

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/40044029>

Sonochemical Degradation of Perfluorooctane Sulfonate (PFOS) and Perfluorooctanoate (PFOA) in Groundwater: Kinetic Effects of Matrix Inorganics

ARTICLE *in* ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY · DECEMBER 2009

Impact Factor: 5.33 · DOI: 10.1021/es902651g · Source: PubMed

CITATIONS

41

READS

148

5 AUTHORS, INCLUDING:



Chad Vecitis

Harvard University

51 PUBLICATIONS 1,411 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Michael R. Hoffmann

California Institute of Technology

408 PUBLICATIONS 30,279 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Sonochemical Degradation of Perfluorooctane Sulfonate (PFOS) and Perfluorooctanoate (PFOA) in Groundwater: Kinetic Effects of Matrix Inorganics

JIE CHENG,[†] CHAD D. VECITIS,[‡]
HYUNWOONG PARK,[§] BRIAN T. MADER,^{||}
AND MICHAEL R. HOFFMANN^{*,†}

W. M. Keck Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125, Department of Chemical Engineering, Environmental Engineering Program, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06520-8286, School of Physics and Energy Sciences, Kyungpook National University, Daegu, 702-701, Korea, and 3M Environmental Laboratory, 3M Center, Building 260-05-N-17, Maplewood, Minnesota 55144-1000

Received September 1, 2009. Revised manuscript received November 9, 2009. Accepted November 16, 2009.

Ultrasonic irradiation has been shown to effectively degrade perfluorinated chemicals (PFCs) such as perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) and perfluorooctanoate (PFOA) in aqueous solution. Reduced PFC sonochemical degradation rates in organic-rich groundwater taken from beneath a landfill, however, testify to the negative kinetic effects of the organic groundwater constituents. In this study, the PFOX (X = S or A) sonochemical degradation rates in a groundwater sample with organic concentrations about 10 times lower than those in the groundwater taken from beneath a landfill are found to be 29.7% and 20.5% lower, respectively, than the rates in Milli-Q water, suggesting that inorganic groundwater constituents also negatively affect PFC sonochemical kinetics. To determine the source of the groundwater matrix effects, we evaluate the effects of various inorganic species on PFOX sonochemical kinetics. Anions over the range of 1–10 mM show Hofmeister effects on the sonochemical degradation rates of PFOX, $k_{\text{ClO}_4}^{\text{PFOX}} > k_{\text{NO}_3}^{\text{PFOX}} \sim k_{\text{Cl}}^{\text{PFOX}} \geq k_{\text{Mg}}^{\text{PFOX}} > k_{\text{HCO}_3}^{\text{PFOX}} \sim k_{\text{SO}_4}^{\text{PFOX}}$. In contrast, common cations at 5 mM have negligible effects. Initial solution pH enhances the degradation rates of PFOX at 3, but has negligible effects over the range of 4 to 11. The observed inorganic effects on sonochemical kinetics are hypothesized to be due to ions' partitioning to and interaction with the bubble–water interface. Finally, it is shown that the rate reduction in the groundwater in this study is primarily due to the presence of bicarbonate and thus can be fully rectified by pH adjustment prior to sonolysis.

Introduction

Perfluorinated chemicals (PFCs) such as perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) and perfluorooctanoate (PFOA) have been

manufactured for use in a variety of industrial and consumer applications (1, 2). Due to their environmental persistence, PFOX (X = S or A) have been detected in surface waters at a number of locations at concentrations ranging from pg L^{-1} to low ng L^{-1} (3–6). Elevated concentrations (on the order of mg L^{-1}) of PFOX have been measured in surface and ground waters near specific point sources (7–11).

PFOX are chemically inert due to the strength of the C–F bonds, and there is no direct evidence to date of their biodegradation (12, 13). PFOX cannot be removed by conventional water and wastewater treatment processes which do not utilize activated carbon adsorption or reverse osmosis (13–15). Various treatment techniques have been proposed to abiotically decompose aqueous PFOX, including direct UV photolysis (16), thermal- or UV-activated persulfate oxidation (17, 18), reductive defluorination using elemental iron at subcritical water conditions (19), UV-iodide reduction (20), B_{12} -mediated reduction (21), and ultrasonic irradiation (22). It is shown that ultrasonic irradiation can effectively degrade perfluoroalkylsulfonates such as PFOS and perfluoroalkylcarboxylates such as PFOA via pyrolysis under transient high temperatures at the bubble–water interface (23). Advantages of the sonochemical degradation of PFOX include fast and complete mineralization of PFOX and a wide effective concentration range (24).

It is important to understand the various environmental matrix effects on PFCs sonochemical degradation to better evaluate the prospect of its environmental applications. Our previous study on the sonochemical decomposition of PFOX in organic-rich groundwater taken from beneath a landfill has suggested that volatile organic constituents decrease the sonochemical degradation rates of PFOX by reducing the average cavitation temperature at the bubble–water interface. Surface-active organic compounds may also compromise the sonochemical degradation efficiency via competitive adsorption onto the bubble–water interface. The rate reductions can be rectified by simultaneous application of ozonation and ultrasonic irradiation (25).

Herein, we now report on the sonochemical degradation kinetics of PFOX in a distinctively different groundwater sample with a 10-fold lower total organic concentration (TOC) and a higher electrolyte concentration. We extend the discussion of environmental matrix effects on PFC sonochemical degradation kinetics to include the inorganic ions most commonly found in surface and ground waters. A more comprehensive scheme of matrix effects will enable engineering improvements on the sonochemical degradation efficiency of PFOX in a variety of environmental waters.

