Published in final edited form as:
Mol Pharm. 2009 ; 6(6): 1934–1940. doi:10.1021/mp900172m.

Regulating Immune Response Using Polyvalent Nucleic Acid-Gold Nanoparticle Conjugates

Matthew D. Massich[1,2], **David A. Giljohann**[1,2], **Dwight S. Seferos**[1,2], **Louise E. Ludlow**[3,4], **Curt M. Horvath**[3,4], and **Chad A. Mirkin**[1,2,*]

[1]Department of Chemistry, Northwestern University, 633 Clark Street, Evanston, IL 60208 USA

[2]International Institute for Nanotechnology, Northwestern University, 633 Clark Street, Evanston, IL 60208 USA

[3]Department of Biochemistry, Molecular Biology, and Cell Biology, Northwestern University, 633 Clark Street, Evanston, IL 60208 USA

[4]Department of Medicine, Northwestern University, 303 East Chicago Avenue, Chicago, IL 60611

Abstract

The immune response of macrophage cells to internalized polyvalent nucleic acid-functionalized gold nanoparticles has been studied. This study finds that the innate immune response (as measured by interferon-β levels) to densely functionalized, oligonucleotide-modified nanoparticles is significantly less (up to a 25-fold decrease) when compared to a lipoplex carrying the same DNA sequence. The magnitude of this effect is inversely proportional to oligonucleotide density. It is proposed that the enzymes involved in recognizing foreign nucleic acids and triggering the immune response are impeded due to the local surface environment of the particle, in particular high charge density. The net effect is an intracelluar gene regulation agent that elicits a significantly lower cellular immune response than conventional DNA transfection materials.

Keywords

gold; nanoparticle; nucleic acid; oligonucleotide; DNA; siRNA; polyvalent; innate immune; interferon; gene regulation

Introduction

Introducing nucleic acids to the cellular environment faces several challenges, including cell entry, degradation by nucleases, and stimulation of an immune response.[1, 2] Techniques have been developed to overcome these barriers, but they can cause adverse reactions, and research to find effective methods continues.[3] Advances in nanotechnology have led to the development of new materials which when conjugated to nucleic acids exhibit unique properties that result from their physical characteristics such as size, shape, surface chemistry, and architecture.[4-11] One important class of material is the polyvalent oligonucleotide-modified gold nanoparticle (DNA-Au NP) conjugate, which has found utility in materials synthesis[12, 13], FDA approved diagnostics[14-16], live-cell imaging and quantification of mRNA[17], and gene regulation.[18, 19]

*Address correspondance to Chad A. Mirkin, International Institute for Nanotechnology, Northwestern University, K-111, 2145 Sheridan Road, Evanston, IL 60208. Tel : 847-467-7302. Fax : 847-467-5123. chadnano@northwestern.edu.

Supporting Information Available. Details of NF-κB reporter assay are included in a supplementary experimental section and one figure. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

The polyvalent DNA-Au NP conjugate is composed of a gold nanoparticle core and a densely packed shell of oligonucleotides. The architecture of these nanomaterials can be controlled in terms of core, oligonucleotide length, sequence, and composition. Cellular applications of these conjugates have shown that they have many surprising properties. For example, they are internalized by cells in high number despite their polyanionic nature,[4] yet investigation of cell morphology and viability indicates that these conjugates do not exhibit any apparent toxicity.[17-20] It has also been reported that the density of oligonucleotides on the nanoparticle surface influences nuclease degradation as a result of a high local salt concentration.[21] These properties highlight how the structural composition of these nanomaterials influences their interactions with biological systems and demonstrates their utility for intracellular investigations.

The apparent non-toxicity of DNA-Au NPs stands in contrast to conventional nucleic acid delivery methods, which are not only limited by toxicity due to the disruptive effects of the transfection agent, but also by the stress induced by activation of the immune system in response to foreign nucleic acid.[2] The cellular innate immune response protects against pathogen invasion and is capable of detecting foreign nucleic acids.[22-25] Activation of the innate immune response triggers a chain of signaling events that induce cell death, sequester immune cells to the site of infection, and activate the adaptive immune system.[26-28] Based on the reaction to foreign nucleic acids, it might be expected that DNA-Au NPs would elicit a similar response in the cell. Using an oligonucleotide duplex known to activate these pathways, we characterize the innate immune response to these nanomaterials.

