See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/5254925

# Brominated Diterpenes with Antibacterial Activity from the Red Alga Sphaerococcus coronopifolius

ARTICLE in JOURNAL OF NATURAL PRODUCTS · AUGUST 2008

Impact Factor: 3.8 · DOI: 10.1021/np8001817 · Source: PubMed

CITATIONS

19 50

#### **5 AUTHORS**, INCLUDING:



#### Vangelis Smyrniotopoulos

National and Kapodistrian University of At...

**16** PUBLICATIONS **159** CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



### Simon Gibbons

University College London

193 PUBLICATIONS 4,574 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



**READS** 

#### Md Mukhlesur Rahman

University of East London

**81** PUBLICATIONS **1,005** CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



#### **Vassilios Roussis**

National and Kapodistrian University of At...

186 PUBLICATIONS 2,837 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

## Brominated Diterpenes with Antibacterial Activity from the Red Alga Sphaerococcus coronopifolius

Vangelis Smyrniotopoulos,<sup>†</sup> Constantinos Vagias,\*,<sup>†</sup> M. Mukhlesur Rahman,<sup>‡</sup> Simon Gibbons,<sup>‡</sup> and Vassilios Roussis<sup>†</sup>

Department of Pharmacognosy and Chemistry of Natural Products, School of Pharmacy, University of Athens, Panepistimiopolis Zografou, Athens 15771, Greece, and Centre for Pharmacognosy and Phytotherapy, The School of Pharmacy, University of London, 29-39 Brunswick Square, London WC1N 1AX, U.K.

Received March 22, 2008

Four new brominated diterpenes (1, 2, 4, 5), along with two previously reported metabolites (3, 6), were isolated from the organic extract of *Sphaerococcus coronopifolius*, collected in Palaiokastritsa Bay at the west coast of Corfu Island. The structures of the new products, as well as their relative configuration, were established by means of spectroscopic data analyses, including 2D NMR experiments. The isolated metabolites were evaluated for their antibacterial activity against a panel of multidrug-resistant (MDR) and methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) with MICs in the range  $0.5-128 \, \mu g/mL$ .

Diterpenes are widespread metabolites in marine brown algae, but are much less common in Rhodophyta, having been found mainly in species of the genus *Laurencia*<sup>2</sup> and in the unrelated species *Sphaerococcus coronopifolius*. Particularly, the latter yields an extended variety of interesting diterpenes having di-, tri-, or tetracyclic skeletons, often rearranged, most of which contain one or more bromine atoms. These halogenated metabolites have been suggested to function as chemical defense against marine herbivores. Moreover some of these halogenated metabolites have been proven to possess antimalarial, insecticidal, antibacterial, antifungal, and antiviral activities.

In the course of our ongoing investigations toward the isolation of bioactive metabolites from marine organisms of the Greek seas, <sup>11–13</sup> we recently studied the chemical composition of the red alga *S. coronopifolius*, collected from the west coast of Corfu Island. In this paper we describe the isolation and structure elucidation of four new metabolites (1, 2, 4, and 5), along with the already described metabolites 3<sup>14</sup> and 6 (1*S*-hydroxy-1,2-dihydrobromosphaerol), <sup>15</sup> all of which were obtained from the organic extract of *S. coronopifolius*. The structures of the new metabolites were elucidated by extensive spectroscopic analyses and their relative configuration was determined by NOESY experiments. Moreover, detailed analyses of the 1D and 2D NMR spectra allowed the revision of the structure for metabolite 3 and the full assignment of the <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>1</sup>H data, which have not been reported before for 6.

All compounds were evaluated for antibacterial activity against a panel of multidrug-resistant (MDR) and methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) using a microtiter plate based minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) assay. The metabolites, specifically 4 and 6, were found to possess highly significant activity in comparison to the standard antibiotic norfloxacin.

#### **Results and Discussion**

S. coronopifolius was collected in Palaiokastritsa Bay on the west side of Corfu Island, and the CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH extract of the freezedried alga was subjected to a series of gravity column chromatography fractionations on silica gel using mixtures of cyclohexane/EtOAc as mobile phase, as well as normal- and reversed-phase high-pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) separations, using mixtures of n-hexane/CHCl<sub>3</sub> or CH<sub>3</sub>CN, respectively, as eluent, to yield compounds 1–6 in pure form.

Compound 1 was isolated after purification by HPLC as a colorless oil. The molecular formula  $C_{20}H_{32}Br_2O_2$  was deduced from the HRFABMS in combination with the NMR data (Table 1). The LRCI-MS ions at m/z 445/447/449 [MH - H<sub>2</sub>O]<sup>+</sup>, with relative intensities 1.4/2.0/1.0, and at m/z 347/349 [MH - 2H<sub>2</sub>O - HBr]<sup>+</sup> with relative intensities 1.0/1.0 indicated the presence of two bromine atoms. In the IR spectrum, the intense and broad band at  $\nu_{\rm max}$  3377 cm<sup>-1</sup> indicated the presence of a hydroxyl group in the molecule. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum exhibited 20 signals, which by DEPT spectra corresponded to four quaternary carbons, six methines, six methylenes, and four methyls. The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra displayed resonances for two methyls ( $\delta_{H/C}$  0.82/18.7; 0.97/ 24.8) of an isopropyl group linked to a methine ( $\delta_{H/C}$  1.75/25.9) bonded to another methine ( $\delta_{H/C}$  1.89/41.1), two methyls attached to quaternary carbons ( $\delta_{H/C}$  1.18/15.3 and 1.36/29.6), one oxygenated methine ( $\delta_{H/C}$  4.28/68.9), one halomethine ( $\delta_{H/C}$  3.97/67.7), one trisubstituted double bond ( $\delta_{H/C}$  6.45/133.0 and  $\delta_{C}$  137.2), one aliphatic methine ( $\delta_{H/C}$  1.88/51.2), one halomethylene ( $\delta_{H/C}$  3.81, 3.44/38.7), and five aliphatic methylenes ( $\delta_{H/C}$  1.85, 1.26/28.3; 1.85, 1.35/27.8; 1.87, 1.34/38.0; 1.61, 1.60/44.8; and 2.43, 2.00/29.8). With four degrees of unsaturation, the structure was expected to contain three rings in addition to the double bond. All protonated carbons and the corresponding protons were assigned by COSY and HMQC experiments. The NMR data comparison of 1 with reported values for bromosphaerodiol<sup>16</sup> suggested that metabolite 1 was its 2-hydroxy- $\Delta^{1,10}$  isomer. The correlation in the HMBC experiments, from H<sub>3</sub>-19 and H<sub>3</sub>-20 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  0.82 and 0.97) to C-4 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$ 41.1), confirmed the position of the isopropyl group. The hydroxyl group was positioned on C-2, as deduced from the correlations of C-2 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  68.9) with H-3 $\alpha$  ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.26) and of C-1 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  133.0) with H-2  $(\delta_{\rm H}\,4.28)$ . Moreover, the strong correlations between C-1  $(\delta_{\rm C}\,133.0)$ and H-9 ( $\delta_{H}$  1.88) as well as the correlations of H-1 ( $\delta_{H}$  6.45) with C-3 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  28.3), C-9 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  51.2), and the quaternary carbon C-5 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$ 45.5) established the position of the olefinic proton at C-1. The correlation of H-17a ( $\delta_H$  3.81) with C-4 ( $\delta_C$  41.1), C-5 ( $\delta_C$  45.5), and C-6 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  27.8) and of H-17b ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  3.44) with C-4 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  41.1), C-5  $(\delta_C 45.5)$ , and C-10  $(\delta_C 137.2)$  secured the position of the bromomethyl group at C-5. The additional bromine atom was positioned on C-14, as concluded by the correlations of C-14 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$ 67.7) with H-9 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.88), H<sub>2</sub>-12 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.61, 1.60), H-13 $\alpha$  ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  2.43), and  $H_3$ -15 ( $\delta_H$  1.26). Moreover, the correlations of  $H_3$ -15 ( $\delta_H$  1.18) with C-8 ( $\delta_C$  42.6), C-7 ( $\delta_C$  38.0), C-9 ( $\delta_C$  51.2), and C-14 ( $\delta_C$ 67.7) and of H<sub>3</sub>-16 ( $\delta_H$  1.36) with C-11 ( $\delta_C$  72.3), C-9 ( $\delta_C$  51.2), and C-12 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  44.8) confirmed the positions of the remaining methyl groups. The relative configuration of 1 was assigned on the basis

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel: +30 210 7274592. Fax: +30 210 7274590. E-mail: vagias@pharm.uoa.gr.