Experimental Methods

Materials. Ammonium perfluorooctanoate (APFO) and potassium perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS- K^+) standards were provided by 3M. The sodium salts of chloride, nitrate, perchlorate, sulfate, and bicarbonate, ammonium chloride, magnesium chloride, and calcium chloride (Sigma Aldrich, 99% or higher purity) were used as received. Sep-Pak Vac tC18 (6 cc, 1 g) solid phase extraction (SPE) cartridges were purchased from Waters. Purified water ($18.2 \text{ M}\Omega \text{ cm}^{-1}$ resistivity) was prepared from a Millipore Milli-Q Gradient water purification system.

Sonolysis. The sonochemical degradation kinetics of PFOX were measured in Milli-Q, aqueous electrolyte solutions, and groundwater. Ultrasonication was performed in a 600-mL jacketed glass reactor at a frequency of 612 or 354 kHz using an Allied Signal - ELAC Nautik ultrasonic transducer. The applied power density was 250 W L^{-1} with an

* Corresponding author e-mail: mrh@caltech.edu; phone: +626-395-4391.

[†] California Institute of Technology.

[‡] Yale University.

[§] Kyungpook National University.

^{||} 3M Environmental Laboratory.

TABLE 1. Primary Components of the Groundwater Sample^a

pH	7.9	chloride, mg L ⁻¹ as Cl	14
temperature, °C	11.7	nitrate–nitrite, mg L ⁻¹ as N	1.9
dissolved oxygen, mg L ⁻¹	6.3	calcium, mg L ⁻¹ as Ca	64
TOC, mg L ⁻¹	1.5	magnesium, mg L ⁻¹ as Mg	20
total suspended solids, mg L ⁻¹	3.0	sodium, mg L ⁻¹ as Na	7.3
total alkalinity, mg L ⁻¹ as CaCO ₃	220	potassium, mg L ⁻¹ as K	1.0
bicarbonate alkalinity, mg L ⁻¹ as CaCO ₃	220	iron, mg L ⁻¹ as Fe	<0.05
sulfate, mg L ⁻¹ as SO ₄	18	manganese, mg L ⁻¹ as Mn	<0.01

^a Measurements completed by PACE Analytical.

average energy-transfer efficiency of $72 \pm 5\%$ as determined by calorimetry. The PFOX solutions were maintained at $10 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ by water cooling and sparged with argon 30 min prior to and during the course of the reaction. In all experiments the initial concentrations of PFOS and PFOA were spiked to approximately $100\ \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$, or 200 and 240 nM, respectively.

Solid Phase Extraction for Groundwater Samples.

Groundwater samples taken during the sonochemical reactions were purified by SPE using Sep-Pak Vac tC18 cartridges (6 cc, 1 g) to remove matrix components that might interfere with the LC/MS analysis. The SPE cartridges were conditioned by passing 10 mL of methanol, and then 50 mL of water through the cartridges at a flow rate of $2\ \text{mL min}^{-1}$. The analytical samples were subsequently loaded onto the wet cartridges at $1\ \text{mL min}^{-1}$. The columns were dried with nitrogen gas for 5 min, rinsed with 10 mL of 20% methanol in water at $2\ \text{mL min}^{-1}$, and dried with nitrogen gas for another 30 min. The analytes were eluted with methanol at $1\ \text{mL min}^{-1}$, and 4.0 mL samples were collected into 14-mL polypropylene tubes (Falcon). The recovery rates of PFOX were above 90%, consistent with literature values (26). All steps except sample loading were performed on a Caliper AutoTrace SPE Workstation.

LC/MS Analyses. The PFOX concentrations were quantified by LC/MS. For Milli-Q and electrolyte solutions, sample aliquots ($700\ \mu\text{L}$) were withdrawn from the reactor using disposable plastic syringes, transferred into $750\text{-}\mu\text{L}$ polypropylene autosampler vials, and sealed with PTFE septum crimp caps (Agilent). Groundwater samples were purified by SPE before they were transferred to the autosampler vials. Twenty μL of samples were injected into an Agilent 1100 HPLC for separation on a Thermo-Electron Betasil C18 column ($100\ \text{mm} \times 2.1\ \text{mm}$, $5\ \mu\text{m}$). The flow rate was maintained at $0.3\ \text{mL min}^{-1}$ with a mobile phase of 2 mM ammonium acetate in water (A) and methanol (B). The eluent gradient started with 5% B over the first minute, was ramped to 90% B over 10 min and held for 2.5 min, then ramped back to 5% B over 0.5 min and held for 3 min, and finished with a 3 min post-time. Chromatographically separated samples were analyzed by an Agilent Ion Trap in negative mode monitoring for the perfluorooctanesulfonate molecular ion ($m/z = 499$) and the decarboxylated perfluorooctanoate ($m/z = 369$). Instrumental parameters were set at the following levels: nebulizer pressure 40 PSI, drying gas flow rate $9\ \text{L min}^{-1}$, drying gas temperature 325°C , capillary voltage $+3500\ \text{V}$, and skimmer voltage $-15\ \text{V}$. Quantification was based on a 8-point calibration curve spanning the $1\text{--}200\ \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ range fitted to a quadratic with X^{-1} weighting. Analytical standards, quality control, and reagent blank samples were included in each analytical batch along with the unknown samples. Further analytical details were described in a previous paper (23).

