



# NIH Public Access

## Author Manuscript

*Biochemistry*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2008 August 9.

Published in final edited form as:  
*Biochemistry*. 2006 March 14; 45(10): 3206–3218.

## Molecular Dynamics Simulations of Arachidonic Acid-Derived Pentadienyl Radical Intermediate Complexes with COX-1 and COX-2:

### Insights into Oxygenation Regio- and Stereoselectivity

Kristina E. Furse<sup>‡,§</sup>, Derek A. Pratt<sup>‡,¶</sup>, Claus Schneider<sup>1</sup>, Alan R. Brash<sup>1</sup>, Ned A. Porter<sup>‡</sup>, and Terry P. Lybrand<sup>‡,§,¶</sup>

<sup>‡</sup>Department of Chemistry, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37232

<sup>§</sup>Center for Structural Biology, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37232

<sup>¶</sup>Department of Pharmacology, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37232

### Abstract

The two cyclooxygenase enzymes, COX-1 and COX-2, are responsible for the committed step in prostaglandin biosynthesis, and are the targets of the non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs aspirin, ibuprofen and the COX-2 selective inhibitors, Celebrex™, Vioxx™ and Bextra™. The enzymes are

---

terry.p.lybrand@vanderbilt.edu, Tel: (615)-343-1247, Fax: (615)-936-2211.

¶Current address: Department of Chemistry, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, Canada K7L 3N6.

<sup>1</sup>Abbreviations:

<b>COX</b>	cyclooxygenase
<b>MD</b>	molecular dynamics
<b>NSAIDs</b>	non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs
<b>AA</b>	arachidonic acid
<b>AA-13-yl</b>	arachidonic acid-derived pentadienyl radical intermediate
<b>PGG<sub>2</sub></b>	prostaglandin G <sub>2</sub>
<b>PGH<sub>2</sub></b>	prostaglandin H <sub>2</sub>
<b>HETE</b>	hydroxyeicosatetraenoic acid
<b>HPETE</b>	hydroperoxyeicosatetraenoic acid
<b>RMSD</b>	root mean square deviation

<sup>†</sup>This work was supported in part by NIH grants NS33290 (T.P.L.), HL17921 (N.A.P.) and GM53638 (A.R.B.). K.E.F. was supported by training grant T32 GM08320 from the National Institutes of Health and by the Warren Fellowship. D.A.P was supported by NSERC Canada and the Warren Fellowship.

remarkable in that they catalyze two dioxygenations and two cyclizations of the native substrate, arachidonic acid, with near absolute regio- and stereoselectivity. Several theories have been advanced to explain the nature of enzymatic control over this series of reactions, including suggestions of steric shielding and oxygen channeling. As proposed here, selective radical trapping and spin localization in the substrate-derived pentadienyl radical intermediate can also be envisioned. Herein we describe the results of explicit, 10 ns molecular dynamics simulations of both COX-1 and COX-2 with the substrate-derived pentadienyl radical intermediate bound in the active site. The enzymes' influence on the conformation of the pentadienyl radical was investigated, along with the accessible space above and below the radical plane, and the width of several channels to the active site that could function as access routes for molecular oxygen. Additional simulations demonstrated the extent of molecular oxygen mobility within the active site. The results suggest that spin localization is unlikely to play a role in enzymatic control of this reaction. Instead, a combination of oxygen channeling, steric shielding and selective radical trapping appears to be responsible. This work adds a dynamic perspective to the strong foundation of static structural data available for these enzymes.

---

Polyunsaturated fatty acid oxidation has long been of interest to chemists and biochemists due to its importance in industry and human health. Both enzymatic pathways and abiotic chain reactions have been identified and studied extensively, and a consensus has developed on many aspects of the mechanisms involved (1-3). The enzymes that oxygenate these lipids in mammals and other higher animals belong to the cyclooxygenase (COX) and lipoxygenase (LOX) families. The natural substrate in both cases is arachidonic acid (AA or 5Z,8Z,11Z,14Z-eicosatetraenoic acid), which is converted to prostaglandin H<sub>2</sub> (PGH<sub>2</sub>) by both isoforms of COX and to various hydroperoxyeicosatetraenoic acids (HPETEs) by the different LOX isoforms. The conversion of AA to PGH<sub>2</sub> by COX is a particularly relevant process, as it represents the committed step in prostaglandin biosynthesis. Subsequent transformations of PGH<sub>2</sub> by downstream prostaglandin synthases produce a family of prostaglandins, thromboxane and prostacyclin, which play major roles in cellular homeostasis as well as in mediation of the inflammatory response (4). While the COX-1 isoform is generally considered to be constitutively expressed and responsible for the former "housekeeping" functions, COX-2 expression is induced in response to a variety of stimuli, and its inhibition appears to produce the analgesic, antipyretic and anti-inflammatory effects of the non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs).

The non-enzymatic (solution) oxidation of AA or its esters yields many products. Mechanistic studies of the radical-mediated autoxidation of AA and linoleic acid (LA or 9Z,12Z-octadecadienoic acid) have accounted for the formation of most of these products. We summarize the findings for LA oxidations in Scheme 1 (3,5-7). Abstraction of hydrogen from the bis-allylic methylene carbon forms a planar intermediate pentadienyl radical with positive unpaired electron spin density at carbons 1, 3 and 5 of the five-carbon unit (8). Oxygen can add to the planar radical from either face, resulting in two possible enantiomers for each hydroperoxide product. In the absence of good peroxy radical-trapping agents such as a phenol, the *E,E*-hydroperoxides, **3** and **6**, can be formed to a greater extent than the *Z,E*-hydroperoxides, **2** and **5** (9). Under conditions where a good hydrogen atom donor is present, the mechanism leading to **3** and **6** is shut down, and now, in addition to **2** and **5**, some non-conjugated hydroperoxides (**4**) appear (7). AA has three bis-allylic methylene carbons, and thus three possible *Z,Z*-pentadienyl radicals to which oxygen can add to yield hydroperoxides. The picture is further complicated when one considers that the 8-, 9-, 11- and 12- peroxy radicals of arachidonate can cyclize to yield endoperoxides in competition with reduction to the hydroperoxides, leading to monocyclic peroxides (e.g. **7**), serial cyclic peroxides (e.g. **8**) and isoprostanes (e.g. **9** (Scheme 2) (5,10,11).

In stark contrast to the diverse regio- and stereochemical outcomes of the solution phase autoxidation of AA, the COX-mediated oxidation yields only the bicyclic endoperoxide PGG<sub>2</sub> and small amounts of minor monohydroxy acid products, 11*R*-hydroperoxy-5*Z*,8*Z*, 12*E*,14*Z*-eicosatetraenoic acid (11*R*-HPETE) and 15*R/S*-hydroperoxy-5*Z*,8*Z*,11*Z*,13*E*-eicosatetraenoic acid (15*R*-HPETE and 15*S*-HPETE) (12,13). The mechanism of the “cyclooxygenase reaction”, which leads to the intermediate PGG<sub>2</sub>, is shown in Scheme 3 along with the subsequent “peroxidase reaction”, reduction of the C15 hydroperoxide of PGG<sub>2</sub> to the corresponding alcohol, PGH<sub>2</sub>, which occurs at a different, but redox-coupled active site (14,1). Here, we have focused our attention on the exquisite regio- and stereochemical control exerted by the enzyme over the cascade of radical reactions that leads to PGG<sub>2</sub>, beginning with oxygenation of the putative C11-C15 pentadienyl radical, whose generation following abstraction of the C13 pro-*S* H-atom by the catalytic tyrosyl-385 radical (Tyr-385') is the rate limiting step in PGH<sub>2</sub> biosynthesis (15).

