

Inorg Chem. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2008 August 19.

Published in final edited form as:

Inorg Chem. 2006 November 13; 45(23): 9172–9174.

NMR Observations of ¹³C-Enriched Coenzyme B₁₂ Bound to the Ribonucleotide Reductase from *Lactobacillus leichmannii*

Kenneth L. Brown, Jing Li, and Xiang Zou

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Ohio University, Athens, OH 45701

Abstract

The ^{13}C NMR resonance and one-bond $^{1}\text{H}^{-13}\text{C}$ coupling constants of coenzyme B_{12} enriched in ^{13}C in the cobalt-bound carbon have been observed in the complex of the coenzyme with the B_{12} -dependent ribonucleotide reductase from *Lactobacillus leichmannii*. Neither the ^{13}C chemical shift nor the $^{1}\text{H}^{-13}\text{C}$ coupling constants are significantly altered by binding of the coenzyme to the enzyme. The results suggest that ground state Co-C bond distortion is not utilized by this enzyme to activate coenzyme B_{12} for carbon-cobalt bond homolysis.

Coenzyme B_{12} (5'-deoxyadenosylcobalamin, AdoCbl, Figure 1) is a cofactor in the enzymatic catalysis of about a dozen reactions involving 1,2-intramolecular rearrangements or the reduction of ribonucleotides. The catalytic cycle of all AdoCbl-dependent enzymes is initiated by the enzyme-induced homolysis of the carbon-cobalt bond of the coenzyme (the "activation" of AdoCbl) to form the Co^{II} species (cob(II)alamin) and a radical, a reaction that is catalyzed by 10^9 - to 10^{14} -fold. $^{1-5}$ Despite many years of intensive research on this coenzyme and the enzymes that utilize it, the mechanism of enzymatic activation of AdoCbl is not yet known for any AdoCbl-dependent enzyme, and this remains one of the outstanding problems in bioinorganic chemistry. 6,7 For example, while structural studies of these enzymes have led to mechanistic proposals (see, for example, refs $^{8-10}$), there remain disagreements (see, for example, refs 11,12) and the mechanistic question is not settled for any such case see ref. 13 for a recent review).

The AdoCbl-dependent enzymes fall into three classes depending on the nature of the migrating group and the substituent on the carbon atom to which this group migrates. 14,15 The class I enzymes are mutases which catalyze carbon skeleton rearrangements, the class II enzymes include the eliminases and the AdoCbl-dependent ribonucleotide reductases, and the class III enzymes are amino-mutases which catalyze the migration of an amino group and require pyridoxal phosphate as well. 13 Major differences between the class I and class II enzymes, including the binding mode for the coenzyme, 13 the EPR spectra of the biradical that can be trapped during turnover, 16 and the tolerance for structural alterations in the coenzyme, suggest that the mechanism of enzymatic activation may be different for different classes of enzymes.

The catalytic power of these enzymes for AdoCbl activation is astonishing given the fact that the reaction is most likely a simple bond dissociation lacking a true transition state. $^{17\text{-}19}$ As such, the reaction can only be catalyzed by enzymatic destabilization of the AdoCbl reactant, stabilization of the products of homolysis, or a combination of the two. Destabilization of the coenzyme would involve stretching of the Co-C bond in the ground state, and could be engendered by selective interaction of the Ado ligand with active site residues $^{20\text{-}23}$ or, possibly manipulation of the axial Co-N bond. $^{21,22,24\text{-}27}$ Banerjee, Spiro and coworkers 28,29 have used cryogenic resonance Raman measurements to determine that the Co-C bond stretching frequency of AdoCbl is hardly affected by binding to the class I enzyme methylmalonylCoA (MMCoA) mutase, and concluded that the Co-C bond is only slightly weakened (\sim 0.5 kcal

mol⁻¹) by the enzyme, in agreement with EXAFS measurements which showed no change in the Co-C bond distance upon binding to this enzyme. ³⁰ More recently, Brunold and co-workers have used electronic absorption, circular dichroism and magnetic circular dichroism spectroscopy to study the interaction of AdoCbl and cob(II)alamin with the class I enzymes MMCoA mutase ^{31,32} and glutamate mutase. ³³ These results confirm a lack of ground state distortion of AdoCbl by these class I enzymes and suggest instead that these enzymes stabilize the cob(II)alamin homolysis product. We now report ¹³C and ¹³C spectral edited ¹H NMR observations of AdoCbl enriched in ¹³C in the cobalt-bound carbon ([A15-¹³C]AdoCbl)³⁴ bound to the AdoCbl-dependent ribonucleoside triphosphate reductase (RTPR) of *Lactobacillus leichmannii* (the X-ray crystal structure of which is known³⁶) which address the question of ground state reactant destabilization in a class II enzyme.

