See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/269720822

ChemInform Abstract: Catalytic Generation of α -CF3 Enolate: Direct Catalytic Asymmetric Mannich-Type Reaction of α -CF3 Amide.

ARTICLE in JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN CHEMICAL SOCIETY · DECEMBER 2014							
Impact Factor: 12.11 · DOI: 10.1021/ja511458k · Source: PubMe	ed						
CITATIONS	READS						
3	38						

4 AUTHORS, INCLUDING:



Liang Yin

Chinese Academy of Sciences

22 PUBLICATIONS 625 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Catalytic Generation of α -CF₃ Enolate: Direct Catalytic Asymmetric Mannich-Type Reaction of α -CF₃ Amide

Liang Yin,[†] Lennart Brewitz,[†] Naoya Kumagai,*^{,†} and Masakatsu Shibasaki*^{,†,‡}

[†]Institute of Microbial Chemistry (BIKAKEN), Tokyo, 3-14-23 Kamiosaki, Shinagawa-ku, Tokyo 141-0021, Japan [‡]ACT-C, Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST), 3-14-23 Kamiosaki, Shinagawa-ku, Tokyo 141-0021, Japan

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: The introduction of the CF₃ unit is a common strategy for modifying pharmacokinetic properties and slowing metabolic degradation in medicinal chemistry. A catalytic and enantioselective addition of α -CF₃ enolates allows for expeditious access to functionalized chiral building blocks with CF₃-containing stereogenicity. To date, α -CF₃ enolates have been a less explored class of nucleophiles because of rapid defluorination. The present study reveals that a designed α -CF₃ amide enables a direct asymmetric Mannich-type reaction in a cooperative catalytic system.

rganofluorine compounds have attracted considerable interest because of their particular utility in material and biological applications. In the realm of medicinal chemistry, incorporation of a CF₃ group is a commonly employed strategy when seeking better drug candidates,² leading to significant advances in synthetic methodology for regio- and stereoselective trifluoromethylation.³ The exploitation of α -CF₃ enolate as an active nucleophile for enantioselective C-C bond-forming reactions is a viable strategy for pursuing this end, allowing rapid access to densely functionalized chiral building blocks possessing CF₃-containing stereogenicity. Despite the considerable advances in enolate-based chemistry over the past decades, 4 \alpha-CF_3 enolates have been only scarcely explored because of the notorious instability of α -CF₃ metal enolates. Fluoride is rapidly eliminated to give β , β -difluoro- α , β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds, which are prone to subsequent decomposition (Figure 1a).⁵⁻⁹ Nakai and co-workers showed that trapping of the enolates by silylation effectively suppressed the undesired β -elimination of fluoride, and the ketene silyl acetal thus obtained could be used for subsequent C–C bond formation (Figure 1b). 5,6,10 The first successful formation of α -CF₃ metal enolates from α -CF₃ carbonyl compounds was reported by Mikami and co-workers. They used stoichiometric amounts of TiCl₄ and Et₃N, which were applied to the antiselective aldol reaction. Detailed theoretical studies revealed that the linearity of the Ti-O-C array prevented Ti-F interactions, thus inhibiting the elimination of fluoride. For the synthesis of enantioenriched products using α-CF₃ enolate, Ishihara and coworkers exploited the properties of Si enolates and Ti enolates; an imide bearing a chiral auxiliary was sequentially transformed to the corresponding Ti enolate before reacting with aldehydes to give the aldol products with decent diastereoselectivity.8 Franck, Seon-Meniel, and Figadère independently reported a

(a) Rapid β -elimination of fluoride upon enolization of α -CF $_3$ carbonyl compounds.

$$X \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow decomposition$$

(b) Prior art in α -CF $_3$ enolate chemistry.

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Me}_3\text{SiOTf} \\ \text{Et}_3\text{N} \\ \text{CF}_3 \\ \text{Stoichiometric} \\ \text{Stoichiometric} \\ \text{TiCl}_4 \\ \text{Et}_3\text{N} \\ \text{Stoichiometric} \\ \text{Stoichiometric} \\ \text{TiTi} \\ \text{O} \\ \text{Stoichiometric} \\ \text{Stoichiometr$$

(c) Preformed enolate strategy vs catalytic generation of enolates.