Over the past forty years, experimental work, including X-ray crystallography, site-directed mutagenesis and electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopic studies, has provided substantial insight into the structural basis of COX catalysis (16,1). In 1967, Hamberg and Samuelsson established that COX selectively abstracts the pro-*S* hydrogen from the C13 methylene carbon of AA, creating a C11-C15 pentadienyl radical selectively over potential C5-C9 or C8-C12 radicals, thereby eliminating approximately 2/3 of the possible products derived from primary autoxidation of AA (15). The positioning of C13 closest to Tyr-385 in the 2000 X-ray crystal structure of AA bound to COX-1 demonstrated structurally how the enzyme selectively oxygenates the C11-C15 pentadiene unit (17). However, the question still remains: given that the C11-C15 pentadienyl radical is formed and can be observed by EPR spectroscopy (18,19), why does oxygen add almost exclusively to the pro-*R* face of C11? We have identified four possible scenarios that deserve consideration in answering this question: (i) molecular oxygen is directed to the substrate via a designated oxygen channel in the enzyme leading to preferential oxygenation of the radical intermediate at the pro-*R* face of C11; (ii) the substrate is bound in the active site in a conformation that prevents access of molecular oxygen to all positive spin-bearing positions along the pentadienyl radical but the pro-*R* face of C11 (20,17); (iii) the enzyme can bias the spin distribution in the radical intermediate by forcing it to adopt a higher energy conformation which localizes the spin at the pro-*R* face of C11; (iv) simple competition kinetics governs the product distribution; oxygen adds to all possible positions along the substrate radical to generate six intermediate peroxy radicals, with only oxygenation at the pro-*R* face of C11 yielding an intermediate that can be efficiently trapped (via cyclization to form the endoperoxide ring).

In this work, we use molecular dynamics (MD) to build on the strong foundation provided by X-ray crystallography, site-directed mutagenesis, and EPR spectroscopy to test the likelihood of these possible control mechanisms. Our prior simulation work with COX/AA complexes (see accompanying paper) characterized the equilibrium behavior of the enzyme substrate complexes and demonstrated good agreement with experimental results, laying the groundwork for the current investigation of theoretical complexes of the AA-derived pentadienyl radical intermediate (AA-13-yl) with the COX enzymes.

## Methods

### Parameter Development

The open-shell, delocalized C11-C15 pentadienyl radical of the AA-13-yl intermediate is not well represented by parameters available in the standard AMBER ff99 force field (21), so new parameters were derived. Four new atom types, C7, C8, C9 and HX, were created for this intermediate, so that AA-13-yl atoms C11-C12-C13-C14-C15 are represented by types C7-C8-C9-C8-C7, with attached hydrogens of type HX. A gas phase minimum energy structure

for the AA-13-yl radical was generated by Hartree-Fock molecular orbital calculations with a 6-31G\* basis set using Gaussian98 (22). Equilibrium bond lengths were taken directly from this minimum energy structure, and force constants were interpolated using reference values in the ff99 force field (21). Parameters describing the homoconjugated double bonds from C5-C9 were taken from our previous work with AA. New torsional parameters were needed to model the dihedral angles for AA-13-yl radical fragments CT-C7-C8-C9 and C7-C8-C9-C8 (where CT is the standard AMBER sp<sup>3</sup> atom type). Torsion energy profiles were calculated over the range 0-360° using density functional theory at the B3LYP/6-31G\* level of theory (23). Potential function parameters were then adjusted to reproduce the quantum mechanical torsion profiles. A molecular electrostatic potential for the AA-13-yl radical was calculated over a grid of points using the Hartree-Fock optimized geometry and a 6-31G\* basis set. Partial charges were derived by fitting the electrostatic potential to an atom-centered point charge model according to the procedure of Cornell et al (24).

### Homodimer Model Setup and Simulation

Static structures taken ~500 ps into the equilibration phase of our previous MD simulations of the ovine COX-1/AA and murine COX-2/AA complexes were used to generate the initial models for the current investigation. These systems consisted of the homodimer, two heme groups, two AA molecules, and eight detergent molecules, solvated in truncated octahedron periodic boxes of explicit water molecules and sodium counterions, resulting in systems of 104,234 and 118,858 atoms for COX-1 and COX-2, respectively. The C13 pro-S hydrogen atom of each of the two AA molecules bound per COX homodimer was removed, and 9-10 ns isothermal-isobaric ensemble MD simulations were run using AMBER 7.0 (25) with the AMBER ff99 force field (21) and the SPC/E (26) water model. All covalent bonds containing hydrogen were fixed at equilibrium lengths using the SHAKE algorithm (27). A 1 fs integration time step was used for all simulations, and configurations were collected every 1 ps for subsequent analysis. Constant temperature and pressure were maintained via weak coupling to a thermal reservoir (tautp = 1.0ps) and Berendsen piston (taup = 1.0ps) (28). A 9 Å real-space nonbonded cutoff was used in all simulations, and a particle mesh Ewald summation method was used to compute long-range electrostatic energy and force corrections (29). All MD trajectories were analyzed visually using MDDISPLAY (30) and most numerical analyses were performed with the ptraj module of AMBER.

Extensive studies of the stability of the simulations of AA complexes of COX-1 and COX-2 were detailed in our previous study. Structural fluctuations for the complexes of AA-13-yl bound to COX-1 and COX-2 in the current study were also well behaved and consistent over the full simulations and therefore will not be discussed explicitly here (see Supporting Information for plots of root mean square deviations relative to crystal structure models).

In addition to the unbiased MD simulations, biased MD simulations followed by potential of mean force (PMF) calculations were undertaken to further probe the impact of the enzyme on the torsional behavior of the AA-13-yl radical. This procedure can be used to compare the energy barrier along some reaction coordinate in different environments. A harmonic biasing potential of 100 kcal/mol-radian<sup>2</sup> (0.0609 kcal/mol-degree<sup>2</sup>) was used to overcome the intrinsic energy barrier and force the C10-C11-C12-C13 (heretofore referred to as C11-C12) torsion angle to sample the entire range from 0-90° while bound to the enzyme. The range was divided into 19 separate windows in which the harmonic potential was used to hold the C11-C12 torsion to a target value (0°, 5°, 10°...90°). For each window, an MD simulation was run in which the C11-C12 torsion was driven to the new target value (e.g. 0° -> 5°) over the course of 10 ps and equilibrated for 40 ps, followed by 50 ps of data collection at 0.1 ps intervals. Torsion values were extracted using ptraj. The resulting data set, 19 windows of 500 torsion angles each, was analyzed using the Weighted Histogram Analysis Method (WHAM) (31,32) to remove the

biasing potential and estimate the energy barrier, or PMF, for the enzyme-bound torsion. This procedure was repeated for the AA-13-yl radical in a periodic water box with a sodium counterion to establish a control PMF profile for the substrate free in solution. The same protocol was used to calculate the free and enzyme-bound PMF profiles for the C12-C13-C14-C15 (C13-C14) torsion angle from 90°-270°.