Results

Groundwater Characterization. The groundwater used in the study was sampled from a well in the city of Oakdale, MN. The groundwater sample was stored in darkness at 4°C in a sealed container with minimal headspace. As sum-




FIGURE 1. $\ln([PFOS]_0/[PFOS]_t)$ (a) and $\ln([PFOA]_0/[PFOA]_t)$ (b) vs time in minutes during sonochemical degradation in Milli-Q water (\circ) and groundwater (\square). Reaction conditions are 612 kHz, $250\ \text{W L}^{-1}$, Ar, 10°C , and $[PFOS]_0 = [PFOA]_0 = 100\ \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$. Each error bar represents one standard deviation from the mean of at least three experiments. $k_{\text{MQ}}^{\text{PFOS}} = 0.0192\ \text{min}^{-1}$, $k_{\text{GW}}^{\text{PFOS}} = 0.0135\ \text{min}^{-1}$, $k_{\text{MQ}}^{\text{PFOA}} = 0.0366\ \text{min}^{-1}$, and $k_{\text{GW}}^{\text{PFOA}} = 0.0291\ \text{min}^{-1}$.

marized in Table 1, the TOC concentration of the groundwater sample was $1.5\ \text{mg L}^{-1}$, about an order of magnitude lower than that of groundwater taken from beneath a landfill that was used in our previous study (25), whereas the concentrations of common groundwater ions such as bicarbonate, sulfate, chloride, calcium, and magnesium were higher than those in the previous study. PFOX were spiked into the groundwater to increase the concentration to approximately $100\ \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$, or 200 and 240 nM for PFOS and PFOA, respectively.

Sonolysis of Groundwater PFOX. The sonochemical degradation kinetics of PFOX in groundwater and Milli-Q water are shown in Figure 1a and b, respectively ($f = 612\ \text{kHz}$, $\text{PD} = 250\ \text{W L}^{-1}$, $T = 10^\circ\text{C}$, argon). The sonochemical degradation of groundwater PFOX follows pseudo-first-order kinetics as is observed in Milli-Q. However, the pseudo-first-




FIGURE 2. Observed pseudo-first-order rate constant normalized to the Milli-Q rate constant, $k^{-\text{PFOS}}/k_{\text{MQ}}^{-\text{PFOS}}$ (a) and $k^{-\text{PFOA}}/k_{\text{MQ}}^{-\text{PFOA}}$ (b), vs concentration of NaClO_4 (○), NaNO_3 (□), NaCl (Δ), Na_2SO_4 (▽), and NaHCO_3 (◇) in mM. Reaction conditions are 612 kHz, 250 W L⁻¹, Ar, 10 °C, and $[\text{PFOS}]_i = [\text{PFOA}]_i = 100 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$.

order rate constant for groundwater PFOS at 612 kHz, $k_{\text{GW}}^{-\text{PFOS}} = 0.0135 \text{ min}^{-1}$, is 70.3% of the Milli-Q rate constant, $k_{\text{MQ}}^{-\text{PFOS}} = 0.0192 \text{ min}^{-1}$. Similar results are observed for PFOA, where the rate constant for groundwater PFOA, $k_{\text{GW}}^{-\text{PFOA}} = 0.0291 \text{ min}^{-1}$, is 79.5% of the Milli-Q rate constant, $k_{\text{MQ}}^{-\text{PFOA}} = 0.0366 \text{ min}^{-1}$. At a frequency of 354 kHz, a similar reduction in rate constant is observed when comparing sonolysis in Milli-Q versus in groundwater (Figure S1 in the Supporting Information).

Sonolysis of PFOX in Aqueous Electrolyte Solutions. To evaluate the electrolytes most responsible for the rate reduction in the groundwater sample, the sonochemical degradation kinetics of PFOX in selected aqueous electrolyte solutions were evaluated under the same sonolysis conditions as in previous experiments. Figure 2 shows the concentration-dependent effect of 1–10 mM Na_2SO_4 , NaHCO_3 , NaCl , NaNO_3 , or NaClO_4 on the sonochemical degradation rates of PFOX. The sonochemical rate constants for PFOX increase steadily as the concentration of NaClO_4 increases from 0 to 10 mM, with the rate enhancement at 10 mM being 47% for PFOS and 11% for PFOA. In aqueous solutions of NaNO_3 and NaCl , the sonochemical degradation rates for PFOS are moderately enhanced, whereas those for PFOA are identical within experimental error to the Milli-Q rate. NaHCO_3 and Na_2SO_4 are found to reduce the sonochemical rate constants for PFOX. Thus, with Na^+ being the common cation, the overall effect of anions on the differential sonochemical degradation rate constants relative to those in Milli-Q for PFOX, $\Delta k_i^{-\text{PFOX}} = k_i^{-\text{PFOX}} - k_{\text{MQ}}^{-\text{PFOX}}$, follows the order: $\Delta k_{\text{ClO}_4}^{-\text{PFOX}} > \Delta k_{\text{NO}_3}^{-\text{PFOX}} \sim \Delta k_{\text{Cl}^-}^{-\text{PFOX}} \geq 0 > \Delta k_{\text{HCO}_3}^{-\text{PFOX}} > \Delta k_{\text{SO}_4}^{-\text{PFOX}}$. The negative




