

Structure-Energy Relationship in Barbituric Acid: A Calorimetric, Computational, and Crystallographic Study

María Victoria Roux,^{*,†} Manuel Temprado,[†] Rafael Notario,[†] Concepción Foces-Foces,[†] Vladimir N. Emel'yanenko,[‡] and Sergey P. Verevkin[‡]

Instituto de Química Física "Rocasolano", CSIC, Serrano 119, 28006 Madrid, Spain, and Department of Physical Chemistry, University of Rostock, Hermannstrasse 14, D-18051 Rostock, Germany

Received: April 18, 2008; Revised Manuscript Received: June 5, 2008

This paper reports the value of the standard ($p^\circ = 0.1$ MPa) molar enthalpy of formation in the gas phase at $T = 298.15$ K for barbituric acid. The enthalpies of combustion and sublimation were measured by static bomb combustion calorimetry and transference (transpiration) method in a saturated N_2 stream and a gas-phase enthalpy of formation value of $-(534.3 \pm 1.7)$ kJ·mol⁻¹ was determined at $T = 298.15$ K. G3-calculated enthalpies of formation are in very good agreement with the experimental value. The behavior of the sample as a function of the temperature was studied by differential scanning calorimetry, and a new polymorph of barbituric acid at high temperature was found. In the solid state, two anhydrous forms are known displaying two out of the six hydrogen-bonding patterns observed in the alkyl/alkenyl derivatives retrieved from the Cambridge Crystallographic Database. The stability of these motifs has been analyzed by theoretical calculations. X-ray powder diffraction technique was used to establish to which polymorphic form corresponds to the commercial sample used in this study and to characterize the new form at high temperature.

1. Introduction

Two basic properties of chemical compounds are their structure and energy. These are intimately related because the energy associated with a particular structure depends on the atoms, types of bonds, bonds angles, and torsional angles that form the molecular framework.¹

Thermodynamic data such as enthalpies of formation are often helpful in the understanding of the structural, conformational, and reactivity trends exhibited by molecules. The data are needed to estimate the amount of energy released or absorbed in a chemical reaction, in calculating other thermodynamics functions, and more importantly in assessing the relative stability of molecules. One of the purposes of thermochemistry is to derive the enthalpies of formation of compounds from their elements and to relate the energy to structure and chemical binding.^{2,3}

Over the past years, we have been involved in the thermochemical study of the energetics of nitrogen containing compounds such as amides,^{4–7} β -lactams,⁸ azoles,^{9–11} and cyclic ureas.¹² The fundamental interest in our work is to develop an understanding of structural effects on thermodynamic stabilities that are as reflected in the gas-phase enthalpies of formation of the compounds studied.

We are presently involved in the study of the thermochemistry of barbituric acid derivatives. The main purposes of this study are to provide some reliable data for the family of barbiturates that can be used for the estimation of the thermochemical properties of related compounds and also to contribute to the study of the influence of steric, electrostatic, and stereoelectronic interactions produced by substituents on the thermochemical stability of these molecules.

Barbituric acid (2,4,6(1*H*,3*H*,5*H*)-pyrimidinetrione, CAS number: 67–52–7) is a very well-known organic compound based on a pyrimidine heterocyclic skeleton.¹³ It was synthesized for the first time in 1864 by von Baeyer,¹⁴ and it is the parent compound of a large class of barbiturates that act as central nervous system depressants; by virtue of this they produce a wide spectrum of physiological effects. They are used in medicine as sedatives, hypnotics, soporifics, anticonvulsants, or as adjuncts in anesthesia.^{15,16}

Barbituric acid itself is not pharmacologically active.¹³ Barbital or barbitone (5,5-diethyl barbituric acid) was the first commercially available barbiturate, and it was used as a sleeping aid from 1903 until the mid-1950s. However, fatal overdoses of this slow-acting hypnotic were not uncommon. Phenobarbital (5-ethyl-5-phenyl barbituric acid), also called phenobarbitone, is the most widely used anticonvulsant worldwide and the oldest still in use. Other applications of barbituric acid derivatives comprise their use as antivirals,¹⁷ in photochemical nanoscience,^{18,19} as dyes,^{20,21} polymers,²² dental material,^{23,24} and as polymerization catalysts.²⁵ Furthermore, it is an important precursor in the synthesis of riboflavin.²⁶

In the context of a systematic study of the thermodynamic properties of this family of compounds and in spite of the important uses and applications of barbituric acid derivatives, reliable experimental thermochemical studies are scarce. To our knowledge, there is only one report²⁷ of the gas phase enthalpy of formation of the parent compound (barbituric acid), values for the enthalpies of formation in the condensed phase of the 5-nitro²⁸ and 5,5-dihydroxy²⁹ derivatives, and two very old reports of the enthalpy of combustion of the 5,5-diethyl derivative (barbital).^{30,31} Moreover, there are three relatively recent determinations of the enthalpy of sublimation of barbituric acid that span a 13 kJ·mol⁻¹ range.^{27,32,33}

The current study provides a new and more reliable determination of the enthalpy of formation in the gas phase for barbituric acid. The approach selected is a combination of

* To whom correspondence should be addressed; E-mail: victoriaroux@iqfr.csic.es.

[†] CSIC.

[‡] University of Rostock.

experimental determination of the enthalpy of formation and high-level *ab initio* calculations. As this family of compounds is known to exist in several polymorphic forms in the solid state, the Cambridge Crystallographic Database³⁴ has been searched to identify the different hydrogen bonding networks present in the anhydrous 5,5-dialkyl/alkenyl derivatives as well as in the parent compound that have been reported.

2. Experimental Section

2.1. Material and Purity Control. Barbituric acid was commercially available from Fluka, (puriss >99.5%). The sample was carefully dried under vacuum and no further purification was performed. Determination of purity, assessed by HPLC and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), using the fractional fusion technique,³⁵ indicated that the mole fraction of impurities in the compound was less than 0.0001.

2.2. Powder X-ray Diffraction. In the solid state, the barbituric acid exists in two anhydrous polymorphic forms and in two dihydrated forms as far as the Cambridge Crystallographic Database is concerned³⁴(CSD version 5.29 Jan. 2008 update; in the following refcodes in the CSD are given in capitals).

The X-ray powder diffraction technique was used to establish to which polymorphic form corresponds the commercial sample used in this study and to characterize the new polymorph observed by DSC (see Section 3.1.1). The X-ray powder diffraction patterns were measured on an XPert-PRO diffraction system equipped with a PW 3050/60 goniometer and Cu K α radiation. The patterns were measured in the 2–60° θ range and the unit cell dimensions were determined with the indexing program INDEX1–2.³⁶

According to the simulated powder patterns (program PLATON)³⁷ from the known polymorphic crystal structures (CSD refcodes: form i = BARBAC³⁸ and BARBAC01³⁹ and form ii = BARBAC02³⁹) the commercial sample from Fluka corresponds to polymorph ii (Figure 1).

2.3. Procedure for Thermochemical Measurements.

2.3.1. Differential Scanning Calorimetry. The behavior of the sample as a function of temperature was studied by differential scanning calorimetry. Two DSC apparatuses were used. For experiments at lower temperatures (from 150 to 298 K), a Mettler DSC30 was used. For experiments made in the temperature interval 260 to 530 K, a Pyris 1 instrument from Perkin-Elmer equipped with an intracooler unit was used. This last apparatus was used to monitor purity and to study the fusion process as well as the possible existence of phase transitions in the solid samples at temperatures above 260 K. Both apparatuses were previously calibrated in temperature and energy with reference materials. Temperature and power scales were calibrated^{40–42} at heating rates of 0.04 and 0.17 K s^{−1}. The temperature scales were calibrated by the melting temperature of the high-purity reference materials: hexafluorobenzene, tin, and indium.⁴³ The power scales were calibrated with high-purity indium.⁴³

Thermograms of samples hermetically sealed in aluminum pans were recorded in a nitrogen atmosphere. All the pans with the samples were weighed on a Mettler AT21 microbalance with a detection limit of 1 × 10^{−6} g before and after the experiments to confirm that no product had volatilized.

After calibration, several runs with high-purity benzoic acid and indium as reference materials⁴³ were performed under the same conditions as the experimental determinations. The accuracies associated with temperature and enthalpy of fusion measurements were calculated as the percentage deviation of

the experimental data with regard to the values given in the literature;⁴³ in all the cases, these were lower than 0.2 and 2.0% for temperature and enthalpy determinations, respectively.⁴⁴

For the determination of the purity and the temperature and enthalpy of fusion, a heating rate of 0.04 K·S^{−1} was used and five samples weighing 1–2 mg were recorded. A fresh sample was used for each run.