(d) This work: direct use of $\alpha\text{-CF}_3$ amide for catalytic asymmetric

Figure 1. Overview of enolate chemistry of $\alpha\text{-CF}_3$ carbonyl compounds.

similar approach using TiCl₄/TMEDA.⁹ Ramachandran et al.¹¹ documented the utility of boron enolate for the aldol reaction of α -CF₃ esters, however, there is no general method to generate α -CF₃ enolates in a truly catalytic manner. Moreover, the access to enantioenriched products relied on the use of a stoichiometric amount of a chiral source.

Another topical issue in enolate chemistry is the direct catalytic generation of active enolate species, which are used for subsequent enantioselective C-C bond formation in a single

Received: November 7, 2014

Published: December 12, 2014

flask. Historically, preformed enolates using boron, silicon, and tin reagents have been preferably utilized as nucleophiles for tractable stereocontrol with chiral Lewis acid catalysts at the C-C bond-formation stage (Figure 1c). 12 Because of the inevitable coproduction of reagent-derived waste, the "direct" use of latent enolates has gained considerable attention in the chemical community over the past two decades as a truly catalytic and atom-economical protocol to access the enantioenriched products based on enolate chemistry. 4d,13,14 α -CF₃ carbonyl compounds have until now resisted the continuing challenges of direct enolization for enantioselective C-C bond formation, despite their potential synthetic utility. Herein we report a direct catalytic asymmetric Mannich-type reaction using an α -CF₃ amide via soft Lewis acid/hard Brønsted base cooperative catalysis (Figure 1d). The α -CF₃ enolate was catalytically generated without undesirable fluoride elimination, and the Mannich products were obtained with high diastereo- and enantioselectivity. This reaction offers an expeditious protocol to access enantioenriched β -amino acid derivatives bearing a pendant α -CF₃ group.

The envisioned reaction was pursued by exploiting the 7azaindolinylamide 1a as a latent α -CF₃ enolate. We recently documented the utility of the α -sulfanyl 7-azaindolinylamide as a pronucleophile in a direct catalytic asymmetric aldol reaction, ¹⁵ where the facilitated catalytic enolization of the amide via a soft Lewis acid/hard Brønsted base cooperative catalyst was key to the smooth reaction. 16 Earlier theoretical studies by Mikami and co-workers suggested that interrupting the interaction of a metal with a fluorine atom is crucial to prevent the β -elimination of fluoride upon metal enolate formation. 7b,c We reasoned that the bidentate coordination of the latent enolate to metals would fulfill this requirement and focused on the identification of suitable metal complexes and amide functionalities. 7-Azaindolinylamide 1a and a Cu(I)/rac-BINAP complex emerged as a promising combination with spectroscopic evidence. Whereas 1a was in almost exclusively the E conformation, 17,18 upon the addition of an equimolar amount of the Cu(I)/rac-BINAP complex, bidentate coordination of the Z conformer of 1a to Cu(I) was observed in the solution phase by nuclear Overhauser effect (NOE) analysis, most likely because of C-N bond rotation induced by the coordination to Cu(I) (Figure 2). 19 X-ray analysis of a single crystal obtained from the solution confirmed the bidentate coordination of 1a to Cu(I), with Cu(I) located away from the fluorine atoms of 1a.¹⁷

Figure 2. Preferred *E* conformation of amide **1a** and bidentate coordination to the Cu(I) complex as confirmed by NMR and X-ray crystallography. Pink, copper; orange, phosphorus; sky blue, fluorine; red, oxygen; blue, nitrogen; gray, carbon; white, hydrogen.

On the basis of these observations, catalytic deprotonation of ${\bf 1a}$ to generate α -CF $_3$ enolate was examined in a Mannich-type reaction with N-Boc imine ${\bf 2a}$. The combined use of the soft Lewis acid Cu(I)/(R)-tol-BINAP complex (10 mol%) and the hard Brønsted base Li(OC $_6$ H $_4$ - $_P$ -OMe) (10 mol%) afforded the desired Mannich product ${\bf 3a}$ in 93% yield (based on ${\bf 1a}$) with encouraging stereoselectivity: syn/anti = 1.9/1, 50% ee (syn) (Chart 1). This preliminary result revealed that (1) the

Chart 1. Screening of α -CF₃ Amides as Pronucleophiles in the Direct Catalytic Asymmetric Mannich-Type Reaction

