

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/263938676>

# Influence of Polymorphic ZrO<sub>2</sub> Phases and the Silver Electronic State on the Activity of Ag/ZrO<sub>2</sub> Catalysts in the Hydrogenation of CO<sub>2</sub> to Methanol

ARTICLE in ACS CATALYSIS · FEBRUARY 2011

Impact Factor: 9.31 · DOI: 10.1021/cs100033v

CITATIONS

18

READS

30

7 AUTHORS, INCLUDING:



[R. Grabowski](#)

Akademickie Centrum Komputerowe CYFR...

53 PUBLICATIONS 1,121 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



[D. Mucha](#)

Instytut Katalizy i Fizykochemii Powierzchn...

44 PUBLICATIONS 366 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



[Robert P. Socha](#)

Polish Academy of Sciences

81 PUBLICATIONS 1,048 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



[Maria Madej-Lachowska](#)

Polish Academy of Sciences

38 PUBLICATIONS 409 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

# Influence of Polymorphic $\text{ZrO}_2$ Phases and the Silver Electronic State on the Activity of $\text{Ag}/\text{ZrO}_2$ Catalysts in the Hydrogenation of $\text{CO}_2$ to Methanol

R. Grabowski,\* J. Słoczyński, M. Śliwa, D. Mucha, and R. P. Socha

Institute of Catalysis and Surface Chemistry, Polish Academy of Sciences, ul. Niezapominajek 8, 30-239 Kraków, Poland


M. Lachowska and J. Skrzypek

Institute of Chemical Engineering, Polish Academy of Sciences, ul. Bałtycka 5, 44-100 Gliwice, Poland

 Supporting Information

**ABSTRACT:**  $\text{Ag}/\text{ZrO}_2$  and  $\text{Ag}/\text{ZrO}_2/\text{ZnO}$  catalysts obtained by the coprecipitation method were studied in the  $\text{CO}_2$  hydrogenation to methanol. The catalyst structure was determined using X-ray diffraction (XRD) and Thermo-Programmed Reduction (TPR). The X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS) and Auger spectroscopies were used to determine the silver electronic state. It was found that selection of the appropriate conditions of the catalyst preparation influences silver dispersion degree, its electronic state, and contents of the zirconia polymorphic phases (tetragonal and monoclinic). The presence of oxygen vacancies stabilizes both the thermodynamically unstable t- $\text{ZrO}_2$  phase and the  $\text{Ag}^+$  cations, which are present in the vicinity of oxygen vacancies. The catalytic activity to methanol increases with increasing t- $\text{ZrO}_2$  content but RWGS reaction is accelerated by dispersed metallic silver. The implications of the obtained results for the mechanism of the catalytic hydrogenation of  $\text{CO}_2$  are discussed.

**KEYWORDS:**  $\text{CO}_2$  hydrogenation, methanol synthesis, silver zirconia catalysts



## 1. INTRODUCTION

Synthesis of methanol from  $\text{CO}_2$  has been widely studied during the past years. Processing cheap raw materials such as  $\text{CO}_2$  and the need to mitigate the greenhouse effect have been among the reasons behind this research.<sup>1–3</sup> Another important reason has been the search for new energy sources. The cycle hydrogenation of  $\text{CO}_2$ —steam reforming of methanol can become a mobile source of hydrogen which is applied in the fuel cells.<sup>4–6</sup>

Systems containing copper and  $\text{ZrO}_2$ , also in combination with other oxides ( $\text{ZnO}$ ,  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ ,  $\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3$ , etc.), are active in the reaction of hydrogenation of  $\text{CO}_2$  to methanol.<sup>7–22</sup> Alternative catalysts, containing silver in the place of copper, are less active in the methanol synthesis and for that reason they have been less investigated.<sup>23–27</sup>

The data concerning the selectivities of these catalysts are not entirely univocal. Baiker et al.<sup>23</sup> and Köppel et al.<sup>25</sup> think that selectivities to methanol in hydrogenation of  $\text{CO}_2$  for both  $\text{Ag}/\text{ZrO}_2$  and  $\text{Cu}/\text{ZrO}_2$  catalysts are similar. However, closer analysis of the results included in the paper,<sup>25</sup> shows that in comparison with  $\text{Cu}/\text{ZrO}_2$  catalyst the silver catalyst has a little higher selectivity at lower temperature (below 500 K). However, closer analysis of the results included in the paper,<sup>25</sup> reveals that silver catalyst exhibits slightly higher selectivity to methanol at lower temperature (below 500 K) in comparison with  $\text{Cu}/\text{ZrO}_2$  catalyst. Moreover, Fröhlich et al.<sup>24</sup> pointed out that doping of  $\text{Cu}/\text{ZrO}_2$  with silver leads to the increase of the selectivity to

methanol without change of  $\text{CO}_2$  conversion. According to the paper of Rhodes and Bell,<sup>28</sup> for the  $\text{Cu}/\text{t-ZrO}_2$  catalyst, at the conversion below 1%, methanol selectivity is in the range 75–95% and depends on the reaction temperature and the catalyst preparation, while the selectivity to methanol for silver catalysts obtained in the same conditions is around 100%.

Structure and operational mechanism of the copper catalysts have been extensively studied. A synergy between active metal and  $\text{ZrO}_2$  or  $\text{ZnO}$  was found in these systems, and it is believed that the stabilization of  $\text{Cu}^+$  ions on the surface of the catalyst plays an important role in this phenomenon.<sup>24,29–36</sup> The presence of  $\text{Cu}^+$  facilitates the adsorption of  $\text{CO}_2$  and the formation of the transitive complexes leading to the formation of methanol.<sup>29,30,34–37</sup> It is widely accepted that the hydrogenation of the formate type complexes is the rate determining step of the reaction.<sup>37,38</sup> On the other hand, the parallel RWGS process occurs in accordance with the simple redox mechanism on the surface of metallic  $\text{Cu}$ .<sup>37–41</sup> In contrast, no systematic information on the mechanism of hydrogenation of  $\text{CO}_2$  to methanol over silver containing catalysts has been available.

The aim of this paper is to find out if the synergy effect, analogous to that postulated for the copper catalysts, exists in the

**Received:** October 5, 2010

**Revised:** January 10, 2011

**Published:** February 24, 2011

Ag/ZrO<sub>2</sub> and Ag/ZrO<sub>2</sub>/ZnO systems and to elucidate the mechanism of such synergy. Structural modifications in the Ag/ZrO<sub>2</sub> and Ag/ZrO<sub>2</sub>/ZnO systems were introduced by changing the composition and preparation methods, and these modifications were correlated with the activity and selectivity of the catalysts in the hydrogenation of CO<sub>2</sub> to methanol.