Different scans at heating rates of 0.04 and 0.17 K·s^{−1} were performed to determine the possible existence of phase transitions in the sample over the temperature range from $T = 150$ K to its melting temperature.

2.3.2. Combustion Calorimetry. An isoperibol calorimeter equipped with a static bomb was used for the measurements of the energy of combustion. The apparatus, technique, and procedure have been described.^{45,46} Calorimetric temperatures of the calorimeter were measured within $\pm 1 \times 10^{-4}$ K by means of a 100 Ω platinum resistance thermometer, using a calibrated resistance bridge (Model F300, Automatic System laboratories, Ltd.) interfaced to a microcomputer programmed to calculate the adiabatic temperature change. The energy equivalent of the calorimeter, ε (calor), was determined from the combustion of benzoic acid, NIST standard reference sample 39j, having a specific energy of combustion, $\Delta_c u = -(26434 \pm 3) \text{ J} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$, under certificate conditions. From eight calibration experiments ε (calor) = $(14270.71 \pm 1.40) \text{ J} \cdot \text{K}^{-1}$, where the uncertainty quoted is the standard deviation of the mean. Frequent calibration experiments were made throughout the series of combustion experiments. The energy of combustion of barbituric acid was determined by burning the solid samples in pellet form in oxygen under different conditions. In order to obtain complete reactions of combustion, benzoic acid or vaseline and polyethylene were used as auxiliary substances. The combustion bomb was flushed and filled with oxygen, previously freed from combustible impurities, and an initial pressure of oxygen of 2.03 or 3.04 MPa was used. Details of the characterization and physical properties of barbituric acid and auxiliary substances used in this work are collected in Table 1.

After disassembly of the calorimeter the bomb gases were slowly let out and the absence of CO was confirmed with Dräger tubes (sensitivity level $\approx 1 \times 10^{-6}$ mass fraction). No traces of carbon residue were observed in the runs given as valid. The absence of NO₂[−] was checked by calibrated ionic chromatography. The nitric acid content in the bomb liquid was determined by titration with 0.1 mol dm^{−3} NaOH(aq). The corrections for nitric acid formation⁵² were based on the value of $-59.7 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ for the standard molar energy of formation of 0.1 mol dm^{−3} HNO₃(aq) from B₂(g), O₂(g), and H₂O(l). All samples were weighed with a Mettler AT-21 microbalance, sensitivity $\pm 1 \times 10^{-6}$ g, and corrections of apparent mass to mass were made. For these corrections, conversion of the energy of the actual bomb process to that of the isothermal process, and the correction to standard states, the values given in Table 1, were used. Corrections to the standard states were made according to Hubbard et al.⁵³ The atomic weights of the elements were those recommended by IUPAC in 2006.⁵⁴ The energy of solution of carbon dioxide in water at 298.15 K, $\Delta_{\text{sol}}U(\text{CO}_2)$, was taken as $-17.09 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$, and the solubility constant, $K(\text{CO}_2)$, as $0.03440 \text{ mol dm}^{-3} \text{ atm}^{-1}$ at 298.15 K.⁵⁵

From the combustion energy, the enthalpy of formation in the condensed state at 298.15 K was calculated.

2.3.3. Vapor Pressure Measurements. Vapor pressures and the enthalpy of sublimation of barbituric acid were determined by using the method of transference in a saturated stream of nitrogen. The method has been described before^{56,57} and has




Figure 1. Comparison between the X-ray powder measured diffractograms of the commercial barbituric sample and the calculated on the basis of the single-crystal structures of the two anhydrous polymorphs.

TABLE 1: Properties at $T = 298.15$ K; the Value in Parentheses Was Estimated

| compound | M $\text{g} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ | ρ $\text{g} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3}$ | $(\delta V / \delta T)_P \times 10^{-7}$ $\text{dm}^3 \cdot \text{g}^{-1} \cdot \text{K}^{-1}$ | c_p $\text{J} \cdot \text{K}^{-1} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ | $\Delta_c u_m^o(\text{cr})$ $\text{J} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ |
|-----------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| polyethene | 13.5582 ^{a,b} | 0.918 | 7.650 | 1.99 | $-(46371 \pm 4)^b$ |
| vaseline | 14.0266 | 0.870 ^c | 8.374 ^d | 2.22 ^e | $-(46086 \pm 5)^c$ |
| benzoic acid | 122.1213 | 1.32 | 3.85 | 1.21 | $-(26434 \pm 1)$ |
| cotton | 27.700 ^{f,b} | 1.5 | 9.69 ^g | 1.48 | $-(17410 \pm 37)^b$ |
| barbituric acid | 128.08616 | 1.589 ^h | (3.354) | 1.102 ⁱ | |

^a Corresponding to an empirical formula of $\text{C}_{0.960}\text{H}_{2.000}$. ^b Determined in our laboratory. ^c Value taken from ref 47. ^d Value taken from ref 48. ^e Value taken from ref 49. ^f Corresponding to an empirical formula of $\text{C}_{1.000}\text{H}_{1.740}\text{O}_{0.871}$. ^g Value taken from ref 50. ^h Value taken from ref 27. ⁱ Value taken from refs 27 and 51.

proven to give results in agreement with other established techniques for determining vapor pressures of pure substances and enthalpies of vaporization from the temperature dependence of the vapor pressure.⁵⁸ About 0.5 g of the sample was mixed with glass beads and placed in a thermostatted U-tube of length 10 cm and diameter 0.5 cm. A preheated nitrogen stream was

passed through the U-tube at constant temperature (± 0.1 K). The flow rate of the nitrogen stream was measured using a soap bubble flow meter (± 0.2 – 0.3%) and optimized in order to reach the saturation equilibrium of the transporting gas at each temperature under study. On the one hand, flow rate of nitrogen stream in the saturation tube should be not too slow in order to

avoid the transport of material from U-tube due to diffusion. On the other hand, the flow rate should be not too fast in order to reach the saturation of the nitrogen stream with a compound. We tested our apparatus at different flow rates of the carrier gas in order to check the lower boundary of the flow below which the contribution of the vapor condensed in the trap by diffusion becomes comparable to the transpired one. In our apparatus, the contribution due to diffusion was negligible at a flow rate up to $0.5 \text{ dm}^3 \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$. The upper limit for our apparatus where the speed of nitrogen could already disturb the equilibrium was at a flow rate of $9.0 \text{ dm}^3 \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$. Thus, we carried out the experiments in the flow rate interval of $(6 \text{ to } 8) \text{ dm}^3 \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$ which has ensured that the transporting gas was in saturated equilibrium with the coexisting solid phase in the saturation tube. The transported amount of material was condensed in a cooled trap. The amount of condensed product was determined by weighing ($\pm 0.0001 \text{ g}$).

The saturated vapor pressure p_i^{sat} at each temperature T_i was calculated from the amount of product collected within a definite period of time. Assuming that Dalton's law of partial pressures applied to the nitrogen stream saturated with the substance i of interest is valid, values of p_i^{sat} were calculated

$$p_i^{\text{sat}} = m_i R T_a / V M_i; \quad V = V_{\text{N}_2} + V_i; \quad (V_{\text{N}_2} \gg V_i) \quad (1)$$

where $R = 8.31447 \text{ J} \cdot \text{K}^{-1} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$, m_i is the mass of transported compound, M_i is the molar mass of the compound, and V_i is its volume contribution to the gaseous phase. V_{N_2} is the volume of transporting gas and T_a is the temperature of the soap bubble meter. The volume of transporting gas V_{N_2} was determined from the flow rate and time measurements.

2.3.4. Computational Details. Standard ab initio molecular orbital calculations⁵⁹ were performed with the Gaussian 03 series of programs.⁶⁰ The energy of the compound studied was calculated using Gaussian-n theory at the G3 level.^{61,62}

G3 corresponds effectively to calculations at the QCISD(T)/G3large level, G3large being a modification of the 6-311+G(3df,2p) basis set, including more polarization functions for the second row (3d2f), less on the first row (2df), and other changes to improve uniformity. In addition, some core polarization functions are added.⁶¹ Single-point energy calculations are carried out on MP2(full)/6-31G(d) optimized geometries, incorporating scaled HF/6-31G(d) zero-point vibrational energies, a so-called higher-level correction to accommodate remaining deficiencies, and spin-orbit correction for atomic species only.

We have also reoptimized the geometry at the MP2(full)/6-31G(3df,2p) level to obtain a more reliable molecular structure for the compound studied.