Experimental Section

Nucleic Acid Synthesis

DNA was synthesized using an Expedite 8909 Nucleotide Synthesis System (ABI) using solid-phase phosphoramidite chemistry. RNA was synthesized using a MerMade 6 (Bioautomation) and 2-O-TriisopropylsilylOxyMethyl (TOM) -protected RNA bases or purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies. Bases and reagents were purchased from Glen Research. Oligonucleotides were purified using published methods.[29] After purification, oligonucleotides were lyophilized and stored at -80°C until use.

ISD: 5’-TACAGATCTACTAGTGATCTATGACTGATCTGTACATGATCTACA-3’.[27]

Luciferase: 5’-rCrGrArCrUrUrCrGrUrGrCrCrArGrArGrUrCrUrUrUrCrGAC-3’.[19]

Nanoparticle Synthesis and Functionalization

Citrate-stabilized gold nanoparticles (13 ± 1 nm) were prepared using published methods.[29] First, the colloid was adjusted to 0.3% SDS (sodium dodecyl sulfate) and 0.01 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.4. Next, the colloid was adjusted to 0.02 M NaCl. A 1:1 ratio of each sequence and its complement was allowed to hybridize in phosphate buffered saline (0.5M NaCl) at 70°C for one hour and then slowly cooled to room temperature. Thiol-modified duplex DNA or RNA was added to the 13 nm citrate-stabilized nanoparticles (approximately 1.5 nmol oligonucleotide per 1 mL of 10 nM gold colloid). For OEG diluted particles, OEG-thiol, (1-mercaptoundec-11-yl)hexa(ethylene glycol), was added to the hybridized DNA at either a 10- or 20-fold molar excess prior to addition to the gold nanoparticles. After 30 minutes of gentle mixing, 2.0 M NaCl in nanopure water was added to bring the NaCl concentration to 0.1 M and the mixture was sonicated for 20 seconds. Two more additions of 2.0 M NaCl were added in 30 minute intervals, each followed by sonication, to bring the mixture to a final concentration to 0.3 M NaCl. For RNA particles, three additions of 2.0 M NaCl were added at 1 hour intervals to a final concentration of 0.15M NaCl. Following the third salt

addition OEG was added to create a 30 μM final concentration. The final mixture was gently shaken for 24 hours to complete the functionalization process. The particles were centrifuged (13000 rpm, 20 minutes; 3X) and resuspended in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) (Hyclone).

Cell Culture and Transfection

RAW 264.7 or HeLa cells were grown in 5% CO2 at 37°C in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM) or Minimal Essential Medium (EMEM) respectively that was supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) and penicillin and streptomycin. Cells were plated and grown to a density of approximately 80% confluence, cell culture media was removed and replaced with reduced-serum media (Hyclone) prior to treatment. ISD was complexed with Lipofectamine Reagent (Invitrogen) and siRNA was complexed with Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) following manufacturer's recommended protocol.

qRT-PCR

Cells were harvested at specified time points, and total RNA was extracted using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) following manufacturer's recommended protocol. 5 μg RNA was then reverse transcribed using Superscript III (Invitrogen). PCR was performed on cDNA with SYBR Green dye on a Stratagene Mx3000P System. The relative abundance of IFN-β mRNA was normalized to GAPDH expression and compared to untreated cells to determine expression levels.

ELISA

Raw 264.7 cells were treated with specified concentrations of ISD (either as a lipid complex or a nanoparticle conjugate) for 12 hours. Aliquots were removed from the cell culture media and IFN-β, IL-1β, and IL-6 concentrations were determined using ELISA kits (Invitrogen) for these targets following manufacturer's recommended protocols.