## 2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

**2.1. Preparation.** The oxide precursors of the catalysts were synthesized by the coprecipitation method using NaOH or Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> as the precipitant agent. The solution containing appropriate amounts of AgNO<sub>3</sub> and ZrO(NO)<sub>2</sub> with a possible addition of Zn(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, and the precipitant solution were added simultaneously drop by drop to a vessel containing demineralized water. The precipitation was performed at a constant temperature of about 353 K, constant pH of 7 or 9 for Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and NaOH, respectively, and under vigorous mixing. After the precipitation, the mother liquid was held at 353 K and under mixing for 1 h. After cooling down the mixture to ambient temperature, the precipitate was separated by 5-fold centrifugation at 3500 rpm and washed with demineralized water. Then, the precipitate was dried at 373 K, milled, and subsequently calcined at 623 K for 10 h in the air flow. After cooling down, the obtained oxide precursor was milled again. The Aldrich reagents of 99.99% purity were used. The molar ratio of ZrO<sub>2</sub> to ZnO in the precursors containing zinc was constant and corresponded to the 3ZnO-ZrO<sub>2</sub> stoichiometry. According to Nitta et al.,<sup>42</sup> such composition is optimal for the copper catalysts used in the methanol synthesis from CO<sub>2</sub>. Ag<sub>2</sub>O was obtained from AgNO<sub>3</sub> by the precipitation of AgOH using NaOH solution. 3ZnO-ZrO<sub>2</sub> and ZrO<sub>2</sub> supports were obtained using the methodology described for the precursors but without any silver addition. The catalysts' composition was controlled by determination of metallic components (Ag, Zr, and Zn) by ICP-OES method using a Perkin-Elmer spectrometer Optima 2100DV.

**2.2. X-ray Diffraction (XRD) Measurements.** Diffraction patterns of the oxide precursors and the used catalysts were collected with a Bruker AXS D505 powder diffractometer. CuK $\alpha$  radiation and a graphite monochromator of the secondary beam were used. The quantitative phase contents and the crystallite sizes of the catalyst components were estimated by a multiphase Rietveld refinement using the TOPAS software. The fundamental parameter calculations were carried out according to ref 43.

**2.3. Electron Spectroscopy.** The X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS) and X-ray Auger Electron Spectroscopy (XAES) measurements were performed in an ultrahigh vacuum ( $3 \times 10^{-10}$  mbar) system equipped with a hemispherical analyzer (SES R 4000, Gammatdata Scienta). The unmonochromatized MgK $\alpha$  source of incident energy of 1253.6 eV was applied to generate the core excitation. The spectrometer was calibrated according to ISO 15472:2001. The energy resolution of the system, measured as a full width at half-maximum (fwhm) for the Ag 3d<sub>5/2</sub> excitation line was 0.9 eV.

For the analysis, powder samples were pressed into indium foil. The analysis area of thus prepared samples was about 3 mm<sup>2</sup>. No gas release and no change in the sample composition were observed during the measurements.

The CasaXPS 2.3.12 program was applied for the analysis of the XPS and XAES spectra. The spectra were calibrated using the maximum of the C 1s core excitation at the electron binding energy (BE) of 285 eV (this peak can be assigned to the adsorbed

hydrocarbons treated as an internal standard). In the spectra, the background was approximated by a Shirley profile. The spectra deconvolution into a minimum number of the components was done by application of the Voigt-type line shapes (70:30 Gaussian/Lorentzian product).

**2.4. Scanning Electron Microscope Measurements.** The study of the morphology and chemical composition of the silver catalysts were carried out by means of JEOL JSM-7500F Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope with the EDS (energy dispersive spectra) detection system of characteristic X-ray radiation. K575X Turbo Sputter Coater was used for coating the catalysts with chromium (deposited film thickness, 20 nm).

**2.5. Thermo-Programmed Reduction (TPR).** The reducibility profiles of the oxide precursors and their components were obtained using a u-shape quartz flow reactor (diameter ca. 5 mm). About 0.3 g of the precursors or 0.1 g of each given component was mixed with about 0.25 g of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. A 0.1 g portion of the mixture was used for the TPR measurements. A mixture of 5% H<sub>2</sub> in Ar was used as a reducing agent. Before the TPR analysis, all the samples were kept in a stream of helium at 373 K for 1.5 h to remove physically adsorbed water. Subsequently, each sample was cooled down to ambient temperature, and the TPR analysis was performed with a temperature ramp of 10 deg/min and a flow rate of the reducing mixture of 30 cm<sup>3</sup>/min. The water formed during the reduction was removed by a cold trap. The TPR profiles were recorded using a TCD detector.

**2.6. Catalytic Measurements.** The activity of the catalysts was tested in a high pressure fixed bed flow stainless steel reactor (vol. ca. 8 cm<sup>3</sup>) connected online to a gas chromatograph, equipped with a TCD detector (analysis of H<sub>2</sub>, CO, and CO<sub>2</sub>) and a FID detector (organic compounds). All lines were heated to 393 K to prevent condensation of methanol and water. Reactor internal diameter was 8 mm; a thermocouple was placed in the middle of the catalyst layer which was put between two layers of the porcelain of the same grain size as catalyst. One cm<sup>3</sup> of a catalyst with the grain size 0.64–1 mm was placed into the reactor. The oxide precursors were reduced in a stream of diluted hydrogen (5 vol % H<sub>2</sub> in N<sub>2</sub>) at 473 K under atmospheric pressure for 3 h and activated in the mixture of reactants by raising the temperature by steps of 30 deg between 473 and 623 K every 2 h. The catalytic activity in the methanol synthesis was determined under the following conditions: pressure 8 MPa, temperature range 453–533 K, space velocity of the reactants flow GHSV = 3600 h<sup>-1</sup>, composition of the reactant mixture H<sub>2</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub> = 3. It was checked in the preliminary experiments, in which mass and grain size of the catalysts were varied and temperature profile was determined, that under these conditions mass and heat transfer did not limit the reaction rate. After the reduction and activation, the catalysts showed a constant activity for 10 days in the cycles of the temperature increase and decrease. After the tests, the catalysts were cooled down to room temperature in the reaction mixture. Such catalysts are named in this paper as “used catalysts”. It is assumed that the composition and structure of the used catalysts correspond closely to the composition and structure of the working catalysts at the stationary state which is established under conditions of the catalytic reaction.

## 3. RESULTS

**3.1. Phase Composition and Morphology of the Catalysts.** Symbols, nominal compositions of the studied catalysts, and specific surface areas of the oxide precursors are listed in Table 1.

**Table 1.** Characterization of the Silver Catalysts

precipitant	symbol	nominal composition [at %]			surface area [m <sup>2</sup> /g] precursor/used catalyst
		Ag	Zr	Zn	
NaOH (pH = 10)	ZrAg10	10	90	0	170/102
	ZrAg30	30	70	0	115/60
	ZrZnAg10	10	22.5	67.5	102/41
	ZrZnAg30	30	17.5	52.5	30/26
	ZnAg10	10	0	90	5/5
Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> (pH = 7)	ZrAg30	30	70	0	58/11
	ZrZnAg10	10	22.5	67.5	41/30
	ZrZnAg30	30	17.5	52.5	44/25
	ZrZnAg62.5	62.5	9.4	28.1	19/15
	ZnAg10	10	0	90	34/21

The results show that the usage of NaOH as the precipitant leads to the formation of precursors with considerable higher specific surface areas in comparison with the analogous precursors obtained by the precipitation with Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. In the NaOH series, the observed decrease in the surface area follows the increase in the Ag and ZnO content. The surface areas of the samples from the Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> series are much more uniform and no correlation with the content of particular phases is observed. In both series, a decrease in the specific surface area of the catalysts takes place during the reaction. These changes cannot be further analyzed because the time on stream and temperature were not always the same for all the catalysts during the measurements.