According to the Cambridge Crystallographic Database³⁴ molecules of the anhydrous barbituric acid derivatives including the parent compound self-assemble into six hydrogen bonding networks. The corresponding models formed by six molecules (the minimum needed for the representation of the six motifs) idealized for the barbituric acid monomer have been optimized at different levels of calculation: semiempirical AM1, and DFT at two levels, B3LYP/6-31G(d) and B3LYP/6-31++G(d). In some cases the optimization was unsuccessful because of convergence problems.

The charge distribution has been analyzed using a population partition technique, the natural bond orbital (NBO) analysis of Reed and Weinhold.^{63–65} The NBO analysis has been performed using the NBO program⁶⁶ implemented in the Gaussian 03 package.⁶⁰




Figure 2. (a) Plot of the DSC scans obtained for barbituric acid in heating, (b) X-ray powder diffractogram at room temperature, and (c) X-ray powder diffractogram after the transition peak and before fusion.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Experimental Results. 3.1.1. Phase Transitions. DSC scans for the sample studied (form ii) show a solid–solid phase transition at $T = (516.0 \pm 0.3) \text{ K}$ having an enthalpy of $(1.30 \pm 0.04) \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ (Figure 2a). This behavior was reproducible being observed in all the runs performed with fresh samples. The temperature and enthalpy of fusion determined by DSC were $T_{\text{fus}} = (526.4 \pm 0.5) \text{ K}$ and $\Delta_{\text{fus}}H = (20.87 \pm 0.14) \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$, respectively.

The X-ray powder diffraction patterns at room temperature and after the phase transition are also shown in Figure 2. At room temperature (Figure 2b), the indexed³⁶ cell with the highest reliability factor is monoclinic with cell parameters in agreement with those reported by single crystal diffraction methods³⁹ (polymorphic form ii) as illustrated in Figure 1 ($a = 8.199$, $b = 12.613$, $c = 9.823 \text{ Å}$ and $\beta = 95.7^\circ$ versus $a = 8.019$, $b = 12.479$, $c = 9.764 \text{ Å}$ and $\beta = 96.2^\circ$, respectively). However,

TABLE 2: Results of a Typical Combustion Experiment on Barbituric Acid at $T = 298.15$ K^a

| | |
|---|----------|
| m' (compound)/g ^b | 0.849911 |
| m'' (benzoic acid)/g ^b | 0.268351 |
| m''' (fuse)/g ^b | 0.002628 |
| $\Delta T_c/K = (T_f - T_i + \Delta T_{\text{corr}})/K$ | 1.2028 |
| ε (calor) $(-\Delta T_c)/\text{kJ}^c$ | -17.1646 |
| ε (cont) $(-\Delta T_c)/\text{kJ}^d$ | -0.0200 |
| $\Delta U_{\text{ign}}/\text{kJ}^e$ | 0.0008 |
| $\Delta U_{\text{dec}}(\text{HNO}_3)/\text{kJ}^f$ | 0.0490 |
| $\Delta U(\text{corr to std states})/\text{kJ}^g$ | 0.0184 |
| $-m'' \Delta_c u^\circ$ (benzoic acid)/kJ | 7.0882 |
| $-m''' \Delta_c u^\circ$ (fuse)/kJ | 0.0458 |
| $\Delta_c u^\circ$ (compound)/kJ·g ⁻¹ | -11.7451 |

^a For a definition of the symbols see refs 53 and 67. $T_{\text{th}} = 298.15$ K; $V_{\text{bomb}} = 0.380$ dm³; $p'_{\text{gas}} = 3.04$ MPa; $m'_{\text{water}} = 1.00$ g. ^b Masses obtained from apparent mass. ^c ε (calor), energy equivalent of the whole system less the content of the bomb. ^d ε (cont), energy equivalent of the contents of the bomb. ^e Experimental energy of ignition. ^f Experimental energy of formation of nitric acid. ^g Energy of correction to standard states.

TABLE 3: Experimental Conditions and Energies of Combustion of Barbituric Acid


| auxiliary substance | $p(\text{O}_2)$ (MPa) | $-\Delta_c u^\circ$ kJ·g ⁻¹ |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| none | 3.04 | 11.6917 |
| none | 2.03 | 11.6877 |
| benzoic acid | 3.04 | 11.7014 |
| benzoic acid | 3.04 | 11.6817 |
| benzoic acid | 3.04 | 11.7376 |
| benzoic acid | 3.04 | 11.7285 |
| benzoic acid | 3.04 | 11.7394 |
| benzoic acid | 3.04 | 11.7451 |
| benzoic acid | 3.04 | 11.7085 |
| benzoic acid | 2.03 | 11.6981 |
| benzoic acid | 2.03 | 11.6989 |
| benzoic acid | 2.03 | 11.7042 |
| polyethylene and vaseline | 3.04 | 11.7209 |
| polyethylene and vaseline | 3.04 | 11.7088 |

(11.7109 ± 0.0054)^a

^a Mean and standard deviation of the mean.

after the phase transition (Figure 2c), the powder pattern is consistent with two triclinic unit cells (1 and 2) with close reliability factors and cell volumes four times smaller than that at room temperature (1, $a = 9.394$, $b = 5.930$, $c = 4.635$ Å, $\alpha = 92.1$, $\beta = 94.2$ and $\gamma = 98.1^\circ$; 2, $a = 8.558$, $b = 5.524$, $c = 5.479$ Å, $\alpha = 103.5$, $\beta = 85.3$ and $\gamma = 93.7^\circ$). This suggests two molecules in the unit cell versus eight (two independent) in the monoclinic cell of form ii (see Section 3.4).

3.1.2. Experimental Enthalpy of Formation. Results for a typical combustion experiment on barbituric acid is given in Table 2 and corresponds to the reaction



The individual values of $-\Delta_c u^\circ$, together with the conditions employed in the experiments, the mean value and its standard deviation, are given in Table 3.

Table 4 lists the derived standard molar enthalpies of combustion and formation in the crystalline state at $T = 298.15$ K. In accordance with normal thermochemical practice, the uncertainty assigned is twice the overall standard deviation of the mean and includes the uncertainties in calibration and in the auxiliary quantities used.⁶⁸ To derive $\Delta_f H^\circ_{\text{m}}(\text{cr})$ from

TABLE 4: Experimentally Determined Standard ($p^\circ = 0.1$ MPa) Molar Energy of Combustion and Standard Molar Enthalpies of Combustion and Formation in the Crystalline State at $T = 298.15$ K for Barbituric Acid; Values in kJ·mol⁻¹

| $\Delta_c U^\circ_{\text{m}}(\text{cr})$ | $\Delta_c H^\circ_{\text{m}}(\text{cr})$ | $\Delta_f H^\circ_{\text{m}}(\text{cr})$ |
|--|--|--|
| -1500.0 ± 1.5 | -1496.3 ± 1.5 | -649.4 ± 1.6 |

TABLE 5: Results from Measurements of the Vapor Pressure p of Barbituric Acid Using the Transpiration Method

