

Org Lett. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 September 3

Published in final edited form as:

Org Lett. 2009 September 3; 11(17): 3806–3809. doi:10.1021/ol901243e.

# In Vitro Precursor-Directed Synthesis of Polyketide Analogs with Coenzyme A Regeneration for the Development of Antiangiogenic Agents

### Moon Kim II, Seok Joon Kwon, and Jonathan S. Dordick

Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, Center for Biotechnology & Interdisciplinary Studies, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, Troy, NY 12180, dordick@rpi.edu

## **Abstract**

Polyketide analogs are produced via *in vitro* reconstruction of precursor-directed polyketide biosynthetic pathway. Malonyl-CoA synthetase (MCS) was used in conjunction with chalcone synthase (CHS), thereby allowing efficient use of synthetic starter molecules and malonate as extender. Coenzyme-A was recycled up to 50 times. The use of a simple immobilization procedure resulted in up to a 30-fold higher yield of pyrone CHS products than that obtained with the free enzyme solutions.

Polyketides represent an exceptionally diverse class of natural products with applications as antibiotics, anticancer compounds, cholesterol-lowering drugs, and animal feed supplements. 

Their often high biological activity and potential for broad structural diversity are attractive driving forces for exploration of polyketide analogs with tailored structures and biological function. Along these lines, chemical synthesis has been used to generate polyketide analogs<sup>2</sup>; however, the structural and chemical complexity of polyketides and their derivatives makes this route difficult.

Alternatively, an *in vivo* heterologous production of polyketides by metabolic engineering of biosynthetic pathways or combinatorial biosynthesis is playing an increasingly important role in natural product production.<sup>3</sup> For example, precursor-directed biosynthesis using N-acetylcysteamine thioester (SNAc) starter substrates has proven to be effective in yielding unnatural polyketide analogs.<sup>3b</sup> However, producing polyketides *in vivo* has numerous

Correspondence to: Jonathan S. Dordick.

limitations, such as limited substrate permeability into the cell, the presence of competing pathways with complex regulatory controls, and cellular toxicity.<sup>4</sup>

As opposed to chemical or cell-based polyketide synthesis, *in vitro* reconstruction of polyketide synthase (PKS) biosynthetic pathways is an emerging route to explore novel enzyme mechanisms, assess substrate specificity, and produce diverse polyketides. However, the instability of PKS and the burden of expensive extender (malonyl- or methylmalonyl-coenzyme A (CoA)) substrates have made it difficult to achieve high-yield production of polyketide analogs and enable their efficient scale-up. Herein, we report an efficient *in vitro*, enzymatic synthesis of polyketide analogs by reconstructing a type III PKS biosynthetic pathway complete with a CoA regeneration system that mimics *in vivo* precursor-directed biosynthesis.

To demonstrate the *in vitro* function of a precursor-directed biosynthetic pathway, we used CHS<sup>6a</sup> with unnatural SNAc starter substrates (Scheme 1). A critical need for large-scale synthesis of novel polyketides is high enzyme activity and stability. Unfortunately, ~40% of CHS activity was lost within 3 h and after 2 days < 10% activity remained for the reaction of benzoyl-SNAc with malonyl-CoA (Figure 1a and Figure 1S).

To improve the *in vitro* stability of CHS, we evaluated several enzyme immobilization methods, including physical adsorption onto mesoporous silica (MPS) and hydrophobic methyltrimethoxysilane (MTMOS)-coated MPS, <sup>7</sup> covalent attachment onto aldehyde-functionalized MPS, <sup>8</sup> and crosslinked enzyme aggregate (CLEA). <sup>9</sup> Unfortunately, physical adsorption onto either hydrophilic or hydrophobic silica surfaces failed to improve CHS stability (Figure 1S). Moreover, upon covalent attachment using the reactive amine residues of CHS, the activity and stability was substantially lower than that of free CHS. Similarly, CLEA formation using glutaraldehyde crosslinking of CHS amine residues resulted in poor stability, and this was not improved with a polymeric (dextran aldehyde) crosslinked.