<sup>†</sup> University of Athens.

<sup>\*</sup> University of London.

Table 1. NMR Data<sup>a</sup> of Compounds 1–3

H(φ)         m(f)         m(f) <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>1</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>2</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>3</th><th></th></t<>				1					2				3	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$H_1$	(δ)	m (J)	NOESY	<sup>13</sup> C (δ)	HMBC (C→H)	( <i>Q</i> ) H <sub>1</sub>	m ( <i>J</i> )	NOESY	13C (δ)	HMBC (C→H)	( <i>Q</i> ) H <sub>1</sub>	m (J)	<sup>13</sup> C (δ)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9	45	br s	2, 15, 16	133.0	2, 9	6.57	br s	2, 15, 16	129.0	6	6.46	br s	127.8
m         2         28.3         1,4,18         β 187         m         2         224         1,4,18         a 1.88           m         2         28.3         1,4,18         β 187         m         2         224         1,4,18         a 1.88           m         2         17b         2         17b         2         17b         1.4         9         1.4         1.8         a 1.88           m         17b         18         3         6β, 15         2         1.8         3.75         1.4         9, 18, 3βπα         a 1.86           m         17b         18, 19         3         6β, 15         3         6β, 15         m         9, 14, 17a         3.75         1.4         9, 18, 3βπα         a 1.86           m         14, 17a         3.2         1β         m         9, 14, 17a         3.75         15         1.33           m         12b, 14, 16, 17a         51.2         1β         18         m         9, 14, 17a         3.75         15, 16         1.33           m         12b, 14, 16, 17a         31.2         16         18         18.2         7a, 12, 14         42.5         1.4         1.3         1.13	4.	28	dd 10.0, 5.0	$1, 3\beta, 4$	68.9	$3\alpha$ , $3\beta/4$	4.60	dd 10.4, 5.0	$1, 3\beta, 4$	81.9	3α, 4	4.61	br dd 10.4, 5.4	82.1
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	β	1.85	m	2	28.3	1, 4, 18	$\beta$ 1.87	m	2	22.4	1, 4, 18	a 1.88	m	22.7
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	, Z	1.26	m	19			α 1.49	m	19			b 1.47	m	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	_	68	m	2, 17b	41.1	3 $\alpha$ , 17 $\alpha$ , 17 $\beta$ , 19, 20	1.94	m	2, 17b, 20	40.9	17a, 17b, 18, 19, 20	1.93	m	40.9
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					45.5	1, 3\beta/4, 17a, 17b, 18				45.7	1, 4, 9, 18, $3\beta/7\alpha$			46.1
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Ö	1.85	ш	15	27.8	7α, 17a	$\alpha$ 1.88	m	15	27.6		a 1.86	m	27.8
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	θ	1.35	m	17b, 18, 19			$\beta$ 1.35	m	18			b 1.35	m	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	. 0	ι 1.87	m	14, 17a	38.0	$6\beta$ , 15	α 1.86	m	9, 14, 17a	37.5	15	a 1.82	m	37.7
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	_	3 1.34	m				$\beta$ 1.27	m				b 1.39	m	
m $12\beta, 14, 16, 17a$ $51.2$ $1, 7\alpha, 12, 15, 16$ $1.80$ br s $7\alpha, 12, 14, 17a$ $49.5$ $1, 15, 16$ $2.37$ m $72.3$ $9, 17b$ $72.3$ $9, 17b$ m $44.8$ $13\alpha, 16$ m $44.8$ m $4$					42.6	$7\beta$ , 9, 15				42.6	9, 15			42.8
m 9, 14, 16 13 $\alpha$ 44.8 13 $\alpha$ , 16 3.36 dd 12.0, 4.2 9, 13 $\beta$ , 14, 16 75.9 13 $\alpha$ , 13 $\beta$ , 16 3.40 m dd 13.2, 10.0, 74 12 $\alpha$ , 15 29.8 12 $\alpha$ 2.40 td 12.4, 12.0 15 dd 13.2, 3.7 78, 9, 12 $\beta$ , 15 3.9 14 1.13 s 1.10 1.2 12.14 s 1.10 18 1.9, 12 $\beta$ 62.2 9, 13 $\alpha$ , 13 $\beta$ , 15 4.44 s 1.00.8 4, 6 $\beta$ , 18 20 6.6 17.8 18, 20 6.6 18 24.8 18, 19 0.99 d6.6 4, 18, 19 0.99		88.1	m	$12\beta$ , 14, 16, 17a	51.2	1, $7\alpha$ , 12, 15, 16	1.80	br s	$7\alpha$ , 12, 14, 17a	49.5	1, 15, 16	2.37	br s	45.8
m 9, 14, 16 13 $\alpha$ 44.8 13 $\alpha$ , 16 3.36 dd 12.0, 4.2 9, 13 $\beta$ , 14, 16 75.9 13 $\alpha$ , 13 $\beta$ , 16 3.40 m tdd 13.2, 10.0, 7.4 12 $\alpha$ , 15 29.8 12 $\alpha$ 2.40 td 12.4, 12.0 15 37.6 $\alpha$ 2.71 dd 13.2, 3.7 7, 3.3 14 $\beta$ 67.7 9, 12, 13 $\alpha$ , 15 3.91 dd 12.4, 4.2 12, 13 $\beta$ 62.2 9, 13 $\alpha$ , 13 $\beta$ , 15 4.44 s 1.00.8 1.32 3.7 $\beta$ 9, 12, 13 $\alpha$ , 15 3.9 14 1.13 s 1.16 $\alpha$ 1.13 s 1.10 1.14 s 1.10 1.08 1.09 1.00 1.78 b.346 d.11.2 1.00 1.78 b.346 d.11.2 1.00 1.78 b.346 d.10.8 18.7 4, 18, 20 0.83 d.66 18 18.7 4, 18, 19 0.99 d.66 4, 18 24.7 4, 18, 19 0.99 d.66 4, 18 19 0.99					137.2	9, 17b				138.5	9, 17b			139.6
m 9, 14, 16 13 $\alpha$ 44.8 13 $\alpha$ , 16 3.36 dd 12.0, 4.2 9, 13 $\beta$ , 14, 16 75.9 13 $\alpha$ , 13 $\beta$ , 16 3.40 m tdd 13.2, 10.0, 7.4 12 $\alpha$ , 15 29.8 12 $\alpha$ 2.40 td 12.4, 12.0 15 37.6 37.6 $\alpha$ 2.71 dtd 13.2, 3.7 3.3 14 $\beta$ 67.7 9, 12, 13 $\alpha$ , 15 3.91 dd 12.4, 4.2 12, 14 8 1.10 9 12, 13 $\beta$ 62.2 9, 13 $\alpha$ , 13 $\beta$ , 15 4.44 8 1.3 9, 14 1.41 8 1.42 $\beta$ 9 13 $\alpha$ , 13 $\beta$ , 15 4.44 8 1.40 8 1.44 8 1.40 8 1.4					72.3	9, 12, 16				74.1	9, 16			74.7
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9	3 1.61	m	9, 14, 16 13α	4.8	13α, 16	3.36	dd 12.0, 4.2	9, $13\beta$ , 14, 16	75.9	$13\alpha$ , $13\beta$ , $16$	3.40	dd 3.7, 2.5	78.1
tdd 13.2, 100, 7.4   12a, 15   29.8   12   a 2.40   td 12.4, 12.0   15   37.6   a 2.71   dtd 13.2, 3.7, 3.3   14   $\beta$ 2.20   dt 12.4, 4.2   12.14   dd 13.2, 3.7, 3.3   14   $\beta$ 2.20   dt 12.4, 4.2   12.14   s   1, 6a, 13a   15.3   9, 14   1.13   s   1, 6a, 13a   15.0   9   1.14   s   1, 6a, 13a   1.53   9, 14   1.13   s   1, 12   24.8   1, 9, 12b   29.6   1.41   s   1, 12   24.8   1, 9, 12b   38.7   6a   a 3.78   d 11.2   4, 18   b 3.46   br hept 6.6   6b, 17b, 19, 20   25.1   19, 20   1.78   br hept 6.6   6b, 17b, 19, 20   26.1   19, 20   1.79   d 6.6   18   24.8   4, 18, 19   0.99   d 6.6   1.80   37.6   37.6   37.8   1.10   37.6   37.8   1.11   3   37.8   1.12   4.18   20   0.83   1.13   37.8   11.2   1.14   3   37.8   1.14   3   38.2   1.14   3   38.2   1.14   3   38.2   1.15   38.46   1.16   3a, 6b, 18   18, 19   0.99   d 6.6   1.18   4, 18, 19   0.99   1.19   37.6   37.8   1.10   4.18   24.7   4, 18, 19   1.10   2.10   2.10   1.11   3   37.8   1.12   38.2   1.14   3   37.8   1.14   3   38.2   1.14   3   37.8   1.15   38.2   1.16   3a, 6b, 18   4, 18, 19   1.17   4, 18, 19   1.18   24.7   4, 18, 19   1.19   20.9   1.10   2.10   1.11   3   1.12   4.18   1.13   3.16   1.14   3   1.15   3.16   1.16   3.16   3.16   1.17   3.16   1.18   3.16   1.19   3.16   1.10   3.16   1.11   3   1.12   3.16   1.13   3.16   1.14   3   1.15   3.16   1.16   3.16   1.17   3.16   1.18   3.16   1.19   3.16   1.10   3.16   1.11   3   1.12   3.16   1.13   3.16   1.14   3   1.14   3   1.14   3   1.14   3   1.14   3   1.14   3   1.14   3   1.14   3   1.14   3   1.14   3   1.14   3   1.14   3   1.15   3.16   1.16   3.16   3.16   1.17   3.16   1.18   3.16   1.19   3.16   1.10   3.16   1.11   3.16   1.11   3.16   1.12   3.16   1.14   3   1.14   3   1.15   3.16   1.16   3.16   1.17   3.16   1.18   3.16   1.19   3.16   1.10   3.16   1.11   3.16   1.11   3.16   1.12   3.16   1.13   3.16   1.14   3.16   1.15   3.16   1.16   3.16   1.17   3.16   1.18   3.16   1.18   3.16   1.19   3.16   1.10   3.16   1.11   3.16   1.11   3.16   1.12   3.16	0	1.60	ш											
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0	2.43	tdd 13.2, 10.0, 7.4	12α, 15	29.8	12	$\alpha 2.40$	td 12.4, 12.0	15	37.6		$\alpha$ 2.71	ddd 13.3, 13.3, 2.5	36.4
dd 13.2, 3.7 7β, 9, 12β, 13β 67.7 9, 12, 13α, 15 3.91 dd 12.4, 4.2 7β, 9, 12, 13β 62.2 9, 13α, 13β, 15 4.44  s 1, 6α, 13α 15.3 9, 14 1.13 s 1, 6α, 13α 15.0 9 13α, 13β, 15 1.14  s 1, 9, 12β 29.6 1.14 s 1, 12 24.8 1.14  d 10.8 7β, 9 38.7 6α a 3.78 d 11.2 4, 18 9  d 10.8 4, 6β, 18 18, 20 0.83 d 6.6 4, 18 20 0.99  d 6.6 18 24.7 4, 18, 20 0.99  d 6.6 4, 18 24.7 4, 18, 19 0.99	θ	3.00	dtd 13.2, 3.7, 3.3	14			$\beta$ 2.20	dt 12.4, 4.2	12, 14			$\beta$ 2.14	ddd 13.3, 4.2, 3.7	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	m	.97	dd 13.2, 3.7	$7\beta, 9, 12\beta, 13\beta$	<i>L.</i> 19	9, 12, 13 $\alpha$ , 15	3.91	dd 12.4, 4.2	$7\beta$ , 9, 12, 13 $\beta$	62.2	9, 13 $\alpha$ , 13 $\beta$ , 15	4.44	dd 13.3, 4.2	62.4
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	_	.18	S	1, 6α, 13α	15.3	9, 14	1.13	S	1, 6α, 13α	15.0	6	1.14	S	15.1
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	_	.36	S	1, 9, $12\beta$	29.6		1.41	s	1, 12	24.8		1.43	S	25.8
d $10.8$ 4, $6\beta$ , $18$ b $3.46$ d $11.2$ 4, $18$ b $3.46$ d $11.2$ 4, $18$ b $3.46$ br hept $6.6$ 6 $\beta$ , $17b$ , $19$ , $20$ 25.9 19, $20$ 1.78 br hept $6.6$ 6 $\beta$ , $17b$ , $19$ , $20$ 26.1 19, $20$ 1.79 d $6.6$ 3 $\alpha$ , $6\beta$ , $18$ 18.7 4, $18$ , $20$ 0.83 d $6.6$ 3 $\alpha$ , $18$ 18.7 4, $18$ , $20$ 0.83 d $6.6$ 4, $18$ 24.7 4, $18$ , $19$ 0.99	ಡ	3.81	d 10.8	7,89	38.7	6α	a 3.78	d 11.2	7/8, 9	38.2		a 3.91	d 11.2	38.5
br hept 6.6 $(6\beta, 17b, 19, 20$ 25.9 19, 20 1.78 br hept 6.6 $(6\beta, 17b, 19, 20$ 26.1 19, 20 1.79 d 6.6 3 $\alpha$ , $6\beta$ , 18 18.7 4, 18, 20 0.83 d 6.6 3 $\alpha$ , 18 18.7 4, 18, 20 0.83 d 6.6 4, 18 24.7 4, 18, 19 0.99 d 6.6 4, 18 24.7 4, 18, 19 0.99	þ	3.4	d 10.8	4, $6\beta$ , 18			b 3.46	d 11.2	4, 18			b 3.46	d 11.2	
d 6.6 3α, 6β, 18 18.7 4, 18, 20 0.83 d 6.6 3α, 18 18.7 4, 18, 20 0.83 d 6.6 18 24.8 4, 18, 19 0.99 d 6.6 4, 18 24.7 4, 18, 19 0.99	$\overline{}$	.75	br hept 6.6	$6\beta$ , 17b, 19, 20	25.9	19, 20	1.78	br hept 6.6	$6\beta$ , 17b, 19, 20	26.1	19, 20	1.79	m	26.0
d 6.6 18 24.8 4, 18, 19 0.99 d 6.6 4, 18 24.7 4, 18, 19 0.99	$\overline{}$	.82	9.9 p	$3\alpha$ , $6\beta$ , $18$	18.7	4, 18, 20	0.83	9.9 p	3α, 18	18.7	4, 18, 20	0.83	d 7.0	18.7
	$\overline{}$	76.0	9.9 p	18	24.8	4, 18, 19	66.0	9.9 p	4, 18	24.7	4, 18, 19	0.99	d 7.0	24.7

