See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/8941603

# Lucidenic Acids P and Q, Methyl Lucidenate P, and Other Triterpenoids from the Fungus Ganoderma lucidum and Their Inhibitory Effects on Epstein-Barr Virus Activation

ARTICLE in JOURNAL OF NATURAL PRODUCTS · JANUARY 2004

Impact Factor: 3.8 · DOI: 10.1021/np0302293 · Source: PubMed

CITATIONS READS

31 33

9 AUTHORS, INCLUDING:



Motohiko Ukiya

Nihon University

60 PUBLICATIONS 1,928 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Hoyoku Nishino

**Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine** 

491 PUBLICATIONS 14,246 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

## Lucidenic Acids P and Q, Methyl Lucidenate P, and Other Triterpenoids from the Fungus Ganoderma lucidum and Their Inhibitory Effects on Epstein-Barr Virus Activation

Kenji Iwatsuki,† Toshihiro Akihisa,\*.‡ Harukuni Tokuda,§ Motohiko Ukiya,‡ Manabu Oshikubo,‡ Yumiko Kimura,<sup>⊥</sup> Takeshi Asano,<sup>∥</sup> Atsushi Nomura,<sup>†</sup> and Hoyoku Nishino<sup>§</sup>

K-Laboratories for Intelligent Medical Remote Services, 2266-22 Anagahora, Shimoshidami, Moriyama-ku, Nagoya 463-0003, Japan, College of Science and Technology, Nihon University, 1-8 Kanda Surugadai, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 101-8308, Japan, Department of Biochemistry and Department of Orthopaedics, Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine, Kamigyo-ku, Kyoto 602-0841, Japan, and College of Pharmacy, Nihon University, 7-7-1 Narashinodai, Funabashi-shi, Chiba 274-8555, Japan

Received May 16, 2003

A new triterpene acid, lucidenic acid P (1a), and two new triterpene acid methyl esters, methyl lucidenates P (1b) and Q (2b), were isolated and characterized from the fruiting body of the fungus Ganoderma lucidum. Their structures were elucidated on the basis of spectroscopic methods. In addition, eight known triterpene acids, lucidenic acids A (3a), C (4a), D<sub>2</sub> (5a), E<sub>2</sub> (6a), and F (7a) and ganoderic acids E (9a), F (10a), and T-Q (11a), and six known triterpene acid methyl esters, methyl lucidenates A (3b),  $D_2$  (5b),  $E_2$ (6b), F (7b), and L (8b) and methyl ganoderate F (10b), were isolated and identified from the fungus. All of the triterpenoids, with the exception of 7a, were evaluated with respect to their inhibitory effects on the induction of Epstein-Barr virus early antigen (EBV-EA) by 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate (TPA) in Raji cells, which is known to be a primary screening test for antitumor promoters. All of the compounds tested showed potent inhibitory effects on EBV-EA induction (96–100% inhibition at  $1 \times 10^3$ mol ratio/TPA).

The fruiting bodies of Ganoderma lucidum KARST (Polyporaceae), commonly known as the Reishi mushroom, are widely used in China, Japan, and Korea as a valuable crude drug, especially in the treatment of chronic hepatitis, nephritis, hepatopathy, neurasthenia, arthritis, bronchitis, asthma, gastric ulcer, and insomnia.1 Over 100 oxygenated triterpenoids have been isolated from this mushroom, 2-8 and these compounds displayed cytotoxic8,9 and anticomplement activities <sup>10</sup> and inhibitory activities on human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-1 protease,7 histamine release,11 angiotensin converting enzyme,12 cholesterol synthesis, 13,14 and eukaryotic DNA polymerases. 15 In the course of our search for potential antitumor promoters (chemopreventive agents) from natural sources, we have found various types of triterpenoids exhibiting potent inhibitory effects on 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate (TPA)-induced Epstein-Barr virus early antigen (EBV-EA) activation, a primary screening test for antitumor promoters. 16-18 In this paper, we report the isolation and characterization of three new oxygenated lanostane-type triterpenoids, lucidenic acid P (1a) and methyl lucidenates P (1b) and Q (2b), along with 14 known triterpenoids from the fruiting body of the fungus G. lucidum and their inhibitory effects on EBV-EA activation induced by TPA.

#### **Results and Discussion**

The fruiting bodies of *G. lucidum* were extracted with methanol, and the extract was chromatographed on silica gel followed by preparative reversed-phase HPLC, yielding a new triterpene acid, 1a, and two new triterpene acid

methyl esters, 1b and 2b, along with eight known triterpene acids, 3a, 4a, 5a, 6a, 7a, 9a, 10a, and 11a, and six known triterpene acid methyl esters, 3b, 5b, 6b, 7b, 8b, and 10b.

