See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/12396579

New quinoline alkaloids from Ruta chalepensis. J Nat Prod

Impact Factor: 3.8 · DOI: 10.1021/np000012y · So	AL PRODUCTS · AUGUST 2000 ource: PubMed	
CITATIONS	READS	
39	60	

4 AUTHORS, INCLUDING:



Khalid El Sayed
University of Louisiana at Monroe
136 PUBLICATIONS 1,968 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Samir A Ross

University of Mississippi

214 PUBLICATIONS 2,341 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

New Quinoline Alkaloids from Ruta chalepensis

Khalid El Sayed,[†] Mansour S. Al-Said,[†] Farouk S. El-Feraly,*,[†] and Samir A. Ross[‡]

Medicinal, Aromatic and Poisonous Plants Research Center and Department of Pharmacognosy, College of Pharmacy, King Saud University, P.O. Box 2457, Riyadh 11451, Saudi Arabia, and National Center for Natural Products Research, and Department of Pharmacognosy, School of Pharmacy, University of Mississippi, University, Mississippi 38677

Received January 10, 2000

The roots of Ruta chalepensis, collected from the northern Saudi desert, yielded two new quinoline alkaloids, namely, $2-\frac{6}{-4}$ 2H-benzo[d]1",3"-dioxolen-5"-yl)hexyl}-hydroquinolin-4-one (1) and $2-\frac{6}{-4}$ 6'-(2Hbenzo[d]1",3"-dioxolen-5"-yl)hexyl}-4-methoxy-quinoline (2). Nine previously reported alkaloids, dictamnine, pteleine, skimmianine, rutacridone, isogravacridonechlorine, maculosidine, graveoline, graveolinine, and 4-methoxy-1-methyl-2(1H)-quinolinone, and coumarins, chalepensin, and umbelliferone were also isolated. Structure elucidations were based primarily on 1D and 2D NMR analyses and chemical transformations. Antimicrobial activity of these compounds is discussed.

Ruta chalepensis L. (Rutaceae) is a perennial herb widely used in folk medicine as an antirheumatic, an antispasmodic, an aphrodisiac, and a treatment for snakebites, headaches, and wounds.1 The plant is a rich source of several acridone and quinoline alkaloids, as well as coumarins.^{2,3} A few of the coumarins from *R. chalepensis* exhibited antifertility effects, 4 and some quinoline alkaloids isolated from Ruta species displayed mutagenic, 5,6 ganglionic-blocking, 7 curare-like, 7 and spasmolytic activities. 8 The present study deals with the isolation, characterization, and evaluation of the antimicrobial activity of a number of new and known metabolites from *R. chalepensis*.

The CHCl3 fraction obtained from an EtOH extract of R. chalepensis roots was subjected to flash chromatography followed by centrifugal preparative TLC to give the alkaloids 1 and 2, as well as several known alkaloids and coumarins (see Experimental Section). The HRFTMS of 1 displayed a molecular ion peak $[M + H]^+$ at m/z 350.1723, suggesting the molecular formula C22H23O3N and 12 degrees of unsaturation. The IR spectrum of 1 showed a broad absorption band at 3105-3125 cm⁻¹, suggesting the presence of an NH group. It also showed a strong absorption band at 1655 cm⁻¹, consistent with the presence of an α,β unsaturated carbonyl functionality. The ¹³C and ¹H NMR spectra of 1 were in agreement with a quinolone skeleton.^{2,9,10} The ¹³C NMR spectra of 1 demonstrated the presence of a trisubstituted double bond, a vinylogous amino ketone group, and a disubstituted aromatic ring system. The H-3 olefinic proton and the aromatic protons H-6 and H-8 displayed ³*J*-HMBC correlations with the quaternary carbon at δ 125.0 (C-9). Similarly, the aromatic protons H-5 and H-7 each displayed a ³*J*-HMBC correlation with the quaternary carbon at δ 140.5 (C-10), thus confirming the quinolone system. The H-3 proton displayed a 3J -HMBC correlation with the methylene carbon at δ 33.6 (C-1'). The COSY and HMBC data of **1** supported the NMR assignments of the hexyl side chain. Also, the benzylic methylene proton signal (H₂-6') displayed ³J-HMBC correlations to the aromatic methine carbon signals at δ 109.0 and 121.3 (C-4" and C-6", respectively) and a 2J -HMBC correlation with the quaternary carbon at δ 136.5 (C-5"). Both aromatic protons, H-4" and H-6", were HMBC-

