

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/49683124>

# Hybrid Zeolitic Imidazolate Frameworks with Catalytically Active TO<sub>4</sub> Building Blocks

ARTICLE in ANGEWANDTE CHEMIE INTERNATIONAL EDITION · JANUARY 2011

Impact Factor: 11.26 · DOI: 10.1002/anie.201005917 · Source: PubMed

CITATIONS

103

READS

50

5 AUTHORS, INCLUDING:



**Fei Wang**

Chinese Academy of Sciences

72 PUBLICATIONS 1,414 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



**Hui Yang**

Massey University

28 PUBLICATIONS 632 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



**Yan-Xi Tan**

Chinese Academy of Sciences

47 PUBLICATIONS 1,294 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



**Jian Zhang**

Shandong University

168 PUBLICATIONS 3,537 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

# Hybrid Zeolitic Imidazolate Frameworks with Catalytically Active $\text{TO}_4$ Building Blocks\*\*

Fei Wang, Zi-Sheng Liu, Hui Yang, Yan-Xi Tan, and Jian Zhang\*


Crystalline porous materials with diverse chemical compositions (e.g., inorganic porous materials, inorganic–organic hybrid frameworks, and covalent organic frameworks) and framework topologies have been intensively studied in the past 60 years.<sup>[1]</sup> They have wide applications in fields such as heterogeneous catalysis, gas storage, and separation.<sup>[2]</sup> Moreover, some currently emerging areas related to health, energy use, and environmental conservation and remediation are still looking for the development of new porous materials.<sup>[3–5]</sup>

Zeolites are among the most well known porous materials because of their typical 4-connected open frameworks with  $\text{TO}_4$  ( $\text{T} = \text{Si}^{4+}$ ,  $\text{Al}^{3+}$ , or  $\text{P}^{5+}$  etc.) building blocks and outstanding catalytic or gas separation properties.<sup>[6,7]</sup> Recently, the search for new zeolite-like structures was extended to metal–organic frameworks (MOFs), and these explorations in part produced a variety of zeolitic imidazolate frameworks (ZIFs) in which the tetrahedrally coordinated divalent cations ( $\text{M}^{2+} = \text{Zn}^{2+}$  or  $\text{Co}^{2+}$ ) are connected by the uninegative imidazolate ligands ( $\text{im}^-$ ).<sup>[8–11]</sup> The rich chemistry associated with the organic imidazolate building blocks in ZIFs leads to some exceptional properties, such as large surface area and high gas uptake capacities.<sup>[12]</sup> A question that emerges is: “Are there material with properties intermediate of those of zeolites and ZIFs?”. It is true that there is a hybrid state that remains unknown to date.

In this work, we were seeking to integrate compositional and structural features of zeolites and ZIFs by combining  $\text{TO}_4$  tetrahedra with zinc–imidazolate units. Such a combination is trusted to bear both merits of zeolites and ZIFs, for example, possession of catalytic active  $\text{TO}_4$  sites of zeolites and high porosity of ZIFs. Herein, we report this kind of hybrid zeolitic imidazolate framework [denoted HZIFs; general formula:  $\text{M}_4(\text{im})_6\text{TO}_4$ ] with catalytically active  $\text{TO}_4$  ( $\text{T} = \text{Mo}^{6+}$  or  $\text{W}^{6+}$ ) building blocks and high thermal stability (up to  $550^\circ\text{C}$ ), which presents a new class of porous materials filling the gap between zeolites and ZIFs.

The HZIFs reported herein are constructed from two kinds of tetrahedral building blocks and contain two kinds of

connectivity, and combine structural features of both zeolites and ZIFs (Scheme 1). The  $\text{TO}_4$  units used in HZIFs are not traditional  $\text{SiO}_4$  or  $\text{AlO}_4$  units in aluminosilicate zeolites, but



**Scheme 1.** The building blocks in a) zeolites, b) ZIFs, and c) HZIFs.

catalytically active  $\text{MoO}_4$  or  $\text{WO}_4$  units. Each  $\text{TO}_4$  unit forms four  $\text{T–O–M}$  bonds with other typical tetrahedral  $[\text{M}(\text{im})_3\text{O}]$  units, in which the metal center ( $\text{M} = \text{Zn}^{2+}$ ) has three additional  $\text{M–im–M}$  bonds. Thus, the assembly of tetrahedral  $\text{TO}_4$  and  $[\text{M}(\text{im})_3\text{O}]$  units fabricates 4-connected zeolite-type topologies with the general framework composition  $\text{M}_4(\text{im})_6\text{TO}_4$ .