The XRD patterns of the selected precursors and the used catalysts from both series (NaOH and Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>) are shown in Figure 1. The crystallization of ZnO and Ag occurs in the zinc oxide containing precursors. In contrast, the crystallization degree of silver is small or even unnoticeable in the samples with the same Ag content but without ZnO. The presence of ZrO<sub>2</sub> in the precursors is manifested by a broad peak in the range of  $2\theta = 30^\circ$ , where the main diffraction maxima characteristic of two phases are located: the thermodynamically stable monoclinic modification (m-ZrO<sub>2</sub>) and the tetragonal one (t-ZrO<sub>2</sub>) which is unstable at ambient temperature. The Rietveld method was used for the diffraction peaks' deconvolution and the content evaluation of both phases. An example of such deconvolution for two catalysts with different t-ZrO<sub>2</sub>/m-ZrO<sub>2</sub> ratios is presented in Figure 2. It can be concluded from the comparison of the XRD patterns shown in Figure 1 panels A, B and C, D that the noticeable crystallization of the metallic silver and both types of ZrO<sub>2</sub> is a result of a long-term treatment of the catalysts in the reactant flow under a condition of high pressure (8 MPa) and at an elevated temperature (473–533 K). Incidentally, the formation of small amounts of ZnCO<sub>3</sub> was observed in the case of the ZrZnAg30 catalyst (Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>).


The results of full quantitative XRD analysis by the Rietveld method are shown in Table 2, which comprises the quantitative composition of the used catalysts and the comparison between crystal sizes of the equivalent phases in the precursors and the used catalysts. In the same table the results of chemical analysis of chosen catalysts are presented. As can be seen, the contents of particular phases are close to their nominal values. The discrepancies are in the limit of an error of the implemented method. The comparison of both series of catalysts at the stationary state reveals that the t-ZrO<sub>2</sub> phase content in the NaOH series is considerably higher than in the corresponding catalysts in the

Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> series. Furthermore, the catalysts of the NaOH series exhibit a relatively high dispersion of Ag, particularly in samples containing only ZrO<sub>2</sub>. In the Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> series, the Ag crystal sizes are significantly higher, and the addition of ZnO favors the silver aggregation. The crystal sizes of ZnO are similar in both series and independent of the catalyst compositions. Both polymorphic phases of zirconium oxide are microcrystalline. In the Table 3 the lattice parameters of the tetragonal ZrO<sub>2</sub> are presented for the catalysts which contain significant amount of this phase. The tetragonal ZrO<sub>2</sub>, which is present in the used catalysts, exhibits decreased dimensions of the lattice in comparison with the standard values (PDF-4<sup>44</sup>), which corresponds with partial reduction of ZrO<sub>2</sub> and the formation of oxygen vacancies.<sup>45</sup>

The SEM measurements were carried out to show the metallic silver distribution in the studied catalysts. For example, the SEM imaging of two catalysts, ZrAg10 (NaOH) and ZrAg30 (Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>), are presented in Figure 5. As observable from Figure 5 panels A, B, and C, the polydisperse silver crystals (white spots) are deposited on ZrO<sub>2</sub> polycrystalline aggregates. Scanning analysis of the chosen fragment of catalyst surface (Figure 5C) shows that white spots are silver microcrystallites. Much finer crystallites of silver are visible in the electronic version of the images. EDS analysis showed that about 5 to 10% of the surface silver content is finely dispersed and stays in close contact with ZrO<sub>2</sub>.

**3.2. Surface Properties of the Catalysts.** The surface composition of the selected silver catalysts (5) was determined using XPS (Tables 4, 5, and 6). The analytic depth of the method was 6.6 nm in the studied samples.<sup>46</sup> For the ZrAg30(NaOH) samples, the XPS technique gives a Ag/Zr surface ratio in the range of 0.6–0.7, which points to the surface enrichment in silver when compared to the nominal Ag/Zr bulk ratio of 0.43. In ZrAg10(NaOH) sample, the Ag/Zr ratio was equal to the bulk composition (0.1). The silver (Ag<sup>0</sup> and Ag<sup>+</sup>) deficiency at the surface was observed in all samples obtained by the Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> method. Moreover, this amount was approximately 50% lower than the one found for the catalysts obtained by the NaOH method. Carbon compounds and small amounts of sodium and phosphorus are the main surface contaminants of all analyzed catalysts.

The C 1s spectra (see the Supporting Information) are dominated by the component assigned to the C–C bonds (BE = 285 eV), but two other components related to C=O bonds (287.5 eV) and to CO<sub>3</sub> (289.6 eV) are also observed. The presence of these maxima hints to an adsorptive origin of the



**Figure 1.** XRD patterns of AgZnZr catalysts obtained by Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (A, B) and NaOH (C,D) methods: precursors (A, C), used catalysts (B, D), and supports (E). Keys: \*, ZnO; #, Ag; +, ZrO<sub>2</sub>; ●, ZnCO<sub>3</sub>.




carbon impurities (adsorption of hydrocarbons and CO<sub>2</sub> from the ambient environment). Only in case of the sample obtained by the Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> method is the C 1s peak assigned to carbonates showed larger intensity, that is, 34% when compared to the one (around 20%) in the samples obtained by the NaOH method.

The main component in the oxygen O 1s spectra (see the Supporting Information) at BE = 530 eV (65%) is characteristic of the O-metal bond, whereas the peak at 531.8 eV can be assigned to OH groups at the surface. A very limited difference between the concentration of hydroxyl groups in the precursor and in the used catalyst was observed. No significant changes were observed in the Zr 3d spectra for all samples. The main Zr 3d spectrum component (97%) at BE of 182.2 eV was assigned to

Zr<sup>4+</sup> ion in ZrO<sub>2</sub>.<sup>47,48</sup> The Zr 3d<sub>5/2</sub> low intensity component at 180.2 eV was found to have similar BE like zirconium cations in oxygen deficient zirconia oxide systems.<sup>49,50</sup> Therefore the latter specie can be attributed to Zr<sup>4+</sup> ions bonded to oxygen vacancies presented at the zirconia surface.<sup>49</sup>