### Channel Analysis

A survey of potential oxygen access channels was performed over the course of the trajectory using the channel\_finder utility as described in detail in the accompanying paper. The channel analysis involves iterative calculations of the solvent-accessible surface using probe spheres of varying radii. The surface calculation is initiated within the enzyme active site with all but one potential exit route blocked. The largest probe sphere radius that can exit the protein interior gives an estimate of the radius of the channel through which it escaped. Molecular surfaces were calculated with the MSMS program (33) and the analyses were performed over the final 7.4 ns of each trajectory.

In addition to the channel measurements, several short simulations were run with an explicit molecule of oxygen placed in each active site in order to observe O<sub>2</sub> mobility within the enzyme and detect exit events through the putative oxygen channels. Static structures taken 800ps into the current simulations of COX-1/AA-13-yl and COX-2/AA-13-yl complexes were used to generate the initial models. A molecule of oxygen was placed near the pro-R face of C11, the predominant site of the first oxygen addition. The complexes were then energy minimized for 300 steps using a steepest decent gradient method to relieve any small steric clashes potentially introduced by the oxygen addition. These structures were used as the starting points for five 500ps simulations for each COX isoform. Each simulation was assigned a different initial Boltzmann velocity distribution in order to create unique trajectories. For each completed simulation, the protein backbone was RMS fitted to the starting structure to remove any rotational motion, and the Cartesian coordinates of oxygen across the trajectory were collected in a single pdb (Protein Data Bank format) file. The geometric primitives feature of DINO (34) was then used to draw lines connecting sequential O<sub>2</sub> positions in order to trace the path of oxygen over time.

### Steric Shielding Analysis

A new protocol was developed in order to quantify the degree of steric shielding above and below the AA-13-yl radical plane across the COX-1 and COX-2 trajectories. For each snapshot, five slightly overlapping virtual boxes were created along both faces of the pentadienyl radical intermediate (C11-C15), and the closest enzyme atom in each box was identified. Van der Waals radii were subtracted from the interatomic distances, yielding an estimate of the “free space” at both faces of each radical carbon in each snapshot. These free space estimates were averaged across the last 7.4 ns of the trajectories.

## Results

### Spin localization in the substrate-derived radical

Previous studies have demonstrated a connection between calculated spin distribution and product distribution, and indicate that oxygen preferentially adds to delocalized radicals at the site bearing the highest spin density (35,7). We initially focused on the idea that enzyme enforced spin localization in the substrate-derived AA-13-yl radical might explain the regio- and stereoselectivity of the COX-catalyzed oxygenation of AA. Since maximum delocalization of the unpaired electron spin requires optimal (parallel) overlap (alignment) of the 2p<sub>z</sub> orbitals on the five radical carbon atoms, distortion of the pentadienyl radical from planarity can alter the spin distribution and thus bias the site to which molecular oxygen will add. If COX bound

the AA-13-yl radical in a conformation that forced the C11-C12 bond to rotate away from  $0^\circ$ , this would disrupt the orbital overlap in the C11-C15 pentadienyl radical, resulting in spin localization at the C11 position (Figure 1A). Spin density localization at C11 would provide a simple, straightforward explanation for the regio- and stereoselectivity observed in the COX reaction. Similarly, rotation about the C13-C14 bond would restrict oxygen addition to two carbon atoms, C11 and C13, via formation of an allyl radical (Figure 1B).

We utilized density functional theory (DFT) to calculate torsion angle energy profiles for rotation about the C11-C12 and C13-C14 bonds of AA-13yl radical, using a pentadienyl radical model system, 2Z,5Z-heptadienyl radical, for computational efficiency. We used this torsion angle energy profile to correlate the torsion angle distortion energy (i.e., the energetic penalty) with the extent of spin density localization in the pentadienyl radical, and the results are shown in Figure 2. In order to achieve a significant spin density distribution bias (e.g. 50% greater spin density at C11 compared to C13 or C15), a  $55^\circ$  rotation is required about the C11-C12 bond, with a 10 kcal/mol energy barrier (Figure 2A). Rotation at the C11-C12 bond causes pyramidalization at C11, due to the steric interaction between atoms C10 and C13. The extent of pyramidalization was calculated as the average of three improper torsion angles describing the deviation of the C11 radical center from the plane defined by atoms C10, C12 and H11. At a C11-C12 torsion angle of  $55^\circ$ , pyramidalization at C11 is approximately  $16^\circ$ . A comparable rotation at the C13-C14 bond would generate an allylic radical with approximately 50% greater spin density at the allylic carbons C11 and C13 relative to C15, but would require only 6 kcal/mol since much of the radical stabilization enthalpy is retained upon conversion from a pentadienyl radical to an allylic radical (Figure 2B). However, there is no pyramidalization, and hence no stereochemical preference, in the allylic radical, and spin density is distributed between two carbon atoms as opposed to being localized at one carbon.

With the calculated gas phase results for spin density localization as a function of torsion energy barrier, we next performed MD simulations for the enzyme/AA-13yl radical complex to determine whether the enzyme is capable of imposing a sufficiently strained ligand conformation in the active site to yield meaningful spin density localization in the radical. We used both equilibrium MD simulations and potential of mean force (PMF) calculations to sample radical conformations in the COX-1 and COX-2 active sites, and for the radical free in solution. For the enzyme-complex simulations, AA-13-yl intermediates were included in the cyclooxygenase active sites of both monomers of the COX homodimers. In order to track potential differences in behavior between the individual monomers, we refer to them as monomers A and B in this work (COX-1A, COX-1B, COX-2A and COX-2B), and include data for all four individual monomers where appropriate. In the PMF calculations, we used a harmonic restraint to force the C11-C12 and C13-C14 bond rotations to sample a  $90^\circ$  range during the course of MD simulations for both the enzyme complexes and the radical free in solution.

The conformational analysis results for all four AA-13-yl radical torsions, C11-C12, C12-C13, C13-C14 and C14-C15, as well as the two flanking torsions, C10-C11 and C15-C16 are shown in Figure 3. Unlike the conformational dynamics of AA (see accompanying paper), the AA-13-yl radical exhibited very little difference in behavior between COX-1 and COX-2 complexes for the subset of torsions angles monitored here, indicating a decrease in conformational freedom upon conversion to the pentadienyl radical intermediate. While the C10-C11 torsion of the AA-13-yl radical in our simulations is consistent with the COX-1/AA X-ray crystal structure (17) ( $\sim 240^\circ$  and  $202^\circ$ , respectively), it differs significantly from the conformation of COX-bound AA-derived pentadienyl radicals proposed by Tsai and co-workers based on EPR data (C10-C11 torsion of  $\sim 0^\circ$ ) (36). The latter conformation would require the C10-C11 torsion to overcome a  $\sim 3.8$  kcal/mol energy barrier, according to our calculations (see accompanying paper), and is not sampled in our current simulations. Our results for the C15-C16 torsion

(~240°) are in closer agreement with the EPR-based structural prediction of ~280° (36). Both of these values deviate from the COX-1/AA crystallographic value of 131°. Across the radical plane, itself, there was remarkably little difference in conformational behavior when AA-13-yl was free in solution or bound to either enzyme. The averages and standard deviations, as well as maximum and minimum deviations were all comparable (Figure 3). This suggests that the enzyme active site does not distort the planarity of the radical to a greater degree than the natural fluctuations observed in solution.