The molecular formula of 1a was determined as C29H42O8 from its HREIMS ([M]<sup>+</sup> m/z 518.2827) as well as from the <sup>13</sup>C NMR. The UV absorbance at 255 nm indicated the presence of an  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated ketone system. Its IR absorption bands suggested the presence of hydroxyl (3446 cm<sup>-1</sup>), carbonyl (1729 cm<sup>-1</sup>), and carboxyl (1681 cm<sup>-1</sup>) groups. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum showed signals for five tertiary methyl [ $\delta_H$  0.85, 0.99, 1.03, 1.27, and 1.49 (each s)], a secondary methyl [ $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.00 (d, J = 6.4 Hz)], an O-acetyl  $[\delta_{\rm H}~2.22~{\rm (s)}]$ , and three oxymethine  $[\delta_{\rm H}~3.18~{\rm (dd},~J=6.8,$ 9.3 Hz), 4.80 (dd, J = 8.9, 8.9 Hz), 5.62 (s)] groups (Table 1). The <sup>13</sup>C NMR, combined with DEPT and HMQC, showed that **1a** had seven methyls (including an acetyl methyl), six methylenes, six methines (including three oxymethines), four quaternary carbons, two sp<sup>2</sup> carbons, and four carbonyls (including two ketones) (Table 1). The MS of **1a** showed diagnostic fragment ions at m/z 355 [C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>27</sub>O<sub>4</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, corresponding to the loss of a side chain (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>9</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) and acetic acid with one H transfer, and 306 (base peak)  $[C_{18}H_{26}O_4]^+$ . The latter ion is formed by the loss of a side chain and part of rings C and D via cleavage at the C-11-C-12, C-13-C-14, and C-16-C-17 bonds, which is characteristic for the lanostane-type triterpenoid possessing a 12-hydroxy (or acetoxy)-11,15-dioxo- $\Delta^8$ -skeletal structure.<sup>2,4,8</sup> On the basis of these data, in combination with the <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectral comparison with methyl lucidenate C (methyl  $3\beta$ , $7\beta$ , $12\beta$ -trihydroxy-25,26,27-trinor-11,15-dioxolanost-8-en-24-oate)<sup>2</sup> and lucidenic acid N  $(3\beta,7\beta$ dihydroxy-25,26,27-trinor-11,15-dioxolanost-8-en-24-oic acid),8 the structure of compound 1a was assigned as  $3\beta$ ,  $7\beta$ -dihydroxy- $12\beta$ -acetoxy-25, 26, 27-trinor-11, 15-dioxolanost-8-en-24-oic acid (12-O-acetyllucidenic acid C), which

<sup>\*</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: +81-3-3259-0806. Fax: +81-3-3293-7572. E-mail: akihisa@chem.cst.nihon-u.ac.jp.

† K-Laboratories for Intelligent Medical Remote Services.

College of Science and Technology, Nihon University. § Department of Biochemistry, Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine.

College of Pharmacy, Nihon University.

.COOR4

we named lucidenic acid P.19 Analysis of 1H-1H COSY, HMQC, HMBC, and phase-sensitive NOESY spectra supported the proposed structure of 1a.

Compound 1b, which showed  $[M]^+$  at m/z 532.3036 (C<sub>40</sub>H<sub>44</sub>O<sub>8</sub>) in the HREIMS, had two secondary hydroxyl groups [ $\nu_{\text{max}}$  3459 cm<sup>-1</sup>;  $\delta_{\text{H}}$  3.20 (1H, dd, J = 5.6, 10.7 Hz) and 4.80 (1H, dd, J = 8.5, 8.7 Hz)], a secondary acetoxyl group [ $\nu_{\text{max}}$  1680 cm<sup>-1</sup>;  $\delta_{\text{H}}$  2.22 (3H, s) and 5.61 (1H, s)], an  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated ketone ( $\lambda_{max}$  252 nm;  $\nu_{max}$  1733 cm<sup>-1</sup>), four tertiary methyls [ $\delta_{\rm H}$  0.85, 1.03, 1.27, and 1.49 (each 3H and s)], a secondary methyl [ $\delta_{\rm H}$  0.99 (3H, d, J = 6.3 Hz)], and an *O*-methyl group [ $\delta_H$  3.68 (3H, s)]. In addition, compound **1b** exhibited a diagnostic ion at m/z 306 (base peak) in the EIMS. These data are in good agreement with those of 1a, except for the molecular ion in the MS and the methoxyl <sup>1</sup>H signal, and, hence, **1b** was the methyl ester of **1a**, i.e., methyl lucidenate P. This was confirmed by the preparation of methyl ester (1b) from 1a by treatment with diazomethane.