The Ag 3d spectra of the oxide precursor and the used ZrAg30(NaOH) catalyst were deconvoluted into three components, which are presented in Figure 3 and Tables 5 and 6. An analysis of the small intensity components (A and C) of the Ag 3d core excitation at 366.5 and 369.1 eV (Table 6) points to the presence of Ag<sup>2+</sup> ions (component A) and Ag nanoparticles/clusters (component C) at the surface of both catalysts. The main spectrum component of above 70% of the overall Ag 3d intensity at BE of 367.9 eV could be assigned to Ag<sup>+</sup> ions.<sup>47,48,51–54</sup> In case of the Ag 3d core excitation, the electronic states of different silver species show limited difference in BE. Therefore, to determine the electronic state of silver in the studied catalysts, the XAES measurements were performed. On the basis of Auger spectra, the modified Auger parameter ( $\alpha' = \text{Ag } 3d_{5/2} \text{ (BE)} + \text{Ag } M_{45}N_{45}N_{45} \text{ (KE)}$ ) was calculated (Table 5). The analysis of the Auger spectra shape (Figure 4), the values of the Auger parameter and the BE of Ag 3d<sub>5/2</sub> core excitation suggested that silver is present predominantly as Ag<sup>+</sup> ions in all the Ag/ZrO<sub>2</sub> catalysts. Moreover it could be postulated that silver cations are located in an electronegative/electrophilic environment. The claim that the silver cation is surrounded by an electronegative environment was supported mainly by analysis of modified Auger parameters  $\alpha'$  and electron binding energies. The BE values obtained for Ag 3d in the studied samples were within the range of parameters presented elsewhere for Ag<sup>+</sup> species.<sup>47,48,51–54</sup> The  $\alpha'$  parameter located in the range for metallic silver (slightly above the value for Ag<sup>+</sup> ions) suggested metallic character of the silver species in our samples. However, the shapes of XAES spectra (Figure 4) were similar to the ones



**Figure 2.** Deconvolution of the XRD patterns for two catalysts, ZrAg30-Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and ZrAg10-NaOH, with different contents of the tetragonal phase of ZrO<sub>2</sub> (t-ZrO<sub>2</sub>). Keys: dashed lines, t-ZrO<sub>2</sub>; solid lines, m-ZrO<sub>2</sub>; dotted lines, silver.


**Table 3.** Lattice Parameters of Tetragonal ZrO<sub>2</sub>

precipitant	catalyst	<i>a</i> [Å]	<i>c</i> [Å]	<i>V</i> [Å <sup>3</sup> ]
NaOH	ZrAg10	3.605(1)	5.179(2)	67.31(4)
	ZrAg30	3.597(1)	5.167(1)	66.84(2)
	ZrZnAg10	3.58(1)	5.15(1)	66.1(2)
Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	ZrAg30	3.599(2)	5.16(1)	66.8(1)
	PDF-4	3.61(3)	5.21(7)	68(2)

**Table 2.** Results of Rietveld XRD Analysis for the Silver Catalysts<sup>a</sup>

precipitant	catalyst	composition of used catalysts [wt %] nominal/Rietveld (chemical analysis)					crystallite sizes [nm] precursor/used catalyst			
		Ag	ZnO	ZrO <sub>2(m)</sub>	ZrO <sub>2(t)</sub>	ΣO <sub>p</sub> Z <sub>2</sub>	Ag	ZnO	ZrO <sub>2(m)</sub>	ZrO <sub>2(t)</sub>
NaOH	ZrAg10	9/7	0/0	-/14	-/80	91/94	N/5	-	-/7	-/11
	ZrAg30	27/26	0/0	-/9	-/64	73/73	N/6	-	-/8	-/14
	ZrZnAg10	11/8 (10.8)	59/52 (56.1)	-/0	-/20	30/30 (33.9)	N/20	39/43	-/-	-/N
	ZrZnAg30	34/32 (33.0)	44/68 (46.7)	-/N	-/N	22/N (20.5)	45/44	40/45	-/N	-/N
	ZnAg10	13/10	87/90	0	0	0	106/98	40/44	-	-
Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	ZrAg30	27/31 (25.0)	0/0 (0.0)	-/59	-/10	73/69 (74.2)	N/14	-	-/2	-/12
	ZrZnAg10	11/8	59/69	-/21	-/2	30/23	N/32	25/24	-/5	-/9
	ZrZnAg30	34/30 (30.5)	44/46 (50.3)	-/15	-/8	22/23 (19.1)	22/30	61/46	-/15	-/8
	ZrZnAg62.5	66/71	23/21	-/5	-/3	11/8	-/41	-/45	-/5	-/-
	ZnAg10	13/9	87/91	0	0	0	138/119	19/31	-	-

<sup>a</sup> N, indeterminate; “-”, lack of data.



**Figure 5.** SEM imaging of silver catalysts. (A) ZrAg10 catalysts obtained by NaOH method; (B) ZrAg30 catalysts obtained by  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$  method; (C) scanning analysis of chosen silver crystal ( $\sim 100$  nm).

**Table 4.** Surface Composition of AgZr30 (NaOH) Catalyst

sample	surface composition (at %)					
	O	C	Ag	Zr	Na	P
Oxide precursor	46.4	17.3	14.2	21.0	0.8	0.3
Used catalyst	44.7	16.1	14.3	23.6	1.1	0.1

observed in  $\text{AgNO}_2$  and  $\text{Ag}_2\text{O}$  with relatively large  $\text{M}_4\text{V}_5\text{V}_5$  excitation at KE of 350 eV.<sup>51</sup> Moreover, all other samples obtained with either NaOH or  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$  method after the reaction showed the maximum of Ag 3d excitation at BE in the range of 367.2–367.9 eV, that is, in the range observed for  $\text{Ag}^+$  species.<sup>47,48</sup> Additionally in the latter case, the  $\alpha'$  parameter showed the values in high range of metallic silver whereas the shape of Auger spectra was similar to the one for  $\text{Ag}^+$  in  $\text{AgNO}_2$  and  $\text{Ag}_2\text{O}$ . Therefore, the electronic state of silver seems to be similar to that in  $\text{AgNO}_2$  that can be assigned to  $\text{Ag}^+$  in an electronegative environment. This leads to the conclusion that

$\text{Ag}^+$  can be located in the vicinity of the oxygen vacancies of  $\text{ZrO}_2$ . The ZrAg30 catalysts obtained using NaOH or  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$  differ significantly in the content of the  $\text{ZrO}_2$  polymorphic forms. In the NaOH series, the tetragonal form of  $\text{ZrO}_2$  is predominating, whereas in the  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$  series, a higher content of the monoclinic form is observed (see paragraph 3.1). According to the aforementioned papers,<sup>51–54</sup> a decrease of the  $\alpha'$  parameter reflects an increase in the amount of  $\text{Ag}^+$  at the expense of the metallic silver. Guided by this rule one can state that the oxidation degree is higher in the precursor in comparison to the used catalysts. It seems that the presence of the tetragonal phase of  $\text{ZrO}_2$  is stabilized by  $\text{Ag}^+$  ions connected with oxygen vacancies in the surface layer of the used catalyst.

It is worth noticing that in all ZrAg(NaOH) systems around 80% of silver is at a +1 oxidation state, and no one sample showed silver deficiency at the surface. On the other hand, both analyzed samples that were obtained by the  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$  method exhibited silver deficiency at the surface. Moreover, the sample containing zinc (ZnZrA10) showed relatively low amount of  $\text{Ag}^+$  ions.