Compound 2 (C₂₃H₂₅O₃N) exhibited ¹³C and ¹H NMR data that were closely similar to 1. Compound 2 lacked the carbonyl group at C-4 (quinolin-4-one), which was methoxylated instead to become a 4-methoxyquinoline moiety. The proton methyl singlet resonating at δ 4.05, which correlated with the methyl carbon resonating at δ 55.9, was assigned to the 4-methoxy group. The latter displayed a 3J -HMBC correlation with the quaternary carbon at δ 162.8 (C-4). Both H_2 -1' and H_2 -2' displayed 2J - and 3J -HMBC correlations, respectively, with the downfield quaternary carbon resonating at δ 164.6 (C-2). Methylation of **1** using CH₃I/Na₂CO₃ in acetone afforded compound 2, as indicated by TLC and MS analysis. Therefore, 2 was identified as $2-\{6'-(2H-benzo[d]1'',3''-dioxolen-5''-yl)hexyl\}-4-methoxy$ quinoline, a new quinoline alkaloid.

coupled to the same quaternary carbon at δ 145.4 (C-8"). Both H-6" and H-7" displayed COSY correlation to each other due to ortho coupling. The H-2" methylenedioxy group resonated as a two-proton singlet at δ 5.94, which correlated with the methylene carbon at δ 100.9. On the other hand, the H₂-2" protons displayed ³*J*-HMBC correlations with C-8" and C-9" to confirm the proposed structure 1. Thus, compound 1 was found to be a new quinolone alkaloid, $2-\{6'-(2H-benzo[d]1'',3''-dioxolen-5''-yl)hexyl\}$ hydroquinolin-4-one. A related compound {2-[4-(3,4-methylenedioxyphenyl)butyl]-4-(1H)-quinolinone} was reported to occur in R. graveolens,9 but with a butyl rather than a hexyl side chain.

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel.: +966-1-467-7256. Fax: +966-1-467-7245. E-mail: fferaly@ksu.edu.sa.

[†] King Saud University.

[‡] University of Mississippi.

The leaves of the same plant afforded the known aromatic compound, moskachan $C,^{11}$ along with the known coumarins, rutamarin, chalepin, isopimpinellin, and bergapten. 9,11

All isolated new and known compounds were tested for antimicrobial activity against a wide range of microorganisms, using a modified microtiter-plate assay. 12 The known furanoquinoline alkaloid, pteleine showed moderate antimicrobial activity by inhibiting the growth of $Mycobacterium\ smegmatis,\ Bacillus\ subtilis,\ Staphylococcus\ aureus,\ and\ Candida\ albicans,\ with\ an\ MIC\ range\ of\ 50–100\ \mu g/\ mL,\ while\ moskachan\ C,\ dictamnine,\ skimmianine,\ and\ isogravacridonechlorine\ were less\ active\ (MIC\ 100\ \mu g/mL)\ against\ M.\ smegmatis\ and\ B.\ subtilis\ and\ inactive\ against\ the\ other\ microorganisms.$