DNA Uptake

Cells were treated with specified concentrations of fluorophore-modified ISD (either as a lipid complex or a nanoparticle conjugate) for 4 hours. Uptake quantification was accomplished using oligonucleotides with a 3'-fluorescein modification. Following the 4 hour transfection period, cells were washed with PBS, harvested, counted, and lysed to release their intracellular contents. The gold nanoparticles were digested with 250 mM KCN overnight at 75°C, as gold is an efficient quencher of fluorescence.[30] The fluorescent signal from each lysate was measured using a Photon Technologies International fluorescence plate reader (FluoDia T70). Signals from lysates were compared with the signal from a standard curve of known DNA concentration to calculate the amount of DNA internalized per cell.

Microscopy

HeLa cells were grown on Lab-Tek®II Chamber #1.5 German Coverglass System (Nalge Nunc International), and after 1 day the media was replaced with media containing cyanine 5 (Cy-5)-modified ISD (lipid complexed or nanoparticle conjugated). After either 1 or 4 hour treatments, the cells were washed with PBS, and treated with TubulinTracker™ Green reagent, and Hoechst 33342 (Invitrogen) for nuclear staining following the manufacturer's instructions. All images were obtained with a Zeiss 510 LSM at 40x or 63x magnification using a Mai Tai 3308 laser (Spectra-Physics). Fluorescence emission was collected at 390 – 465 nm, 500 – 550 nm, and 650 – 710 nm, exciting at 729, 488, and 633 nm respectively.

Gene Knockdown

HeLa cells were grown to approximately 60% confluency in 96-well plates and treated for 24 hours with the siCHECK-2 vector (Promega) using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) following manufacturer's recommended protocol. After 24 hours the media was replaced with EMEM containing 150 nM firefly luciferase targeted siRNA either complexed with Lipofectamine 2000 or conjugated to 13 nm gold nanoparticles. Following 24 hours of siRNA treatment the media was replaced with fresh EMEM and incubated for 2 days. Cells were assayed for luciferase expression using the Dual-Glo Luciferase Assay System (Promega) and quantification of luciferase expression was normalized to Renilla luciferase as well as controls treated with non-targeting RNA-Au NPs.

Results

The innate immune response is characterized by up-regulation of type 1 interferons and cytokines[26, 27], including interferon-beta (IFN-β). Previous studies have found that a specific double-stranded B-form DNA sequence, termed "interferon stimulatory DNA", (ISD) leads to a particularly robust innate immune response [27]. In our experiments, ISD was either allowed to form a complex with a cationic lipid or allowed to coat the surface of a gold nanoparticle. A typical conjugation yields approximately 40 double-stranded oligonucleotides per 13 nm gold nanoparticle (data not shown) as determined by fluorescence-based methods[31]. This value was used to adjust the concentration of nanoparticle conjugates to equalize the amount of nucleic acids introduced to the cells.

DNA-Au NP Conjugates Exhibit Limited Activation of the Innate Immune Response

Adherent mouse macrophage (RAW 264.7) cells grown in culture were treated with 0.35 μM, 0.53 μM, or 0.71 μM DNA that was either complexed with a cationic lipid, or conjugated to gold nanoparticles. Following a four hour incubation period, the relative levels of IFN-β were quantified using real-time quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR). The results of the qRT-PCR show that lipid complexed DNA produces a robust immune response in our cell model. However, in cells treated with DNA-Au NPs, this effect is approximately twenty-five fold less for the highest DNA concentration tested (0.71 μM). Similarly, the relative immune activation observed is less in the case of the DNA-Au NPs for the other DNA concentrations tested (Figure 1A). This trend was also observed when IFN-β levels were investigated at the protein level (Figure 1B). In addition, the expression levels of other relevant cytokines, IL-1β (Figure 1C) and IL-6 (Figure 1D), following treatment with either lipid complexed DNA or nanoparticle conjugated DNA demonstrate a limited immune activation in the case of the DNA-Au NPs. When compared with a common method of introducing DNA to the cell, these results suggest that the unique architecture of the DNA-Au NP provides the ability to package foreign nucleic acid in a way that limits the cells ability to detect it and reduces the magnitude of the innate immune response.

