Eleven New Eudesmane Derivatives from Laggera pterodonta

Yu Zhao,† Jian-Min Yue, Yi-Neng He, Zhong-Wen Lin, and Han-Dong Sun*

Laboratory of Phytochemistry, Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming 650204, People's Republic of China

Received May 20, 19968

A phytochemical investigation of *Laggera pterodonta* afforded, in addition to several known compounds, three new eudesmane sesquiterpenes (1-3) and eight new eudesmane glucosides (pterodontosides A-H; 4-11). The structures of 1-11 were elucidated by highfield 1D and 2D NMR techniques as well as by chemical methods.

Laggera pterodonta (DC.) Benth. (Compositae), which is called "Chou Ling Dan", is widely distributed in southwestern regions in the People's Republic of China, especially in Yunnan and Sichuan Provinces. This herb is commonly cultivated domestically and has been traditionally employed as a medicine because it has antiinflammatory and antibacterial properties and has been used as a cure for angina, bronchitis, influenza, and malaria. Externally applied, this plant has been of use in treating scabies, burns, bites by poisonous snakes, injuries from falls, fractures, and contusions and strains.¹ On the basis of previous biological testing, *L.* pterodonta has been found to possess antileukemic activity,1 as well as expectorant and antibronchitis effects. 1 Phytochemically, several eudesmane derivatives and flavones have been isolated from this plant.²⁻⁵

In the present work, a thorough investigation of the n-BuOH extract of L. pterodonta has led to the isolation of 18 compounds, of which three (1-3) are new eudesmanoic acids and eight are new eudesmanoic glucosides (pterodontosides A-H; 4-11). Seven known compounds were obtained, namely, 2α , 3β -dihydroxypterodontic acid, ³ tormentic acid, 6,7 3-hydroxycoumarin, D-mannitol, pterodontriol B,² palmitic acid β -D-glucoside, and thapsic acid β -D-glucoside, which were identified by comparing their physical properties and NMR spectra with those in the literature or by direct comparison with authentic samples. Details of the isolation and structure determination of the novel compounds 1-11 are discussed in this paper.

Results and Discussion

The EIMS and FABMS data of 1, together with its ¹³C-NMR spectrum and elemental analysis, indicated a molecular formula of $C_{15}H_{22}O_4$. The observation of $[M-H_2O]^+$ and $[M-2\ H_2O]^+$ peaks in the mass spectrum showed the presence of at least two hydroxyl groups, a conclusion confirmed by the IR spectrum (see Experimental Section). The latter further suggested the presence of a conjugated carboxyl functionality, which was supported by the UV spectrum (206, 215, 263 nm) and by the ¹³C-NMR signals at 169.58 (C=O), 146.77 (C), and 123.11 (CH₂) ppm. The sharp singlet at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.52 in the ¹H-NMR spectrum suggested an eudesmane derivative, especially in view of the strong similarity of the NMR spectral data of **1** with those of 1β -hydrox-

- 1 R,=R,=OH, R,=H
- 2 R,=R,=OH, R,=H
- 4 R₁=O-β-D-Glc, R₂=R₃=H
- 5 R₁=R₃=H, R₂= O-β-D-Gic
- 4a R₁=OH, R₂=R₃=H

- 6 R.=β D-Glc, R.=R.=H
- R,=R,=H, R,=β-D-Glc
- R₁= β -D-Glc, R₂=H, R₃=OH
- R₁=R₂=H, R₃= O-β-D-Glc
- 8a/9a R,=R,=H, R,=OH

- 10 R_i=β D-Glc, R₂=H
- 11 R_i=H, R₂= β D-Glc

ypterodontic acid, previously found in this same species.³ The main difference between the spectra of these compounds was the presence of an additional ¹H-NMR signal at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.21 (dd, J = 12.0, 3.2 Hz) and a ¹³C-NMR signal at 81.36 ppm (CH), in the case of compound 1. Therefore, it was inferred that the structure of 1 corresponds to that of 1β -hydroxypterodontic acid but with a second hydroxyl group present, which must be located at C-9, in view of the ¹H-NMR signal multiplicity observed and the downfield ¹³C-NMR shifts of C-8 and C-10 of **1** in comparison to the analogous data of 1β hydroxypterodontic acid.³ The coupling constants clearly indicated that H-9 is axial, so the OH-9 group is β oriented. Compound **1** was therefore assigned as 1β , 9β dihydroxy-4α*H*-eudesma-5,11(13)-dien-12-oic acid.

The IR, UV, and MS data of 2 were very similar to those of 1, which suggested that it was a dihydroxylated eudesmane acid. Comparison of their NMR data (see Experimental Section) revealed that 2 retained most of the structural features of 1 with the exception of the OH-9 group; however, the ¹H-NMR signal at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.22 (ddd, J = 5.1, 5.0, 5.0 Hz) strongly suggested that the second hydroxyl group was axial and had to be located at C-3. This conclusion was confirmed by the H-4 signal, which appeared as a broadened double quadruplet at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.93 (J = 7.0, 5.0 Hz). The downfield shift of C-2 and C-4, when compared with those of 1, also

 $^{^{\}ast}$ To whom correspondence should be addressed. Phone: 86-871-5150660. FAX: 86-871-5150227.

Present Address: Humboldt Research Fellow, at the Department of Pharmaceutical Biology, Institute of Pharmacy, Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz, D-55099 Mainz, Germany.

Abstract published in Advance ACS Abstracts, May 1, 1997.

supported the presence of an OH-3 α group. Accordingly, compound **2** was assigned as 1β ,3 α -dihydroxy-4 α *H*-eudesma-5,11(13)-dien-12-oic acid.

Compound 3 was also a dihydroxylated eudesmane acid (NMR and MS data) but lacked a C=C bond. One of the OH groups was tertiary (quaternary carbon ¹³C-NMR signal at 70.9 ppm). This resonance and the ¹H-NMR singlet at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.79 (Me-15) suggested that this OH group was at C-4. The other hydroxyl was secondary (CH signal at 67.8 ppm) and gave rise to a broad triplet at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.56 (J=3.3 Hz). The small coupling constants indicated an equatorial hydrogen. Because this signal was coupled with two methylene groups that were most likely at C-1 and C-3, on the basis of their shape and coupling constants, the remaining hydroxyl group was thus located at C-2 β . Compound **3** is therefore 2 β hydroxyillicic acid. The stereochemistry of C-4 was supported by a clear NOE observation between Me-14 and Me-15.

