

Comments on the Kinetics of Thermal Decomposition of Nitric Oxide

Henry Wise and Maurice F. Frech

Citation: The Journal of Chemical Physics 21, 752 (1953); doi: 10.1063/1.1699007

View online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1699007

View Table of Contents: http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/jcp/21/4?ver=pdfcov

Published by the AIP Publishing

Articles you may be interested in

Kinetics of the Thermal Decomposition of Tetrafluoroethylene Oxide

J. Chem. Phys. 43, 1974 (1965); 10.1063/1.1697062

Role of Nitric Oxide in the Thermal Decomposition of Nitrous Oxide

J. Chem. Phys. 25, 106 (1956); 10.1063/1.1742799

Thermal Decomposition of Nitric Oxide

J. Chem. Phys. 23, 1702 (1955); 10.1063/1.1742415

Kinetics of Decomposition of Nitric Oxide

J. Chem. Phys. 21, 751 (1953); 10.1063/1.1699006

The Thermal Decomposition of Azomethane in Presence of Nitric Oxide

J. Chem. Phys. 7, 474 (1939); 10.1063/1.1750475



Comments on the Kinetics of Thermal Decomposition of Nitric Oxide

HENRY WISE AND MAURICE F. FRECH Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California (Received January 6, 1953)

AUFMAN and Kelsol describe some experimental measurements on the rate of the . ments on the rate of thermal decomposition of nitric oxide in which they are unable to observe the pronounced catalytic influence of oxygen on the reaction kinetics of nitric oxide as previously reported by us.2 Such a catalytic effect has been noted by Vetter;3 it is also suggested by the results of Gilbert and Daniels.4,5 In an attempt to resolve this discrepancy Kaufman and Kelso point to the possibility of an error in our analysis of the products of decomposition.

Since we are quite aware of such sources of error as encountered by them, 6.7 our experimental technique2,8 is so designed to transfer all the reaction products from the reactor into a cold trap by means of a Toeppler pump circuit. Subsequently, after the oxygen has been allowed to react with residual nitric oxide at room temperature, and the NO2=N2O4 thus produced has been condensed out in a trap cooled by liquid nitrogen, the remaining noncondensable gas—i.e., N2—is pumped off quantitatively into a gas burette.* Mass spectrometric analysis of this noncondensable gas indicated the absence of oxygen.

In contrast to this technique, the measurements of Kaufman and Kelso¹ differ not only in the experimental method of transfer of the reacted gas mixture, but also in the analysis of the noncondensable products. It is apparent that the pressure registered on their manometer must be due to N2 and O2. Such a determination, therefore, involves the measurement of a small pressure difference representing the amount of N2 and O2 produced from the decomposition of NO. An unknown quantity of the oxygen present in the mixture may react with residual NO during the transfer and cooling process, thereby decreasing the total amount of noncondensable gas. Also, the entrainment of noncondensable gas in the relatively large amounts of CO2 used by Kaufman and Kelso may further result in producing an apparently lower decomposition rate.

Although the decomposition of NO at 1150°K has been shown to be predominantly heterogeneous,8 the authors neglect to consider the smaller surface-to-volume ratio (S/V) of their reaction vessel, as compared to the one used by us.

For pure nitric oxide our measurements² of the decomposition rate exceed by 50 percent those reported by Kaufman and Kelso,1 which is in line with the difference in S/V of the reaction vessels employed in these two investigations. It also appears from our results reported in Table II of reference 2 that the catalytic influence of oxygen on the rate of decomposition of nitric oxide is more pronounced at 980°K, than at 1150°K suggesting a heterogeneous catalysis in this temperature region. Thus the difference in S/V of the reaction vessels may be responsible for the apparent disagreement reported.1

It should be pointed out that the experimental measurements by Vetter3 on the reaction rate of nitric oxide in the presence of oxygen at 1179°K definitely indicate the catalytic influence of oxygen contrary to the conclusions of Kaufman and Kelso.1 Based on the rate constant as given by Fig. 2 of reference 2, the decomposition rate of NO in the absence of O2 amounts to 1×10-10 mole cc⁻¹ sec⁻¹ at 1179°K, whereas Vetter, in the presence of oxygen, measured a rate of 3×10^{-10} mole cc⁻¹ sec⁻¹.