Limited Innate Immune Activation Is Independent of Differences in DNA Uptake, Kinetics of Detection, and Lipid Transfection Agent

Since IFN-β production is dependent on the cellular concentration of oligonucleotides, we quantified the amount of DNA that was internalized by the cells. This was accomplished using fluorophore-labeled DNA which allowed us to estimate the quantity of internalized DNA from cell lysates after treatment. The results of these experiments show that DNA-Au NPs introduce a greater amount of DNA to the cell than DNA/lipid complexes (Figure 2A) at all concentrations tested (0.35 μM, 0.53 μM, and 0.71 μM). Therefore, it should be emphasized that the up-regulation of IFN-β by DNA-Au NPs (Figure 1A) is a high estimate

of activation, as the uptake data demonstrate that while the same amount of DNA is presented to the cells, more DNA is internalized with the nanoparticles.

DNA sequences possessing CpG motifs are detected by Toll-like Receptor 9 (TLR9), predominantly located in the endosome[32]. However, DNA lacking distinct CpG motifs (like the ISD sequence), induce IFN-β production through a cytosolic pathway independent of TLR9[26]. To determine the cellular localization of DNA-Au NPs, we used fluorophore-labeled DNA-Au NPs and fluorescent confocal microscopy. One hour post-transfection, the DNA-Au NPs appear to be primarily located in endosomes as indicated by a punctate staining pattern, however, after four hours the nanoparticles can be observed throughout the cytoplasm (Figure 2B). An NF-κB reporter assay was also used to further characterize the mechanism of IFN production, as TLR9 signaling results in NF-κB activation. Neither lipid complexed DNA nor DNA-Au NPs resulted in NF-κB activation, confirming that IFN-β activation is likely cytoslic and TLR-independent (Supplementary Figure S1 in the Supporting Information).

In addition to quantifying the amount and location of internalized DNA, we monitored the production of IFN-β as a function of time. Typical transfection methods complex the DNA with a cationic agent to increase the efficiency of DNA internalization, however, no transfection agent is used with the DNA-Au NPs. Thus, the reduced IFN-β production observed in cells treated with DNA-Au NPs could be the result of differences in the kinetics of cellular detection, or the effect of the lipid transfection agent. To examine the kinetics of the immune response, cells were treated with DNA-Au NPs and IFN-β levels were quantified over a time course from 4 to 24 hours. During this time frame, a similar relative abundance of IFN-β is observed (Figure 3A), suggesting that IFN-β production does not significantly increase with time, and that our initial measurements after 4 hours are close to the maximum response (Figure 1A). To rule out the contribution of the transfection agent, we added the cationic lipid to the DNA-Au NPs prior to cell treatment. No significant change is observed in the expression of IFN-β when the nanoparticle conjugates are allowed to form a complex with the lipid (Figure 3B).

DNA Density on the Nanoparticle Surface Regulates the Magnitude of the Innate Immune Response

These results suggest that a fundamental property of the DNA-Au NP conjugate allows foreign DNA to be packaged in a way that limits the innate immune response. Previously, we have determined that the unique architecture of the DNA-Au NP results in the stabilization of bound oligonucleotides[21]. Specifically, high oligonucleotide surface density and the resultant high local salt concentration at the nanoparticle surface limits the ability of a DNA binding protein, DNase 1, to degrade the bound DNA. Similarly, in the context of the innate immune response, we hypothesize that the local environment of the DNA-Au NP may result in a reduced ability of cellular DNA binding proteins to detect foreign nucleic acids on the surface of this densely functionalized nanomaterial.