These results can be rationalized by examining the CHS crystal structure. As depicted in Figure 2Sa, CHS possesses numerous lysine and arginine residues (yellow and orange balls), which are located near the CoA binding site (green, substrate binding site). The covalent attachment on the silica beads or crosslinking with these reactive amine groups (lysine and arginine residues) may block the substrate entrance of active site, resulting in interference with starter CoA binding leading to decreased catalytic activity. As opposed to rather random adsorption or covalent attachment to a surface, the site-specific 10 coordinated covalent attachment using the N-terminal histidine (His)-tag of enzyme onto Ni<sup>2+</sup>-nitrotriacetic acid (Ni-NTA) agarose beads enables attachment distant from the CHS active site (cyan, Figure 2Sa). Immobilization onto Ni-NTA agarose beads resulted in ca. 50% retention of native solution activity, yet a highly stable enzyme. This stabilization was confirmed using spectroscopic analysis. Specifically, near-UV circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy was used to evaluate the bulk tertiary structure of CHS free in solution at initial time and after 15 h incubation in aqueous buffer (Figure 2Sb), as well as immobilized onto Ni-NTA agarose. Secondary structural changes using far-UV CD could not be performed using the immobilized enzyme form due to interference by the support. Clear loss in tertiary structure was observed for the free enzyme after 15 h incubation; however, near complete retention of the tertiary structure was evident with the immobilized CHS.

CHS immobilized onto Ni-NTA agarose was extremely stable under operating conditions, with nearly 80% retention of initial enzyme activity following 1-week in aqueous buffer at room temperature (Figure 1a). This stability enabled recycling and reuse (via gravity centrifugation) of the immobilized CHS.

Following 20 cycles (2 h per cycle), ca. 50% of the initial CHS activity remained (Figure 2Sc). Finally, the reactivity of Ni-NTA agarose immobilized CHS was tested using several acyl-CoA starter substrates and malonyl CoA (Table 1). For benzoyl-CoA, the overall conversion was similar for the immobilized and free CHS, albeit a larger proportion of the product of the immobilized CHS was the tetrapyrone, indicative of a more extensive reaction. However, for the intrinsically less reactive butyryl-CoA and acetyl-CoA starter substrates, substantially higher conversion was achieved with the immobilized enzyme. Therefore, the combination of enzyme activity and stability, coupled with the ease of immobilization using Ni-NTA agarose beads (including combined protein purification and immobilization), led us to use this immobilization strategy for this study.

CHS is capable of using SNAc thioesters as starter substrates<sup>6b</sup> as an alternative to natural CoA esters. Although the reactivity of CHS on SNAc substrates is lower than on their CoA counterparts (e.g., CHS was 2-fold less active on benzoyl-SNAc as compared to benzoyl-CoA), the ease of synthesis and the far lower cost of the SNAc esters sufficiently outweighs the reduced enzyme activity. CHS, however, is incapable of using malonyl-SNAc or methylmalonyl-SNAc as extender substrates, and instead requires the respective CoA esters. For this reason, we coupled malonyl-CoA synthetase (MCS)<sup>11</sup> with CHS, which enabled malonyl-CoA to be used as the natural extender substrate and also allowed recycling of malonyl-CoA upon addition of malonate and ATP with a small amount of CoA. To that end, glutathione S-transferase (GST)-tagged MCS was used in either free solution or immobilized onto glutathione-sepharose (Figure 3S). 11 A sequential two-step enzymatic reaction was performed with benzoyl-SNAc (1 mM) and excess malonate (10 mM) in the presence of CoA (1 mM) and ATP (5 mM) to produce benzoyl pyrones (tripyrone 1a and tetrapyrone 1b; detailed reaction conditions are described in Supporting Information). The conversion of benzoyl-SNAc was ~2% when free CHS and MCS were used, and the conversion was not increased upon immobilization of MCS. Modest improvement in starter unit conversion was achieved in the presence of immobilized CHS (Figure 1b). The largest conversion (>65%) was obtained in the two-step reaction using both immobilized CHS and MCS.

