See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/234062636

## ChemInform Abstract: An Indium(III)-Catalyzed Synthesis of 4,4-Dichloro-1-aryl-Nalkyl-1-yn-3-amines via an Intermolecular C(sp 2)-C(sp) Bond Formation.

ARTICLE in ADVANCED SYNTHESIS & CATALYSIS · DECEMBER 2012

Impact Factor: 5.66 · DOI: 10.1002/adsc.201200680

**CITATIONS** 

**READS** 6 38

#### 3 AUTHORS, INCLUDING:



Chandi C Malakar

National Institute of Technology, Manipur

25 PUBLICATIONS 278 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Kourosch Abbaspour Tehrani

University of Antwerp

101 PUBLICATIONS 906 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

DOI: 10.1002/adsc.201200680

# An Indium(III)-Catalyzed Synthesis of 4,4-Dichloro-1-aryl-N-alkyl-1-yn-3-amines via an Intermolecular $C(sp^2)$ —C(sp) Bond Formation

Chandi C. Malakar, a Bert U. W. Maes, and Kourosch Abbaspour Tehrania,\*

<sup>a</sup> Organic Synthesis, Faculty of Sciences, University of Antwerp, Groenenborgerlaan 171, B-2020 Antwerpen, Belgium Fax: (+32)-32-653-233; e-mail: Kourosch.AbbaspourTehrani@ua.ac.be

Received: July 31, 2012; Published online: November 28, 2012

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/adsc.201200680.

**Abstract:** This paper demonstrates an indium(III) triflate-catalyzed reaction of electron-deficient  $\alpha, \alpha$ -dichloroaldimines with terminal alkynes leading to a rapid and selective access to highly functionalized propargylic amines in good to excellent yields. The dichloromethylene moiety of the aldimine acts as an activating group to accomplish this transformation under very mild conditions.

**Keywords:** A<sup>2</sup>-coupling; C–H activation;  $\alpha$ -chloro imines; indium(III) catalysis; propargylic amines

Propargylic amines are versatile building blocks for a variety of fundamental organic transformations<sup>[1]</sup> and have proved to be important precursors for the synthesis of therapeutic drug molecules and complex natural products. [2] Additionally, these substructures have attracted substantial interest in medicinal chemistry due to their remarkable physiological activities.<sup>[3]</sup> Moreover, a broad range of propargylic amines are active towards the fragmentation of nucleosomal DNA, activation of caspase and the apoptotic cascade preventing collapse of mitochondrial membrane potential. [4] Propargylic amines such as rasagiline and selegiline are active in preventing cell death and are admired alternatives to L-DOPA for the treatment of Parkinson's disease, respectively.<sup>[5]</sup> Apart from their huge application in medicinal chemistry and pharmaceuticals<sup>[6]</sup> various propargylic amines are valuable as herbicides and fungicides.[7]

Herein, we disclose a new, efficient and convenient  $In(OTf)_3$ -catalyzed reaction between  $\alpha,\alpha$ -dichloroaldimines and terminal alkynes for the synthesis of a series of highly substituted dichloro- and trichloro-propargylic amines in high to excellent yields.

In the past few years, a great deal of research has been devoted towards the development of an efficient and mild synthesis of propargylic amines.[8] Among the available methods, most of the procedures rely on the addition of stoichiometric quantities of organometallic reagents to imines. An efficient method using terminal alkynes thus remains limited. To achieve this purpose, various metal salts including Cu(I), B(III), Ag(I), Zn(II), Zr, Fe(III), Ni(II), Au(I), Au(III), In(III) and Ir complexes have been employed as catalysts in the reaction between imines or in situ generated imines and the corresponding terminal alkynes or organometallic reagents.<sup>[9]</sup> In view of the fact that the above-mentioned conventional methods are well applicable for the reaction of a series of imines with terminal alkynes, this synthetic strategy was applied for the transformation of  $\alpha,\alpha$ -dichloroaldimines to the corresponding propargylic amines using terminal alkynes as reactant. The availability of a convenient preparation of  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -dichloropropargylic amines amines would allow one to further investigate the reactivity of these highly functionalized compounds.[10d] We have therefore screened the most efficient and common reaction conditions for the synthesis of propargylic amines (vide infra). 9a,b,k,m-o Remarkably, these methods only led to very low conversions for the transformation of  $\alpha$ , $\alpha$ -dichloroaldimines to the corresponding propargylic amines. More recently, our research group has reported a convenient synthetic route towards propargylic amines by the reaction of α,α-dichloroaldimines and potassium alkynyltrifluoroborates using a Lewis acid-mediated Mannich type reaction. [10] The fact that potassium alkynyltrifluoroborate must be prepared in a separate step by metalation of the corresponding alkyne with a highly reactive organometallic reagent (BuLi, EtMgBr, or LDA) anhydrously and under inert atmosphere at low temperature remains a disadvantage. Furthermore, subsequent borylation with B(O-i-Pr)<sub>3</sub> is an additional drawback. Therefore, we developed a new direct addition reaction of alkynes to functionalized imines. Due to the poor electrophilicity of the azomethine carbon,