Experimental Section

General Experimental Procedures. Melting points (uncorrected) were recorded on an Electrothermal 9100 instrument. UV spectra were obtained in MeOH, using a Shimadzu UV-1601PC spectrophotometer, and IR spectra were obtained as KBr disks or in CHCl3 on a Perkin-Elmer 5808 spectrophotometer. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl₃, using TMS as internal standard, on a Bruker AMX NMR spectrometer operating at 500 MHz for ¹H NMR and at 125 MHz for ¹³C NMR. The HRMS were measured using a Bioapex FT-ICR mass spectrometer with electrospray ionization. The EIMS were measured using an E. I. Finnigan model 3200 (70 eV ionization potential) with INCOS data system, an E. I. Finnigan model 4600 quadruple system or a Shimadzu QP500 GC/mass spectrometer. TLC analyses were carried out on precoated Si gel G_{254} 500-1000 μm , with the following developing system: (CH₃)₂CO-CCl₄ (10:90). For flash column chromatography, Si gel 60 40 μ m was used, and a mixture of CHCl₃-MeOH was used as eluent. Centrifugal preparative TLC was performed using a Chromatotron (Harrison Research Inc. model 7924), 1- or 4-mm Si gel PF₂₅₄ disk, and a flow rate of 3 mL/min. The isolated compounds were visualized using UV light (λ_{max} 254 nm) and by spraying with 1% vanillin–H₂-SO₄ spray reagent. The IUPAC nomenclature of the isolated compounds was determined using a Chemistry 4-D Draw Pro 3.0 program (ChemInnovation Software, Inc.).

Plant Material. Fresh whole plants of *R. chalepensis* were collected in March 1989, near Al Baha, in the Southern Region of Saudi Arabia. The plant was identified at the College of Pharmacy, King Saud University. A voucher specimen has been deposited at the Herbarium of Medicinal, Aromatic and Poisonous Plants Research Center, King Saud University (MAPPRC 12539, 1989).

Extraction and Isolation. The powdered, air-dried roots (1.3 kg) and leaves (1.25 kg) were separately extracted with EtOH (95%, 3 \times 2 L), and the combined extracts from each organ were evaporated under reduced pressure to give 160 g (roots) and 65 g (leaves) of dry extracts. A portion of the antimicrobially active root EtOH extract was subjected to bioautography 13 on Si gel plates (5 \times 10 cm), using CHCl $_3$ as a solvent system, against B. subtilis (NCTC 10400) as a test organism. Four clear, elongated inhibition zones with R_f values of 0.45, 0.27, 0.13, and 0.07 were observed after 24 h of incubation. Hence, the EtOH root extract was dissolved in CHCl₃ (1L × 2), and the CHCl₃-soluble fraction (10 g) was flash-chromatographed on Si gel 60 (300 g) using petroleum ether-CHCl₃ (9:1), with increasing concentrations of MeOH, as eluent. The crude fractions obtained were further subjected to repeated column chromatography followed by centrifugal preparative TLC using the systems: (CH₃)₂CO-CCl₄ (10:90) to afford **1** (48 mg, R_f 0.06), **2** (51 mg, R_f 0.44), dictamnine (70 mg, R_f 0.29), pteleine (57 mg, R_f 0.25), skimmianine (40 mg, R_f 0.12), rutacridone (18 mg, R_f 0.43), isogravacridonechlorine (9 mg, R_f 0.10), maculosidine (20 mg, R_f 0.11), graveoline (25 mg, R_f 0.30, system: [CH₃]₂CO-CH₂Cl₂ 30:70), graveolinine

(63 mg, R_f 0.55), 4-methoxy-1-methyl-2(1H)-quinolinone (50 mg, R_f 0.09), chalepensin (150 mg, R_f 0.28, system: EtOAc-n-hexane 10:90), and umbelliferone (7.5 mg, R_f 0.45, system: EtOAc-n-hexane 40:60). The dry leaf EtOH extract (65 g) was flash-chromatographed on Si gel 60 (900 g) using petroleum ether—CHCl₃—MeOH gradient as a solvent system to give several crude fractions which were further subjected to repeated column chromatography followed by centrifugal preparative TLC using the system: (CH₃)₂CO—CCl₄ (10:90) to afford moskachan C (19 mg, R_f 0.68), rutamarin (37 mg, R_f 0.91), chalepin (30 mg, R_f 0.49), isopimpinellin (10.5 mg, R_f 0.32), and bergapten (78 mg, R_f 0.34). The known compounds were all identified by comparing their physical and spectroscopic data with literature values. 9–11

