

# Power series of the operators $U_n^q$

Heiner Gonska · Ioan Raşa · Elena Dorina Stănilă

Received: 28 November 2013 / Accepted: 14 May 2014 / Published online: 6 June 2014  
© Springer Basel 2014

**Abstract** We study power series of members of a class of positive linear operators reproducing linear function constituting a link between genuine Bernstein-Durrmeyer and classical Bernstein operators. Using the eigenstructure of the operators we give a non-quantitative convergence result towards the inverse Voronovskaya operators. We include a quantitative statement via a smoothing approach.

**Keywords** Power series · Geometric series · Positive linear operator · Bernstein-type operator · Genuine Bernstein-Durrmeyer operator · Degree of approximation · Eigenstructure · Moduli of continuity

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2000)** 41A10 · 41A17 · 41A25 · 41A36

## 1 Introduction

The present note is essentially motivated by two key papers of Păltănea which both appeared in two hardly known local Romanian journals. In the first article mentioned [9] Păltănea defined power series of Bernstein operators (with  $n$  fixed) and studied their approximation behaviour for functions defined on the space  $C_0[0, 1] := \{f | f(x) =$

---

H. Gonska (✉) · E. D. Stănilă  
Faculty of Mathematics, University of Duisburg-Essen, Forsthausweg 2, 47057 Duisburg, Germany  
e-mail: heiner.gonska@uni-due.de

E. D. Stănilă  
e-mail: elena.stanila@stud.uni-due.de

I. Raşa  
Department of Mathematics, Technical University of Cluj-Napoca,  
Str. Memorandumului nr. 28, 400114 Cluj-Napoca, Romania  
e-mail: Ioan.Rasa@math.utcluj.ro

$x(1-x)h(x)$ ,  $h \in C[0, 1]$  to some extent. This article motivated a number of authors to study similar problems or give different proofs of Păltănea's main result. See [1], [2], [3], [11]. In one more and most significant article Păltănea [10] introduced a very interesting link between the classical Bernstein operators  $B_n$  and the so-called "genuine Bernstein-Durrmeyer operators"  $U_n$ , thus also bridging the gap between  $U_n$  and piecewise linear interpolation in a most elegant way for the cases  $0 < \varrho \leq 1$ . The operators  $U_n^\varrho$  also attracted several authors to study them further. See, for example, [6], [7]. In the present note we combine both approaches of Păltănea and study power (geometric) series of the operators  $U_n^\varrho$ , thus bridging the gap between power series of Bernstein operators and such of the genuine operators  $U_n$  mentioned above.

Our main results will concern the convergence of the series as  $n$  (the degree of the polynomials inside the series) tends to infinity. The first non-quantitative theorem will essentially use the eigenstructure of the  $U_n^\varrho$  which was recently studied in [8].

The second result describes the degree of convergence to the "inverse Voronovskaya operators"  $-A_\varrho^{-1}$  using a smoothing (K-) functional approach and makes use of exact representations of the moments as presented in [6].

The quantitative statement also holds in the limiting case of Bernstein operators, thus supplementing the original work of Păltănea.

## 2 The operators $U_n^\varrho$ and their eigenstructure

Denote by  $C[0, 1]$  the space of continuous, real-valued functions on  $[0, 1]$  and by  $\Pi_n$  the space of polynomials of degree at most  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ .

**Definition 1** Let  $\varrho > 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . Define the operator  $U_n^\varrho : C[0, 1] \rightarrow \Pi_n$  by

$$\begin{aligned} U_n^\varrho(f, x) &:= \sum_{k=0}^n F_{n,k}^\varrho(f) p_{n,k}(x) \\ &:= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left( \int_0^1 \frac{t^{k\varrho-1} (1-t)^{(n-k)\varrho-1}}{B(k\varrho, (n-k)\varrho)} f(t) dt \right) p_{n,k}(x) \\ &\quad + f(0)(1-x)^n + f(1)x^n, \end{aligned}$$

$f \in C[0, 1]$ ,  $x \in [0, 1]$  and  $B(\cdot, \cdot)$  is Euler's Beta function. The fundamental functions  $p_{n,k}$  are defined by

$$p_{n,k}(x) = \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n, \quad x \in [0, 1].$$

