

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/231437027>

DNA Adduction by the Potent Carcinogen Aflatoxin B1: Mechanistic Studies

ARTICLE *in* JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN CHEMICAL SOCIETY · MARCH 1994

Impact Factor: 12.11 · DOI: 10.1021/ja00084a001

CITATIONS

118

READS

43

6 AUTHORS, INCLUDING:



Ricarda Thier

Queensland University of Technology

93 PUBLICATIONS 2,440 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Thomas Harris

Vanderbilt University

331 PUBLICATIONS 8,818 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

DNA Adduction by the Potent Carcinogen Aflatoxin B₁: Mechanistic Studies

Rajkumar S. Iyer, Brian F. Coles, Kevin D. Raney, Ricarda Thier, F. Peter Guengerich, and Thomas M. Harris*

Contribution from the Departments of Chemistry and Biochemistry and Center in Molecular Toxicology, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee 37235

Received September 27, 1993*

Abstract: Aflatoxin B₁, a potentially carcinogenic fungal metabolite, is converted to the biologically active form by chemical oxidation using dimethyldioxirane and enzymatically by cytochrome P450 mixed-function oxidases. Both processes give rise to mixtures of the *exo*- and *endo*-8,9-epoxides. Methanolysis studies reveal exclusive *trans* opening of both epoxides under neutral conditions in CH₃OH and CH₃OH/H₂O mixtures; an S_N2 mechanism is postulated. Under acidic conditions, the *exo* isomer gives mixtures of *trans* and *cis* solvolysis products, suggesting that the reaction is, at least in part, S_N1; the *endo* isomer gives only the *trans* product. The *exo* isomer reacts with DNA by attack of the nitrogen atom at the 7 position of guanine on C8 of the epoxide to give the *trans* adduct; the *endo* epoxide fails to form an adduct at this or any other site in DNA. The *exo* isomer is strongly mutagenic in a base-pair reversion assay employing *Salmonella typhimurium*; the *endo* isomer is essentially nonmutagenic. Aflatoxin B₁ and its derivatives intercalate in DNA. These results are consistent with a mechanism in which intercalation of the *exo* epoxide optimally orients the epoxide for an S_N2 reaction with guanine but intercalation of the *endo* isomer places the epoxide in an orientation which precludes reaction. Thus, while the *exo* epoxide is a potent mutagen, the *endo* epoxide fails to react with DNA.

Introduction


The fungi *Aspergillus flavus* and *A. parasiticus* frequently infest peanuts, corn, and other agricultural commodities to produce aflatoxin B₁ (AFB₁, **1**) and related furofuran metabolites.¹ AFB₁ is a potent carcinogen which, after metabolic activation to an electrophilic species, reacts efficiently with DNA.² Reaction occurs with high regioselectivity at the N7 position of guanine residues in DNA.³⁻⁵ Structural studies of the guanine adduct

point to the *exo*-8,9-epoxide (**2**) being the genotoxic agent, although the epoxide has never been isolated from or directly detected in biological systems.^{3,4,6-9} For many years, **2** eluded chemical synthesis; attempts to prepare it were frustrated by the lability of the epoxide, which invariably reacted with constituents of the epoxidation reaction system.¹⁰⁻¹³ For example, use of

- * Abstract published in *Advance ACS Abstracts*, February 1, 1994.
(1) Busby, W. F., Jr.; Wogan, G. N. In *Chemical Carcinogens*, 2nd ed.; Searle, C., Ed.; American Chemical Society: Washington, DC, 1984; pp 945-1136.
(2) Garner, R. C.; Miller, E. C.; Miller, J. A.; Hansen, R. S. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **1971**, *45*, 774-780.
(3) Essigmann, J. M.; Croy, R. G.; Nadzan, A. M.; Busby, W. F., Jr.; Reinhold, V. N.; Büchi, G.; Wogan, G. N. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **1977**, *74*, 1870-1874.
(4) Lin, J. K.; Miller, J. A.; Miller, E. C. *Cancer Res.* **1977**, *37*, 4430-4438.

- (5) Croy, R. G.; Essigmann, J. M.; Reinhold, V. M.; Wogan, G. N. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **1978**, *75*, 1745-1749.
(6) Martin, C. N.; Garner, R. C. *Nature (London)* **1977**, *267*, 863-865.
(7) Büchi, G.; Fowler, K. W.; Nadzan, A. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1982**, *104*, 544-547.
(8) Coles, B. F.; Welch, A. M.; Hertzog, P. J.; Lindsay Smith, J. R.; Garner, R. C. *Carcinogenesis* **1980**, *1*, 79-90.
(9) Swenson, D. H.; Miller, J. A.; Miller, E. C. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **1973**, *53*, 1260-1267.
(10) Garner, R. C.; Martin, C. N.; Lindsay Smith, J. R.; Coles, B. F.; Tolson, M. R. *Chem.-Biol. Interact.* **1979**, *26*, 57-73.
(11) Coles, B. F.; Lindsay Smith, J. R.; Garner, R. C. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1979**, 2664-2671.