a <sup>1</sup>H (400 MHz) and <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50.3 MHz) recorded in CDCl<sub>3</sub> (δ<sub>H</sub> 7.24, δ<sub>C</sub> 77.0); chemical shifts are expressed in ppm and J values in Hz. <sup>b</sup> Positions can be interchanged.

Figure 1. Metabolites isolated from S. coronopifolius.

of NOESY data. The strong NOE correlations between H-14/H-9, H-14/H-13 $\beta$ , H-14/H-7 $\beta$ , H-14/H-12 $\beta$ , H-12 $\beta$ /H-9, H-9/H<sub>3</sub>-16, H-9/H-17a, H-17a/H-7 $\beta$ , H-17b/H-4, H-17b/H-6 $\beta$ , and H<sub>3</sub>-16/H-12 $\beta$  determined the configuration at C-4, C-5, C-9, C-11, and C-14. The strong NOE correlations between H<sub>3</sub>-15/H-13 $\alpha$  and H-6 $\alpha$ /H<sub>3</sub>-15 established the configuration at C-8. The NOE correlations between H-2/H-3 $\beta$  and H-2/H-4 determined the configuration at C-4. The large coupling constant values of H-13 $\alpha$  and H-14 supported the *trans*-diaxial orientation of these protons. In view of the above-mentioned data metabolite **1** was identified as 2*S*-hydroxyisobromosphaerol (Figure 1).