For  $\varrho = 1$  and  $f \in C[0, 1]$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} U_n^1(f, x) = U_n(f, x) &= (n-1) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left( \int_0^1 f(t) p_{n-2,k-1}(t) dt \right) p_{n,k}(x) \\ &\quad + (1-x)^n f(0) + x^n f(1), \end{aligned}$$

where  $U_n$  are the “genuine” Bernstein-Durrmeyer operators (see [6, Th. 2.3]), while for  $\varrho \rightarrow \infty$ , for each  $f \in C[0, 1]$  the sequence  $U_n^\varrho(f, x)$  converges uniformly to the Bernstein polynomial

$$B_n(f, x) = \sum_{k=0}^n f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) p_{n,k}(x).$$

Moreover, for  $n$  fixed and  $\varrho \rightarrow 0$  one has uniform convergence of  $U_n^\varrho f$  towards the first Bernstein polynomial  $B_1 f$ , i.e., linear interpolation at 0 and 1 (see [7, Th. 3.2]).

The eigenstructure of  $U_n^\varrho$  is described in [8]. The numbers

$$\lambda_{\varrho,j}^{(n)} := \frac{\varrho^j n!}{(n\varrho)^j (n-j)!}, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n, \quad (1)$$

are eigenvalues of  $U_n^\varrho$ . To each of them there corresponds a monic eigenpolynomial  $p_{\varrho,j}^{(n)}$  such that  $\deg p_{\varrho,j}^{(n)} = j$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$ . In particular,

$$p_{\varrho,0}^{(n)}(x) = 1, p_{\varrho,1}^{(n)}(x) = x - \frac{1}{2}, x \in [0, 1]. \quad (2)$$

A complete description of  $p_{\varrho,j}^{(n)}(x)$ ,  $j = 2, \dots, n$ , can be found in [8]. From ([8], (3.14)) we get

$$p_{\varrho,j}^{(n)}(0) = p_{\varrho,j}^{(n)}(1) = 0, j = 2, \dots, n. \quad (3)$$

Obviously  $U_n^\varrho f$  can be decomposed with respect to the basis  $\{p_{\varrho,0}^{(n)}, p_{\varrho,1}^{(n)}, \dots, p_{\varrho,n}^{(n)}\}$  of  $\Pi_n$ ; this allows us to introduce the dual functionals  $\mu_{\varrho,j}^{(n)} : C[0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$ , by means of the formula

$$U_n^\varrho f = \sum_{j=0}^n \lambda_{\varrho,j}^{(n)} \mu_{\varrho,j}^{(n)}(f) p_{\varrho,j}^{(n)}, f \in C[0, 1]. \quad (4)$$

In particular, since  $U_n^\varrho$  restricted to  $\Pi_n$  is bijective, we have

$$p = \sum_{j=0}^n \mu_{\varrho,j}^{(n)}(p) p_{\varrho,j}^{(n)}, p \in \Pi_n. \quad (5)$$

Now consider the numbers

$$\lambda_{\varrho,j} := -\frac{\varrho+1}{2\varrho}(j-1)j, j = 0, 1, \dots \quad (6)$$

and the monic polynomials

$$p_0^*(x) = 1, p_1^*(x) = x - \frac{1}{2}, p_j^*(x) = x(x-1)P_{j-2}^{(1,1)}(2x-1), j \geq 2, \quad (7)$$

where  $P_i^{(1,1)}(x)$  are Jacobi polynomials, orthogonal with respect to the weight  $(1-x)(1+x)$  on  $[-1, 1]$ ,  $i \geq 0$ . Moreover, consider the linear functionals  $\mu_j^* : C[0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , defined as

$$\mu_0^*(f) = \frac{f(0) + f(1)}{2}, \mu_1^*(f) = f(1) - f(0), \quad (8)$$

$$\mu_j^*(f) = \frac{1}{2} \binom{2j}{j} [(-1)^j f(0) + f(1) - j \int_0^1 f(x) P_{j-2}^{(1,1)}(2x-1) dx], j \geq 2. \quad (9)$$

It is easy to verify that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n(\lambda_{\varrho,j}^{(n)} - 1) = \lambda_{\varrho,j}, j \geq 0. \quad (10)$$

The following result can be found in [8].