To test the contribution of the DNA density, we varied the number of duplexes on the nanoparticle surface by diluting the DNA with thiol-modified oligo(ethylene glycol) (OEG)[4]. We hypothesized that decreasing surface density would make the system more analogous to free DNA. Indeed, when the density of oligonucleotides on the nanoparticle surface is decreased, the amount of IFN-β produced relative to the amount of internalized DNA is increased (Figure 4). These data were normalized to the amount of internalized DNA because the density of DNA on the nanoparticle surface has a direct impact on uptake[4]. At low density, the nucleic acid on the gold nanoparticles is likely more available to cellular DNA binding proteins, either due to a decrease in local salt concentration or steric hindrance. Although the contribution from either the local salt concentration or steric

hindrance cannot be separated in this case, we confirmed our hypothesis that activation of the innate immune response is strongly dependent on the local density of DNA at the nanoparticle surface.

To demonstrate the nanoparticle conjugates not only minimize the ability of the cell to detect foreign nucleic acid, but also remain functional, we conjugated siRNA (small interfering RNA) to the nanoparticle surface (RNA-Au NPs)[19]. RNA-Au NPs were as effective as the lipid complexed siRNA at knocking down expression of the luciferase reporter gene (Figure 5A), however, induced less IFN-β production (Figure 5B).

Discussion

In summary, we have demonstrated that the innate immune response to DNA-Au NP conjugates is as much as 25-fold less when conjugated to the nanoparticle surface as compared to lipid-based transfection methods. Because immune response is a key consideration for the development of nanomaterials, these findings are not only surprising, but also point to a significant advantage for the continued development of nucleic acid functionalized nanoparticles for therapeutic applications. In addition, our results demonstrate how the architecture of the nanoconjugate plays a critical role in its interaction with biological systems, and that the magnitude of the innate immune response can be regulated by modifying the degree of surface functionalization on the nanoparticle. Although these findings may not be applicable to all cell types or for *in vivo* applications where the interactions of multiple cell types may have an effect, these results suggest that biological responses can differ greatly for nanomaterials composed of the same building blocks, but with differing architectures. These findings provide key considerations for the continued development of nanomaterials that incorporate biological functionalities for gene regulation, intracellular imaging, medical diagnostics, and therapeutic agents.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

C.M.H. acknowledges NIH R01AI073919 for support of this research. C.A.M. acknowledges a Cancer Center for Nanotechnology Excellence (NCI-CCNE) award for support of this research. C.A.M. is also grateful for an NIH Director's Pioneer Award. D.S.S. was supported by the LUNGevity Foundation–American Cancer Society Postdoctoral Fellowship in Lung Cancer.

References

1. Pirollo KF, Chang EH. Targeted delivery of small interfering RNA: approaching effective cancer therapies. Cancer Res. 2008; 68(5):1247–1250. [PubMed: 18316585]
2. Marques JT, Williams BRG. Activation of the mammalian immune system by siRNAs. Nat Biotechnol. 2005; 23(11):1399–1405. [PubMed: 16273073]
3. Gopalakrishnan B, Wolff J. siRNA and DNA transfer to cultured cells. Methods Mol Biol. 2009; 480:31–52. [PubMed: 19085120]
4. Giljohann DA, Seferos DS, Patel PC, Millstone JE, Rosi NL, Mirkin CA. Oligonucleotide loading determines cellular uptake of DNA-modified gold nanoparticles. Nano Lett. 2007; 7(12):3818–3821. [PubMed: 17997588]
5. Fuller JE, Zugates GT, Ferreira LS, Ow HS, Nguyen NN, Wiesner UB, Langer RS. Intracellular delivery of core-shell fluorescent silica nanoparticles. Biomaterials. 2008; 29:1526–1532. [PubMed: 18096220]