Concerning the questions raised on the proposed mechanism, it is well known that the observed specific reaction rates of many unimolecular reactions are several orders of magnitude larger than those calculated from the collision theory equation. Particularly in those reactions in which the reactant undergoing unimolecular decomposition may acquire its energy by transfer of kinetic energy from a foreign gas (M) with subsequent conversion of this energy into vibrational energy, it is questionable whether simple collision theory offers an adequate quantitative picture of the process.

The relative efficiency of various gases in facilitating the recombination of halogen atoms9 may be cited as an example.

One may conclude therefore that a more refined analysis is required to calculate the relative magnitudes of the terms appearing under the square-root sign of the final rate expression (4) of reference 2. Under these circumstances a comparison of rate constants based on collision theory is of little significance.

It also should be noted that the final form of the rate expression (4) may be obtained if one assumes the concentration of oxygen atoms to be given by the equilibrium between oxygen atoms and molecules. Such a condition would be favored by high concentrations of oxygen in agreement with the assumption made in deriving Eq. (4).

```
<sup>1</sup> F. Kaufman and G. R. Kelso, J. Chem. Phys. 21, 751 (1953).

<sup>2</sup> H. Wise and M. F. Frech, J. Chem. Phys. 20, 1724 (1952).

<sup>3</sup> K. Vetter, Z. Elektrochem. 53, 269 (1949).

<sup>4</sup> Gilbert and Daniels, Ind. Eng. Chem. 40, 1719 (1948).

<sup>5</sup> H. Wise and Baker, (to be published).

<sup>6</sup> Fenimore and G. R. Kelso, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 74, 1593 (1952).

<sup>7</sup> F. Kaufman and G. R. Kelso, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 74, 2694 (1952).

<sup>8</sup> H. Wise and M. F. Frech, J. Chem. Phys. 20, 22 (1952).

*A correction is applied for the vapor pressure of nitric oxide at the temperature of liquid nitrogen.

<sup>8</sup> E. Rabinowitch and W. C. Wood, J. Chem. Phys. 4, 497 (1936).
```

Microwave Spectrum and Structure of SiD₃F

Børge Bak, Jørgen Bruhn, and John Rastrup-Andersen Chemical Laboratory, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark (Received January 23, 1953)

THE problem of determining the structure of silyl fluoride has been attacked by Sharbaugh, Thomas, and Pritchard, who observed microwave absorption frequencies for Si28H3F, Si29H2F, and Si³⁰H₃F. The three structural parameters could, however, not be computed without taking the angle HSiH=111°±1° from other monohalogen silanes. We have prepared SiD₃F (by the action of SbF₃ on SiD₃Cl) and measured the absorption frequencies of the three isotopic species in order that the structure may be found exclusively by means of microwave measurements.

As pointed out by Miller, Aamodt, Dousmanis, and Townes² in the case of the methyl halides the effect of the zero-point vibrations cannot be neglected. The main effect is that the Si-H distance is larger than the Si-D distance and that the angle H-Si-H differs from the angle D-Si-D. If the large moment of inertia is denoted by $I_B^{D, 30}$ for the molecule Si³⁰D₃F, $I_B^{H, 28}$ for $Si^{28}H_3F$, etc., and if the small moment of inertia is called I_A^H for the "light" compounds, I_A^D for the "heavy" compounds, we have

$$\begin{split} [I_B{}^{\mathrm{D},28} - \tfrac{1}{2}I_A{}^{\mathrm{D}}]m_{\mathrm{Sl}^{28}\mathrm{D}_3\mathrm{F}} &= a^2 m_{\mathrm{F}} m_{\mathrm{Sl}^{28}} \\ &+ 3(a + d_{\mathrm{D}}\cos\varphi_{\mathrm{D}})^2 m_{\mathrm{D}} m_{\mathrm{F}} + 3d_{\mathrm{D}}^2 \cos^2\varphi_{\mathrm{D}} m_{\mathrm{Sl}^{28}} m_{\mathrm{D}}, \end{split} \tag{1}$$

where $m_{\rm Si^{28}D_{4}F}$, etc. means the mass of Si²⁸D₄F, a is the Si – F distance (assumed to be independent of isotopic substitution), d_D is the distance Si-D, and φ_D is the supplementantary angle of the F-Si-D angle. $I_A^D = 3m_D d_D^2 \sin^2 \varphi_D$. Besides (1) there are 5 similar equations to be fulfilled by the experimental results given in Table I.