| T^a K | m^b mg | $V(\text{N}_2)^c$ dm ³ | Gas-flow dm ³ /h | p^d Pa | $(p_{\text{exp}} - p_{\text{calc}})$ Pa | $\Delta_{\text{cr}}^g H_m$ kJ·mol ⁻¹ |
|---|-------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|--|--|
| $\Delta_{\text{cr}}^g H_m(298.15 \text{ K}) = (115.11 \pm 0.70) \text{ kJ·mol}^{-1}$ | | | | | | |
| $\ln(p/\text{Pa}) = (302.9/R) - [121643.7/R \cdot (T/\text{K})] - (21.9/R)\ln(T/\text{K}/298.15)$ | | | | | | |
| 410.4 | 13.3 | 281.68 | 8.295 | 0.89 | -0.05 | 112.65 |
| 413.6 | 21.1 | 336.45 | 8.295 | 1.19 | -0.02 | 112.58 |
| 418.4 | 18.4 | 199.63 | 8.295 | 1.74 | -0.02 | 112.48 |
| 423.3 | 28.0 | 207.65 | 8.295 | 2.55 | -0.02 | 112.37 |
| 426.0 | 28.0 | 162.10 | 8.370 | 3.27 | 0.13 | 112.31 |
| 429.8 | 21.1 | 95.13 | 8.500 | 4.22 | 0.06 | 112.23 |
| 433.6 | 24.4 | 87.42 | 6.050 | 5.31 | -0.16 | 112.14 |
| 438.4 | 16.0 | 38.95 | 8.530 | 7.80 | 0.08 | 112.04 |
| 445.1 | 18.5 | 28.72 | 8.530 | 12.26 | -0.03 | 111.89 |
| 449.0 | 18.1 | 22.75 | 6.120 | 15.14 | -0.74 | 111.81 |
| 452.8 | 15.7 | 13.98 | 8.470 | 21.30 | 0.88 | 111.72 |
| 457.6 | 16.8 | 10.73 | 8.470 | 29.75 | 1.87 | 111.62 |
| 464.5 | 17.3 | 7.34 | 8.470 | 44.85 | 1.74 | 111.47 |
| 468.1 | 18.7 | 6.33 | 8.530 | 56.25 | 2.20 | 111.39 |
| 474.3 | 18.7 | 4.41 | 8.530 | 80.74 | 2.53 | 111.25 |
| 478.2 | 17.1 | 3.21 | 6.120 | 101.27 | 2.83 | 111.17 |
| 483.2 | 16.3 | 2.45 | 6.120 | 126.70 | -4.76 | 111.06 |
| 488.2 | 22.0 | 2.45 | 6.120 | 171.01 | -3.46 | 110.95 |
| 492.2 | 21.3 | 1.84 | 6.120 | 220.76 | 2.30 | 110.86 |
| 497.3 | 23.4 | 1.63 | 6.120 | 272.84 | -14.76 | 110.75 |
| 501.9 | 28.2 | 1.53 | 6.120 | 350.73 | -16.87 | 110.65 |

^a Temperature of saturation. ^b Mass of the transferred sample, condensed at $T = 299$ K. ^c Volume of nitrogen, used to transfer mass m of the sample. ^d Vapor pressure at temperature T , calculated from m and the residual vapor pressure at the temperature $T = 299$ K in a cold trap.

$\Delta_c H^\circ_{\text{m}}(\text{cr})$, the CODATA values of the standard molar enthalpies of formation at $T = 298.15$ K of $\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$ and $\text{CO}_2(\text{g})$, $-(285.839 \pm 0.042)$ and $-(393.51 \pm 0.13)$ kJ·mol⁻¹, respectively, were used.⁶⁹

3.1.3. Enthalpy of Sublimation. The enthalpy of sublimation was obtained from vapor pressure measurements by the method of transference in a saturated stream of nitrogen. Our experimental vapor pressures of barbituric acid have been measured in the broad temperature range below the phase transition point. The following equation

$$R \ln p_i^{\text{sat}} = a + \frac{b}{T} + \Delta_{\text{cr}}^g C_p \ln\left(\frac{T}{T_0}\right) \quad (3)$$

was fitted to the experimental p , T data using a and b as adjustable parameters. T_0 appearing in eq 3 is an arbitrarily chosen reference temperature (which has been chosen to be 298.15 K). Consequently, from eq 3 the expression for the vaporization enthalpy at temperature T is derived

$$\Delta_{\text{cr}}^g H_m(T) = -b + \Delta_{\text{cr}}^g C_p T \quad (4)$$

The value of $\Delta_{\text{cr}}^g C_p = 21.9 \text{ J·mol}^{-1}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}$ has been derived from the experimental isobaric molar heat capacity of solid barbituric acid²⁷ $C_p(\text{cr}) = 141.1 \text{ J·mol}^{-1}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}$, according to a

TABLE 6: Experimentally Determined Thermodynamic Magnitudes at the Temperature $T = 298.15$ K for Barbituric Acid; all Values in $\text{kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$

| $\Delta_c H_m^\circ(\text{cr})$ | $\Delta_f H_m^\circ(\text{cr})$ | $\Delta_{\text{cr}}^\circ H_m$ | $\Delta_f H_m^\circ(\text{g})$ | ref |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|
| $-(1496.3 \pm 1.5)$ | $-(649.4 \pm 1.6)$ | (115.1 ± 0.7) | $-(534.3 \pm 1.7)$ | this work |
| $-(1511.1 \pm 2.8)$ | $-(634.7 \pm 2.9)$ | $(126.4 \pm 0.9)^a$ | $-(508.3 \pm 3.0)^a$ | 27 |
| -1501.6 | -644.2 | $(120.3 \pm 1.2)^b$ | $-(514.4 \pm 3.1)^b$ | 30 |
| -1505.0 | -640.8 | | | 31 |
| | | $(113.6 \pm 2.7)^a$ | | 32 |
| | | $(114.8 \pm 0.9)^a$ | | 33 |

^a Obtained from experimental vapor pressures reported in the literature using eqs 3 and 4 in the same manner as our experimental results.

^b Experimental vapor pressures reported in ref 27 have been recalculated by Professor G. J. Kabo (private communication) according to the recent findings published in ref 73. These recalculated vapor pressures have been treated using eqs 3 and 4 in the same manner as our experimental results in order to derive the enthalpy of sublimation.




Figure 3. Experimental vapor pressure of the barbituric acid. •, This work; ○, Bruneti;³¹ △, Soldatova;²⁷ ▲, Soldatova²⁷ (recalculated by Zaitsau and Kabo, see text and Supporting Information); ×, Zielenkiewicz.³⁰




Figure 4. MP2(Full)/6-31G(3df,2p)-optimized structure of barbituric acid.

procedure developed by Chickos.^{70–72} Value of $\Delta_{\text{cr}}^\circ C_p = 28.2 \text{ J}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}$ has been calculated according to a procedure developed by Chickos.^{70–72}

Experimental results and parameters a and b are listed in Table 5.




Figure 5. Hydrogen-bonded molecular ribbon (a) and sheet (b) formed by the barbituric acid polymorphs i and ii, respectively.

The standard molar enthalpy of combustion, $\Delta_c H_m^\circ(\text{cr})$, sublimation, $\Delta_{\text{cr}}^\circ H_m$, and formation in the crystalline, $\Delta_f H_m^\circ(\text{cr})$, and gaseous state, $\Delta_f H_m^\circ(\text{g})$ at $T = 298.15$ K of barbituric acid are reported in Table 6.

Literature values for the enthalpies of combustion^{27,30,31} and sublimation^{27,32,33} of barbituric acid are also collected in Table 6. Figure 3 shows a comparison of the vapor pressure data available in the literature. None of these studies identified the polymorph used. Although the enthalpies of formation and sublimation of the condensed state depend on the polymorph used, the enthalpy of formation in the gas phase does not.

Experimental vapor pressure measurements for barbituric acid are available in the literature.^{27,32,33} In these cases, the authors adjusted the enthalpy of sublimation to the reference temperature, $T = 298.15$ K, from the temperature dependence of vapor pressure in different ways. Therefore, we treated the original experimental results available from refs 27, 32, and 33 using eqs 3 and 4 and calculated the enthalpy of sublimation of barbituric acid at 298.15 K for the sake of comparison with our result (see Table 6). The vapor pressure data reported by Soldatova et al.²⁷ have been additionally corrected according to the isotropy failure theory (Professor G. J. Kabo, private communication) as was published elsewhere.⁷³ Some necessary additional details regarding these recalculations are given in the Supporting Information.




Figure 6. Hydrogen-bonded networks observed in the solid state by alkyl/alkenyl barbituric acid derivatives idealized for barbituric acid.

TABLE 7: Anhydrous 5-R-5-R'-Barbituric Acid Derivatives; R, R'=H and/Alkyl or Alkenyl Groups