FIGURE 3. $k^{-\text{PFOX}}$, the pseudo-first-order rate constant for sonolysis of PFOS (○) and PFOA (▽), vs pH of the aqueous solution. Dashed lines represent values of plus and minus one standard deviation from the mean rate constant obtained under pH 7, $k_{\text{PFOS}}^{-\text{PFOS}} = 0.0192 \pm 0.0016 \text{ min}^{-1}$, and $k_{\text{PFOA}}^{-\text{PFOA}} = 0.0366 \pm 0.0003 \text{ min}^{-1}$.

effects of SO_4^{2-} and HCO_3^- on PFOA degradation rates are of similar magnitude. This order is consistent with the Hofmeister series, which was initially observed for specific ion effects on protein solubility and now has been extended to a number of other systems including ion partitioning between bulk water and the air–water interface (27, 28). It is also of note that the specific anion effects on the sonochemical degradation rates, though similar in order, are greater for PFOS than for PFOA.

In contrast, the effect of cations on the sonochemical degradation rates of PFOX is much less pronounced than that of anions over the same concentration range. As shown in Figure S2, no significant difference in sonochemical rate constant is observed in aqueous solutions of NaCl and NH_4Cl at 5 mM and of CaCl_2 and MgCl_2 at 2.5 mM.

The effect of solution pH, as adjusted by addition of NaOH or HCl , on the sonochemical degradation rates of PFOX in Milli-Q water was also examined. As is shown in Figure 3, the sonochemical rate constants remain unchanged within experimental error as a function of pH over the range of 4 to 11. At pH 3, the rate constants increase by 23.4% and 13.7% for PFOS and PFOA, respectively, relative to those in Milli-Q water at pH 7. For comparison, the rate enhancement in the 1 mM HCl solution is significantly greater than that in the 1 mM NaCl solution, indicating the role of increased proton concentration. Also, acidification increases the degradation rate of PFOS to a greater extent, consistent with the effect of anions reported in this text as well as that of organics reported in our previous study (25). Together, these suggest that PFOS sonochemical kinetics is more susceptible to matrix effects.

Sonolysis of PFOX in Groundwater after pH Adjustment. Given that bicarbonate ($\text{p}K_1 = 6.3$, $\text{p}K_2 = 10.3$), at approximately 2.2 mM, is the primary anionic component of the groundwater sample, we evaluated the effect of bicarbonate removal by pH adjustment, both acidification and alkalization, on the sonochemical degradation rates of PFOX, as shown in Figure 4. Sonolysis conditions were the same as in previous experiments. For the alkalization experiments, the groundwater pH was adjusted from its initial value of 8.0 to 11.0 by NaOH and the white CaCO_3 precipitate thus formed was removed by filtration. The PFOS and PFOA sonochemical rate constants in the alkaline groundwater supernatant are rectified to 101.0% and 94.0%, respectively, of the Milli-Q rates. For the acidification experiments, the groundwater was acidified to pH 3.9 by HCl to convert bicarbonate to carbon dioxide (titration curve shown in Figure




FIGURE 4. (a) $\ln([PFOS]_0/[PFOS]_t)$ and $\ln([PFOA]_0/[PFOA]_t)$, (b) vs time in minutes during the sonolysis of PFOS and PFOA in groundwater under its original pH 8.0 (\circ), pH 11.0 (\square), and pH 3.9 (∇). Other reaction parameters are: 612 kHz, 250 W L⁻¹, Ar, 10 °C, and $[PFOS]_0 = [PFOA]_0 = 100 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$.

S3), which was then removed from solution by bubbling with argon. The acidification may also have removed volatile organic acids from the groundwater through a similar mechanism. The sonochemical degradation rates are enhanced to 133.9% for PFOS and 104.4% for PFOA relative to the Milli-Q rates. Both experiments suggest that bicarbonate is primarily responsible for the reduction in PFOX sonochemical kinetics in the groundwater in this study.

Discussion

A sonochemical kinetic model is defined and utilized to better understand the specific ion effects observed in Figure 2 and 3. Assuming that interfacial pyrolysis is the only viable sonochemical degradation pathway for PFOX, and that adsorption to the bubble–water interface is required for interfacial pyrolysis to occur (23), the sonochemical degradation rate of PFOX can be expressed as eq 1.

$$\frac{d[\text{PFOX}]}{dt} = -k_{\text{app}}^{\text{PFOX}}[\text{PFOX}] = -k_{\Delta}^{\text{PFOX}}\theta^{\text{PFOX}} \quad (1)$$

$k_{\text{app}}^{\text{PFOX}}$ is the apparent pseudo-first-order rate constant, k_{Δ}^{PFOX} is the maximum absolute rate, i.e., the pyrolytic unimolecular decomposition rate attained when all of the transiently cavitating bubble surface sites are occupied by PFOX molecules, and θ^{PFOX} is the fraction of bubble–water interface sites occupied by PFOX molecules. k_{Δ}^{PFOX} is defined by eq 2.