Because the binding of AdoCbl to RTPR is relatively weak $(K_b = 2.7 \times 10^4 \, \text{M}^{-1})^2$ the ^{13}C NMR resonance of [A15- ^{13}C]AdoCbl (1.0 mM in 0.05 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.2) has been observed in the presence of the allosteric effector dGTP (6.0 mM) and increasing concentrations of recombinant RTPR 36 at 37 °C, in order to force the binding equilibrium by mass action. Representative ^{13}C signals are shown in Figure 2A, and the results are given in Table 1.

As [A15⁻¹³C]AdoCbl is titrated with RTPR, the linewidth of its ¹³C resonance increases substantially due to the increased rotational correlation time of the cobalamin in the complex with RTPR, indicating that free and bound [A15⁻¹³C]AdoCbl are in fast exchange on the NMR time scale. The observed line broadening is quite close to that expected for a protein of this size. ³⁷ The chemical shift can be seen to be independent of complexation to RTPR (average $\delta = 26.5 \pm 0.2$ ppm, compared to 26.5 ppm for free [A15⁻¹³C]AdoCbl). Similar results were obtained in the presence of the reducible substrate, ATP (6.5 mM, average $\delta = 26.6 \pm 0.1$ ppm).

The diastereomeric A15 methylene protons 41 of [A15- 13 C]AdoCbl were also observed in the presence of dGTP and increasing concentrations of RTPR using the one-bond Heteronuclear Multiple Quantum Coherence experiment without decoupling. Representative spectra are shown in Figure 2B, and the results are collected in Table 2. Neither the chemical shift nor the 1 H- 13 C coupling constants of the pro-R or pro-S A15 hydrogens is significantly altered by binding to the enzyme.

Progress along the reaction coordinate for the enzyme-induced Co-C bond homolysis of AdoCbl must be accompanied by a rehybridization of the A15 carbon from sp³ to sp² as the Co-C bond is stretched. This in turn would cause a large downfield shift of the A15 $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ resonance. Thus, ground state destabilization of AdoCbl by stretching of the Co-C bond would be expected to give rise to a downfield shift of this resonance. Moreover, the chemical shifts of atoms in cobalamins are affected by the magnetic anisotropy of the cobalt dipole to varying extents depending on the distance of the atom from the metal and the spatial position of the atom relative to the dipole symmetry axis. 42,43 As the magnetic anisotropy of the induced dipole in AdoCbl is rather large ($^{-14.3}\times10^{-29}\,\mathrm{cm}^3/\mathrm{molecule}^{43}$) and the A15 carbon atom is close to the metal (dCo-C = 2.24 Å 44,45) the effect of the cobalt dipole on the A15 chemical shift is very large. Using McConnell's equation, 42 we can estimate from these values that stretching the Co-C bond of AdoCbl by 0.1 Å would cause about a 1 ppm downfield shift of the A15 resonance in addition to the effect of rehybridization at A15.

Similarly, rehybridization of the A15 carbon due to distortion of the Co-C bond should have a significant effect on the one-bond C-H coupling constant. In fact, Marzilli has argued that one-bond ^{1}H - ^{13}C coupling constants are a more sensitive indicator of changes in carbon hybridization than ^{13}C chemical shifts. 46 This is because one bond spin-spin coupling is usually dominated by the Fermi contact term 47 which depends on the electron density at the

nucleus. Since s orbitals alone have electron density at the nucleus, $^1J_{\rm CH}$ values are sensitive probes of s orbital character at the carbon nucleus, and hence of hybridization. As a result, our inability to detect any measurable change in the $^{13}{\rm C}$ chemical shift or the one-bond C-H coupling constants of the A15 carbon of AdoCbl upon binding to RTPR strongly suggests that ground state destabilization of the Co-C bond does not occur for this class II enzyme.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research was supported by the National Institutes of Health, grant GM 48858 (to K. L. B.)