The ¹³C-NMR spectrum of **4** (see Experimental Section) showed 21 signals, of which six resonated in the oxygenated carbon zone (60–90 ppm). One methine signal at 102.4 ppm suggested an anomeric carbon. This and the high number of oxygenated carbons gave support to 4 being a sesquiterpene glycoside. The FABMS showed a base peak at m/z 232, 180 amu below the probable molecular peak at m/z 412, which was in good agreement with the loss of an hexose moiety. Aside from the sugar signals, the ¹H-NMR spectrum of **4** was similar to that of 1β -hydroxypterodontic acid.³ This suggested that 4 was a glycosyl derivative of the latter compound. As confirmation of this, acid hydrolysis of 4 provided glucose (identified by paper chromatography) and an aglycon 4a, which was identical with 1-hydroxypterodontic acid by mp, NMR, and MS. Diagnostic changes of C-1, C-2, and C-10 between these two analogues in their ¹³C-NMR spectra disclosed the fact that the glycosyl group of 4 was connected to C-1. The coupling constant of the anomeric hydrogen (J =7.7 Hz) indicated a β -D-glucoside. Compound 4 (pterodontoside A) was consequently assigned as 1β -(β -Dglucopyranosyloxy)-4\alpha H-eudesma-5,11(13)-dien-12-oic acid.

The NMR spectral data of **5** (see Experimental Section) were almost superimposable with those of **4** and indicated their isomeric nature. The shape of the signal of the hydrogen geminal to the glucosyloxy moiety ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.88, dd, J=12.2, 3.0 Hz) showed that this hydrogen was axial and necessarily located at C-9, which was in agreement with the downfield shift of C-9 of **5**. The similarity of the NMR data of **5** with those of the known compound pterodontic acid ³ permitted the assignment of **5** (pterodontoside B) as 9β -(β -D-glucopyranosyloxy)- $4\alpha H$ -eudesma-5,11(13)-dien-12-oic acid.

Compounds **6–11** (pterodontosides C–H) were not acids but still displayed the eudesmane skeleton, as judged by their 1 H-NMR spectra. These data further indicated that the six compounds were glucosides, a conclusion confirmed in some cases by acid hydrolysis to glucose and a eudesmane aglycon. In two cases, **6** and **7**, this aglycon (**6a/7a**) was the known *ent*-eudesmane derivative pterodondiol, as established by comparison with an authentic sample (IR, NMR, mp and $[\alpha]_D$). The coupling constant of the anomeric hydrogen (J=7.2 Hz in both cases) indicated that they were β -glucosides. By comparison of the 13 C-NMR data of

both compounds (see Experimental Section) with those of pterodondiol,² characteristic shifts in some specific signals were noticed. In **6**, for instance, a marked downfield shift (ca. 10 ppm, from δ_C 71.5 in ptorodondiol to δ_C 81.3 in **6**) was observed for the signal of C-4, while the signals of C-3 and C-5 were somewhat shifted (1–4 ppm) to higher field. This suggested that the glucosyl group was bound to the OH-4. In the same way, the characteristic shifts in the signals of C-11, C-12, C-13 in the 13 C-NMR spectrum of **7** indicated that the glucosyl group was bound to OH-11. Therefore, compounds **6** and **7** (pterodontosides C and D) were assigned as 4β -(β -D-glucopyranosyloxy)-enantio-eudesm-11-ol and 11-(β -D-glucopyranosyloxy)-enantio-eudesm-4 β -ol, respectively.

The eudesmane glycosides 8 and 9 had in common the fact that they both yielded glucose and aglycon 8a/ **9a**, the known *ent*-eudesmane derivative pterodontriol B,² by acid hydrolysis. By proceeding in the same way as described for compounds 6 and 7, the characteristic differences in the ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR signals between each glucoside and aglycon were examined (see Experimental Section). The glucosyl group of **8** was deduced as being located at OH-4 by the characteristic ¹³C-NMR shifts of C-3, C-4, and C-5. A γ -effect from Me-15 resulted in the anomeric carbon occurring at somewhat high field (δ 98.14). Comparison of the ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR data of 9 with those of 8 indicated that the glucosyl group of 9 was situated at the hydroxyl bound to C-1, with the anomeric carbon (C-1") appearing at δ 106.5. Therefore, compounds **8** and **9** (pterodontosides E and F) were structurally determined as 4β -(β -Dglucopyranosyloxy)-enantio-eudasma- 1α , 11-diol and 1α -(β-D-glucopyranosyloxy)-enantio-eudasma-4β,11-diol, respectively.

In contrast with the aforementioned compounds, the ¹³C-NMR data of glucosides 10 and 11 showed the presence of two quaternary olefinic carbon signals and two downfield-shifted methylenes (C-6 and C-8) (see Experimental Section), and the absence of any ¹H-NMR signals attributable to H-7 and H-11 suggested the presence of an olefinic bond in their molecules. The two 1 H-NMR olefinic methyl singlets at ca. δ 1.45 and 1.75 ppm, which could thus be assigned to Me-12 and Me-13, provided support to these assignments. A combination of the results of ¹H-¹³C COSY and NOESY experiments on these two substances (pterodontosides G and H) led to the assignments as 4β -(β -D-glucopyranosyloxy)-enantio-eudasm-7(11)-en-1 α -ol and 1 α -(β -Dglucopyranosyloxy)-enantio-eudasm-7(11)-en-4 β -ol, respectively. The NMR data indicated that the aglycon of 10 and 11 exhibited close similarities with those of 8 and 9, respectively. The depicted structures for 10 and 11 are therefore the only likely ones, and these compounds may be biogenetically derived from 8 and 9 by enzymatic dehydration.