$$k_{\Delta}^{\text{PFOX}} = [S]A^{\text{PFOX}}\exp(-E_A^{\text{PFOX}}/R\langle T_{\text{int}}^{\text{bub}} \rangle) \quad (2)$$

where $[S]$ is the molarity of transiently cavitating bubble–water interfacial sites, A^{PFOX} is the pre-exponential constant in s⁻¹, E_A^{PFOX} is the activation energy for the pyrolytic cleavage of the ionic headgroup of PFOX in kJ mol⁻¹ (29, 30), and $\langle T_{\text{int}}^{\text{bub}} \rangle$ is the average interfacial temperature during the high-temperature period of a transient bubble collapse (31, 32). In the presence of other matrix components that may compete for bubble–water interfacial sites, θ^{PFOX} is represented by eq 3.

$$\theta^{\text{PFOX}} = \frac{K^{\text{PFOX}}[\text{PFOX}]}{1 + K^{\text{PFOX}}[\text{PFOX}] + \sum_i K^i[i]} \quad (3)$$

K^i is the bulk water to air–water interface partitioning coefficient for species i . It has been observed that sonochemical partitioning coefficients, $K_{\text{sono}}^{\text{PFOX}}$, are enhanced over equilibrium partitioning coefficients, $K_{\text{eq}}^{\text{PFOX}}$, due to high-velocity radial bubble oscillations (24).

Our previous study on the effect of groundwater taken from beneath a landfill on PFOX sonochemical kinetics suggests that matrix organics may reduce PFOX degradation kinetics through reduction both in $\langle T_{\text{int}}^{\text{bub}} \rangle$ due to energy consumption by volatile organics in the bubble vapor phase, and in θ^{PFOX} due to competition for bubble–water interfacial sites by surface-active organics (25). As for aqueous electrolyte solutions, since ions cannot partition to the bubble vapor phase, temperature effects, if present, should be caused by other mechanisms. Given that the more surface active ClO_4^- actually increases the PFOX degradation rates whereas the less surface active SO_4^{2-} and HCO_3^- reduce the PFOX degradation rates, the surface competition effect is minimal, i.e., $\sum_i K^i[i] \ll 1$ in eq 3.

Addition of electrolytes such as NaCl has been reported to enhance both the sonoluminescence intensity (33, 34) and the sonochemical degradation rates of compounds such as phenol and 2,4-dinitrophenol (35, 36). The enhancement has been explained by the effect of electrolytes on gas solubility in aqueous solution and by the “salting out” effect that increases the concentration of organics at the bubble–water interface, respectively. However, both effects were observed at significantly higher electrolyte concentrations than those used in this study.

Observations that anions have both positive and negative effects on PFOX sonochemical kinetics indicate specific ion effects. Relative anionic effects on PFOX sonochemical kinetics (Figure 2) follow the Hofmeister series. We hypothesize that these effects are correlated to the ion partitioning between the bulk water and the bubble–water interface, which will affect bubble–water interfacial properties such as surface potential and interfacial water structure. For example, ClO_4^- is highly enriched at the air–water interface relative to the bulk solution (28), and therefore yields a more negatively charged bubble–water interface. The increase in negative surface potential at the bubble–water interface enhances electrostatic repulsion between cavitating bubbles, thus reducing their propensity to coalesce (37). This further results in a population of smaller bubbles with greater surface area to volume ratio and thus a greater number of surface sites available for PFOX pyrolysis, i.e., greater $[S]$ in eq 2. Well hydrated and thus less surface active anions such as SO_4^{2-} will reduce the negative potential at the bubble–water interface. The results in Figure 2 are qualitatively consistent with measured surface potentials of various aqueous electrolyte solutions (38).

A quantitative explanation based on the surface potential measurements is difficult to establish, not only because the exact relationship between $[S]$ and bubble–water interfacial potential is unclear, but also because surface potential measurements yield equilibrium air–water interface parti-

tioning values, whereas ion partitioning to the ultrasonically cavitating bubble interface is kinetically constrained. Cavitating bubble lifetimes (100 μ s) are much shorter than ion partitioning half-lives (>1 ms), and high-velocity bubble radial oscillations will dominate over chemical diffusion. Thus, relative differences in equilibrium surface potential can only be used as a rough guideline for adsorption processes at acoustically cavitating bubble interfaces.

In addition, the observed anionic effects on PFOX sonochemical kinetics may also be due to the effect of anions on the interfacial water structure. The propensity of anions to orient interfacial water has been observed to follow the Hofmeister series: $\text{NaClO}_4 > \text{NaNO}_3 > \text{NaCl} > \text{pure water} > \text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ (39). Altering the interfacial water structure may affect the amount of water vapor transported into the bubble, and thus ($T_{\text{int}}^{\text{bub}}$). Alterations in water structure and composition at the bubble–water interface may also affect heat transfer from the bubble vapor to the bulk liquid. The resulting changes in average bubble–water interfacial temperatures during transient cavitation will subsequently affect the observed sonochemical rates of PFOX degradation.

The negligible effect of cations on PFOX sonochemical kinetics, as shown in Figure S2, is likely due to their much greater degree of hydration that limits their interactions with the sonochemically active bubble–water interface. This is consistent with observations in other systems that the Hofmeister effects of small cations, if present, are much smaller in magnitude than those of anions (39–41).

The enhanced PFOX sonochemical kinetics at pH below 4 may also result from the interactions of proton with the bubble–water interface. The interface is believed to become increasingly positively charged as the pH drops below 4, despite the uncertainty over the extent of proton and hydroxide enhancement at the bubble–water interface (42, 43). The increasingly positive surface charge may not only reduce bubble coalescence, thus increasing [S] in eq 2, but also attract more of the oppositely charged PFOX to the surface, thus increasing θ^{PFOX} in eq 3. It is also of note that at pH 3, PFOA may form a $(\text{PFO})_2\text{H}^-$ cluster, which may affect the overall sonochemical degradation rate of PFOA (44).

