See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/273329805

γ-Al2O3 Supported Pd@CeO2 Core@Shell Nanospheres: Salting-out Assisted Growth and Self-assembly, and Their Catalytic Performance on CO Oxidation

ARTICLE in CHEMICAL SCIENCE · FEBRUARY 2015

Impact Factor: 9.21 · DOI: 10.1039/C4SC03854A

CITATIONS

3

READS

21

6 AUTHORS, INCLUDING:



Xiao Wang

Tianjin University

258 PUBLICATIONS 2,104 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Da-Peng Liu

Chinese Academy of Sciences

42 PUBLICATIONS 1,083 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Hongjie Zhang

Chinese Academy of Sciences


428 PUBLICATIONS 11,874 CITATIONS


SEE PROFILE



Chemical Science

Accepted Manuscript





This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxxx

ARTICLE TYPE

γ-Al₂O₃ Supported Pd@CeO₂ Core@Shell Nanospheres: Salting-out Assisted Growth and Self-assembly, and Their Catalytic Performance on CO Oxidation

Xiao Wang, Dapeng Liu, * Junqi Li, Jiangman Zhen, Fan Wang, and Hongjie Zhang*

5 Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

In this paper, we have successfully demonstrated the clean synthesis of high-quality Pd@CeO₂ core@shell nanospheres with tunable Pd core sizes in water, and furthermore loaded the as-obtained Pd@CeO₂ products on commercial γ-Al₂O₃ via electrostatic interaction. KBr here plays two key roles in 10 inducing the growth and self-assembly of Pd@CeO₂ core@shell nanospheres. First, Br ions can retard the reduction of Pd²⁺ ions via the formation of more stable complex of [PdBr₄]²⁻ so as to tune the size of Pd cores. Second, it greatly decreases the colloidal stability, and hence the surface polarity-weakened Pd and CeO2 NPs have to spontaneously self-assemble into more stable and ordered structures. Among different-sized Pd samples, the as-obtained 8 nm-Pd@CeO2/Al2O3 one exhibits the best performance in 15 catalytic CO oxidation, which can catalyze 100 % CO conversion into CO₂ at 95 °C, which is much lower than the previous reported CeO₂-encapsulated Pd samples.

1. Introduction

Pd based catalysts have received continuous attention for decades in a wide range of applications. 1-5 For example, in organic 20 chemistry, Pd(0) or its compounds become indispensable to a mass of carbon-carbon bond forming reactions like Suzuki, Heck, and Stille coupling. 6-10 Besides, supported PdO_x has been recognized as one of the best catalysts for catalytic CH₄ oxidation.11 Due to the harsh conditions they often work in, 25 especially under high temperatures, the Pd catalysts are hard to resist aggregation or growth, resulting in the heavy loss of catalytic active centers and hence severe catalytic degradation and even inactivation. That means it is very important for Pd NPs to well keep their original sizes and shapes and thus their long-30 lasting optimal catalytic performance. Thus, how to improve the thermal stability of Pd nanoparticles (NPs) becomes a serious and urgent global problem that needs to be solved. Among different solutions, to form core@shell nanostructures has been identified as the most efficient way. 12-18 By densely coating of shell 35 components, the Pd core can be physically protected, the mass transformation of which is able to be prevented during both of the synthesis and the long-term catalytic cycling.

A variety of Pd@metal oxide core@shell nanostructures have been successfully fabricated, including Pd@SiO2, 19,20 Pd@ZnO, 21 40 Pd@TiO₂²² and Pd@CeO₂²³. Among these oxides, CeO₂ seems more distinctive as shell because of its excellent chemical and physical properties such as extra-low-temperature oxygen storage capacity²⁴ and the ability to generate strong synergistic effect associated with noble metals.²⁵⁻²⁸ Recently, Pd@CeO₂ 45 core@shell nanostructures have been successfully obtained via self-assembly that occurs between functionalized Pd NPs and Ce (IV) alkoxides in organic solutions. ²⁹⁻³² However, there still exists two problems in the Pd@CeO2 system. Firstly, such multi-step


assembly requires precise control and complex surface 50 modification, and moreover the unavoidable usage of expensive and toxic organic surfactants and solvents that are harmful for our health and environment. Secondly, the use of supports is of important benefits for enhancing the thermal stability of CeO₂. However, these hydrophobic alkyl capping of such Pd@CeO₂ 55 core@shell nanostructures is repelled by the hydrophilic surface of metal oxide supports, especially the mostly used high-surfacearea γ-Al₂O₃ powders. Before loading Pd@CeO₂, it is inevitable to change the polarity of the γ-Al₂O₃ supports via complex and expensive surface modification.³⁵ It is not conducive to large-60 scale synthesis and has seriously limited applications of catalysts. So in this study, the following two points have been focused in order to address the above mentioned issues. One is the aqueous clean synthesis of high-quality size-tunable Pd@CeO₂ core@shell nanostructures without usage of organics, and the 65 other is the direct assembly of them on γ-Al₂O₃ supports uniformly without any surface pretreatment.