Two initial HZIFs (HZIF-1Mo and HZIF-1W) were synthesized by the self-assembly of a  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  cation,  $\text{MoO}_4^{2-}$  or  $\text{WO}_4^{2-}$  anions, and 2-methylimidazolate (2-mim) under solvothermal conditions. Both compounds were structurally characterized by single-crystal X-ray diffraction and found to be isostructural. They crystallize in the same cubic space group  $Im\bar{3}m$  and have neutral three-dimensional frameworks  $\text{Zn}_4(2\text{-mim})_6\text{TO}_4 \cdot x(\text{solvent})$  (HZIF-1Mo:  $\text{T} = \text{Mo}$ ; HZIF-1W:  $\text{T} = \text{W}$ ) containing structurally disordered solvent molecules. In each structure, the tetrahedral  $\text{TO}_4$  unit bonds to four Zn centers and the tetrahedral geometry of each Zn center is completed by three 2-mim ligands (Scheme 1c). The whole framework topology is identified as the 4-connected net with symbol sdt,<sup>[13]</sup> which is still unknown in both zeolites and ZIFs.


A prominent structural feature of this sdt-type framework is to interconnect the truncated octahedral cages of  $[\text{Zn}_{24}(2\text{-mim})_{36}]$  by the inorganic  $\text{MoO}_4$  or  $\text{WO}_4$  units (Figure 1a). The large  $[\text{Zn}_{24}(2\text{-mim})_{36}]$  cage with effective diameter of  $12.5 \text{ \AA}$  and pore aperture of  $3.3 \text{ \AA}$  in HZIF-1W is the same as the subunit in ZIF-8, a well known framework with zeolitic sodalite (SOD) topology.<sup>[8]</sup> Interestingly, each Zn vertex of this cage is covered by another T ( $\text{T} = \text{W}$ ) node through  $\text{Zn–O–T}$  connectivity (Figure 1b). Although it is surrounded by the inorganic  $\text{TO}_4$  units, each window of the cage remains

[\*] Dr. F. Wang,<sup>[†]</sup> Z.-S. Liu,<sup>[†]</sup> H. Yang, Y.-X. Tan, Prof. Dr. J. Zhang  
State Key Laboratory of Structural Chemistry  
Fujian Institute of Research on the Structure of Matter, CAS  
Fuzhou, Fujian, 350002 (China)  
E-mail: zhj@fjirsm.ac.cn

[†] These authors contributed equally to this work.

[\*\*] This work was supported by the National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program 2011CB932504) and NSFC (21073191).  
 $\text{T} = \text{Mo}^{6+}$  or  $\text{W}^{6+}$ .

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201005917>.




**Figure 1.** a) Each  $\text{WO}_4^{2-}$  ion links four truncated octahedral cages of  $[\text{Zn}_{24}(\text{2-mim})_{36}]$  in the structure of HZIF-1W, and b) each cage is surrounded by the  $\text{WO}_4^{2-}$  ions. c) The resulting 3D framework with free voids (green balls) in the cages, and d) its natural tiling showing the packing of the cages (red tiles).

open and faces to next symmetry-related window of the adjacent cage. Because there are two kinds of T nodes in HZIF-1W, the nodal distance between Zn–O–W of 3.659 Å is significantly shorter than that of Zn–mim–Zn (6.005 Å), and the Zn–O–W angle of 161° is bigger than the Zn–mim–Zn angle of 146°. As a result of connectivity between inorganic  $\text{TO}_4$  units and metal–organic cages, a microporous framework with solvent-accessible volume of 29.4% of the crystal volume is formed (Figure 1c).<sup>[14]</sup> The packing of the large cages can also be seen from the tiling illustration in Figure 1d.

