

Sodium Zinc Hydroxide Sulfite with a Novel Zn_3OH Geometry

Dan-Tam Nguyen and Xianhui Bu*

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, California State University,
1250 Bellflower Boulevard, Long Beach, California 90840

Received September 4, 2006

The synthesis and crystal structure of a new sodium zinc hydroxide sulfite, $\text{Na}[(\text{Zn}(\text{OH}))_3(\text{SO}_3)_2]$, with novel structural features and bonding geometry are reported. In $\text{Na}[(\text{Zn}(\text{OH}))_3(\text{SO}_3)_2]$, Zn^{2+} and O^{2-} alternate to form an interesting corrugated hexagonal sheet with rings consisting of $(\text{ZnO})_3$. Another rare occurrence is the bonding geometry of one-third of the O sites within the sheet. These O sites form a planar Zn_3O unit, unlike other known compounds, in which Zn_3O is pyramidal.

Even though open-framework and microporous solids have been made in a variety of different compositions such as silicates, phosphates, germanates, borates, and phosphites, few are known that are based on trigonal-pyramidal sulfite anions (SO_3^{2-}). Our interest in the zinc sulfite composition stems from the desire to probe the bonding characteristics of SO_3^{2-} units particularly in comparison with other building blocks and to create framework materials from 3- and 4-connected units.^{1,2}

Here we report a new sodium zinc hydroxide sulfite with unusual structural features. In this compound, one-third of the O sites form a perfect planar Zn_3O group. To our knowledge, such an O-bonding geometry in the Zn_3O group is extraordinary because previously reported Zn_3O (or Co_3O) units always adopt pyramidal geometry.^{3–5}

The title compound was prepared by hydrothermal synthesis.⁶ The crystal structure was determined by low-temperature, high-resolution single-crystal data (0.50 \AA and $2\theta_{\text{max}} = 90^\circ$) collected at 150 K .⁷ All atoms including H atoms were located experimentally from Fourier or difference

Fourier maps. In $\text{Na}[(\text{Zn}(\text{OH}))_3(\text{SO}_3)_2]$, Zn^{2+} and OH^- alternate to form an interesting corrugated hexagonal sheet with six-membered rings consisting of $\text{Zn}_3(\text{OH})_3$ (Figure 1). Such a hexagonal sheet is quite uncommon because six-membered rings commonly encountered (particularly in open-framework silicates and phosphates) are $(\text{Al}-\text{O}-\text{Si})_3$ type rings that contain six cationic centers (usually tetrahedral atoms) rather than three centers as reported here.⁸

The SO_3^{2-} group is bonded to the Zn–O layer from both sides of the layer in the tripod fashion (Figure 2). Each Zn^{2+} site serves as the common foot of two SO_3^{2-} groups from two opposite sides. As a result, the Zn^{2+} site adopts the trigonal-bipyramidal geometry with three equatorial O sites [$\text{Zn}-\text{O} = 2.013$ and 2.024 \AA ($2\times$)] within the sheet and two axial O sites [$\text{Zn}-\text{O} = 2.096 \text{ \AA}$ ($2\times$)] from two separate SO_3^{2-} groups.

The sheet is perpendicular to the hexagonal c axis, with the interplanar distance equal to half of the periodicity along the c direction (6.654 \AA). Two adjacent layers are joined together through octahedrally bonded Na^+ sites ($\text{Na}-\text{O} = 2.444 \text{ \AA}$). Each Na site is bonded to one O atom on each SO_3^{2-} group (Figure 3).

Within the hexagonal layer, there are two crystallographically unique O sites (O1 and O2), with the O2 site twice as abundant as the O1 site (Figures 1 and 2). When viewed along the direction perpendicular to the Zn–O layer (the c direction), the O2 site is located exactly below the SO_3^{2-} group. Therefore, the proton on the O2 points away from the layer on the opposite side of the SO_3^{2-} group. This leads to the familiar tetrahedral geometry for O2 in the Zn_3OH

* To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: xbu@csulb.edu.

- (1) (a) Chen, L.; Bu, X. *Chem. Mater.* **2006**, *18*, 1857. (b) Chen, L.; Bu, X. *Inorg. Chem.* **2006**, *45*, 4654. (c) Gier, T. E.; Bu, X.; Wang, S.; Stucky, G. D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 3039.
- (2) (a) Johnstone, J. A.; Harrison, W. T. A. *Inorg. Chem.* **2004**, *43*, 4567. (b) Gordon, L. E.; Harrison, W. T. A. *Inorg. Chem.* **2004**, *43*, 1808.
- (3) Allen, F. H. *Acta Crystallogr.* **2002**, *B58*, 380.
- (4) (a) Rosi, N. L.; Kim, J.; Eddaoudi, M.; Chen, B.; O'Keeffe, M.; Yaghi, O. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 1504. (b) Mukherjee, P. S.; Min, K. S.; Stang, P. J. *Inorg. Chem.* **2004**, *43*, 6345. (c) Hanson, K.; Calin, N.; Bugaris, D.; Scancella, M.; Sevov, S. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 10502.
- (5) (a) Livage, C.; Egger, C.; Ferey, G. *Chem. Mater.* **2001**, *13*, 410. (b) Long, L.; Chen, X.; Tong, M.; Sun, Z.; Ren, Y.; Huang, R.; Zheng, L. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **2001**, 2888.