Recently, an auto-redox relationship that happens between Ce³⁺ and noble metal ions has aroused scientists' great interests. Followed by this strategy, Ag@CeO₂ core@shell³³⁻³⁵ and ⁷⁰ Pt@CeO₂ multi-core@shell nanospheres³⁶ have been facilely obtained, and moreover the whole preparation processes are totally clean without using any expensive and toxic organopalladium compounds, long-chain alkyl surfactants and high boiling solvents, as well as complex synthetic steps and 75 laborious post-treatment. More importantly, the naked surface of the Ag@CeO₂ and Pt@CeO₂ nanospheres favor them exhibiting better catalytic performance compared with those surfactant modified. However unfortunately, such an advanced strategy does still not work in the very important Pd@CeO₂ system (see 80 Figure S1 in ESI) due to lack of enough driving force. Despite thus obtained bare Pd NPs are only supported on CeO₂ with no ordered hybrid structure, it is still highly expected to find an

Shemical Science Accepted Manuscript

appropriate thermodynamic condition to realize the desirable $Pd@CeO_2$ core@shell ones.

Herein, the classic "salting-out effect" was first proposed in the clean synthesis of core@shell nanostructures. Salting-out effect 5 can be generally explained as introducing a large number of inorganic salts into the mother solution so as to make the original solute supersaturated and thus being separated by crystallization, mostly applied in the biological areas for extraction. Until now, from a view point of synthesis, the most successful strategy of 10 noble metal-based core@shell nanostructures is the seeded growth process. Tang and co-workers have reported a series of works on such an area. 37-39 However, after carefully checking out the previous reports, there is still no report on such synthesis of NPs. In this work, we have tried to utilize the salting-out strategy 15 to disclose the evolution how high-quality Pd@CeO2 core@shell nanospheres were formed, and how inorganic metal salts (KCl, KBr or KI) worked during the growth and the self-assembly process of Pd and CeO2 components. Furthermore, we have systematically studied the size and the support $(\gamma-Al_2O_3)$ 20 influences on the catalytic performance of the Pd@CeO2 core@shell nanospheres towards CO oxidation. The whole synthesis is shown in Scheme 1.



Scheme 1. The synthetic process of Pd@CeO₂ core@shell nanospheres.

25 **2. Experimental section**

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.

Open Access Article. Published on 27 February 2015. Downloaded on 27/02/2015 12:41:09.

Synthesis of 13 nm Pd@CeO₂ core@shell nanospheres:

The whole synthetic process was described in Scheme 1. 300 mg of KBr was dissolved in 20 mL of H_2O first. The solution was heated to 60 °C for 10 min. Then 0.8 mL of Na_2PdCl_4 aqueous solution (1 mmol of $PdCl_2$ and 2 mmol of NaCl were dissolved in 16 mL of H_2O) and 2 mL of $Ce(NO_3)_3$ aqueous solution (0.1 M) were added followed by dropping 5 mL of ammonia (50 uL 25 % ammonia dissolved in 20 mL of H_2O). The mixture was heated at 60 °C for 1 h. After cooled down to room temperature, the 35 product was purified by centrifugation and washed with water for three times.

The synthesis of Pd@CeO₂ core@shell nanospheres with other Pd core sizes was synthesized under similar reaction conditions, just by changing the amount of KBr and ammonia. For example, 40 300 mg KBr and 200 uL 25 % ammonia, 150 mg KBr and 50 uL 25 % ammonia, and 500 mg KBr and 50 uL 25 % ammonia were used to synthesize 8 nm, 11 nm, and 17 nm sized Pd@CeO₂ core@shell nanospheres, respectively. The final samples are named as 8nm-, 11nm-, 13nm-, and 17nm-Pd@CeO₂, according 45 to the size of Pd NPs.

Synthesis of Pt@CeO2 multi-core@shell nanospheres:

The synthesis was similar to the above process. Certain amount of KI was dissolved in 20 mL of H₂O first. The solution was heated to 60 °C for 10 min. Then 0.8 mL of K₂PtCl₄ aqueous solution (1 mmol of K₂PtCl₄ dissolved in 16 mL of H₂O) and 2 mL of Ce(NO₃)₃ aqueous solution (0.1 M) were added, followed by dropping 5 mL of diluted ammonia (50 uL 25 % ammonia dissolved in 5 mL of H₂O). The mixture was heated at 60 °C for 1

h. After cooled down to room temperature, the product was purified by centrifugation.