**Theorem 1** ([8]) *For each  $j \geq 0$  we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{\varrho,j}^{(n)} = p_j^*, \text{ uniformly on } [0, 1], \quad (11)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{\varrho,j}^{(n)}(p) = \mu_j^*(p), p \in \Pi. \quad (12)$$

### 3 The power series $A_n^{\varrho}$

Consider the space

$$C_0[0, 1] := \{f | f(x) = x(1-x)h(x), h \in C[0, 1]\}. \quad (13)$$

For  $f \in C_0[0, 1]$ ,  $f(x) = x(1-x)h(x)$ , define the norm

$$\|f\|_0 := \|h\|_{\infty}. \quad (14)$$

Endowed with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_0$ ,  $C_0[0, 1]$  is a Banach space. Obviously,

$$\|f\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{4} \|f\|_0, f \in C_0[0, 1]. \quad (15)$$

**Lemma 1** As a linear operator on  $(C_0[0, 1], \|\cdot\|_0)$ ,  $U_n^{\varrho}$  has the norm

$$\|U_n^{\varrho}\|_0 = \frac{(n-1)\varrho}{n\varrho+1} < 1. \quad (16)$$

*Proof* Let  $f \in C_0[0, 1]$ ,  $f(x) = x(1-x)h(x)$ ,  $h \in C[0, 1]$ . By straightforward computation we get  $U_n^{\varrho} f(x) = x(1-x)u(x)$ , where

$$u(x)(n-1) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\int_0^1 t^{k\varrho} (1-t)^{(n-k)\varrho} h(t) dt}{k(n-k)B(k\varrho, (n-k)\varrho)} p_{n-2, k-1}(x).$$

It follows immediately that  $U_n^{\varrho} f \in C_0[0, 1]$  and

$$\|U_n^{\varrho} f\|_0 = \|u\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{(n-1)\varrho}{n\varrho+1} \|h\|_{\infty} = \frac{(n-1)\varrho}{n\varrho+1} \|f\|_0.$$

Thus

$$\|U_n^{\varrho}\|_0 \leq \frac{(n-1)\varrho}{n\varrho+1}. \quad (17)$$

On the other hand, let  $g(x) = x(1-x)$ ,  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Then  $\|g\|_0 = 1$  and  $U_n^{\varrho} g(x) = x(1-x) \frac{(n-1)\varrho}{n\varrho+1}$ , which entails  $\|U_n^{\varrho} g\|_0 = \frac{(n-1)\varrho}{n\varrho+1}$  and so

$$\|U_n^{\varrho}\|_0 \geq \frac{(n-1)\varrho}{n\varrho+1}. \quad (18)$$

Now (16) is a consequence of (17) and (18).  $\square$

According to Lemma 1, it is possible to consider the operator  $A_n^{\varrho} : C_0[0, 1] \rightarrow C_0[0, 1]$ ,

$$A_n^{\varrho} := \frac{\varrho}{n\varrho+1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (U_n^{\varrho})^k, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (19)$$

For later purposes we also introduce the notation

$$A_n^{\infty} := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (B_n)^k, \quad n \geq 1,$$

in order to have Păltănea's power series included.

By using (16) we get  $\|A_n^{\varrho}\|_0 \leq \frac{\varrho}{\varrho+1}$ , and with the same function  $g(x) = x(1-x)$  we find

$$\|A_n^{\varrho}\|_0 = \frac{\varrho}{\varrho+1}, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (20)$$

Let  $p \in \Pi_m \cap C_0[0, 1]$ , i.e.,  $p(0) = p(1) = 0$ . Then  $m \geq 2$ . Let  $n \geq m$ . From (2), (3) and (5) we derive

$$p = \sum_{j=2}^m \mu_{\varrho,j}^{(n)}(p) p_{\varrho,j}^{(n)} \quad (21)$$

and, moreover,

$$(U_n^{\varrho})^k p = \sum_{j=2}^m (\lambda_{\varrho,j}^{(n)})^k \mu_{\varrho,j}^{(n)}(p) p_{\varrho,j}^{(n)}, \quad k \geq 0, \text{ for all } n \geq m. \quad (22)$$

According to (19), for all  $p \in \Pi_m \cap C_0[0, 1]$  and  $n \geq m$ ,

$$A_n^{\varrho} p = \frac{\varrho}{n\varrho + 1} \sum_{j=2}^m \frac{1}{1 - \lambda_{\varrho,j}^{(n)}} \mu_{\varrho,j}^{(n)}(p) p_{\varrho,j}^{(n)}. \quad (23)$$

By using (10), (11) and (12) we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n^{\varrho} p = \frac{\varrho}{\varrho + 1} \sum_{j=2}^m \frac{2}{j(j-1)} \mu_j^*(p) p_j^*, \quad (24)$$

uniformly on  $[0, 1]$ , for all  $p \in \Pi_m \cap C_0[0, 1]$ .