a Lewis acid activator like In(OTf)3 was used, which also increases the acidity of the C(sp)-H atom by formation of a  $\pi$ -complex with the alkyne, thereby facilitating the formation of the active nucleophilic species. This catalytic alkynylation is similar to the dual activation by an indium(III) catalyst of soft nucleophiles, like terminal alkynes and hard electrophiles, like aldehydes.<sup>[11]</sup> In modern organic synthesis, the use of indium salts as catalysts has become of increasing interest due to their easy availability, low toxicity and high endurance with regard to functional groups.<sup>[12]</sup> Moreover it should be mentioned that indium is a cheaper metal then, for instance, silver which is also often used as a catalyst. Recently, In(III) species have been used as Lewis acids in several transformations.[13]

As a preliminary experiment, model substrates *N*-(2,2-dichloro-1-propylidene)amine (**1a**) and phenylacetylene (**2a**) were reacted in the presence of 5 mol% of CuBr in toluene as solvent at 60 °C for 18 h and 4 h, respectively, under argon according to a recent literature procedure. [9a,b,k,m-o] Unfortunately, it was observed that the reaction afforded a complex mixture and the desired product 4,4-dichloro-1-phenyl-*N*-propylpent-1-yn-3-amine (**3a**) could not be identified.

Inspired by the negative results from preliminary experiments, further studies were continued in order to find suitable reaction conditions to accomplish this transformation. Screening of the reaction conditions revealed that the corresponding product 3a was isolated in 7% vield when the reaction was carried out using 25 mol% of CuBr in dichloromethane as solvent at 50°C for 18 h (Table 1, entry 1). Interestingly, it was found that by replacing CuBr by Cu(OTf)<sub>2</sub> as catalyst, the corresponding product 3a could be isolated in 44% yield (Table 1, entry 2) and performing the reaction at 100°C for 6 h delivered the product 3a in 11% yield (Table 1, entry 3). Moreover, CuCl<sub>2</sub> as catalyst was almost inactive for this transformation as the reaction produces 3a only in 2% yield (Table 1, entry 4). Apart from copper sources as catalyst, AuCl(PPh<sub>3</sub>), In(III), Zn(II) and Fe(III) were also effective (Table 1, entries 5–14) and among the catalysts tried for this transformation In(OTf)<sub>3</sub> was the most effective and delivered the maximum isolated yield of the product **3a** (Table 1, entries 8–10). Notably, by replacing air by argon as reaction atmosphere no significant improvement in the yield of 3a has been observed (Table 1, entry 10).

In view of the yields and the reaction conditions in Table 1, a maximum yield of 69% of product **3a** could be obtained when 0.5 mmol of **1a** was reacted with 0.5 mmol of **2a** in the presence of 25 mol% of In(OTf)<sub>3</sub> as catalyst and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> as solvent at 50 °C for 18–24 h in a sealed vial (Table 1, entry 9, for further optimization see the Supporting Information).