References

- Brown KL, Zou X, Banka RR, Perry CB, Marques HM. Inorg. Chem 2004;43:8130–8142. [PubMed: 15578853]
- 2. Brown KL, Li J. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1998;120:9466-9474.
- 3. Brown KL, Zou X. J. Inorg. Biochem 1999;77:185-195. [PubMed: 10643658]
- 4. Chowdhury S, Banerjee R. Biochemistry 2000;39:7998-8006. [PubMed: 10891081]
- 5. Toraya T. Chemical Record 2002;2:352-366. [PubMed: 12369058]
- Gschösser S, Hannak RB, Konrat R, Gruber K, Mikl C, Kratky C, Kräutler B. Chem. Eur. J 2005;11:81–93.
- 7. Brooks AJ, Vlasie M, Banerjee R, Brunold TC. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2004;126:8167–8180. [PubMed: 15225058]
- 8. Mancia F, Keep NH, Nakagawa A, Leadley PF, McSweeney S, Rasmussen B, Boesecke P, Diat O, Evans PR. Structure (London) 1996;4:339–350.
- 9. Mancia F, Evans PR. Structure (London) 1998;6:711-720.
- 10. Mancia F, Smith GA, Evans PR. Biochemistry 1999;38:7999-8005. [PubMed: 10387043]
- 11. Trommel JS, Warncke K, Marzilli LG. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2001;123:3358–3366. [PubMed: 11457072]
- 12. Champloy F, Gruber K, Jogl G, Kratky C. J. Synchrotron Radiat 2000;7:267–273. [PubMed: 16609206]
- 13. Brown KL. Chem. Rev 2005;105:2075-2150. [PubMed: 15941210]
- 14. Buckel W, Golding BT. Chem. Soc. Rev 1996:329-337.
- Golding, BT.; Buckel, W. Comprehensive Biological Catalysis. Sinnott, ML., editor. III. Academic Press; London: 1997. p. 239-259.
- 16. Reed GH, Mansoorabadi SO. Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol 2003;13:716-721. [PubMed: 14675550]
- 17. Brown KL. Dalton Trans 2006:1123-1133. [PubMed: 16482346]
- 18. Brown KL, Marques HM. J. Mol. Struct. THEOCHEM 2005;714:209-215.
- 19. (a)As the second step in the catalytic cycle is the transfer of a H-atom from the substrate or an active site residue to the 5'-deoxyadenosyl radical generated, a true transition state would occur if the Co-C bond homolysis and H-atom transfer steps were concerted. Such delocalization of the unpaired electron could provide something less than 6–8 kcal mol⁻¹ of the observed 13–18 kcal mol⁻¹ of enzymatic activation. ^{2,3,10,12,14b} Wetmore SD, Smith DM, Golding BT, Radom L. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2001;123:7963–7972. [PubMed: 11506551]
- 20. Ushio K, Fukui S, Toraya T. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1984;788:318-326.
- 21. Marzilli, LG. Bioinorganic Catalysis. Reedijk, J., editor. Marcel Dekker; New York: 1993. p. 227-258.
- Bresciani-Pahor N, Forcolin M, Marzilli LG, Randaccio L, Summers MF, Toscano PJ. Coord. Chem. Rev 1985;63:1–125.
- 23. Zhu L, Kostic NM. Inorg. Chem 1987;26:4194-4197.
- 24. Brown KL, Brooks HB. Inorg. Chem 1991;30:3420-3430.
- 25. Summers MF, Toscano PJ, Bresciani-Pahor N, Nardin G, Randaccio L, Marzilli LG. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1983;105:6259–6263.
- Marzilli LG, Summers MF, Bresciani-Pahor N, Zangrando E, Charland J-P, Randaccio L. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1985;107:6880–6888.
- 27. Brown KL, Marques HM. J. Inorg. Biochem 2001;83:121–132. [PubMed: 11237251]

28. Dong S, Padmakumar R, Maiti N, Banerjee R, Spiro TG. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1998;120:9947–9948.

