



NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Biochemistry. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 May 28.

Published in final edited form as:
Biochemistry. 2013 May 28; 52(21): . doi:10.1021/bi400417a.

Structural Insights into Proteasome Activation by the 19S Regulatory Particle

Aaron Ehlinger and Kylie J. Walters*

Department of Biochemistry, Molecular Biology & Biophysics, University of Minnesota,
Minneapolis, MN, USA 55455

Abstract

Since its discovery in the late 1970s, the ubiquitin-proteasome system (UPS) has become recognized as the major pathway for regulated cellular proteolysis. Processes ranging from cell cycle control, pathogen resistance, and protein quality control rely on selective protein degradation at the proteasome for homeostatic function. Perhaps as a consequence of the importance of this pathway, and the genesis of severe diseases upon its dysregulation, protein degradation by the UPS is highly controlled from the level of substrate recognition to proteolysis. Technological advances over the last decade have created an explosion of structural and mechanistic information that has underscored the complexity of the proteasome and its upstream regulatory factors. Significant insights have come from study of the 19S proteasome regulatory particle (RP) responsible for recognition and processing of ubiquitinated substrates destined for proteolysis. Established as a highly dynamic proteasome activator, a large number of both permanent and transient RP components with specialized functional roles are critical for proteasome function. In this review, we highlight recent mechanistic developments in the study of proteasome activation by the RP and how they provide context to our current understanding of the UPS.

Introduction

A major aspect of eukaryotic cell maintenance and viability is the rapid and selective turnover of misfolded, exogenous, or down-regulated proteins. As a culmination of cell signaling events, these proteins are frequently targeted to the 26S proteasome, a 2.5 MDa protease responsible for catalyzing the decomposition of proteins into short peptides. Proteasome substrates are typically marked by covalent attachment of ubiquitin polymers, which are recognized by 26S machinery as a signal for destruction. Together, the ubiquitin-proteasome system (UPS, see Table 1 for abbreviations) constitutes a major and highly conserved pathway for targeted protein degradation^{1–3}. Improper function of the UPS can result in a variety of human pathologies, including autoimmunity and inflammation, neurodegeneration, and cancer⁴; thus the proteasome is a promising therapeutic target. Bortezomib (Velcade®) and carfilzomib (Kyprolis™) are proteasome inhibitors used to treat certain hematological cancers, and other inhibitors are in clinical trials^{5,6}.

A highly regulated enzymatic cascade coordinates the recognition of protein substrates, as reviewed^{7–9}. These enzymes are responsible for both selection of proteasome targets and catalyzing the attachment of ubiquitin polypeptides. Ubiquitin moieties are typically conjugated through an isopeptide bond between their C-terminal glycine carboxylate and a free amine on another protein. Ubiquitination may occur either on a substrate or on another ubiquitin to form a polymeric chain. Ubiquitinating enzymes can function with strict

*To whom correspondence should be addressed: walte048@umn.edu, (612) 625-2688.

chemical specificity for one of ubiquitin's seven lysines or its N-terminal methionine. Different linkage types confer unique chain topologies that are recognized by ubiquitin-binding proteins to select for substrates with a particular chain length or structure^{10–12}. Some types, such as K63-linked chains, can signal for non-degradative events like cell trafficking, activation of NF-κB signaling, and DNA damage response^{13,14}. Each of ubiquitin's seven lysines appear to target substrates for proteasomal degradation in yeast except K63¹⁵, whose extended chain conformation^{16,17} binds factors that prevent proteasome association¹⁸. K48 and K11 constitute the largest relative cellular abundance of chains in yeast at ~30% each, with K63 third at slightly more than half that amount¹⁵. A similar study in human embryonic kidney 293 cells observed a higher relative proportion of K48-and K63-linked chains at 52% and 38%, respectively¹⁹.