Experimental Section

General Experimental Procedures. Melting points were recorded on a Kofler hot-stage instrument and are uncorrected. IR spectra were obtained on a Perkin-Elmer 577 instrument using KBr pellets. UV spectra were determined in MeOH, employing a Shimadzu UV-210 A instrument. EIMS data were obtained on a Finnigan-4510 spectrometer at 70 eV. FABMS spectra were recorded on a VG ZAB-HS spectrometer. Optical

rotations were measured with a Perkin-Elmer 241 polarimeter. ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectra were obtained on a Bruker AM 400 spectrometer with TMS as internal reference. Elemental analysis measurements were obtained by a EA-1106 elemental analyzer. Preparative TLC was performed on Si GF₂₅₄ and RP-18 plates (Merck).

Plant Material. Whole plants of *Laggera pterodonta* (DC.) Benth. (Compositae) were collected in November 1994, at Mapo, Qiubei County, Yunnan Province, People's Republic of China, and identified by Mr. Z. W. Lin. A voucher specimen (no. 941122CLD) is deposited in the Laboratory of Phytochemistry, Kunming Institute of Botany.

Extraction and Isolation. The air-dried aerial parts of the whole plants (10.2 kg, dry wt) were powdered and extracted twice with hot 95% alcohol (at 70 °C, 2 h each time). The residue (486 g), obtained by removal of the solvent in vacuo, was defatted thoroughly with petroleum ether (60-90 °C) and then successively extracted with EtOAc (1000 mL \times 4) and n-BuOH (1000 $mL \times 4$). The *n*-BuOH layers were combined and evaporated to dryness to give a residue (122 g), 82 g of which were mixed with Si gel (200-300 mesh, 100 g) and then subjected to column chromatography over 3600 g Si gel (200-300 mesh), using as solvents CHCl₃ containing gradually increasing proportions of MeOH (50:1-0:1, 500 mL each eluate). Sixteen fractions (fractions A through P) were obtained (by TLC monitoring), which were further purified by repeated column chromatography (Si gel and RP-18 support material) and preparative TLC (Si GF₂₅₄ and RP-18 plates). Fraction A (6.0 g) afforded 86 mg of 3-hydroxycoumarin; fraction B (11.3 g) gave 86 mg of tormentic acid. From fraction F (3.2 g), 18 mg of thapsic acid β -D-glucoside was purified. Compound 2 (46 mg) was obtained from fraction G (2.4 g). Fractions H and I were combined (8.3 g) and afforded, apart from 17 mg of 1 as well as 14 mg of **3**, 23 mg of **6** and 16 mg of **7**. Fraction J (2.5 g) finally afforded 144 mg of 2α , 3β -dihydroxypterodontic acid. Fractions K and L were combined (3.6 g), and 15 mg of 10 and 21 mg of 11 were purified, together with 11 mg of **8** and 14 mg of **9**. Fraction O (4.7 g) was subjected to separation over a silica H column and gave 45 mg of 4 and 24 mg of 5. A large amount of D-mannitol (ca 2.6 g) was obtained from fraction P.

The known compounds were identified by comparing their physical and spectroscopic properties (mp, MS, IR, and NMR) with literature values, 2,3,6,7 and some were compared directly with authentic samples.

 1β , 9β -Dihydroxy- $4\alpha H$ -eudesma-5, 11(13)-dien-12oic acid (1): obtained as colorless prisms (MeOH); mp 210–211 °C; $[\alpha]^{20}{}_{\rm D}$ –36.6° ($\it c$ 0.32, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ϵ) 206 (4.13), 215 (3.75), 263 (3.47) nm; IR (dry film) v_{max} 3398, 3369, 2970, 2938, 2875, 1691, 1641, 1480, 1344, 1066, 1008 cm^{-1} ; ¹H NMR (C_5D_5N , 400 MHz) δ 6.52 (1H, br s, H-13'), 5.69 (1H, br s, H-13), 5.48 (1H, br s, H-6), 4.21 (1H, dd, J = 12.0, 3.2 Hz, H-9), 3.89 (1H, dd, J = 11.6, 4.3 Hz, H-1), 3.86 (1H, m, H-7),2.50 (1H, m, H-8), 2.48 (2H, m, H-2 and H-4), 2.08 (1H, ddd, J = 11.6, 11.6, 4.0 Hz, H-2'), 2.00 (1H, ddd, J =11.6, 11.6, 11.6 Hz, H-8'), 1.69 (2H, m, H-3 and H-3'), 1.52 (3H, s, Me-14), 1.22 (3H, d, J = 7.6 Hz, Me-15); 13 C NMR (C₅D₅N, 100 MHz) δ 169.58 (s, C-12), 147.38 (s, C-5), 146.77 (s, C-11), 125.53 (d, C-6), 123.11 (t, C-13), 81.36 (d, C-9), 79.36 (d, C-1),44.27 (s, C-10), 38.41 (d,

C-4), 37.70 (d, C-7), 30.34 (t, C-3), 30.34 (t, C-8), 26.45 (t, C-2), 24.02 (q, C-15), 14.99 (q, C-14); EIMS (70 eV) m/z [M]⁺ 266 (2), [M – H₂O]⁺ 248 (53), [M – 2 × H₂O]⁺ 230 (67), 220 (31), 215 (45), 206 (31), 202 (48), 188 (54), 177 (36), 163 (43), 145 (54), 133 (41), 117 (58), 105 (54), 95 (68), 55 (100); FABMS (pos) m/z [M + H]⁺ 267 (12), 249 (42), 231 (100), 213 (18), 203 (19), 189 (17), 175 (28), 159 (48), 148 (34), 131 (28), 119 (90), 105 (59), 91 (56); anal. C 67.67%, H 8.27%, calcd for C₁₅H₂₂O₄, C 67.65%, H 8.22%.