**Table 1.** Screening of the reaction conditions for the reaction between 1a and 2a. [a]

Entry	Catalyst/[mol%]	Solvent	<i>T/t</i> [°C/	Yield of 3a
			h]	[%]
1	CuBr/25	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	50/18	7 <sup>[b]</sup>
2	$Cu(OTf)_2/25$	$CH_2Cl_2$	50/18	44 <sup>[b]</sup>
3	$Cu(OTf)_{2}/5$	PhMe	100/6	$11^{[b]}$
4	CuCl <sub>2</sub> /25	$CH_2Cl_2$	50/18	$2^{[b]}$
5	AuCl(PPh <sub>3</sub> )/25	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	50/18	41 <sup>[b]</sup>
6	AuCl(PPh <sub>3</sub> )/100	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> /	r.t./18	61 <sup>[c]</sup>
	, s,	$HFIP^{[d]}$		
7	AuCl(PPh <sub>3</sub> )/50	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> /	r.t./18	37 <sup>[c]</sup>
	, s,	$HFIP^{[d]}$		
8	In(OTf) <sub>3</sub> /50	CH,Cl,	r.t./18	<b>71</b> <sup>[c]</sup>
9	In(OTf) <sub>3</sub> /25	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	50/24	<b>69</b> <sup>[c]</sup>
10	In(OTf) <sub>3</sub> /25	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	50/24	<b>70</b> <sup>[c,e]</sup>
11	InCl <sub>3</sub> /25	$CH_2Cl_2$	50/18	43 <sup>[b]</sup>
12	$ZnCl_2/25$	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	50/18	43 <sup>[b]</sup>
13	$Zn(OTf)_2/25$	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	50/18	23 <sup>[b]</sup>
14	FeCl <sub>3</sub> /25	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	50/18	$16^{[f]}$
	3	2 2		

- [a] Unless otherwise indicated, all reactions were performed using 0.5 mmol **1a** and 0.5 mmol **2a** in a sealed vial under air.
- [b] Yield without acid-base work-up.
- [c] Yield after acid-base work-up.
- [d] In 9:1 ratio.
- [e] Reaction performed under argon.
- [f] Predicted from <sup>1</sup>H NMR (without acid-base work-up), additionally an unidentified compound was observed in <sup>1</sup>H NMR.

These reaction conditions were considered as optimal and were used for further reactions. In general, these  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -dichloroamines could be simply purified and obtained in excellent yield by acid-base extraction. Purification of these propargylic amines by means of flash chromatography on silica gel resulted in considerable loss of product and resulted in a very impure product. [10d]

Using the optimized conditions, the scope of the reaction was explored using a broad range of chlorinated imines **1a–h**. It was observed that a number of substituents on the imine, including methyl, ethyl, isopropyl, *tert*-butyl, allyl, benzyl and chloro groups were tolerated to achieve the corresponding products **3a–h** in 62–85% yields (Table 2, entries 1–8). In the case of *N*-benzylimine **1e** (Table 2, entry 5), isomerization of the C=N double bond to the *N*-benzylidene derivative was not considered as an alternative as the  $\alpha'$ -alkynylated product was not observed. [14] On the other hand, the scope of arylacetylenes has also been studied. It



Table 2. In(OTf)<sub>3</sub>-catalyzed reaction between 1a-h and phenylacetylene (2a).<sup>[a]</sup>

Entry	1		3	Yield of <b>3</b> [%]
1	a	CI CI H	CI CI HN	75 <sup>[b]</sup>
2	b	CI CI H	CI CI	66; <sup>[b]</sup> 65 <sup>[c]</sup>
3	c	CI CI H	CI_CI_	85; <sup>[b]</sup> 83 <sup>[c]</sup>
4	d	CI CI H	CI CI HN	69; <sup>[b]</sup> 66 <sup>[c]</sup>
5	e	CI CI H	CI CI HN Ph	73; <sup>[b]</sup> 72 <sup>[c]</sup>
6	f	CI CI H	CI CI	69; <sup>[b]</sup> 62 <sup>[c]</sup>
7	g	CI CI H	CI CI	66; <sup>[b]</sup> 61 <sup>[c]</sup>
8	h	CI CI H	CI CI CI HN	79; <sup>[b]</sup> 76 <sup>[c]</sup>

<sup>[</sup>a] All reactions were performed using 0.5 mmol 1 and 0.5 mmol 2a in a sealed vial under air.

revealed that a number of electron-donating substituents (methyl, ethyl, tert-butyl and methoxy) as well as electron-withdrawing substituents (chloro and fluoro) on the aromatic ring of the arylacetylenes **2b**–**h** were well tolerated to produce the corresponding products **3i–o** in 65–88% yields (Table 3, entries 1-7).

Moreover, the generality of this method has been verified by reacting **1a** with cyclohexylacetylene **(2i)**, 1-hexyne **(2j)** and methyl propargyl ether **(2k)** (Scheme 1). Surprisingly, the developed alkynylation method is not only restricted to the use of arylacetylenes but is also applicable for alkylacetylenes and in

<sup>[</sup>b] Yield without acid-base work-up.

<sup>[</sup>c] Yield after acid-base work-up.