The efficient use of the CoA regeneration system enabled us to perform larger-scale biotransformations, up to 50-mL reaction volumes, containing different concentrations of initial CoA (0.05 to 1.0 mM) with benzoyl SNAC (1 mM), 4 mM ATP, and 0.5 mM CoASH. The time course of benzoyl pyrone synthesis is shown in Figure 1c. As expected, with higher concentrations of initial CoA, the reaction proceeded faster. However after 7 days, complete conversion of benzoyl SNAC was achieved even with 0.05 mM of initial CoA concentration. In the case of 0.05 mM initial CoA concentration, ca. 50 CoA regeneration cycles was achieved after 7 days for conversion of benzoyl-SNAc into tri-pyrone (2 molecules of malonyl CoA used) and tetra-pyrone (3 molecules of malonyl CoA used) (Table 1S). This immobilized *invitro* system, therefore, did not require high concentrations of expensive CoA, increasing the potential for reaction scale-up.

The coupled CHS/MCS reaction system was then used to synthesize a wide range of type III polyketides. Eight starter SNAc compounds (1-8) were chemically synthesized and used in 50 mL reactions. After 3 day reactions, the expected tri- and tetrapyrones, and flavonoids were detected and analyzed by LC-MS. Selected compounds were then purified by flash column chromatography and analyzed by  $^1$ H-NMR (Supporting Information). Overall reaction yields ranged from 27–81% (Table 2) based on conversion of the SNAc starter substrate. Several of the polyketide products have not been reported previously, including naphthoyl-primed pyrones (5a and 5b), indole-primed pyrones and flavonoids (6a, 6b, and 6c), and anthracene-primed pyrones (7a and 7b) synthesized from unnatural starter molecules (naphthoic, indolic, and anthracenoic-SNAc esters(5, 6, and 7, respectively). The starter SNAc esters having transcinnamoyl moieties (3, 4, and 6) were converted into flavonoids as well as pyrones. These

results indicated that CHS has extremely broad substrate specificity for aromatic starter SNAc esters. A total of 16 natural or unnatural polyketides were synthesized using combined immobilized CHS and MCS.

To test the bioactivity of isolated polyketide analogs, an anti-angiogenesis assay was performed by incubating HER2 overexpressing breast cancer (BT-474) cells with the compounds and determining the expression level of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF). Because VEGF are crucial regulators of blood-vessel formation (angiogenesis) as well as vascular development (vasculogenesis), inhibition of VEGF gene expression and blocking of VEGF function are clinically used in cancer therapy. <sup>12</sup>

Previous reports indicate that known type III polyketides, including genistein and apigenin, inhibit VEGF expression and decrease tumor angiogenesis. <sup>13</sup> Therefore, we anticipated that unnatural polyketide analogs might also regulate VEGF secretion and synthesis. With this rationale in mind, we tested the polyketide analogs synthesized *in vitro* by the combined action of CHS and MCS catalysis for their ability to suppress the secretion of VEGF from BT-474 cells; activation or overexpression of HER-2 is associated with up-regulation of VEGF in human breast cancer cells. <sup>13</sup>

As a result,  $10 \,\mu\text{M}$  each of the newly synthesized polyketides were modestly inhibitory against VEGF release from BT-474 cells. Among them, benzoyl tri- or tetra- pyrones ( $\mathbf{1a}$ ,  $\mathbf{1b}$ ), cinnamoyl flavonoid ( $\mathbf{3c}$ ), and naphthoyl tri- or tetra- pyrones ( $\mathbf{5a}$ ,  $\mathbf{5b}$ ) showed ~50% inhibition against VEGF secretion. To compare the effectiveness of these compounds with a known VEGF inhibitor, apigenin,  $^{14}$  dose-response curves for  $\mathbf{1b}$ ,  $\mathbf{3c}$ , and  $\mathbf{5b}$  were obtained to calculate their IC<sub>50</sub> (half maximal inhibitory concentration). (Figure 4S) As summarized in Table 2S, benzoyl tetrapyrone ( $\mathbf{1b}$ ) was the most potent of the compounds tested against VEGF secretion.