#### 4 The Voronovskaya operator $A_{\varrho}$

It was proved in [7, p. 918] that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n(U_n^{\varrho} g(x) - g(x)) = \frac{\varrho + 1}{2\varrho} x(1-x)g''(x), \quad g \in C^2[0, 1],$$

uniformly on  $[0, 1]$ . We need the following result.

**Theorem 2** *The operator  $\{y \in C^2[0, 1] \mid y(0) = y(1) = 0\} \rightarrow C_0[0, 1]$  defined by*

$$A_{\varrho} y(x) := \frac{\varrho + 1}{2\varrho} x(1-x)y''(x), \quad x \in [0, 1], \quad (25)$$

*is bijective, and*

$$\|A_{\varrho}^{-1} f\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{\varrho}{4(\varrho + 1)} \|f\|_0, \quad f \in C_0[0, 1]. \quad (26)$$

*Proof* Obviously  $A_Q$  is injective. To prove the surjectivity, let  $f \in C_0[0, 1]$ ,  $f(x) = x(1-x)h(x)$ ,  $h \in C[0, 1]$ . It is a matter of calculus to verify that the function

$$-\frac{2Q}{Q+1}F_\infty(h; x) = y(x) := -\frac{2Q}{Q+1} \left[ (1-x) \int_0^x th(t)dt + x \int_x^1 (1-t)h(t)dt \right],$$

for  $x \in [0, 1]$  is in  $C^2[0, 1]$ ,  $y(0) = y(1) = 0$ , and  $A_Q y = f$ . Therefore  $A_Q$  is bijective. Moreover, for  $x \in [0, 1]$ ,  $y = A_Q^{-1}(f)$ , i.e.,  $-y(x) = -A_Q^{-1}(f; x) = +\frac{2Q}{Q+1}F_\infty(h; x)$ . Consequently,

$$|A_Q^{-1}f(x)| \leq \frac{2Q}{Q+1} \left[ (1-x) \int_0^x t dt + x \int_x^1 (1-t)dt \right] \|h\|_\infty \quad (27)$$

$$= \frac{Q}{Q+1} x(1-x) \|h\|_\infty \leq \frac{Q}{4(Q+1)} \|f\|_0, \quad (28)$$

and this leads to (26).  $\square$

*Remark 1* Further below we will use the notation  $\Psi(x) := x(1-x)$ , and

$$-A_\infty^{-1}(\Psi h) := 2 \cdot F_\infty(h), \quad h \in C[0, 1],$$

in order to also cover the Bernstein case.

Another useful result reads as follows.

**Lemma 2** For all  $p \in \Pi \cap C_0[0, 1]$  we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n^Q p = -A_Q^{-1} p, \quad (29)$$

uniformly on  $[0, 1]$ .

*Proof* The polynomials  $p_j^*$  from (7) satisfy

$$x(1-x)(p_j^*)''(x) = -j(j-1)p_j^*(x), \quad x \in [0, 1], \quad j \geq 0 \quad (30)$$

(see, e.g., [4], p.155). This yields  $A_Q p_j^* = -\frac{Q+1}{2Q} j(j-1)p_j^*$ ,  $j \geq 0$ , and, moreover,

$$A_Q \left( \sum_{j=2}^m \frac{2}{j(j-1)} \mu_j^*(p) p_j^* \right) = -\frac{Q+1}{Q} \sum_{j=2}^m \mu_j^*(p) p_j^* \quad (31)$$

for all  $p \in \Pi_m \cap C_0[0, 1]$ . According to ([4], (4.18)),  $\sum_{j=2}^m \mu_j^*(p) p_j^* = p$ , so that (31) yields

$$\frac{\varrho}{\varrho+1} \sum_{j=2}^m \frac{2}{j(j-1)} \mu_j^*(p) p_j^* = -A_{\varrho}^{-1} p, \quad (32)$$

for all  $p \in \Pi_m \cap C_0[0, 1]$ . Now (29) is a consequence of (24) and (32).  $\square$

## 5 The convergence of $A_n^{\varrho}$ on $C_0[0, 1]$

One main result of the paper is contained in

**Theorem 3** *For all  $f \in C_0[0, 1]$ ,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n^{\varrho} f = -A_{\varrho}^{-1} f, \quad (33)$$

