See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/18741435

## Study of intervesicular phospholipid exchance by NMR

ARTICLE in BIOCHEMICAL AND BIOPHYSICAL RESEARCH COMMUNICATIONS  $\cdot$  OCTOBER 1974

Impact Factor: 2.3 · DOI: 10.1016/0006-291X(74)90191-0 · Source: PubMed

CITATIONS READS

14 12

## **6 AUTHORS**, INCLUDING:



Leonid Ivanovich Barsukov

**Russian Academy of Sciences** 

**71** PUBLICATIONS **937** CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Yury E. Shapiro

**Bar Ilan University** 

180 PUBLICATIONS 865 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

## STUDY OF INTERVESICULAR PHOSPHOLIPID EXCHANCE BY NMR.

L.I.Barsukov, Yu.E.Shapiro, A.V.Viktorov, V.I.Volkova, V.F.Bystrov and L.D.Bergelson Shemyakin Institute of Bioorganic Chemistry, USSR Academy of Sciences, Moscow, USSR

Received July 18,1974

Summary: NMR spectroscopy with the use of non-penetrating paramagnetic probes permits in situ determination of the composition of the outer surface of phospholipid vesicles. The method was employed to follow the phospholipid exchange between phosphatidylinositol and phosphatidylcholine vesicles induced by a postmicrosomal protein fraction from rat liver. The effects of these proteins on the lipid bilayer and the structure of the vesicles produced by exchange were studied.

Phospholipids have been shown to undergo exchange between different membrane fractions during incubation (1). The in <u>vitro</u> transfer of phospholipids from mitochondria to microsomes and vice versa is enhanced by cell supernatant fractions obtained from various tissues of rats and beefs (2-8). Such protein stimulated phospholipid transfer is believed to play an important role in the biosynthesis, repair and modification of cell membranes.

The procedure generally used for studying the phospholipid

ABBREVIATIONS USED: PC, phosphatidylcholine; PI, phosphatidylinositol; PEPF, phospholipid exchanging protein fraction.

<sup>\*</sup>All Union Research Institute of Medical Polymers, Moscow, USSR

To whom to adress correspondence.

exchange activity of proteins is very cumbersome including not less then 5 different steps (incubation of the protein under investigation with labelled and non-labelled subcellular particles and/or liposomes, their separation by centrifugation, extraction and chromatography of the lipids and determination of their specific radio-activity). For studying PC exchange two artificial systems have also been proposed. In one of them the exchange between sensitized and non-sensitized liposomes is investigated using immunoprecipitation for their separation (9). According to the second method PC exchange between two monolayers or between a monolayer and liposomes is followed by measuring changes in the surface radioactivity of the monolayer (10). The first method includes a time consuming procedure of antiserum preparation, the second one requires labelled phospholipids.

In the present paper we suggest a completely different approach to phospholipid exchange studies which is based on the dependence of the lanthanide induced shifts in the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra on the phospholipid composition of the liposomes. By this method the phospholipid exchange between two populations of liposomes can be investigated in situ without their preliminary separation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS. PEPF was isolated from rat liver as described by Wirtz and Zilversmit (3). Phospholipid dispersions from pure egg PC and yeast PI (K<sup>+</sup> -salt) and from mixtures of these lipids in various ratios were prepared in D<sub>2</sub>O by ultrasonication as described earlier (11). In the study of phospholipid exchange PC and PI liposomes were incubated together at 50°C in the presence of PEPF (5 mg per ml of incubation mixture). After incubation solutions of Pr(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> or Eu(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> in D<sub>2</sub>O were added and <sup>1</sup>H or <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra were recorded. Control experiments were carried out in all cases by incubation of corresponding samples without PEPF.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were obtained on a Varian XL-100-15 spectrometer at 100 MHz and <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra at 40.5 MHz with proton noise decoupling and internal <sup>2</sup>D lock. Chemical shifts for protons were measured relatively the signal of  $(CH_2)_n$  groups and for phosphorus nuclei relatively external 0.5%  $H_3$ PO<sub>4</sub> in D<sub>2</sub>O.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION. A comparison of the 1H NMR spectra of sonicated PC liposomes and co-sonicated (7:3) PC-PI liposomes showed them to be quite similar. Addition of Pr(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> to both liposome preparations splits the N(CH3)3 signal into two components - a lowfield one from outwardly facing PC molecules which interact with Pr3+ions and a highfield component from inwardly facing PC molecules which do not interact with Pr3+ions (11-13). In the spectrum of the co-sonicated PC-PI liposomes the "outer" N(CH<sub>Z</sub>)<sub>Z</sub> signal was more downfield shifted and wider than the corresponding signal in the spectrum of pure PC liposomes (Fig.1A and Fig.1B). The magnitude of the Pr3+ induced shift depended on the PC/PI ratio increasing with the increase of PI content (Table 1). When Pr(NO3)3 was added to a mixture of sonicated PC liposomes and co-sonicated PC-PI liposomes three  $N(CH_3)_3$  signals appeared (Fig.1C). The most higfield (stationary) signal is due to inwardly facing PC molecules of both vesicle types. The most downfield shifted signal corresponds to outwardly facing PC molecules that are in contact with both PI and Pr3+, while the intermediate signal is due to the outwardly facing molecules of pure PC liposomes whose phosphate groups contact with Pr3+ but not with PT. From these data it is evident that the Pr3+ induced shift of the N(CH3)3 resonance in the NMR spectra of mixtures of PC liposomes and co-sonicated PC-PI liposomes can be used for the evaluation of their composition.