In summary, *in vitro* reconstruction of a PKS biosynthetic pathway was developed, resulting in high yields of polyketide analogs. Main bottlenecks of *in vitro* PKS catalysis, e.g., enzyme stability and cost of malonyl-CoA, were overcome by use of simple immobilization and CoA regeneration catalyzed by MCS, respectively. Regeneration of ATP can be considered as an additional approach to reduce consumption of expensive cofactors<sup>15</sup>.

Eight unnatural SNAc starter substrates were successfully used in this system, yielding the expected polyketides and then screened for anti-angiogenesis activity. Because the *in vitro* reconstruction of PKS biosynthetic pathway comprised both high enzyme stability and continuous production of malonyl-CoA by CoA regeneration, this system may efficiently mimic *in vivo* PKS biosynthesis. This, in turn, results in convenient screening of novel unnatural polyketide analogs, and may lead to new classes of compounds with antiangiogenesis activity.

# **Supplementary Material**

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

# **Acknowledgments**

This work was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health (ES012619 and GM66712), NYSTAR, and the Korea Research Foundation funded by the Korean government (MOEHRD) (KRF-2006-352-D00047). We thank Prof. Y. S. Kim (Yonsei University) and Prof. J. P. Noel (Salk Institute) for kindly supplying the genes for MCS and CHS, respectively. We thank Dr. J. H. Kim (Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute) for NMR analysis.

### References

1. Shen B. Curr Opin Chem Biol 2003;7:285–295. [PubMed: 12714063]

- 2. Tatsuta K, Hosokawa S. Chem Rec 2006;6:217-233. [PubMed: 16900486]
- 3. (a) Van Lanen SG, Shen B. Curr Opin Microbiol 2006;9:252–260. [PubMed: 16651020] (b) Zhou H, Zhan J, Watanabe K, Xie X, Tang Y. Proc Natl Acad Sci 2008;105:6249–6254. [PubMed: 18427109]
- 4. (a) Fecik RA. Nat Chem Biol 2007;3:531–532. [PubMed: 17710095] (b) Weissman KJ, Bycroft M, Cutter AL, Hanefeld U, Frost EJ, Timoney MC, Harris R, Handa S, Roddis M, Staunton J, Leadlay PF. Chem Biol 1998;5:743–754. [PubMed: 9862800]
- 5. (a) Cheng Q, Xiang L, Izumikawa M, Meluzzi D, Moore BS. Nat Chem Biol 2007;3:557–558. [PubMed: 17704772] (b) Roessner CA, Scott AI. Chem Biol 1996;3:325–330. [PubMed: 8807860]
- (a) Ferrer JL, Jez JM, Bowman ME, Dixon RA, Noel JP. Nat Struct Biol 1999;6:775–784. [PubMed: 10426957]
   (b) Katsuyama Y, Miyahisa I, Funa N, Horinouchi S. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 2007;73:1143–1149. [PubMed: 16960736]
- 7. (a) Kim SS, Pauly TR, Pinnavaia TJ. Chem Commun 2000:1661–1662. (b) Takahashi H, Li B, Sasaki T, Miyazaki C, Kajino T, Inagaki S. Chem Mater 2000;12:3301–3305. (c) Ying JI, Mehnert CP, Wong MS. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 1999;38:56–77.
- 8. Wang P, Dai S, Waezsada SD, Tsao AY, Davison BH. Biotechnol Bioeng 2001;74:249–255. [PubMed: 11400098]
- Schoevaart R, Wolbers MW, Golubovic M, Ottens M, Kieboom AP, van Rantwijk F, van der Wielen LA, Sheldon RA. Biotechnol Bioeng 2004;87:754