The results of the PMF calculations reinforce this conclusion. For the C11-C12 torsion (Figure 4A), there is no difference in the energy barrier to rotation when the AA-13-yl radical is free in solution, or bound to COX-1. If COX-1 were able to impose a deviation from planarity in this torsion angle, the energy barrier would be expected to be lower in the enzyme-bound profile. The C13-C14 torsion (Figure 4B) is even more dramatic. The energy barrier to rotation in the 180° → 90° direction is ~2 kcal/mol higher in the enzyme-bound PMF profile, suggesting that the enzyme actually reinforces planarity in the pentadienyl moiety, rather than facilitating a rotation to create an allyl radical. Taken together, these results suggest that the COX active site does not control the first oxygen addition by straining the C11-C15 pentadienyl radical to localize spin density on C11 or create an allyl radical across C11-C13.

### Oxygen Channeling

Another possible mechanism of control in the COX enzymes would involve the trafficking of oxygen into the cyclooxygenase active site through a specific channel. Although crystal structures of the COX-1 and COX-2 isoforms have been available in the literature for more than 10 years, no oxygen delivery channel has been identified as yet. The available data has inspired the hypothesis that oxygen enters the cyclooxygenase active site through the same channel as does the substrate. We observed three channels connecting the exterior surface of the protein to the cyclooxygenase active site, in addition to the principal substrate access channel (Figure 5). Two of these are smaller channels extending from the upper, distal end of the cyclooxygenase active site to the dimer interface, and are thus labeled D1 and D2 in Figure 5. D1 has previously been suggested to act as a release valve for water upon substrate binding (16). The third, S1, is a channel extending from the side of the active site opposite that which leads to the dimer interface. This region has been called the side pocket, and is known to be larger in COX-2, due to three mutations relative to COX-1 (I523V, R513H, and I434V). We found that this side pocket leads to a channel that opens out into solution in both isoforms. We used the channel\_finder utility developed in our previous work to monitor channel opening fluctuations over the course of the final 7.4 ns of each trajectory. This protocol determines the largest spherical particle that can transit the entire channel in each trajectory configuration, and reveals a dynamic picture of channel opening/closing events over time. If molecular oxygen is considered to be an ellipsoid molecule, with radii of approximately 1.6 and 2.2 Å along its two principal axes, channel openings greater than 1.6 Å in radius are considered to be sufficient for O<sub>2</sub> passage.

Histograms of the channel\_finder measurements are shown in Figure 6. The first dimer interface channel, D1, exhibited remarkably consistent behavior for both monomers of both COX-1 and COX-2, demonstrating the least variability of all of the channels measured. The second dimer interface channel, D2, was the only channel to exhibit bimodal distributions of channel widths. The broader of the two observed channel widths is consistent with the X-ray crystal structures of the COX-1/AA and COX-2/AA complexes (17,20). In our simulations, the narrowing of this channel results from the position of the loop containing Ser-126 and Pro-127. Movement of this loop to constrict the D2 channel is accompanied by minimal perturbation of the surrounding helices, indicating a reasonable amount of flexibility in this region. Both COX-1 monomer A and COX-2 monomer B predominantly displayed the wider

configuration, with minor sampling of the narrow one, while COX-1 monomer B and COX-2 monomer A maintained the wider width exclusively. This suggests that narrowing of this channel is not isoform-specific behavior. While both D1 and D2 exhibited a few opening events sufficiently wide for O<sub>2</sub> or H<sub>2</sub>O to pass, they are unlikely O<sub>2</sub> channels, as access to the pentadienyl radical is blocked by the tight fit of the distal end of the AA-13-yl chain in the active site.

The side channel, S1, was the only channel to demonstrate isoform-specific differences in the present analysis, indicating that the larger side pocket in COX-2 terminates in a slightly larger channel in/out of the enzyme. In COX-2, S1 opens wider than 1.6 Å in both monomers throughout the trajectory (COX-2A: 41 times or 0.6%, COX-2B: 1060 times or 14%), suggesting possible function as an O<sub>2</sub> access route. However, this channel remained narrow in COX-1, with no spontaneous opening events larger than 1.4 Å, suggesting that O<sub>2</sub> transport is unlikely. Though COX-1 and COX-2 exhibit conserved differences in their active sites—many of which are in the S1 region and have been exploited in selective drug design—they are believed to share a common mechanism for the conversion of AA to PGH<sub>2</sub>. It is therefore unlikely that S1 would serve as an oxygen access route in COX-2 but not COX-1.

The substrate access channel or “main” channel, M1, exhibited openings wide enough for O<sub>2</sub> to pass in both COX-1 and COX-2 (COX-1B: 188 times or 2.5%, COX-2B: 36 times or 0.5%). Interestingly, both isoforms had one monomer that was closed to a probe the size of O<sub>2</sub>, and one monomer that was more open, exhibiting a wider distribution of channel widths. The main channel is the most intuitive option for O<sub>2</sub> access, as it leads directly from the membrane, which would have a higher partial pressure of O<sub>2</sub> than the surrounding aqueous environment, and also provides the most direct access to the pro-*R* face of C11. It is also the most difficult to probe experimentally with mutagenesis, since the main channel is also the proposed access route for substrate.

To supplement the channel measurements, a number of short 500 ps simulations were conducted with an explicit O<sub>2</sub> molecule in the cyclooxygenase active site of each monomer in order to evaluate O<sub>2</sub> mobility within the enzyme and detect any exit/re-entry events through the proposed channels. The O<sub>2</sub> molecule was initially placed near the pro-*R* face of C11, the predominant site of the first oxygen addition to the AA-13-yl radical. The O<sub>2</sub> trajectories observed in these simulations are illustrated in Figure 7. Interestingly, exit events were only observed through the main channel. Oxygen was unable to move around the bound AA-13-yl radical to access other channels, even within the slightly larger active site of COX-2. However, in the fourth simulation of COX-2 monomer B, oxygen was able to access the space above the radical plane, though it was not able to travel all the way to the D1/D2 channels. This represented the only configuration in which O<sub>2</sub> was significantly closer to C15 than C11 (4 Å vs. 7 Å, on average), suggesting that oxygen may be able to enter the cyclooxygenase active site through the main channel and access the pro-*R* face of C15 to give the minor, monohydroxy acid product 15*R*-HETE.

The wider M1 channels of monomers B of COX-1 and COX-2 exhibited at least one if not multiple exit events in every simulation, while only a single exit event was observed through the smaller main channel of COX-1 monomer A. COX-2 monomer A displayed the most tightly closed M1 channel during these simulations, and no oxygen exit/re-entry events occurred. These results may indicate that main channel width is a better predictor of oxygen access and mobility than active site volume, supporting a common mechanism for these two isoforms, despite the well-established differences in their active sites.