Table 3. In(OTf)<sub>3</sub>-catalyzed reaction between 1a and arylacetylenes 2b-h.<sup>[a]</sup>

Entry	R	3		Yield of <b>3</b> [%]
1	2-methyl; <b>2b</b>	i	CI CI	74; <sup>[b]</sup> 67 <sup>[c]</sup>
2	4-methyl; <b>2c</b>	j	CI CI	88; <sup>[b]</sup> 79 <sup>[c]</sup>
3	4-ethyl; <b>2d</b>	k	CI CI	78; <sup>[b]</sup> 71 <sup>[c]</sup>
4	4- <i>tert</i> -butyl; <b>2e</b>	1	CI CI	70; <sup>[b]</sup> 65 <sup>[c]</sup>
5	3-methoxy; 2f	m	CI CI OMe	79; <sup>[b]</sup> 71 <sup>[c]</sup>
6	4-chloro; <b>2g</b>	n	CI CI	66 <sup>[c]</sup>
7	4-fluoro-3-methyl; <b>2h</b>	0	CI CI F	85; <sup>[b]</sup> 72 <sup>[c]</sup>

<sup>[</sup>a] All reactions were performed using  $0.5 \text{ mmol } \mathbf{1a}$  and  $0.5 \text{ mmol } \mathbf{2}$  in a sealed vial under air.

CI CI 25 mol% In(OTf)<sub>3</sub> CI CI R

$$CH_2Cl_2$$
, 50 °C, 18 h

1a 2i-k

 $R = \text{cyclohexyl}$ ; 81%[a] (83%[b])

 $R = n\text{-butyl}$ ; 87%[a] (85%[b])

 $R = CH_2OMe$ ; 61%[a]

Scheme 1.  $In(OTf)_3$ -catalyzed reaction between 1a and alky-lacetylenes 2i-k.

all cases the corresponding final products **3p-r** were isolated in good to excellent yields.

A possible mechanism for this In(III)-catalyzed alkynylation is proposed in Scheme 2. Most probably the first step is the insertion of In(III) into the acidic C(sp)—H bond of the terminal acetylene to deliver an active indium(III) acetylide **A**. This insertion is accompanied by the release of one molecule of triflic acid. Due to its high nucleophilic character an addition reaction of the organoindium across the C=N double bond of the imine occurs, which leads to the

<sup>[</sup>b] Yield without acid-base work-up.

<sup>[</sup>c] Yield after acid-base work-up.

<sup>[</sup>a] Yield without acid-base work-up,

<sup>[</sup>b] Yield after acid-base work-up

**Scheme 2.** Plausible mechanism of the In(III)-catalyzed alkynylation of imines.

formation of the intermediate **B**. Finally, intermediate **B** is protonated by triflic acid leading to the propargylic amine **3a** and hereby regenerating the active In-(III) triflate (Scheme 2).

Several experiments were performed to support the proposed mechanism. An experiment with **1a** and phenylacetylene-d (**2l**) in the presence of 25 mol%  $In(OTf)_3$  as a catalyst in  $CH_2Cl_2$  as solvent at 50 °C revealed that the corresponding product **3a** can be isolated in 66% yield. It is noteworthy to mention that there is no incorporation of deuterium in the final product **3a** (Figure 1). Further support for the proposed mechanism was found by performing the alky-

nylation reaction in the presence of 2 equivalents of triethylamine as an additive. Product **3a** was isolated in 32% yield. This lower yield can be explained by assuming the neutralization of triflic acid by triethylamine, thus preventing the efficient regeneration of the In(OTf)<sub>3</sub> catalyst from intermediate **B**.<sup>[15]</sup> On the other hand, the addition of an extra equivalent of triflic acid to the alkynylation reaction led to a similar yield of **3a** (63%) as that obtained in the absence of any additive (66%).

In summary, an efficient and operationally simple In(III)-catalyzed synthesis of 4,4-dichloro-1-aryl-*N*-alkyl-1-yn-3-amines is demonstrated. The reaction proceeds under very mild conditions, producing the corresponding final products in good to excellent yields in high purity. Notably, the traditional reaction conditions for alkynylation of imines were unable to accomplish this particular transformation.

### **Experimental Section**

## General Experimental Procedure (I) for the In(III)-Catalyzed Synthesis of 3

In an oven-dried 10-mL vial  $\alpha,\alpha$ -dichlorinated aldimines (0.5 mmol), acetylenes (0.5 mmol) and In(OTf)<sub>3</sub>




Figure 1. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of product 3a from the experiment with phenylacetylene-d (2l).