Compound 2 was purified by means of HPLC and isolated as a white solid. A combination of its NMR data (Table 1) and HRFABMS measurements suggested the molecular formula  $C_{20}H_{32}Br_2O_4$ . The LRCI-MS peaks at m/z 477/479/481 [MH –  $H_2O$ ]<sup>+</sup>, with relative intensities 1.1/2.0/1.0, and at m/z 459:461:  $463 [MH - 2H_2O]^+$ , with relative intensities 1.0/1.9/1.3, indicated the presence of two bromine atoms. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of 2 exhibited signals for 20 carbon atoms with the multiplicities of the carbon signals determined from the DEPT spectra as four singlets, seven doublets, five triplets, and four quartets. Strong IR absorptions at  $\nu_{\rm max}$  3422 cm<sup>-1</sup> and <sup>13</sup>C NMR signals at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  74.1 (C-11) and 75.9 (C-12) indicated the presence of hydroxyl groups. An additional oxygenated carbon resonated at lower fields [ $\delta_{\rm C}$  81.9 (C-2)], characteristic for the presence of a hydroperoxy group. Among the other carbons, two were olefinic, resonating at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  129.0 (C-1) and 138.5 (C-10), and two were brominated, resonating at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  62.2 (C-14) and 38.2 (C-17). Furthermore, the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra revealed signals due to an olefinic proton at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  6.57 (H-1), one halomethine proton at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  3.91 (H-14), two halomethylene protons at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  3.78 and 3.46 (H-17a and H-17b), two oxygenated methine protons at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  4.60 (H-2) and 3.36 (H-12), two methyls of an isopropyl group at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  0.83 (H<sub>3</sub>-19) and 0.99 (H<sub>3</sub>-20) attached to a methine at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.78 (H-18), and two singlet methyls at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.13 (H<sub>3</sub>-15) and 1.41 (H<sub>3</sub>-16). All protonated carbons and their protons were assigned on the basis their COSY and HMQC correlations. The structure elucidation was assisted by analyses of the HMBC experiments. Based on the correlations of C-2 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  81.9) with H-3 $\alpha$  $(\delta_{\rm H}\ 1.49)$  and H-4  $(\delta_{\rm H}\ 1.94)$  and of H<sub>2</sub>-13 methylene protons  $(\delta_{\rm H}\ 1.94)$ 2.40 and 2.20) and H<sub>3</sub>-16 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.41) with C-12 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  75.9), observed in the HMBC spectrum, the hydroperoxy- and hydroxymethines were placed at C-2 and C-12, respectively. The position of the olefinic bond between C-1 and C-10 was established from correlations of H-1 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  6.57) with C-3 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  22.4) and C-9 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  49.5), as well as from the correlations of C-1 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  129.0) and C-10 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  138.5) with H-9 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.80). The correlation of H<sub>3</sub>-19 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  0.83) and H<sub>3</sub>-20  $(\delta_{\rm H}\,0.99)$  with C-4  $(\delta_{\rm C}\,40.9)$  confirmed the position of the isopropyl group on C-4. The correlation of H-17a ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  3.78) with C-4 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$ 40.9), H-17b ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  3.46) with C-4 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  40.9) and C-10 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  138.5), and C-5 ( $\delta_{C}$  45.7) with H-1 ( $\delta_{H}$  6.57), H-4 ( $\delta_{H}$  1.94), H-9 ( $\delta_{H}$  1.80), and H-18 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.78) secured the position of the bromomethyl group on C-5. The position of the second bromine atom at C-14 was indicated by the correlation of C-14 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  62.2) with H<sub>3</sub>-15 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.13) and  $H_2$ -13 ( $\delta_H$  2.40 and 2.20). Moreover the correlations of  $H_3$ -15




Figure 2. NOE correlations for compound 2.

 $(\delta_{\rm H}\ 1.13)$  with C-8  $(\delta_{\rm C}\ 42.6)$ , C-7  $(\delta_{\rm C}\ 37.5)$ , C-9  $(\delta_{\rm C}\ 49.5)$ , and C-14 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  62.2) and of H<sub>3</sub>-16 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.41) with C-11 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  74.1), C-9  $(\delta_{\rm C}$  49.5), and C-12  $(\delta_{\rm C}$  75.9) confirmed the positions of these methyl groups. Comparison of the NMR data of 2 with literature data showed a close similarity with those of the previously reported 2S,12S-dihydroxyisobromosphaerol.<sup>14</sup> The above-mentioned data led us to assign 2 as 2S-hydroperoxy-12R-hydroxyisobromosphaerol. The proposed structure was confirmed by NOESY correlations (Figure 2). The NOE correlations between H-14/H-9,  $H-14/H-13\beta$ ,  $H-14/H-7\beta$ , H-14/H-12,  $H-13\beta/H-12$ , H-9/H-12, H-12, H-H-7 $\beta$ , H-9/H-17a, H-17a/H-7 $\beta$ , and H-17b/H-4 determined the configuration at C-4, C-5, C-9, C-14, and C-12. The NOE correlations between  $H_3$ -15/H-13 $\alpha$  and  $H_3$ -15/H-6 $\alpha$  determined the configuration at C-8. The absence of any correlation between H<sub>3</sub>-15/H<sub>3</sub>-16 and the NOE correlations between H<sub>3</sub>-16/H-1 and H<sub>3</sub>-16/H-12 confirmed the equatorial orientation of H<sub>3</sub>-16. The NOE correlations between H-2/H-4, H-2/H-3 $\beta$ , and H-3 $\alpha$ /H<sub>3</sub>-19 and the absence of any correlation between H-2/H-3α established the configuration at the oxygenated carbon C-2. The large coupling constants of H-12, H-13\alpha, and H-14 supported the axial orientation of these protons.

Compound 3 after purification by HPLC was isolated as a white solid. Comparison of its NMR (Table 1) and MS spectra with literature data showed them to be almost identical to those for 2S,12S-dihydroxyisobromosphaerol. However, the fact that C-2 resonated downfield ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  81.9) when compared with 2S-hydroxyisobromosphaerol (1) (C-2 signal at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  68.9) was again indicative of the presence of a hydroperoxy group at C-2, as seen in 2. Additionally, several  $^{13}{\rm C}$  and  $^{1}{\rm H}$  NMR chemical shifts were reassigned. On the basis of the above evidence, metabolite 3 was characterized as 2S-hydroperoxy-12S-hydroxyisobromosphaerol.

Compound 4 was purified by means of HPLC separations and was isolated as a colorless oil. Both  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR data and HRFABMS measurements supported the molecular formula  $C_{20}H_{31}\text{BrO}_3$ . The LREIMS showed [M - H $_2\text{O}$ ] $^+$  peaks at m/z 380/382 with intensities 1.0/1.0, indicating the presence of one bromine atom. The presence of a carbonyl group was evident from the intense IR band at 1698 cm $^{-1}$ , while absorptions at  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  3468 cm $^{-1}$  indicated the presence of hydroxyl groups in the molecule. The  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectrum of 4 (Table 2) exhibited signals for 20 carbons, with the multiplicities of the carbons determined from the DEPT spectra as four quaternary carbons, seven methines, five methylenes, and four methyls. Among


