

Appearance Potential Study of Tetrafluorohydrazine

E. Dan Loughran and Charles Mader

Citation: The Journal of Chemical Physics 32, 1578 (1960); doi: 10.1063/1.1730971

View online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1730971

View Table of Contents: http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/jcp/32/5?ver=pdfcov

Published by the AIP Publishing

Articles you may be interested in

Mass spectrometric studies of tetrafluorohydrazine and the difluoroamino radical

J. Chem. Phys. 58, 581 (1973); 10.1063/1.1679239

An EPR Study of the Tetrafluorohydrazine-Difluoramino Equilibrium

J. Chem. Phys. 35, 1481 (1961); 10.1063/1.1732068

Mass Spectrum and Appearance Potentials of Tetrafluorohydrazine

J. Chem. Phys. 33, 1595 (1960); 10.1063/1.1731464

Appearance Potential Study of CBrF3

J. Chem. Phys. 24, 637 (1956); 10.1063/1.1742587

Appearance Potential Studies. II. Nitromethane

J. Chem. Phys. 23, 84 (1955); 10.1063/1.1740568



silane is made with a carbon orbital of unusually high s character and is therefore shortened nearly as much as the bond in the cyanide. A study of the C13—H coupling in the proton magnetic resonance spectrum of H₃SiCH₃ would be desirable, since such data may be used12 to estimate the state of hybridization of the carbon atom.

We wish to thank Mr. William E. Baitinger for help with the preparation of H₃SiCN. One of us (RCB) thanks the Purdue Research Foundation for financial support during a part of this work.

*Supported by the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission under

contract with the Purdue Research Foundation.

¹ For relevant data see W. Gordy, W. V. Smith, and R. F. Trambarulo, *Microwave Spectroscopy* (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1953), p. 371.

² R. W. Kilb and L. Pierce, J. Chem. Phys. 27, 108 (1957).

³ A. G. MacDiarmid, J. Inorg. & Nuclear Chem. 2, 88 (1956). See, however, references 5 and 7 which show that the normal cyanide is indeed obtained and not the isocyanide.

4 We are indebted to Professor Walter Edgell for the use of

this instrument.

⁵ J. Sheridan and A. G. Turner, Proc. Chem. Soc. 1960, 21 ⁶ In collaboration with Professor R. L. Livingston and Dr. C. N. R. Rao, one of us (RCB) is attempting to obtain the

necessary value using the electron diffraction technique.

⁷ H. Ř. Linton and E. R. Nixon, Spectrochim. Acta 10, 299 (1958)

⁸ G. Herzberg, Infrared and Raman Spectra (D. Van Nostrand Company, Inc., Princeton, New Jersey, 1945), p. 333.

⁹ W. West and M. Farnsworth, J. Chem. Phys. 1, 402 (1933).

¹⁰ This assumption of course does not imply that the true, equilibrium Si—H distance differs significantly from the Si—D distance, but applies only to the "effective" parameters derived from the I° values. See C. C. Costain, J. Chem. Phys. 29, 864

¹¹ L. Pauling, The Nature of the Chemical Bond (Cornell University Press, Ithaca, New York, 1945), p. 164.
¹² N. Muller and D. E. Pritchard, J. Chem. Phys. 31, 1471 (1959).

Appearance Potential Study of Tetrafluorohydrazine*

E. Dan Loughran and Charles Mader

Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico (Received January 11, 1960)

THE preparation and properties of tetrafluoro-⚠ hydrazine (N₂F₄) have been reported by Colburn and Kennedy.1 A recent study of the microwave spectrum of the compound has given further information as to the structure of tetrafluorohydrazine.2 We wish to report an estimate of the bond dissociation energy of the N-N bond in tetrafluorohydrazine as derived from appearance potential measurements.

A sample of tetrafluorohydrazine was obtained from Rohm and Haas Company, Redstone Arsenal Research Division, Huntsville, Alabama. The purity was quoted at 95+% and was found to be 97% by mass spectral analysis. The major impurities of air and nitrous oxide

TABLE I. Mass spectrum of tetrafluorohydrazine.