Table 5. XPS and XAES Data for the Studied Catalysts

sample	Ag MVV [KE] (eV)	Ag 3d <sub>5/2</sub> [BE] (eV)	$\alpha'$ (eV)	Ag/Zr	Ag <sup>+</sup> /Zr
oxide precursor ZrAg30(NaOH)	357.5	368.2	725.7	0.68	0.49
used catalyst ZrAg30(NaOH)	358.1	367.9	726.0	0.60	0.48
used catalyst ZrAg10(NaOH)	358.5	367.8	726.3	0.10	0.08
used catalyst ZrAg30(Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> )	358.4	367.9	726.3	0.24	0.19
used catalyst ZnZrAg10(Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> )	358.4	367.2	725.6	0.17	0.10

Table 6. Components of Ag3d<sub>5/2</sub> Core Excitations of ZrAg30 Catalysts

component	oxide precursor ZrAg30(NaOH)		used catalyst ZrAg30(NaOH)		assignment
	BE(eV)	%	BE(eV)	%	
A	366.8	19.4	366.8	10.8	Ag <sup>2+</sup>
B	368.2	72.4	367.9	78.7	Ag <sup>+</sup>
C	369.3	8.2	368.9	10.5	Ag <sup>0</sup> (nano)




Figure 3. Ag 3d core excitation spectra for ZrAg30 catalysts. (A) Precursor obtained by NaOH method; (B) used catalyst obtained by NaOH method; (C) used catalyst obtained by Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> method.

**3.3. Reducibility of the Catalysts.** TPR profiles of selected oxide precursors, their components and used catalysts are presented in Figure 6. Silver oxide Ag<sub>2</sub>O is reduced quantitatively to metallic silver in the temperature range of 370–450 K ( $T_{\text{max}} = 430$  K). Zirconium and zinc oxide undergo a slight reduction, beginning at temperatures of 700 and 800 K, respectively ( $T_{\text{max}} = 740$  and 920 K), which is not accompanied by any phase transition. Thus, it can be assumed that the reduction is connected to the formation of oxygen vacancies. Formation of such structural defects is well documented for both oxides.<sup>45</sup>

Characteristic peaks of the components can be found in the TPR profiles of oxide precursors. The reduction of Ag<sub>2</sub>O in the precursors is similar to the reduction of the pure phase but is evidently a two-stage process, which points to interactions between Ag<sub>2</sub>O and ZrO<sub>2</sub>. The TPR maxima of ZrO<sub>2</sub> stay unaltered or shift slightly to higher temperatures, and the presence of silver does not influence considerably the ZrO<sub>2</sub> reduction degree. Calculating the area under the reduction peak, the reduction degree of ZrO<sub>2</sub> in the pure phase and in the





Figure 4. Auger spectra for the ZrAg30 catalysts. (A) Precursor obtained by the NaOH method; (B) used catalyst obtained by the NaOH method; (C) used catalyst obtained by the Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> method.

precursors can be estimated at 0.5% in relation to the total amount of oxygen in ZrO<sub>2</sub>. TPR measurements showed that the reduction of ZrO<sub>2</sub> occurs at higher temperature in comparison with the temperature of reduction and activation of the catalysts in the feed mixture. However, the TPR experiments were performed in dynamic conditions under a low partial pressure of hydrogen (ca. 0.005 MPa). Long treatment of the catalysts in highly reducing mixture (H<sub>2</sub> partial pressure  $\approx 6$  MPa) led to a reduction of ZrO<sub>2</sub> and to the formation of oxygen vacancies characteristic for the structure of the catalysts in the stationary state. The above conclusion is confirmed by the TPR profiles of the used catalysts in which no maximum, characteristic for reduction of the surface layer of ZrO<sub>2</sub>, is observed since this phase is already reduced. However, continuous hydrogen consumption observed above 700 K may indicate the reduction of the deeper layers of ZrO<sub>2</sub> limited by oxygen diffusion through the defected subsurface layer. In the case of the used catalysts, oxygen vacancies previously formed facilitate this process and make it observable.

**3.4. Catalytic Activity.** Methanol, water, and carbon monoxide were the only products of the CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation under





**Figure 6.** TPR profiles of the selected oxide precursors (A), used catalysts (B), and components of the catalysts (C).

the conditions of the catalytic tests. The observation provides evidence that hydrogenation of CO<sub>2</sub> proceeds according to two parallel reactions.



At low conversions and low temperatures, the hydrogenation of CO<sub>2</sub> to methanol is the primary pathway because it is faster than the hydrogenation of CO.<sup>38</sup> In our experiments the total conversion of CO<sub>2</sub> was between 1 and 10% depending on the temperature and the activity of the catalysts. The system is far from equilibrium in such conditions, and the reaction is controlled kinetically. The selectivity to methanol always decreases with increasing temperature, which results from a higher contribution of the endothermic RWGS reaction.

The activity in the CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation and the selectivity to methanol for both series of silver catalysts are presented in Figures 7 and 8. The rate of the methanol formation with reference to catalyst mass was taken as a measure of the catalytic activity (space time yield, STY). Such manner of presentation makes for easier searching of the correlation between catalytic activity and catalysts phase composition, as well as selection of the active phase. As observed from Figure 7 and Table 1 the STY of methanol increases proportionally to the specific surface area of the catalysts, which gives evidence that the whole catalyst

surface participates in the catalytic reaction and there is the lack of the diffusion limitations.


From Figures 7 and 8 it is visible that the catalysts obtained by using NaOH as the precipitant are more active in comparison with the catalysts obtained by using Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. Among the catalysts from the NaOH series, the activity is connected with the presence of zirconium oxide. The ZnO addition does not influence significantly the methanol space time yield (STY); therefore, the catalysts containing only ZnO and Ag exhibit low catalytic activity. The activation energy determined from plots of temperature versus methanol STY is the same for both series of catalysts and is equal to  $45 \pm 3$  kJ/mol. Thus, the observed differences in the catalytic activity are connected to various values of the pre-exponential factor because of different active site concentrations and do not change in the mechanism of the methanol formation reaction. The results for typical copper catalyst obtained by the carbonate method and tested in the same condition are also presented in Figures 7, 8, and 9. Ample comparison of the copper and silver catalysts' activities is given in ref 27.

As can be seen the methanol yield is lower for the most active silver catalysts when compared to the standard copper catalysts. However, the silver catalysts exhibit higher selectivity if one compares the catalysts at low temperature (453–493 K) at similar conversion to methanol (ZrZnCu10 catalyst according ref 27). The decrease in the selectivity to methanol observed with temperature increase is related to a higher contribution of the RWGS reaction. The differences in the catalyst activity for both series of silver catalysts are clearly illustrated in Figure 9, which presents the plot of the selectivity to methanol versus the yield to methanol. The relationships obtained for both NaOH and Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> series cannot be described by one common plot but by two independent curves.