Scheme 1



m-chloroperbenzoic acid led to hydroxyesters derived from cleavage of the epoxide by *m*-chlorobenzoic acid, a coproduct of the reaction.^{6,14} The epoxides of 2,3-dihydro-4*H*-pyran and other vinyl ethers can be prepared if precautions were taken to avoid solvolytic cleavage of the epoxide ring,^{16,17} but the epoxides of 2,3-dihydrofurans are less accessible and through 1987 only a single, rather special case had been reported.¹⁸ It was speculated that the epoxide of AFB₁ might not exist *per se*, undergoing dissociation of the C8–O bond to give an unisolable zwitterion.¹² In 1988, the question was laid to rest when this laboratory reported a synthesis of **2** (Scheme 1).¹⁹ The procedure used dimethyldioxirane as the oxidant; acetone served as the solvent and was a coproduct of the reaction. High yields were obtained. Epoxide **2**, although highly reactive, is inert to acetone and other aprotic, nonnucleophilic solvents. The epoxide is stable as a crystalline solid, having spectroscopic properties consistent with formulation **2**. It reacts rapidly with H₂O, i.e., with a half-life of only a few seconds, to give 8,9-dihydrodiols and even faster with DNA to give an adduct at the N7 position of guanine.^{20,21} The chemical properties of **2** are fully in accord with it being the fugitive carcinogenic electrophile.¹⁹

Subsequently, a close examination of the reaction of AFB₁ with dimethyldioxirane revealed that the reaction is not completely stereospecific and that small amounts of the *endo* isomer, i.e., **3**, are also formed.²² The reaction of *m*-chloroperbenzoic acid with AFB₁ similarly gives predominantly the *exo* epoxide, but small amounts of the *endo* epoxide are also formed.¹⁵ Even microsomal epoxidation of AFB₁ yields both epoxides. Trapping experiments using glutathione transferases to catalyze glutathione conjugation

produced adducts of both epoxides.²³ The product ratio in enzymatic oxidations depends upon the species from which the microsomes are derived. Human microsomes give larger proportions of *endo* epoxide than rat or mouse microsomes. In all cases the *exo* product predominated. However, the discovery that the *endo* epoxide is also formed raised questions about the possible contribution of the *endo* epoxide to the overall carcinogenicity of AFB₁. From the chemical point of view, the availability of both stereoisomers provided an opportunity to evaluate the extent and mechanism(s) of their reactions with DNA. The study described herein shows that the *exo* epoxide reacts efficiently with DNA via an S_N2 mechanism, whereas the *endo* epoxide is incapable of reaction. The consequence is that the *exo* epoxide is a potent mutagen consistent with it being the carcinogenic form of AFB₁, whereas the *endo* epoxide is inactive.

Experimental Section

Biological Hazards. Aflatoxin B₁ and many of its derivatives are potentially carcinogenic. Great care should be exercised to avoid personnel exposure. Crystalline material presents an inhalation hazard because the crystals develop electrostatic charge and cling to dust particles. For this reason the dust produced by the scraping of preparative TLC plates should be regarded as particularly hazardous.

Chemicals. AFB₁ was purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co. (Milwaukee, WI). Dimethyldioxirane was synthesized as described by Murray and Jeyaraman and by Adam *et al.*^{24,25} Solutions of dimethyldioxirane were stored over anhydrous MgSO₄ at –20 °C and were used within one month of preparation. AFB₁ *exo*- and *endo*-8,9-epoxides were prepared according to previously published procedures; the *exo* epoxide was recrystallized as described.^{19,22} Standards of AFB₁-N7-guanyl adduct and AFB₁ dihydrodiol were prepared according to published methods.²⁶ Reagents for oligodeoxynucleotide synthesis were purchased from Pharmacia-P.L. Biochemicals, Inc. (Piscataway, NJ) and Fisher Scientific (Pittsburgh, PA). Columns for HPLC were obtained from Alltech Associates, Inc. (Deerfield, IL). The oligonucleotide d(ATGCAT) was synthesized by standard solid-phase phosphoramidite chemistry with an automated synthesizer and quantitated spectrophotometrically by the method of Borer assuming ε₂₆₀ = 41500 M^{–1} cm^{–1} for single-stranded material.²⁷ ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AC-300 spectrometer.