 $2-\{6'-(2H-Benzo[d]1'',3''-dioxolen-5''-yl)hexyl\}hydro$ quinolin-4-one (1): colorless needles from CHCl₃, mp 163 ${}^{\circ}C$; UV λ_{\max} (log ϵ) (MeOH) 235 (2.61), 290 (1.97), 315 (2.14), 328 (2.12) nm; $\bar{I}R \nu_{max}$ (KBr): 3105–3125 (NH), 3040–2820, 1655 (C=O), 1605 (C=C), 1510, 1450, 1270 (C-O) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ 11.50 (1H, s, NH-1), 8.05 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz, H-5, 7.61 (1H, dd, J = 8.1, 7.1, H-7, 7.53 (1H, d, J= 8.3, H-8, 7.28 (1H, dd, J = 7.7, 7.3, H-6), 6.77 (1H, d, J =7.9, H-7"), 6.75 (1H, br s, H-4"), 6.61 (1H, d, J = 7.8, H-6"), 5.94 (2H, s, H_2 -2"), 5.93 (1H, s, H-3), 2.57 (2H, dd, J = 7.7, 7.6, H_2 -1'), 2.47 (2H, dd, J = 7.6, 7.6, H_2 -6'), 1.66 (2H, m, H_2 -2'), 1.52 (2H, m, H₂-5'), 1.32 (4H, m, H₂-3' and H₂-4'); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz) δ 177.3 (s, C-4), 153.9 (s, C-2), 147.5 (s, C-9"), 145.4 (s, C-8"), 140.5 (s, C-10), 136.5 (s, C-5"), 131.8 (d, C-7), 125.1 (d, C-5), 125.0 (s, C-9), 123.0 (d, C-6), 121.3 (d, C-6"), 118.2 (d, C-8), 109.0 (d, C-4"), 108.3 (d, C-7"), 108.0 (d, C--3), 100.9 (t, C--2''), 35.1 (t, C--6'), 33.6 (t, C--1'), 31.4 (t, C--5'),28.7 (t, C-4'), 28.6 (t, C-2'), 28.5 (t, C-3'); EIMS [m/z] (% rel int) 349 [M]+ (14); HRFTMS [m/z] (% rel int) 350.1723 [M + $H]^+$ (37) (calcd for $C_{22}H_{24}O_3N$, 350.1756)

2-{6'-(2H-Benzo[d]1",3"-dioxolen-5"-yl)hexyl}-4-meth**oxyquinoline (2):** colorless oil; UV λ_{max} (log ϵ) (MeOH) 227 (3.49), 285 (3.43), 311 (2.64) nm; IR ν_{max} (KBr) 3000–2860, 1620 (C=C), 1580, 1470, 1260 (C-O), 1070 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ 8.16 (1H, dd, J = 7.5, 0.8 Hz, H-5), 8.00 (1H, d, J = 7.4, H-8), 7.68 (1H, ddd, J = 8.3, 7.0, 1.4, H-7), 7.46 (1H, ddd, J = 7.9, 7.2, 0.8, H-6), 6.73 (1H, d, J = 7.9, H-7"), 6.68 (1H, d, J = 1.4, H-4"), 6.64 (1H, s, H-3), 6.62 (1H, dd, J = 8.0, 1.3, H-6"), 5.93 (2H, s, H₂-2"), 4.05 (3H, s, 4-OMe), 2.93 (2H, dd, J = 8.0, 7.9, H_2 -1'), 2.54 (2H, dd, J = 7.7, 7.6, H₂-6'), 1.84 (2H, m, H₂-2'), 1.61 (2H, m, H₂-5'), 1.48 (2H, m, H_2 -3'), 1.41 (2H, m, H_2 -4'); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz) δ 164.6 (s, C-2), 162.8 (s, C-4), 149.2 (s, C-10), 147.8 (s, C-9"), 145.8 (s, C-8"), 137.1 (s, C-5"), 130.1 (d, C-7), 128.6 (d, C-8), 125.2 (d, C-6), 122.0 (d, C-5), 121.4 (d, C-6"), 120.4 (s, C-9), 109.2 (d, C-4"), 108.4 (d, C-7"), 101.1 (t, C-2"), 100.2 (d, C-3), 55.9 (q, 4-OMe), 40.3 (t, C-1'), 36.0 (t, C-6'), 32.0 (t, C-5'), 30.4 (t, C-2'), 29.8 (t, C-3'), 29.4 (t, C-4'); EIMS [m/z] (% rel int) 363 [M]⁺ (12); HRFTMS [m/z] (% rel int) 364.1632 $[M + H]^+$ (51) (calcd for C23H26O3N, 364.1913).