Synthesis of Au@CeO2 core@shell nanospheres:

The synthesis was similar to the above process, except using HAuCl₄ and KCl instead of Na₂PdCl₄ and KBr, respectively.

Synthesis of CeO₂ NPs:

60 The synthesis was the same as the synthesis of Pd@CeO₂ except for adding Na₂PdCl₄.

Pd@CeO₂ supported on γ-Al₂O_{3:}

100 mg commercial γ-Al₂O₃ powder was mixed with 100 mL water and 100 uL 25 % ammonia. The mixture was heated at 95 °C for 2 h. Meanwhile, 100 mg of the Pd@CeO₂ sample was dispersed in 50 mL water by ultrasound treatment. Finally, the asobtained Pd@CeO₂ colloids were dropped into the γ-Al₂O₃ dispersion very slowly. After heated at 95 °C for another 2 h, the products could be collected by centrifugation.

70 Heat treatment:

All the samples have been calcined before catalytic tests. The calcination processes were performed in air at a heat rate of 5 °C/min to 600 °C and kept for three hours, and then cooled down to room temperature naturally.

75 Characterization:

The X-ray diffraction patterns of the products were collected on a Rigaku-D/max 2500 V X-ray diffractometer with Cu-K α radiation (λ = 1.5418 Å), with an operation voltage and current maintained at 40 kV and 40 mA. Transmission electron microscopic (TEM) images were obtained with a TECNAI G2 high-resolution transmission electron microscope operating at 200 kV. XPS measurement was performed on an ESCALAB-MKII 250 photoelectron spectrometer (VG Co.) with Al K α X-ray radiation as the X-ray source for excitation.

85 Catalytic test:

20 mg of catalysts were mixed with 20 mg of SiO_2 powders. The mixture was put in a stainless steel reaction tube. The experiment was carried out under a flow of reactant gas mixture (1 % CO, 20 % O_2 , balance N_2) at a rate of 30 mL/min (SV = 90000 mL/(g • h)). The composition of the gas was monitored on-line by gas

⁹⁰ The composition of the gas was monitored on-line by gas chromatography (GC 9800).

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Pd@CeO₂ core@shell nanospheres.

The transmission electron microscope (TEM) images in Figure 95 1A show that the as-obtained Pd@CeO2 hybrids are uniform and monodisperse sub-45 nm nanospheres. From Figure 1B to 1D, it is found that these nanospheres are with a core@shell superstructure. In any hybrid nanosphere, the shell is built up by hundreds of tiny CeO2 NPs assembling together. The Pd NPs 100 beneath the shell can be distinguished by their deeper contrast from CeO2. Every sub-13 nm Pd particle is firmly imbedded in the center of 5 nm CeO₂ nanoparticle aggregation as a single core. It can be seen from the HRTEM images in Figure 1D that the lattice spacing (0.31 nm) corresponds well with the characteristic 105 (111) planes of fluorite phase CeO₂. However, the dense CeO₂ coating makes it hard to observe any clear crystal planes of Pd in the core position, so high-angle annular dark-field scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF-STEM) image (Figure 1E to 1G) has been used to further analyze the

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.

Open Access Article. Published on 27 February 2015. Downloaded on 27/02/2015 12:41:09.





Figure 1. (A), (C) and (D): TEM images; (B) inside; (B) and (E) STEM image; (F) and (G) mapping analysis of the as-obtained Pd@CeO2 core@shell nanospheres.

distribution of Pd and Ce components in the nanospheres. It indicates that Ce element spreads everywhere and Pd only exists in the center of the nanospheres, well confirming the Pd@CeO₂ core@shell nanostructure.

The powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern of the as-obtained sample (Figure 1B, inset) matches well with those of the standard Pd (JCPDS no. 46-1043) and CeO₂ (JCPDS no. 34-0394). The Xray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) curves (Figure S2) identify that the two peaks at 349.9 eV and 340.4 eV correspond well to 15 the Pd 3d_{5/2} and 3d_{3/2} spin orbit peaks of Pd, respectively, while the peaks at 881.9 eV and 900.2 eV can be assigned to Ce $3d_{5/2}$ and $3d_{3/2}$ spin orbit peaks, respectively. The Pd content in the asobtained Pd@CeO₂ core@shell nanospheres is 11 wt % determined by elemental analysis using inductively coupled 20 plasma atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES). The specific surface area of the as-obtained Pd@CeO₂ sample calculated from the BET curve (Figure S3) reaches about 72.25 m²/g, which is much bigger than that (19.46 m²/g) of our previously reported Pt@CeO₂ multi-core@shell nanospheres.⁴⁰ This is possibly 25 caused by the smaller size of Pd@CeO₂ hybrids.

