

Room-temperature ionic liquids as media to enhance the electrochemical stability of self-assembled monolayers of alkanethiols on gold electrodes†

Jinghong Li,^{*ab} Yanfei Shen,^a Yuanjian Zhang^a and Yang Liu^a

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 12th August 2004, Accepted 15th October 2004

First published as an Advance Article on the web 30th November 2004

DOI: 10.1039/b412412j

The electrochemical stability of self-assembled monolayers was greatly enhanced by using room-temperature ionic liquids as media.

Self-assembled monolayers (SAMs) of alkanethiols on gold surfaces have drawn considerable attention as model systems for probing electron transfer theories and interface structures,¹ as well as for potential technological applications including corrosion inhibition,² wetting,³ molecular electronic devices,⁴ chemical sensors⁵ and electroanalysis⁶ *etc.* In some electrochemical applications, the potential-dependent stability of the SAMs is a crucial point,⁷ which is greatly affected by several factors such as solvents, electrolytes, temperature and substrates.⁸ Aqueous media has little effect on the structure and stability of the SAMs, but the potential window is small.^{8a} Although organic solvents allow one to work in a larger range of potentials than aqueous media, most of them solvate SAMs and disrupt the SAM structure,⁹ hence the SAMs are stable only in a limited range of potentials.⁹ In some cases, electrolyte ions could adsorb on the electrode and displace the adsorbed thiol, resulting in desorption of the SAMs.^{8a} Therefore, to select proper solution environments for the SAMs to obtain high stability in a wide range of potentials is of significant importance.⁹

Here, we describe, for the first time, a novel medium for SAMs, room-temperature ionic liquids (RTILs). RTILs have been proposed as “green” alternatives to conventional organic solvents in a range of synthesis, catalysis, electrochemistry and liquid-liquid extractions¹⁰ because of their special chemical and physical properties.¹¹ Particularly, they have relatively large potential windows and high conductivity, and allow studies to be undertaken without requiring added supporting electrolyte in the area of electrochemistry.¹² Here, the potential-dependent stability of octadecanethiol (C₁₈SH) SAMs on gold electrodes in 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium hexafluorophosphate (BMIMPF₆), RTILs, and other aqueous and organic electrolyte solutions was investigated by electrochemical methods, such as cyclic voltammetry (CV) and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy. It was found that the SAMs in BMIMPF₆ were stable in a much wider potential window.

The oxidative and reductive desorption behaviors of C₁₈SH SAMs on Au were investigated by cyclic voltammetry. Fig. 1(A) and (B) shows the CVs of gold electrodes modified with C₁₈SH in

CH₂Cl₂ containing 0.1 M tetra-*n*-octylammonium bromide (TOAB) (denoted as TOAB/CH₂Cl₂), 0.5 M KCl/H₂O, 0.5 M KOH/H₂O and BMIMPF₆ in various potential scan limits. Due to desorption occurring when scanning both positive and negative regions, the experiments were done separately in each region. In the positive potential region (Fig. 1(A)), an oxidative current peak for the SAMs in BMIMPF₆ was observed at the most positive potential compared to that in other three electrolyte solutions.^{13a} In the negative region (Fig. 1(B)), no thiolate reductive desorption wave was observed in KCl/H₂O and KOH/H₂O, while for water, reduction occurred first at *ca.* −1.2 V; and a thiolate reductive desorption wave was observed at −1.2 V in TOAB/CH₂Cl₂,^{8a} in the case of the SAMs in BMIMPF₆, thiolate reduction occurred at −1.7 V, and the peak current was much smaller than that in TOAB/CH₂Cl₂, indicating a slower electrochemical process. These results showed that the stable potential window of SAMs in BMIMPF₆ was about twice as large as that in conventional aqueous or organic solutions. Besides, the background currents in RTILs were much smaller than others in both cases. Because aqueous solutions could only provide a relatively narrow potential window due to water reduction and oxidation, as reported previously,^{8a} in the following text, the potential-dependent stability of the SAMs was further compared only in BMIMPF₆ and TOAB/CH₂Cl₂.

The potential-dependent stability of the SAMs was also investigated through the method proposed by Fritsch-Faules and co-workers.^{13b} The SAM modified electrode was conditioned at a given potential for a specified time in BMIMPF₆ and TOAB/CH₂Cl₂, and then the surface-bound molecules that survived this treatment were quantified using K₃Fe(CN)₆ as a redox probe.¹⁴ Fig. 1(C) shows the surface coverage of SAMs on Au after the SAM modified electrode was conditioned at various potentials for 30 min in BMIMPF₆ and TOAB/CH₂Cl₂, respectively. In BMIMPF₆ (curve 1), the SAMs were stable in the window from −1.44 to 1.36 V. However, under similar conditions, the SAMs in TOAB/CH₂Cl₂ were stable only at potentials near 0 V (curve 2), which was consistent with the results reported by Fritsch-Faules and co-workers.¹³ Although the stable potential windows obtained from this method were slightly narrower than those from CVs due to the prolonged time scale of electrochemical processes, the stable potential window in BMIMPF₆ was still much wider than that in TOAB/CH₂Cl₂.