Table 2. NMR Data<sup>a</sup> of Compounds 4–6

			4					w				9	
no.	$(Q)$ $H_1$	m (J)	NOESY	$^{13}$ C $(\delta)$	HMBC (C→H)	$(Q)$ $H_1$	m (J)	NOESY	$^{13}\mathrm{C}\;(\delta)$	HMBC (C→H)	$(Q)$ $H_1$	m (J)	$^{13}C(\delta)$
1	3.61	ddd 10.4, 10.4, 4.6	$2\beta$ , 16	75.6	3α/9, 10	3.48	m	$2\beta, 9, 16$	74.8	$2\beta$ , $3\alpha$ , $3\beta$ , $9/10$	3.99	m	67.3
2	$\beta$ 2.00	m		29.2		$\beta$ 1.99	m	$1,3\beta$	29.0		a 1.91	m	34.0
	$\alpha$ 1.46	m	10, 19/20			α 1.46	m	b 1.52		m			
3	$\alpha 1.89$	m	19/20	23.3		$\alpha$ 1.88	m	20	23.0	2α	a 1.66	m	18.8
	$\beta$ 1.40	m	17b			$\beta$ 1.42	m	$2\beta$ , 4, 17b			b 1.50	m	
4	1.78	m		44.3	17a, 19, 20	1.78	m	$3\beta$ , 17b	43.7	$2\beta/6\beta$ , 17a, 19, 20	1.72	m	43.3
5				43.1	6a, 6b, 7			•	42.4	3α, 17b			43.9
9	a 1.92	m		27.4	7, 17b	$\beta$ 1.96	m	$7\beta$ , 17a, 19	27.6	17a, 17b	$\alpha$ 1.74	ш	27.7
	b 1.80	m				$\alpha$ 1.73	m	15, 20			$\beta$ 1.58	m	
7	a 1.64	m		34.0	6a, 6b, 14, 15	$\alpha$ 1.71	m	$6\beta$ , 14, 17a	35.6	15	α 1.82	dt 13.4, 4.1	36.7
	b 1.64	m				$\beta$ 1.31	m				$\beta$ 1.21	ddd 13.4, 12.9, 4.1	
∞				38.0	7, 13, 14, 15				42.4	$13\beta$ , 14, 15			41.7
6	1.88	m	17a	50.0	7, 10, 14, 15, 16	1.67	m	1, $7\beta/16$ , 14, 17a	53.8	7α, 10, 14, 15, 16	1.60	d 10.2	52.3
10	1.86	m	$2\alpha$ , 15, 19/20	48.5	$2\beta$ , 6a	1.67	m	15, 20	49.6	$2\alpha$ , 4, $6\beta$ , 9	2.27	dd 10.2, 9.9	46.1
11				84.2	10, 13, 16				83.9	9/10, 13a, 16			72.1
12				200.6	14,16				205.8	$13\alpha$ , $13\beta$ , $16$	$\alpha$ 1.78	dt 14.3, 3.2	45.4
											$\beta$ 1.59	m	
13	5.97	9.6 p		129.9		$\beta$ 3.19	dd 18.4, 8.2	14, 16	47.0	14	$\alpha$ 2.42	qd 13.1, 3.3	30.0
						$\alpha 2.85$	dd 18.4, 9.4	15			$\beta$ 1.90	m	
14	88.9	9.6 p		153.9	15	4.29	dd 9.4, 8.2	$7\beta$ , 9, 13 $\beta$	54.8	$13\alpha$ , $13\beta$ , $15$	3.98	m	6.69
15	66.0	S	10	24.9	7, 9	0.93	s	6α, 10, 13α	13.1	$7\beta$ , 9, 14	1.31	S	14.5
16	1.35	S	-	27.7	6	1.32	s	$1, 13\beta$	25.6	. 6	1.36	S	32.3
17	a 3.79	d 10.4	6	38.8	6b, 10	a 3.73	d 10.2	$6\beta$ , $7\beta$ , $9$	38.0	10	a 3.96	d 10.5	40.9
	b 3.58	dd 10.4, 2.1	$3\beta$			b 3.53	dd 10.2, 2.0	$3\beta, 4$			b 3.49	br d 10.5	
18	1.70	br hept 6.6		26.1	19, 20	1.65	m		25.9	4, 19, 20	1.97	ш	26.5
$16^{b}$	86.0	9.9 p	$(2\alpha, 3\alpha, 10)$	21.3	20	0.97	9.9 p	$\theta \theta$	21.2	20	1.04	d 6.7	21.2
$20^{b}$	0.95	d 6.6	$(2\alpha, 3\alpha, 10)$	26.0	19	0.95	9.9 p	3a, 10, 6a	26.0	19	1.01	d 6.7	25.5
1 1 1 2		1200			6		:		4				

a <sup>1</sup>H (400 MHz) and <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50.3 MHz) recorded in CDCl<sub>3</sub> (δ<sub>H</sub> 7.24, δ<sub>C</sub> 77.0); chemical shifts are expressed in ppm and J values in Hz. <sup>b</sup> Positions can be interchanged.

the carbons, one was a carbonyl, resonating at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  200.6 (C-12), two were olefinic, resonating at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  129.9 (C-13) and 153.9 (C-14), one was brominated, resonating at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  38.8 (C-17), and two were oxygenated, resonating at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  84.2 (C-11) and 75.6 (C-1). The proton resonances at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  5.97 (H-13) and 6.88 (H-14) displayed in the  $^1{\rm H}$ NMR spectra defined the isolated AB system of an  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated ketone. Furthermore, the spectra displayed signals corresponding to one oxygenated methine proton at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  3.61 (H-1), two halomethylene protons at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  3.79 and 3.58 (H-17a and H-17b), two methyls of an isopropyl group at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  0.98 (H<sub>3</sub>-19) and 0.95 (H<sub>3</sub>-20) bonded to a methine at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.70 (H-18), and two methyls linked to quaternary carbons at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  0.99 (H<sub>3</sub>-15) and 1.35 (H<sub>3</sub>-16). With five degrees of unsaturation, the structure was expected to contain three rings in addition to the carbonyl group and the double bond. All protonated carbons and their protons were assigned by COSY and HMQC experiments. The NMR data comparison of 4 with those of sphaerococcenol-A<sup>17,18</sup> suggested that metabolite 4 was its 1-hydroxy-1,2-dihydro derivative. On the basis of the correlations of carbonyl C-12 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  200.6) with H-14 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  6.88) and H<sub>3</sub>-16 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.35), of H-13 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  5.97) with the quaternary carbons C-8 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  38.0) and C-11 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  84.2), and of H-14 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  6.88) with C-7 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  34.0), C-8 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  38.0), and C-9 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  50.0), observed in the HMBC spectrum, the carbonyl group was placed at C-12 and the double bond between C-13 and C-14. The correlations between  $H_3$ -19 and  $H_3$ -20 ( $\delta_H$  0.98 and 0.95) with C-4 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  44.3) confirmed the position of the isopropyl group on C-4. The correlation of H-17a ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  3.79) with C-4 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$ 44.3), of H-17b ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  3.58) with C-6 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  27.4), and of carbon C-17  $(\delta_{\rm C} 38.8)$  with protons H-6a  $(\delta_{\rm H} 1.92)$  and H-10  $(\delta_{\rm H} 1.86)$  secured the position of the bromomethyl group at C-5. The oxygenated methine was positioned at C-1, as concluded by the correlations of C-1 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  75.6) with H-9 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.88) and/or H-3 $\alpha$  ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.89) and H-10 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.86). Moreover the correlations of H<sub>3</sub>-15 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  0.99) with C-8 ( $\delta_C$  38.0), C-7 ( $\delta_C$  34.0), C-9 ( $\delta_C$  50.0), and C-14 ( $\delta_C$  153.9) and of H<sub>3</sub>-16 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.35) with C-11 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  84.2), C-9 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  50.0), and C-12 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  200.6) confirmed the positions of the remaining methyl groups. The relative configuration of 4 was assigned on the basis of the NOE experiments. The correlations between H-10/H<sub>3</sub>-15 and H-10/ (H<sub>3</sub>-19 or H<sub>3</sub>-20) and H-10/H-18, observed in the NOESY spectra, determined the configuration at C-4, C-8, and C-10. The NOE correlations between H-17a/H-9, H-17b/H-3 $\beta$ , and H-3 $\alpha$ /(H<sub>3</sub>-19 or H<sub>3</sub>-20) determined the configuration at C-5 and C-9. The strong NOE correlations between H-1/H-2 $\beta$ , H-2 $\alpha$ /H-10, and H-2 $\alpha$ /(H<sub>3</sub>-19 or H<sub>3</sub>-20) established the configuration at C-1. The absence of any correlation between H<sub>3</sub>-15/H<sub>3</sub>-16 or H-10/H<sub>3</sub>-16 and the strong NOE correlation between H<sub>3</sub>-16/H-1 determined the configuration at C-11. According to the above observations, the structure of metabolite 4 was established as 1S-hydroxy-1,2-dihydrosphaerococcenol-A.