## Steric Shielding

The most widely held theory suggests that the regio- and stereoselectivity of the first oxygenation is a result of steric blockage (17,20). This concept, structurally related to oxygen channeling, suggests that the fatty acid substrate is bound to the enzyme in such a way that only one of the reactive carbon centers of the pentadienyl radical is accessible to molecular oxygen. Indeed, in the X-ray crystal structure of AA bound to COX-1, C11 is positioned above a small pocket of empty space, leaving the pro-*R* face of C11 more exposed than C13 and C15 (17). We examined the accessibility of the three reactive carbon atoms over the course of our simulations to determine if this steric shielding profile was maintained over time in our AA-13-yl radical complexes of COX-1 and COX-2. The distance between each AA-13-yl radical carbon and the closest enzyme atom along both faces was estimated for each configuration of the final 7.4 ns of both simulations.

The results of this “free space” analysis, presented in Figure 8, indicated that the greater amount of free space near the pro-*R* face of C11 observed in the COX-1/AA crystal structure was preserved in a dynamic sense in both the COX-1/AA-13-yl and COX-2/AA-13-yl complex simulations. In addition, the entire “top” face of the radical was relatively more shielded than the “bottom” face. Surprisingly, COX-2 monomer B exhibited a minor alternate bound conformation of AA-13-yl (Figure 9). Late in the trajectory, the radical flipped nearly 180° into a pocket where it made good van der Waals contact with Phe-381, Leu-384, Tyr-385 and Trp-387 along the top of the active site. Notably, these four residues were found to contact the endoperoxide ring in a crystal structure of PGG/H<sub>2</sub> bound to murine COX-2 (20), indicating that our flipped conformation may place the pentadienyl radical itself in the space needed for the cyclization steps in the conversion to PGG<sub>2</sub>. This is supported by experimental evidence that mutation of Leu-384 (to Phe or Trp) disrupts closure of the 5-membered carbon ring in prostaglandin synthesis, leading to novel diepoxide products (37). Our flipped conformation did not reverse the exposure of the top face of the radical relative to the bottom face. However, it did reverse the relative shielding of the pro-*R* face of C11 vs. the pro-*S* face of C15, with C15 now exhibiting a slightly greater amount of free space. It has been suggested that alternate bound conformations of AA could lead to the minor products, 11*R*-HETE and 15*R/S*-HETE (38). The alternate AA-13-yl position we observe here may provide a plausible mechanistic explanation for these minor products, since this alternate bound conformation would simultaneously encourage addition to the pro-*R* face of C11 or pro-*S* face of C15, while discouraging cyclization by occupying the region of the active site that contacts the endoperoxide ring of PGG<sub>2</sub>.

## Discussion

It is known that changes in the geometry of planar radicals can alter spin density distribution. For example, the benzyl radical preferentially adopts a conformation in which the 2*p*<sub>z</sub> orbitals of all seven carbon atoms combine to form molecular orbitals that allow maximum delocalization of the unpaired electron spin. However, in the 1,1-di-*tert*-butylbenzyl radical, steric interactions between the bulky *tert*-butyl groups and the ortho aromatic hydrogens lead to a conformation in which the 2*p*<sub>z</sub> orbital of the benzylic carbon atom is orthogonal to the phenyl ring π-system, localizing unpaired electron spin on the benzylic carbon atom (Figure 10A) (39). The 1,1-di-*tert*-butyl-2-methylallyl radical exhibits a similar conformational preference, resulting in a localized carbon-centered radical instead of a delocalized allyl radical (Figure 10B) (40).

Spin localization through radical torsion would provide a simple and elegant explanation for the regio- and stereoselectivity of oxygenation of AA in the normal turnover of the COX enzymes. Tsai and co-workers have reported EPR signals consistent with a twisted allyl radical intermediate in single-turnover experiments with COX-1 and aspirin acetylated COX-2, but

not unmodified COX-2 (41). Interestingly, these signals were found to be isotope-sensitive; the same experiments with *d*8-AA lead to pentadienyl radicals. EPR spectral features consistent with an allyl radical intermediate have also been observed in lipoxygenase (LOX) catalysis (42). However, we have found no evidence of preference for a spin-biased conformation in MD simulations of COX-1 and COX-2 complexes with the AA-13-yl radical. Instead, the results suggest a maximally-delocalized pentadienyl radical intermediate, whose deviations from planarity fall within those accounted for by thermal fluctuations, and do not differ from those exhibited by the AA-13-yl radical free in solution. We also did not observe isoform-specific differences in the behavior of the pentadienyl radical that could account for the difference in EPR signal reported for unlabeled AA bound to COX-1 vs. COX-2 (41). Simulation of the AA-13-yl radical bound to acetylated COX-2 could potentially yield more insight into the EPR signal that has been interpreted as a twisted allyl radical.

The delivery of molecular oxygen to the cyclooxygenase active site through a specific channel represents another potential control mechanism. Such channels have been described for a number of enzymes, including soybean LOX (43,44). Solvent-accessible surface calculations on the COX enzymes reveal four primary channels leading from the surface of the protein to the cyclooxygenase active site. Inclusion of molecular oxygen in the simulations revealed that O<sub>2</sub> could only escape the active site of the protein through the putative substrate access channel (M1) and that the most likely site of attack would be the pro-*R* face of C11, although O<sub>2</sub> was able to access the pro-*R* face of C15 in one out of 20 simulations. The oxygen mobility studies do not support involvement of the other three channels in directing molecular oxygen to the active site. Measurement of the width of these channels across the trajectories support this conclusion, as M1 was the only channel to display a significant number of opening events large enough for O<sub>2</sub> to transit in both isoforms. The results of the present channel measurements are fully consistent with our earlier results for COX-1 and COX-2 complexes with AA, indicating that the change from bound AA to AA-13-yl radical intermediate does not bring about a dramatic change in channel behavior, at least on a nanosecond timescale.

Alteration of the steric environment through mutation of active site residues has been shown to affect enzymatic control of reactions. In LOX catalysis, reduction in steric bulk around the pentadienyl radical intermediate markedly decreased both the regio- and stereospecificity of oxygenation (44). Mutagenesis has been used to characterize the roles of most of the residues lining the cyclooxygenase active site, some of which are capable of altering the ratio of prostaglandins to 11*R*- and 15*R/S*-HETE products (45). Schneider et. al. have identified two residues, Ser-530 and Val-349, that control the stereochemistry of the second oxygen addition at C15 (46), and two, Leu-384 and Gly-526, that can arrest the normal cyclizations to produce novel products when steric bulk is added (37). However, no mutant has been reported to alter the stereochemistry of the first oxygen addition at C11; when oxygen adds to C11, it adds to the pro-*R* face exclusively. Our present steric shielding analysis revealed the pro-*R* face of C11 to be the most exposed of the positive spin-bearing positions along the AA-13-yl radical, while the pro-*S* face of C11 was the most shielded, indicating that steric shielding is an important factor in control of the stereochemistry of oxygenation at C11. However, the shielding profiles indicated modest exposure of C13, often comparable to that of C15, so shielding alone does not appear to explain why no 13-HETE products have been observed.