*uniformly on  $[0, 1]$ .*

*Proof* Let  $f \in C_0[0, 1]$ ,  $f(x) = x(1-x)h(x)$ ,  $h \in C[0, 1]$ . Consider the polynomials  $p_i(x) := x(1-x)B_i h(x)$ , where  $B_i$  are the classical Bernstein operators,  $i \geq 1$ . Then  $p_i \in C_0[0, 1]$ ,  $i \geq 1$ , and  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|p_i - f\|_0 = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|B_i h - h\|_{\infty} = 0$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and fix  $i \geq 1$  such that

$$\|p_i - f\|_0 \leq \frac{2\varrho+2}{3\varrho+2} \varepsilon. \quad (34)$$

Then, according to Lemma 2, there exists  $n_{\varepsilon}$  such that

$$\|A_n^{\varrho} p_i + A_{\varrho}^{-1} p_i\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{2\varrho+2}{3\varrho+2} \varepsilon, n \geq n_{\varepsilon}. \quad (35)$$

Now using (15) and (20) we infer

$$\|A_n^{\varrho} f - A_n^{\varrho} p_i\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{4} \|A_n^{\varrho} f - A_n^{\varrho} p_i\|_0 \leq \frac{1}{4} \|A_n^{\varrho}\|_0 \|f - p_i\|_0 \leq \frac{\varrho}{4(\varrho+1)} \frac{2\varrho+2}{3\varrho+2} \varepsilon,$$

so that

$$\|A_n^{\varrho} f - A_n^{\varrho} p_i\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{\varrho}{2(3\varrho+2)} \varepsilon. \quad (36)$$

On the other hand, (26) and (34) yield

$$\|A_{\varrho}^{-1} f - A_{\varrho}^{-1} p_i\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{\varrho}{4(\varrho+1)} \|f - p_i\|_0 \leq \frac{\varrho}{2(3\varrho+2)} \varepsilon. \quad (37)$$



Finally, using (35), (36) and (37) we obtain, for all  $n \geq n_\varepsilon$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_n^\varrho f + A_\varrho^{-1} f\|_\infty &\leq \|A_n^\varrho f - A_n^\varrho p_i\|_\infty + \|A_n^\varrho p_i + A_\varrho^{-1} p_i\|_\infty \\ &\quad + \|A_\varrho^{-1} f - A_\varrho^{-1} p_i\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

and this concludes the proof.  $\square$

On  $(C[0, 1], \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  consider the linear operator  $H_n^\varrho := A_n^\varrho - (-A_\varrho^{-1})$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} C[0, 1] \ni h \mapsto A_n^\varrho(\Psi h; x) &= \frac{\varrho}{n\varrho + 1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (U_n^\varrho)^k(\Psi h; x) \in C_0[0, 1] \\ C[0, 1] \ni h \mapsto -A_\varrho^{-1}(\Psi h; x) &= \frac{2\varrho}{\varrho + 1} \left[ (1-x) \int_0^x t h(t) dt + x \int_x^1 (1-t) h(t) dt \right] \\ &= \frac{2\varrho}{\varrho + 1} F_\infty(h; x) \in C_0[0, 1] \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 4** Let  $h \in C[0, 1]$ ,  $\varrho > 0$ ,  $n \geq \frac{4\varrho+6}{\varrho}$ ,  $\varepsilon = \sqrt{\frac{\varrho+2}{n\varrho+2}} \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\Psi(x) = x(1-x)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |H_n^\varrho(h; x)| &\leq \Psi(x) \left[ \frac{2\varrho}{3(\varrho+1)} \sqrt{\frac{\varrho+2}{n\varrho+2}} \omega_1(h; \varepsilon) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{3}{4} \left( \frac{2\varrho}{\varrho+1} + \frac{2\varrho}{3(\varrho+1)} \sqrt{\frac{\varrho+2}{n\varrho+2}} + \frac{7(\varrho+3)}{6(\varrho+1)} \right) \omega_2(h; \varepsilon) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

*Proof* Let  $h \in C[0, 1]$  be fixed, and  $g \in C^2[0, 1]$  be arbitrary.