6. Choi Y, Thomas T, Kotlyar A, Islam MT, Baker JR. Synthesis and functional evaluation of DNA-assembled polyamidoamine dendrimer clusters for cancer cell-specific targeting. ACS Chem Biol. 2005; 12(1):35–43.
7. Chithrani BD, Ghazani AA, Chan WCW. Determining the size and shape dependence of gold nanoparticle uptake into mammalian cells. Nano Lett. 2006; 6(4):662–668. [PubMed: 16608261]
8. Agbasi-Porter C, Ryman-Rasmussen J, Franzen S, Feldheim D. Transcription inhibition using oligonucleotide-modified gold nanoparticles. Bioconjugate Chem. 2006; 17(5):1178–1183.
9. Gratton SE, Ropp PA, Pohlhaus PD, Luft JC, Madden VJ, Napier ME, Desimone JM. The effect of particle design on cellular internalization pathways. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2008; 105(33): 11613–11618. [PubMed: 18697944]
10. Dobrovolskaia MA, McNeil SE. Immunological properties of engineered nanomaterials. Nat Nanotechnol. 2007; 2:469–478. [PubMed: 18654343]
11. Alivisatos AP, Johnsson KP, Peng X, Wilson TE, Loweth CJ, Bruchez MP, Schultz PG. Organization of 'nanocrystal molecules' using DNA. Nature. 1996; 382:609–611. [PubMed: 8757130]
12. Mirkin CA, Letsinger RL, Mucic RC, Storhoff JJ. A DNA-based method for rationally assembling nanoparticles into macroscopic materials. Nature. 1996; 382(6592):607–609. [PubMed: 8757129]
13. Park SY, Lytton-Jean AKR, Lee B, Weigand S, Schatz GC, Mirkin CA. DNA-programmable nanoparticle crystallization. Nature. 2008; 451(7178):553–556. [PubMed: 18235497]
14. Nam J-M, Thaxton CS, Mirkin CA. Nanoparticle-based bio-bar codes for the ultrasensitive detection of proteins. Science. 2003; 301(5641):1884–1886. [PubMed: 14512622]
15. Elghanian R, Storhoff JL, Mucic RC, Letsinger RL, Mirkin CA. Selective colorimetric detection of polynucleotides based on the distance-dependent optical properties of gold nanoparticles. Science. 1997; 277:1078–1081. [PubMed: 9262471]
16. Rosi NL, Mirkin CA. Nanostructures in biodiagnostics. Chem Rev. 2005; 105(4):1547–1562. [PubMed: 15826019]
17. Seferos DS, Giljohann DA, Hill HD, Prigodich AE, Mirkin CA. Nano-flares: probes for transfection and mRNA detection in living cells. J Am Chem Soc. 2007; 129(50):15477–15479. [PubMed: 18034495]
18. Rosi NL, Giljohann DA, Thaxton CS, Lytton-Jean AKR, Han MS, Mirkin CA. Oligonucleotide-modified gold nanoparticles for intracellular gene regulation. Science. 2006; 312:1027–1031. [PubMed: 16709779]
19. Giljohann DA, Seferos DS, Prigodich AE, Patel PC, Mirkin CA. Gene regulation with polyvalent siRNA-nanoparticle conjugates. J Am Chem Soc. 2009; 131(6):2072–2073. [PubMed: 19170493]
20. Patel PC, Giljohann DA, Seferos DS, Mirkin CA. Peptide antisense nanoparticles. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2008; 105(45):17222–17226. [PubMed: 19004812]
21. Seferos DS, Prigodich AE, Giljohann DA, Patel PC, Mirkin CA. Polyvalent DNA nanoparticle conjugates stabilize nucleic acid. Nano Lett. 2009; 9(1):308–311. [PubMed: 19099465]
22. Ishii KJ, Akira S. Innate immune recognition of, and regulation by, DNA. Trends Immunol. 2006; 27(11):525–532. [PubMed: 16979939]
23. Komuro A, Bamming D, Horvath CM. Negative regulation of cytoplasmic RNA-mediated antiviral signaling. Cytokine. 2008; 43(3):350–358. [PubMed: 18703349]
24. Takeshita F, Ishii KJ. Intracellular DNA sensors in immunity. Curr Opin Immunol. 2008; 20(4): 383–388. [PubMed: 18573338]
25. Takaoka A, Taniguchi T. Cytosolic DNA recognition for triggering innate immune responses. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 2008; 60(7):847–857. [PubMed: 18280611]
26. Ishii KJ, Coban C, Kato H, Takahashi K, Torii Y, Takeshita F, Ludwig H, Sutter G, Suzuki K, Hemmi H, Sato S, Yamamoto M, Uematsu S, Kawai T, Takeuchi O, Akira S. A toll-like receptor-independent antiviral response induced by double-stranded B-form DNA. Nat Immunol. 2006; 7(1):40–48. [PubMed: 16286919]
27. Stetson DB, Medzhitov R. Recognition of cytosolic DNA activated an IRF-3-dependent innate immune response. Immunity. 2006; 24(1):93–103. [PubMed: 16413926]