Hydrolysis of AFB₁ Epoxides. Hydrolyses were conducted in 1:1 mixtures of acetone/10 mM aqueous sodium phosphate at pH 7.0 at 23

(12) Gorst-Allman, C. P.; Steyn, P. S.; Wessels, P. L. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* 1977, 1360–1364.

(13) Miller, J. A. *Cancer Res.* 1970, 30, 559–576.

(14) The failure of synthetic approaches to epoxide **2** led to development of methods for *in situ* generation of epoxide in the presence of DNA.^{7,8} The most generally useful method was the two-phase procedure of Martin and Garner, which employed *m*-chloroperbenzoic acid in a mixture of CH₂Cl₂ and H₂O.⁶ The effective electrophile in the reaction was generally believed to be the epoxide. We recently discovered that their procedure actually yields significant concentrations of the epoxide in the organic phase.¹⁵

(15) Iyer, R. S.; Harris, T. M. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* 1993, 6, 313–318.

(16) Havel, J. J.; Chan, K. H. *J. Org. Chem.* 1954, 41, 513.

(17) Wakselman, C.; Wartski, L. *Bull. Soc. Chem. Fr.* 1967, 2242–2243.

(18) Corey, E. J.; Su, W.-g. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1987, 109, 7534–7536.

(19) Baertschi, S. W.; Raney, K. D.; Stone, M. P.; Harris, T. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1988, 110, 7929–7931.

(20) Gopalakrishnan, S.; Harris, T. M.; Stone, M. P. *Biochemistry* 1990, 29, 10438–10448.

(21) Raney, V. M.; Harris, T. M.; Stone, M. P. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* 1993, 6, 64–68.

(22) Raney, K. D.; Coles, B.; Guengerich, F. P.; Harris, T. M. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* 1992, 5, 333–335.

(23) Raney, K. D.; Meyer, D. J.; Ketterer, B.; Harris, T. M.; Guengerich, F. P. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* 1992, 5, 470–478.

(24) Murray, R. W.; Jeyaraman, R. *J. Org. Chem.* 1985, 50, 2847–2853.

(25) Adam, W.; Chan, Y.-T.; Cremer, D.; Gauss, J.; Scheutzw, D.; Schindler, M. *J. Org. Chem.* 1987, 52, 2800–2803.

(26) Baertschi, S. W.; Raney, K.; Shimada, T.; Harris, T. M.; Guengerich, F. P. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* 1989, 2, 114–122.

(27) Borer, P. N. In *Handbook of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*; Fasman, G. D., Ed.; CRC Press: Cleveland, OH, 1975; Vol. 2, p 589.




Figure 1. Hydrolysis of AFB₁ *exo* and *endo* epoxides 2 (○) and 3 (●) in 1:1 acetone/10 mM aqueous sodium phosphate, pH 7.0, at 23 °C. Aliquots were withdrawn at timed intervals and quenched in methanol. The methanol adducts were quantitated by HPLC as a measure of remaining epoxide. See Experimental Section for details. The slope of the line for epoxide 2 was -0.95 s^{-1} , while that for epoxide 3 was -0.022 s^{-1} .

°C. A mixture of AFB₁ epoxides in acetone (2.7 mM, *exo:endo* 3.9:1) was added to buffer (0.5 mL) with vigorous vortex mixing to give a final concentration of approximately 200 μM. Samples (20 μL) were withdrawn for analysis and quenched with CH₃OH (0.4 mL) at time points during 5 min for the *exo* epoxide and 1 h for the *endo* epoxide. These samples were stored for 12 h at 4 °C and then diluted with 0.2 mL of 20 mM ammonium acetate. Analysis was by reverse-phase HPLC (Econosphere, 4.6 × 30 cm column eluted with 37.5% CH₃CN/CH₃OH (1:1) in 20 mM ammonium acetate buffer, pH 3.9, 1.5 mL/min). The formation of methanolysis products 4 and 6 reflects the presence of unhydrolyzed epoxides. Compounds 4 and 6 eluted at 9.5 and 10.5 min, respectively. They were quantitated by peak height using standards of known concentration as reference. Data are presented in Figure 1.

Methanolysis of AFB₁ Epoxides. AFB₁ *exo* epoxide (200 μg, 0.61 μmol) was dissolved in anhydrous CH₃OH (0.5 mL). The solution was allowed to stand at room temperature for 1 h and then evaporated to dryness under a stream of nitrogen. The ¹H NMR spectrum showed the *trans* methoxy alcohol 4 to be the sole product (>95% pure). Similar results were obtained when the methanolysis was carried out using CD₂Cl₂ or THF as the solvent.