| R | R' | H-bond pattern | BARBAC, BARBAC01 | polymorph | ref |
|-------------|------------------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------|---------------|
| cyclobutane | | T1 | CBUSPY | | 100 |
| ethyl | ethyl | T1 | DETBAA02,04,05 | ii | 101, 102, 103 |
| ethyl | phenyl | T1 | PHBARB | iii | 104 |
| allyl | isopropyl | T2 | AIPBAR | | 105 |
| ethyl | isoamyl | T2 | AMYTAL10 | i | 106 |
| ethyl | isoamyl | T2 | AMYTAL11 | ii | 106 |
| ethyl | but-2-enyl | T2 | BEBWUA | | 107 |
| ethyl | 1,3-dimethylbut-1-enyl | T2 | BEBWOU | | 108 |
| ethyl | 3-methylbut-2-enyl | T2 | BECLIE | | 109 |
| allyl | allyl | T2 | DALLBA | | 110 |
| ethyl | n-pentyl | T2 | ENPBAR | | 111 |
| ethyl | butyl | T2 | ETBBAR | i | 112 |
| ethyl | butyl | T2 | ETBBAR01 | ^a | 113 |
| ethyl | butyl | T2 | ETBBAR02 | ii | 114 |
| ethyl | cyclohexene-1-yl | T2 | ETCYBA | | 115 |
| ethyl | 1,3-dimethylbut-2-enyl | T2 | JIFRIZ | | 116 |
| ethyl | 1,3-dimethylbutyl | T2 | MAOBAR | | 117 |
| H | H | T3 | BARBAC, BARBAC01 | i | 38, 39 |
| ethyl | ethyl | T3 | DETBAA01,06,10,11 | i | 101, 118, 119 |
| H | ethyl | T3 | ETBARB | | 120 |
| methyl | phenyl | T3 | MPBRBL | | 121 |
| ethyl | 3,3-dimethyl-n-butyl | T4 | EMBBAR20 | | 122 |
| propenyl | 1-methylbutyl | T4 | TICFER | | 123 |
| ethyl | 1-cyclohepten-1-yl | T6 | CHEBAR | | 124 |
| ethyl | ethyl | T6 | DETBAA03 | iv | 125 |
| ethyl | 1-methylbutenyl | T6 | VINBAR | | 126 |
| H | H | T6 | BARBAC02 | ii | 39 |
| ethyl | butyl | T3+T4 | ^b | iii | 127 |
| ethyl | phenyl | T1+T5 | PHBARB01,05 | i | 128, 129 |
| ethyl | phenyl | T1+T5 | PHBARB02,06 | ii | 128, 129 |

^a Monoclinic form at low temperature, phase transition. ^b The polymorphic form of butobarbital has not yet in the CSD (5.29 version, Jan. 2008 update).

3.2. Molecular and Electronic Structure. Barbituric acid contains four mobile hydrogen atoms, and so it may exist in various tautomeric forms differing from each other by the position of the hydrogens, which may be bound to either

nitrogen, carbon, or oxygen atoms. All ab initio and DFT theoretical calculations^{74–80} report the triketo tautomer to be the most stable one in the gas phase, followed by the monohydroxy tautomers, 2,4-diketo-6-hydroxy and 2,6-diketo-4-hydroxy struc-

TABLE 8: Relative Energies Calculated at Different Computational Levels for the Six Idealized Idealized Hydrogen-Bonding Networks

| ΔE , kJ·mol ⁻¹ | | | |
|-----------------------------------|------|----------------|------------------|
| structure | AM1 | B3LYP/6-31G(d) | B3LYP/6-31++G(d) |
| T3 | 0.0 | 10.3 | 0.0 |
| T2 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 0.5 |
| T1 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 1.7 |
| T6 | 9.2 | 40.6 | ^a |
| T4 | 11.8 | ^a | ^a |
| T5 | 12.0 | 18.8 | ^a |

^a The structures did not converge at this level of calculation.



tures. In the solid state, both the anhydrous compound and its dihydrated form exist only as the triketo form,^{38,81,82} and from a kinetic study⁸³ it has been deduced that in most solvents, barbituric acid is present essentially in its triketo form. The higher stability of triketo form is associated with the much stronger double bond of C=O compared with the strength of C=C and C=N bonds. The high energy differences from the other tautomers suggest that the gas phase of barbituric acid consists of a single molecular species, in agreement with thermodynamic experimental data.^{27,33}

Recently, Lewis et al.³⁹ have optimized the structure of barbituric acid at the MP2/6-31G(d,p) level, obtaining an envelope conformation. At this level, the planar structure is a transition state less than 1.2 kJ·mol⁻¹ above the optimal envelope conformation. However, we have carried out the optimization using a higher-level basis set and taking into account all the electrons, at this level the envelope conformation is not a minimum. The calculation at this level of theory predicts a planar conformation. The MP2(Full)/6-31G(3df,2p)-optimized structure of barbituric acid is shown in Figure 4. The heavy atom skeleton is fully planar and the molecule possess *C*_{2v} symmetry. The calculated bond lengths and angles are in a good agreement with those of molecule B in polymorph ii as determined by X-ray diffraction³⁹ (CSD refcode BARBAC02).

Atomic charges have been calculated by the natural bond orbital (NBO) population analysis scheme. Positive charge is located at C atoms of the carbonyl groups (1.012 at C₂ and 0.851 at C₄ and C₆ atoms), whereas negative charge is located at N atoms (−0.785), O atoms (−0.698 at O atom bonded at C₂ and −0.672 at O atoms bonded at C₄ and C₆ atoms), and also at C₅ atom of the methylene group (−0.641). It is observed that the charge separation between the C₂ and O carbonyl group is higher than those on the other two carbonyl groups (1.710 versus 1.523).

3.3. Theoretical Determination of the Enthalpy of Formation. The standard procedure in obtaining enthalpies of formation in Gaussian-n theories is through atomization reactions,^{84,85} but there have been some suggestions^{86–90} that there is an accumulation of errors in the application of this method to larger molecules. Glukhovtsev and Laiter⁸⁶ have shown that more accurate heats of formation can be derived using isodesmic or homodesmotic reactions⁹¹ rather than atomization energies. As Raghavachari et al.⁹² have pointed out, one of the deficiencies of the isodesmic reaction approach is that many different isodesmic reactions can be set up for the same molecule yielding different results. These authors have proposed using a standard set of isodesmic reactions, the “bond separation reactions”,⁵⁹ where all formal bonds between nonhydrogen atoms are separated into the simplest parent molecules containing these same kinds of linkages, to derive the theoretical enthalpies of formation.

In this work, we have calculated the enthalpy of formation of barbituric acid, C₄H₄N₂O₃, using the atomization reaction, the bond separation isodesmic reaction (eq 5), and another isodesmic reaction (eq 6), taking urea and 2,4-pentanedione as references



The G3-calculated enthalpies of formation obtained⁹³ from the atomization reaction, −532.3 kJ·mol⁻¹, and from the isodesmic reaction (eq 6), −531.1 kJ·mol⁻¹, are in very good agreement with the experimental value determined in this work, −534.3 ± 1.7 kJ·mol⁻¹. The value calculated using the isodesmic bond separation reaction (eq 5), −542.8 kJ·mol⁻¹, is higher than the experimental one with the difference probably arising because the large number of molecules (19 in all) included in the isodesmic reaction; this increases the error of the estimation.

3.4. Polymorphism and Hydrogen Bonding Networks. The crystal structure of the two different polymorphic forms of the barbituric acid has already been described (CSD refcodes: i = BARBAC and BARBAC01 and ii = BARBAC02).^{38,39}

The presence of polymorphic forms in the barbituric acid family (i.e., barbituric acid, diethyl or 5-ethyl-5-phenyl derivatives) can be attributed to the different hydrogen-bonding possibilities, between the two primary NH sites and the three carbonyl groups. Two different combinations of these donor and acceptor groups are employed in both polymorphic forms in barbituric acid. In i, one C=O close to the CH₂ (O2 in Figure 5) and in ii the one between the NH groups (O1) are free of N–H···O hydrogen interactions.

The resulting packing motifs are quite different (Figure 5). In i, the secondary structure consists of a double strand of centrosymmetric dimers (C(6)R₂(8) graph set notation)⁹⁸ forming a “step-ladder” arrangement⁹⁹ with an interstrand O···O distance greater than the intrastrand one. These double strands are linked into a 3D network by weak C–H···O contacts. In ii, each independent molecule A and B, which differ in the planarity of the heterocyclic ring, forms chains that are further linked into sheets of alternating R₄⁴(16) and R₄⁴(20) rings (Figure 5). In the R₄⁴(20) macroring, the O1 oxygen in both molecules is directed toward the inner part of the ring with a distance between them of 3.323(2) Å. Within the chain, this oxygen is involved in weak C–H···O1 interactions (C···O, H···O distances and C–H···O angles of 3.570(3), 2.72 Å, 152° and 3.482(3), 2.87 Å, and 127° for the A and B molecules, respectively). The crystal is built up of centrosymmetric sheets leading to a closer packing than in form i where the chains pack in a herringbone manner (packing coefficients = 0.703 and 0.750 for i and ii, respectively). In a recent study by Lewis et al.³⁹ where the crystal structure of form ii was reported, they found that ab initio calculations predict form ii to have lower lattice energy than form i. However, and in spite of the enormous computational and experimental efforts carried out by these authors looking for new polymorphic forms, only the new form ii was found even though there are several hypothetical crystal structures (with different hydrogen bonding motifs and crystal packing) between the global minimum and the lattice energy computed for form i.