 1β ,3 α -Dihydroxyeudesma-5,11(13)-dien-12-oic acid (2): obtained as colorless gum (MeOH); $[\alpha]^{21}D - 21.6^{\circ}$ (c 1.2, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ϵ) 204.5 (4.02), 215 (3.90), 255.5 (3.58), 269.5 (3.44), 271 (3.43) nm; IR (dry film) ν_{max} 3375, 3409, 2964, 2935, 2870, 1690, 1639, 1480, 1346, 1314, 1064, 1005 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (C₅D₅N, 400 MHz) δ 6.56 (1H, d, J = 1.2 Hz, H-13'), 5.73 (1H, br s, H-13), 5.68 (1H, br s, H-6), 4.22 (1H, ddd, J = 5.0, 5.1, 5.0 Hz, H-3), 3.73 (1H, dd, J = 11.5, 4.3 Hz, H-1), 3.72 (1H, m, H-7), 2.93 (1H, br dq, J = 7.0, 5.0 Hz, H-4), 2.55 (1H, m, H-8), 2.49 (1H, m, H-2), 2.43 (1H, m, H-2'), 2.33 (1H, m, H-9'), 1.69 (1H, m, H-8'), 1.56 (1H, m, H-9), 1.50 (3H, d, J = 6.9 Hz, Me-15), 1.47 (3H, s, Me-14); ¹³C NMR (C₅D₅N, 100 MHz) δ 169.76 (s, C-12), 147.43 (s, C-5), 147.08 (s, C-11), 127.97 (d, C-6), 122.94 (t, C-13), 77.20 (d, C-1), 69.86 (d, C-3), 46.60 (d, C-4), 40.50 (s, C-10), 39.44 (d, C-7), 38.76 (t, C-9), 36.69 (t, C-2), 27.15 (t, C-8), 21.59 (q, C-14), 16.88 (q, C-15); EIMS (70 eV) m/z [M]⁺ 266 (8), [M - H₂O]⁺ 248 (45), 233 (14), 230 (74), 220 (24), 215 (13), 213 (17), 202 (100), 185 (11), 184 (12), 174 (24), 169 (33), 158 (47), 143 (22), 130 (12), 128 (19), 116 (30), 105 (35); anal. C 67.57%, H 8.18%, calcd for C₁₅H₂₂O₄, C 67.75%, H 8.22%.

 2β -Hydroxyillicic acid (3): obtained as colorless needles (MeOH); mp 198–199 °C; $[\alpha]^{22}_D$ –44.4° (c 0.9, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ϵ) 206.5 (4.10), 266 (3.47) nm; IR (dry film) ν_{max} 3423, 3340 br (OH), 2918, 1680, 1435, 1278, 1172, 1140, 951, 905, 828 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR $(C_5D_5N, 400 \text{ MHz}) \delta 6.52 \text{ (1H, d, } J = 1.1 \text{ Hz, H-}13'),$ 5.72 (1H, s, H-13), 4.56 (1H, br t, J = 3.3 Hz, H-2), 2.98 (1H, tt, J = 12.5, 3.4 Hz, H-7), 2.75 (1H, br d, J = 12.4Hz, H-6), 2.59 (1H, br d, J = 13.5 Hz, H-1'), 2.19 (1H, dd, J = 13.7, 3.6 Hz, H-1), 1.96 (1H, br d, J = 14.0 Hz, H-3'), 1.85 (1H, m, H-5), 1.84 (1H, m, H-8'), 1.79 (3H, s, Me-15), 1.72 (2H, m, H-6' and H-8), 1.54 (3H, s, Me-14), 1.54 (1H, m, H-9'), 1.49 (1H, dd, J = 13.9, 3.6 Hz, H-3), 1.32 (1H, ddd, J = 12.6, 12.6, 4.3 Hz, H-9); ¹³C NMR (C_5D_5N , 100 MHz) δ 170.04 (s, C-12), 148.39 (s, C-11), 121.52 (t, C-13), 70.86 (s, C-4), 67.82 (d, C-2), 55.56 (d, C-5), 50.23 (t, C-1), 47.82 (t, C-3), 45.92 (t, C-9), 41.39 (d, C-7), 34.75 (s, C-10), 27.63 (t, C-8), 27.36 (t, C-6), 25.63 (q, C-15), 20.84 (q, C-14); EIMS (70 eV) m/z[M]⁺ 268 (7), 250 (44), 217 (15), 204 (15), 167 (23), 149 (47), 121 (43), 87 (100); FABMS (pos) m/z [M + H]⁺ 269 (5), 251 (23), 233 (100), 223 (14); anal. C 67.22%, H 9.02%, calcd for C₁₅H₂₄O₄, C 67.16%, H 8.96%.

Pterodontoside A [1β-(β-D-glucopyranosyloxy)-4αH-eudesma-5,11(13)-dien-12-oic acid] (4): obtained as colorless needles (MeOH); mp 248-249 °C (dec); $[\alpha]^{19}_D$ -75.0° (c 1.8, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ϵ) 206 (4.15), 224 (3.75), 263.5 (3.47), 294 (3.11) nm; IR (dry film) v_{max} 3553 br (OH), 3400 br (OH), 2956, 2925, 2890, 2844, 1682, 1618, 1458, 1449, 1425, 1398, 1357, 1295, 1264, 1157, 1094, 1043, 1006, 944, 870, 628 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (C₅D₅N, 400 MHz) δ 6.50 (1H, d, J = 1.4 Hz, H-13'), 5.63 (1H, br s, H-13), 5.47 (1H, br s, H-6), 4.89

(1H, d, J = 7.7 Hz, H-1''), 4.54 (1H, dd, J = 11.7, 2.4)Hz, H-6"_a), 4.39 (1H, dd, J = 11.7, 5.2 Hz, H-6"_b), 4.27 (1H, m, H-4''), 4.24 (1H, m, H-5''), 4.00 (1H, t, J = 8.4)Hz, H-2"), 3.95 (1H, m, H-3"), 3.64 (1H, dd, J = 11.6, 4.0 Hz, H-1), 3.60 (1H, m, H-7), 2.38 (1H, m, H-4), 2.25 (1H, ddt, J = 10.8, 4.0, 3.5 Hz, H-2), 2.06 (1H, m, H-8),2.04 (1H, m, H-2"), 1.94 (1H, m, H-9'), 1.56 (1H, m, H-8'), 1.52 (2H, m, H-3 and H-3'), 1.50 (1H, m, H-9), 1.24 (3H, s, Me-14), 1.10 (1H, d, J = 7.6 Hz, Me-15); ¹³C NMR $(C_5D_5N, 100 \text{ MHz}) \delta 169.66 \text{ (s, C-12)}, 147.80 \text{ (s, C-5)},$ 147.51 (s, C-11), 126.18 (d, C-6), 122.72 (t, C-13), 102.37 (d, C-1"), 85.92 (d, C-1), 78.78 (d, C-5"), 78.32 (d, C-3"), 75.29 (d, C-2"), 72.06 (d, C-4"), 63.18 (t, C-6"), 40.06 (s, C-10), 39.01 (d, C-4), 38.23 (t, C-9), 30.26 (t, C-3), 26.77 (t, C-8), 23.37 (q, C-15), 23.02 (t, C-2), 22.05 (q, C-14); EIMS (70 eV) m/z [M – Glc]⁺ 240 (0.8), 232 (27), 217 (4), 204 (5), 187 (7), 175 (6), 161 (11), 145 (16), 131 (17), 119 (23), 105 (39), 91 (56), 55 (100); FABMS (pos) m/z $[M + H]^+$ 413 (7), 251 (14), 233 (100), 215 (12), 205 (4), 187 (15), 145 (19); anal. C 61.24%, H 7.91%, calcd for C₂₁H₃₂O₈, C 61.17%, H 7.77%.