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Boc} & \text{O} \\ \text{Ph} & \text{H} & \text{CF}_3 \\ \\ \textbf{2a} & \textbf{1} \\ \\ \textbf{X} = \begin{array}{c} \text{N} & \text{1a} \\ \text{N} & \text{Syn/anti} = 1.9/1 \\ \text{50\% ee} \, (syn) \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{[Cu(CH_3CN)_4]PF}_6 & \text{10 mol\%} \\ \text{(R)-tol-BINAP} & \text{12 mol\%} \\ \text{10 mol\%} \\ \text{THF, rt, 24 h} \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{BocHN} & \text{O} \\ \text{Ph} & \text{CF}_3 \\ \end{array} \\ \textbf{X} = \begin{array}{c} \text{N} & \text{1a} \\ \text{N} & \text{Syn/anti} = 1.9/1 \\ \text{Syn/anti} = 2.3/1 \\ \text{81\% ee} \, (syn) \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{N} & \text{1c} \\ \text{NR} & \text{NR} \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{N} & \text{1d} \\ \text{NR} & \text{NR} \\ \text{NR} & \text{Syn/anti} = 2.3/1 \\ \text{81\% ee} \, (syn) \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{NR} & \text{NR} & \text{NR} \\ \text{NR} & \text{NR} & \text{NR} & \text{NR} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{NR} & \text{NR} & \text{NR} \\ \text{NR} & \text{NR} & \text{NR} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{NR} & \text{NR} & \text{NR} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{NR} & \text{NR} & \text{NR} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{NR} & \text{NR} & \text{NR} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{NR} & \text{NR} & \text{NR} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{NR} & \text{NR} & \text{NR} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{NR} & \text{NR} & \text{NR} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{NR} & \text{NR} & \text{NR} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{NR} & \text{NR} & \text{NR} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{NR} & \text{NR} & \text{NR} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{NR} & \text{NR} & \text{NR} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{NR} & \text{NR} & \text{NR} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{NR} & \text{NR} & \text{NR} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{NR} & \text{NR} & \text{NR} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{NR} & \text{NR} & \text{NR} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{NR} & \text{NR} & \text{NR} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{NR} & \text{NR} & \text{NR} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{NR} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{NR} & \text{NR} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{NR} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{NR} & \text{NR} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{NR} & \text{NR} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{NR$$

undesirable β -elimination of fluoride barely occurred and (2) smooth catalytic turnover was achieved even with apparently tight bidentate coordination of 1a to Cu(I). Amide 1d derived from 2-(methylamino)pyridine afforded the desired product in moderate yield while the isomeric amide 1b, indolinylamide 1c, and dimethylamide 1e failed in the reaction, indicating that bidentate coordination is crucial for efficient enolization. Other potential α -CF₃ pronucleophiles 4 and 5 afforded no Mannich products at all, implying that the inductive effect of the α -CF₃ group is not the sole factor for facilitated deprotonation. Given the smooth α -CF₃ enolate formation of amide 1a with the soft Lewis acid/hard Brønsted base cooperative catalytic system, chiral ligands were screened to achieve better stereocontrol (Table 1). Biaryl-type bisphosphine chiral ligands with different skeletons generally afforded the desired products in high yield, albeit with low diastereo- and enantioselectivity (entries 1-3). The alkylphosphine complex $Cu(I)/(R_iR)$ -Ph-BPE exhibited poor catalytic activity (entry 4). Ferrocene-embedded arvlphosphines were beneficial to give the syn product predominantly, although the enantioselectivity remained low to moderate (entries 5 and 6). Biaryl-type ligands possessing bulkier aryl groups on phosphorus proved to be very effective in reaching high diastereo- and enantioselectivity (entries 7 and 8).²³ The use of Barton's base as an alternative to Li(OC₆H₄-p-OMe) was a viable option to give similar reaction outcomes, circumventing the need for the careful preparation of the Li base in a separate flask (entry 9). The catalyst loading was reduced to 5 mol% without any loss of stereoselectivity (entry 10).²⁴

Table 2 summarizes the generality of the Mannich-type reaction with regard to the imine substrate. The reactions reached completion with catalyst loadings of 5–10 mol% at room temperature, and generally high levels of stereoselectivity were observed. Irrespective of alkyl, vinyl, methoxy, or halogen substitution on the aromatic ring, the corresponding *syn* products were obtained exclusively with high enantioselectivity (entries 1–9). The catalytic system was sufficiently robust to perform the reaction on a 1.5 g scale (entry 7). Imines bearing a *p*-TfO group afforded the *syn* product with decreased diastereoselectivity, albeit with high enantioselectivity (entry 10). Imines derived