To understand the effects of the feeding amount of KBr and NH₃·H₂O on the formation of Pd@CeO₂ core@shell nanospheres, a series of control experiments have been designed to get a deeper insight into how the core@shell nanostructure evolved. 30 We first studied the usage amount of KBr on the structural evolution by TEM characterization. As shown in Figure S4, there was no uniform hybrid nanostructure could be found in the absence of Br. The corresponding EDX analysis (Figure S5) can identify these irregular NPs are disorderly mixed Pd and CeO₂ 35 components. After adding 50 mg of KBr, each Pd nanoparticle began to be surrounded by hundreds of small CeO2 NPs to form a core@shell-like structures, but they aggregated seriously (Figure S6), and many un-coated Pd NPs could be easily found from the TEM images. With increasing KBr amount to 150 mg, the 40 core@shell spherical nanostructure became more clear, uniform and monodisperse (Figure 2A and 2B). The Pd NPs grows from 11 nm to 13 nm to 17 nm in average while increasing KBr amount from 150 mg to 300 mg to 500 mg as shown in Figure 2C



45 Figure 2. TEM images and particle size distributions of Pd@CeO2 core@shell nanospheres obtained by addition of different amount of KBr in the reaction solution: (A), (B), (I) and (J) 150 mg KBr, 50 uL NH₃·H₂O; (C), (D), (K) and (L) 300 mg KBr, 50 uL NH₃·H₂O; (E), (F), (M) and (N)500 mg KBr, 50 uL NH₃·H₂O; (G), (H), (O) and (P) 300 mg KBr, 200 50 uL NH₃·H₂O.

to 2F. If the NH₃·H₂O amount was increased to 200 uL in the presence of 300 mg of KBr, we could still obtain core@shell structured nanospheres as shown in Figure 2P and 2Q, however both of the core@shell nanospheres and the Pd cores became 55 much smaller. It means the increased alkalinity of the solution accelerated the aggregation of CeO2 NPs to give the Pd cores a timely stronger protection, resulting in the smaller size of Pd@CeO₂ core@shell nanospheres as well. However, if we use NaOH to replace NH₃·H₂O, the product is an aggregation 60 composed by numerous small NPs mixed together, forming the disordered Pd-CeO₂ hybrids as shown in Figure S7.

Table 1. The usage amount of KBr, NH₃·H₂O and the size of products.

KBr (mg)	NH ₃ ·H ₂ O (uL)	Nanosphere (nm)	Pd (nm)	CeO ₂ (nm)
0	50	_	< 9	5
150	50	43	11	5
300	50	44	13	5
500	50	46	17	5
300	200	36	8	5

The usage amount of KBr and NH₃·H₂O as well as the final size of Pd core and the nanosphere have been summarized in Table 1. 65 The above results shows that the size of Pd NPs are strongly dependent on the feeding amount of KBr as well as NH₃·H₂O. As reported, Br ions can retard the reduction of Pd2+ ions via the formation of [PdBr₄]², because the overall stability constant of [PdBr₄]²⁻ is nearly 104 times higher than that of [PdCl₄]²⁻ while 70 [Br]/[Cl]=7.5.40-42 According to the Nernst equation, the potential of Pd2+ is greatly reduced due to the formation of a more stable complex [PdBr₄]^{2-.43} Obviously stable coordination of Pd²⁺ ions do not favor the independent nucleation of Pd nanoparticle but its growth. This could give a good explanation for the 75 phenomenon that the Pd NPs grew bigger and bigger while continuously increasing the usage of KBr from 0 to 500 mg. However the self-assembly behavior of Pd and CeO₂ remains still unclear, which is most likely driven by thermodynamics. Here we have tried to propose the salting-out effect to clarify this process.




Figure 3. TEM images of Pt@CeO2 multi-core@shell nanospheres prepared by KI assistance; (A) and (D): 0 mg; (B) and (E): 30 mg; (C) and (F): 500 mg.

Generally, introducing a mass of inorganic salts to the mother 5 aqueous solution will make the original solute supersaturated and thus being separated by crystallization. These salts can somehow weaken the polarity of solute, resulting in the reduced solubility in water. Comparatively the difference in our case is that the solutes were Pd and CeO2 NPs. So once KBr was introduced into 10 the solution, these surface polarity-weakened Pd and CeO₂ NPs in water have to spontaneously self-assemble into more stable and ordered structures due to highly decreased colloidal stability. Consequently, a large amount of Pd@CeO2 core@shell nanosphere deposits was observed and easily collected from the 15 reaction solution after standing for about 30 min. As shown in Figure S8, after purification, these black deposits could be well re-distributed in water to form a very stable colloid until KBr was added again. The deposition-purification process can be successfully repeated for circles by addition of KBr into the 20 colloids.