Methoxy alcohol 4: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.64 (1H, d, H6a), 6.30 (1H, s, H5), 5.08 (1H, s, H8), 4.54 (1H, s, H9), 3.95 (1H, d, H9a), 3.93 (3H, s, 4-OMe), 3.38 (2H, m, H3,3'), 3.20 (3H, s, 8-OMe), 2.62 (2H, m, H2,2'); MS (FAB) *m/z* 361 (MH⁺).

To a stirred solution of AFB₁ epoxide (*exo:endo* ~10:1, 2 mg, 6.1 μmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (0.5 mL) was added anhydrous HCl (25 μL of a saturated solution in dry benzene), followed immediately by dry CH₃OH (0.5 mL). The resulting solution was stirred overnight at room temperature. Solvents were removed under a stream of N₂, and the residue was dissolved in CDCl₃. The ¹H NMR of the crude product mixture indicated the formation of methoxy alcohols 4, 5, and 6. The *exo* epoxide (2) had partitioned 84:16 between *trans* and *cis* methanolysis products 4 and 6, as judged by integration of the 8-OMe signals. *Endo* epoxide 3 gave exclusively *trans* methanolysis product 5; *cis* adduct 7 could not be detected. Compounds 4–6 were purified by HPLC on a silica gel column (Econosil, 10 μm, 250 mm × 10 mm, 3 mL/min) using ethanol/CH₂Cl₂ (3:97 v/v) as the solvent. Methoxy alcohol 4 eluted at 24 min; methoxy alcohols 5 and 6 eluted at 19 min, unresolved from each other; they were, however, separated by reverse-phase HPLC using an Econosphere ODS (5 μm, 250 mm × 4.6 mm) column, eluted isocratically with 35% CH₃CN/CH₃OH (1:1 v/v) in H₂O at a flow rate of 1.5 mL/min. The *cis* methoxy alcohol 6 derived from *exo* epoxide eluted at 6.5 min, and *trans* methoxy alcohol 5 derived from *endo* epoxide eluted at 7.6 min. Methoxy alcohol 7 was not detected.

Methoxy alcohol 6: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.53 (1H, d, H6a), 6.36 (1H, s, H5), 4.97 (1H, d, H8), 4.53 (1H, m, H9), 4.09 (1H, dd, H9a), 3.94 (3H, s, 4-OMe), 3.58 (3H, s, 8-OMe), 3.38 (2H, m, H3,3'), 2.62 (2H, m, H2,2'); MS (FAB) *m/z* 361 (MH⁺).

Methoxy alcohol 5: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.42 (1H, d, H6a), 6.37 (1H, s, H5), 4.97 (1H, d, H8), 4.53 (1H, dd, H9), 4.28 (1H, m, H9a), 3.94 (3H, s, 4-OMe), 3.47 (3H, s, 8-OMe), 3.39 (2H, m, H3,3'), 2.63 (2H, m, H2,2').




Figure 2. Methanolysis of AFB₁ *exo* epoxide 2 in buffered aqueous MeOH, pH 7.4. See Experimental Section for details. The products are dihydrodiols (□) and the *trans* methanol adduct 4 (●). *Cis* adduct 6 was not observed.

Methanolysis of AFB₁ Epoxides in H₂O. Recrystallized AFB₁ *exo* epoxide (~100 μg in 10 μL DMSO) was added to a series of microfuge tubes containing 0, 10, 20, 40, and 80% volume fraction of 0.1 M aqueous sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, in CH₃OH (total volume 1 mL). The reaction mixtures were allowed to stand at room temperature for 2 h with occasional stirring. Aliquots (10 μL) were analyzed by reverse-phase HPLC as described above, eluting isocratically with 30% CH₃CN/CH₃OH (1:1 v/v) in 20 mM ammonium acetate buffer, pH 3.9, and a flow rate of 1.5 mL/min. Elution times of AFB₁ dihydrodiols, the *cis* methoxy alcohol 6, and the *trans* methoxy alcohol 4 were 4.1–5.0, 9.1, and 9.9 min, respectively. UV absorbance of products was monitored at 360 nm. Peak areas were estimated using a Hitachi D-2500 Chromato-Integrator. Results are shown in Figure 2. The above experiment was repeated using H₂O instead of buffer; similar results were obtained. The experiment was repeated with a ~4:1 mixture of *exo* and *endo* epoxides. Only dihydrodiols and *trans* methanolysis products 4 and 5 were observed; compound 5 eluted at 11.0 min.