The free spaces in HZIF-1W are occupied by the structurally disordered *N,N*-dimethylformamide (DMF) molecules, equal to one and half DMF guest molecules per  $\text{Zn}_4(\text{2-mim})_6\text{TO}_4$  unit, as evidenced by thermogravimetric (TG) analysis data. The TG curve of HZIF-1W shows a weight loss of 11% from 20 to 200°C, corresponding to the release of the DMF guest molecules (expected 11.1%) (Figure S3 in the Supporting Information). No weight loss was observed between 200 and 550°C, suggesting that no chemical decomposition occurred between the desolvating and ligand-releasing temperatures. The TGA curve of HZIF-1Mo is similar to that of HZIF-1W. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) experiments under different temperatures were performed to investigate the thermal stability of HZIF-1W upon removal of guest molecules according to the TG analysis. The PXRD patterns below 550°C are coincident with the corresponding patterns simulated from single-crystal XRD structures, which confirms the high structural and thermal stability (up to 550°C) of the evacuated framework (Figure S4). The stability is significantly greater than those of ZIFs and other porous MOFs.<sup>[10]</sup>

The permanent porosity of these desolvated HZIFs was established by reversible  $\text{N}_2$  sorption experiments at 77 K, which showed that they exhibit type I adsorption isotherm behavior typical of materials with microporosity (Figure 2a).




**Figure 2.** a)  $\text{N}_2$  (HZIF-Mo: ■ adsorption, □ desorption; HZIF-W: ● adsorption, ○ desorption) and b)  $\text{CO}_2$  (HZIF-Mo: □ adsorption; HZIF-W: ● adsorption) sorption isotherms.  $P/P_0$  is the ratio of gas pressure ( $P$ ) to saturation pressure ( $P_0$ ), whereby  $P_0 = 769$  torr.

The Langmuir surface areas were 476 and 381  $\text{m}^2\text{g}^{-1}$  for HZIF-1Mo and HZIF-1W, respectively, demonstrating the effect of molecular weight. The BET method (Brunauer–Emmett–Teller) yields surface areas of 342 and 288  $\text{m}^2\text{g}^{-1}$ , respectively. The  $\text{CO}_2$  uptake capacity of HZIF-1Mo reaches as high as 77.3  $\text{cm}^3\text{g}^{-1}$  at 1 atm and 195 K, and that for HZIF-1W is 70.2  $\text{cm}^3\text{g}^{-1}$  (Figure 2b).

The remarkable thermal stability and the presence of catalytically active sites prompted us to examine the catalytic properties of these HZIFs. Materials based on  $\text{MoO}_4$  or  $\text{WO}_4$  units are useful as acid and oxidation catalysts for various reactions.<sup>[15]</sup> To determine the activity of these materials for oxidation of alcohols, both HZIF-1Mo and HZIF-1W were tested for selective oxidation of benzyl alcohol to benzaldehyde with 30% hydrogen peroxide as the oxidant. The results show 100% selectivity to benzaldehyde (no trace of benzoic acid) for both catalytic materials, and the conversion of benzyl alcohol are 51.4% and 72.2% for HZIF-1Mo and HZIF-1W, respectively, at 353 K for 6 h in aqueous solution (Figures S5 and S6). The different oxidizing abilities between the  $\text{MoO}_4$

and  $\text{WO}_4$  units ( $\text{MoO}_4 < \text{WO}_4$ ) may be the reason for such different catalytic behavior.<sup>[15]</sup>

The photocatalytic activities of the desolvated samples were also investigated by spectrophotometry, the results of which demonstrate that both HZIFs have photocatalytic activity, and HZIF-1Mo possesses higher activity than HZIF-1W. The degradation of methyl orange was selected as the reference,<sup>[16]</sup> and the characteristic absorption of methyl orange at about  $\lambda = 465$  nm was selected for monitoring the adsorption and photocatalytic degradation process. Figure 3