Pterodontoside B $[9\beta-(\beta-D-glucopyranosyloxy)-$ 4αH-eudesma-5,11(13)-dien-12-oic acid] (5): obtained as a colorless powder (MeOH); mp 255-256 °C (dec); $[\alpha]^{23}_D$ -3.4° (c 0.3, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ε) 206 (4.09), 223 (3.62), 265 (3.43) nm; IR (dry film) $\nu_{\rm max}$ 3350 br (OH), 2894, 2830, 1689, 1620, 1534, 1530, 1397, 1205, 1265, 1162, 1096, 1075, 1022, 939, 840, 618 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (C₅D₅N, 400 MHz) δ 6.44 (1H, d, J =1.6 Hz, H-13'), 5.58 (1H, br s, H-13), 5.45 (1H, br s, H-6), 4.97 (1H, d, J = 7.7 Hz, H-1"), 4.50 (1H, dd, J = 11.8, 2.7 Hz, H-6"_a), 4.36 (1H, dd, J = 11.7, 5.0 Hz, H-6"_b), 4.27 (1H, m, H-4"), 4.25 (1H, m, H-5"), 4.05 (1H, t, J =8.6 Hz, H-2"), 3.98 (1H, m, H-3"), 3.88 (1H, dd, J = 12.2, 3.0 Hz, H-9), 3.58 (1H, m, H-7), 2.94 (1H, m, H-4), 2.50 (1H, m, H-8), 1.74 (1H, m, H-2), 1.56 (3H, m, H-3/H-3' and H-8'), 1.50 m (1H, m, H-1'), 1.42 (1H, m, H-2'), 1.36 (3H, s, Me-14), 1.26 (1H, m, H-1), 1.15 (3H, d, J = 7.6)Hz, Me-15); ^{13}C NMR (C5D5N, 100 MHz) δ 169.53 (s, C-12), 147.47 (s, C-5), 146.75 (s, C-11), 123.53 (d, C-6), 122.62 (t, C-13), 106.54 (d, C-1"), 87.79 (d, C-9), 78.72 (d, C-5"), 78.11 (d, C-3"), 75.88 (d, C-2"), 71.94 (d, C-4"), 63.72 (t, C-6"), 40.23 (s, C-10), 38.43 (d, C-7), 38.42 (t, C-1), 37.91 (d, C-4), 33.85 (t, C-3), 33.03 (t, C-8), 23.79 (q, C-15), 21.17 (q, C-14), 17.52 (t, C-2); EIMS (70 eV) m/z [M]⁺ 412 (0.5), 394 (1), 250 (4), 232 (54), 205 (64), 189 (17), 164 (31), 149 (66), 123 (43), 109 (42), 57 (100); FABMS (pos) m/z [M + H]⁺ 413 (9), 251 (25), 233 (68), 205 (100), 189 (10), 161 (33), 149 (33), 135 (23), 123 (43), 105 (29), 81 (34); anal. C 61.26%, H 7.88%, calcd for C₂₁H₃₂O₈, C 61.17%, H 7.77%.

Pterodontoside C [4β-(β-D-glucopyranosyloxy)-enantio-eudesm-11-ol] (6): obtained as a white powder (MeOH); mp 187–188 °C; $[\alpha]^{20}_{\rm D}$ +4.5° (c 1.2, MeOH); IR (dry film) $\nu_{\rm max}$ 3398 br, 2996, 2908, 2858, 1640 br, 1473, 1390, 1333, 1269, 1217, 1189, 1172, 1080, 1022, 988, 935, 903 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (C_5D_5 N, 400 MHz) δ 5.09 (1H, d, J=7.2 Hz, H-1"), 4.51 (1H, dd, J=11.8, 2.2 Hz, H-6"_a), 4.38 (1H, dd, J=11.8, 5.1 Hz, H-6"_b), 4.31 (1H, m, H-4"), 4.29 (1H, m, H-5"), 4.03 (1H, t, J=8.5 Hz, H-2"), 3.93 (1H, m, H-3"), 2.26 (1H, dd, J=12.3, 3.4 Hz, H-5), 2.02 (2H, m, H-6' and H-8), 1.98 (2H, m, H-3 and H-3'), 1.84 (1H, m, H-8'), 1.80 (1H, m, H-7), 1.53 (3H, s, Me-13), 1.52 (1H, m, H-6), 1.51 (3H, s, Me-12), 1.46 (2H, m, H-2 and H-2'), 1.38 (3H, s, Me-15), 1.27 (2H, m, H-1 and H-9'), 1.21 (1H, m, H-9), 1.10 (1H, m,