Compound 5 was isolated after purification by HPLC as a colorless oil. The molecular formula C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>32</sub>Br<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was deduced from HRFABMS data in combination with the NMR data (Table 2). The LRCI-MS ions at m/z 461/463/465 [MH - H<sub>2</sub>O]<sup>+</sup>, with relative intensities 1.0/2.3/1.3, and at m/z 381/383 [MH - H<sub>2</sub>O - HBr]<sup>+</sup> with relative intensities 1.1/1.0 indicated the presence of two bromine atoms. In the IR spectrum, the broad band at 3414 cm<sup>-1</sup> indicated the presence of a hydroxyl group, while the intense absorption at  $\nu_{\rm max}$  1723 cm<sup>-1</sup> suggested a carbonyl functionality in the molecule. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR exhibited 20 signals corresponding, as determined from the DEPT spectra, to four quaternary carbons, six methines, six methylenes, and four methyls. Among the carbons, one was carbonyl, resonating at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  205.8 (C-12), two were brominated, resonating at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  54.8 (C-14) and 38.0 (C-17), and two were oxygenated, resonating at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  83.9 (C-11) and 74.8 (C-1). The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra displayed bands corresponding to one halomethine at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  4.29 (H-14) and two halomethylene protons at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  3.73 and 3.53 (H-17a and H-17b), one oxygenated methine proton at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  3.48 (H-1), two methyls of an isopropyl group at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  0.97 (H<sub>3</sub>-19) and



**Figure 3.** NOE correlations for compound **5**.

0.95 (H<sub>3</sub>-20) bonded to a methine at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.65 (H-18), and two singlet methyls at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  0.93 (H<sub>3</sub>-15) and 1.32 (H<sub>3</sub>-16). With four degrees of unsaturation, the structure was suggested to contain three rings besides the carbonyl group. All protonated carbons and their protons were assigned by COSY and HMQC experiments. The NMR data comparison of 5 with those of sphaerococcenol-A<sup>17,18</sup> suggested that metabolite 5 was its 14-bromo-1-hydroxy-1,2,13,14-tetrahydro derivative. On the basis of the correlations of carbonyl C-12 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$ 205.8) with H<sub>2</sub>-13 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  3.19 and 2.85) and H<sub>3</sub>-16 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.32), observed in the HMBC spectrum, the carbonyl group was placed on C-12. The correlations between H<sub>3</sub>-19 and H<sub>3</sub>-20 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  0.97 and 0.95) with C-4 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  43.7) confirmed the position of the isopropyl group on C-4. The correlation of H-17a ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  3.73) with C-4 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  43.7) and C-6 ( $\delta_C$  27.6), of H-17b ( $\delta_H$  3.53) with C-6 ( $\delta_C$  27.6) and C-5 ( $\delta_C$ 43.7), and of carbon C-17 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  38.0) with proton H-10 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.67) secured the position of the bromomethyl group on C-5. The position of the second bromine atom at C-14 was indicated by the correlation of C-14 ( $\delta_C$  54.8) with H<sub>3</sub>-15 ( $\delta_H$  0.93) and H<sub>2</sub>-13 ( $\delta_H$  3.19 and 2.85). The oxygenated methine was positioned on C-1, as concluded by the correlations of C-1 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  74.8) with H-2 $\beta$  ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.99), H<sub>2</sub>-3 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.88 and 1.42), and H-9 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.67), and/or H-10 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.67). Moreover the correlations of H<sub>3</sub>-15 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  0.93) with C-8 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  42.4), C-7 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$ 35.6), C-9 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  53.8), and C-14 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  54.8) and of H<sub>3</sub>-16 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.32) with C-11 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  83.9), C-9 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  53.8), and C-12 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  205.8) confirmed the positions of the methyl groups linked to quaternary carbon atoms. The relative configuration of 5 was assigned on the basis of the NOE experiments (Figure 3). The NOE correlations between  $H-14/H-7\beta$ , H-14/H-9,  $H-14/H-13\beta$ , H-9/H-17a,  $H-7\beta/H-17a$ ,  $H-7\beta$  $H-6\beta$ ,  $H-17a/H-6\beta$ ,  $H-17b/H-3\beta$ ,  $H-3\alpha/H_3-20$ ,  $H-3\beta/H-4$ , and 17b/ H-4 determined the configuration at C-4, C-5, C-9, and C-14. The correlations between  $H_3$ -15/H-13 $\alpha$ ,  $H_3$ -15/H-6 $\alpha$ ,  $H_3$ -20/H-6 $\alpha$ ,  $H_3$ -20/H-10, and H<sub>3</sub>-15/H-10, observed in the NOESY spectra, established the configuration at C-8 and C-10. Strong NOE correlations between H-1/H-2 $\beta$ , H-2 $\beta$ /H-3 $\beta$ , H-1/H-9, and H-1/H<sub>3</sub>-16 determined the configuration at C-1. The strong NOE correlations between  $H_3$ -16/H-1 and  $H_3$ -16/H-13 $\beta$  determined the configuration at C-11. According to the above observations, metabolite 5 was named 14S-bromo-1S-hydroxy-1,2,13,14-tetrahydrosphaerococcenol-

Compound **6**, after purification by HPLC, was isolated as a white solid and identified by comparison of its <sup>1</sup>H NMR and MS spectra with previously reported data as being 1*S*-hydroxy-1,2-dihydrobromosphaerol. <sup>15</sup> Extensive analyses of the <sup>13</sup>C NMR, COSY,

**Table 3.** MICs of Compounds 1-6 and a Standard Antibiotic (Norfloxacin) in  $\mu$ g/mL against MDR and Methicillin Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* 

compound	SA1199B	RN4220	EMRSA-15	ATCC 25943	XU212 (TetK)/	EMRSA-16
1	16	16	16	16	16	16
2	16-32	16	16	32	16	16
3	16	16	16	32	16	16
4	0.5	1	0.5	0.5	1	0.25
5	32	32	128	32	32-64	64
6	1-2	2	2	2	2	1-2
Nor	32	1	0.5	0.5	8	128

HSQC, HMBC, and NOESY spectra allowed full <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>1</sup>H NMR assignments for **6** (Table 2).

Metabolites 1-6 were evaluated for their antibacterial activity against a panel of multidrug-resistant (MDR) and methicillinresistant Staphylococcus aureus strains (Table 3). The minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of 1-6 were found to be in the range  $0.5-128 \mu g/mL$ . Among the metabolites, the highest activity was exhibited by 4, which was 64 and 512 times more active than the standard antibiotic norfloxacin against Staphylococcus aureus SA 1199B (overexpressing the NorA efflux protein) and EMRSA-16 (which expresses mecA and is resistant to methicillin), respectively. The second most potent compound was 6, having MICs of  $1-2 \mu g/mL$ . The presence of the  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated ketone moiety at C-12 may well account for the activity of metabolite 4, with this compound being capable of undergoing Michael-type additions to this moiety via attack at C-14 by biological nucleophiles. In compound 5, C-13 is conceivably acidic and the elements of HBr could readily eliminate, giving rise to an  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated ketone and generating compound 4 in situ. This may account for the antibacterial activity seen for compound 5. The activity seen for compound 6 is intriguing, and perhaps the bromine atom enhances uptake of this compound. The minor structural alterations in these tricyclic brominated diterpenes that cause such significant variations in their antibacterial activity make these preliminary results a stimulus for further structure—activity investigations.