Although the structure and properties of the barbituric acid derivatives have been the subject of numerous studies (viz. refs 39 and 13) the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD version

5.29 Jan. 2008 update),³⁴ was searched to identify the hydrogen-bonded patterns in anhydrous 5-R-5-R'-barbituric acid derivatives without any additional acceptor or donor groups, that is with R and R'=H and/or alkyl or alkenyl substituents. Six patterns were observed and these are represented in Figure 6 idealized for R=R'=H. Of them, four are 1D networks with two different chains of dimers (C₂(10)[R₂(8)] graph set notation) labeled as T1 and T2 and two are double strands, T3 (centrosymmetric dimers through R₂(8), R₄(16) edge-fused rings) and T4 (R^{3PB}_{3B}(12) rings). The remaining two motifs correspond to 2-D networks, T5 (R^{4PB}_{6B}(24) rings) and T6 (R₄(20) and R₄(16) edge-fused rings). In T5, the primary dimer motif is observed where one carbonyl group, responsible for the formation of the dimer, acts as a double acceptor of N-H...O hydrogen bonds resulting in the formation of R₄(24) rings (Figure 6). The most commonly observed pattern, (Table 7) with eleven compounds is T2 whereas type T6 is less common with form ii of barbituric acid. This is probably due to the planarity of the macrorings and the short intraring O1...O1 distance. Weak C-H...O1 interactions probably do not allow the presence of substituents larger than H atoms without distortion of the sheet. Type T3 has been observed four times, and T1, T5, and T4 have been observed three times each (Table 7). The most striking feature was observed in the structures of form iii of the 5-ethyl-5-butyl barbituric acid¹²⁷ and in two out of three polymorphic forms of the 5-ethyl-5-phenyl barbituric acid (PHBARB05 and 06)¹²⁸ where two types are simultaneously observed (Table 7 and Figure 6).

The stability of the six hydrogen bonding networks, six molecules per motif (see Figure 6), has been analyzed at the semiempirical AM1 and DFT B3LYP/6-31++G(d) levels of theory (see Table 8). The stability order calculated at both levels is similar for structures T3, T2 (the most populated in the solid state), and T1; however, at the B3LYP/6-31++G(d) level it was impossible to optimize structure T6 (observed in form ii of barbituric acid), T4, and T5, after several attempts using different initial structures and also imposing constraints. We then tried to optimize the structures using a lower basis set, 6-31G(d), and in this case five of the structures were optimized but the stability order of T1, T2, and T3 obtained was different to that observed using the higher base set, probably because of the lack of diffuse functions that are appropriate to better reproduce the behavior of the hydrogen bonds.

Acknowledgment. The support of the Spanish Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia under Projects CTQ2006-12745 and CTQ2007-60895/BQU is gratefully acknowledged. M.T. would like to thank MEC/SEUI, FPU AP2002-0603, Spain for financial support. The authors also thank Dr. J. García Guinea (Museo Nacional Ciencias Naturales, CSIC, Spain) for recording the X-ray power diffraction patterns under CGL2008-03842 and MATERNAS-S-0505/MAT/000094 projects and Dr. C. Marco (Instituto de Cienciay Tecnologia de Polimeros, CSIC, Spain) for the DSC experiments at lower tempratures. The authors are grateful to Dr. D. H. Zaitsau and Professor G.J. Kabo from Belarussian State University for the recalculation of the data published in ref 27 and to Dr. Louise S. Price from University College London for supplying the atomic coordinates of several hypothetical crystal structures of barbituric acid reported in ref 39.

Supporting Information Available: Tables S1 and S2 and Figure S1 give details of the recalculation of vapor pressures and enthalpies of sublimation published in ref 27. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

References and Notes

- (1) *Molecular Structure and Energetics*; Liebman, J. F., Greenberg, A., Eds.; VCH Publishers: New York, 1986; Vol. 1.
- (2) Cox, J. D.; Pilcher, G. *Thermochemistry of Organic and Organometallic Compounds*; Academic Press: London, 1970.
- (3) *Energetics of Stable Molecules and Reactive Intermediates*, NATO Science Series C; Minas da Piedade, M. E., Ed.; Kluwer Academia Publishers: Dordrecht, The Netherlands; 1999; Vol. 535.
- (4) Abboud, J.-L. M.; Jiménez, P.; Roux, M. V.; Turrión, C.; López-Mardomingo, C. *J. Chem. Thermodyn.* **1989**, *21*, 859.
- (5) Abboud, J.-L. M.; Jiménez, P.; Roux, M. V.; Turrión, C.; López-Mardomingo, C.; Podosenin, A.; Rogers, D. W.; Liebman, J. F. *J. Phys. Org. Chem.* **1995**, *8*, 15.
- (6) Roux, M. V.; Jiménez, P.; Martín-Luengo, M. A.; Dávalos, J. Z.; Zhiuuan, S.; Hosmane, R. S.; Liebman, J. F. *J. Org. Chem.* **1998**, *62*, 2732.
- (7) Homan, H.; Herreros, M.; Notario, R.; Abboud, J.-L. M.; Esseffar, M.; Mó, O.; Yáñez, M.; Foces-Foces, C.; Ramos-Gallardo, A.; Martínez-Ripoll, M.; Vegas, A.; Molina, M. T.; Casanovas, J.; Jiménez, P.; Roux, M. V.; Turrión, C. *J. Org. Chem.* **1997**, *62*, 8503.
- (8) Roux, M. V.; Jiménez, P.; Dávalos, J. Z.; Castañón, O.; Molina, M. T.; Notario, R.; Herreros, M.; Abboud, J.-L. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 12735.
- (9) Jiménez, P.; Roux, M. V.; Turrión, C. *J. Chem. Thermodyn.* **1989**, *21*, 759.
- (10) Mó, O.; Yáñez, M.; Roux, M. V.; Jiménez, P.; Dávalos, J. Z.; Ribeiro da Silva, M. A. V.; Ribeiro da Silva, M. D. M. C.; Matos, M. A. R.; Amaral, L. M. P. F.; Sánchez-Migallón, A.; Elguero, J.; Liebman, J. F. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **1999**, *103*, 9336, and references therein.
- (11) Infantes, L.; Mó, O.; Yáñez, M.; Roux, M. V.; Jiménez, P.; Dávalos, J. Z.; Temprado, M.; Ribeiro da Silva, M. A. V.; Ribeiro da Silva, M. D. M. C.; Amaral, L. M. P. F.; Cabildo, P.; Claramunt, R.; Elguero, J. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2006**, *110*, 2535, and references therein.
- (12) Ribeiro da Silva, M. D. M. C.; Ribeiro da Silva, M. A. V.; Freitas, V. L. S.; Roux, M. V.; Jiménez, P.; Dávalos, J. Z.; Cabildo, P.; Claramunt, R.; Elguero, J. *J. Chem. Thermodyn.* **2008**, *40*, 386.
- (13) Bojarski, J. T.; Mokrosz, J. L.; Barton, H. J.; Paluchowska, M. H. *Recent Progress in Barbituric Acid Chemistry in Advances in Heterocyclic Chemistry*; Katritzky, A. R., Ed.; Academic Press, Inc.: New York, 1985; Vol. 38 and references therein.
- (14) von Baeyer, A. *Ann. Chem. Pharm.* **1864**, *130*, 129.
- (15) *Principles of Forensic Toxicology*, 2nd Edition; Levine, B., Ed.; AACCC Press: Washington, DC; 2003, p. 173.
- (16) Willow, M.; Johnston, G. A. R. *Int. Rev. Neurobiol.* **1983**, *24*, 15.
- (17) Gulliya, K. S. Anti-viral uses for analogs of barbituric acids. *U.S. Pat. Appl. Publ.* **2007**, US 2007259903.
- (18) Bassani, D. M. *Chimia* **2006**, *60*, 175.
- (19) Ikeda, A.; Tanaka, Y.; Nobusawa, K.; Kikuchi, J.-I. *Langmuir* **2007**, *23*, 10913.
- (20) Kaul, B. L. Process for the preparation of organic pigments and their precursors. *U.S. Pat. Appl. Publ.* **2007**, US 2007119345 A1 20070531.
- (21) Nose, K.; Fujii, A.; Satou, Y. Disazo pigment composition for printing ink and coating. *PCT Int. Appl.* **2008**, WO2007-JP64064 20070717.
- (22) Slaczka, A.; Lubczak, J. *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* **2006**, *101*, 3468.
- (23) Lusek, R. Polymerizable dental material. *Eur. Pat. Appl.* **2008**, EP 1972767.
- (24) Moszner, N.; Fischer, U. K.; Burtscher, P.; Angermann, J.; Rheinberger, V. Dental material with improved compatibility. *Eur. Pat. Appl.* **2005**, EP 157452.
- (25) Johnston, A. D. Barbituric acid derivatives used as catalysts in polymerizable compositions for nail plate surfaces reshaping. *U.S. Pat. Appl. Publ.* **2003**, US 2003215635.
- (26) Tishler, M.; Pfister, K., III; Babson, R. D.; Ladenburg, K.; Fleming, A. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1947**, *69*, 1487.
- (27) (a) Soldatova, T. V.; Kabo, G. Y.; Kozyro, A. A.; Frenkel, M. L. *Zh. Fiz. Khim.* **1990**, *64*, 336. (b) Soldatova, T. V.; Kabo, G. Y.; Kozyro, A. A.; Frenkel, M. L. *Russ. J. Phys. Chem.* **1990**, *64*, 177.
- (28) Baroody, E. E.; Carpenter, G. A. *Heats of formation of propellant compounds (U)*. Report Naval Ordnance Systems Command Task No. 331-003/067-1/UR2402-001; Naval Ordnance Station: Indian Head, MD, 1972; p 1.
- (29) Stiehler, R. D.; Huffman, H. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1935**, *57*, 1734.
- (30) Lemoult, M. P. *Compt. Rend.* **1904**, 663.
- (31) Fischer, E.; Wrede, F. *Sitzungsber. Dtsch. Akad. Wiss. Berlin. Kl. Math. Phys. Tech.* **1904**, 687.
- (32) Zielenkiewicz, W.; Perlovich, G. L.; Wszelaka-Rylik, M. *J. Therm. Anal. Calorim.* **1999**, *57*, 225.
- (33) Brunetti, B.; Piacente, V. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **1999**, *44*, 809.
- (34) Allen, F. H. *Acta Crystallogr.* **2002**, *B58*, 380.
- (35) Marti, E. E. *Thermochim. Acta* **1973**, *5*, 173.
- (36) Kohlbeck, F.; Hörl, E. M. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **1976**, *9*, 28.
- (37) Spek, A. L. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **2003**, *36*, 7.