Attempted Reaction of Endo Epoxide with an Oligonucleotide Duplex.

A solution of AFB₁ epoxides (*exo:endo* ~4:1, 0.5 mg, 1.6 μmol) in anhydrous acetone (100 μL) was added to d(ATGCAT) (40 A₂₆₀ units, 1.1 μmol) dissolved in 0.5 mL of 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, at 0–5 °C with vigorous stirring. After reaction at 5 °C for 45 min, 50 μL aliquots were analyzed by reverse-phase HPLC (C-18 analytical column, 5–25% linear gradient of CH₃CN in 0.01 M sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.0, over 25 min; 1.0 mL/min; UV detection at 260 nm). Retention times of unmodified d(ATGCAT) and modified d(ATGCAT)-AFB₁ were 2.5 and 8.5 min, respectively. Analyses were repeated after acid hydrolysis of purine–deoxyribose linkages. Aliquots (100 μL) of the reaction mixture were treated with 0.1 M HCl (100 μL) at 60 °C for 30 min and then analyzed for the presence of AFB₁-N7-guanyl adducts by reverse-phase HPLC (Econosphere ODS, 5 μm, 4.6 × 250 mm), eluted with 32.5% CH₃CN/CH₃OH (1:1 v/v) in H₂O at a flow rate of 1.2 mL/min. UV absorbance of products was monitored at 360 nm. Retention time of the AFB₁-N7-guanyl adduct was 10.0 min.

We failed to find a chromatographic procedure for separation of the epoxides due to their lability. As an alternative, the *exo* epoxide was removed by hydrolysis, taking advantage of its higher reactivity. A partial hydrolysis of the epoxide mixture was performed by addition of an equal volume (100 μL) of 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.0, to the acetone solution of epoxides 10 min before reaction with oligonucleotide. This yielded the *endo* epoxide essentially free of the *exo*. Substantial quantities of dihydrodiols were formed; however, dihydrodiols do not react with DNA. No adducts derived from the *endo* epoxide were detected either at the oligonucleotide stage or after depurination.

Reaction of AFB₁ Epoxides with DNA. A solution of AFB₁ epoxides (*exo:endo* ~4:1, 0.2 mg) in anhydrous acetone (100 μL) was added with stirring to ~2 mg of calf thymus DNA in 1.0 mL of 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.2, at 10 °C. After 30 min, the reaction mixture was acidified to pH 2.0 with 0.1 M HCl and heated at 80 °C for 30 min. The solution was filtered through a 0.45-μm filter. Formation of guanyl-N7 adduct(s) was assayed by reverse-phase HPLC (30% CH₃CN/CH₃OH (1:1 v/v) in 20 mM ammonium acetate buffer, pH 3.9, 1.5 mL/min). Retention times of the N7-guanyl adduct and the AFB₁ dihydrodiols were 7.1 and 4.3–5.3 min, respectively. A similar experiment was

performed after partial methanolysis of the epoxide mixture by the addition of an equal volume (100 μ L) of CH_3OH (room temperature, 2–3 min) prior to reaction with DNA. No adducts derived from the *endo* epoxide were observed.

Bacterial Mutagenesis Assays. For the assay of *exo* AFB₁ epoxide, crystalline *exo* AFB₁ epoxide dissolved in acetone was added to the cell suspension. For the assay of the *endo* AFB₁ epoxide, a mixture of *exo* and *endo* AFB₁ epoxides (4:1; obtained from the mother liquor after crystallization of the *exo* AFB₁ epoxide) was allowed to hydrolyze for 15 min in acetone/ H_2O (pH 7.0, 1:1 v/v) at 23 °C and then used as the source of the *endo* AFB₁ epoxide. During this period the amount of *exo* epoxide decreased $\sim 10^4$ -fold, while the amount of *endo* epoxide decreased only $\sim 25\%$. The mutation assay was carried out by the procedure of Maron and Ames (1983) in the preincubation mode.²⁸ The AFB₁ epoxides (*exo* in acetone and *endo* in acetone/ H_2O (1:1 v/v) with the total volume of acetone < 25 μ L) were added to *Salmonella typhimurium* TA100 cell suspension in 0.2 M sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, and diluted to give a final volume of 700 μ L. After 5 min at 23 °C, the preincubation mixture was added to molten top agar enriched with trace histidine and biotin, which was then poured on minimal glucose agar plates. The revertant colonies on each plate were scored after incubation for 48 h at 37 °C. Cytotoxicity appeared at the highest dose of each AFB₁ epoxide. AFB₁ dihydrodiol did not elicit a mutagenic response. The results for the *exo* and *endo* epoxides are presented in parts A and B of Figure 3, respectively (note differences in scales of the two plots). The data are replotted in Figure 3C to emphasize the difference in mutagenicity.