Table 1. Ligand Screening for the Direct Catalytic Asymmetric Mannich-Type Reaction of 1a and N-Boc Imine 2a

entry	ligand	base	yield (%) ^a	syn/anti ^b	ee $(\%)^b$
1	(R)-tol-BINAP	Li(OC ₆ H ₄ -p-OMe)	93	1.9/1	50
2	(R)-Segphos	Li(OC ₆ H ₄ -p-OMe)	95	1.8/1	23
3	(R)-Difluorphos	$Li(OC_6H_4-p-OMe)$	95	1.1/1	-5
4	(R,R)-Ph-BPE	Li(OC ₆ H ₄ -p-OMe)	13	1.4/1	39
5	(R,R_p) -Walphos	$Li(OC_6H_4-p-OMe)$	92	13/1	-29
6	(R,R_n) -Taniaphos	Li(OC ₆ H ₄ -p-OMe)	93	>20/1	-35
7	(R)-DTBM-Segphos	$Li(OC_6H_4-p-OMe)$	91	>20/1	99
8	(R)-DIPA-MeO-Biphep	Li(OC ₆ H ₄ -p-OMe)	94	>20/1	99
9	(R)-DIPA-MeO-Biphep	Barton's base	95	>20/1	99
10^{c}	(R)-DIPA-MeO-Biphep	Barton's base	94	>20/1	99
		(n) n.a. 1		Dt.	DI-

^aIsolated yields. ^bDetermined by chiral-stationary-phase HPLC analysis. ^c5 mol% [Cu(CH₃CN)₄]PF₆ and Barton's base, and 6 mol% of ligand were used.

Table 2. Substrate Scope of the Direct Catalytic Asymmetric Mannich-Type Reaction of 1a and N-Boc Imines 2^a

^aIsolated yields are shown. ^b1.5 g of amide 1a was used. ^c5 equiv of imine was used. ^dAt 0 °C. ^eAt -20 °C.

from heteroaromatic aldehydes were also suitable substrates (entries 11–13). Of particular note is the successful application of the reaction conditions to enolization-prone aliphatic *N*-Boc

imines at lower temperature, highlighting the mild reaction conditions of the present catalytic system (entries 14-16). ²⁵

The Mannich products can serve as versatile chiral building blocks having a CF₃-substituted stereogenic center (Scheme 1).

Scheme 1. Transformation of the Mannich Product^a

Bochn O Bochn O Bochn O Bochn O Ar
$$74\%$$
 Ar CF_3 N 66% Bochn O Ar CF_3 $Ar = p\text{-MeOC}_6H_4$ $3g$ 6

"Reagents and conditions: (a) LiAlH₄, Et₂O, -45 °C, 1.5 h. (b) NaClO₂, NaH₂PO₄·2H₂O, 2-methyl-2-butene, 'BuOH/H₂O, rt, 5 h, 66% (two steps). (c) NaBH₄, Et₂O/MeOH, 0 °C, 1.5 h, 74% (two steps).

The 7-azaindolinylamide was readily reduced to the corresponding aldehyde with LiAlH₄, which was further transformed to β -amino acid **6** via Pinnick oxidation, albeit with marginal epimerization (10/1). 26,27 γ -Amino alcohol 7 was obtained via sequential hydride reduction without epimerization.

In summary, an α -CF₃ enolate of 7-azaindolinylamide was catalytically generated and integrated into the direct asymmetric Mannich-type reaction via cooperative catalysis. Both aromatic and aliphatic *N*-Boc imines were transformed into β -amino acid derivatives possessing α -CF₃ stereogenicity. Application of the present protocol to medicinal chemistry is currently underway.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Procedures and characterization data. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

nkumagai@bikaken.or.jp mshibasa@bikaken.or.jp

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest. Patent application submitted.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was financially supported by JST ACT-C and by KAKENHI (25713002) from JSPS. L.Y. thanks the JSPS for a Postdoctoral Fellowship for Foreign Researchers. Dr. Ryuichi Sawa and Ms. Yumiko Kubota are gratefully acknowledged for NMR analysis. We thank Dr. Tomoyuki Kimura and Mr. Akinobu Matsuzawa for assistance with X-ray crystallography.