H-1), 0.95 (3H, s, Me-14); 13 C NMR (C_5D_5N , 100 MHz) δ 98.62 (d, C-1"), 81.32 (s, C-4), 79.01 (d, C-5"), 78.01 (d, C-3"), 75.77 (d, C-2"), 72.13 (d, C-4"), 71.78 (s, C-11), 63.11 (t, C-6"), 48.39 (d, C-5), 42.96 (d, C-7), 42.76 (t, C-9), 42.11 (t, C-1), 40.37 (t, C-3), 34.70 (s, C-10), 30.57 (q, C-13), 29.75 (q, C-12), 21.86 (t, C-6), 21.86 (t, C-8), 20.85 (t, C-2), 19.74 (q, C-15), 19.43 (q, C-14); EIMS (70 eV) m/z [M – Glc]⁺ 240 (2), 222 (8), 204 (17), 189 (14), 161 (25), 149 (75), 137 (30), 123 (28), 109 (45), 97 (38), 81 (58), 69 (100); FABMS (pos) m/z [M + H]⁺ 403 (4), 241 (3), 223 (13), 205 (100), 189 (16), 177 (6), 175 (7), 173 (5), 149 (55), 137 (16), 123 (50), 105 (24), 95 (21), 81 (36), 59 (11), 55 (36); anal. C 62.78%, H 9.56%, calcd for $C_{21}H_{38}O_7$, C 62.69%, H 9.45%.

Pterodontoside D [11-(β-D-glucopyranosyloxy)*enantio-eudesm-4\beta-ol]* (7): obtained as a white powder (MeOH); mp 193–194 °C; $[\alpha]^{20}_D$ +3.3° (c 0.9, MeOH); IR (dry film) v_{max} 3410 br, 2998 br, 2909, 2862, 1638 br, 1472 br, 1392, 1330, 1210, 1190, 1175, 1115, 1083, 1017, 998, 940, 905, 627, 615 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (C₅D₅N, 400 MHz) δ 5.01 (1H, d, J = 7.2 Hz, H-1"), 4.50 (1H, dd, J = 11.7, 2.5 Hz, H-6"_a), 4.36 (1H, t, J = 8.4 Hz, H-6"_b), 4.29 (1H, m, H-4"), 4.27 (1H, m, H-5"), 3.92 (1H, m, H-3"), 2.27 (1H, dd, J = 12.4, 3.4 Hz, H-5), 2.01 (1H, m, H-6'), 1.99 (1H, m, H-8'), 1.94 (1H, m, H-8), 1.93 (1H, m, H-3'), 1.84 (1H, m, H-7), 1.72 (1H, m, H-6), 1.70 (1H, m, H-3), 1.58 (1H, s, Me-13), 1.56 (3H, s, H-12), 1.40 (2H, m, H-2 and H-2'), 1.40 (3H, s, Me-15), 1.28 (1H, m, H-1'), 1.21 (1H, m, H-9'), 1.18 (1H, m, H-9), 1.12 (1H, m, H-1), 0.96 (3H, s, Me-14); 13 C NMR (C₅D₅N, 100 MHz) δ 98.06 (d, C-1"); 79.69 (s, C-11), 78.84 (d, C-5"), 77.68 (d, C-3"), 75.69 (d, C-2"), 73.83 (s, C-4), 72.00 (d, C-4"), 63.00 (d, C-3"), 48.39 (d, C-5), 42.64 (t, C-9), 42.52 (d, C-7), 42.12 (t, C-1), 40.34 (t, C-3), 34.58 (s, C-10), 26.93 (q, C-13), 25.85 (q, C-12), 22.71 (q, C-15), 21.75 (t, C-6), 21.75 (t, C-8), 20.42 (t, C-2), 19.21 (q, C-14); EIMS (70 eV) m/z [M – Glc]⁺ 240 (4), 222 (6), 204 (19), 189 (14), 161 (16), 149 (64), 137 (35), 123 (30), 121 (22), 109 (35), 97 (35), 95 (39), 81 (50), 69 (95), 55 (100); FABMS (pos) m/z [M + H]⁺ 403 (8), 241 (4), 223 (15), 205 (100), 189 (15), 179 (5), 175 (7), 161 (23), 149 (45), 135 (28), 123 (52), 107 (28), 95 (27), 81 (37), 59 (12); anal. C 62.75%, H 9.58%, calcd for C₂₁H₃₈O₇, C 62.69%, H

Pterodontoside E [4β -(β -D-glucopyranosyloxy)enantio-eudesma-1α,11-diol] (8): obtained as a white powder (MeOH); mp 203–204 °C; $[\alpha]^{22}_D$ +22.5° (c 0.9, MeOH); IR (dry film) ν_{max} 3335 br, 2964, 2924, 2866, 1517, 1495, 1492, 1358, 1260, 1190, 1169, 1123, 1091, 1080, 1057, 1043, 964, 919, 620 br cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR $(C_5D_5N, 400 \text{ MHz}) \delta 4.82 (1H, d, J = 7.7 \text{ Hz}, H-1"), 4.43$ $(1H, dd, J = 11.7, 2.2 Hz, H-6''_a), 4.32 (1H, dd, J = 11.8)$ 5.0 Hz, H-6"_b), 4.27 (1H, m, H-4"), 4.20 (1H, m, H-5"), 3.94 (1H, d, J = 8.1 Hz, H-2"), 3.85 (1H, m, H-3"), 3.54(1H, dd, J = 10.3, 4.9 Hz, H-1), 2.52 (1H, m, H-6'), 2.33(1H, dd, J = 12.6, 3.6 Hz, H-5), 2.04 (1H, m, H-3), 2.03(1H, m, H-8), 2.01 (1H, m, H-8'), 1.99 (1H, m, H-3'), 1.93 (2H, m, H-9 and H-9'), 1.84 (1H, m, H-7), 1.83 (2H, m, H-2 and H-2'), 1.49 (3H, s, Me-13), 1.45 (3H, s, Me-12), 1.34 (3H, s, Me-15), 1.20 (3H, s, Me-14), 1.10 (1H, m, H-6); 13 C NMR (C₅D₅N, 100 MHz) δ 98.14 (d, C-1"), 79.64 (d, C-1), 79.47 (s, C-4), 78.97 (d, C-5"), 78.68 (d, C-3"), 75.85 (d, C-2"), 73.98 (s, C-11), 71.86 (d, C-4"), 62.99 (t, C-6"), 47.17 (d, C-5), 42.66 (d, C-7), 42.17 (t, C-9), 39.94 (s, C-10), 38.42 (t, C-3), 30.59 (q, C-13), 29.75 (q, C-12), 29.58 (t, C-2), 21.71 (t, C-6), 21.58 (t, C-8),

19.45 (q, C-15), 14.86 (q, C-14); EIMS (70 eV) m/z [M $-\operatorname{Glc}^{+} 256 (1.5), 238 (15), 221 (91), 203 (100), 187 (18),$ 180 (35), 163 (81), 147 (76), 133 (24), 121 (46), 109 (51), 107 (47), 59 (58); FABMS (pos) m/z [M + H]⁺ 419 (4), 257 (4), 240 (8), 221 (23), 203 (100), 189 (26), 177 (23), 175 (28), 161 (65), 147 (69), 135 (42), 119 (70), 105 (80), 95 (76), 69 (72), 55 (82); anal. C 60.37%, H 9.16%, calcd for C₂₁H₃₈O₈, C 60.29%, H 9.09%.