Results and Discussion

A study of the hydrolysis of the two epoxides was carried out in aqueous acetone at pH 7.4. Reaction rates were determined by removing samples from the reaction mixture and quenching with excess methanol. The study revealed that the *exo* epoxide is ~ 40 -fold more reactive than the *endo* (see Figure 1). Presumably, a similar relationship exists in water alone; however, the reactions are too fast in pure water to measure by this technique. The substantial difference in reactivities of the epoxides is noteworthy. Steric considerations might suggest that the reactivities would be reversed. It seems likely the reactivity difference is due to an anomeric effect. Studies of *cis*- and *trans*-2,5-dimethoxytetrahydrofurans have shown them to have similar reactivity (within a factor of 2).²⁹ A study of tetrahydropyranyl diethers by Kirby and Martin showed the *trans*-linked species to undergo spontaneous hydrolysis 200-fold slower than the *cis*.³⁰ They accounted for this difference in terms of participation of the antiperiplanar lone pair of the distal oxygen of the *cis* isomer in stabilization of the transition state, whereas the distal oxygen of the *trans* isomer is sterically precluded from offering this assistance. Detailed conformational data for the aflatoxin epoxides will be required to analyze the reactivity differences. Crystal structures have been reported for aflatoxins B₁ and B₂,^{31,32} however, we have not been able to prepare crystals of the *exo* epoxide suitable for single-crystal crystallography. Attempts to crystallize the *endo* epoxide must await isolation of the compound in pure form.

Studies of the methanolysis of epoxides 2 and 3 were carried out. The *exo* epoxide can be obtained in pure form by recrystallization. The supernatant solutions from recrystallizations are enriched in *endo* isomer, but no method has been found by which the *endo* epoxide can be fully purified. Chromatographic purifications were frustrated by the sensitivity of both epoxides to adventitious moisture. Consequently, kinetic studies of the *endo* epoxide were carried out using mixtures with the *exo* isomer.

Treatment of the *exo* epoxide with anhydrous CH_3OH gave a single product (4, Scheme 2). The ^1H NMR spectrum of 4 was




Figure 3. Revertants produced in *S. typhimurium* TA100 by AFB₁ epoxides: (A) *exo* AFB₁ epoxide (■); (B) *endo* AFB₁ epoxide (○); (C) comparison of *exo* AFB₁ epoxide (■) and *endo* AFB₁ epoxide (○) mutagenicity. Each point in plots A and B represents the mean \pm SD ($n = 3$, duplicate assay for each of the three data).

similar to one reported by Coles *et al.*¹¹ for the ethanolysis product which they had assigned as the *trans* adduct. The NMR spectrum of methanolysis product 4 confirmed the *trans* assignment, i.e., negligible vicinal coupling constants between H8 and H9 and between H9 and H9a ($^3J_{8,9}$ and $^3J_{9,9a} = \sim 0$ Hz), lack of NOE between H6a and the 8-methoxy group, and the presence of NOE from H6a to H8 (see Figure 4).

The *endo* isomer also yielded only a single product which was isolated by HPLC. Using NMR, its structure was established as *trans* adduct 5. As shown in Figure 4, the H8–H9 and H9–H9a vicinal coupling constants were 2.8 and 7.5 Hz. The large H9–H9a coupling constant precluded the compound being 6, the *cis* product of the *exo* epoxide. Nuclear Overhauser effects were examined. An NOE was observed from the 8-methoxy group to H6a, placing the methoxy group on the α face.

The methanolysis reactions were repeated under acidic conditions using HCl as the catalyst. The *exo* isomer gave a 5:1

(28) Maron, D. M.; Ames, B. N. *Mutation Res.* **1983**, *113*, 173–215.

(29) (a) Kankaanpera, A. *Suom. Kemistilehti* **1969**, *B42*, 208–212. (b) Kankaanpera, A.; Mäki, K. *Acta Chem. Scand.* **1969**, *23*, 1471–1476.

(30) Kirby, A. J.; Martin, R. J. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1979**, 1079–1080.

(31) Van Soest, T. C.; Peerdeman, A. F. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B* **1970**, *26*, 1940–1947, 1947–1955, 1956–1963.

(32) Cheung, K. K.; Sim, G. A. *Nature (London)* **1964**, *201*, 1186–1188.