By suitable combination of four of the six equations mentioned above, one gets

$$\begin{split} a = & \frac{m_{\mathrm{H}} m_{\mathrm{D}}}{m_{\mathrm{F}} (m_{\mathrm{D}} - m_{\mathrm{H}})} \Bigg[\left\{ \frac{(I_B^{\mathrm{H, 30}} - I_B^{\mathrm{H, 28}}) m_{\mathrm{Si30H_4F}} m_{\mathrm{Si20H_4F}}}{m_{\mathrm{H}^2} (m_{\mathrm{Si30}} - m_{\mathrm{Si20}})} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & - \left\{ \frac{(I_B^{\mathrm{D, 30}} - I_B^{\mathrm{D, 28}}) m_{\mathrm{Si30D_4F}} m_{\mathrm{Si20D_4F}}}{m_{\mathrm{D}^2} (m_{\mathrm{Si30}} - m_{\mathrm{Si20}})} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & + 3 (d_{\mathrm{H}} \cos \varphi_{\mathrm{H}} - d_{\mathrm{D}} \cos \varphi_{\mathrm{D}}) \Bigg] \quad (2) \end{split}$$

together with two other analogous expressions for a, in which data for the Si²⁹-containing isotopes are used in connection with data for the Si28- and Si30-containing molecules. If the difference

Table I. Observed microwave absorption frequencies for isotopic silyl fluoride molecules (transition $J=0 \rightarrow 1$).

Species	v₀mh	z	$I_B \times 10^{40} \text{ g cm}^2$	B_0 mhz
Si ²⁸ H ₃ F	28655.8	0.1	58.555	14327.9
Si29H3F	28393.4	0.2	59.096	14196.7
Si30H3F	28145.2	0.2	59.617	14072.0
Si28D3F	24507.0	0.1	68.467	12253.5
Si ²⁹ D ₃ F	24352.2	0.1	68.902	12176.
Si ³⁰ D ₃ F	24204.5	0.2	69.323	12102.2

 $d_{\rm H}\cos\varphi_{\rm H}-d_{\rm D}\cos\varphi_{\rm D}$ is neglected, one calculates $a=1.5935{\rm A}$ [using (2)], $a=1.5941{\rm A}$ (using Si²⁹, Si³⁰), and $a=1.5932{\rm A}$ (using Si²⁸, Si²⁹). If the experimental uncertainty is taken into account, we get $a=1.5935\pm0.0045{\rm A}$. Now, if it is assumed that 0.01A and 1° are upper limits to $d_{\rm H}-d_{\rm D}$ and $\varphi_{\rm H}-\varphi_{\rm D}$, respectively, 0.0096A becomes an upper limit to the error in a. This serves as a reason for investigating the solutions of the six fundamental equations mentioned in the interval from a=1.5890 to a=1.6076. Inserting values of a from this interval in the expression

$$a\frac{m_{\rm F}}{m_{\rm D}} - 3d_{\rm D}\cos\varphi_{\rm D} = \left[\frac{(I_B^{\rm D,\,30} - I_B^{\rm D,\,28})m_{\rm Sl^{20}D_2F}m_{\rm Sl^{20}D_2F}}{m_{\rm D}^2(m_{\rm Sl^{20}} - m_{\rm Sl^{20}})}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}},\quad (3)$$

a series of corresponding values of $d_{\rm D}\cos\varphi_{\rm D}$ is calculated. If these are inserted in (1) a corresponding series of $I_A{}^{\rm D}$ values is obtained. From $I_A{}^{\rm D}$ and $d_{\rm D}\cos\varphi_{\rm D}$, $d_{\rm D}$ and $\varphi_{\rm D}$ can be calculated separately. Curves representing $d_{\rm D}$ and $\varphi_{\rm D}$ as functions of a can thus be drawn. The same can be done for $d_{\rm H}$ and $\varphi_{\rm H}$. Taking the uncertainty caused by errors in the frequency determinations fully into account, one obtains the results given in Table II.