## 4. DISCUSSION

The discussion of the results concerning the performance of the silver catalysts cannot be separated from extensive knowledge about the structure and mechanism of the copper catalysts' operation, as these two catalytic systems act similarly. The main controversy regarding the methanol catalysts is related to the existence of a synergy effect between Cu and oxide components (ZnO, ZrO<sub>2</sub>) of the catalysts. The ICI<sup>55</sup> and Campbell<sup>56</sup> groups claim that the synergy effect is not present in the copper catalysts, and that the hydrogenation of CO<sub>2</sub> to methanol and the RWGS reaction both occur on the surface of metallic copper. The support is only responsible for a high dispersion of copper. However, many authors provided extensive evidence<sup>38</sup> that the methanol formation rate expressed per one Cu site is one to two orders higher for the Cu/ZnO and Cu/ZnO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts than for pure copper or for systems where copper is dispersed on specially purified SiO<sub>2</sub>. The above studies clearly point to the existence of the synergy effect in the copper catalysts.

The results presented by Yoshihara and Campbell,<sup>56</sup> comparing the activities of Cu(110), polycrystalline copper, and Cu/ZnO in the CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation, are unconvincing as far as the synergy effect is concerned. They show that metallic copper is very active in the RWGS reaction, but the methanol formation rate is about two orders lower in comparison with the RWGS reaction. This stands in clear contradiction to the catalytic performance of the Cu/ZnO or Cu/ZnO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts, which produce methanol with nearly 100% selectivity. It is fairly well-



**Figure 7.** Catalytic activity of the silver catalysts in the methanol synthesis. (A) Obtained by the NaOH method; (B) obtained by the  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$  method. Keys:  $\Delta$ , ZrZnAg30;  $\circ$ , ZnAg10;  $\square$ , ZrAg30;  $\blacktriangle$ , ZrAg10;  $\bullet$ , ZrZnAg10;  $*$ , ZrZnAg62.5. Dashed line:  $\blacksquare$ , ZrZnCu 62.5;  $\times$ , ZrZnCu10 (according to ref 27).

known that one of the synergy aspects is stabilization of  $\text{Cu}^+$ , which favors the  $\text{CO}_2$  hydrogenation to methanol.  $\text{Cu}^+$  ions can be stabilized on the surface of  $\text{ZnO}$ ,<sup>31,32,35,36</sup>  $\text{ZrO}_2$ ,<sup>24,33,34</sup> and on the  $\text{ZnO}/\text{ZrO}_2$  mixed systems as well.<sup>29,30</sup> Recently, an influence of different polymorphic forms of  $\text{ZrO}_2$  (tetragonal or monoclinic) on the performance of  $\text{Cu}/\text{ZnO}$  or  $\text{Cu}/\text{ZnO}/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  has drawn more attention.<sup>28,57</sup>


The XRD investigations presented in our paper show that  $\text{ZrO}_2$  is mainly present in a pseudomorphic form in the oxide precursors whereas it crystallizes as monoclinic and tetragonal phases in the used catalysts. Moreover, contents of these phases depend on the preparation method. The use of NaOH ( $\text{pH} = 10$ ) clearly favors the formation of the tetragonal phase ( $t\text{-ZrO}_2$ ) while the use of  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$  ( $\text{pH} = 7$ ) leads to catalysts in which the monoclinic ( $m\text{-ZrO}_2$ ) phase predominates. These results are in agreement with reports of Baiker et al.<sup>23</sup> and Rhodes and Bell et al.<sup>28</sup>

It is believed that the stabilization of the  $t\text{-ZrO}_2$  phase, which is thermodynamically unstable at low temperatures, is connected to formation of oxygen vacancies in the  $\text{ZrO}_2$  structure or/and with the presence of alkali additives ( $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{K}^+$ , etc.).<sup>58–61</sup> The other factors that influence the  $t\text{-ZrO}_2$  stabilization can be the surface


hydroxylation (hydration) and high dispersion of  $\text{ZrO}_2$  crystallites.

The TPR results presented in this paper show that  $\text{ZrO}_2$ , which is the component of the catalysts, is slightly reduced during the reaction, which is equivalent to the formation of oxygen vacancies. The same effect, observed in the used catalysts, is evidenced by a decrease of the  $\text{ZrO}_2$  lattice parameters and by the fact that the used catalysts do not undergo reduction in the TPR experiments. The reduction degree of  $\text{ZrO}_2$ , estimated on the basis of the TPR results, is approximately 0.5% in relation to the total oxygen content in  $\text{ZrO}_2$ . Because oxygen mobility is negligible at the catalytic reaction temperature (Tamman temperature is around 2000 K), one should expect that concentration of oxygen vacancies in the surface layer would be considerably higher (for example 5% for a zone, which encompasses 10 atomic layers). The condition of electroneutrality can be fulfilled either by localization of the electrons on zirconium ions with the formation of  $\text{Zr}^{2+}$  ions or by the presence of additional positive ions in interstitial or lattice positions in the vicinity of the vacancies. It is obvious that these two processes can occur simultaneously.


The XPS and Auger measurements suggest that a small amount of  $\text{Zr}^{2+}$  or  $\text{Zr}^{4+}$  bonded to oxygen vacancies (that both



**Figure 8.** Selectivity to methanol of the silver catalysts. (A) Obtained by the NaOH method; (B) obtained by the Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> method; Keys:  $\Delta$ , ZrZnAg30;  $\circ$ , ZnAg10;  $\square$ , ZrAg30;  $\blacktriangle$ , ZrAg10;  $\bullet$ , ZrZnAg10;  $*$ , ZrZnAg62.5. Dashed line:  $\blacksquare$ , ZrZnCu 62.5;  $\times$ , ZrZnCu10 (according to ref 27).



**Figure 9.** Selectivity to methanol versus space time yield of methanol for silver catalysts prepared by the NaOH ( $\circ$ ) and Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> ( $\blacksquare$ ) methods. Keys: dashed line,  $\times$ , ZrZnCu10 (according to ref 27).



**Figure 10.** (A) Space time yield of methanol versus content of the t-ZrO<sub>2</sub> phase at 523 and 493 K for the silver catalysts obtained by the NaOH and Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> methods. (B) Space time yield of methanol versus content of surface Ag<sup>+</sup> per 1 g of catalyst at 523 and 493 K for the silver catalysts obtained by the NaOH and Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> methods. (C) Space time yield of CO versus mean dimension of silver crystals at 523 and 493 K for the silver catalysts obtained by the NaOH and Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> methods.


result in similar electronic state of zirconium) are present in the surface layer. These zirconium species together with substantial amount of Ag<sup>+</sup> ions can stabilize the t-ZrO<sub>2</sub> phase. The peak positions of Ag 3d and Ag MVV and their shapes hint that Ag<sup>+</sup> ions are in a strongly electronegative environment that is generated either by nonionized oxygen vacancies or by Zr<sup>2+</sup> ions. Concluding, the stabilization of the t-ZrO<sub>2</sub> phase in the studied catalysts is connected to the presence of oxygen vacancies and Ag<sup>+</sup> ions, which are stable in the conditions of the catalytic reaction.