The basic component of the proteasome is the 20S core particle (CP)²⁰, a barrel-shaped protease composed of four heptameric rings of α and β subunits that stack in a α₇β₇β₇β₇ structure²¹; these subunits are related but unique in eukaryotes²² (Figure 1A). Three interior chambers are formed at ring interfaces, including two antechambers at the α₇β₇ ring interfaces and a catalytic chamber at the β₇β₇ ring interface (Figure 1B). The catalytic chamber contains the proteolytic activity of the proteasome²³, whereas the antechamber maintains substrates in an unfolded state prior to their proteolysis²⁴. Peptide hydrolysis is catalyzed by conserved threonines at the N-termini of the eukaryotic β1, β2, and β5 subunits with a caspase, trypsin, and chymotrypsin-like specificity, respectively²⁵. Bortezomib inhibits proteolysis through its ability to bind all three catalytic pockets, with highest affinity for β5^{26,27} (Figure 1C). The CP is competent to cleave unstructured proteins in a ubiquitin-independent pathway accounting for up to 20% of cellular targets²⁸, but this process provides little means for substrate specificity and is ineffective for folded proteins, as the N-terminal region of the α ring subunits contain an axial gate that sterically occludes folded proteins from entering²⁹. Upon CP activation by native proteins or chemical agents, the gating residues shift to a conformation that provides access to the CP interior^{30,31}.

Proteasome Activation

Cells use a variety of proteins or protein complexes, known as activators, that dock into the CP, open its gate, and make it accessible for proteolysis³². Structural data have yielded insights into mechanisms of activator action on the CP³³, although their substrate specificity, biological relation to each other, and the cellular triggers for their interaction with CP remain under intense scrutiny.

Diversity of activators

Endogenous CP activators include Blm10 (PA200)^{34,35}, 11S (PA28 or REG)^{36,37}, the RP (PA700)³⁸, and Cdc48 (p97)^{39,40}. Multiple activators share a common mechanism for CP binding in which a C-terminal HbYX ('Hb', hydrophobic residue, 'Y', tyrosine, 'X', any amino acid) motif docks into cavities formed at the α ring subunit interfaces and induces gate opening^{41,42}. Blm10⁴³ contains a single C-terminal HbYX, whereas Cdc48³⁹ and the RP⁴¹ contain a ring of six AAA+ ATPases that use HbYX motifs to dock into the CP's α ring pockets. The RP ATPase ring is composed of unique Regulatory Particle Triphosphatase (Rpt) proteins, and the strict HbYX motifs of Rpt2, Rpt3, and Rpt5 dock the RP into the CP in mature holoenzymes^{44,45}. PI31 and the Pba1-Pba2 (PAC1-PAC2) heterodimer also use HbYX motifs to dock into the CP, although these interactions lead to its inhibition^{46,47}. Pba1-Pba2 promotes CP maturation⁴⁸, and in contrast to activators, binding of its HbYX motif to CP leads to a gate configuration that is not sufficiently opened for proteolysis of even small peptides⁴⁹. Whereas PI31 inhibits CP⁴⁶, it stimulates proteolysis by CP-RP complexes⁵⁰.

Studies in archaea, have revealed a similar role for the HbYX motif of RP functional homolog Proteasome Activating Nucleotidase (PAN)^{41,51}, but neither its HbYX motif nor that of archaeal Cdc48 are required for CP binding and activation^{39,40}. Archaeal Cdc48 uses a bipartite binding mode to bind CP that includes its HbYX and a conserved AAA loop that is expected to be proximal to CP α ring gating residues⁴⁰. A similar motif exists at this location in PAN and the Rpts⁵¹, and in PAN, these loops appear to enable CP binding when its HbYX is deleted⁴⁰.