Compound **2b** showed an  $[M]^+$  at m/z 474.2979 (C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>42</sub>O<sub>6</sub>) in the HREIMS. The compound had two secondary hydroxyl groups [ $\nu_{\text{max}}$  3445 cm<sup>-1</sup>;  $\delta_{\text{H}}$  4.63 (1H, dd, J = 6.9, 10.8 Hz) and 4.80 (1H, dd, J = 7.1, 9.5 Hz)], two carbonyl moieties [ $\nu_{max}$  1736, 1707 cm  $^{-1}$ ;  $\delta_{C}$  199.6 and 216.9 (each s)] of which one is an  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated system [ $\lambda_{max}$  252 nm;  $\delta_{\rm C}$  140.3, 159.2 (each s)], a carboxyl group [ $\nu_{\rm max}$  1661 cm<sup>-1</sup>;  $\delta_C$  174.3 (s)], a methoxyl group [ $\delta_C$  51.6 (q);  $\delta_H$  3.67 (3H, s)], five tertiary methyls [ $\delta_{\rm H}$  0.96, 1.10, 1.12, 1.26, 1.28

(each 3H and s)], and a secondary methyl [ $\delta_{\rm H}$  0.88 (3H, d, J = 6.3 Hz)]. In the EIMS, **2b** exhibited a diagnostic fragment ion at m/z 336  $[C_{19}H_{26}O_5]^+$  due to the loss of ring A by cleavage of the C-5-C-6 and C-9-C-10 bonds.3 Comparison of these data with those of ganoderic acid A  $(7\beta, 15\alpha$ -dihydroxy-3,11,23-trioxolanost-8-en-26-oic acid),<sup>11</sup> and its methyl ester,3 and 1a and 1b (Table 1) enabled the assignment of the structure of **2b** as methyl  $7\beta$ ,  $15\alpha$ dihydroxy-25,26,27-trinor-3,11-dioxolanost-8-en-24-oate, which we named methyl lucidenate Q. Analysis of ¹H−¹H COSY, HMQC, HMBC, and phase-sensitive NOESY spectra supported the proposed structure of **2b**.

Fourteen known compounds were identified by spectral comparison with the corresponding and/or relevant compounds as lucidenic acid A (3a), methyl lucidenate A (3b), 3 lucidenic acid C (4a),<sup>2,4</sup> lucidenic acid D<sub>2</sub> (5a),<sup>4</sup> methyl lucidenate D<sub>2</sub> (**5b**), ludicenic acid E<sub>2</sub> (**6a**), methyl lucidenate E<sub>2</sub> (**6b**),<sup>4</sup> lucidenic acid F (**7a**),<sup>3</sup> methyl lucidenate F (7b),3 methyl lucidenate L (8b),5 ganoderic acid E (9a),5,20 methyl ganoderate E (9b),5,20 ganoderic acid F (10a),4 methyl ganoderate F (10b),4 and ganoderic acid T-Q (11a).21

The inhibitory effects on the induction of EBV-EA induced by TPA were examined as a preliminary evaluation of the potent antitumor-promoting activities for 16 G. lucidum triterpenoid constituents, viz., eight carboxylic acids (1a, 3a, 4a, 5a, 6a, 9a, 10a, and 11a) and eight methyl esters (1b, 2b, 3b, 5b, 6b, 7b, 8b, and 10b). The inhibitory effects (Table 2) were compared with those of  $\beta$ -carotene, a vitamin A precursor that has been studied extensively in cancer chemoprevention using animal models.<sup>22</sup> All the compounds tested exhibited potent inhibitory effects (96-100% at  $1 \times 10^3$  mol ratio/TPA) on EBV-EA induction by TPA, which were more inhibitory than  $\beta$ -carotene, with preservation of the high viability (70%) of the Raji cells. With the exception of compound 10b, all the compounds tested showed 1-7% inhibition even at a lower concentration (1  $\times$  10 mol ratio/TPA). The inhibitory effects against EBV-EA induction have been demonstrated to be closely parallel with those against tumor promotion in vivo, <sup>23</sup> and the oxygenated triterpenoids of *G. lucidum* are, therefore, suggested to be potent cancer chemopreventive agents as antitumor promoters.

