

Erratum: Polymer chains with excluded volume: Critical exponents from free energy optimization [J. Chem. Phys. 101, 4268 (1994)]

G. Allegra and E. Colombo

Citation: [The Journal of Chemical Physics](#) **102**, 9137 (1995); doi: 10.1063/1.469574

View online: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.469574>

View Table of Contents: <http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/jcp/102/22?ver=pdfcov>

Published by the [AIP Publishing](#)

Articles you may be interested in

[Erratum: Steady state thermodynamics for homogeneous chemical systems \[J. Chem. Phys. 101, 10866 \(1994\)\]](#)
J. Chem. Phys. **103**, 489 (1995); 10.1063/1.469618

[Erratum: Low-temperature proton transport in clathrates \[J. Chem. Phys. 101, 7672 \(1994\)\]](#)
J. Chem. Phys. **102**, 3890 (1995); 10.1063/1.469566

[Erratum: Chain dimensions near the critical point \[J. Chem. Phys. 100, 4665 \(1994\)\]](#)
J. Chem. Phys. **101**, 6371 (1994); 10.1063/1.468514

[Polymer chains with excluded volume: Critical exponents from free energy optimization](#)
J. Chem. Phys. **101**, 4268 (1994); 10.1063/1.467477

[Erratum: Intrachain loops in polymers: Effects of excluded volume \[J. Chem. Phys. 90, 492 \(1989\)\]](#)
J. Chem. Phys. **96**, 3361 (1992); 10.1063/1.462900



NEW Special Topic Sections

NOW ONLINE
Lithium Niobate Properties and Applications:
Reviews of Emerging Trends

AIP Applied Physics Reviews

Erratum: Polymer chains with excluded volume: Critical exponents from free energy optimization [J. Chem. Phys. 101, 4268 (1994)]

G. Allegra and E. Colombo

Dipartimento di Chimica del Politecnico, Via L. Mancinelli, 7 I 20131 Milano, Italy

We evaluate the critical exponents ν and θ appearing in the equations:

$$\langle r_j^2 \rangle \propto j^{2\nu}; \quad W(\mathbf{r}_j) \propto r_j^{-\theta} \exp[-D(r_j/\langle r_j^2 \rangle^{1/2})^\delta],$$

where $\langle r_j^2 \rangle$ is the mean-square distance between chain atoms separated by j bonds and $W(\mathbf{r}_j)$ is the assumed Domb–Gillis–Wilmsers probability distribution. The reported result $\nu=0.604$, $\theta=0.312$ is incorrect and is to be replaced by $\nu=0.593$, $\theta=0.372$, as we are going to show.

We shall quote in parentheses a few equations of the paper. The source of the error is a misinterpretation of Eq. (2.14) (p. 4270); whereas the correct excluded-volume parameter $\bar{\beta}$ is $\propto (\bar{r}/l)^{3+\theta}$, this being the proportionality factor of the contact probability between any two chain atoms, the same factor was incorrectly taken as $\propto (\bar{r}/l)^3$ on the seemingly plausible argument that $\bar{\beta}$ should be proportional to the volume of the sphere with radius \bar{r} (the smallest distance between two atoms). As a consequence we have the following changes, where the arrow separates the incorrect version from the correct version:

$$\text{Eq. (2.17) (p. 4270):} \quad 6(2\nu-1) \Rightarrow 2(3+\theta)(2\nu-1);$$

$$\text{Eq. (5.19) (p. 4274):} \quad 2\nu-1 = (3+\theta)/[3/(5+\theta)] \Rightarrow 2\nu-1 = 1/(5+\theta);$$

$$\text{Eq. (5.24) (same page):} \quad 2\theta^2 + 9\theta - 3 = 0 \Rightarrow \theta^2 + 5\theta - 2 = 0, \quad \theta = 0.372; \quad \nu = 2/(3+\theta) = 0.593.$$

We remark that the resulting figure of ν is in much better agreement with the exact value for an open chain ($\nu=0.588...$)¹ than the previous incorrect figure $\nu=0.604$.

¹J. C. Le Guillou and J. Zinn-Justin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **39**, 95 (1977).