28. Kaisho T. Type I interferon production by nucleic acid-stimulated dendritic cells. Front Biosci. 2008; 13:6034–6042. [PubMed: 18508640]
29. Storhoff JJ, Elghanian R, Mucic RC, Mirkin CA, Letsinger RL. One-pot calorimetric differentiation of polynucleotides with single base imperfections using gold nanoparticle probes. J Am Chem Soc. 1998; 120(9):1959–1964.
30. Dubertret B, Calame M, Libchaber AJ. Single-mismatch detection using gold-quenched fluorescent oligonucleotides. Nat Biotechnol. 2001; 19:365–370. [PubMed: 11283596]
31. Demers LM, Mirkin CA, Mucic RC, Reynolds RA, Letsinger RL, Elghanian R, Viswanadham G. A fluorescence-based method for determining the surface coverage and hybridization efficiency of thiol-capped oligonucleotides bound to gold thin films and nanoparticles. Anal Chem. 2000; 72(22):5535–5541. [PubMed: 11101228]
32. Akira S, Takeda K. Toll-like receptor signalling. Nat Rev Immunol. 2004; 4(7):499–511. [PubMed: 15229469]

Abbreviations Used

DNA-Au NP	DNA-gold nanoparticle
IFN-β	interferon-beta
ISD	interferon stimulatory DNA
TOM-RNA	2-O-triisopropylsilyloxymethyl-RNA
OEG	oligoethyleneglycol
DMEM	Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium
EMEM	Minimal Essential Medium
FBS	fetal bovine serum
qRT-PCR	real-time quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction
Cy-5	cyanine 5
TLR9	toll-like receptor 9
NF-κB	nuclear factor-kappa B
siRNA	small interfering RNA
RNA-Au NP	RNA-gold nanoparticle





Figure 1.

(A) DNA-Au NP conjugates show limited activation of the innate immune response as determined by IFN-β production. Relative abundance of IFN-β mRNA levels in RAW 264.7 cells was measured using qRT-PCR following four hour treatment with interferon stimulatory DNA (either as a lipid complex or a nanoparticle conjugate). Results were normalized to GAPDH mRNA expression levels. Data shown are mean ± SD (n = 3). Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences (**P < 0.01, ***P < 0.005 by Student's t test).
(B) DNA-Au NP conjugates show limited activation of the innate immune response as determined by IFN-β production. IFN-β protein concentrations were measured in the cell culture media from Raw 264.7 cells using ELISA following 12 hour treatment with interferon stimulatory DNA (either as a lipid complex or a nanoparticle conjugate). Results were normalized to untreated Raw 264.7 expression levels. Data shown are mean ± SD (n = 3). Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences (**P < 0.01, ***P < 0.005 by Student's t test).
(C) DNA-Au NP conjugates show limited activation of the innate immune response as determined by IL-1β production. IL-1β protein concentrations were measured in the cell culture media from Raw 264.7 cells using ELISA following 12 hour treatment with interferon stimulatory DNA (either as a lipid complex or a nanoparticle conjugate). Results were normalized to untreated Raw 264.7 expression levels. Data shown are mean ± SD (n = 3). Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences (**P < 0.01, ***P < 0.005 by Student's t test).
(D) DNA-Au NP conjugates show limited activation of the innate immune response as determined by IL-6 production. IL-6 protein concentrations were measured in the cell culture media from Raw 264.7 cells using ELISA following 12 hour treatment with interferon stimulatory DNA (either as a lipid complex or a nanoparticle conjugate). Results were normalized to untreated Raw 264.7 expression levels. Data shown are mean ± SD (n = 3). Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences (***P < 0.005 by Student's t test).