3.2 Au@CeO2 and Pt@CeO2 core@shell nanostructures.

Other kinds of halogen ions have been also studied instead of Br. As shown in Figure S9 and Figure S10, it is found that neither Cl 25 nor I works to induce the self-assembly behavior between Pd and CeO₂, demonstrating that the inducing effect of Br is specific towards Pd-CeO₂ system. The growth mechanism of the core@shell nanostructures could be also understood as salting-out effect as mentioned above (as shown in Scheme 1). The 30 precipitation of two components is accompanied by a selfassembly process to form the more stable core@shell nanoparticles. Following this principle, we successfully use KCl and KI to induce the formation of Au@CeO2 and Pt@CeO2 nanostructures, respectively. As shown in Figure S11 and S12, 35 under the similar reaction conditions (300 mg of KBr), Br couldn't induce the formation of either Au@CeO2 or Pt@CeO2 nanospheres. Unexpectedly, KCl specifically works towards Au-CeO₂, while KI towards Pt-CeO₂. For KCl-Au-CeO₂ system, until 300 mg KCl were added in the reaction solution, a core@shell 40 nanostructure similar to Pd@CeO2 could be also observed. (Figure S13). While for KI-Pt-CeO₂ system as shown in Figure 3, just a small amount of KI could induce the formation of uniform small Pt@CeO2 multi-core@shell nanospheres. The higher the concentration of KI is in the reaction solution, the bigger the 45 hybrid nanospheres are. 30, 100 and 500 mg KI could assist the fabrication of 27, 52 and 86 nm Pt@CeO₂ nanospheres, respectively.




Figure 4. (A) Schematic representation of the self-assembly of Pd@CeO2 and 50 commercial γ-Al₂O₃; (B) TEM images of 11 nm-Pd@CeO₂/Al₂O₃; (C) 13 nm-Pd@CeO₂/Al₂O₃; (D) 17 nm-Pd@CeO₂/Al₂O₃; (E) 8 nm-Pd@CeO₂/Al₂O₃.

3.3 Pd@CeO₂ supported on γ-Al₂O₃.

Electrostatic attraction is a universal force that exists between oppositely charged components. It is noticed the as-obtained 55 Pd@CeO2 core@shell nanospheres are positively charged (zeta potential: +25.3). So in this consideration, negatively charged γ -Al₂O₃ was supposed to be able to support the Pd@CeO₂ core@shell nanospheres. The commercial γ-Al₂O₃ is neutral, however, their surface can carry negative charges (zeta potential: 60 -15.5) if refluxed in NH₃·H₂O aqueous. As expected once the Pd@CeO₂ colloids were added into the solution of the negative γ-Al₂O₃, the black precipitation appeared and could be easily collected at the bottom of the flask. The TEM images (Figure 4) show that the as-prepared Pd@CeO2 core@shell samples with 65 different Pd particle sizes have been successfully loaded onto the needle-like commercial γ-Al₂O₃ supports uniformly and kept their original monodispersity. No scattered or agglomerated ones are found. This could be attributed to the strong electrostatic attraction between CeO2 and y-Al2O3 and the electrostatic 70 repulsion between Pd@CeO₂ nanosphere themselves. Compared with the previous report by Gorte's group, such pure inorganic loading strategy greatly simplifies the synthetic steps. 11, 33-30

3.4 Thermal stability.

Before catalytic tests, the thermal stability of Pd@CeO₂/Al₂O₃ 75 has been first examined by calcination at 600 °C for three hours in air. By comparing the TEM images (Figure 5), it is found that there is no change in size, shape and structure, and no

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.

Open Access Article. Published on 27 February 2015. Downloaded on 27/02/2015 12:41:09.

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.

Open Access Article. Published on 27 February 2015. Downloaded on 27/02/2015 12:41:09.




Figure 5. TEM images of Pd@CeO2/Al2O3 products after heated at 600 °C for 3 hours; (A) 11 nm; (B) 13 nm; (C) 17 nm; (D) 8 nm.

agglomeration happened in the cases of all the four Pd@CeO₂ 5 samples. Their excellent thermal ability could be attributed to the strong protection of CeO₂ shell and the support of γ-Al₂O₃.