Species	Relative abundance (70 v)	AP(v)
NF ₂ +	57.6	11.8±0.2
NF^+	100.0	15.0 ± 0.2
$^{\mathrm{N_2}^+}_{\mathrm{F}^+}$	0.2	
F+	6.6	
NF^{++}	0.3	
N ⁺	11.4	

were removed by standard low temperature distillation techniques, increasing the purity to 99%.

The mass spectrum of tetrafluorohydrazine and the observed appearance potentials are presented in Table I. The data were obtained using a Consolidated Electrodynamics Corporation Model 21-103C mass spectrometer modified for appearance potential and excess kinetic energy studies.3 The appearance potentials were determined by the method of initial breaks. Excess kinetic energies were measured by using the metastable ion suppressor as a retarding potential device. Both procedures have been described previously.3 Krypton and argon were added as calibration standards for the appearance potential and excess kinetic energy measurements, respectively.

Since the fragmentation process producing NF2+ is probably complex, several mechanisms resulting in its formation may be postulated. However, reasonable arguments can be made for the preference of a single possibility, which is presented in Table II. A value for the bond dissociation energy, $D(F_2N-NF_2)$, may be calculated using the observed appearance potential (AP) for NF₂⁺ if one knows the ionization potential (IP) of NF₂ and the bond dissociation energy, D(FN-F). From our measured value of 14.6 v for the AP-(NF₂+) from NF₃, and Reese and Dibeler's estimate of 3.2 v for $D(F_2N-F)$, a value of 11.4 v for the $IP(NF_2)$ was estimated. The value for D(FN-F) was taken to

TABLE II. Fragmentation mechanisms postulated.

$$m/e$$
 52 $AP(NF_2^+) = 11.8 \pm 0.2$
 $e + N_2F_4 \rightarrow NF_2^+ + NF + F^- + e$
 $D(F_2N - NF_2) = AP(NF_2^+) - IP(NF_2) - D(FN - F) + EA(F)^*$
 $= 11.8 - 11.4 - 2.7 + 3.6$
 $= 1.3 \pm 0.3 \text{ v } (30 \text{ kcal})$
or = 1.7 using 11.0 for $IP(NF_2)^b$
 m/e 33 $AP(NF^+) = 15.0 \pm 0.2$
 $e + N_2F_4 \rightarrow NF^+ + NF + F^- + F + e$
 $D(F_2N - NF_2) = AP(NF^+) - IP(NF) - 2D(FN - F) + EA(F)^*$
 $= 15.0 - 12.0 - 5.4 + 3.6$

 $=1.2\pm0.3 \text{ v } (28 \text{ kcal})$

Excess kinetic measurements indicated that both NF2+ and NF+ are formed with less than 0.2 v excess kinetic energy.

b Footnote 4.

be 2.7 v.4 The proposed mechanism appears to be supported by the shape of the ionization efficiency curve, which exhibits a rather long tail in the appearance potential region. This would suggest, in the absence of excess kinetic energy of the ion, that the NF₂⁺ fragment is being formed by a multiple fragmentation process.5

Table II also contains the most probable fragmentation mechanism for the formation of the NF+ species. It can be seen that the mechanism is comparable to that written for the formation of NF2+ and gives a value for $D(F_2N-NF_2)$ in good agreement with the number calculated from the $AP(NF_2^+)$. The value for IP(NF) was taken to be 12.0 v⁴ and for EA(F) 3.6 v.⁴

From this study and the known physical properties of the compound, it has been concluded that the bond dissociation energy of the F2N-NF2 bond in tetrafluorohydrazine undergoing electron bombardment is $1.3 \pm 0.3 \text{ ev.}$

* Work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.

¹C. B. Colburn and A. Kennedy, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 80, 5004 (1958).

² D. R. Lide, Jr., and D. E. Mann, J. Chem. Phys. 31, 1129 (1959).