#### **Experimental Section**

General Experimental Procedures. Optical rotations were measured on a Perkin-Elmer model 341 polarimeter with a 10 cm cell. UV spectra were acquired in spectroscopic grade CHCl<sub>3</sub> on a Shimadzu UV-160A spectrophotometer. IR spectra were obtained using a Paragon 500 Perkin-Elmer spectrophotometer. NMR spectra were recorded using Bruker AC 200 and Bruker DRX 400 spectrometers. Chemical shifts are given on a  $\delta$  (ppm) scale using TMS as internal standard. The 2D NMR experiments (1H-1H COSY, HMQC, HMBC, NOESY) were performed using standard Bruker microprograms. The structures in Figures 2 and 3 were generated and optimized (energy: 41.00 and 60.42 Kkcal, respectively) by HyperChem 7.0 molecular modeling and simulation software (force field, MM+; optimization algorithm, Polak-Ribiere). High-resolution mass spectral data were provided by the University of Notre Dame, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, IN. Low-resolution electron impact or chemical ionization MS data were recorded on a Thermo DSQ mass detector using a direct exposure probe (DEP) and methane as the CI gas. Vacuum liquid chromatography (VLC) separation was performed with Kieselgel 60 (Merck), gravity column chromatography (GCC) was performed with Kieselgel 60H (Merck), thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed with Kieselgel 60 F<sub>254</sub> aluminum support plates (Merck), and spots were detected after spraying with 15% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> in MeOH reagent and charring. HPLC separations were conducted using an Agilent 1100 model equipped with refractive index detector and a SupelcoSil 5u (250  $\times$  10 mm) HPLC normal-phase column or a Kromasil 100 C18 5u (250 × 8 mm) HPLC reversed-phase column.

**Plant Material.** *S. coronopifolius* was collected by scuba diving in Palaiokastritsa Bay at the west coast of Corfu Island, Greece, at a depth of 10–15 m in May of 2002. A specimen is kept at the Herbarium of the Laboratory of Pharmacognosy and Chemistry of Natural Products, University of Athens (ATPH/MO/201).

**Extraction and Isolation.** *S. coronopifolius* was initially freezedried (291.4 g dry weight) and then exhaustively extracted with mixtures

of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH (3:1) at room temperature. The combined extracts were concentrated to give a dark green residue (8.20 g), which was later subjected to VLC on silica gel, using cyclohexane with increasing amounts (10%) of EtOAc and finally MeOH as mobile phase. The CH<sub>3</sub>CN-soluble portion (306.7 mg) of fraction IV<sub>a</sub> (60% EtOAc in cyclohexane) (337.8 mg) was subjected to reversed-phase HPLC chromatography, using 100% CH<sub>3</sub>CN as mobile phase. Peak V<sub>b</sub> (retention time 9.4 min) (3.3 mg) was subjected again to reversedphase HPLC chromatography, using CH<sub>3</sub>CN as mobile phase, to yield pure compound 4 (1.1 mg), while peaks X<sub>b</sub> (retention time 12.0 min) (21.0 mg) and XI<sub>b</sub> (retention time 12.5 min) (86.3 mg) with similar repurification yielded pure compounds 3 (1.3 mg) and 2 (2.0 mg), respectively. The CH<sub>3</sub>CN-soluble portion (323.4 mg) of fraction V<sub>a</sub> (70% EtOAc in cyclohexane) (419.8 mg) was subjected to reversedphase HPLC chromatography, using CH<sub>3</sub>CN as mobile phase. Peaks  $IV_c$  (retention time 7.8 min) (8.9 mg) and  $VIII_c$  (retention time 9.2 min) (11.1 mg) were subjected again to reversed-phase HPLC chromatography, using CH<sub>3</sub>CN as mobile phase, to yield pure compounds 1 (1.4 mg) and 5 (1.3 mg), respectively, while peak  $\ensuremath{\text{XII}_{c}}$  (retention time 11.6 min) (48.1 mg) with HPLC normal-phase purification, using 70% CHCl<sub>3</sub> in *n*-hexane as mobile phase, yielded pure compound 6 (2.0 mg).

**2S-Hydroxyisobromosphaerol** (1): colorless oil;  $[\alpha]^{20}_D$  +8.4 (*c* 2.70, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); UV (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (log ε) 245 (2.51) nm; IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  3377, 2942, 2860 cm<sup>-1</sup>; NMR data (CDCl<sub>3</sub>), see Table 1; CIMS m/z (rel int %) 445:447:449 [MH – H<sub>2</sub>O]+ (1:2:1), 427:429:431 [MH – 2H<sub>2</sub>O]+ (1:2:1), 365:367 [MH – HBr – H<sub>2</sub>O]+ (12:9), 347:349 [MH – HBr – 2H<sub>2</sub>O]+ (14:15), 285 [MH – 2HBr – H<sub>2</sub>O]+ (22), 267 [MH – 2HBr – 2H<sub>2</sub>O]+ (100), 223 (7), 187 (8), 159 (18), 109 (6), 95 (18), 81 (19); HFABMS m/z 461.0675 [M – H]+ (calcd for C<sub>2</sub>0H<sub>3</sub>1<sup>79</sup>Br<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, 461.0691)

**2S-Hydroperoxy-12***R***-hydroxyisobromosphaerol (2):** white solid;  $[α]^{20}_D$  +6.6 (c 1.70, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); UV (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $λ_{max}$  (log ε) 247 (3.00) nm; IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $ν_{max}$  3422, 2936 cm<sup>-1</sup>; NMR data (CDCl<sub>3</sub>), see Table 1; CIMS m/z (rel int %) 477:479:481 [MH - H<sub>2</sub>O]+ (43:83:41), 459: 461:463 [MH - 2H<sub>2</sub>O]+ (12:22:13), 443:445:447 [MH - H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O]+ (6:8:4), 397:399 [MH - HBr - H<sub>2</sub>O]+ (21:19), 379:381 [MH - HBr - 2H<sub>2</sub>O]+ (42:45), 363:365 [MH - HBr - H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O]+ (31:31), 345: 347 (7:9), 337:339 (12:13), 317 (23), 299 [MH - 2HBr - 2H<sub>2</sub>O]+ (38), 283 [MH - 2HBr - H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O]+ (100), 265 (39), 239 (16), 201 (17), 159 (29), 95 (23), 83 (79); HRFABMS m/z 477.0621 [M - OH]+ (calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>31</sub><sup>79</sup>Br<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 477.0640).

**2S-Hydroperoxy-12S-hydroxyisobromosphaerol (3):** white solid;  $[\alpha]^{20}_D + 3.1$  (*c* 2.20, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); NMR data (CDCl<sub>3</sub>), see Table 1; EIMS 70 eV, m/z (rel int %) 476:478:480  $[M-H_2O]^+$  (2:6:3), 460:462:464  $[M-2OH]^+$  (4:6:3), 445:447:449  $[M-2OH-Me]^+$  (3:6:3), 429: 431:433  $[M-2OH-O-Me]^+$  (3:5:3), 415:417  $[M-Br]^+$  (2:2), 383:385 (36:34), 365:367 (26:27), 339:341  $[M-Br-OH-O-Me_2C]^+$  (24:21), 311 (13), 299 (41), 267  $[M-HBr-Br-H_2O_2-2OH]^+$  (7), 207 (17), 159 (31), 91 (34), 43 (100).

**1S-Hydroxy-1,2-dihydrosphaerococcenol-A** (4): colorless oil;  $[α]^{20}_D$  – 32.7 (c 0.90, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); UV (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $λ_{max}$  (log ε) 247 (3.29) nm; IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $ν_{max}$  3468, 1698 cm<sup>-1</sup>; NMR data (CDCl<sub>3</sub>), see Table 2; EIMS 70 eV, m/z (rel int %) 380:382 [M – H<sub>2</sub>O]<sup>+</sup> (4:4), 365:367 [M – OH – O]<sup>+</sup> (2:2), 301 [M – Br – H<sub>2</sub>O]<sup>+</sup> (9), 283 [M – Br – 2H<sub>2</sub>O]<sup>+</sup> (2), 273 [M – CH<sub>2</sub>Br – OH – Me]<sup>+</sup> (100), 215 (7), 191 (58), 145 (16), 95 (69); HRFABMS (m/z): 381.1437 [M – OH]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>31</sub><sup>79</sup>BrO<sub>2</sub>, 381.1429).