3.5 Catalytic CO oxidation.

All the samples have been thermally treated in advance at 600 °C for three hours before every catalytic test. Then catalytic CO 10 oxidation into CO2 has been chosen as a model reaction to study the catalytic activity and stability of the as-obtained Pd@CeO2 and Pd@CeO₂/Al₂O₃ samples. The evaluation of catalytic activity was performed in a fixed-bed reactor coupled online with a gas chromatograph (see the catalytic activity measurement for CO 15 oxidation in the Experimental section). Figure 6A shows the typical conversion ratio of CO as a function of reaction temperature over five catalysts under the conditions that the feed gas containing 1 vol % CO and 99 vol % air was allowed to pass through the reactor at a total flow rate of 50 mL min⁻¹, 20 corresponding to a gas hourly space velocity (GHSV) of 15 000 mL h⁻¹ g⁻¹ cat. CO conversion curves in Figure 6 show that the Pd@CeO₂/Al₂O₃ samples exhibited a size-dependent catalytic performance. The T_{100} (100 % conversion temperature) of the four samples follows such a sequence: 8 nm-Pd@CeO₂/Al₂O₃ 25 (95 °C) < 11 nm-Pd@CeO₂/Al₂O₃ (145 °C) < 13 nm- $Pd@CeO_{2}/Al_{2}O_{3}\ (250\ ^{\circ}C)\ <\ 17\ nm-Pd@CeO_{2}/Al_{2}O_{3}\ (280\ ^{\circ}C).$ The 8 nm-Pd@CeO₂/Al₃O₄ has a lower T_{100} of about 95 °C compared with the previous report by Gorte's group (about 110 °C),²⁹ which is even much better than the currently reported 30 Pt@CeO₂ (135 $^{\circ}$ C), 36 Au@CeO₂ (155 $^{\circ}$ C) 13 and Pt-CeO₂ (140 °C)44 systems.

Generally, smaller Pd NPs are more active in catalytic CO oxidation. However, compared with the 2 nm-Pd@CeO₂ core@shell nanospheres, ²⁹ the as-obtained 8 nm-Pd@CeO₂/Al₂O₃ 35 show better performance. This should be attributed to our clean synthesis route that favors the formation of more strongly coupled interface between Pd and CeO₂ components, resulting in its excellent catalytic performance. Besides, if we compare the catalytic performance of the Pd-CeO₂ sample obtained without 40 addition of KBr with that of 8 nm-Pd@CeO₂ (Figure S14) and




Figure 6. (A) catalytic CO conversion of Pd@CeO₂/Al₂O₃ with different Pd core sizes; (B) cycling test of 8 nm-Pd@CeO₂/Al₂O₃.

with that of 8 nm-Pd@CeO₂/Al₂O₃, it is clearly found that an 45 ordered self-assembled core@shell structure and Al₂O₃ support are both benefit for improving the activity of Pd catalysts.

Next, a cycling test was done to study the stability of 8 nm-Pd@CeO₂/Al₂O₃, and before every cycle it was calcined in advance at 600 °C in air. As shown in Figure 6B, after five 50 successful cycles 8 nm-Pd@CeO₂/Al₂O₃ still maintained 100 % conversion rate of CO into CO₂ at the testing temperature of 100 °C. In the TEM image taken after the cycling test (Figure S15) it is seen that 8 nm-Pd@CeO₂/Al₂O₃ kept their original core@shell nanostructure well, indicating its excellent catalytic performance 55 under long-term high-temperature catalytic conditions.

Research has been focused on the reactivity occurring at the interface of noble metal/ceria hybrids. 45 In order to investigate the importance of maximizing the metal-support interaction by the core@shell approach, H2-TPR (H2-temperature programmed 60 reduction) test has been done and shown in Figure 7. Two broad peaks observed at 390 and 760 °C for bare CeO₂ can be attributed to the reduction of surface capping oxygen and bulk oxygen of CeO₂, respectively. 45 While, in the curve of Pd-CeO₂ (prepared without addition of KBr), the two peaks have been reduced to 351 65 and 733 °C from 390 and 760 °C, respectively. However for 8 nm-Pd@CeO₂, an intense and sharp peak could be clearly observed at 128 °C. The greatly reduced temperature indicates its higher oxygen delivery capacity which is strongly related to the

ultra-high catalytic performance of the core@shell nanostructure.




Figure 7. H₂-TPR spectra of 8 nm-Pd@CeO₂, Pd-CeO₂ and bare CeO₂ samples.

4. Conclusion

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.

Open Access Article. Published on 27 February 2015. Downloaded on 27/02/2015 12:41:09.