Bicarbonate, whose concentration in the groundwater sample is nearly 2 orders of magnitude greater than TOC, is likely to be the primary matrix component affecting PFOX sonochemical kinetics as shown in Figure 1. Indeed, the rate reduction in a 2 mM HCO_3^- aqueous solution as shown in Figure 2, $k_{\text{HCO}_3^-}^{\text{PFOX}}/k_{\text{M}_0}^{\text{PFOX}} = 0.82$ and $k_{\text{HCO}_3^-}^{\text{PFOA}}/k_{\text{M}_0}^{\text{PFOA}} = 0.86$, does account for a major part of the rate reduction observed in the groundwater, $k_{\text{GS}}^{\text{PFOX}}/k_{\text{M}_0}^{\text{PFOX}} = 0.70$ and $k_{\text{GS}}^{\text{PFOA}}/k_{\text{M}_0}^{\text{PFOA}} = 0.80$. Since the primary sonochemical degradation mechanism for PFOX is interfacial pyrolysis, the effect of bicarbonate on PFOX sonochemical kinetics is likely due to its impact on the interfacial pyrolysis conditions. The effect of bicarbonate as OH radical scavenger, while having been shown in general to reduce sonochemical degradation rates (45), is inconsequential in this case, because the reaction of PFOX with OH radical is slow (23). Other groundwater matrix components such as sulfate and organic compounds may have minor impacts on the sonochemical degradation rates of PFOX.

The sonochemical degradation rates postacidification are even higher than the Milli-Q rates, presumably due to a combination of factors including the effect of pH and Cl^- . First, at pH 3.9 the sonochemical degradation rates may be slightly enhanced. Second, the addition of 2.5 mM Cl^- to adjust pH may also increase the degradation rates, but as shown in Figure 2, the rate enhancement in Milli-Q upon addition of 2 mM Cl^- is smaller than 5%. Since both factors combined cannot fully account for the observed rate enhancement, synergistic effects from the groundwater matrix are likely to be present.

The observed reduction in PFOX sonochemical degradation rates in groundwater relative to Milli-Q rates in the range of 20–30% is moderate considering the relative concentrations of PFOX (100 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$) to the various groundwater components: on a mass basis, $\text{TOC}/[\text{PFOX}] = 15$, $[\text{SO}_4^{2-}]/[\text{PFOX}] = 180$, and $[\text{HCO}_3^-]/[\text{PFOX}] = 1400$. It was found in our previous study that even in a groundwater with $\text{TOC}/[\text{PFOX}] > 100$, the sonochemical PFOX degradation rates are decreased by no more than 60%. Some other oxidative or reductive degradation methods (46) may be more significantly affected by matrix compounds at these concentrations, since reaction rates with these compounds greatly exceed reaction rates with PFOX. In the example of UV-persulfate oxidation where PFOX is effectively degraded by reaction with sulfate anion radical, the matrix effect of HCO_3^- is expected to be much more significant because sulfate anion radical reacts with PFOX with a second order rate constant of $10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (47) and with HCO_3^- with a second order rate constant of $9 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (48). Finally, the decrease in PFOX sonochemical degradation rates due to bicarbonate can be effectively rectified by a simple pH adjustment. Both alkalization and acidification have been observed to rectify rates to at least those observed in Milli-Q, with acidification even amplifying rates over those expectations.

Acknowledgments

We thank 3M for the financial support and Dr. Nathan Dalleska and the Caltech Environmental Analysis Center for technical assistance in sample analysis.

Supporting Information Available

(1) Sonochemical degradation kinetics of PFOX in groundwater vs Milli-Q at 354 kHz; (2) effect of cations on PFOX sonochemical kinetics; (3) titration curve of the groundwater sample. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

Literature Cited

- Houde, M.; Martin, J. W.; Letcher, R. J.; Solomon, K. R.; Muir, D. C. G. Biological Monitoring of Polyfluoroalkyl Substances: A Review. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2006**, *40*, 3463–3473.
- Prevedouros, K.; Cousins, I. T.; Buck, R. C.; Korzeniowski, S. H. Sources, fate and transport of perfluorocarboxylates. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2006**, *40*, 32–44.
- So, M. K.; Taniyasu, S.; Yamashita, N.; Giesy, J. P.; Zheng, J.; Fang, Z.; Im, S. H.; Lam, P. K. S. Perfluorinated compounds in coastal waters of Hong Kong, South China, and Korea. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2004**, *38*, 4056–4063.
- Murakami, M.; Imamura, E.; Shinohara, H.; Kiri, K.; Muramatsu, Y.; Harada, A.; Takada, H. Occurrence and sources of perfluorinated surfactants in rivers in Japan. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2008**, *42*, 6566–6572.
- Furdui, V. I.; Helm, P. A.; Crozier, P. W.; Lucaciu, C.; Reiner, E. J.; Marvin, C. H.; Whittle, D. M.; Mabury, S. A.; Tomy, G. T. Temporal trends of perfluoroalkyl compounds with isomer analysis in lake trout from Lake Ontario (1979–2004). *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2008**, *42*, 4739–4744.
- Yamashita, N.; Kannan, K.; Taniyasu, S.; Horii, Y.; Petrick, G.; Gamo, T. In *A Global Survey of Perfluorinated Acids in Oceans*; Pergamon-Elsevier Science Ltd: New York, 2005; pp 658–668.
- Konwick, B. J.; Tomy, G. T.; Ismail, N.; Peterson, J. T.; Fauver, R. J.; Higginbotham, D.; Fisk, A. T. Concentrations and patterns of perfluoroalkyl acids in Georgia, USA surface waters near and distant to a major use source. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* **2008**, *27*, 2011–2018.
- Clara, M.; Scheffknecht, C.; Scharf, S.; Weiss, S.; Gans, O. Emissions of perfluorinated alkylated substances (PFAS) from point sources - identification of relevant branches. *Water Sci. Technol.* **2008**, *58*, 59–66.
- Oliaei, F. O.; Kriens, D.; Kessler, K. *Investigation of Perfluorochemical (PFC) Contamination in Minnesota Phase One*; Minnesota Pollution Control Agency, 2006.