  –762. [PubMed: 15329933]
- 10. Yu CC, Lin PC, Lin CC. Chem Commun 2008:1308-1310.
- 11. (a) An JH, Kim YS. Eur J Biochem 1998;257:395–402. [PubMed: 9826185] (b) An JH, Lee GY, Jung JW, Lee W, Kim YS. Biochem J 1999;344:159–166. [PubMed: 10548546]
- 12. (a) Kim KJ, Li B, Winer J, Armanini M, Gillett N, Phillips HS, Ferrara N. Nature 1993;362:841–844. [PubMed: 7683111] (b) Mentlein R, Eichler O, Forstreuter F, Held-Feindt J. Int J Cancer 2001;92:545–550. [PubMed: 11304689]
- 13. (a) Buchler P, Reber HA, Buchler MW, Friess H, Lavey RS, Hines OJ. Cancer 2004;100:201–210. [PubMed: 14692041] (b) Konecny GE, Meng YG, Untch M, Wang HJ, Bauerfeind I, Epstein M, Stieber P, Vernes JM, Gutierrez J, Hong K, Beryt M, Hepp H, Slamon DJ, Pegram MD. Clin Cancer Res 2004;10:1706–1716. [PubMed: 15014023] (c) Schindler R, Mentlein R. J Nutr 2006;136:1477–1482. [PubMed: 16702307]
- 14. Osada M, Imaoka S, Funae Y. FEBS Lett 2004;575:59-63. [PubMed: 15388333]
- 15. Resnick SM, Zehnder AJ. Appl Environ Microbiol 2000;66:2045–2051. [PubMed: 10788379]




Figure 1.

(a) Stability comparison between immobilized and free CHS (static condition, 22°C), (b) Comparison of benzoyl SNAC conversion (%) among 4 combinations of MCS and CHS (1 day reaction), (c) Time course of benzoyl SNAC conversion (%) using both immobilized CHS and MCS.

**Scheme 1.** *In vitro* precursor-directed biosynthesis of polyketide analogs

 $\label{eq:total constraints} \textbf{Table 1}$  Reactivity comparison between free and immobilized CHS on Ni-NTA agarose using several acyl-CoA substrates as starter and malonyl CoA as extender  $^a$ 

starter substrates	immobilized CHS		free CHS	
starter substrates	tri-pyrone	tetra-pyrone	tri-pyrone	tetra-pyrone
Benzoyl CoA	11	60	22	39
Butyryl CoA	47	5	33	N.D.
Acetyl CoA	37	N.D.	1	N.D.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>100 μL reaction volume, 40 μg enzyme used in Tris-HCl buffer. 3 day reaction. Concentration of starter substrate and malonyl CoA are 1 mM and 5 mM, respectively. Conversion [%] based on starter substrate as the limiting agent. N.D. indicates compounds were not detected.

# tdiassed on starter SNAc) of polyketides produced by in vitro system<sup>a</sup>

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

ctortor	triketic	etides	tetrak	tetraketides	flavo	flavonoids
3141161	product	yield (%)	product	yield (%)	product	yield (%)
1	la	23	at dt	64		
2	2a	ĸ	2b	47		
3	За	36	3b	5	3c	10
4	4a	17	4b	-	4c	35
ĸ	Sa	10	Sb	55		
9	6a	18	<b>q9</b>	3	<b>39</b>	9
7	<b>7</b> a	40	7b	3		
œ	8a	54	8b	24		

<sup>a</sup>Percent yields based on starter SNAc ester concentration; 10 mg of immobilized MCS and 5 mg of immobilized CHS were mixed in the reaction buffer (50mL Tris-HCl, pH 7.5). 10 mM sodium malonate, 1 mM starter molecules, 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 4 mM ATP, and 10 mM dithiothreitol and 0.5 mM initial CoA were used for 3 day incubations. Coefficients of variation (CV) of the results were less