3 can be obtained as a minor product. The network 3c has 1D channels formed by $\pi-\pi$ stacking of TPT (Figure S24). See Supporting Information for detailed information.
- (43) Compound 3 did not provide a clear XRPD pattern after reaching 573 K, whereas compounds 1 and 2 having good crystallinity

- provided 1a and 2a via crystalline-to-amorphous-to-crystalline (CAC) transformation at 573 K. In the case of 3, the equivalent 3a obtained after the amorphous phase is not crystalline enough and cannot be characterized by *ab initio* X-ray diffraction. However, similarities with the diffraction pattern of 2a and elemental analyses suggest the same desolvated structure.
- (44) We note that the temperature difference in the exothermic peaks obtained after heating 3 (525 K) and after heating a grinding mixture of $ZnCl_2$ and TPT (553 K) can be rationalized by the presence of nitrobenzene in 3. In the case of $ZnCl_2$, since it is very likely that solid—liquid phase was created at 573 K, the most stable product 3b was obtained
 - (45) Boultif, A.; Louër, D. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 1991, 24, 987-993.
- (46) David, W. I. F.; Shankland, K; van de Streek, J; Pidcock, E; Motherwell, W. D. S.; Cole, J. C. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2006, 39, 910–915.
 - (47) Izumi, F.; Momma, K. Solid State Phenom. 2007, 130, 15-20.
 - (48) Momma, K.; Izumi, F. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2008, 41, 653–658.