Pterodontoside F $[1\alpha-(\beta-D-glucopyranosyloxy)$ **enantio-eudesma-4\beta,11-diol] (9):** obtained as a white powder (MeOH); mp 196.5–197.5 °C; $[\alpha]^{20}$ _D +24.5° (c 1.3, MeOH); IR (dry film) ν_{max} 3341 br, 2967, 2928, 2865, 1514, 1496, 1392, 1357, 1263, 1189, 1168, 1120, 1092, 1085, 1058, 1035, 966, 952, 923, 610 br cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR $(C_5D_5N, 400 \text{ MHz}) \delta 5.01 (1H, d, J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, H-1''), 4.43$ (1H, dd, J= 11.8, 2.3 Hz, H-6"_a), 4.33 (1H, dd, J= 11.8, 4.9 Hz, H-6"_b), 4.29 (1H, m, H-4"), 4.22 (1H, m, H-5"), 3.95 (1H, t, J = 8.2 Hz, H-2''), 3.85 (1H, m, H-3''), 3.66(1H, dd, J = 10.5, 4.8 Hz, H-1), 2.58 (1H, m, H-6'), 2.20(1H, ddd, J = 11.0, 11.0, 4.4 Hz, H-3), 2.10 (1H, dd, J = 11.0,12.5, 3.8 Hz, H-5), 2.04 (1H, m, H-3'), 2.02 (1H, m, H-8), 1.99 (1H, m, H-8'), 1.94 (2H, m, H-9 and H-9'), 1.84 (3H, m, H-2/H-2' and H-7), 1.68 (1H, m, H-6), 1.47 (3H, s, Me-13), 1.43 (3H, s, Me-12), 1.42 (3H, s, Me-15), 1.22 (3H, s, Me-14); 13 C NMR (C₅D₅N, 100 MHz) δ 106.54 (d, C-1"), 90.72 (d, C-1), 78.96 (d, C-5"), 78.02 (d, C-3"), 75.54 (d, C-2"), 73.99 (s, C-11), 71.82 (d, C-4"), 62.98 (t, C-6"), 48.40 (d, C-5), 42.57 (d, C-7), 42.17 (t, C-9), 39.71 (s, C-10), 39.31 (t, C-3), 30.58 (q, C-13), 29.58 (q, C-12), 28.68 (t, C-2), 21.70 (t, C-6), 21.56 (t, C-8), 19.44 (q, C-15), 14.64 (q, C-14); EIMS (70 eV) m/z [M – Glc] 256 (3), 238 (23), 221 (100), 203 (96), 187 (18), 180 (20), 163 (88), 147 (82), 133 (36), 121 (47), 109 (49), 107 (51), 69 (56), 59 (65); FABMS (pos) m/z [M + H]⁺ 419 (5), 257 (5), 240 (7), 221 (26), 203 (100), 189 (20), 177 (20), 175 (32), 161 (67), 147 (92), 135 (43), 133 (53), 119 (76), 105 (93), 95 (78), 69 (98); anal. C 60.38%, H 9.13%, calcd for C₂₁H₃₈O₈, C 60.29%, H 9.09%.

Pterodontoside G [4β-(β-D-glucopyranosyloxy)enantio-eudesm-7(11)-en-1 α -ol] (10): obtained as a white powder (MeOH); mp 185–186 °C; $[\alpha]^{21}_D + 1.0^{\circ}$ (c 2.0, MeOH); IR (dry film) ν_{max} 3320 br, 3085, 3009, 2940, 2800, 1690, 1678, 1618, 1450, 1306, 1200, 1085, 1025, 842, 760 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (C₅D₅N, 400 MHz) δ 5.03 (1H, d, J = 7.6 Hz, H-1"), 4.48 (1H, dd, J = 11.8, 2.5 Hz, $H-6''_a$), 4.31 (1H, dd, J=11.7, 5.7 Hz, $H-6''_b$), 4.26 (1H, m, H-4"), 4.21 (1H, m, H-5"), 4.03 (1H, t, J = 8.3 Hz, H-2"), 3.89 (1H, m, H-3"), 3.40 (1H, m, H-8'), 3.38 (1H, dd, J = 10.8, 4.6 Hz, H-1), 2.66 (1H, m, H-6'), 2.34 (1H, m, H-9'), 2.14 (1H, m, H-3'), 2.06 (1H, m, H-3), 1.82 (2H, m, H-2 and H-2'), 1.75 (s, 3H, Me-13), 1.72 (1H, m, H-5), 1.70 (1H, m, H-8), 1.65 (3H, s, Me-15), 1.45 (3H, s, Me-12), 1.25 (1H, m, H-9), 1.24 (3H, s, Me-14); ¹³C NMR $(C_5D_5N, 100 \text{ MHz}) \delta 132.18 \text{ (s, C-11)}, 120.87 \text{ (s, C-7)},$ 98.02 (d, C-1"), 79.07 (d, C-1), 78.97 (d, C-3"), 78.74 (s, C-4), 77.98 (d, C-5"), 75.67 (d, C-2"), 72.05 (d, C-4"), 63.25 (t, C-6"), 53.20 (d, C-5), 42.48 (t, C-9), 40.02 (s, C-10), 38.29 (t, C-3), 29.38 (t, C-2), 25.71 (t, C-6), 25.28 (t, C-8), 20.51 (q, C-15), 20.24 (q, C-12), 19.09 (q, C-13), 13.55 (q, C-14); EIMS (70 eV) m/z [M]⁺ 400 (0.2), 238 (3), 220 (23), 205 (40), 202 (58), 187 (44), 174 (16), 159 (30), 146 (22), 144 (37), 129 (13), 118 (42), 101 (36), 90 (74), 73 (57), 55 (100); FABMS (pos) m/z [M + H]⁺ 401 (3), 239 (16), 221 (100), 206 (23), 205 (20), 202 (58), 188 (43), 187 (20), 159 (35), 144 (43), 129 (17), 118 (36), 101

(26), 81 (28), 57 (20); anal. C 63.12%, H 9.06%, calcd for C₂₁H₃₆O₇, C 63.00%, H 9.00%.