These analyses contribute to an emerging picture in which the enzyme narrows the range of potential products by channeling oxygen to the bottom face of the AA-13-yl radical via the substrate access channel, then sterically shields the undesirable sites of addition. However, the simulations also suggest that these control mechanisms are imperfect. While it is possible that such control lapses could occur at a frequency that accounts for the minor 11- and 15-HPETE products, there remains one other possibility that has received little attention in the literature. Given the mechanistic studies on linoleate autoxidation (3,5-7), it seems reasonable to propose

that oxygen adds freely to the accessible faces of C11, C13 and C15 of the pentadienyl radical, and it is the kinetics of the competing follow-up reactions of the intermediate peroxy radicals that are responsible for the regio- and stereochemical outcome of the enzymatic reaction (Scheme 4).

Approximate lower bounds for rate constants that dictate the fate of each of the intermediate peroxy radicals can be estimated from existing solution phase work. Once formed, the non-conjugated peroxy radicals **12** and **13**, resulting from oxygenation at C13, can be expected to undergo rapid  $\beta$ -fragmentation back to the AA-13-yl radical and molecular oxygen with a rate constant exceeding  $2 \times 10^6 \text{ s}^{-1}$  (7), whereas the conjugated peroxy radicals **10**, **11**, **14** and **15**, resulting from oxygenation at C11 or C15,  $\beta$ -fragment back to the AA-13-yl radical and molecular oxygen with a rate constant of only  $430 \text{ s}^{-1}$  (47). In competition with these “reverse” or “non-productive” reactions are trapping of the peroxy radicals with a hydrogen atom from Tyr-385 or, in the case of addition at the C11 position, intramolecular 5-*exo* radical cyclization to form the endoperoxide ring. The 5-*exo* cyclization can be expected to proceed with a rate constant  $>800 \text{ s}^{-1}$  based on solution measurements (3,5-7). The rate constant for the reaction of Tyr-385 with the intermediate peroxy radicals can be estimated at  $10,000 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , based on the solution rate constant for the reaction of 4-methylphenol with alkylperoxy radicals (48, 49). While these estimations suggest cyclization to be a factor of  $\sim 10$  slower than hydrogen atom transfer from Tyr-385, both cyclization and  $\beta$ -fragmentation are unimolecular processes that require little geometric organization to proceed. Despite the proximity of Tyr-385, we expect the geometric constraints of the bimolecular reaction to be more significant to overcome, and experience the least acceleration upon going from solution to the enzyme active site.

Since the intermediate C13-OO<sup>·</sup> peroxy radical is expected to undergo a very rapid  $\beta$ -fragmentation reaction to regenerate the pentadienyl radical and O<sub>2</sub>, it is reasonable that 13-HPETE may never be observed due to the lack of an active site residue that is sufficiently reactive to peroxy radicals to trap it. The predominance of prostaglandin products is likely due to the rate acceleration of the 5-*exo* cyclization of the intermediate *R*-C11-OO<sup>·</sup> onto the C8/9 double bond over simple reduction to give 11*R*-HPETE. The fact that no 11*S*-HETE is observed suggests that O<sub>2</sub> never contacts the pro-S side of C11, and that a combination of simple competition kinetics and oxygen channeling/steric shielding is required to explain the regio- and stereochemical preference of the first oxygenation by the cyclooxygenase active site. We should note that spin localization through radical torsion may be important in the second oxygenation, HETE production in aspirin acetylated COX-2, or in the oxygenation of linoleic and arachidonic acids by the LOX enzymes. We are currently exploring these ideas further.

The rate-limiting step in the cyclooxygenase reaction is thought to be the stereospecific abstraction of the arachidonate C13 pro-S hydrogen atom (15). Our current simulations provide some interesting suggestions regarding enzymatic control for this key step, but do not allow us to probe mechanistic issues for subsequent steps in the reaction. Additional simulations are in progress to examine some aspects of subsequent steps. We cannot extract any detailed kinetic information for the reaction from these simulations, as this would require far more extensive sampling than we have performed to date and is quite challenging. We do know that in the solution reaction, hydrogen atom abstraction (i.e., hydrogen atom transfer) is slow compared to oxygen addition and radical cyclization reactions (5), and this is presumably the case in cyclooxygenase-catalyzed reactions as well.

## Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

### Acknowledgement


We thank Dr. Larry Marnett for helpful discussion, and Dr. Jarrod Smith for help in writing the channel\_finder utility.

## References


1. Rouzer CA, Marnett LJ. Mechanism of free radical oxygenation of polyunsaturated fatty acids by cyclooxygenases. *Chem. Rev.* 2003;103:2239–2304. [PubMed: 12797830]
2. Brash AR. Lipoxygenases: Occurrence, functions, catalysis, and acquisition of substrate. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1999;274:23679–23682. [PubMed: 10446122]
3. Porter NA. Mechanisms for the autoxidation of polyunsaturated lipids. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 1986;19:262–268.
4. Funk CD. Prostaglandins and leukotrienes: Advances in eicosanoid biology. *Science* 2001;294:1871–1875. [PubMed: 11729303]
5. Porter NA, Caldwell SE, Mills KA. Mechanisms of free radical oxidation of unsaturated lipids. *Lipids* 1995;30:277–290. [PubMed: 7609594]
6. Brash A. Autoxidation of methyl linoleate: Identification of the bis-allylic 11-hydroperoxide. *Lipids* 2000;35:947–952. [PubMed: 11026614]
7. Tallman KA, Pratt DA, Porter NA. Kinetic products of linoleate peroxidation: rapid β-fragmentation of nonconjugated peroxyls. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2001;123:11827–11828. [PubMed: 11716752]
8. Bascetta E, Gunstone FD, Walton JC. An electron spin resonance study of fatty acids and esters. Part 1. Hydrogen abstraction from olefinic and acetylenic long-chain esters. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2* 1983:603–613.
9. Porter NA, Weber BA, Weenen H, Khan JA. Autoxidation of polyunsaturated lipids. Factors controlling the stereochemistry of product hydroperoxides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1980;102:5597–5601.
10. Yin H, Havrilla CM, Morrow JD, Porter NA. Formation of Isoprostane Bicyclic Endoperoxides from the Autoxidation of Cholesteryl Arachidonate. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2002;124:7745–7754. [PubMed: 12083928]
11. Havrilla CM, Hachey DL, Porter NA. Coordination (Ag<sup>+</sup>) ion spray-mass spectrometry of peroxidation products of cholesterol linoleate and cholesterol arachidonate: High-performance liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry analysis of peroxide products from polyunsaturated lipid autoxidation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2000;122:8042–8055.
12. Hecker M, Ullrich V, Fischer C, Meese CO. Identification of novel arachidonic acid metabolites formed by prostaglandin H synthase. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 1987;169:113–123. [PubMed: 3119336]
13. Xiao G, Tsai AL, Palmer G, Boyar WC, Marshall PJ, Kulmacz RJ. Analysis of hydroperoxide-induced tyrosyl radicals and lipoxygenase activity in aspirin-treated human prostaglandin H synthase-2. *Biochemistry* 1997;36:1836–1845. [PubMed: 9048568]
14. van der Donk WA, Tsai AL, Kulmacz RJ. The cyclooxygenase reaction mechanism. *Biochemistry* 2002;41:15451–15458. [PubMed: 12501173]
15. Hamberg M, Samuelsson BJ. On the mechanism of the biosynthesis of prostaglandin E<sub>1</sub> and F<sub>1α</sub>. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1967;242:5336–5343. [PubMed: 6070851]
16. Picot D. The Three-Dimensional Structure of Cyclooxygenases. *Lung Biol. Health Dis.* 1998;114:161–186. *Eicosanoids, Aspirin and Asthma*
17. Malkowski MG, Ginell SL, Smith WL, Garavito RM. The productive conformation of arachidonic acid bound to prostaglandin synthase. *Science* 2000;289:1933–1937. [PubMed: 10988074]
18. Peng S, Okeley NM, Tsai AL, Wu G, Kulmacz RJ, van der Donk WA. Structural characterization of a pentadienyl radical intermediate formed during catalysis by prostaglandin H synthase-2. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2001;123:3609–3610. [PubMed: 11472139]
19. Peng S, Okeley NM, Tsai AL, Wu G, Kulmacz RJ, van der Donk WA. Synthesis of isotopically labeled arachidonic acids to probe reaction mechanism of prostaglandin H synthase. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2002;124:10785–10796. [PubMed: 12207535]
20. Kiefer JR, Pawlitz JL, Moreland KT, Stegeman RA, Hood WF, Gierse JK, Stevens AM, Goodwin DC, Rowlinson SW, Marnett LJ, Stallings WC, Kurumbail RG. Structural insights into the stereochemistry of the cyclooxygenase reaction. *Nature* 2000;405:97–101. [PubMed: 10811226]