Fig. 1. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of phospholipid dispersions in D<sub>2</sub>O after addition of 10<sup>-2</sup> M/l Pr(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>: A - a sonicated 30 m M/ml PC dispersion; B - a co-sonicated PC (30 m M/ml) and PI (10 m M/ml) dispersion; C - a 1:1 mixture of samples A and B.

Table 1. Pr<sup>3+</sup> induced shifts  $(\Delta \delta_{\text{ind.}})$  of the "outer"  $N(CH_3)_3$  signal in <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of co-sonicated PC-PI dispersions in  $D_2$ 0. PC concentration - 60 M/ml;  $Pr(NO_3)_3$  concentration - 0.01 M/1.

Exp. No.	PI content (%	of	PC)	Δδ ind. (p.p.m.)
1	0 0.41			
2	5 0.49			
3	10		0.53	
4	30		0.67	

The dependence of the lanthanide induced shifts in the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra of PC-PI liposomes on their composition was employed to follow the intermembrane PI transfer stimulated by PEPF. It was found that PEPF did not influence the

shift of the N(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> signal in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of pure PC liposomes in the presence or absence of Eu<sup>3+</sup>, whereas the phosphorus resonance splits into two components - one shifted and the other unaltered. Addition of Pr(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> induces further shifting only of the latter signal while the former remains again unaltered. It follows that the signal shifted by PEPF must belong to the outward facing phosphate groups, i.e. that binding of PEPF occurs only at the outer surface of the PC liposomes. The effects of PEPF on co-sonicated PC-PI liposomes were similar, the "inner" N(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> signals again remaining unshifted.

As can be seen from Table 2 the induced shifts of the "outer"  $N(CH_3)_3$  signal increased on incubation of separately

Table 2. Lanthanide induced shifts ( $\Delta O_{ind.}$ ) of the "outer"  $N(CH_3)_3$  signal in <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of mixtures of separately sonicated PC (30 M M/ml) and PI (10 M M/ml) liposomes after incubation at 50°C with PEPF (5 mg/ml) and in the absence of PEPF.

Exp.	Incubation	Shift of ind. (p.p.m.) after incubation				
No.	time (hrs)	reagent	without PEPF	with PEPF		
1	3	5·10 <sup>-3</sup> M/1,	0.31 <sup>a)</sup>	0.42		
2	3	Pr(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> 10 <sup>-2</sup> M/1,	0.44	0.56		
3	2	Pr(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> 2•10 <sup>2</sup> M/1,	-0.30 <sup>b)</sup>	-0.42 <sup>b)</sup>		
<b>4</b> 5	4 3 <sup>c</sup> )	Eu(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	-0.31 <sup>b)</sup> -0.29 <sup>b)</sup>	-0.44 <sup>b)</sup> -0.30 <sup>b,d)</sup>		

a) For pure PC liposomes  $\Delta V_{ind.} = 0.27 \text{ p.p.m.}$ 

b) Negative sign corresponds to a highfield shift.