³ R. J. Kandel, J. Chem. Phys. 22, 1496 (1954). ⁴ R. M. Reese and V. H. Dibeler, J. Chem. Phys. 24, 1175

⁶ F. H. Field and J. L. Franklin, Electron Impact Phenomena (Academic Press, Inc., New York, 1957), p. 12.

position, ρ_i 's are π densities on adjacent carbon atoms or more generally the corresponding diagonal elements of the spin density matrix.4 This is an approximate expression which neglects contributions from unpaired π density on noncontiguous atoms and from terms corresponding to off-diagonal elements of the spin density matrix. The data for C13 splittings in naphthalene negative ion combined with values of ρ_{α} and ρ_{β} calculated from proton hyperfine splittings^{5,2} yield $k_1 = 13.5$ gauss, $k_2 = -5.9$ gauss. These constants are in agreement with C13 splittings6 and π spin densities7,8 in triphenylmethyl-C13 and methyl9-C13. In the case of triphenylmethyl-C13 the best agreement is obtained if the three rings are considered coplanar.8

I wish to acknowledge encouragement and inspiration given me by Professor S. I. Wiessman.

* This research was supported in part by the U. S. Air Force under contract monitored by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research of the Air Research and Development Command.

¹ T. R. Tuttle, Jr., and S. I. Weissman, J. Chem. Phys. 25,

K. Tuttle, Jr., and S. I. Weissman, J. Chem. Phys. 23, 189-190 (1956).
 H. M. McConnell, J. Chem. Phys. 24, 764 (1956).
 S. I. Weissman, J. Chem. Phys. 25, 890-891 (1956).
 H. M. McConnell, J. Chem. Phys. 28, 1188 (1958).
 T. R. Tuttle, Jr., R. L. Ward, and S. I. Weissman, J. Chem. Phys. 25, 189 (1956).
 S. I. Weissman and J. C. Sowden, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 75, 503 (1953).

(1953)

P. Brovetto and S. Ferroni, Nuovo Cimento 5, 142–153 (1957).
 F. C. Adam and S. I. Weissman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 80, 2059

⁹ T. Cole, H. O. Pritchard, N. R. Davidson, and H. M. McConnell, Mol. Phys. 1, 406 (1958).

Spin Distribution in Naphthalene Negative Ion*

T. R. TUTTLE, JR.

Department of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Stanford University, Stanford, California

(Received December 18, 1959)

THE ESR absorption spectrum of naphthalene **L** negative ion with 53 atom percent C^{13} in one β position has been observed in dilute 1,2-dimethoxyethane solution at room temperature. This spectrum is well represented by a superposition on the spectrum of ordinary naphthalene negative ion of a spectrum in which each line has been doubled with a splitting of 1.2 gauss. One may relate this β C¹³ splitting and the previously determined \(\alpha \) C13 splitting with unpaired π -electron densities through an empirical equation suggested by the application of simple valence bond² or molecular orbital theory3 to a C-C fragment. This relationship may be written as follows:

$$S_n = 3k_1\rho_n + k_2\sum_i \rho_i,$$

where S_n is the hyperfine splitting from a C^{13} at position n, ρ_n is the unpaired π -electron density at this

Some Observations on Atomic Exchange in NO*

W. SPINDEL AND MARVIN J. STERN

Department of Chemistry, Rutgers, The State University, Newark 2, New Jersey

(Received September 8, 1959)

YLUSIUS and Schleich1 have recently found that the vapor pressure ratios of isotopic nitric oxides, $N^{14}O^{16}/N^{15}O^{16}$ and $N^{14}O^{16}/N^{14}O^{18}$, are three to five times greater than the corresponding ratios for other diatomic molecules of comparable molecular weight and boiling point. They have used the strikingly high single-stage separation factor to enrich nitrogen-15 by distillation of nitric oxide, and have pointed out the attractive possibility of using this process to simultaneously concentrate the heavy isotopes of nitrogen and oxygen.2 In order to obtain highly concentrated nitrogen-15 or oxygen-18 by distillaton of a mixture of N14O16, N15O16, and N¹⁴O¹⁸, it is necessary to establish the equilibrium: $N^{15}O^{16}+N^{14}O^{18} \longrightarrow N^{14}O^{16}+N^{15}O^{18}$. In view of this it seemed of interest to measure the rate of exchange between isotopically labeled nitric oxide molecules.