Figure 4. Coupling constants (Hz) and NOEs (%) observed for compounds 4–6 obtained from methanolysis of AFB₁ epoxides 2 and 3. Only data for the tetrahydrofuran portions of the molecules is reported. The arrows in the NOE data indicate the direction of the NOE effect; that is, a double-headed arrow means the effect was seen in both directions.

mixture of the *trans* adduct 4 and a new species identified as *cis* adduct 6. The NMR spectrum of 6 showed $^3J_{8,9}$ and $^3J_{9,9a}$ vicinal coupling constants of 2.7 and 1.2 Hz (Figure 4). The *cis* ethanolysis product derived from the *exo* epoxide of an aflatoxin model has been described;¹¹ it shows values of 3.3 and 1.5 Hz, respectively, for vicinal coupling constants corresponding to $^3J_{8,9}$ and $^3J_{9,9a}$ in 6. No isomerization occurred when *trans* isomer 4 was treated with acidic CH₃OH under the conditions that had been used to prepare 6, indicating that 6 had arisen directly from the epoxide, not by an acid-catalyzed isomerization of *trans* adduct 4. Methanolysis of the *endo* epoxide under acidic conditions gave *trans* product 5 as the only detectable product. This assertion must be made with caution due to the fact that *endo* epoxide was not available in pure form and small quantities of the *cis* methanolysis product might have escaped detection in the product mixture.

Reactions of the epoxides in H₂O may have more S_N1 character than in CH₃OH due to the higher dielectric constant. While it would be desirable to observe directly the stereochemistry of hydrolysis of the epoxides in H₂O, interpretation of the stereochemical outcome of such experiments is compromised by the fact that the hydrolysis products, the 8,9-dihydrodiols, are hemiacetals and equilibration of the *cis* and *trans* diols can occur via ring cleavage to the aldehyde (Scheme 3). As a probe for possible changes in mechanism of solvolysis in H₂O, a series of methanolysis reactions were carried out on the *exo* epoxide in CH₃OH/H₂O mixtures containing increasing mole fractions of H₂O. The yield of methanolysis products drops as the mole fraction of CH₃OH is decreased, but the stereochemistry of the products provides a sensitive probe of mechanism. Reactions of the epoxides carried out with pure *exo* epoxide and mixtures of *exo* and *endo* in CH₃OH/H₂O mixtures containing 20, 40, 60, and 80% H₂O were found to give only the *trans* products. Furthermore, the yield of *trans* product 4 dropped in a linear fashion, providing further evidence that no change in mechanism was occurring (see Figure 2). Quantitation of the *endo* product was less precise due to it being the minor constituent of a mixture, but no obvious change of mechanism was observed during the transition from pure methanol to 20:80 CH₃OH/H₂O.

The aflatoxin epoxides can be regarded as highly strained acetals. The solvolytic reactions of acetals, hemiacetals, and the hydration–dehydration of simple aldehydes and ketones have long been the subject of mechanistic investigation.^{33,34} The central issue has been to identify the structures of transition states. Positive charge in the transition state will be stabilized by electron donation from oxygen. However, S_N2 processes as well as S_N1 will generally be facilitated by this process. The transition states for S_N2 processes in hydroxylic solvents are likely to involve hydrogen bonding or outright protonation of the epoxide oxygen contributing positive charge to the transition state.

Jencks has concluded that S_N1 processes are unlikely in solvolytic cleavage of acetals.^{34,35} He has reasoned that oxocarbenium ions resulting from cleavage of acetals are too unstable to exist as free intermediates and predicts that these reactions should proceed through a preassociation or concerted mechanism. Oxocarbenium ions are frequently invoked in the hydrolysis of glycosides, but Sinnott and Jencks have shown that the rate of solvolysis is dependent on the leaving group.³⁶ Discrimination between competing entering nucleophiles is dependent upon the nature of the leaving groups. Thus, the leaving group is involved in the transition state. Jencks points out that a cationic intermediate can be stabilized by simultaneous close association with both the entering and leaving groups. Furthermore, this stabilization can occur not only in *trans* geometry but also in *cis*.

The alcoholysis reactions of the aflatoxin epoxides provide a unique opportunity for probing the structure of the transition state; the cleavage products provide direct evidence for the stereochemistry of reaction. Cleavage of the acetal linkage occurs exclusively by rupture of the bond between C8 and the epoxide oxygen. Alcoholysis reactions, such as the methanolysis reactions studied herein, are sufficiently facile that cleavage can be observed under conditions where the product methyl acetal is stable. The rigorous *trans* geometry of products derived from the *exo* epoxides argues strongly for an S_N2 process. It is likely that hydrogen bonding of solvent with the epoxide assists the displacement reaction in which the nucleophile must approach from the more hindered *endo* face.