Then  $|H_n^\varrho(h; x)| \leq |H_n^\varrho(h-g; x)| + |H_n^\varrho(g; x)| = |E_1| + |E_2|$ . Here

$$\begin{aligned} |E_1| &= |A_n^\varrho(\Psi(h-g); x) - (-A_\varrho^{-1}(\Psi(h-g); x))| \\ &= |A_n^\varrho(\Psi(h-g); x) - \frac{2\varrho}{\varrho+1} F_\infty(h-g; x)| \\ &\leq \|h-g\|_\infty A_n^\varrho(\Psi; x) + \frac{2\varrho}{\varrho+1} |F_\infty(h-g; x)| \\ &= \|h-g\|_\infty \frac{\varrho}{\varrho+1} \Psi(x) + \frac{2\varrho}{\varrho+1} \|h-g\|_\infty \frac{1}{2} \Psi(x) \\ &= \frac{2\varrho}{\varrho+1} \Psi(x) \|h-g\|_\infty \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|E_2| = |A_n^\varrho(\Psi g; x) - (-A_\varrho^{-1}(\Psi g; x))|.$$

For  $g \in C^2[0, 1]$  one has  $F_\infty := F_\infty(g) \in C^4[0, 1]$ ,  $F_\infty'' = -g$ ,  $F_\infty''' = -g'$ ,  $F_\infty^{(4)} = -g''$ . Moreover, by Taylor's formula we obtain for any points  $y, t \in [0, 1]$ :

$$F_\infty(t) = F_\infty(y) + F_\infty'(y)(t - y) + \frac{1}{2}F_\infty''(y)(t - y)^2 + \frac{1}{6}F_\infty'''(y)(t - y)^3 + \Theta_y(t) \quad (39)$$

where

$$\Theta_y(t) := \frac{1}{6} \int_y^t (t - u)^3 F_\infty^{(4)}(u) du.$$

Fix  $y$  and consider (39) as an equality between two functions in the variable  $t$ . Applying to this equality the operator  $U_n^\varrho(\cdot, y)$  one arrives at

$$\begin{aligned} U_n^\varrho(F_\infty, y) &= F_\infty(y) + \frac{1}{2}F_\infty''(y)U_n^\varrho((t - y)^2; y) + \frac{1}{6}F_\infty'''(y)U_n^\varrho((t - y)^3; y) \\ &\quad + U_n^\varrho(\Theta_y; y) \\ &= F_\infty(y) - \frac{1}{2}g(y)U_n^\varrho((t - y)^2; y) - \frac{1}{6}g'(y)(y)U_n^\varrho((t - y)^3; y) \\ &\quad + U_n^\varrho(\Theta_y; y). \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}g(y)U_n^\varrho((e_1 - y)^2; y) - F_\infty(y) + U_n^\varrho(F_\infty, y) &= -\frac{1}{6}g'(y)(y)U_n^\varrho((e_1 - y)^3; y) \\ &\quad + U_n^\varrho(\Theta_y; y). \end{aligned}$$

In the above equality we rewrite the left hand side as  $\frac{1}{2}g(y)U_n^\varrho((e_1 - y)^2; y) - (I - U_n^\varrho)(F_\infty, y)$ . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(y)U_n^\varrho((e_1 - y)^2; y) - 2(I - U_n^\varrho)(F_\infty, y) &= -\frac{1}{3}g'(y)(y)U_n^\varrho((e_1 - y)^3; y) \\ &\quad + 2U_n^\varrho(\Theta_y; y). \end{aligned}$$

Application of  $A_n^\varrho$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} A_n^\varrho(g(\cdot)U_n^\varrho((e_1 - \cdot)^2; \cdot); x) - 2A_n^\varrho \circ (I - U_n^\varrho)(F_\infty, x) \\ = -\frac{1}{3}A_n^\varrho(g'(\cdot)U_n^\varrho((e_1 - \cdot)^3; \cdot); x) + 2A_n^\varrho(Q; x) \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

where  $Q(y) := U_n^\varrho(\Theta_y; y)$ . The first five moments are given by (see [7, Cor. 2.1])

$$U_n^\varrho(e_0; y) = 1,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
U_n^\varrho(e_1 - y; y) &= 0, \\
U_n^\varrho((e_1 - y)^2; y) &= \frac{(\varrho + 1)\Psi(y)}{n\varrho + 1}, \\
U_n^\varrho((e_1 - y)^3; y) &= \frac{(\varrho + 1)(\varrho + 2)\Psi(y)\Psi'(y)}{(n\varrho + 1)(n\varrho + 2)}, \\
U_n^\varrho((e_1 - y)^4; y) &= \frac{3\varrho(\varrho + 1)^2\Psi^2(y)n}{(n\varrho + 1)(n\varrho + 2)(n\varrho + 3)} \\
&\quad + \frac{-6(\varrho + 1)(\varrho^2 + 3\varrho + 3)\Psi^2(y) + (\varrho + 1)(\varrho + 2)(\varrho + 3)\Psi(y)}{(n\varrho + 1)(n\varrho + 2)(n\varrho + 3)}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the above expression we have  $2A_n^\varrho \circ (I - U_n^\varrho)(F_\infty, x) = \frac{2\varrho}{n\varrho + 1}F_\infty(x) = \frac{2\varrho}{n\varrho + 1}F_\infty(g; x)$ .