In conclusion, we have successfully developed a novel and low-cost auto-redox strategy to construct the Pd@CeO₂ core@shell nanostructures with tunable Pd core sizes. The 25 reaction is triggered between Ce(OH)₃ and Na₂PdCl₄ in air without addition of any reducing agents or surfactants except for using KBr. The as-obtained Pd@CeO₂ nanospheres are uniform and completely built up by spontaneously self-assembled naked Pd and CeO₂ NPs. KBr here is reasonably supposed to play two 30 key roles in determining the growth of Pd NPs and the final selfassembled hybrid spherical structures. First, Br ions retarded the reduction of Pd²⁺ ions via the formation of more stable complex of [PdBr₄]²- so as to be able to tune the size of Pd cores. Second, due to "salting-out effect" in water, it greatly decreases the 35 colloidal stability, and hence the surface polarity-weakened Pd and CeO2 NPs have to spontaneously self-assemble into more stable and ordered structures. Besides interestingly, the similar "salting-out effect" strategy works well in the formation of other noble metal@CeO2 hybrids. It shows specific inducing effects 40 that Cl is specific towards Au@CeO2 system, Br towards Pd@CeO₂, and I⁻ towards Pt@CeO₂.

With the help of electrostatic interactions, these positively charged Pt@CeO₂ samples can be uniformly and strongly supported on the negatively charged Al₂O₃. Thanks to their ⁴⁵ ordered self-assembled core@shell structure and Al₂O₃ support, these Pd catalysts exhibited excellent activity and stability in catalytic CO oxidation. Among different-sized Pd samples, the as-obtained 8 nm-Pd@CeO₂/Al₂O₃ shows the best performance, which can catalyze 100 % CO conversion into CO₂ at a relatively reported CeO₂-encapsulated Pd samples. It is believed that such a "salting-out effect" strategy is of great significance in the synthesis of self-assembled inorganic NPs and design of highly active and stable catalysts in real-world applications.

55 Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the financial aid from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 91122030, 51272249, 21210001, 21221061 and 21401186), and the MOST of China (Grant No. 2014CB643802).

60 Notes and references

State Key Laboratory of Rare Earth Resource Utilization, Changchun Institute of Applied Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Changchun 130022, Jilin, China, and Graduate School of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100039, P. R. China.

- ${\it 65}\>\>\> E{\it -mail: liudp@ciac.ac.cn; hongjie@ciac.ac.cn}$
- † Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: XPS, BET analyses of the as-obtained Pd@CeO₂ core@shell nanospheres; TEM images of other control experiments, including using KCl and KI instead of KBr to synthesize Pd-CeO₂ system; using KCl and KI to induce the forming of Au@CeO₂ and Pt@CeO₂ respectively. See DOI:
- 70 forming of Au@CeO₂ and Pt@CeO₂, respectively. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/
 - [1] X. M. Chen, G. H. Wu, J. M. Chen, X. Chen, Z. X. Xie, X. R. Wang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 3693.
- 75 [2] M. Crespo-Quesada, A. Yarulin, M. Jin, Y. Xia, L. Kiwi-Minsker, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 12787.
- [3] H. C. Peng, S. F. Xie, J. H. Park, X. H. Xia, Y. N. Xia, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 3780.
- [4] M. Jin, H. Zhang, Z. Xie, Y. Xia, Energy Environ. Sci. 2012, 5, 6352.
- 80 [5] G. Max, C. B. Ataualpa A, L. Agusti, U. Gregori, M. Feliu, Acc. Chem. Res. 2013, 46, 2626.
 - [6] N. Miyaura, A. Suzuki, Chem. Rev. 1995, 95, 2457.
- [7] M. Jin, H. Zhang, Z. Xie, Y. Xia, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 7850
- 85 [8] J. K. Stille, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1986, 25, 508.
 - [9] S. L. Buchwald, Cross coupling. Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 1439.
 - [10] L. Xue, Z. Lin, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2010, 39, 1692.
- [11] M. Cargnello, J. Jaén, J. Garrido, K. Bakhmutsky, T. Montini, J. Gámez, R. Gorte, P. Fornasiero, Science, 2012, 337, 713.
- 90 [12] P. M. Arnal, M. Comotti, F. Schuth, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 8224.
 - [13] J. Qi, J. Chen, G. D. Li, S. X. Li, Y. Gao, Z. Y. Tang, Energy Environ. Sci. 2012, 5, 8937.
 - [14] R. Güttel, M. Paul, F. Schüth, Catal. Sci. Technol. 2011, 1, 65.
- 95 [15] A. D. Pandey, R. Guttel, M. Leoni, F. Schuth, C. Weidenthaler. J. Phys. Chem. C 2010, 114, 19386.
 - [16] C. Galeano, R. Guttel, M. Paul, P. Arnal, A. H. Lu, F. Schuth, Chem. Eur. J. 2011, 17, 8434.
- [17] C. Kuo, T. Hua, M. Huang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 17871.
- 100 [18] I. Lee, J. B. Joo, Y. D. Yin, F. Zaera, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 43, 10208.
- [19] Y. Hu, K. Tao, C. Wu, C. Zhou, H. Yin, S. Zhou, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2013, 117, 8974.
- [20] Z. Chen, Z. Cui, P. Li, C. Cao, Y. Hong, Z. Wu, W. Song, J. Phys.
 Chem. C, 2012, 116, 14986.
- [21] H. Sun, J. He, J. Wang, S. Zhang, C. Liu, T. Sritharan, S. Mhaisalkar, M. Han, D. Wang, Chen, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 9099.
- [22] B. Liu, Q. Wang, S. Yu, P. Jing, L. Liu, G. Xu, J. Zhang, *Nanoscale*, 2014, 6, 11887.
- 110 [23] N. Zhang, S. Liu, X. Fu, Y. Xu, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2011, 115, 22901.
 - [24] J. Zhang, H. Kumagai, K. Yamamura, S. Ohara, S. Takami, A. Morikawa, H. Shinjoh, K. Kaneko, T. Adschiri, A. Suda, *Nano Lett.* 2011, 11, 361.
- [25] Y. H. Zhang, N. Zhang, Z. Tang, Y. J. Xu, ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 2013, 1, 1258.
- [26] N. Zhang, Y. J. Xu, Chem. Mater. 2013, 25, 1979.
- [27] X. Wang, X. Y. Li, D. P. Liu, S. Y. Song, H. J. Zhang, properties. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 2885.
- [28] Y. Zhu, S. R. Zhang, J. J. Shan, L. Nhuyen, S. H. Zhan, X. L. Gu, F. Tao, ACS Cat. 2013, 3, 2627.
- [29] M. Cargnello, W. T. Montini, R. J. Gorte, P. Fornasiero, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 1402.
- [30] N. L. Wieder, M. Cargnello, K. Bakhmutsky, T. Montini, P. Fornasiero, R. J. Gorte, J. Phys. Chem. C 2011, 115, 915.
- 125 [31] L. Adijanto, D. A. Bennett, C. Chen, A. S. Yu, M. Cargnello, P. Fornasiero, R. J. Gorte, J. M. Vohs, *Nano Lett.* 2013, **13**, 2252.