The energy barrier to desorb an alkanethiolate molecule from Au should involve interaction energies of alkanethiolate–Au, alkanethiolate–alkanethiolate, solvent (and/or electrolyte ions)–alkanethiolate and solvent (and/or electrolyte ions)–Au.¹⁵ Herein,

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental details, Figs. S1–S3 and Table S1. See <http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/b4/b412412j/>

*jhl@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn




Fig. 1 Cyclic voltammograms of $C_{18}SH/Au$ SAMs in positive (A) and negative (B) potential regions in 0.1 M TOAB/ CH_2Cl_2 (1), 0.5 M KCl/ H_2O (2), 0.5 M KOH/ H_2O (3) and BMIMPF₆ (4). Scan rate, 100 mV s⁻¹. (C) Surface coverage of $C_{18}SH$ SAMs on Au after being conditioned at different potentials in BMIMPF₆ (1) and TOAB/ CH_2Cl_2 (2) for 30 min.

the different stability of the SAMs in TOAB/ CH_2Cl_2 and BMIMPF₆ is likely due to the different interaction ability of the solvent (and/or electrolyte ions)–alkanethiolate, and solvent (and/or electrolyte ions)–Au. The stronger interaction would lead to the easier penetration of solvent (and/or electrolyte ions) into the SAMs. If solvent (and/or electrolyte ions) penetrated into the monolayer, the surface order would be disrupted to accommodate these extra materials within the monolayers.^{2a} To examine the different interaction abilities, we investigated the ionic permeability of the SAMs by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy in the absence of redox active species (Fig. 2), as proposed by Boubour and Lennox.¹⁶ In BMIMPF₆, the effect of the applied dc potential (E_{dc}) on the SAMs permeability was investigated from 0 to -1.3 V in 0.1 V steps and three representative curves are shown in Fig. 2(A). The time scale of each experiment was about 30 min. From 0 to -0.8 V, the Nyquist plots remained straight lines and almost overlapped at all potentials, whose equivalent circuit involved a solution resistance (R_s) in series with a constant phase element (CPE) (I in Fig. S2, ESI†).⁹ In this potential range, the SAMs behaved as ionic insulators. When the potential became more negative ($E_{dc} < -0.8$ V), significant curvature of these plots was observed and the SAMs became permeable to solvent (and/or electrolyte ions), so that the resistance of the SAMs (R_c) should be included in the circuit to account for the permeability (II in Fig. S2, ESI†). Thus, the critical potential (V_c) value for the SAMs in BMIMPF₆ was -0.8 V. With the same procedure, similar behaviors were also observed in TOAB/ CH_2Cl_2 from 0 to -0.7 V, and the V_c value was -0.5 V (Fig. 2(B)). The V_c value in BMIMPF₆ was more negative than that in TOAB/ CH_2Cl_2 . From

the fitting results (Table S1, ESI†), it could be concluded that the capacitance increase and the resistance decrease in BMIMPF₆ were less sensitive to the decreased potential than those in TOAB/ CH_2Cl_2 at potentials more cathodic than their respective V_c . All these results demonstrated that the energy barrier of the SAMs desorption in BMIMPF₆ was higher, and higher driving force was needed for BMIMPF₆ to penetrate the SAMs.

We hypothesized that the penetration of solvent (and/or electrolyte ions) into the SAMs and access to the Au substrate relied on specific paths in the SAMs. The large ions of BMIMPF₆¹⁷ may have few paths available to them, and thus they penetrate slowly into the SAMs to access the Au substrate. In addition to size, the high viscosity of BMIMPF₆^{10c} may also limit penetration into the SAMs.¹⁸ However, in organic solutions, some organic solvent molecules may solvate the thiol chain and some electrolyte ions could adsorb on the Au substrate and displace the thiol.¹⁷ Therefore, the interaction between the solvent (and/or electrolyte ions) of organic solutions and the SAMs is much stronger, and hence the penetration of the solvent molecules (and/or electrolyte ions) also becomes easier. Further investigation on the relationship between the monolayer chain length and the monolayer stability (Fig. S3, ESI†) showed that the monolayer stability increased with the thickness of the monolayer. It supported the hypothesis that the high stability of SAMs was due to the retarded penetration of large BMIM and PF₆ ions into the monolayers. Therefore, a higher driving force was needed for BMIMPF₆ to penetrate into the SAMs and access to the Au substrate, *i.e.*, the electrochemical desorption potentials of the SAMs in BMIMPF₆ were much higher than that in conventional organic solutions.

In conclusion, this work describes, for the first time, a new type of “green” medium, RTILs, for SAMs, and demonstrated that the electrochemical stability of the SAMs was greatly enhanced by using the RTIL BMIMPF₆, as medium. The high electrochemical stability could be attributed to the unique properties of RTILs such as high viscosity and the large ion sizes. The results represent a significant advance toward the electrochemical applications of SAMs, such as electron-transfer studies, electroanalysis and chemical sensors, to be undertaken in a large potential range, which was previously limited in a narrow potential region.