**Figure 3.** Plots of absorbance (*A*) versus irradiation time in the presence of methyl orange/ $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  solution (●), methyl orange/ $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  solution with ZIF-8 (□), methyl orange/ $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  solution with HZIF-1W (○), and methyl orange/ $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  solution with HZIF-1Mo (■).

shows a comparison of photocatalytic activities of different samples. The photocatalytic activity of each sample was gradually enhanced with time increasing from 0 to 120 min. HZIF-1Mo exhibits much higher activity. After application of light for 120 min, the degradation ratio of methyl orange reaches 81.6%. Although HZIF-1Mo and HZIF-1W possess the same topological structures, different metal ions between them lead to distinct band-gap sizes (HZIF-1Mo:  $E_g = 1.32$  eV; HZIF-1W:  $E_g = 2.2$  eV; Figures S8 and S9), which give rise to a discrepancy in their photocatalytic activity. Their reverse catalytic behavior in the oxidation of benzyl alcohol reaction (HZIF-1Mo < HZIF-1W) and the photocatalytic reaction (HZIF-1Mo > HZIF-1W) is related to the different catalytic mechanisms.

HZIFs differ from ZIFs because of the additional catalytic active sites in the structures, so they can act as the heterogeneous catalysts for the selective oxidation of benzyl alcohol and the photocatalytic degradation of methyl orange. Without the  $\text{MoO}_4^{2-}$  or  $\text{WO}_4^{2-}$  ions in the synthetic reactions of above HZIFs, the obtained material ZIF-8 ( $E_g = 4.9$  eV; Figure S11) under the similar conditions did not show any catalytic activity because of the absence of the catalytic sites in the structure and its wide band gap (Figure 3). Relative to other porous MOFs, HZIFs present notably high thermal stability and special 4-connected zeolite-like topology.

In summary, we developed a new kind of porous materials HZIFs with integrated structural features and functions of zeolites and ZIFs. These HZIFs have distinct framework topology, unusual high thermal stability, and catalytic properties, opening a new construction route toward novel zeolite-type framework materials. Further works to explore the structural diversity and new functions of HZIFs by using different imidazolate ligands and inorganic  $\text{TO}_4$  units are in progress.

### Experimental Section

**Synthesis of  $\text{Zn}_4(2\text{-mim})_6\text{WO}_4 \cdot 1.5(\text{DMF})$  (HZIF-1W):**  $\text{Zn}(\text{CH}_3\text{COO})_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (0.1760 g, 0.8 mmol), 2-methylimidazole (2-mim, 0.0960 g, 1.2 mmol), tungstic acid ( $\text{H}_2\text{WO}_4$ , 0.0500 g, 0.2 mmol), and *N,N*-dimethylformamide (DMF, 4 mL) in a 23 mL teflon-lined air-tight reactor was heated at 160 °C for 6 days, and then cooled to room temperature. Colorless transparent polyhedral crystals were obtained as a pure phase, washed with water and ethanol, and dried at room temperature. Elemental analysis for  $\text{C}_{28.5}\text{H}_{40.5}\text{N}_{13.5}\text{Zn}_4\text{WO}_{5.5}$ : found (calcd): C 31.0 (30.96), H 3.88 (3.69), N 16.25 (17.1). IR (KBr pellet):  $\tilde{\nu} = 3133\text{m}, 2927\text{m}, 1680, 1590\text{s}, 1457\text{s}, 1461\text{s}, 1309\text{s}, 1174\text{m}, 1144\text{s}, 996\text{s}, 896\text{m}, 757\text{s}, 691\text{m cm}^{-1}$ . A similar procedure was performed for the synthesis of  $\text{Zn}_4(2\text{-mim})_6\text{MoO}_4 \cdot 2(\text{DMF})$  (HZIF-1Mo) by using molybdic acid instead of tungstic acid.