Also  $A_n^\varrho(g(\cdot)U_n^\varrho((e_1 - \cdot)^2; \cdot); x) = A_n^\varrho(g(\cdot)\frac{\varrho + 1}{n\varrho + 1}\Psi(\cdot); x) = \frac{\varrho + 1}{n\varrho + 1}A_n^\varrho(\Psi g; x)$ . Hence (40) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \frac{\varrho + 1}{n\varrho + 1}A_n^\varrho(\Psi g; x) - \frac{2\varrho}{n\varrho + 1}F_\infty(g; x) \right| \\
&= \left| -\frac{1}{3}A_n^\varrho(g'(\cdot)U_n^\varrho((e_1 - \cdot)^3; \cdot); x) + 2A_n^\varrho(Q; x) \right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{3} \left| A_n^\varrho \left( g'(\cdot) \frac{(\varrho + 1)(\varrho + 2)}{(n\varrho + 1)(n\varrho + 2)} \Psi'(\cdot)\Psi(\cdot); x \right) \right| + |2A_n^\varrho(Q; x)| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{3} \frac{(\varrho + 1)(\varrho + 2)}{(n\varrho + 1)(n\varrho + 2)} \|g'\|_\infty \frac{\varrho}{\varrho + 1} \Psi(x) + |2A_n^\varrho(Q; x)|.
\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying the outermost sides of the latter inequality by  $\frac{n\varrho + 1}{\varrho + 1}$  gives

$$\begin{aligned}
|E_2| &= \left| A_n^\varrho(\Psi g; x) - \frac{2\varrho}{\varrho + 1}F_\infty(g; x) \right| \\
&\leq \frac{\varrho(\varrho + 2)}{3(n\varrho + 2)(\varrho + 1)} \Psi(x) \|g'\|_\infty + 2 \frac{n\varrho + 1}{\varrho + 1} |A_n^\varrho(Q; x)|.
\end{aligned}$$

In the last summand we have  $Q(y) = U_n^\varrho(\Theta_y; y)$  thus

$$\begin{aligned}
|U_n^\varrho(\Theta_y; y)| &\leq \frac{1}{6} U_n^\varrho((e_1 - y)^4; y) \|g''\|_\infty \\
&\leq \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{7}{4} \cdot \frac{(\varrho + 1)(\varrho + 2)(\varrho + 3)}{\varrho(n\varrho + 1)(n\varrho + 2)} \Psi(y) \|g''\|_\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2(n\varrho + 1)}{\varrho + 1} |A_n^\varrho(Q; x)| &\leq \frac{2(n\varrho + 1)}{\varrho + 1} \cdot \frac{7}{24} \cdot \frac{(\varrho + 1)(\varrho + 2)(\varrho + 3)}{\varrho(n\varrho + 1)(n\varrho + 2)} A_n^\varrho(\Psi; x) \|g''\|_\infty \\ &= \frac{7}{12} \cdot \frac{(\varrho + 2)(\varrho + 3)}{(\varrho + 1)(n\varrho + 2)} \Psi(x) \|g''\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

This leads to

$$\begin{aligned} |E_2| &\leq \frac{\varrho(\varrho + 2)}{3(n\varrho + 2)(\varrho + 1)} \Psi(x) \|g'\|_\infty + \frac{7}{12} \cdot \frac{(\varrho + 2)(\varrho + 3)}{(\varrho + 1)(n\varrho + 2)} \Psi(x) \|g''\|_\infty \\ &= \frac{(\varrho + 2)}{3(n\varrho + 2)(\varrho + 1)} \Psi(x) \left\{ \varrho \|g'\|_\infty + \frac{7}{4} (\varrho + 3) \|g''\|_\infty \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence for  $h \in C[0, 1]$  fixed,  $g \in C^2[0, 1]$  arbitrary we have