### **Experimental Section**

General Experimental Procedures. Crystallizations were performed in acetone-methanol (MeOH), and melting points measured on a Yanagimoto micro melting point apparatus are uncorrected. Optical rotations were measured on a JASCO DIP-370 polarimeter in CHCl<sub>3</sub> at 25 °C. UV spectra on a Shimadzu UV-2200 spectrometer and IR spectra on a JASCO IR-300 IR spectrometer were recorded in MeOH and KBr disks, respectively. NMR spectra were recorded with a JEOL LA-400 spectrometer at 400 MHz (<sup>1</sup>H NMR) and 100 MHz (<sup>13</sup>C NMR) in CDCl<sub>3</sub> with tetramethylsilane (TMS; <sup>1</sup>H NMR) and CDCl<sub>3</sub> at  $\delta$  77.0 (<sup>13</sup>C NMR) as internal standard. Electronimpact mass spectra (EIMS) and high-resolution EIMS (HRE-IMS) were recorded on a JEOL JMS-GC mate spectrometer (70 eV) using a direct inlet system. Analytical TLC on silica gel (silica gel  $F_{254}$ , Merck;  $10 \times 10$  cm) was developed using n-hexane-ethyl acetate (EtOAc)-acetic acid (AcOH) (50:50: 0.5, v/v/v). Silica gel (silica gel 60, 220–400 mesh, Merck) was used for column chromatography. Reversed-phase preparative HPLC was carried out on a 25 cm imes 10 mm i.d. Pegasil ODS II (Senshu Scientific Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) C<sub>18</sub> silica column, at 25 °C with MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O-AcOH [80:20:1, v/v/v; HPLC(I)] and MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O-AcOH [60:40:1, v/v/v; HPLC(II)] as mobile phase at 2 mL/min. A refractive index detector was used for reversed-phase HPLC.

Table 1. <sup>13</sup>C, <sup>1</sup>H, and HMBC NMR Spectral Data for Triterpenoids 1a and 2b (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)

	1a			<b>2b</b>					
C no.	$\delta_{ m C}$		$\delta_{ extsf{H}}{}^{a}$	HMBC (H to C)	$\delta_{ m C}$		$\delta_{ ext{H}}{}^{a}$	HMBC (H to C)	
1	34.4	t	0.92 (α), 2.61 (β; dt, 13.7, 3.6)	2, 10, 19	35.6	t	1.46 (α), 2.85 (β; ddd, 6.3, 7.1, 13.9)	2, 3, 5, 9, 10, 19	
2	27.2	t	1.65 (2H)	3	34.3	t	2.49 (2H, dd, 7.1, 7.7)	1, 3, 4, 10	
3	78.0	d	3.18 (dd, 6.8, 9.3)	28, 29	216.9	S			
4	38.5	S			46.8	S			
5	49.1	d	0.88	4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 28, 29	48.8	d	1.68 (dd, 9.5, 13.2)	4, 6, 7, 10, 28, 29	
6	26.6	t	2.20 ( $\alpha$ ), 1.65 ( $\beta$ )	4, 5, 7, 8, 10	29.0	t	$2.04 (\alpha), 1.66 (\beta)$	4, 5, 7, 8, 10	
7	66.1	d	4.80 (dd, 8.9, 8.9)	6, 9	68.8	d	4.63 (dd, 6.9, 10.8)	6, 8, 9	
8	155.9	S			159.2	S			
9	142.9	S			140.3	S			
10	38.5	S			38.0	S			
11	192.3	S			199.6	S			
12	79.8	d	5.62 (s)	11, 13, 17, 18, <i>C</i> OMe	51.8	t	2.75 (α; d, 15.8), 2.52 (β; d, 15.8)	9, 11, 13, 14, 18	
13	50.4	S			46.6	S	,		
14	60.6	S			53.9	S			
15	216.7	S			72.6	d	4.80 (dd, 7.1, 9.5)	8, 14, 30	
16	37.4	t	2.71 (α; dd, 8.1, 19.0), 2.31 (β)	13, 15, 17	36.6	t	1.84 (α), 1.96 (β)	14, 15, 17, 20	
17	46.0	d	2.40	13, 16, 18	48.5	d	1.78	13, 16, 18	
18	13.1	q	0.99 (s)	12, 13, 14, 17	17.3	q	0.96 (s)	12, 13, 14, 17	
19	18.6	q	1.27 (s)	1, 4, 5, 9, 10	19.4	q	1.28 (s)	1, 5, 9, 10	
20	31.8	d	1.62	21, 22	35.7	d	1.43	21, 22	
21	20.4	q	1.00 (d, 6.4)	20, 22	18.1	q	0.88 (d, 6.3)	17, 20, 22	
22	29.5	ť	1.25, 1.86	20, 23, 24	30.0	ť	1.32, 1.81	20, 23, 24	
23	30.0	t	2.30, 2.40	22, 24	31.0	t	2.25, 2.38	20, 22, 24, COOMe	
24	178.2	S			174.3	S			
28	28.0	q	1.03 (s)	3, 4, 5, 10, 29	27.4	q	1.12 (s)	3, 4, 5, 29	
29	15.3	q	0.85 (s)	3, 4, 5, 10, 28	20.7	q	1.10 (s)	3, 4, 5, 28	
30	24.0	q	1.49 (s)	8, 13, 14, 15	19.4	q	1.26 (s)	8, 13, 14, 15	
COMe	170.5	s				•			
COMe	20.7	q	2.22 (s)	<i>C</i> OMe					
COOMe					51.6	q	3.67 (s)	23, 24	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Figures in parentheses denote J values (hertz).