- (6) In a typical synthesis, a total of 0.1236 g of zinc carbonate, 0.2583 g of sodium sulfite, and 5.288 g of water were mixed in a 23-mL Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave and stirred for 30 min. The pH of the resulting mixture was adjusted to 6.79 using 0.2429 g of 1,4-bis(3-aminopropyl)piperazine and 0.254 g of acetic acid. The vessel was sealed and heated at 120°C for 7 days. After cooling to room temperature, clear hexagonal-prism-shaped crystals were obtained with a 32.8% yield.
- (7) Crystallographic data for $\text{Na}[(\text{Zn}(\text{OH}))_3(\text{SO}_3)_2]$, space group $P\bar{3}c1$ (No. 165), $0.18 \times 0.18 \times 0.20 \text{ mm}^3$, $a = 5.8494(1) \text{ \AA}$, $c = 13.3086(2) \text{ \AA}$, $V = 394.35(1) \text{ \AA}^3$, $Z = 2$, Mo $K\alpha$, $T = 150 \text{ K}$, $2\theta_{\text{max}} = 90^\circ$, $R(F) = 1.89\%$ for 29 parameters and 1094 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$.
- (8) (a) Baerlocher, Ch.; Meier, W. M.; Olson, D. H. *Atlas of Zeolite Framework Types*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2001. (b) O'Keeffe, M.; Hyde, B. G. *Crystal Structures, I. Patterns and Symmetry*; Mineralogical Society of America: Washington, DC, 1996.




Figure 1. Corrugated hexagonal sheet built from alternating Zn^{2+} (large circles in cyan) and hydroxyl O (red, O1; blue, O2) sites.




Figure 2. Tripod-type bonding between SO_3^{2-} and a Zn-OH hexagonal sheet. Three SO_3^{2-} groups (six total) are shown on each side of the sheet. Color code: cyan, Zn; yellow, S; blue, O2; red, O1 or O3 (sulfite O).




Figure 3. Primary coordination environment of the Na^+ site. Color code: cyan, Zn; yellow, S; red, O3; gray, Na.

unit. Tetrahedrally coordinated O sites, in the form of $\text{M}_3\text{(OH)}^{5+}$, are very common and have been found in hundreds of Zn and Co compounds.^{3–5}




Figure 4. Two NaO_6 octahedra surrounding the interesting Zn_3OH unit. Color code: cyan, Zn; red, O; gray, Na; green, H.




Figure 5. ORTEP drawing at the 50% probability level of the local bonding environments of atoms in the asymmetric unit. Atom labels containing “A”, “B”, or “C” indicate symmetry-generated sites.

On the other hand, the two sides of the O1 site are open (i.e., not occupied by SO_3^{2-} groups) as shown at the center of Figures 2 and 4. The O1 coordination to three Zn^{2+} sites is strictly triangular-planar ($\text{Zn-O-Zn} = 120^\circ$). The proton is therefore statistically distributed on two sides of the O1 site. It is worth commenting that O and Zn sites are not disordered (Figure 5) and the above interesting geometry is not caused by structural or thermal disorder of O or Zn sites. In addition, to ensure the reliability of the X-ray refinement results, data collection was performed at 150 K to a maximum 2θ of 90° (0.50 \AA).

The placement of a proton on the O1 atom is also supported by the bond valence calculation.⁹ The bond valence sum from the O1 atom to its adjacent Zn^{2+} sites is only 1.26, which suggests that the O1 atom is underbonded in the absence of a proton and that it should be a hydroxyl group, similar to the O2 site. The only other O site, the O3 atom within the SO_3^{2-} group, has a bond valence sum of 1.87 (0.347 for Zn-O , 1.35 for S-O , and 0.177 for Na-O) and is much less likely to accept a proton than the O1 atom with a bond valence sum of only 1.26.

There are many examples of Zn_3OH (or Co_3OH) units and, to our knowledge, all of them adopt tetrahedral geometry (with various degrees of distortion) with the pyramidal Zn_3O unit.^{3–5} It is therefore interesting to investigate the cause for the unusual planar $\text{Zn}_3(\text{OH})$ geometry reported here. It is

(9) Brown, I. D.; Altermatt, D. *Acta Crystallogr.* **1985**, *B41*, 244.

COMMUNICATION

suggested here that the electrostatic repulsion between Na^+ and H^+ along the O—H direction is responsible for forcing the otherwise pyramidal Zn_3O unit into a perfectly planar configuration. In this compound, Na^+ , O1, and a proton on O1 form a strictly linear chain (Figure 4). Because there is one Na^+ site at both sides of the hydroxyl group, the conventional tetrahedral Zn_3OH geometry would bring H^+ too close to the Na^+ site to be stable. The formation of the planar Zn_3O unit as reported here may serve the purpose for the maximum separation between Na^+ and H^+ ($\text{Na}\cdots\text{H} = 2.45 \text{ \AA}$ and $\text{O—H} = 0.87 \text{ \AA}$ in this case).¹⁰ It is worth noting that each Na site is already fully coordinated to six O atoms from six SO_3^{2-} groups (Figure 3). The $\text{Na}\cdots\text{H}$ contact discussed here is between the Na site and the hydroxyl group

of the $\text{Zn}_3(\text{OH})$ group, and there is no bond between any Na^+ site and the hydroxyl O atom (O1). As shown in Figure 4, the suggested $\text{Na}\cdots\text{H}$ interaction does not interfere with the regular Na—O bonding.

Acknowledgment. We are thankful for support of this work by NIH (Grant 2 S06 GM063119-05), Research Corp. (Grant CC6593), and the donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society (Grant 41382-GB10). We thank Dr. Lei Han for his help with the initial crystallographic work.

Supporting Information Available: Crystallographic data including positional parameters, thermal parameters, and bond distances and angles (CIF). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

IC061672E

(10) The X-ray-derived bond distances involving H sites are less accurate because of the asymmetric distribution of the electron density around the H nucleus.