This work was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 20125513, No. 20435010), Li Foundation Heritage Prize, USA, and A Foundation for the Author of National Excellent Doctoral Dissertation of PR China.




Fig. 2 (A) Nyquist plots of $C_{18}SH/Au$ SAMs in BMIMPF₆ at $E_{dc} = 0$ to -0.8 V (1), $E_{dc} = -1.0$ V (2) and -1.3 V (3). (B) Nyquist plots of $C_{18}SH/Au$ SAMs in 0.1 M TOAB/ CH_2Cl_2 at $E_{dc} = 0$ V to -0.5 V (1), $E_{dc} = -0.6$ V (2) and -0.7 V (3).

Jinghong Li,^{*ab} Yanfei Shen,^a Yuanjian Zhang^a and Yang Liu^a

^aState Key Laboratory of Electroanalytical Chemistry, Changchun Institute of Applied Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Changchun, 130022, P. R. China

^bDepartment of Chemistry, Tsinghua University, Beijing, 100084, P. R. China. E-mail: jhli@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn

Notes and references

- (a) J. F. Smalley, H. O. Finklea, C. E. D. Chidsey, M. R. Linford, S. E. Creager, J. P. Ferraris, K. Chalfant, T. Zawodzinsk, S. W. Feldberg and M. D. Newton, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2003, **125**, 2004; (b) C. E. D. Chidsey, *Science*, 1991, **251**, 919; (c) B. Liu, A. J. Bard, M. V. Mirkin and S. E. Creager, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 1485.
- (a) G. K. Jennings, T.-H. Yong, J. C. Munro and P. E. Laibinis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2003, **125**, 2950; (b) M. Strattmann, *Adv. Mater.*, 1990, **2**, 191.
- (a) R. G. Nuzzo, L. H. Dubois and D. L. Allara, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1990, **112**, 558; (b) A. Ulman, *Thin Solid Films*, 1996, **273**, 48.
- J. Chen, M. A. Reed, A. M. Rawlett and J. M. Tour, *Science*, 1999, **286**, 1550.
- J. J. Gooding, V. G. Praig and E. A. H. Hall, *Anal. Chem.*, 1998, **70**, 2396.
- (a) F. Malem and D. Mandler, *Anal. Chem.*, 1993, **65**, 37; (b) Z. M. Liu, J. H. Li, S. J. Dong and E. K. Wang, *Anal. Chem.*, 1996, **68**, 2434.
- (a) H. Ron and I. Rubinstein, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1998, **120**, 13444; (b) M. A. Hines, J. A. Todd and P. Guyot-Sionnest, *Langmuir*, 1995, **11**, 493.
- (a) M. H. Schoenfish and J. E. Pemberton, *Langmuir*, 1999, **15**, 509; (b) B. R. A. Neves, M. E. Salmon, P. E. Russell and E. B. Troughton, Jr., *Langmuir*, 2000, **16**, 2409; (c) W. R. Everett and I. Fritsch-Faules, *Anal. Chim. Acta.*, 1995, **307**, 253; (d) M. R. Anderson and M. Gatin, *Langmuir*, 1994, **10**, 1638.
- L. V. Protsailo and W. R. Fawcett, *Langmuir*, 2002, **18**, 8933.
- (a) R. Sheldon, *Chem. Commun.*, 2001, 2399; (b) K. E. Gutowski, G. A. Broker, H. D. Willauer, J. G. Huddleston, R. P. Swatloski, J. D. Holbrey and R. D. Rogers, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2003, **125**, 6632; (c) M. C. Buzzeo, C. Hardacre and R. G. Compton, *Anal. Chem.*, 2004, **76**, 4583.
- P. Bonhote, A.-P. Dias, N. Papageorgiou, K. Kalyanasundaram and M. Gratzel, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1996, **35**, 1168.
- J. Zhang and A. M. Bond, *Anal. Chem.*, 2003, **75**, 2694.
- (a) D.-F. Yang, H. Al-Maznai and M. Morin, *J. Phys. Chem. B.*, 1997, **101**, 1158; (b) W. R. Everett, T. L. Welch, L. Reed and I. Fritsch-Faules, *Anal. Chem.*, 1995, **67**, 292.
- J. Jia, B. Wang, A. Wu, G. Cheng, Z. Li and S. Dong, *Anal. Chem.*, 2002, **74**, 2217.
- O. Azzaroni, M. E. Vela, G. Andreasen, P. Carro and R. C. Salvarezza, *J. Phys. Chem. B.*, 2002, **106**, 12267.
- (a) E. Boubour and R. B. Lennox, *Langmuir*, 2000, **16**, 4222; (b) E. Boubour and R. B. Lennox, *Langmuir*, 2000, **16**, 7464; (c) E. Boubour and R. B. Lennox, *J. Phys. Chem. B.*, 2000, **104**, 9004.
- A. S. Larsen, J. D. Holbrey, F. S. Tham and C. A. Reed, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2000, **122**, 7264.
- K. Winkler, N. McKnight and W. R. Fawcett, *J. Phys. Chem. B.*, 2000, **104**, 3575.