While describing the catalytic performance of silver catalysts, it must be stressed that no correlation between the catalyst activity in the methanol synthesis and the dispersion of metallic silver (Ag<sup>0</sup>) was found. Moreover, both the activity and the selectivity practically do not depend on the total silver content. This observation reveals that the formation of methanol does not occur on the metallic silver surface but is determined by other factors.

The correlation between the catalytic activity (space time yield (STY) of methanol) and the content of t-ZrO<sub>2</sub> phase in the catalysts for both series of the catalysts (NaOH, Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>) is presented in Figure 10A. In Figure 10B the STY dependence of methanol as a function of the Ag<sup>+</sup> is shown. The Ag<sup>+</sup>/Zr ratio

determined by XPS measurements (Table 5) was used for the determination of Ag<sup>+</sup> concentration in the surface layer. The product of this value and the specific surface area is the measure of the number of surface Ag<sup>+</sup> in a unit mass of catalyst. As observed from Figure 10 panels A and B the rate of methanol formation increases with an increase of the t-ZrO<sub>2</sub> phase and Ag<sup>+</sup> content. These correlations can be explained assuming that methanol is formed on Ag<sup>+</sup> sites, which are stabilized by oxygen vacancies in the t-ZrO<sub>2</sub> phase. Thus nonstoichiometric t-ZrO<sub>2</sub> containing oxygen vacancies and Ag<sup>+</sup> stabilized on the surface is the active phase. A similar relationship is observed for the copper catalysts; it is postulated that Cu<sup>+</sup> ions facilitate CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption and thus the formation of the surface formate complexes that are consecutively hydrogenated to methanol.<sup>34–37</sup>

The rate of the parallel RWGS reaction, leading to the formation of CO and H<sub>2</sub>O, increases with the metallic silver dispersion (Figure 10C). This reaction occurs on the surface of metallic silver by the surface species reaction (H, O, CO) being the products of H<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> dissociation in accordance with a simple redox mechanism, similarly as it was found for metallic copper and the Cu/ZnO catalysts.<sup>37–41</sup> On the basis of above correlations and by analogy to the copper catalysts, for the silver catalysts, the following catalytic network scheme can be proposed.



where rate constant  $k_1$  is proportional to the Ag<sup>+</sup> content and therefore also to the content of the t-ZrO<sub>2</sub> phase and  $k_2$  is proportional to the dispersion degree of metallic silver.

Finally, it is worth noticing a distinct difference between the copper and the silver catalysts. For the silver catalysts, the presence of ZnO in the support does not have any significant influence on the methanol formation rate. Thus, silver activation connected to the stabilization of Ag<sup>+</sup> occurs exclusively with the participation of the t-ZrO<sub>2</sub> phase. In the copper containing catalysts, the stabilization of Cu<sup>+</sup> ions can occur both on the surface of ZrO<sub>2</sub> and on ZnO.

The results described above can be confronted with limited literature information. Baiker et al.<sup>23</sup> showed that the catalysts containing Cu, Ag, or Au supported on t-ZrO<sub>2</sub> are highly active in the CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation to methanol, which is in agreement with the results presented in our paper while Jung and Bell<sup>57</sup> and Rhodes and Bell<sup>28</sup> who studied Cu/ZrO<sub>2</sub> catalysts containing pure phases of m-ZrO<sub>2</sub> or t-ZrO<sub>2</sub> obtained opposite results. The discrepancies can be explained by different concentration of oxygen vacancies in ZrO<sub>2</sub>. The catalysts studied in refs 28,57 were obtained by impregnation, followed by calcinations at relatively low temperature and hydrogen treatment at low partial pressure. The catalysts studied in this work were prepared by coprecipitation and calcined at higher temperature. Furthermore, the catalysts were kept at temperatures above 500 K for a long period of time and in the reagent flow of high hydrogen partial pressure until the stationary state was reached. Under such conditions, oxygen vacancies and Ag<sup>+</sup> ions coupled with them



were observed in the ZrO<sub>2</sub> sublayer. Neither preparation conditions nor catalyst treatment used in refs 28 and 57 did favor formation of such defects.

## ■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

**S Supporting Information.** Further details are given in Figures S1–S18. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

## ■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

### Corresponding Author

\*E-mail: [ncgrabow@cyf-kr.edu.pl](mailto:ncgrabow@cyf-kr.edu.pl)

## ■ ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are grateful to Dr. Piotr Olszewski for his support in the synthesis of selected catalysts, to Dr. Małgorzata Ruggiero-Mikołajczyk and Dr. Małgorzata Zimowska for the BET and SEM measurements, respectively.