**14***S*-**Bromo-1***S*-**hydroxy-1,2,13,14-tetrahydrosphaerococcenol-A** (**5**): colorless oil;  $[\alpha]^{20}_D$  -6.6 (*c* 2.70, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); UV (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 247 (2.67) nm; IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  3414, 1723 cm<sup>-1</sup>; NMR data (CDCl<sub>3</sub>), see Table 2; CIMS m/z (rel int %) 461:463:465 [MH –

 $H_2O]^+ \ (1:2:1), \ 443:445:447 \ [MH-2H_2O]^+ \ (2:4:2), \ 381:383 \ [MH-HBr-H_2O]^+ \ (44:40), \ 363:365 \ [MH-HBr-2H_2O]^+ \ (17:20), \ 352: \ 354 \ (29:32), \ 311 \ (22), \ 301 \ [MH-2HBr-H_2O]^+ \ (100), \ 283 \ [MH-2HBr-2H_2O]^+ \ (61), \ 273 \ (45), \ 255 \ (23), \ 215 \ (28), \ 147 \ (29), \ 123 \ (25), \ 81 \ (38); \ HRFABMS \ (\emph{m/z}) \ 460.0596 \ [M-H_2O]^+ \ (calcd \ for \ C_{20}H_{30}^{-9}Br_2O_2, \ 460.0613).$ 

**1S-Hydroxy-1,2-dihydrobromosphaerol (6):** white solid;  $[\alpha]^{20}_{\rm D}$  +5.1 (c 2.70, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); NMR data (CDCl<sub>3</sub>), see Table 2; CIMS m/z (rel int %) 447:449:451 [MH - H<sub>2</sub>O]<sup>+</sup> (4:6:3), 429:431:433 [MH - 2H<sub>2</sub>O]<sup>+</sup> (8:18:12), 367:369 [MH - HBr - H<sub>2</sub>O]<sup>+</sup> (100:98), 349:351 [MH - HBr - 2H<sub>2</sub>O]<sup>+</sup> (60:63), 335:337 [MH - CH<sub>3</sub>Br - 2H<sub>2</sub>O]<sup>+</sup> (10:8), 287 [MH - 2HBr - H<sub>2</sub>O]<sup>+</sup> (53), 269 [MH - 2HBr - 2H<sub>2</sub>O]<sup>+</sup> (46), 255 [MH - CH<sub>3</sub>Br - HBr - 2H<sub>2</sub>O]<sup>+</sup> (11), 203 (9), 175 (10), 147 (7), 105 (11), 83 (47).

**Bacterial Strains and Antibiotic.** A standard *S. aureus* strain ATCC 25923 and a clinical isolate (XU212), which possesses the TetK efflux pump and was an MRSA strain, were obtained from Dr. E. Udo. <sup>19</sup> Strain RN4220, which has the MsrA macrolide efflux pump, was provided by Dr. J. Cove. <sup>20</sup> EMRSA-15<sup>21</sup> and EMRSA-16<sup>22</sup> were obtained from Dr. Paul Stapleton. Strain SA1199B, which overexpresses the NorA MDR efflux pump, was the gift of Professor G. Kaatz. <sup>23</sup> Norfloxacin was obtained from the Sigma Chemical Co. Mueller-Hinton broth (MHB; Oxoid) was adjusted to contain 20 mg/L Ca<sup>2+</sup> and 10 mg/L Mg<sup>2+</sup>.

Antibacterial Assay. Overnight cultures of each strain were made up in 0.9% saline to an inoculum density of  $5 \times 10^5$  cfu by comparison with a McFarland standard. Norfloxacin was dissolved in DMSO and then diluted in MHB to give a starting concentration of 512 µg/mL. Using Nunc 96-well microtiter plates, 125  $\mu$ L of MHB was dispensed into wells 1–11. Then 125  $\mu$ L of the test compound or the appropriate antibiotic was dispensed into well 1 and serially diluted across the plate, leaving well 11 empty for the growth control. The final volume was dispensed into well 12, which being free of MHB or inoculum served as the sterile control. Finally, the bacterial inoculum (125  $\mu$ L) was added to wells 1-11, and the plate was incubated at 37 °C for 18 h. A DMSO control (3.125%) was also included. All MICs were determined in duplicate. The MIC was determined as the lowest concentration at which no growth was observed. A methanolic solution (5 mg/mL) of 3-[4,5dimethylthiazol-2-yl]-2,5-diphenyltetrazoliium bromide (MTT; Lancaster) was used to detect bacterial growth by a color change from yellow to blue.

**Acknowledgment.** This study was partially supported by the FP-39 EPAN program of the Greek Secretariat for Research and Technology.

#### References and Notes

- (1) Fenical, W. Marine Natural Products; Scheuer, P. J., Ed.; Academic Press: New York, 1978; Vol. 2, pp 174–245.
- (2) Erickson, K. L. Marine Natural Products: Chemical and Biological Perspectives; Scheuer, P. J., Ed.; Academic Press: New York, 1983; Vol. 5, pp 131–257.
- (3) Etahiri, S.; Bultel-Poncé, V.; Caux, C.; Guyot, M J. Nat. Prod. 2001, 64, 1024–1027, and references cited therein.
- (4) Wright, A. D.; Goclik, E.; König, G. M. J. Nat. Prod. 2003, 66, 435– 437
- (5) Davyt, D.; Fernandez, R.; Suescun, L.; Mombrú, A. W.; Saldaña, J.; Dominguez, L.; Fujii, M. T.; Manta, E. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2006**, *69*, 1113–
- (6) De Nys, R.; Wright, A. D.; König, G. M.; Sticher, O. J. Nat. Prod. 1993, 56, 887–883.
- (7) El Sayed, K. A.; Dunbar, D. C.; Perry, T. L.; Wilkins, S. P.; Hamann, M. T. J. Agric. Food Chem. 1997, 45, 2735–2739.
- (8) Caccamese, S.; Azzolina, R.; Duesler, E. N.; Paul, I. C.; Rinehart, K. L. Tetrahedron Lett. 1980, 21, 2299–2302.
- (9) König, G. M.; Wright, A. D. Planta Med. 1997, 63, 186-187.
- (10) Sakemi, S.; Higa, T.; Jefford, C. W.; Bernardinelli, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 4287–4290.
- (11) Kontiza, I.; Stavri, M.; Zloh, M.; Vagias, C.; Gibbons, S.; Roussis, V. Tetrahedron 2008, 64, 1696–1702.
- (12) Kladi, M.; Vagias, C.; Papazafiri, P.; Furnari, G.; Serio, D.; Roussis, V. Tetrahedron 2007, 63, 7606–7611.
- (13) Kontiza, I.; Abatis, D.; Malakate, K.; Vagias, C.; Roussis, V. Steroids 2006, 71, 177–181.
- (14) Cafieri, F.; Ciminiello, P.; Fattorusso, E.; Mangoni, C. Gazz. Chim. Ital. 1990, 120, 139–142.
- (15) Cafieri, F.; Ciminiello, P.; Santacroce, C.; Fattorusso, E. Phytochemistry 1982, 21, 2412–2413.
- (16) Cafieri, F.; Ciminiello, P.; Fattorusso, E.; Santacroce, C. Experientia 1977, 33, 1549–1688.
- (17) De Rosa, S.; De Stefano, S.; Scarpelli, P.; Zavodnik, N. Phytochemistry 1988, 27, 1875–1878.
- (18) Fenical, W.; Finer, J.; Clardy, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1976, 17, 731-734
- (19) Gibbons, S.; Udo, E. E. Phytother. Res. 2000, 14, 139-140.
- (20) Ross, J. I.; Farrell, A. M.; Eady, E. A.; Cove, J. H.; Cunliffe, W. J. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 1989, 24, 851–862.
- (21) Richardson, J. F.; Reith, S. J. Hosp. Infect. 1993, 25, 45-52.
- (22) Cox, R. A.; Conquest, C.; Mallaghan, C.; Maples, R. R. J. Hosp. Infect. 1995, 29, 87–106.
- (23) Kaatz, G. W.; Seo, S. M.; Ruble, C. A. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. **1993**, *37*, 1086–1094.

NP8001817