**Table 2.** Percentage of Epstein—Barr Virus Early Antigen Induction in the Presence of Triterpene Acids from *Ganoderma lucidum* with Respect to a Positive Control (100%)<sup>a</sup>

		$\mathrm{IC}_{50}{}^{b}$				
		(mol ra	tio/32	(mol ratio/		
	compound	1000	500	100	10	32 pmol TPA)
1	lucidenic acid P	0 (70)	27.5	74.2	96.6	286
1a	methyl lucidenate P	2.3 (70)	28.9	77.4	97.6	293
2a	methyl lucidenate Q	0 (70)	24.6	73.7	95.4	283
3	lucidenic acid A	0 (70)	22.7	73.0	96.1	280
3a	methyl lucidenate A	0 (70)	24.9	75.1	95.0	287
4	lucidenic acid C	0 (70)	24.0	72.6	93.1	284
5	lucidenic acid D <sub>2</sub>	2.0 (70)	26.1	73.8	96.1	287
5a	methyl lucidenate D <sub>2</sub>	2.2 (70)	29.3	76.9	98.0	290
6	lucidenic acid E <sub>2</sub>	0 (70)	23.5	73.1	94.0	280
6a	methyl lucidenate E <sub>2</sub>	1.2 (70)	27.9	76.0	95.4	288
7a	methyl lucidenate F	2.1 (70)	26.8	75.4	98.3	285
8a	methyl lucidenate L	0 (70)	21.7	71.9	92.6	275
9	ganoderic acid E	0 (70)	28.3	79.0	97.4	281
10	ganoderic acid F	3.6 (70)	28.5	77.1	98.7	293
10a	methyl ganoderate F	2.3 (70)		75.3	100	289
11	ganoderic acid T-Q	0 (70)	26.4	74.6	95.3	281
	$\beta$ -carotene <sup>c</sup>	8.6 (70)	34.2	82.1	100	400

 $<sup>^</sup>a$  Values represent percentages relative to the positive control value. TPA (32 pmol, 20 ng) = 100%. Values in parentheses are viability percentages of Raji cell.  $^b$  IC50 represents the mol ratio to TPA that inhibits 50% of positive control (100%) activated with 32 pmol of TPA.  $^c$  Reference compound.

Chemicals and Materials. Fruiting bodies of *Ganoderma lucidum* Karst (Polyporaceae), which were cultivated in Gunma prefecture (Japan) in 2001, were obtained from Kinokuniya Kan-Yaku Kyoku Co. (Tokyo, Japan), and their identification was done by description (1). A voucher specimen has been deposited in the College of Science and Technology, Nihon University. TPA was purchased from ChemSyn Laboratories (Lenexa, KS). The cell culture reagent, *n*-butyric acid, and

other reagents were purchased from Nacalai Tesque, Inc. (Kyoto, Japan).