The reaction appears to undergo a change of mechanism under acidic conditions with the rigorous *trans* geometry being lost. We attribute the difference in stereochemical outcome to the acid-catalyzed process involving cleavage of the protonated epoxide, whereas the neutral condition involves, at most, an epoxide activated by hydrogen bonding. Although formation of *cis* product would appear to be *prima facie* evidence for an S_N1 process, Jencks has argued that *cis* products, as well as *trans*, can arise from concerted mechanisms.

Methanolysis of the *endo* epoxide is stereospecific under both neutral and acidic conditions. This result provides only limited insight on the mechanism of reaction since steric factors combine with electronic to favor *trans* entry of the incoming nucleophile. This contrasts with the *exo* situation where *trans* attack by the nucleophile requires the attack to be from the less desirable *endo* face. We conclude that near neutrality the solvolytic pathway in both CH₃OH and H₂O is S_N2 not S_N1.

Reaction of the epoxides with DNA were examined, first with the self-complementary oligonucleotide d(ATGCAT) and then with calf thymus DNA. Previous studies in this laboratory had shown that d(ATGCAT)₂, at temperatures where it existed as a duplex, reacted readily with the *exo* epoxide of aflatoxin to give a guanyl adduct in each chain.²⁰ High yields of adduct were also observed with high molecular weight DNA.²⁶ The slower reaction of the *endo* isomer with solvent would in itself not deter reaction with DNA and could conceivably give higher yields of the guanyl

(33) Cordes, E. H.; Hull, H. G. *Chem. Rev.* **1974**, *74*, 581–603.

(34) Jencks, W. P. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1980**, *13*, 161–169.

(35) Young, P. R.; Jencks, W. P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1977**, *99*, 8238–8248.

(36) Sinnott, M. L.; Jencks, W. P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1980**, *102*, 2026–2032.





Figure 5. Trajectory of S_N2 attack of the N7 position of guanine on epoxides 2 and 3 when intercalated in DNA. Note that the orientation of 2 is optimal for backside attack on the epoxide but the orientation of 3 precludes backside attack.

Scheme 3



epoxide places the C–O bond of the oxirane ring aiming toward guanine N7 rather than away from it; these relationships are depicted in Figure 5. Consequently, DNA adduction by an S_N2 mechanism is precluded for the *endo* epoxide, and hydrolysis becomes the default process. It should be noted that, if the two epoxides had been able to undergo S_N1 cleavage processes under neutral conditions, both of them would have been able to form guanine adducts via intercalated transition states, i.e., the *exo* and *endo* epoxide would have formed *trans* and *cis* adducts, respectively.

The mutagenicity of the AFB₁ epoxides was assessed using the *S. typhimurium* TA100 reversion system of Maron and Ames.²⁸ This strain is highly sensitive to AFB₁ when activated by microsomal preparations. The mixture of AFB₁ epoxides produces a high revertant rate in this strain. To evaluate the mutagenicity of the two epoxides, the *exo* AFB₁ epoxide could be tested in pure form but *endo* AFB₁ epoxide had to be used in mixtures with the *exo* epoxide. However, the effect of the *exo* epoxide was minimized by hydrolysis of the AFB₁ epoxide mixture for ~15 half-lives of the more reactive *exo* epoxide. Substantial amounts of the *endo* epoxide were lost (~25%) by this process, but the final solution was essentially free of *exo* epoxide. The experiments

showed that, whereas *exo* AFB₁ epoxide is a potent mutagen, the *endo* AFB₁ epoxide is at least 500-fold less active. Even that level of activity for the *endo* epoxide may reflect contamination by small quantities of the *exo* epoxide rather than a low-yield reaction with DNA. The data are shown in Figure 3A,B. The *exo* and *endo* data are overlaid in Figure 3C to assist the reader in visualizing the large difference between these two plots. It should be noted that *endo* epoxide prepared by this procedure is severely contaminated with AFB₁ dihydrodiols. However, the dihydrodiols derived from both epoxides are not mutagenic in this assay. These studies are fully consistent with the *exo* epoxide being the metabolite which causes AFB₁ to be potently carcinogenic. The *endo* isomer is apparently an innocuous detoxification product.

Acknowledgment. We thank the National Institutes for Health, U.S.P.H.S., for generous support of this research (ES00267, ES03755, and CA44353). R.T. thanks the Deutsches Forschungsgemeinschaft for a fellowship; K.R.D. thanks the National Institute for Environmental Health Sciences for a predoctoral traineeship (ES07028).