# Practical Synthesis of Dichlorine Monoxide<sup>†</sup>

John H. Hain, Jr., and Henry A. McGee, Jr.\*

Department of Chemical Engineering, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, Virginia 24061

A continuous reaction scheme and apparatus were designed and developed to synthesize  $\text{Cl}_2\text{O}$  for use in the generation of  $\text{O}_2(^1\Delta)$  from  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  for subsequent use in pumping the I atom laser at 1.315  $\mu\text{m}$ . Chlorine was reacted with anhydrous  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$  in a fixed or fluidized bed reactor at 180 °C. The product gases were collected in  $\text{CCI}_4$  or in KI solution and analyzed by an iodometric titration technique.  $\text{Cl}_2$  conversion was 89%.

# Introduction

The chemical laser is nothing more than a flow reactor in which the product is coherent radiation rather than some chemical species. All of the usual design problems of fluid flow with simultaneous reaction and diffusion are evident.

Dichlorine monoxide ( $\text{Cl}_2\text{O}$ ) may be useful for the generation of  $\text{O}_2(^1\Delta)$  for subsequent use in the Chemical Oxygen Iodine Laser (COIL). This highly unusual laser uses only "off the shelf" reagents to react directly to produce high power beams (McDermott et al., 1977). COIL systems as large as 10 kW cw have been operated using  $\text{Cl}_2$ . Dichlorine monoxide may be contrasted with  $\text{Cl}_2$  which is presently used in COIL

$$\text{Cl}_2\text{O} + 2\text{NaOH} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + n\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 2\text{O}_2(^1\Delta) + 2\text{NaCl} + (n+3)\text{H}_2\text{O}$$
 (1)

$$Cl_2 + 2NaOH + H_2O_2 + nH_2O \rightarrow O_2(^1\Delta) + 2NaCl + (n + 1)H_2O$$
 (2)

A mole of  $\text{Cl}_2\text{O}$  may double the conversion of peroxide to  $\text{O}_2(^1\Delta)$  as compared to present technology using  $\text{Cl}_2$ . Both reactions are diffusion controlled; gaseous  $\text{Cl}_2\text{O}$  or  $\text{Cl}_2$  must diffuse into liquid peroxide to react, and the  $\text{O}_2(^1\Delta)$  must then diffuse back into the gas phase and be pumped into the laser cavity before it degrades to the ground state  $(^3\Sigma)$ . With its dipole moment of 0.78 D, we expect  $\text{Cl}_2\text{O}$  to diffuse into  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2/\text{H}_2\text{O}$  more rapidly than does  $\text{Cl}_2$ . Dichlorine monoxide is five times more soluble in water than is  $\text{Cl}_2$ , and hopefully this greater solubility will be evident in peroxide as well. From all these perspectives, a greater production rate of  $\text{O}_2(^1\Delta)$  was anticipated.

Singlet delta oxygen readily transfers its energy to monatomic iodine through a series of steps that are not well understood (Heidner et al., 1981). In multiple collisions,  $O_2(^1\Delta)$  dissociates  $I_2$  and produces  $I(^2P_{1/2})$ . The energy mismatch between  $O_2(^1\Delta)$  and  $I(^2P_{1/2})$  is only 279 cm<sup>-1</sup>, so the exchange is efficient. When iodine is stimulated to return to its ground state,  $I(^2P_{1/2}) \rightarrow I(^2P_{3/2})$ , a photon is emitted at 1.315  $\mu$ m, which constitutes the laser action (McDermott et al., 1977).

The purpose of this research was to ultimately replace  $\operatorname{Cl}_2$  with  $\operatorname{Cl}_2O$  in COIL by developing a practical production process that would be continuous, efficient, inexpensive, and easy to operate.

# **Experimental Section**

Fluidized beds for gas/solid reactions are common in large-scale chemistry, but they are almost unknown in

laboratory scale work. This absence need not be so, as is evident from the technique developed here to synthesize Cl<sub>2</sub>O.