Extraction and Isolation. Dried and chipped fruiting bodies of G. lucidum (373 g) were extracted with MeOH (3 L) for 2 weeks each at room temperature three times. The combined solutions were concentrated in vacuo to give an extract (30.0 g), which was subjected to chromatography on silica gel (1 kg). The column was eluted successively with n-hexanes-EtOAc [1:0 (2.5 L), 19:1 (6.5 L), 9:1 (2.5 L), 4:1 (3.0 L), 7:3 (10.0 L), 3:7 (9.0 L), 0:1 (7:0 L), v/v] as eluant with monitoring by TLC, and the eluates were arranged into six fractions. A portion (5.0 g) of the most polar fraction (6.9 g) eluted by n-hexanes-EtOAc [7:3, 3:7, and 0:1] was further chromatographed on silica gel (200 g) with a stepwise gradient of n-hexanes-EtOAc [9:1 (4.5 L), 4:1 (5.8 L), 7:3 (3.0 L), 1:1 (3.4 L), 2:3 (0.6 L), 3:7 (5.2 L), 1:4 (0.8 L), 0:1 (1.0 L), v/v], which yielded fractions A ( $R_f$  ca. 0.7 on TLC; 707 mg), B ( $R_f$ ca. 0.5; 916 mg), and C ( $R_f$  ca. 0.2; 1.83 g) from the eluates of *n*-hexanes-EtOAc (7:3), (1:1 and 2:3), and (3:7, 1:4, and 0:1), respectively. Upon HPLC (I), a portion (427 mg) of fraction A yielded 11a (20.2 mg; retention time ( $t_R$ ) 39.6 min). A portion (250 mg) of fraction B, on HPLC (II), afforded nine compounds, **1b** (11.0 mg;  $t_R$  41.1 min), **2b** (4.9 mg;  $t_R$  26.4 min), **3b** (14.2 mg;  $t_R$  39.4 min), **5b** (1.6 mg;  $t_R$  35.4 min), **6b** (6.8 mg;  $t_R$  29.9 min), **7b** (5.1 mg;  $t_R$  36.7 min), **8b** (0.8 mg;  $t_R$  21.2 min), **9a** (4.9 mg;  $t_R$  22.8 min), and **10b** (1.4 mg;  $t_R$  30.7 min). HPLC (II) of a portion of fraction C (556 mg) gave seven compounds, **2a** (11.0 mg;  $t_R$  16.3 min), **3a** (4.7 mg;  $t_R$  27.2 min), **4a** (9.0 mg;  $t_R$  15.8 min), **5a** (44.1 mg;  $t_R$  23.3 min), **6a** (27.7 mg;  $t_R$ 20.6 min), **7a** (2.7 mg;  $t_R$  24.8 min), and **10a** (8.0 mg;  $t_R$  25.2 min). Some physical characteristics and the spectral data of three new compounds, **1a**, **1b**, and **2b**, are shown below. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR data of five known triterpene acids, **5a**, **6a**, **7a**, **9a**, and 10a, are also described below since these were not previously reported.

**Lucidenic Acid P (1a):** colorless needles from acetone-MeOH, mp 135–137 °C;  $[\alpha]^{25}_D$  +14.7°(c 0.38, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); UV

(MeOH)  $\lambda_{\rm max}$  255 nm; IR  $\nu_{\rm max}$  3446, 1755, 1729, 1681 cm $^{-1}$ ;  $^{13}{\rm C}$ and <sup>1</sup>H NMR, see Table 1; EIMS m/z 518 [M]<sup>+</sup> (9), 503 (7), 490 (25), 472 (3), 458 (8), 440 (4), 430 (4), 355 (5), 329 (6), 306 (100), 277 (7), 255 (3), 199 (3), 171 (3), 153 (10), 135 (3); HREIMS m/z 518.2827 (calcd for  $C_{29}H_{42}O_8$ , 518.2880). Treatment of  ${\bf 1a}$  with ethereal  $CH_2N_2$  afforded  ${\bf 1b}$ .

Methyl lucidenate P (1b): colorless needles from acetone— MeOH, mp 83–85 °C;  $[\alpha]^{25}_D$  +77.6° (c 0.41, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{\rm max}$  252 nm; IR  $\nu_{\rm max}$  3459, 1733, 1680 cm $^{-1}$ ;  $^{1}$ H NMR  $\delta$  0.85 (3H, s, H-29), 0.99 (3H, s, H-18), 0.99 (3H, d, J=6.3Hz, H-21), 1.03 (3H, s, H-28), 1.27 (3H, s, H-19), 1.49 (3H, s, H-30), 2.22 (3H, s,  $12\beta$ -OAc), 3.20 (1H, dd, J = 5.6, 10.7 Hz, H-3 $\alpha$ ), 3.68 (3H, s, COOMe), 4.80 (1H, dd, J = 8.5, 8.7 Hz, H-7 $\beta$ ), 5.61 (1H, s, H-12 $\alpha$ ); EIMS m/z 532 [M]<sup>+</sup> (12), 517 (4), 504 (23), 472 (13), 454 (6), 444 (14), 417 (2), 332 (7), 329 (7), 306 (100), 288 (4), 277 (10), 255 (5), 241 (4), 227 (7); HREIMS m/z 532.3036 (calcd for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>44</sub>O<sub>8</sub>, 532.3036).