21. Wang J, Cieplak P, Kollman PA. How well does a restrained electrostatic potential (RESP) model perform in calculating conformational energies of organic and biological molecules? *J. Comp. Chem* 2000;21:1049–1074.
22. Frisch, MJ.; Trucks, GW.; Schlegel, HB.; Scuseria, GE.; Robb, MA.; Cheeseman, JR.; Zakrzewski, VG.; Montgomery, JA., Jr.; Stratmann, RE.; Burant, JC.; Dapprich, S.; Millam, JM.; Daniels, AD.; Kudin, KN.; Strain, MC.; Farkas, O.; Tomasi, J.; Barone, V.; Cossi, M.; Cammi, R.; Mennucci, B.; Pomelli, C.; Adamo, C.; Clifford, S.; Ochterski, J.; Petersson, GA.; Ayala, PY.; Cui, Q.; Morokuma, K.; Malic, DK.; Rabuck, AD.; Raghavachari, K.; Foresman, JB.; Cioslowski, J.; Ortiz, JV.; Baboul, AG.; Stefanov, BB.; Liu, G.; Liashenko, A.; Piskorz, P.; Komaromi, I.; Gomperts, R.; Martin, RL.; Fox, DJ.; Keith, T.; Al-Laham, MA.; Peng, CY.; Nanayakkara, A.; Challacombe, M.; Gill, PMW.; Johnson, B.; Chen, W.; Wong, MW.; Andres, JL.; Gonzalez, C.; Head-Gordon, M.; Replogle, ES.; Pople, JA. Gaussian, Inc.; Pittsburgh, PA: 1998.
23. Becke AD. Density-functional thermochemistry. III. the role of exact exchange. *J. Chem. Phys* 1993;98:5648–5652.
24. Cornell WD, Cieplak P, Bayly CI, Gould IR, Merz KM Jr, Ferguson DM, Spellmeyer DC, Fox T, Caldwell JW, Kollman PA. A second generation force field for the simulation of proteins, nucleic acids, and organic molecules. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1995;117:5179–5197.
25. Case, DA.; Pearlman, DA.; Caldwell, JW.; Cheatham, TE., III; Wang, J.; Ross, WS.; Simmerling, CL.; Darden, TA.; Merz, KM.; Stanton, RV.; Cheng, AL.; Vincent, JJ.; Crowley, M.; Tsui, V.; Gohlke, H.; Radmer, RJ.; Duan, Y.; Pitera, J.; Massova, I.; Seibel, GL.; Singh, UC.; Weiner, PK.; Kollman, PA. AMBER 7. University of California; San Francisco: 2002.
26. Berendsen HJC, Grigera JR, Straatsma TP. The missing term in effective pair potentials. *J. Phys. Chem* 1987;91:6269–6271.
27. Ryckaert JP, Ciccotti G, Berendsen HJC. Numerical-integration of cartesian equations of motion of a system with constraints - molecular-dynamics of N-alkanes. *J. Comput. Phys* 1977;23:327–341.
28. Berendsen HJC, Postma JPM, van Gunsteren WF, DiNola A, Haak JR. Molecular dynamics with coupling to an external bath. *J. Chem. Phys* 1984;81:3684–3690.
29. Darden T, York D, Pedersen L. Ptical mesh Ewald: An N-log(N) method for Ewald sums in large systems. *J. Chem. Phys* 1993;98:10089–10092.
30. Callahan TJ, Swanson E, Lybrand TP. MD display: An interactive graphics program for visualization of molecular dynamics trajectories. *J. Mol. Graph* 1996;14:39–41. [PubMed: 8744571]
31. Kumar S, Rosenberg JM, Bouzida D, Swendsen RH, Kollman PA. Multidimensional free-energy calculations using the weighted histogram analysis method. *J. Comp. Chem* 1995;16:1339–1350.
32. Grossfield, A. WHAM Version 1.2. Washington University; St. Louis, MO: 2003.
33. Sanner MF, Spehner J-C, Olson AJ. Reduced surface: An efficient way to compute molecular surfaces. *Biopolymers* 1996;38:305–320. [PubMed: 8906967]
34. Philippsen, A. DINO: Visualizing Structural Biology. 2003. <http://www.dino3d.org>
35. Pratt DA, Mills JH, Porter NA. Theoretical Calculations of Carbon-Oxygen Bond Dissociation Enthalpies of Peroxyl Radicals Formed in the Autoxidation of Lipids. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2003;125:5801–5810. [PubMed: 12733921]
36. Tsai A, Palmer G, Xiao G, Swinney DC, Kulmacz RJ. Structural characterization of arachadonyl radicals formed by prostaglandin H synthase-2 and prostaglandin H synthase-1 reconstituted with mangano protoporphyrin IX. *J. Biol. Chem* 1998;273:3888–3894. [PubMed: 9461572]
37. Schneider C, Boeglin WE, Brash AR. Identification of two cyclooxygenase active site residues, leucine 384 and glycine 526, that control carbon ring cyclization in prostaglandin biosynthesis. *J. Biol. Chem* 2004;279:4404–4414. [PubMed: 14594816]
38. Thuresson ED, Lakkides KM, Smith WL. Different catalytically competent arrangements of arachidonic acid within the cyclooxygenase active site of prostaglandin endoperoxide H synthase-1 lead to the formation of different oxygenated products. *J. Biol. Chem* 2000;275:8501–8507. [PubMed: 10722687]
39. Schreiner K, Berndt A. EPR spectrum of a perpendicular benzyl radical. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 1974;13:144–145.
40. Regenstein H, Berndt A. Homohyperconjugation in a perpendicular allyl radical. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 1974;13:145–146.