In the 150 year history of Cl<sub>2</sub>O, synthetic procedures involving either mercuric oxide or sodium carbonate have been most attractive (Renard and Bolker, 1976). Mercuric oxide is hazardous, and its cost demands regeneration with attendant complexities. The carbonate process

$$2Cl_2 + Na_2CO_3 \rightarrow Cl_2O + CO_2 + 2NaCl$$
 (3)

requiring temperatures of 150 to 200 °C, was selected (Renard and Bolker, 1976). Anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> is reacted with  $Cl_2$  in a column 75 mm i.d.  $\times$  1 m in height. The bed of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> was supported by a 1.6 mm thick stainless steel fritted plate, which distributed the entering gas (Cl<sub>2</sub> to N<sub>2</sub> ratio of about 1 to 2 to moderate the reaction) uniformly over the cross section of the reactor. The bed was intermittently fluidized with N<sub>2</sub> to abrade away the salt layer that forms on the surface of the solid particles. It was then returned to a fixed bed to react with chlorine once more. This cycling or pulsed-fluidization procedure could be repeated or timed as desired, including continuous fluidbed reaction if downstream processing can handle the required gas flows. In the apparatus described here, intermittent fluidization was more convenient. The product gases exiting the reactor were sparged into a sample collector, which was designed to efficiently handle 500 mL of solution. Dichlorine monoxide can also be collected as a liquid by cooling the collector to -78 °C (Dangerous!) Unreacted Cl<sub>2</sub> may subsequently be removed from the Cl<sub>2</sub>O by simple distillation by pumping at -78 °C. Although the vapor pressure of Cl<sub>2</sub> is about 13 times that of Cl<sub>2</sub>O at -78 °C, some Cl<sub>2</sub> will be lost. This distillation is dangerous since liquid Cl<sub>2</sub>O is shock sensitive and can explode. For operation in the laser, it may not be necessary to condense the Cl<sub>2</sub>, but rather it may be used immediately in the peroxide reactor to make  $O_2(^1\Delta)$ .

The reactor column and collector were made of Pyrex, and 1.5 kg of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> produced a quiescent bed 30 cm deep. Chlorine and N<sub>2</sub> entered through stainless steel or monel tubing and fittings. Heating tape controlled by a Variac maintained the temperature of the column, which was also wrapped with glass wool. Column temperature also increased due to the exothermic reaction.

The  $\rm Na_2CO_3$  was initially heated to about 180 °C, while  $\rm N_2$  passed through the bed at 105 mL/s (STP) to ensure that the sodium carbonate was anhydrous. During startup, gases were vented from the bottom of the column, which allowed adjustment to the desired flow rates. Timing started and ended when bubbles were observed and ceased in the sample collector. During fluidization of the bed, the sample collector valve was closed simultaneously with opening the vent valve at the top of the reactor. A

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Abstracted from a thesis submitted by John H. Hain, Jr., in partial fulfillment of requirements for the M.S. degree in chemical engineering.

timing cycle of 5 to 15 min fixed-bed followed by 2 min fluidized bed was convenient. This particular cycle was arbitrarily selected, even though the time to cover an  $\rm Na_2CO_3$  particle with NaCl could be calculated sufficiently for design purposes. During the fluidization mode, the  $\rm N_2$  flow rate was 180 mL/s (25 °C, 1 atm). The minimum experimental fluidization rate was 60 mL/s, which is a function of particle size, particle geometry, solid density, fluid viscosity, and fluid density. Gases from the reactor were bubbled through a fine frit into KI solutions or into  $\rm CCl_4$  held at 5 °C to prevent evaporation of the liquids due to entry of the hot product gases.

Samples were analyzed immediately by an iodometric titration technique. Dichlorine monoxide and chlorine react with iodide ion to form iodine

$$Cl_2O + 4I^- + H_2O \rightarrow 2OH^- + 2Cl^- + 2I_2$$
 (4)

$$Cl_2 + 2I^- \rightarrow 2Cl^- + I_2$$
 (5)

Conditions must be neutral to acidic to titrate iodine with sodium thiosulfate; therefore, a known amount of acid was added to ensure that the hydroxide ion from Cl<sub>2</sub>O was neutralized. After the first titration, the residual acid was determined by first adding a slight excess of potassium iodate, which liberated additional iodine that was then titrated

$$IO_3^- + 5I^- + 6H^+ \rightarrow 3I_2 + H_2O$$
 (6)

The first titration determined the amount of  $\text{Cl}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{Cl}_2\text{O}$  while the back-titration determined the amount of  $\text{Cl}_2\text{O}$  alone. Carbon tetrachloride as an indicator (red-violet to colorless) was far superior to soluble starch, especially when titrating  $\text{Cl}_2\text{O}$  in the presence of  $\text{Cl}_2$ . Starch can form a water-insoluble complex with iodine, which causes a drift in the end point.