Chemical Science

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.

Open Access Article. Published on 27 February 2015. Downloaded on 27/02/2015 12:41:09.

- [32] L. Adijanto, A. Sampath, A. S. Yu, M. Cargnello, P. Fornasiero, R. J. Gorte, J. M. Vohs, ACS Cat. 2013, 3, 1801.
- [33] T. Kayama, K. Yamazaki, H. Shinjoh, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 13154.
- 5 [34] T. Mitsudome, Y. Mikami, M. Matoba, T. Mizugaki, K. Jitsukawa, K. Kaneda, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 136.
 - [35] J. Zhang, L. P. Li, X. S. Huang, G. S. Li, J. Mater. Chem. 2012, 22, 10480.
- [36] X. Wang, D. P. Liu, S. Y. Song, H. J. Zhang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 10 135, 15864.
- [37] J. Du, J. Qi, D. Wang, Z. Tang, Energy Environ. Sci. 2012, 5, 6914.
- [38] G. Li, Z. Tang, Nanoscale, 2014, 6, 3995.
- [39] J. Chen, D. Wang, J. Qi, G. Li, F. Zheng, S. Li, H. Zhao, Z. Tang, Small, 2015, 11, 420.
- 15 [40] H. Zhang, M. Jin, Y. Xiong, B. Lim, Y. X. Xia, Accounts of Chem. Res. 2013, 46, 1783.
- [41] Y. Xiong, H. Cai, Y. Yin, Y. Xia, Chem. Phys. Lett. 2007, 440, 273.
- [42] H. Peng, S. Xie, J. Park, X. Xia, Y. Xia, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 3780.
- 20 [43] M. Jin, H. Liu, H. Zhang, Z. Xie, J. Liu, Y. Xia, Nano Res. 2011, 4, 83.
- [44] H. Zhou, H. Wu, J. Shen, A. Yin, L. Sun, C. Yan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 4998.
- [45] M. Cargnello, V. V. T. Doan-Nguyen, T. R. Gordon, R. E. Diaz, E.
 A. Stach, R. J. Gorte, P. Fornasiero, *Science*, 2013, 771.
- [46] Y. Zuo, X. Huang, L. Li, G. Li, J. Mater. Chem. A 2013, 1, 374.