The RP is a ~19 subunit complex of stably and transiently associated proteins that caps the CP to form the 26S proteasome used by the UPS. It includes ubiquitin receptors to recognize substrates, deubiquitinating enzymes to remove ubiquitin, and its six Rpt proteins to facilitate substrate unfolding and translocation into the CP. The Rpts contain an N-terminal coiled-coil (CC) that engages in extensive Rpt-Rpt contacts, an oligomer-binding (OB) domain, an ATPase domain, and a C-terminal domain (CTD) (Figure 2). Currently, no high resolution structure is available for a full Rpt molecule. Cryoelectron microscopy (EM) data combined with crosslinking and interaction studies, as well as experimentally determined or modeled structures of RP components, has enabled full RP models to ~7–9 Å resolution^{44,45,52–55}. In these models, the Rpts adopt a Rpt1-Rpt2-Rpt6-Rpt3-Rpt4-Rpt5⁵⁶ arrangement that forms an asymmetrical lock washerlike structure relative to the CP with Rpt2 at the lowest end and Rpt3 at the highest end; Rpt6 is at their interface in an intermediate configuration⁴⁵.

PAN similarly forms a AAA+ hexameric ring structure, although a homohexamer, and a crystal structure of an 11S fusion protein containing the PAN HbYX motif bound to CP illustrates CP-HbYX contacts⁵⁷ that are critical for PAN activation⁴² and are expected to be conserved in Rpt2, Rpt3, and Rpt5. Although not docked into the CP α ring in mature proteasomes, Rpt6 contains a HbYX variant that binds with high specificity to the $\alpha_2\alpha_3$ CP pocket during RP assembly, suggesting that the Rpt proteins are reconfigured during proteasome maturation⁵⁸. Their reorganization may be facilitated by their own dynamic behavior, as EM variability maps demonstrate a high degree of heterogeneity in the Rpt region of mature proteasome⁵², and ATP binding and hydrolysis elicit conformational changes in the ring⁵⁵. ATP γ S-binding to subunits within PAN similarly induces conformational changes in the unbound subunits that reduce their ATP γ S affinity⁵⁹.

43 and 11S activators^{42,60,61} complexed with CP illustrate their mechanism of gate opening. The 11S binds the CP as a heptamer and uses its C-termini to dock into the same CP pockets used by HbYX motifs⁶¹. The 11S does not contain the HbYX motif, and relies on the binding of activator loops adjacent to this pocket for gate opening⁶². This interaction initiates a conformational change in critical N-terminal gating residues Y8, D9, P17, and Y26 and opens the pore⁶³. The 11S appears to perform ATP-independent proteolysis of short or intrinsically unfolded peptides to produce immune response factors such as MHC class I peptides^{64,65}.

酵母 Blm10 caps the CP α rings as a single 246 kDa protein, surrounding the entrance pore and with its C-terminal HbYX motif docked into the $\alpha_5\alpha_6$ pocket⁴³. Its binding causes the gating residues to become disordered, partially opening the pore⁴³ and thus providing a mechanism for its proposed role in facilitating degradation of unfolded proteins⁶⁶. Blm10 has been implicated in DNA repair³⁴, mitochondrial maintenance⁴³, as well as CP assembly and maturation⁶⁷, and can be found in mixed Blm10-CP-RP proteasomes³⁴.

Composition of the RP

The RP can be biochemically divided into lid and base sub-assemblies⁶⁸. The base comprises the six Rpt proteins, Regulatory Particle non-ATPase (Rpn) scaffolds Rpn1/2 (S2/

S1 in humans), and ubiquitin receptors Rpn10 (S5a)⁶⁹ and Rpn13^{70,71}. The lid contains Rpn8, Rpn15 (DSS1 or Sem1), deubiquitinating enzyme (DUB) Rpn11 (Poh1)^{72,73}, as well as PCI-domain proteins Rpn3/5/6/7/9 with poorly understood functions and Rpn12, which has been implicated in late-stage assembly of the RP^{74,75} (Figure 3). The base and lid are laterally adjacent relative to the CP pore in EM-based models with the PCI C-terminal regions in the formation of a hexameric shield-like structure lying opposite from the translocation pore⁴⁴ (Figure 3). PCI lid subunits contact ATPases (Rpn5/6/7) and the CP (Rpn5/6) in addition to Rpn11 and Rpn2^{44,76}; thus the base and lid form extensive contacts.