Control experiment: incubation of PC liposomes was carried out without PI.

d) Concentration of PEPF 10 mg/ml.

sonicated PC and PI liposomes both in the absence and in the presence of PEPF, however in the latter case the shift was larger (see Table 2, experiments 1-4). Obviously the increase of the induced shifts observed in these experiments is due to incorporation of PI molecules into the PC liposomes this process being strongly enhanced by PEPF. We suppose the "spontaneous" exchange in the absence of PEPF (experiments 1 and 2 in table 2) to be caused by lyso-PC formed during sonication. In preliminary experiments it was found that the lyso-PC stimulated exchange was nonspecific and that it required amounts of lyso-PC which greatly exceeded those present in PEPF.

Of partial importance was the fact that the distance between the "outer" and "inner" signals in the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra of co-sonicated PC-PI liposomes did not change during incubation with PEPF for 8-10 hrs. This implies that the liposomes do not become permeable during incubation. Accordingly it may be concluded that the protein does not disturb the integrity of the bilayer of PC and PC-PI liposomes. Since both the  $N(CH_3)_3$  and  $(CH_2)_n$  signals are broadened in the presence of PEPF it seems that PEPF is not increasing the fluidity of the bilayer. From these data it appears that the stimulating effect of PEPF on PI incorporation into the PC liposomes is not due to its detergent action or to reduction of the structural viscosity of the bilayer. Liposome coalescence (fusion) as the cause of the protein induced PI transfer also seems unlikely because this mechanism should lead to PC-PI liposomes with a more symmetrical distribution of PI between the outer and inner monolayers. Such "symmetrical" liposomes arise on co-sonication of PI and PC (at least

at comparatively low PI/PC ratios or when PC and PI liposomes are incubated with lyso-PC. On the contrary the protein stimulated PI transfer leads to liposomes in which about 90% of PI is located at the outer surface of the liposomes even when their total PI content is as low as 2% relatively to the PC content (14). Thus the most likely possibility is that PEPF acts as a solubilizing factor although it displays no detectable desintegrating action on the PC and PI-PC liposomes and does not cause gross desorganization of the lipid molecules in the bilayer.

We are at present trying to employ the above procedure in assaying the activity of lipid exchange proteins, in studying the mechanism of protein induced lipid transfer and in investigating the trans-bilayer asymmetry of the liposomes produced.

## REFERENCES:

- 1. Dawson, R.M.C. (1973) Sub-Cell. Biochem. 2, 69.
- 2. Wirtz, K.W.A. and Zilversmit, D.B. (1968) J.Biol.Chem. 243, 3596.
- 3. Wirtz, K.W.A. and Zilversmit, D.B. (1969) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 193, 105.
- 4. McMurray, W.C. and Dawson, R.M.C. (1969) Biochem. J. 112, 91.
- 5. Akiyama, M. and Sakagami, T. (1969) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 187, 105.

<sup>\*</sup>The integral intensity ratio of the N(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> signals from the outer and inner surfaces of co-sonicated PC-PI liposomes was about 2:1 and did not depend on the PI content (up to 30 mole % of PI). Constant ratios of the integral intensities of the "inner" and "outer signals were obtained also from the <sup>31</sup>P spectra of co-sonicated PC-PI dispersions at various component ratios.

- 6. Wirtz, K.W.A., Van Golde, L.M.G. and Van Deenen, L.L.M. (1970) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 218, 176.
- 7. Wojtczak, L., Baranska, J., Zborovski, J. and Drahota, Z. (1972) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 249, 41.
- 8. Dyatlovitskaya, E.V., Trusova, V.M., Greshnikh, K.P., Gorkova, N.P. and Bergelson, L.D. (1972) Biokhimia (USSR) 37, 607.
- 9. Einholm, C. and Zilversmit, D.B. (1972) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 274, 657.
- 10. Demel,R.A., Wirtz,K.W.A., Kamp,H.H., Geurts Van Kessel, W.S.M. and Van Deenen,L.L.M. (1973) Nature New Biology 246, 102.
- 11. Bergelson, L.D., Barsukov, L.I., Dubrovina, N.I. and Bystrov, V.F. (1970) Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 194, 222.
- 12. Bystrov, V.F., Dubrovina, N.I., Barsukov, L.I. and Bergelson, L.D. (1971) Chem. Phys. Lipids 6, 343.
- 13. Bystrov, V.F., Shapiro, Yu.E., Viktorov, A.V., Barsukov, L.I. and Bergelson, L.D. (1972) FEBS Letters 25, 377.
- 14. Barsukov, L.I., Shapiro, Yu.E., Viktorov, A.V., Volkova, V.I., Bystrov, V.F. and Bergelson, L.D. (1974) Chem. Phys. Lipids, in press.