- (10) Schultz, M. M.; Barofsky, D. F.; Field, J. A. Quantitative determination of fluorotelomer sulfonates in groundwater by LC MS/MS. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2004**, *38*, 1828–1835.
- (11) Moody, C. A.; Hebert, G. N.; Strauss, S. H.; Field, J. A. Occurrence and persistence of perfluorooctanesulfonate and other perfluorinated surfactants in groundwater at a fire-training area at Wurtsmith Air Force Base, Michigan, USA. *J. Environ. Monit.* **2003**, *5*, 341–345.
- (12) Key, B. D.; Howell, R. D.; Criddle, C. S. Defluorination of organofluorine sulfur compounds by *Pseudomonas* sp. strain D2. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1998**, *32*, 2283–2287.
- (13) Schultz, M. M.; Higgins, C. P.; Huset, C. A.; Luthy, R. G.; Barofsky, D. F.; Field, J. A. Fluorochemical Mass Flows in a Municipal Wastewater Treatment Facility. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2006**, *40*, 7350–7357.
- (14) Sinclair, E.; Kannan, K. Mass loading and fate of perfluoroalkyl surfactants in wastewater treatment plants. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2006**, *40*, 1408–1414.
- (15) Takagi, S.; Adachi, F.; Miyano, K.; Koizumi, Y.; Tanaka, H.; Mimura, M.; Watanabe, I.; Tanabe, S.; Kannan, K. Perfluorooctanesulfonate and perfluorooctanoate in raw and treated tap water from Osaka, Japan. *Chemosphere* **2008**, *72*, 1409–1412.
- (16) Yamamoto, T.; Noma, Y.; Sakai, S. I.; Shibata, Y. Photodegradation of perfluorooctane sulfonate by UV irradiation in water and alkaline 2-propanol. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2007**, *41*, 5660–5665.
- (17) Hori, H.; Nagaoka, Y.; Murayama, M.; Kutsuna, S. Efficient decomposition of perfluorocarboxylic acids and alternative fluorochemical surfactants in hot water. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2008**, *42*, 7438–7443.
- (18) Hori, H.; Yamamoto, A.; Kutsuna, S. Efficient photochemical decomposition of long-chain perfluorocarboxylic acids by means of an aqueous/liquid CO₂ biphasic system. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2005**, *39*, 7692–7697.
- (19) Hori, H.; Nagaoka, Y.; Yamamoto, A.; Sano, T.; Yamashita, N.; Taniyasu, S.; Kutsuna, S.; Osaka, I.; Arakawa, R. Efficient decomposition of environmentally persistent perfluorooctanesulfonate and related fluorochemicals using zerovalent iron in subcritical water. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2006**, *40*, 1049–1054.
- (20) Park, H.; Vecitis, C. D.; Cheng, J.; Choi, W.; Mader, B. T.; Hoffmann, M. R. Reductive Defluorination of Aqueous Perfluorinated Alkyl Surfactants: Effects of Ionic Headgroup and Chain Length. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2009**, *113*, 690–696.
- (21) Ochoa-Herrera, V.; Sierra-Alvarez, R.; Somogyi, A.; Jacobsen, N. E.; Wysocki, V. H.; Field, J. A. Reductive defluorination of perfluorooctane sulfonate. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2008**, *42*, 3260–3264.
- (22) Moriawaki, H.; Takagi, Y.; Tanaka, M.; Tsuruho, K.; Okitsu, K.; Maeda, Y. Sonochemical decomposition of perfluorooctane sulfonate and perfluorooctanoic acid. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2005**, *39*, 3388–3392.
- (23) Vecitis, C. D.; Park, H.; Cheng, J.; Mader, B. T.; Hoffmann, M. R. Kinetics and mechanism of the sonolytic conversion of the aqueous perfluorinated surfactants, perfluorooctanoate (PFOA), and perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) into inorganic products. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2008**, *112*, 4261–4270.
- (24) Vecitis, C. D.; Park, H.; Cheng, J.; Mader, B. T.; Hoffmann, M. R. Enhancement of Perfluorooctanoate and Perfluorooctanesulfonate Activity at Acoustic Cavitation Bubble Interfaces. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2008**, *112*, 16850–16857.
- (25) Cheng, J.; Vecitis, C. D.; Park, H.; Mader, B. T.; Hoffmann, M. R. Sonochemical Degradation of Perfluorooctane Sulfonate (PFOS) and Perfluorooctanoate (PFOA) in Landfill Groundwater: Environmental Matrix Effects. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2008**, *42*, 8057–8063.
- (26) Hansen, K. J.; Johnson, H. O.; Eldridge, J. S.; Butenhoff, J. L.; Dick, L. A. Quantitative characterization of trace levels of PFOS and PFOA in the Tennessee River. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2002**, *36*, 1681–1685.