Methylation of 1. To a solution of 2 mg of **1** in 5 mL of anhydrous acetone, 200 mg of anhydrous Na_2CO_3 and 5 mL of CH_3I were added. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 5 h, then filtered over a short bed of Si gel 60. The residue, after evaporating the filtrate under vacuum, was dissolved in 0.5 mL of $CHCl_3$ and chromatographed over 5 g of Si gel 60 using $CHCl_3$ as eluent. The fraction containing the product was further subjected to preparative TLC on Si gel G_{254} , using $(CH_3)_2CO-CCl_4$ (10:90), to afford a product (0.7 mg, R_f 0.44), which was identical to compound **2** as suggested by cochromatography and EIMS analysis.

Acknowledgment. We thank Dr. Sultanul Abdin for taxonomic identification of the plant material and Messrs. Mohamed Mukhair and Haroon Mirza for their valuable technical assistance.

References and Notes

- Ghazanfar, S. A. Handbook of Arabian Medicinal Plants; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL. 1994; p 190.
- Press: Boca Raton, FL, 1994; p 190. (2) Ulubelen, A.; Terem, B. *Phytochemistry* **1988**, *27*, 650–651. (3) Ulubelen, A.; Guner, H. *J. Nat. Prod.* **1988**, *51*, 1012–1013.

- (4) Ulubelen, A.; Ertugrul, L.; Birman, H.; Yigit, R.; Erseven, G.; Olgac, V. Phytother. Res. 1994, 8, 233–236.
 (5) Paulini, H.; Eilert, U.; Schimmer, O. Mutagenesis 1987, 2, 271–272.

- (6) Paulini, H.; Schimmer, O. *Mutagenesis* 1989, 4, 45–50.
 (7) Szendrei, K.; Minker, E.; Koltai, M.; Reisch, J.; Novak, I.; Buzas, G. *Pharmazie* 1968, 23, 519–520.
- (8) Nieschulz, O. Pharmakol. Abstract, Chem. Fabrik Promonta G.M.B.H., Hamburg, Germany, Hanc, Oldrich, Ed. Sci. Pharm., Proc., 25th 1966, Butterworths: London, England, 559–564. CAN 70:18805. Dictionary of Natural Products, Ayres, D. C., Bycroft, B. W., Collins, P. M., Gunstone, F. D., Harborne, J. B., Haslam, E., Hill, R. A., Kelly,

- D. R., Leeper, F. J., Murray, R. D. H., Southon, I. W., Eds.; Chapman and Hall: London, 1994; Vol. 18, p 3919.
 (10) Grundon, M. F.; Okely, M. H. *Phytochemistry* 1979, 18, 1768–1769.
 (11) Del Castillo, J. B.; Secundino, M.; Luis, F. R. *Phytochemistry* 1986, 25, 2209–2210.
 (12) National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards. *Methods for Dilution. Antimicrobial Susceptibility Test for Bacteria That Grow Aerobically. Approved Standard M7-A*. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards: Wayne, PA, 1997, 4th ed.
 (13) Hamburger, M. O.; Cordell, G. A. *J. Nat. Prod.* 1987, 50, 19–22.

NP000012Y