REFERENCES

- (1) (a) Kirsch, P. Modern Fluoroorganic Chemistry: Synthesis, Reactivity, Applications; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2004. (b) Mikami, K.; Itoh, Y.; Yamanaka, M. Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 1. (c) Ma, J.-A.; Cahard, D. Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 6119. (d) Shimizu, M.; Hiyama, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 214. (e) Schlosser, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 5432.
- (2) (a) Bégué, J.-P.; Bonnet-Delpon, D. Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry of Fluorine; Wiley: Hoboken, NJ, 2008. (b) Hagmann, W. K. J. Med. Chem. 2008, S1, 4359. (c) Fluorine in Medicinal Chemistry and Chemical Biology; Ojima, I., Ed.; Wiley-Blackwell: Chichester, U.K., 2009. (d) Salwiczek, M.; Nyakatura, E. K.; Gerling, U. I. M.; Ye, S.; Koksch, B. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 2135. (e) Gouverneur, V.; Müller,

- K. Fluorine in Pharmaceutical and Medicinal Chemistry: From Biophysical Aspects to Clinical Applications; Imperial College Press: London, 2012.
- (3) For recent reviews, see: (a) Zheng, Y.; Ma, J.-A. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2010, 352, 2745. (b) Furuya, T.; Kamlet, A. S.; Ritter, T. Nature 2011, 473, 470. (c) Roy, S.; Gregg, B. T.; Gribble, G. W.; Le, V.-D.; Roy, S. Tetrahedron 2011, 67, 2161. (d) Tomashenko, O. A.; Grushin, V. V. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 4475. (e) Amii, H. J. Synth. Org. Chem. Jpn. 2011, 69, 752. (f) Wu, X.-F.; Neumann, H.; Beller, M. Chem.—Asian J. 2012, 7, 1744. (g) Ye, Y.; Sanford, M. S. Synlett 2012, 23, 2005. (h) Studer, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 8950. (i) Chen, P.; Liu, G. Synthesis 2013, 45, 2919. (j) Liu, H.; Gu, Z.; Jiang, X. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2013, 355, 617. (k) Liang, T.; Neumann, C. N.; Ritter, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 8214. (l) Merino, E.; Nevado, C. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2014, 43, 6598.
- (4) (a) New Frontiers in Asymmetric Catalysis; Mikami, K., Lautens, M., Eds.; Wiley: New York, 2007. (b) Fundamentals of Asymmetric Catalysis; Walsh, P. J., Kozlowski, M. C., Eds.; University Science Books: Sausalito, CA, 2009. (c) Enantioselective Chemical Synthesis; Corey, E. J., Kürti, L., Eds.; Direct Book Publishing: Dallas, TX, 2010. (d) Modern Methods in Stereoselective Aldol Reactions; Mahrwald, R., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2013.
- (5) (a) Yokozawa, T.; Nakai, T.; Ishikawa, N. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 3987. (b) Yokozawa, T.; Nakai, T.; Ishikawa, N. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 3991.
- (6) (a) Ishihara, T. J. Synth. Org. Chem. Jpn. 1992, 50, 347.
 (b) Uneyama, K.; Katagiri, T.; Amii, H. Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 817.
- (7) (a) Itoh, Y.; Yamanaka, M.; Mikami, K. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 4807.
 (b) Itoh, Y.; Yamanaka, M.; Mikami, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 13174.
 (c) Mikami, K.; Itoh, Y. Chem. Rec. 2006, 6, 1.
- (8) Shimada, T.; Yoshioka, M.; Konno, T.; Ishihara, T. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 1129.
- (9) Franck, X.; Seon-Meniel, B.; Figadère, B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2006**, 45, 5174.
- (10) For seminal work on the use of silyl enol ethers, see: (a) Mukaiyama, T.; Narasaka, K.; Banno, K. *Chem. Lett.* **1973**, 1011. For recent reviews of silyl enol ethers, see: (b) Beutner, G. L.; Denmark, S. E. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, 52, 9086. (c) Kan, S. B. J.; Ng, K. K.-H.; Paterson, I. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, 52, 9097. (d) Matsuo, J.; Murakami, M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, 52, 9109.
- (11) (a) Ramachandran, P. V.; Parthasarathy, G.; Gagare, P. D. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 4474. (b) Ramachandran, P. V.; Parthasarathy, G.; Gagare, P. D. Tetrahedron Lett. 2011, 52, 5359. (c) Ramachandran, P. V.; Gagare, P. D.; Parthasarathy, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 2011, 52, 6055.
- (12) (a) Lewis Acids in Organic Synthesis; Yamamoto, H., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2000. (b) Acid Catalysis in Modern Organic Synthesis; Yamamoto, H., Ishihara, K., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2008; Vols. 1 and 2.
- (13) For reviews of direct aldol reactions as a representative example of the direct use of latent enolates, see: (a) Alcaide, B.; Almendros, P. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 1595. (b) Notz, W.; Tanaka, F.; Barbas, C. F., III. Acc. Chem. Res. 2004, 37, 580. (c) Mukherjee, S.; Yang, J. W.; Hoffmann, S.; List, B. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 5471. (d) Trost, B. M.; Brindle, C. S. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2010, 39, 1600.
- (14) Trost, B. M. Science 1991, 254, 1471.
- (15) Weidner, K.; Kumagai, N.; Shibasaki, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2014**, 53, 6150.
- (16) For recent reviews on cooperative catalysis, see: Lewis acid/Brønsted base: (a) Shibasaki, M.; Yoshikawa, N. Chem. Rev. 2002, 102, 2187. (b) Kumagai, N.; Shibasaki, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 4760. Lewis acid/Lewis base: (c) Kanai, M.; Kato, N.; Ichikawa, E.; Shibasaki, M. Synlett 2005, 1491. (d) Paull, D. H.; Abraham, C. J.; Scerba, M. T.; Alden-Danforth, E.; Lectka, T. Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 655. Lewis acid/Brønsted acid and Lewis acid/Lewis acid: (e) Yamamoto, H.; Futatsugi, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 1924. (f) Yamamoto, H.; Futatsugi, K. In Acid Catalysis in Modern Organic Synthesis; Yamamoto, H., Ishihara, K., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2008.
- (17) Tertiary amides bearing an N-alkyl and an N-aryl group generally favor the conformation with the N-aryl group at the opposite side of the