- (38) Bolton, W. *Acta Crystallogr.* **1963**, *16*, 166.
- (39) Lewis, T. C.; Tocher, D. A.; Price, S. L. *Cryst. Growth Des.* **2004**, *4*, 979.
- (40) Gmelin, E.; Sarge, S. M. *Thermochim. Acta* **2000**, *347*, 9.
- (41) Sarge, S. M.; Gmelin, E.; Höhne, G. W. H.; Cammenga, H. K.; Hemminger, W.; Eysel, W. *Thermochim. Acta* **1994**, *247*, 129.
- (42) GEFTA (Gesellschaft für Thermische Analyse, Germany), Gmelin, E.; Sarge, S. M. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **1995**, *67*, 1789.
- (43) Sabbah, R.; Xu-wu, A.; Chickos, J. S.; Planas-Leitão, M. L.; Roux, M. V.; Torres, L. A. *Thermochim. Acta* **1999**, *331*, 93.
- (44) Temprado, M.; Roux, M. V.; Jiménez, P.; Guzmán-Mejía, R.; Juaristi, E. *Thermochim. Acta* **2006**, *441*, 20.
- (45) Colomina, M.; Jiménez, P.; Roux, M. V.; Turrión, C. *An. Quim.* **1986**, *126*, 126, and references therein.
- (46) Roux, M. V.; Torres, L. A.; Dávalos, J. Z. *J. Chem. Thermodyn.* **2001**, *33*, 949.
- (47) Torres Gómez, L. A.; Sabbah, R. *Thermochim. Acta* **1982**, *57*, 67.
- (48) Good, W. D.; Lacina, J. L.; Mc Cullough, J. P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1960**, *82*, 5589.
- (49) Puttemans, J. P.; Smith, G. P.; Golden, D. M. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1990**, *94*, 3226.
- (50) Inagaki, S.; Murata, S.; Sakiyama, M. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1982**, *55*, 2808.
- (51) Koshchenko, V. I.; Ismailov, T. S.; Potekhin, V. M.; Razikov, K. K. *Zh. Prikl. Khim. (Leningrad)* **1985**, *58*, 445.
- (52) Wagman, D. D.; Evans, W. H.; Parker, V. B.; Schumm, R. H.; Halow, I.; Bailey, S. M.; Churney, K. L.; Nuttall, R. L. *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **1982**, *11* Supplement 2, 58.
- (53) Hubbard, W. N.; Scott, D. W.; Waddington, G. *Experimental Thermochemistry*. Rossini, F. D., Ed.; Interscience: New York, 1967; Chap. 5.
- (54) Wieser, M. E. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **2006**, *78*, 2051.
- (55) Hu, A. T.; Sinke, G. C.; Månsson, M.; Ringnér, B. *J. Chem. Thermodyn.* **1972**, *4*, 283.
- (56) Verevkin, S. P. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **2000**, *45*, 953.
- (57) Kulikov, D.; Verevkin, S. P.; Heintz, A. *Fluid Phase Equilib.* **2001**, *192*, 187.
- (58) Chickos, J. S.; Hillesheim, D. M.; Verevkin, S. P.; Roux, M. V.; Temprado, M.; Segura, M.; Notario, R.; DeMasters, D. E.; Liebman, J. F. *Mol. Phys.* **2003**, *101*, 1311.
- (59) Hehre, W. J.; Radom, L.; Schleyer, P. v. R.; Pople, J. A. *Ab Initio Molecular Orbital Theory*; Wiley: New York, 1986.
- (60) Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Montgomery, Jr., J. A.; Vreven, T.; Kudin, K. N.; Burant, J. C.; Millam, J. M.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Cossi, M.; Scalmani, G.; Rega, N.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Klene, M.; Li, X.; Knox, J. E.; Hratchian, H. P.; Cross, J. B.; Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Ayala, P. Y.; Morokuma, K.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Strain, M. C.; Farkas, O.; Malick, D. K.; Rabuck, A. D.; Raghavachari, K.; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cui, Q.; Baboul, A. G.; Clifford, R.; Cioslowski, J.; Stefanov, B. B.; Liu, G.; Liashenko, A.; Piskorz, P.; Komaromi, I.; Martin, R. L.; Fox, D. J.; Keith, T.; Al-Laham, M. A.; Peng, C. Y.; Nanayakkara, A.; Challacombe, M.; Gill, P. M. W.; Johnson, B.; Chen, W.; Wong, M. W.; Gonzalez, C.; and Pople, J. A. *Gaussian 03*, revision C.02; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, 2004.
- (61) Curtiss, L. A.; Raghavachari, K.; Redfern, P. C.; Rassolov, V.; Pople, J. A. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1998**, *109*, 7764.
- (62) G3-calculated energy at 0 K of the triketo tautomer is -489.784793 hartree and enthalpy at 298 K is -489.776279 hartree.
- (63) Reed, A. E.; Weinhold, F. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1983**, *78*, 4066.
- (64) Reed, A. E.; Curtiss, L. A.; Weinhold, F. *Chem. Rev.* **1988**, *88*, 899.
- (65) Weinhold, F. *Natural Bond Orbital (NBO) Analysis in Encyclopedia of Computational Chemistry*; Schleyer, P. v. R., Ed.; Wiley: New York, 1998; Vol. 3, p. 1792.
- (66) Glendening, E. D.; Reed, A. E.; Carpenter, J. E.; Weinhold, F. *NBO*, version 3.1; Madison, WI, 1988.
- (67) Westrum, F. Jr. In *Combustion Calorimetry*; Sunner, S., Månsson, M., Eds.; Pergamon Press: Oxford, 1979; Chapter 7.
- (68) Olofsson, G. In *Combustion Calorimetry*; Sunner, S., Månsson, M., Eds.; Pergamon Press: Oxford, 1979; Chapter 6.
- (69) CODATA. Recommended key values for thermodynamics, 1975. *J. Chem. Thermodyn.* **1976**, *8*, 603.
- (70) Chickos, J. S.; Acree, W. E., Jr. *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **2002**, *31*, 537.
- (71) Chickos, J. S.; Webb, P.; Nichols, G. *J. Chem. Thermodyn.* **2002**, *34*, 1195.
- (72) Chickos, J. S.; Acree, W. E., Jr. *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **2003**, *32*, 519.
- (73) Zaitsau, D. H.; Verevkin, S. P.; Paulechka, Y. U.; Kabo, G. J.; Sevrak, V. M. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **2003**, *48*, 1393.
- (74) Raimondo, F.; Pieretti, A.; Gontrani, L.; Bencivenni, L. *Chem. Phys.* **2001**, *271*, 293.
- (75) Senthilkumar, S.; Kolandaivel, P. *J. Comput. Aid. Mol. Des.* **2002**, *16*, 263.
- (76) Zuccarello, F.; Buemi, G.; Gandolfo, C.; Contino, A. *Spectrochim. Acta A* **2003**, *59*, 139.
- (77) Ralhan, S.; Ray, N. K. *J. Mol. Struct.-Theochem* **2003**, *634*, 83.
- (78) Delchev, V. B. *J. Struct. Chem.* **2004**, *45*, 570.
- (79) Daskalova, L. I.; Binev, I. *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* **2006**, *106*, 1338.
- (80) Ren, Y.; Guo, Y.; Li, B. *Hainana Shifan Xueyuan Xuebao, Ziran Kexueban* **2007**, *20*, 242. [CAN 148:214627]
- (81) Jeffrey, G. A.; Ghose, S.; Warwicker, J. O. *Acta Crystallogr.* **1961**, *14*, 881.
- (82) Al-Karaghoul, A. R.; Abdul-Wahab, B.; Ajaj, E.; Al-Asaff, S. *Acta Crystallogr. B* **1977**, *33*, 1655.
- (83) Eigen, M.; Ilgenfritz, G.; Kruse, W. *Chem. Ber.* **1965**, *98*, 1623.
- (84) Notario, R.; Castaño, O.; Abboud, J.-L. M.; Gomperts, R.; Frutos, L. M.; Palmeiro, R. *J. Org. Chem.* **1999**, *64*, 9011.
- (85) Notario, R.; Castaño, O.; Gomperts, R.; Frutos, L. M.; Palmeiro, R. *J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, *65*, 4298.
- (86) Glukhovtsev, M. N.; Laiter, S. *Theor. Chim. Acta* **1995**, *92*, 327.
- (87) Nicolaides, A.; Radom, L. *Mol. Phys.* **1996**, *88*, 759.
- (88) Nicolaides, A.; Rauk, A.; Glukhovtsev, M. N.; Radom, L. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1996**, *100*, 17460.
- (89) Curtiss, L. A.; Raghavachari, K.; Redfern, P. C.; Pople, J. A. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1997**, *106*, 1063.
- (90) Raghavachari, K.; Stefanov, B. B.; Curtiss, L. A. *Mol. Phys.* **1997**, *91*, 555.
- (91) Minkin, V. I. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **1999**, *71*, 1919.
- (92) Raghavachari, K.; Stefanov, B. B.; Curtiss, L. A. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1997**, *106*, 6764.
- (93) Experimental $\Delta_f H^\circ_m$ values for the reference compounds used in isodesmic reactions (5) and (6), methane and ethane, -74.6 and -84.0 kJ·mol⁻¹, respectively, have been taken from Manion, J. A. *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **2002**, *31*, 123. $\Delta_f H^\circ_m$ values for formaldehyde and methylamine, -108.6 and -23.4 kJ·mol⁻¹, respectively, have been taken from ref 94; $\Delta_f H^\circ_m$ value for ammonia, -45.9 kJ·mol⁻¹, has been taken from ref 95; $\Delta_f H^\circ_m$ value for urea, -235.5 kJ·mol⁻¹, has been taken from ref 96 and $\Delta_f H^\circ_m$ value for acetylacetone, -358.9 kJ·mol⁻¹, has been taken from ref 97.
- (94) Pedley, J. B. *Thermochemical Data and Structures of Organic Compounds*, TRC Data Series; TRC: College Station, TX, 1994; Vol. 1.
- (95) Chase, M. W., Jr. *NIST-JANAF Thermochemical Tables*, 4th Ed. *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **1998**, *Monograph 9*, 1.
- (96) Afeefy, H. Y.; Liebman, J. F.; Stein, S. E. *Neutral Thermochemical Data in NIST Chemistry WebBook, NIST Standard Reference Database Number 69*; Linstrom, P. J., Mallard, W. G., Eds.; National Institute of Standards and Technology: Gaithersburg, MD, 2005; (<http://webbook.nist.gov>).
- (97) Temprado, M.; Roux, M. V.; Umnahanaut, P.; Zhao, H.; Chickos, J. S. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2005**, *109*, 12590.
- (98) Bernstein, J.; Davis, R. E.; Shimoni, L.; Chang, N. L. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1995**, *34*, 1555.
- (99) Nguyen, V. T.; Ahn, P. D.; Bishop, R.; Scudder, M. L.; Craig, D. C. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, 4489.
- (100) Giacomello, G.; Corradini, P.; Pedone, C. *Gazz. Chim. Ital.* **1965**, *95*, 1100.
- (101) Craven, B. M.; Vizzini, E. A.; Rodrigues, M. M. *Acta Crystallogr.* **1969**, *B25*, 1978.
- (102) McMullan, R. K.; Fox, R. O., Jr.; Craven, B. M. *Acta Crystallogr.* **1978**, *B34*, 3719.
- (103) Craven, B. M.; Fox, R. O., Jr.; Weber, H.-P. *Acta Crystallogr.* **1982**, *B38*, 1942.
- (104) Williams, P. P. *Acta Crystallogr.* **1974**, *B30*, 12.
- (105) Rae, A. D. *Cryst. Struct. Commun.* **1975**, *4*, 457.
- (106) Craven, B. M.; Vizzini, E. A. *Acta Crystallogr.* **1969**, *B25*, 1993.
- (107) Jones, G. P.; Andrews, P. R. *J. Cryst. Mol. Struct.* **1981**, *11*, 125.
- (108) Andrews, P. R.; Jones, G. P. *J. Cryst. Mol. Struct.* **1981**, *11*, 135.
- (109) Jones, G. P.; Andrews, P. R. *J. Cryst. Mol. Struct.* **1981**, *11*, 145.
- (110) Escobar, C. *Acta Crystallogr.* **1975**, *B31*, 1059.
- (111) Bideau, J.-P.; Marsau, P. *Cryst. Struct. Commun.* **1974**, *3*, 511.
- (112) Bideau, J.-P.; C. R. Acad. Sci., Ser. C (Chim) **1971**, *272*, 7573.
- (113) Nichol, G. S.; Clegg, W. *Acta Crystallogr.* **2005**, *C61*, o297.
- (114) Nichol, G. S.; Clegg, W. *Acta Crystallogr.* **2007**, *E63*, o4147.