Rpn1 and Rpn2 are ~100–110 kDa and structurally similar⁷⁷; the crystal structure of Rpn2 forms a toroidal conformation of two concentric α -helical PC repeats⁷⁸, which is consistent with the fold produced by EM analysis of Rpn1⁷⁸. Their positioning in the RP has been controversial^{77,79}. EM models place Rpn1 along the perimeter of the Rpt ring and in contact with the Rpt1/2 dimer^{44,53} while Rpn2 extends from the N-terminal region of Rpt3/6, across Rpn8/11 and to the periphery of the lid^{44,45,53}. The electron density from Rpn1 in EM reconstructions is weak, suggesting that its location may be dynamic⁴⁴. Rpn1 binds ubiquitin receptor UBL-UBA proteins Dsk2 and Rad23^{80–82} as well as the DUB Ubp6⁸³, and Rpn2 uniquely binds ubiquitin receptor Rpn13^{84–86}.

Substrate Recruitment

The RP has a diverse arsenal of ubiquitin receptors for substrate recognition. These include Rpn10 and Rpn13, which have dedicated binding sites in the RP, and UBL-UBA proteins, which appear to shuttle ubiquitinated cargo to proteasome through multiple overlapping docking sites.

Ubiquitin receptors in the RP

Rpn10 (S5a) was the first discovered RP ubiquitin receptor⁶⁹, and contains an N-terminal von Willebrand factor type A (VWA) domain that docks it into the RP and one or two ubiquitin interacting motifs (UIMs), depending on species. Human Rpn10's two UIMs are composed of single helices connected by flexible regions that allow it to adapt to bind diverse ubiquitin chains^{87,88} (Figure 4A). This multivalency affords hRpn10 an 8-fold greater affinity for K48-linked diubiquitin compared to monoubiquitin⁸⁸. A second receptor, Rpn13, contains an N-terminal pleckstrin-like receptor for ubiquitin (Pru) domain that interacts with ubiquitin through a surface formed by three loops^{70,71} (Figure 4B). Rpn13 gains additional affinity upon binding to ubiquitin moieties engaged in a G76-K48 isopeptide bond, giving it a 3-fold greater affinity for K48-linked diubiquitin over monoubiquitin⁷¹. Most ubiquitin-binding elements recognize a common surface that includes a hydrophobic pocket formed by L8, I44, and V70¹³. Rpn13's Pru and Rpn10's UIMs also bind to this surface^{70,87}, however, Rpn13 engages in additional hydrogen bonds with ubiquitin's H68⁷⁰.

In contrast to Rpn10, Rpn13 uses its ubiquitin-binding domain to dock into the RP, and does so through Rpn2^{85,89}. With the exception of *S. cerevisiae*, Rpn13 contains a 9-helix C-terminal domain that recruits DUB enzyme Uch37 to proteasome^{85,89,90} and activates it^{89,90}. Rpn13's two structural domains are connected by a flexible, low complexity linker, but interact when free of the RP, resulting in reduced affinity for ubiquitin⁹¹. The interdomain interaction is along a similar surface to where Rpn2 binds, and docking to Rpn2 dissociates Rpn13's interdomain interaction and activates it for ubiquitin binding⁹¹.

The functional roles of the two receptors are not fully redundant, despite both binding ubiquitinated substrates. Knockout of Rpn10 or its UIMs is embryonic lethal in mice⁹², and Rpn13 mice knockouts demonstrate growth defects and reduced litter size⁹³; thus loss of one

receptor cannot be fully rescued by the other. One possible explanation is the existence of receptor-specific substrates, which have been reported for both Rpn13^{94,95} and Rpn10⁹⁶. In addition, Rpn10 was found to bind the DUB Rpn11 and proposed to be important for proteasome integrity⁹⁷.