(0.125 mmol, 70.3 mg) were added successively and the vial was sealed under air. Then, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2 mL) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at 50°C for 18-24 h. Afterwards, the reaction mixture was extracted with 0.5N NaOH (4 mL). The organic phase was dried using MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude reaction mixture was then subjected to an acid-base extraction: 1N HCl (4 mL) and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2 mL) were added and stirred for 1 h at room temperature. The aqueous phase was isolated and washed with diethyl ether (4 mL-4 mL-2 mL) and the combined organic phases were once more extracted with 2N HCl (4 mL-2 mL-1 mL). Then, 3N NaOH (~7 mL) was added to the aqueous phase until the pH was slightly basic and the resulting solution was extracted with CH2Cl2 (10 mL-10 mL-5 mL). The CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> fractions were combined, dried using MgSO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated under vacuum to afford the corresponding 4,4-dichloro-1-aryl-N-alkyl-1-yn-3-amine 3a-r as yellow oils.

## General Experimental Procedure (II) for the In(III)-Catalyzed Synthesis of 3

In an oven-dried 10-mL vial  $\alpha,\alpha$ -dichlorinated aldimines (0.5 mmol) **1a–h**, acetylenes **2a–h** (0.5 mmol) and In(OTf)<sub>3</sub> (0.125 mmol, 70.3 mg) were added successively and the vial was sealed under air. CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2 mL) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at 50 °C for 18–24 h. Afterwards, the reaction mixture was diluted with 10 mL CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and washed with 0.5 N NaOH (10 mL). The CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> fractions were combined, dried using MgSO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated under vacuum to afford the corresponding 4,4-dichloro-1- aryl-*N*-alkyl-1-yn-3-amines **3a–r** as yellow oils.

## Acknowledgements

We are thankful to Ing. Heidi Seykens and Ing. Norbert Hancké for recording NMR spectra and HR-MS. We thank Dr. Emily Mitchell for the careful editing of the text. This work was financially supported by the University of Antwerp (mandate CCM).

#### References

- a) M. A. Huffman, N. Yasuda, A. E. DeCamp, E. J. J. Grabowski, J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 1590; b) M. Miura, M. Enna, K. Okuro, M. Nomura, J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 4999; c) A. Jenmalm, W. Berts, Y. L. Li, K. Luthman, I. Csoregh, U. Hacksell, J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 1139.
- [2] For reviews see: a) G. Dyker, Angew. Chem. 1999, 111, 1808; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 1698; b) T. Naota, H. Takaya, S. I. Murahashi, Chem. Rev. 1998, 98, 2599. For selected examples see: c) J. J. Fleming, J. Du Bois, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 3926; d) B. Jiang, M. Xu, Angew. Chem. 2004, 116, 2597; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 2543; e) T. Yoon, M. D. Shair, S. J. Danishefsky, G. K. Shulte, J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 3752
- [3] a) M. D'hooghe, W. Van Brabandt, N. De Kimpe, *J. Org. Chem.* **2004**, *69*, 2709, and references cited there-