Pterodontoside H $[1\alpha-(\beta-D-glucopyranosyloxy)$ enantio-eudesm-7(11)-en-4 β -ol] (11): obtained as a white powder (MeOH); mp 190–191 °C; $[\alpha]^{20}$ _D +0.85° (c 1.6, MeOH); IR (dry film) $\nu_{\rm max}$ 3332 br, 3080, 3012, 2950, 2812, 1688, 1612, 1455, 1206, 1086, 1022, 850, 788 cm⁻¹; ¹H-NMR (C₅D₅N, 400 MHz) δ 4.90 (1H, d, J $= 7.7 \text{ Hz}, \text{ H-1''}, 4.52 \text{ (1H, dd, } J = 11.8, 2.3 \text{ Hz}, \text{ H-6''}_{a}),$ 4.39 (1H, dd, J = 11.7, 5.1 Hz, H-6"_b), 4.27 (1H, m, H-3"), 4.23 (1H, m, H-5"), 3.96 (1H, t, J = 8.2 Hz, H-2"), 3.94 (1H, m, H-3''), 3.61 (1H, dd, J = 10.8, 4.6 Hz, H-1),3.52 (1H, br d, J = 12.6 Hz, H-8'), 2.66 (1H, m, H-9'), 2.52 (1H, m, H-6'), 1.92 (4H, m, H-2/H-2', H-3' and H-5), 1.89 (1H, m, H-8), 1.85 (1H, m, H-6), 1.80 (1H, m, H-3), 1.74 (3H, s, Me-13), 1.45 (3H, s, Me-12), 1.38 (3H, s, Me-15), 1.26 (1H, m, H-9), 1.22 (3H, s, Me-14); ¹³C NMR $(C_5D_5N, 100 \text{ MHz}) \delta 132.35 \text{ (s, C-11)}, 120.25 \text{ (s, C-7)},$ 106.54 (d, C-1"), 89.87 (d, C-1), 78.88 (d, C-3"), 78.17 (d, C-5"), 75.86 (d, C-2"), 70.58 (s, C-4), 63.05 (t, C-6"), 54.79 (d, C-5), 42.03 (t, C-9), 42.02 (t, C-3), 39.98 (s, C-10), 28.29 (t, C-2), 25.72 (t, C-6), 25.14 (t, C-8), 22.95 (q, C-15), 20.25 (q, C-12), 19.08 (q, C-13), 13.83 (q, C-14); EIMS (70 eV) m/z [M – Glc]⁺ 238 (2), 220 (30), 205 (45), 202 (46), 187 (48), 174 (32), 159 (25), 146 (23), 144 (54), 129 (32), 118 (23), 101 (57), 90 (64), 73 (82), 57 (100); FABMS (pos) m/z [M + H]⁺ 401 (8), 239 (24), 221 (100), 220 (22), 206 (36), 205 (28), 202 (47), 188 (32), 187 (30), 159 (28), 144 (35), 118 (19), 101 (15), 90 (20), 82 (16), 55 (32); anal. C 63.08%, H 9.06%, calcd for C₂₁H₃₆O₇, C 63.00%, H 9.00%.

Acid Hydrolysis of Glucosides 4, and 6-9. A quantity of 4 M HCl (3 mL) was added to a solution of 10 mg of each glucoside in 3 mL of MeOH, refluxed at 70 °C for 4 h, with 6 mL of H₂O added, the MeOH evaporated off under a vacuum at 60 °C, and the residue extracted with Et₂O (5 mL × 3). The dried Et₂O layers were combined and evaporated, thus yielding the aglycons, which were subjected to physical and spectroscopic data measurements. The aqueous layer in each case was neutralized with Na₂CO₃ to pH 7.0, and the sugar moiety was examined by paper chromatography (comparing with authentic sugar samples).

Acknowledgment. This work was financed by the National Postdoctoral Foundation of China (Y.Z.). One of us (Y.Z.) also wants to express his gratitude to the Alexander von Humboldt Fellowship for affording the possibility of continuing research in Germany, where this work was finally completed. We thank Prof. Dr. Joachim Stöckigt (Universität Mainz) for his advice and encouragement in the preparation of this work and Dr. W. E. Court (Mold, England) for assistance with the English translation.

References and Notes

- (1) Jiangsu New College of Medicine. A Dictionary of Traditional
- Chinese Drugs, People's Press: Shanghai, 1977; p 3882.
 Li, S.-L.; Ding, J.-K. Yunnan Zhiwu Yanjiu 1993, 15, 303-305; Chem. Abstr. 1993, 122, 76729C.
- (3) Li, S.-L.; Ding, J.-K. *Yunnan Zhiwu Yanjiu* **1996**, *18*, 349–352. (4) Li, S.-L.; Ding, J.-K. *Yunnan Zhiwu Yanjiu* **1994**, *16*, 313–314;
- Chem. Abstr. **1994**, 120, 29493p. (5) Zhao, A.-H.; Wie, J.-X. Huaxue Xuebao **1994**, 52, 517–520; Chem.
- Abstr. 1994, 121, 53978y.
 Potier, P.; Das, C. B.; Bui, A.; Janot, M. M.; Pourrat, A.; Pourrat,
- H. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1966, 3458-3465.
- Villar, A.; Paya, M.; Hortigüela M. D.; Cortes, D. Planta Med. **1986**, *52*, 43–45.