Figure 2.

(A) DNA-Au NPs deliver a greater amount of DNA to the cells than lipid complexed DNA. Fluorophore-labeled DNA was used to quantify internalized DNA following a four hour treatment with interferon stimulatory DNA (either as a lipid complex or a nanoparticle conjugate). Whole cell lysates were prepared from RAW 264.7 cells and fluorescent signal from the lysates was compared to that of a known concentration of DNA. Data shown are mean ± SD (n = 3). Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 by Student's t test).

(B) DNA-Au NPs are initially located in the endosome at 1 hour post transfection (punctate staining), but by 4 hours the DNA-Au NPs can be seen throughout the cytoplasm. HeLa cells were treated with Cy-5 modified 13 nm DNA-Au NPs and imaged using fluorescent confocal microscopy 1 hour post transfection (top row) or 4 hours post transfection (bottom row). Red = DNA-Au NPs, Green = Tubulin, Blue = Nucleus.



Figure 3.

IFN-β levels do not increase with treatment times for DNA-Au NPs, and forming a complex with DNA-Au NPs and cationic lipid does not affect IFN-β production. (A) Quantification

of IFN-β mRNA levels in RAW 264.7 cells over the course of a twenty-four hour time period with 0.71 μM interferon stimulatory DNA conjugated to 13 nm gold nanoparticles as measured by qRT-PCR. Results were normalized to GAPDH mRNA expression levels. Data shown are mean ± SD (n = 3).

(B) Quantification of IFN-β mRNA levels in RAW 264.7 cells following a four hour treatment with 0.71 μM interferon stimulatory DNA conjugated to 13 nm gold nanoparticles (gray bars), cationic lipid only (diagonal pattern, white background), or 0.71 μM immuno-stimulatory DNA conjugated to 13 nm gold nanoparticles that were complexed with cationic lipid (diagonal pattern, gray background) as measured by qRT-PCR. Results were normalized to GAPDH mRNA expression levels. Data shown are mean ± SD (n = 3). Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences (*P < 0.05, ***P < 0.005 by Student's t test).



Figure 4.

The density of DNA on the surface of the nanoparticle regulates the magnitude of the innate immune response. Quantification of IFN-β mRNA levels in RAW 264.7 cells following a four hour treatment with 0.71 μM interferon stimulatory DNA conjugated to 13 nm gold nanoparticles as measured by qRT-PCR (nanoparticle concentration was adjusted to treat the cells with a constant concentration of DNA). Since density of DNA on the surface of the nanoparticle affects cellular uptake of DNA-nanoparticle conjugates, results were normalized as a function DNA uptake. IFN-β levels were normalized to GAPDH mRNA expression levels. Data shown are mean ± SD (n = 3). Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences (***$P < 0.005$ by Student's t test).



Figure 5.

(A) RNA-Au NPs are able to knockdown expression of target genes as efficiently as lipid complexed RNA and induce less activation of the innate immune response. HeLa cells were treated with 150 nM luciferase siRNA either conjugated to 13 nm gold nanoparticles or complexed to a lipid transfection agent for 24 hours. Cell culture media was exchanged and 3 days after siRNA treatment cells were assayed for luciferase activity. Firefly luciferase

expression was normalized to Renilla luciferase as well as controls treated with non-targeting siRNA. Data shown are mean ± SD (n = 3).
(B) RNA-Au NPs induce less activation of the innate immune response than lipid complexed RNA, as determined by IFN-β production. Relative abundance of IFN-β mRNA levels in HeLa cells was measured using qRT-PCR following 4 hour treatment with 150 nM luciferase targeting siRNA (either as a lipid complex or a nanoparticle conjugate). Results were normalized to GAPDH mRNA expression levels. Data shown are mean ± SD (n = 3). Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences (***P < 0.005 by Student's t test).