$$\begin{aligned} |H_n^\varrho(h; x)| &\leq |E_1| + |E_2| \\ &\leq \frac{2\varrho}{\varrho + 1} \Psi(x) \|h - g\|_\infty + \frac{(\varrho + 2)}{3(n\varrho + 2)(\varrho + 1)} \Psi(x) \left\{ \varrho \|g'\|_\infty + \frac{7}{4} (\varrho + 3) \|g''\|_\infty \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Next we choose  $g = h_\varepsilon$ ,  $0 < \varepsilon = \sqrt{\frac{\varrho + 2}{n\varrho + 2}} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . This notation was used in [5]. By applying Lemmas 2.1 and 2.4 in [5] we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|h - g\|_\infty &\leq \frac{3}{4} \omega_2(h; \varepsilon) \\ \|g'\| &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} [2\omega_1(h; \varepsilon) + \frac{3}{2} \omega_2(h; \varepsilon)] \\ \|g''\| &\leq \frac{3}{2\varepsilon^2} \omega_2(h; \varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |H_n^\varrho(h; x)| &\leq \Psi(x) \left[ \frac{2\varrho}{3(\varrho + 1)} \sqrt{\frac{\varrho + 2}{n\varrho + 2}} \omega_1(h; \varepsilon) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{3}{4} \left( \frac{2\varrho}{\varrho + 1} + \frac{2\varrho}{3(\varrho + 1)} \sqrt{\frac{\varrho + 2}{n\varrho + 2}} + \frac{7(\varrho + 3)}{6(\varrho + 1)} \right) \omega_2(h; \varepsilon) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Corollary 1** *Recalling the above definition of  $H_n^\varrho$ , the inequality of Theorem 4 shows that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A_n^\varrho f - (-A_\varrho^{-1} f)\|_0 = 0, \text{ for all } f \in C_0[0, 1].$$

**Remark 2** If we let  $1 \leq \varrho \rightarrow \infty$ , then for all  $n \geq 10$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varrho \rightarrow \infty} |H_n^\varrho(h; x)| &= \lim_{\varrho \rightarrow \infty} |A_n^\varrho(\Psi h; x) - (-A_\varrho^{-1})(\Psi h; x)| \\ &= |A_n^\infty(\Psi h; x) - (-A_\infty^{-1})(\Psi h; x)| \\ &\leq 3\Psi(x) \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \omega_1 \left( h; \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right) + \omega_2 \left( h; \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

This is a quantitative form of Păltănea's convergence result in [9, Th. 3.2].

## References

1. Abel, U.: Geometric series of Bernstein-Durrmeyer operators. *East J. Approx.* **15**, 439–450 (2009)
2. Abel, U., Ivan, M., Păltănea, R.: Geometric series of Bernstein operators revisited. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **400**, 22–24 (2013)
3. Abel, U., Ivan, M., Păltănea, R.: Geometric series of positive linear operators and inverse Voronovskaya theorem, [arXiv:1304.5721](https://arxiv.org/abs/1304.5721), 21 Apr. 2013
4. Cooper, S., Waldron, S.: The eigenstructure of the Bernstein operator. *J. Approx. Theory* **105**, 133–165 (2000)
5. Gonska, H., Kovacheva, R.K.: The second order modulus revisited: remarks, applications, problems. *Conferenze del seminario di matematica dell'universita di Bari*, vol. 257 (1994)
6. Gonska, H., Păltănea, R.: Simultaneous approximation by a class of Bernstein-Durrmeyer operators preserving linear functions. *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **60**, 783–799 (2010)
7. Gonska, H., Păltănea, R.: Quantitative convergence theorems for a class of Bernstein-Durrmeyer operators preserving linear functions. *Ukrainian Math. J.* **62**, 913–922 (2010)
8. Gonska, H., Raşa, I., Stănilă, E.: The eigenstructure of operators linking the Bernstein and the genuine Bernstein-Durrmeyer operators. *Mediterr. J. Math.* (2013). doi:[10.1007/s00009-013-0347-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00009-013-0347-0)
9. Păltănea, R.: The power series of Bernstein operators. *Autom. Comput. Appl. Math.* **15**, 247–253 (2006)
10. Păltănea, R.: A class of Durrmeyer type operators preserving linear functions. *Ann. Tiberiu Popoviciu Sem. Funct. Equat. Approxim. Convex. (Cluj-Napoca)* **5**, 109–117 (2007)
11. Raşa, I.: Power series of Bernstein operators and approximation of resolvents. *Mediterr. J. Math.* **9**, 635–644 (2012)