- carbonyl group. See: (a) Pedersen, B. F.; Pedersen, B. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1965**, *6*, 2995. (b) Nanjan, M. J.; Kannappan, V.; Ganesan, R. *Indian J. Chem.* **1979**, *18B*, 461. (c) Itai, A.; Toriumi, Y.; Tomioka, N.; Kagechika, H.; Azumaya, I.; Shudo, K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1989**, *30*, 6177. (d) Saito, S.; Toriumi, Y.; Tomioka, N.; Itai, A. *J. Org. Chem.* **1995**, *60*, 4715.
- (18) See the Supporting Information for details.
- (19) For an intriguing example of the conformational change of a tertiary amide (*N*-[*p*-(dimethylamino)phenyl]-*N*-phenylacetamide) upon protonation, see: Yamasaki, R.; Tanatani, A.; Azumaya, I.; Saito, S.; Yamaguchi, K.; Kagechika, H. *Org. Lett.* **2003**, *5*, 1265.
- (20) For reviews of direct Mannich (-type) reactions, see: (a) Córdova, A. Acc. Chem. Res. 2004, 37, 102. (b) Marques, M. M. B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 348. (c) Ting, A.; Schaus, S. E. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 5797. (d) Verkade, J. M. M.; van Hemert, L. J. C.; Quaedflieg, P. J. L. M.; Rutjes, F. P. J. T. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37, 29. (e) Karimi, B.; Enders, D.; Jafari, E. Synthesis 2013, 45, 2769.
- (21) For the use of a direct catalytic asymmetric aldol reaction and direct catalytic Mannich-type reaction of *N*-Boc amides, see: (a) Saito, S.; Kobayashi, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 8704. (b) Saito, S.; Tsubogo, T.; Kobayashi, S. *Chem. Commun.* **2007**, 1236.
- (22) For a pioneering example of a direct catalytic asymmetric Mannich-type reaction of amides, see: Kobayashi, S.; Kiyohara, H.; Yamaguchi, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 708.
- (23) The relative and absolute configurations of **3a** were determined by X-ray crystallographic analysis (see the Supporting Information).
- (24) Catalyst loadings less than 5 mol% caused lower conversion.
- (25) The relative and absolute configurations of **30** were determined by X-ray crystallographic analysis (see the Supporting Information).
- (26) Bal, B. S.; Childers, W. E., Jr.; Pinnick, H. W. Tetrahedron 1981, 37, 2091.
- (27) For a comprehensive review of β -amino acids and β -peptides, see: Seebach, D.; Beck, A. K.; Bierbaum, D. J. *Chem. Biodiversity* **2004**, *1*, 1111