Methyl lucidenate Q (2b): colorless needles from acetone-MeOH, mp 130–131 °C;  $[\alpha]^{25}_D$  +58.5° (c 0.13, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{\rm max}$  252 nm; IR  $\nu_{\rm max}$  3445, 1736, 1707, 1661 cm $^{-1}$ ;  $^{13}{\rm C}$ and <sup>1</sup>H NMR, see Table 1; EIMS m/z 474 [M]<sup>+</sup> (100), 456 (42), 441 (16), 425 (17), 413 (12), 336 (92), 330 (17), 318 (46), 313 (22), 299 (14), 287 (14), 276 (19), 259 (28), 245 (13), 203 (28), 161 (29), 137 (24); HREIMS m/z 474.2979 (calcd for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>42</sub>O<sub>8</sub>, 474.2981).

**Lucidenic acid D<sub>2</sub> (5a):**  ${}^{1}$ H NMR  $\delta$  0.86 (3H, s, H-18), 1.02 (3H, d, J = 6.6 Hz, H-21), 1.12 (3H, s, H-29), 1.14 (3H, s, H-28),1.33 (3H, s, H-19), 1.81 (3H, s, H-30), 2.22 (3H, s,  $12\beta$ -OAc), 5.68 (1H, s, H-12 $\alpha$ ); EIMS m/z 514 [M]<sup>+</sup> (C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>38</sub>O<sub>8</sub>).

**Lucidenic acid E<sub>2</sub> (6a):**  $^{1}$ H NMR  $\delta$  0.82 (3H, s, H-18), 0.88 (3H, s, H-29), 0.98 (3H, d, J=6.6 Hz, H-21), 1.02 (3H, s, H-28),1.33 (3H, s, H-19), 1.73 (3H, s, H-30), 2.21 (3H, s,  $12\beta$ -OAc), 3.23 (1H, dd, J = 4.8, 10.8 Hz, H-3 $\alpha$ ), 5.62 (1H, s, H-12 $\alpha$ ); EIMS m/z 516 [M]<sup>+</sup> (C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>40</sub>O<sub>8</sub>).

Lucidenic acid F (7a):  $^1$ H NMR  $\delta$  0.86 (3H, s, H-18), 0.96 (3H, d, J = 6.6 Hz, H-21), 1.12 (3H, s, H-29), 1.14 (3H, s, H-28),1.28 (3H, s, H-19), 1.65 (3H, s, H-30); EIMS m/z 456 [M]<sup>+</sup>  $(C_{27}H_{36}O_6)$ .

**Ganoderic acid E (9a):**  ${}^{1}$ H NMR  $\delta$  0.88 (3H, s, H-18), 0.98 (3H, d, J = 6.4 Hz, H-21), 1.12 (3H, s, H-29), 1.14 (3H, s, H-28),1.23 (3H, d, J = 7.0 Hz, H-27), 1.28 (3H, s, H-19), 1.64 (3H, s, H-30); EIMS m/z 512 [M]<sup>+</sup> (C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>40</sub>O<sub>7</sub>).

**Ganoderic acid F (10a):** <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  0.85 (3H, s, H-18), 0.99 (3H, d, J = 6.4 Hz, H-21), 1.12 (3H, s, H-29), 1.14 (3H, s, H-28), 1.22 (3H, d, J = 7.2 Hz, H-27), 1.34 (3H, s, H-19), 1.80 (3H, s, H-30), 2.25 (3H, s,  $12\beta$ -OAc), 5.68 (1H, s, H-12 $\alpha$ ); EIMS m/z 570 [M]<sup>+</sup> (C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>42</sub>O<sub>9</sub>).

In Vitro EBV-EA Activation Experiment. The inhibition of EBV-EA activation was assayed using Raji cells (EBV genome-carrying human lymphoblastoid cells; nonproducer type), cultivated in 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) RPMI-1640 medium (Sigma, St. Louis, MO). The indicator cells (Raji cells;  $1 \times 10^6$  cells/mL) were incubated in 1 mL of the medium containing 4 mM *n*-butyric acid as an inducer, 32 pM of TPA [20 ng/mL in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)], and a known amount (32, 16, 3.2, 0.32 nmol) of the test compound at 37 °C in a CO<sub>2</sub> incubator. After 48 h, the cell suspensions were centrifuged at 1000 rpm for 10 min, and the supernatant was removed. The activated cells were stained with high-titer EBV-EA-positive sera from nasopharyngeal carcinoma patients, and the conventional indirect immunofluorescence technique was employed for detection. In each assay, at least 500 cells were counted and the experiments were repeated three times. The average extent of EA induction was determined and compared with that on positive control experiments in which the cells were treated with *n*-butyric acid plus TPA, where the extent of EA induction was ordinarily more than 40%. The viability of treated Raji cells was assayed by the Trypan Blue staining method.<sup>24</sup> In this experiment, all candidate and cell activated reagents were dissolved in a small volume of DMSO and added into the basic screening culture solution. The small volume of basic solvent did not induce specific potency in these cells at

Acknowledgment. This study was supported in part by Grants-in-Aid from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, and the Ministry of Health and Welfare of Japan, and by a grant from the National Cancer Institute (CA 177625).