TABLE II. Assumed and calculated values of structural parameters.

Assumed values of	lues of Calculated values of	of		
$d_{\mathbf{H}} - d_{\mathbf{D}}$	$\varphi_{\mathrm{D}} - \varphi_{\mathrm{H}}$	$d_{\mathbf{H}},\ d_{\mathbf{D}}$	$\varphi_{\mathbf{H}}, \; \varphi_{\mathbf{D}}$	a
0.005A	7.5'	1.475-1.444A	70°24′~70°03′	1.5951-1.5967A
0.005A	15'	1.470-1.444A	70°39′~70°03′	1.5954-1.5967A
0.010A	15'	1.470-1.452A	70°39′-70°03′	1.5954-1.5958A
0.010A	30'	1.461-1.452A	70°40′-70°00′	1.5946-1.5947A

It is highly satisfactory that the calculated $d_{\rm H}$ and $d_{\rm D}$ values are close to 1.456 as found by Tindal, Straley, and Nielsen³ in the case of SiH₄. Also, the F-Si-H angle is found to be 109°26′-110°, close to the angle of the regular tetrahedron. Of course, the calculations tell nothing of the exact values of $d_{\rm H}-d_{\rm D}$ and $\varphi_{\rm D}-\varphi_{\rm H}$. They show definitely, however, that these two quantities cannot be as large as 0.02A and 1°, respectively. Solutions for which $\varphi_{\rm H}>\varphi_{\rm D}$ do exist. They correspond, however, to values of $d_{\rm H}$ in the 1.47-1.50A region which is less consistent with the value 1.456A found in SiH₄.

Sharbaugh, Thomas, and Pritchard, Phys. Rev. 78, 64 (1950).
 Miller, Aamodt, Dousmanis, and Townes, J. Chem. Phys. 20, 1112 (1952)

(1952).
³ Tindal, Straley, and Nielsen, Phys. Rev. **62**, 151 (1942).

Microwave Spectrum and Structure of SiD₃Cl

Børge Bak, Jørgen Bruhn, and John Rastrup-Andersen Chemical Laboratory, University of Copenhagen, Denmark (Received January 20, 1953)

THE pioneer work for silyl chloride has been done by Sharbaugh¹ and by Dailey, Mays, and Townes.³ Their experimental data together with data for SiD_3CI (prepared by the action of DCl on SiD_4) are given in Table I. In a previous paper³ the structure of silyl fluoride was discussed. The microwave absorption frequencies used in the calculations belong to a $J=0\rightarrow 1$ transition. Owing to zero-point vibrations, it was assumed that the distances Si-H (d_H) and Si-D (d_D) and the angles F-Si-H ($180-\varphi_H$) and F-Si-D ($180-\varphi_D$) deviated slightly ($d_H>d_D$, $\varphi_D>\varphi_H$). If a reasonable interpretation of the experimental

Table I. Observed microwave absorption frequencies for isotopic silyl chloride molecules (transition $J=1\rightarrow 2$).

Species	$v_0\mathbf{M}\mathbf{h}\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{a}}$	$I_B \times 10^{40} \text{ g cm}^2$	$B_0(Mhz)$	
Si28H3Cl35	26695.2±0.2	125.711	66673.8	
Si30H 3Cl35	25943.2	129.355	6485.8	
Si28HaCl87	26049.6 —	128.826	6512.4	
Si28DaCl35	23670.8 —	141.773	5917.7	
Si29D3Cl35	23402.6 —	143.397	5850.6	
Si30D3Cl35	23147.9 ± 0.3	144.975	5787.0	
Si28DaCl37	23091.4 ± 0.2	145.330	5772.8	

Corrected for spin influence.

material of Table I is attempted, additional difficulties emerge. Probably this is due to the effect of centrifugal distortion. At a $J=1\rightarrow 2$ transition, the centrifugal distortion term in the expression for the rotational energy is 8 times greater than for a $J=0\rightarrow 1$ transition. The correct way out is, of course, to study the absorption of silyl chloride at 11 500-13 500 Mhz and/or at 34 500-40 000 Mhz, but as this is not possible with our present equipment we have had to proceed in the following manner:

We define

$$K^{\text{50-28, H, 35}} = \left[\frac{(I_{B^{30, H, 35}} - I_{B^{28, H, 35}}) m_{\text{Sl}^{50}\text{H}_3\text{Cl}^{36}} m_{\text{Sl}^{28}\text{H}_3\text{Cl}^{35}}}{m_{\text{H}^2} (m_{\text{Sl}^{30}} - m_{\text{Sl}^{28}})} \right]^{\frac{1}{9}},$$

where $I_B^{30, H, 35}$ is the large moment of inertia of Si³⁰H₃Cl³⁵. It follows for a *rigid rotor* that

$$a_{\rm H} \frac{m_{\rm Cl^{35}}}{m_{\rm H}} - 3d_{\rm H} \cos \varphi_{\rm H} = K^{30-28, \, \rm H, \, 35},$$

$$a_{\rm D} \frac{m_{\rm Cl^{35}}}{m_{\rm D}} - 3d_{\rm D} \cos \varphi_{\rm D} = K^{30-28, \, \rm D, \, 35},$$

$$a_{\rm H} \frac{m_{\rm Sl^{25}H_3}}{m_{\rm H}} + 3d_{\rm H} \cos \varphi_{\rm H} = K^{28, \, \rm H, \, 37-35},$$

$$a_{\rm D} \frac{m_{\rm Sl^{25}D^2}}{m_{\rm D}} + 3d_{\rm D} \cos \varphi_{\rm D} = K^{28, \, \rm D, \, 37-35},$$

where $a_{\rm H}$ and $a_{\rm D}$ are the Si–Cl distances in the "light" and "heavy" species. Addition of (1) and (3) now produces an equation giving $a_{\rm H}$ as a function of measured quantities. We find that 2.0498A $< a_{\rm H} < 2.0507$ A. Similarly, $a_{\rm D}$ may be calculated. It is highly satisfactory that the result becomes 2.0495A $< a_{\rm D} < 2.0507$ A.

Now, $d_{\rm H}\cos\varphi_{\rm H}$ can be calculated from (1) for values of a in the interval indicated. Likewise, the small moment of inertia, $I_A{}^{\rm H}$, can be found from, e.g.,

$$\begin{split} I_{B^{28, H, 35} - \frac{1}{2}} I_{A^{H}} &= a_{H}^{\frac{m}{2} C_{155} m} {}_{S1^{28} H_{3}C_{155}} \\ &+ 3 (a_{H} + d_{H} \cos \varphi_{H})^{2} \frac{m_{H} m_{C1^{25}}}{m_{S1^{26} H_{3}C_{155}}} + 3 d_{H}^{2} \cos \varphi_{H}^{2} \frac{m_{H} m_{S1^{26}}}{m_{S1^{26} H_{3}C_{155}}} \end{split}$$

Since $I_A{}^{\rm H}=3m_{\rm H}d_{\rm H}{}^2\sin^2\varphi_{\rm H}$, a corresponding series of $d_{\rm H}$ sin $\varphi_{\rm H}$ values are obtained so that curves representing $d_{\rm H}$ and $\varphi_{\rm H}$ as functions of a in the interval 2.0497–2.0509A can be drawn. In an analogous manner curves for $d_{\rm D}$ and $\varphi_{\rm D}$ are constructed. We now look for the points of the curves, where $|d_{\rm H}-d_{\rm D}|$ and $|\varphi_{\rm H}-\varphi_{\rm D}|$ are small. The main features are given in Table II. If our assumed

TABLE II. Calculated values of molecular parameters for silyl chloride.

aA	$d_{\mathbf{H}}A$	$d_{\mathbf{D}}\mathbf{A}$	$d_{\rm D}-d_{\rm H}A$	φ H	φ D	φ D $-\varphi$ H
2.0497	1.444	1.449	0.005	70°23′	70°38′	15′
2.0503	1.422	1.439	0.017	69°40′	70°23′	43'
2,0509	1,400	1.428	0.028	68°57′	70°06′	69′

rigid rotor was only disturbed by zero-point vibrations, one would expect $d_{\rm H} > d_{\rm D}$. The curves must be extrapolated slightly towards smaller a values ($a = 2.0490{\rm A}$) in order to satisfy this condition.