- in; b) J. L. Wright, T. F. Gregory, S. P. Kesten, P. A. Boxer, K. A. Serpa, L. T. Meltzer, L. D. Wise, S. A. Espitia, C. S. Konkoy, E. R. Whittemore, R. M. Woodward, *J. Med. Chem.* **2000**, *43*, 3408.
- [4] M. Naoi, W. Maruyama, M. B. H. Youdim, P. Yu, A. A. Boulton, *Inflammopharmacology* **2003**, *11*, 175.
- [5] P. H. Yu, B. A. Davis, A. A. Boulton, J. Med. Chem. 1992, 35, 3705.
- [6] For selected examples see: a) A. Hoepping, K. M. Johnson George, J. Flippen-Anderson, A. P. Kozikowski, J. Med. Chem. 2000, 43, 2064; b) N. Miyachi, F. Kanda, M. Shibasaki, J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 3511; c) M. Shibasaki, Y. Ishida, G. Iwasaki, T. Iimori, J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 3488.
- [7] C. Swithenbank, P. J. McNulty, K. L. Viste, J. Agric. Food Chem. 1971, 19, 417.
- [8] For selected examples see: a) H. Eshghi, G. H. Zohuri, S. Damavandia, Eur. J. Chem. 2011, 2, 100; b) P. de Armas, D. Tejedor, F. García-Tellado, Angew. Chem. 2010, 122, 1029; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 1013; c) J. Mao, P. Zhang, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2009, 20, 566; d) D. A. Black, R. E. Beveridge, B. A. Arndtsen, J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 1906; e) C. Wei, J. T. Mague, C.-J. Li, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2004, 101, 5749; f) B. Jiang, Y.-G. Si, Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 6767.
- For selected examples see: a) S. Samai, G. C. Nandi, M. S. Singh, Tetrahedron Lett. 2010, 51, 5555 and refs.[18-27] cited therein; b) Y. Zhang, P. Li, M. Wang, L. Wang, J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 4364; c) B. M. Trost, A. H. Weissb, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2009, 351, 963; d) D. Tejedor, S. López-Tosco, F. Cruz-Acosta, G. Méndez-Abt, F. García-Tellado, Angew. Chem. 2009, 121, 2124; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 2090; e) M. Irmaka, M. M. K. Boysena, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2008, 350, 403; f) Z. Shao, J. Wang, K. Ding, A. S. C. Chan, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2007, 349, 2375; g) L. Zani, T. Eichhorn, C. Bolm, Chem. Eur. J. 2007, 13, 2587; h) T. R. Wu, J. M. Chong, Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 15; i) N. Gommermann, P. Knochel, Chem. Eur. J. 2006, 12, 4380; j) T. F. Knöpfel, P. Aschwanden, T. Ichikawa, T. Watanabe, E. M. Carreira, Angew. Chem. 2004, 116, 6097; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 5971; k) N. Gommermann, C. Koradin, K. Polborn, P. Knochel, Angew. Chem. 2003, 115, 5941; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 5763; 1) J. F. Traverse, A. H. Hoveyda, M. L. Snapper, Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 3273; m) C. Koradin, K. Polborn, P. Knochel Angew. Chem. 2002, 114, 2651; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 2535; n) B. Jiang, Y.-G. Si, Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 6767; o) C. Wei, C.-J. Li, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 5638; for recent reviews see: p) S. Kobayashi, Y. Mori, J. S. Fossey, M. M. Salter, Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 2626; q) K.-I. Yamada, K. Tomioka, Chem. Rev. 2008, 108, 2874; r) P. G. Cozzi, R. Hilgraf, N. Zimmermann, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 4095.
- [10] a) S. Stas, K. Abbaspour Tehrani, Tetrahedron 2007, 63, 8921; b) A. S. Konev, S. Stas, M. S. Novikov, A. F. Khlebnikov, K. Abbaspour Tehrani, Tetrahedron 2008, 64, 117; c) S. Stas, K. Abbaspour Tehrani, G. Laus, Tetrahedron 2008, 64, 3457; d) K. Abbaspour Tehrani, S. Stas, B. Lucas, N. De Kimpe, Tetrahedron 2009, 65, 1957.



- [11] a) R. Takita, Y. Fukuta, R. Tsuji, T. Ohshima, M. Shibasaki, *Org. Lett.* **2005**, 7, 1363; b) R. Takita, K. Yakura, T. Ohshima, M. Shibasaki, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 13760.
- [12] For a recent review on the use of indium salts in organic synthesis, see: J. Augé, N. Lubin-Germain, J. Uziel, Synthesis 2007, 1739; for an example on functional group tolerance see: Y.-H. Chen, P. Knochel, Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 7760; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 7648.
- [13] For reviews on In(III) catalysis see: a) J.-F. Zhao, H.-Y. Tsui, P.-J. Wu, J. Lu, T.-P. Loh, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 16492; b) Y. Itoh, H. Tsuji, K.-I. Yamagata, K.
- Endo, I. Tanaka, M. Nakamura, E. Nakamura, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 17161; c) K. K. Chauhan, C. G. Frost, *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1* **2000**, 3015.
- [14] Azomethine-azomethine isomerizations mostly occur under strongly basic conditions: a) N. De Kimpe, D. De Smaele, A. Hofkens, Y. Dejaegher, B. Kesteleyn *Tetrahedron* **1997**, 53, 10803; b) T. Ono, V. P. Kukhar, V. A. Soloshonok *J. Org. Chem.* **1996**, *61*, 6563.
- [15] Eventual degradation of **3a** by triethylamine was not considered as a reason for this reduced yield because of the earlier observed stability of **3a** in the presence of triethylamine (see ref. [10d]).