**Crystal data for HZIF-1W:**  $\text{C}_{28.5}\text{H}_{40.5}\text{N}_{13.5}\text{Zn}_4\text{WO}_{5.5}$ ,  $M = 1105.5$ , cubic,  $a = 23.575(3)$  Å,  $V = 13\,102(3)$  Å<sup>3</sup>,  $T = 293(2)$  K, space group  $Im\bar{3}m$ ,  $Z = 12$ , 51 628 reflections measured, 1486 independent reflections ( $R_{\text{int}} = 0.0563$ ). The final  $R_1$  values were 0.0888 ( $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ). The final  $wR(F^2)$  values were 0.2501 ( $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ). The goodness of fit on  $F^2$  was 1.294. **Crystal data for HZIF-1Mo:**  $\text{C}_{30}\text{H}_{44}\text{N}_{14}\text{Zn}_4\text{WO}_{5.5}$ ,  $M = 1054.23$ , cubic,  $a = 23.4345(2)$  Å,  $V = 12\,869.66(19)$  Å<sup>3</sup>,  $T = 293(2)$  K, space group  $Im\bar{3}m$ ,  $Z = 12$ , 5352 reflections measured, 832 independent reflections ( $R_{\text{int}} = 0.0294$ ). The final  $R_1$  values were 0.0751 ( $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ). The final  $wR(F^2)$  values were 0.2050 ( $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ). The goodness of fit on  $F^2$  was 0.995.

CCDC 787697 (HZIF-1Mo) and 787698 (HZIF-1W) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via [www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data\\_request/cif](http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif).

Received: September 21, 2010

Published online: December 10, 2010

**Keywords:** microporous materials · organic–inorganic hybrid composites · structure elucidation · zeolite analogues · zeolites

- [1] a) G. Férey, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2008**, 37, 191; b) P. M. Forster, J. Eckert, J.-S. Chang, S.-E. Park, G. Férey, A. K. Cheetham, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, 125, 1309; c) M. Latroche, S. Surblé, C. Serre, C. Mellot-Draznieks, P. L. Llewellyn, J.-H. Lee, J.-S. Chang, S. H. Jung, G. Férey, *Angew. Chem.* **2006**, 118, 8407; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2006**, 45, 8227.
- [2] a) O. M. Yaghi, M. O'Keeffe, N. W. Ockwig, H. K. Chae, M. Eddaoudi, J. Kim, *Nature* **2003**, 423, 705; b) J. R. Long, O. M. Yaghi, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2009**, 38, 1213; c) L. Ma, C. Abney, W. Lin, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2009**, 38, 1248; d) J. Y. Lee, O. K. Farha, J. Roberts, K. A. Scheidt, S. T. Nguyen, J. T. Hupp, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2009**, 38, 1450; e) J.-R. Li, R. J. Kuppler, H.-C. Zhou, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2009**, 38, 1477.
- [3] a) A. C. McKinlay, B. Xiao, D. S. Wragg, P. S. Wheatley, I. L. Megson, R. E. Morris, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, 130, 10440;