#### **References and Notes**

- Namba, T. The Encyclopedia of Wakan-Yaku (Traditional Sino-Japanese Medicines) with Color Pictures, revised ed.; Hoikusya: Osaka, 1994; Vol. II, pp 244–250.
   Nishitoba, T.; Sato, J.; Kasai, T.; Kawagishi, H.; Sakamura, S. Agric.
- Biol. Chem. 1985, 49, 1793-1798.
- Kikuchi, T.; Kanomi, S.; Kadota, S.; Murai, Y.; Tsubono, K.; Ogita,
- Z. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1986, 34, 3695-3712.
  (4) Kikuchi, T.; Kanomi, S.; Murai, Y.; Kadota, S.; Tsubono, K.; Ogita, Z. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1986, 34, 4018-4029.
- (5) Nishitoba, T.; Sato, H.; Sakamura, S. Phytochemistry 1987, 26, 1777-
- (6) Shiao, M.-S.; Lin, L.-J.; Yeh, S.-F. Phytochemistry 1988, 27, 2911-2914.
- (7) Min, B.-S.; Nakamura, N.; Miyashiro, H.; Bae, K.-W.; Hattori, M. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1998**, *46*, 1607–1612, and references therein.
  (8) Wu, T. S.; Shi, L. S.; Kuo, S. C. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2001**, *64*, 1121–1122.
- (9) Lin, C. N.; Tome, W. P.; Won, S. J. J. Nat. Prod. 1991, 54, 998-
- (10) Min, B. S.; Gao, J. J.; Hattori, M.; Lee, H. K.; Kim, Y. H. Planta Med. **2001**. 67. 811-814.
- (11) Kohda, H.; Tokumoto, W.; Sakamoto, K.; Fujii, M.; Hirai, Y.; Yamasaki, K.; Komoda, Y.; Nakamura, H.; Ishihara, S.; Uchida, M. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1985, 33, 1367-1374
- (12) Morigiwa, A.; Kitabatake, K.; Fujimoto, Y.; Ikekawa, N. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1986, 34, 3025-3028.
- Sonoda, Y.; Sekigawa, Y.; Sato, Y. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1988, 36, 966-
- (14) Komoda, Y.; Shimizu, M.; Sonoda, Y.; Sato, Y. Chem. Pharm. Bull. **1989**, 37, 531-533.
- Mizushina, Y.; Takahashi, N.; Hanashima, L.; Koshino, H.; Esumi, Y.; Uzawa, J.; Sugawara, F.; Sakaguchi, K. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 1999, 2047-2052.
- (16) Akihisa, T.; Tokuda, H.; Ichiishi, E.; Mukainaka, T.; Toriumi, M.; Ukiya, M.; Yasukawa, K.; Nishino, H. Cancer Lett. 2001, 173, 9-14.
  (17) Ukiya, M.; Akihisa, T.; Tokuda, H.; Suzuki, H.; Mukainaka, T.; Ichiishi, E.; Yasukawa, K.; Kasahara, Y.; Nishino, H. Cancer Lett. 2001, 173, 7, 10 **2002**, 177, 7-12
- Ukiya, M.; Akihisa, T.; Tokuda, M.; Hirano, M.; Oshikubo, M.; Nobukuni, Y.; Kimura, Y.; Tai, T.; Kondo, S.; Nishino, H. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2002**, 65, 462-465.
- Three oxygenated 25,26,27-trinorlanostane-type triterpenoids recently isolated from G. lucidum have been named lucidenic acids N,  $^8$  O,  $^{15}$ and SP1.10
- (20) Hirotani, M.; Furuya, T. Phytochemistry 1986, 25, 1189–1193.
  (21) Lin, L.-J.; Shiao, M.-S.; Yeh, S.-F. Phytochemistry 1988, 27, 2269–
- (22) Murakami, A.; Ohigashi, H.; Koshimizu, K. Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem. **1996**, 60, 1–8.
- Akihisa, T.; Yasukawa, K. In Studies in Natural Products Chemistry, Vol. 25, Bioactive Natural Products (Part F); Atta-ur-Rahman, Ed.;
- Elsevier Science B.V.: Amsterdam, 2001; pp 43–87. (24) Takaishi, Y.; Ujita, K.; Tokuda, H.; Nishino, H.; Iwashima, A.; Fujita, T. Cancer Lett. **1992**, 65, 19–26.

NP0302293