41. Tsai A, Palmer G, Wu G, Peng S, Okeley NM, van der Donk WA, Kulmacz RJ. Structural characterization of arachidonyl radicals formed by aspirin-treated prostaglandin H synthase-2. *J. Biol. Chem* 2002;277:38311–38321. [PubMed: 12167656]
42. Nelson MJ, Cowling RA, Seitz SP. Structural characterization of alkyl and peroxy radicals in solutions of purple lipoxygenase. *Biochemistry* 1994;33:4966–4973. [PubMed: 8161558]
43. Minor W, Steczko J, Stec B, Otwinowski Z, Bolin JT, Walter R, Axelrod B. Crystal structure of soybean lipoxygenase L-1 at 1.4 Å resolution. *Biochemistry* 1996;35:10687–10701.
44. Knapp MJ, Seebeck FP, Klinman JP. Steric control of oxygenation regiochemistry in soybean lipoxygenase-1. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2001;123:2931–2932. [PubMed: 11457000]
45. Thuresson ED, Lakkides KM, Rieke CJ, Sun Y, Wingerd BA, Micielli R, Mulichak AM, Malkowski MG, Garavito RM, Smith WL. Prostaglandin endoperoxide H synthase-1: The functions of cyclooxygenase active site residues in the binding, positioning, and oxygenation of arachidonic acid. *J. Biol. Chem* 2001;276:10347–10357. [PubMed: 11121412]
46. Schneider C, Boeglin WE, Prusakiewicz JJ, Rowlinson SW, Marnett LJ, Samel N, Brash AR. Control of prostaglandin stereochemistry at the 15-carbon by cyclooxygenases-1 and 2. *J. Biol. Chem* 2002;277:478–485. [PubMed: 11677234]
47. Porter NA, Wujek DG. Autoxidation of polyunsaturated fatty acids, an expanded mechanistic study. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1984;106:2626–2629.
48. Chenier JHB, Furimsky E, Howard JA. Arrhenius parameters for reaction of the tert-butylperoxy and 2-ethyl-2-propylperoxy radicals with some nonhindered phenols, aromatic amines, and thiophenols. *Can. J. Chem* 1974;52:3682–3688.
49. Burton GW, Doba T, Gabe EJ, Hughes L, Lee FL, Prasad L, Ingold KU. Autoxidation of biological molecules. 4. Maximizing the antioxidant activity of phenols. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1985;107:7053–7065.

**Figure 1.**

Torsion about the C11-C12 bond (A) of the AA-derived C11-C15 pentadienyl radical intermediate localizes spin to the pro-*R* face of C11, while torsion about the C12-C13 or C13-C14 bond (B) leads to an allyl radical.


**Figure 2.**

(U)B3LYP/6-31G(d) Theoretical spin distribution (red, green and blue circles) along potential energy surfaces (black squares) for rotation about (A) the C11-C12 bond and (B) the C13-C14 bond in the 11,15-dimethyl-Z,Z-pentadienyl radical (as described in Figure 1A and B). While spin is delocalized among C11, C13, and C15 for torsion angles 0° and 180°, deviation from planarity leads to maximum localization of spin at C11 at 90° and 270°(A), or formation of an allyl radical across C11-C13 (B).




**Figure 3.**

Color-coded histograms showing torsion angle sampling for the AA-13-yl radical intermediate simulated free in solution (SOLN) as well as bound to monomers A and B of homodimers COX-1 and COX-2. Colors indicate percentage of the trajectory spent in each torsion angle range. Averages with standard deviations are shown on the right for the four planar pentadienyl radical torsions. The numbers on the left indicate the torsion described, e.g. 10-11 refers to the C9-C10-C11-C12 torsion angle. Vertical black lines indicate the torsion angle value observed in the COX-1/AA complex crystal structure (17). The structure of AA-13-yl is shown on the left for reference.




**Figure 4.**


Results of potential of mean force (PMF) calculations. Comparison of the energy barriers to rotation about (A) the C11-C12 bond (as in Figures 1A and 2A) and (B) the C13-C14 bond (as in Figures 1B and 2B) of the AA-13-yl radical when bound to COX-1 vs. free in solution.

**Figure 5.**

Solid surface side views of the cyclooxygenase active site for average structures of COX-1 (A) and COX-2 (B) calculated from MD trajectories. In both panels, arachidonate is shown in red with C11-C15 highlighted in green and heme cofactors in orange. Each structure has been sliced with a vertical clipping plane (cut surface is white) to show the interior cavity surface (gray) and several channels to the exterior of the protein. Main channel M1 is the putative substrate access channel. Channel D2 travels into the plane of the figure, orthogonal to channel D1. Both D1 and D2 lead into the dimer interface. Side channel S1 was closed to the 1.0 Å probe sphere in the COX-1 average structure. Figures 5 and 7 were created with DINO (32) using surfaces generated with MSMS (31).


**Figure 6.**

Channel radii histograms for the COX-1/AA-13-yl and COX-2/AA-13-yl complex trajectories. (A) Measurements of the main channel (M1) from the lobby region into the active site, (B) the primary dimer interface channel (D1), (C) the second dimer interface channel (D2), and (D) the side channel (S1) that extends from the Ile/Val523 side pocket.


**Figure 7.**

Traces of oxygen position from explicit  $O_2$  simulations. Each panel is a sliced solid surface view of the cyclooxygenase active site of COX-1/AA-13-yl (columns 1 and 2) and COX-2/AA-13-yl (columns 3 and 4) complexes in the snapshot used as a starting point for 5 different trajectories (rows 1-5). The AA-13-yl radical is shown in red, with C11 highlighted in yellow, and the surfaces of active site residues Arg-120 (top) and Ser-530 (bottom) highlighted in cyan. The position of  $O_2$  in the active site over time is traced by a line that changes color from blue to red from 0-500 ps. While the surface views were sliced with a vertical clipping plane (cut surface is white) to show the interior space, no clipping planes were used on the oxygen traces

in order to show them in their entirety. In panels where it appears the trace has traveled outside the channel, it is actually in a part of the channel that lies in front of the clipping plane.


**Figure 8.**

Average free space estimates. The amount of empty space along the “top” and “bottom” of the pentadienyl radical carbons (C11-C15) in the COX-1/AA-13-yl and COX-2/AA-13-yl complex trajectories is indicated by the height of the bars. The top face (blue bars) is defined as the face exposed to Tyr-385 (pro-*S* face of C11 and C13, pro-*R* of C15); the bottom face (red bars) is defined as the face exposed to Ser-530 (pro-*R* face of C11 and C13, pro-*S* of C15). The carbon atoms are represented by cyan spheres. COX-2 monomer B exhibited two distinct AA-13-yl conformations, so two panels are shown, representing the major (E) and minor (F) bound conformations.


**Figure 9.**

Alternate AA-13-yl radical conformation. The major and minor bound conformers of AA-13-yl in the COX-2 monomer B simulation are shown with carbons in cyan, hydrogens in gray, and oxygens in red, with the pentadienyl radical carbons (C11-C15) of the major conformer highlighted in green, and the minor in orange.

## benzyl radicals




## allyl radicals




**Figure 10.**


Structures of benzyl and allyl radicals wherein the unpaired electron spin is either delocalized over multiple carbon atoms or localized to a single carbon atom (39,40).

**Scheme 1.**


The solution autoxidation of linoleic acid or its esters.



**Scheme 2.**  
Secondary oxidation products of AA.



**Scheme 3.**  
The COX-catalyzed conversion of AA to PGH<sub>2</sub>.

**Scheme 4.**

Competing pathways in the formation of oxidation products from AA-13-yl in the PGHSs.