- b) R. E. Morris, P. S. Wheatley, *Angew. Chem.* **2008**, *120*, 5044; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 4966.
- [4] a) L. J. Murray, M. Dincă, J. R. Long, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2009**, *38*, 1294; b) S. Kitagawa, R. Kitaura, S. Noro, *Angew. Chem.* **2004**, *116*, 2388; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 2334; c) Y. L. Liu, V. C. Kravtsov, R. Larsen, M. Eddaoudi, *Chem. Commun.* **2006**, 1488.
- [5] a) X. Lin, J. Jia, X. Zhao, K. M. Thomas, A. J. Blake, G. S. Walker, N. R. Champness, P. Hubberstey, M. Schröder, *Angew. Chem.* **2006**, *118*, 7518; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 7358; b) X. Lin, N. R. Champness, M. Schröder et al., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 2159; c) S. Yang, X. Lin, A. J. Blake, G. Walker, P. Hubberstey, N. R. Champness, M. Schröder, *Nat. Chem.* **2009**, *1*, 487.
- [6] a) E. R. Cooper, C. D. Andrews, P. S. Wheatley, P. B. Webb, P. Wormald, R. E. Morris, *Nature* **2004**, *430*, 1012; b) E. R. Parnham, R. E. Morris, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2007**, *40*, 1005; c) X. H. Bu, P. Y. Feng, G. D. Stucky, *Science* **1997**, *278*, 2080; d) M. E. Davis, *Nature* **2002**, *417*, 813.
- [7] a) H. Van Bekkum, E. M. Flanigen, P. A. Jacobs, J. C. Jansen, *Introduction to Zeolite Science and Practice*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, **2001**; b) S. T. Wilson, B. M. Lok, C. A. Messina, T. R. Cannan, E. M. Flanigen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1982**, *104*, 1146.
- [8] X.-C. Huang, Y.-Y. Lin, J. P. Zhang, X.-M. Chen, *Angew. Chem.* **2006**, *118*, 1587; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 1557.
- [9] a) Y.-Q. Tian, C.-X. Cai, Y. Ji, X.-Z. You, S.-M. Peng, G.-H. Lee, *Angew. Chem.* **2002**, *114*, 1442; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2002**, *41*, 1384; b) Y.-Q. Tian, C.-X. Cai, X.-M. Ren, C.-Y. Duan, Y. Xu, S. Gao, X.-Z. You, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2003**, *9*, 5673; c) Y.-Q. Tian, Y. M. Zhao, Z. X. Chen, G. N. Zhang, L. H. Weng, D. Y. Zhao, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2007**, *13*, 4146.
- [10] a) H. Hayashi, A. P. Côté, H. Furukawa, M. O'Keeffe, O. M. Yaghi, *Nat. Mater.* **2007**, *6*, 501; b) K. S. Park, Z. Ni, A. P. Cote, J. Y. Choi, R. D. Huang, F. J. Uribe-Romo, H. K. Chae, M. O'Keeffe, O. M. Yaghi, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2006**, *103*, 10186; c) R. Banerjee, A. Phan, B. Wang, C. Knobler, H. Furukawa, M. O'Keeffe, O. M. Yaghi, *Science* **2008**, *319*, 939; d) B. Wang, A. P. Côté, H. Furukawa, M. O'Keeffe, O. M. Yaghi, *Nature* **2008**, *453*, 207; e) D. W. Lewis, A. R. Ruiz-Salvador, A. Gmez, F. X. Coudert, B. Slater, A. K. Cheetham, C. Mellot-Draznieks, *CrystEngComm* **2009**, *11*, 2272.
- [11] a) J. Zhang, T. Wu, C. Zhou, S. Chen, P. Feng, X. Bu, *Angew. Chem.* **2009**, *121*, 2580; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 2542; b) T. Wu, J. Zhang, C. Zhou, L. Wang, X. Bu, P. Feng, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 6111.
- [12] a) H. Bux, F. Liang, Y. Li, J. Cravillon, M. Wiebcke, J. Caro, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 16000; b) Y.-S. Li, F.-Y. Liang, H. Bux, A. Feldhoff, W.-S. Yang, J. Caro, *Angew. Chem.* **2010**, *122*, 558; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 548; c) A. Huang, H. Bux, F. Steinbach, J. Caro, *Angew. Chem.* **2010**, *122*, 5078; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 4958; d) S. R. Venna, M. A. Carreon, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 76; e) Y. Li, F. Liang, H. Bux, W. Yang, J. Caro, *J. Membr. Sci.* **2010**, *353*, 48; f) Y. Liu, E. Hu, E. A. Khan, Z. Lai, *J. Membr. Sci.* **2010**, *353*, 36; g) H. Wu, W. Zhou, T. Yildirim, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 5314; h) H. L. Jiang, B. Liu, T. Akita, M. Haruta, H. Sakurai, Q. Xu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 11302; i) S. A. Moggach, T. D. Bennett, A. K. Cheetham, *Angew. Chem.* **2009**, *121*, 7221; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 7087; j) H. Wu, W. Zhou, T. Yildirim, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2009**, *113*, 3029.
- [13] For a database of nets, see RCSR (<http://rcsr.anu.edu.au/>).
- [14] A. L. Spek, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **2003**, *36*, 7.
- [15] N. Mizuno, M. Misono, *Chem. Rev.* **1998**, *98*, 199.
- [16] R. N. Briskman, *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells* **1992**, *27*, 361.