## ■ REFERENCES

- (1) Halmann, M.L. . Process Sciences Group: Upton, NY, 1998; ISBN 10:1566702844.
- (2) Haggin, J. *Chem. Eng. News* **1994**, 72, 28–36.
- (3) Hirano, M.; Akano, T.; Imai, T.; Kuroda, K. *Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal.* **1998**, 114, 545–549.
- (4) Breen, J. P.; Ross, J. R. H. *Catal. Today* **1999**, 51, 521–533.
- (5) Velu, S.; Suzuki, K.; Okazaki, M.; Kapoor, M. P.; Osaki, T.; Ohashi, F. *J. Catal.* **2000**, 194, 373–384.
- (6) de Wild, P. J.; Verhaak, M. J. F. M. *Catal. Today* **2000**, 60, 3–10.
- (7) Amenomiya, Y. *Appl. Catal.* **1987**, 30, 57–68.
- (8) Bartley, G. J. J.; Burch, R. *Appl. Catal.* **1988**, 43, 141–153.
- (9) Gasser, D.; Baiker, A. *Appl. Catal.* **1989**, 48, 279–294.
- (10) Köppel, R. A.; Baiker, A.; Wokaun, A. *Appl. Catal., A* **1992**, 84, 77–102.
- (11) Nitta, Y.; Fujimatsu, T.; Okamoto, Y.; Imanaka, T. *Catal. Lett.* **1993**, 17, 157–165.
- (12) Fröhlich, C.; Köppel, R. A.; Baiker, A. *Appl. Catal., A* **1993**, 106, 275–293.
- (13) Nitta, Y.; Suwata, O.; Ikeda, Y.; Okamoto, Y.; Imanaka, T. *Catal. Lett.* **1994**, 26, 345–354.
- (14) Sahibzada, M.; Chadwig, D.; Metcalfe, I. S. *Catal. Today* **1996**, 29, 367–372.
- (15) Kilo, M.; Weigel, J.; Baiker, A.; Wokaun, A.; Köppel, R. A.; Stoekli, A.; Baiker, A. *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* **1997**, 126, 169–184.
- (16) Ma, Y.; Sun, Q.; Wu, D.; Fan, W.-H.; Zhan, Y.-L.; Deng, J.-F. *Appl. Catal., A* **1998**, 171, 45–55.
- (17) Joo, O.-S.; Jung, K.-D.; Moon, I.; Rozovskii, A. Ya.; Lin, G. I.; Han, S.-H.; Uhm, S.-J. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **1999**, 38, 1808–1812.
- (18) Wambach, J.; Baiker, A.; Wokaun, A. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **1999**, 1, 5071–5080.
- (19) Ortelli, E. E.; Wambach, J.; Wokaun, A. *Appl. Catal., A* **2001**, 216, 227–241.
- (20) Chinchin, G. C.; Denny, P. J.; Jennings, J. R.; Spencer, M. S.; Waugh, K. C. *Appl. Catal.* **1988**, 36, 1–65 (a review and references therein).
- (21) Saito, M.; Fujitani, T.; Takeuchi, M.; Watanabe, T. *Appl. Catal., A* **1996**, 138, 311–318.
- (22) Słoczyński, J.; Grabowski, R.; Olszewski, P.; Kozłowska, A.; Stoch, J.; Lachowska, M.; Skrzypek, J. *Appl. Catal., A* **2006**, 310, 127–137.
- (23) Baiker, A.; Kilo, M.; Maciejewski, M.; Manzi, S.; Wokaun, A. *Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal.* **1993**, 75, 1257–1272.
- (24) Fröhlich, C.; Kapel, R. A.; Baiker, A.; Kilo, M.; Wokaun, A. *Appl. Catal., A* **1993**, 106, 275–293.
- (25) Köppel, R. A.; Stöcker, C.; Baiker, A. *J. Catal.* **1998**, 179, 515–527.
- (26) Köppel, R. A.; Baiker, A.; Schied, Ch.; Wokaun, A. *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans* **1991**, 87, 2821–2828.
- (27) Słoczyński, J.; Grabowski, R.; Kozłowska, A.; Olszewski, P.; Stoch, J.; Skrzypek, J.; Lachowska, M. *Appl. Catal.* **2004**, 278, 11–23.
- (28) Rhodes, M. D.; Bell, A. T. *J. Catal.* **2005**, 233, 198–209.
- (29) Saito, M.; Fujitani, T.; Takahara, I.; Watanabe, T.; Takeuchi, M.; Kanai, Y.; Moriya, K.; Kakumoto, T. *Energy Convers. Manage.* **1995**, 36, 577–580.
- (30) Saito, M.; Fujitani, T.; Takeuchi, M.; Watanabe, T. *Appl. Catal., A* **1996**, 138, 311–313.
- (31) Klier, K. *Adv. Catal.* **1982**, 31, 243–318.
- (32) Okamoto, Y.; Fukino, K.; Imanaka, T.; Teraniski, S. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1983**, 87, 3747–3754.
- (33) Liu, J.; Shi, J.; He, D.; Zhang, Q.; Wu, X.; Liang, Yu.; Zhu, Q. *Appl. Catal., A* **2001**, 218, 113–119.
- (34) Suh, Y. W.; Moon, S.-H.; Rhee, H.-K. *Catal. Today* **2000**, 63, 447–452.
- (35) Toyir, J.; de la Piscina, P. R.; Fierro, J. L. G.; Homs, N. *Appl. Catal., B* **2001**, 29, 207–215.
- (36) Toyir, J.; de la Piscina, P. R.; Fierro, J. L. G.; Homs, N. *Appl. Catal., B* **2001**, 34, 255–266.
- (37) Hansen, J. B. *Handbook of Heterogenous Catalysis*; VCH-Wiley: Weinheim, Germany, 1997; Vol. 4, pp 1856–1876 (and references therein).
- (38) Skrzypek, J.; J. Słoczyński, Ledakowicz, S. *Metanol Synthesis*; Polish Scientific Publishers (PWN): Warszawa, Poland, 1994; ISBN 83-01-11490-9.
- (39) Salmi, T.; Hakkarainen, R. *Appl. Catal.* **1989**, 49, 285–306.
- (40) Nakamura, J.; Campbell, J. M.; Campbell, Ch.T. *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans.* **1990**, 86, 2725–2734.
- (41) Ernst, K. H.; Campbell, Ch.T.; Moretti, G. *J. Catal.* **1992**, 134, 66–74.
- (42) Nitta, Y.; Suwata, O.; Ikeda, Y.; Okamoto, Y.; Imanaka, T. *Catal. Lett.* **1994**, 26, 345–354.
- (43) Cheary, R. W.; Coelho, A. A. J. *Appl. Crystallogr.* **1992**, 25, 109–121.
- (44) *Powder Diffraction File*; International Centre of Diffraction Data (ICDD) : Newtown Square, PA, 2009.
- (45) Dow, W.-P.; Wang, Y.-P.; Huang, T.-J. *J. Catal.* **1996**, 160, 155–170.
- (46) Tanuma, S.; Powell, C. J.; Penn, D. R. *Surf. Interface Anal.* **1993**, 21, 165.
- (47) Moulder, J. F.; Stickle, W. F.; Sobol, P. E.; Bomben, K. *Handbook of X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy*, 2nd ed.; Chastain, J., Ed.; Perkin-Elmer Corporation (Physical Electronics): Eden Prairie, MN, 1992.
- (48) *NIST X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy Database*; National Institute of Standards and Technology: Gaithersburg, MD; <http://srdata.nist.gov/xps/>
- (49) Wang, L.-C.; Liu, Q.; Chen, M.; Liu, Y.-M.; Cao, Y.; He, H.-Y.; Fan, K.-N. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2007**, 111, 16549–16557.
- (50) Kumar, L.; Sarma, D. D.; Krummacher, S. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **1988**, 32, 309.
- (51) Zemlyanov, D. Y.; Nagy, A.; Schlögl, R. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **1998**, 133, 171–183 (J.A. 8).
- (52) Kibis, L. S.; Avdeev, V. I.; Koscheev, S. V.; Boronin, A. I. *Surf. Sci.* **2010**, 604, 1185–1192.
- (53) Rodriguez, J. H. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1994**, 98, 4061–4066.
- (54) Bera, S.; Gangopadhyay, P.; Nair, K. G. M.; Panigrahi, B. K.; Narasimhan, S. V. *J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom.* **2006**, 152, 91–95.
- (55) Bailey, S.; Froment, G. F.; Snoeck, J. W.; Waugh, K. C. *Catal. Lett.* **1995**, 30, 99–111.
- (56) Yoshihara, J.; Campbell, Ch.T. *J. Catal.* **1996**, 161, 776–782.
- (57) Jung, K. T.; Bell, A. T. *Catal. Lett.* **2002**, 80, 63–68.

- (58) Stefanovich, E. V.; Shluger, A. L. *Phys. Rev. B* **1994**, *49*, 11560–11571.
- (59) Bechepeche, A. P.; Treu, O.; Longo, J. E.; Paiva-Santos, C. O.; Varela, J. A. *J. Mater. Sci.* **1999**, *34*, 2751–2756.
- (60) Hleis, D.; Labaki, M.; Laversin, H.; Courcot, D.; Aboukais, A. *Colloids Surf., A* **2008**, 193–200.
- (61) Li, P.; Chen, I.-W.; Penner-Hahn, J. E. *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* **1994**, *77* (5), 1281–1288.