- 29. Dong S, Padmakumar R, Banerjee R, Spiro TG. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1999;121:7063-7070.
- 30. Scheuring E, Padmakumar R, Banerjee R, Chance MR. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1997;119:12192–12200.
- 31. Brooks AJ, Vlasie M, Banerjee R, Brunold TC. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2004;126:8167–8180. [PubMed: 15225058]
- 32. Brooks AJ, Vlasie M, Banerjee R, Brunold TC. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2005;127:16522–16528. [PubMed: 16305240]
- 33. Brooks A, Fox CC, Marsh ENG, Vlasie M, Banerjee R, Brunold TC. Biochemistry 2005;44:15167–15181. [PubMed: 16285720]
- 34. Cheng S, Zang E, Brown KL. Synth. Commun 1999;29:891–903.
- 35. Sintchak MD, Arjara G, Kellogg BA, Stubbe J, Drennan CL. Nature Structural Biology 2002;9:293–300
- 36. Booker SB, Stubbe J. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1993;90:8352-8356. [PubMed: 8397403]
- 37. $M = 82 \text{ kDa}^{36}$ and $D = 6.4 \times 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1,38}$ leads to r = 38 Å (Stokes-Einstein relation, lit³⁹ 34Å by light scattering), and $\tau_R = 50 \text{ ns}.^{40}$ Assuming the transverse relaxation is dominated by dipolar relaxation and the "non-extreme narrowing limit" ($\omega_C \tau_R = 248 >> 1$), the predicted linewidth for the fully immobilized ¹³C nucleus is 212 Hz, and for 70% bound, 163 Hz. If chemical shift anisotropy contributes 20% to the transverse relaxation, the expected linewidth at 70% binding would be 130 Hz compared to 133 Hz observed.
- 38. Blakley, RL. B₁₂. Dolphin, D., editor. 2. Wiley; New York: 1982. p. 381-418.
- 39. Suto RK, Whalin MA, Finke RG. Prep. Biochem. Biotechnol 1999;29:273–309. [PubMed: 10431931]
- 40. Vogel HJ, Bridger WA, Sykes BD. Biochemistry 1982;21:1126–1132. [PubMed: 6803832]
- 41. Gaudemer A, Zylber J, Zylber N, Baran-Marszac M, Hull WE, Fountoulakis M, Koenig A, Wolfe K, Rétey J. Eur. J. Biochem 1981;119:279–285. [PubMed: 6118267]
- 42. McConnell HM. J. Chem. Phys 1957;27:226-229.
- 43. Brown KL, Hakimi JM. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1986;108:496-503.
- 44. Savage HFJ, Lindley PF, Finney JL, Timmins PA. Acta Crystallogr. Sect. B 1987;B43:280-295.
- 45. Ouyang L, Rulis P, Ching WY, Nardin G, Randaccio L. Inorg. Chem 2004;43:1235–1241. [PubMed: 14966957]
- 46. a Moore SJ, Iwamoto M, Marzilli LG. Inorg. Chem 1998;37:1169–1174. [PubMed: 11670321] b Moore SJ, Marzilli LG. Inorg. Chem 1998;37:5329–5335.
- 47. Harris, RK. Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy: A Physiochemical View. John Wiley & Sons; New York: 1986. p. 260




Figure 1. Structure and numbering scheme of 5'-deoxyadenosylcobalamin (AdoCbl, coenzyme B_{12}).




Figure 2. Direct observe ¹³C NMR signals for [A15-¹³C]AdoCbl (1.0 mM) in the presence of dGTP (6.0 mM) and increasing concentration of RTPR, as indicated, in 50 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.2, 37 °C. B. Slices through the ¹H-coupled ¹H-¹³C HMQC spectra of [A15-¹³C]AdoCbl (1.0 mM) in the presence of dGTP (6.0 mM) and increasing concentration of RTPR, as indicated, in 50 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.2, 37 °C.

0.7

ppm

1.1

1.5

 $\label{eq:Table 1} {\parbox{Table 1}} {\parbox{13C NMR Parameters of [A15-13C]Cbl bound to RTPR.}$

$\Delta v_{1/2} (Hz)$	$\delta 13_{\rm C} ({\rm ppm})$	% Bound ^a	[RTPR] (mM)
14.8	26.5	0	0
28.5	26.7	13.9	146
33.4	26.4	28.4	300
76.9	26.6	40.8	437
79.8	26.3	46.4	500
133.2	26.3	70.2	800

^aCalculated from $K_b = 2.7 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1}$ (ref. 2)

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Table 2

¹H Chemical Shifts and ¹³C-¹H Coupling Constants for the A15 Methylene Protons of [A15-¹³C]AdoCbl Bound to RTPR.

		Pro-S		Pro-R	
[RTPR] (mM)	% Bound ^{a}	δ1 _H (ppm)	$^{1}J_{\mathrm{HC}}\left(\mathrm{Hz} ight)$	$\delta 1_{ m H} (m ppm)$	$^{1}J_{\mathrm{HC}}\left(\mathrm{Hz}\right)$
0	0	1.44	134.8	0.53	140.3
100	9.6	1.43	136.8	0.52	139.5
146	13.9	1.43	136.9	0.50	141.2
200	19.0	1.43	135.0	0.53	138.7
291	27.5	1.45	137.4	0.50	143.9
408	40.8	1.42	135.2	0.49	142.0

^aCalculated from $K_b = 2.7 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ (ref. 2)}$