- (27) Cacace, M. G.; Landau, E. M.; Ramsden, J. J. The Hofmeister series: salt and solvent effects on interfacial phenomena. *Q. Rev. Biophys.* **1997**, *30*, 241–277.
- (28) Cheng, J.; Vecitis, C. D.; Hoffmann, M. R.; Colussi, A. J. Experimental anion affinities for the air/water interface. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2006**, *110*, 25598–25602.
- (29) Krusic, P. J.; Marchione, A. A.; Roe, D. C. Gas-phase NMR studies of the thermolysis of perfluorooctanoic acid. *J. Fluor. Chem.* **2005**, *126*, 1510–1516.
- (30) Krusic, P. J.; Roe, D. C. Gas-phase NMR technique for studying the thermolysis of materials: Thermal decomposition of ammonium perfluorooctanoate. *Anal. Chem.* **2004**, *76*, 3800–3803.
- (31) Kotronarou, A.; Mills, G.; Hoffmann, M. R. Ultrasonic Irradiation of Para-Nitrophenol in Aqueous-Solution. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1991**, *95*, 3630–3638.
- (32) Suslick, K. S.; Hammerton, D. A.; Cline, R. E. The Sonochemical Hot-Spot. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1986**, *108*, 5641–5642.
- (33) Wall, M.; Ashokkumar, M.; Tronson, R.; Grieser, F. Multibubble sonoluminescence in aqueous salt solutions. *Ultrason. Sonochem.* **1999**, *6*, 7–14.
- (34) Tronson, R.; Ashokkumar, M.; Grieser, F. Comparison of the effects of water-soluble solutes on multibubble sonoluminescence generated in aqueous solutions by 20- and 515-kHz pulsed ultrasound. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2002**, *106*, 11064–11068.
- (35) Mahamuni, N. N.; Pandit, A. B. Effect of additives on ultrasonic degradation of phenol. *Ultrason. Sonochem.* **2006**, *13*, 165–174.
- (36) Guo, Z. B.; Feng, R.; Li, J. H.; Zheng, Z.; Zheng, Y. F. Degradation of 2,4-dinitrophenol by combining sonolysis and different additives. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2008**, *158*, 164–169.
- (37) Sunartio, D.; Ashokkumar, M.; Grieser, F. Study of the coalescence of acoustic bubbles as a function of frequency, power, and water-soluble additives. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 6031–6036.
- (38) Jarvis, N. L.; Scheiman, M. A. Surface potentials of aqueous electrolyte solutions. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1968**, *72*, 74–8.
- (39) Chen, X.; Yang, T.; Kataoka, S.; Cremer, P. S. Specific ion effects on interfacial water structure near macromolecules. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 12272–12279.
- (40) Piazza, R.; Pierno, M. In *Protein Interactions near Crystallization: A Microscopic Approach to the Hofmeister Series*; Iop Publishing Ltd, 2000; pp A443–A449.
- (41) Fesinmeyer, R. M.; Hogan, S.; Saluja, A.; Brych, S. R.; Kras, E.; Narhi, L. O.; Brems, D. N.; Gokarn, Y. R. Effect of Ions on Agitation- and Temperature-Induced Aggregation Reactions of Antibodies. *Pharm. Res.* **2009**, *26*, 903–913.
- (42) Beattie, J. K.; Djerdjev, A. N.; Warr, G. G. The surface of neat water is basic. *Faraday Discuss.* **2009**, *141*, 31–39.
- (43) Winter, B.; Faubel, M.; Vacha, R.; Jungwirth, P. Behavior of hydroxide at the water/vapor interface. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2009**, *474*, 241–247.
- (44) Cheng, J.; Psillakis, E.; Hoffmann, M. R.; Colussi, A. J. Acid Dissociation versus Molecular Association of Perfluoroalkyl Oxoacids: Environmental Implications. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2009**, *113*, 8152–8156.
- (45) Hung, H. M.; Kang, J. W.; Hoffmann, M. R. The sonolytic destruction of methyl tert-butyl ether present in contaminated groundwater. *Water Environ. Res.* **2002**, *74*, 545–556.
- (46) Vecitis, C. D.; Park, H.; Cheng, J.; Mader, B. T.; Hoffmann, M. R. Treatment technologies for aqueous perfluorooctanesulfonate (PFOS) and perfluorooctanoate (PFOA). *Front. Environ. Sci. Eng. China* **2009**, *3*, 129–151.
- (47) Kutsuna, S.; Hori, H. Rate constants for aqueous-phase reactions of SO₄^{•-} with C₂F₅C(O)O⁻ and C₃F₇C(O)O⁻ at 298 K. *Int. J. Chem. Kinet.* **2007**, *39*, 276–288.
- (48) Neta, P.; Huie, R. E.; Ross, A. B. Rate constants for reactions of inorganic radicals in aqueous solution. *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **1988**, *17*, 1027–1284.

ES902651G