- (115) Bideau, J.-P.; Artaud, M. *C. R. Acad. Sci., Ser. C (Chim)* **1970**, 271, 806.
- (116) Jones, G. P.; Horn, E. *J. Crystallogr. Spectrosc. Res.* **1986**, 16, 629.
- (117) Smit, P. H.; Kanters, J. A. *Acta Crystallogr.* **1974**, B30, 784.
- (118) Sambyal, V. S.; Goswami, K. N.; Khajuria, R. K. *Cryst. Res. Technol.* **1995**, 30, 817.
- (119) Koksharova, T. V. *Ukr. Khim. Zh. (Russ. Ed.)* **2003**, 69, 81.
- (120) Gatehouse, B. M.; Craven, B. M. *Acta Crystallogr.* **1971**, B27, 1337.
- (121) Bravic, G.; Housty, J.; Bideau, J.-P. *C. R. Acad. Sci., Ser. C (Chim)* **1968**, 266, 969.
- (122) Gartland, G. L.; Craven, B. M. *Acta Crystallogr.* **1971**, B27, 1909.
- (123) Nichol, G. S.; Clegg, W. *Acta Crystallogr.* **2007**, E63, o16323.
- (124) Bideau, J.-P.; Leroy, F.; Housty, J. *C. R. Acad. Sci., Ser. C (Chim)* **1969**, 268, 1590.
- (125) Craven, B. M.; Vizzini, E. A. *Acta Crystallogr.* **1971**, B27, 1909.
- (126) Craven, B. M.; Cusatis, C. *Acta Crystallogr.* **1969**, B25, 2291.
- (127) Belbrich, T.; Zencirci, N.; Griesser, U. J. *Acta Crystallogr.* **2007**, C63, o751.
- (128) Platteau, C.; Lefebvre, J.; Hemon, S.; Baetz, C.; Florence, D.; Prevost, D. *Acta Crystallogr.* **2005**, B61, 80.
- (129) Williams, P. P. *Acta Crystallogr.* **1973**, B29, 1572.

JP803370U