The Cl<sub>2</sub>O/Cl<sub>2</sub>/CCl<sub>4</sub> solution was analyzed both by the above titration technique and by mass spectroscopy, but the latter was unsuccessful because of the high reactivity of Cl<sub>2</sub>O (Freeman and Phillips, 1968). Instrumental techniques are best conducted in line. Upon adding anhydrous acetic acid to CCl<sub>4</sub> solutions, if Cl<sub>2</sub>O is present, water is formed which then floats on the surface. This is a convenient qualitative test for Cl<sub>2</sub>O.

### Results

The results and operating parameters are listed in Table I. The agreement between the timed entering mass of  $\mathrm{Cl}_2$  (rotameter) and the exiting mass of  $\mathrm{Cl}_2$  (titration) was always within experimental error. The major sources of error arose from the rotameter calibration,  $\mathrm{OH}^-$  ions neutralized by  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  co-product in the water, and the volatility of  $\mathrm{Cl}_2\mathrm{O}$  over  $\mathrm{CCl}_4$ . The titration data were corrected for this latter error using a Henry's Law constant of 1830 mmHg at 25 °C (Renard and Bolker, 1976). Henry's law applies best to dilute solutes; the concentration of  $\mathrm{Cl}_2\mathrm{O}$  was 0.279 M, which was likely too concentrated for accu-

Table I. Operating Parameters and Results

	expt			
	I	II	III	control
total reaction time, min	17.5	30.5	215	24
Cl <sub>2</sub> flow rate, (cm <sup>3</sup> /min) 1 atm, 25 °C	378	42	10	32
N <sub>2</sub> flow rate, (cm <sup>3</sup> /min) 1 atm, 25 °C	1320	84	20	n/a
average column temp, °C	180	180	180	n/a
fluidized flow rate, (cm <sup>3</sup> /s) 1 atm, 25 °C	180	180	180	n/a
fixed-bed time per cycle, min	5	5	15	n/a
fluidized bed-time per cycle, min	2	2	2	n/a
average conversion, %	$42 \pm 1$	$74 \pm 2$	$89 \pm 0.2$	0
Cl <sub>2</sub> entering reactor, mol	0.293	0.0572	0.0960	0.0343
Cl <sub>2</sub> exiting reactor, mol of Cl <sub>2</sub> , Cl <sub>2</sub> O, and NaCl	0.305	0.0583	0.100	0.0344
collecting solution	KI (aq)	KI (aq)	$CCl_4$	$CCl_4$

rate use. In a control experiment,  $Cl_2$  was bubbled through  $CCl_4$  and analyzed as above for  $Cl_2O$ ; no  $Cl_2O$  was present.

The kinetics could be inferred as first order. A simple plug-flow model of the reactor

$$(u/L)(dX/dz') = k(1-X) \tag{7}$$

suggests that a plot of conversion, X, vs. L/u (contact time) should give an exponential curve if the rate is either first order with respect to  $\mathrm{Cl}_2$  or if the reaction is diffusion controlled. Here u is the linear velocity of  $\mathrm{Cl}_2$  at the inlet plane of the reactor and z is a dimensionless length given by height in the bed divided by its total height, L. The rate constant, k, can be obtained from the slope and compared with a calculated mass transfer coefficient from standard correlations to suggest whether the reaction is in fact diffusion controlled. The values of L/u for experiments I, II, and III were 26.5, 357, and 1500 s, respectively, but these data alone do not allow an accurate analysis.

The fluid-bed method can be operated continuously at any capacity using either a fast-fluidized bed or the new vibro-fluidized bed both with continuous influx of fresh carbonate and outflow of spent NaCl.

#### Acknowledgment

This work was supported in part by the U.S. Air Force, Grant No. AFOSR-82-0199.

**Registry No.** Cl<sub>2</sub>, 7782-50-5; Cl<sub>2</sub>O, 7791-21-1; Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, 497-19-8.

#### Literature Cited

Freeman, C. G.; Phillips, L. F. J. Phys. Chem. 1968, 72, 3025.
Heidner, R. F.; Gardner, C. E.; El-Sayed, T. M.; Segal, G. I. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1981, 81, 142.
McDermott, W. E.; Lotz, R. E.; Delong, M. L.; Thomas, D. M. Electron. Transcript

McDermott, W. E.; Lotz, R. E.; Delong, M. L.; Thomas, D. M. *Electron*. *Transition Lasers* **1977**, *2*(3), 302. Renard, J. J.; Bolker, H. I. *Chem. Rev*. **1976**, *76*, 487.

> Received for review April 9, 1984 Accepted May 9, 1984