Rpn10 and Rpn13 may not simply work as independent ubiquitin-sensing components, but rather coordinately bind ubiquitin chains on the same substrate. Both receptors bind simultaneously to K48-linked diubiquitin⁸⁸ and in this ternary complex, Rpn13 binds to the ubiquitin with its K48 involved in an isopeptide bond (proximal), while hRpn10's two UIMs interact dynamically with the other moiety (distal). In longer chains, it is likely that both UIMs interact cooperatively with different ubiquitins. EM models place Rpn10's VWA domain above the translocation pore and adjacent to Rpn11 whereas Rpn13's Pru domain lies ~90 Å away in a flag-like position at the distal end of the RP^{44,45} (Figure 3). Ubiquitin chains that span this distance may enable dual binding of Rpn13 and Rpn10. It is not yet clear whether substrate binding alters the configuration of the ubiquitin receptors and DUBs to facilitate their coordinated activities.

Cross-linking studies have also implicated proteasome subunits Rpt5⁹⁸, Rpt1⁹⁹, and Rpn1⁹⁹ in binding ubiquitin chains, and the recruitment of ubiquitin chains to the proteasome by Rpn13 or Rpn10 may enable additional interactions through avidity effects¹⁰⁰. Rpt1/5 and Rpn1 would provide additional docking sites for the ubiquitin chain near the CP, according to EM structures (Figure 3). Additional ubiquitin receptors have been demonstrated to exist in the RP as deletion of Rpn10's and Rpn13's ubiquitin-binding capacity does not eliminate RP binding of ubiquitinated proteins^{71,91}.

UBL-UBA ubiquitin receptors that interact with the RP

UBL-UBA proteins appear to contribute an additional layer of regulation to degradation by the UPS. They contain a ubiquitin-like domain (UBL) named for its homology to ubiquitin, and ubiquitin-associating domains (UBA), which bind ubiquitin^{101,102}. There are three UBL-UBA proteins in yeast, Rad23, Dsk2 and Ddi1, which have multiple orthologs in higher eukaryotes. UBL-UBA proteins have diverse ubiquitin chain specificities¹⁰³. hHR23a's (human Rad23) C-terminal UBA domain prefers K48-linked chains¹⁰⁴ and inserts between neighboring ubiquitin moieties¹⁰⁵, whereas the hPLIC1/ubiquilin-1 (human Dsk2) UBA domain binds with significantly higher affinity to monoubiquitin than does hHR23 and does not exhibit notable preference for K48- versus K63-linked ubiquitin chains¹⁰⁶.

Rad23 and Dsk2 UBL domains bind Rpn10^{107,108}, Rpn13⁷¹, and Rpn1⁸², and proteomics studies from human cells identify hPLIC1 and hHR23B associated with RP¹⁰⁹. Furthermore, hHR23B is reported to stimulate proteasome binding of K48-linked chains¹⁸, and is essential for viability in over 90% of mice¹¹⁰. Like Rpn13, hHR23a's ubiquitin-binding domains engage in interdomain interactions, in this case with its UBL domain¹¹¹. This interaction may convey selectivity for higher affinity ubiquitin interactions, as hHR23a's affinity for ubiquitin is increased when its UBL domain is deleted¹¹². Depending on their protein levels, UBL-UBA proteins can facilitate or inhibit the degradation of ubiquitinated substrates¹¹³, suggesting a complex role with the UPS. hHR23 proteins have long flexible linker regions connecting their functional domains¹¹¹, but their non-complex amino acid composition protects these proteins from proteolysis by proteasome¹¹⁴. UBL-UBA proteins share redundant functions^{115–118} and can bind each other¹¹⁹, but they also have distinct roles, such as for Rad23 in DNA repair¹²⁰ and Dsk2 in neuropathology¹²¹.

Substrate Processing

The axial channel of the CP is ~ 13 Å when open²¹, a sufficiently narrow space to prevent the passage of the majority of ubiquitinated substrates in their native form. Moreover, ubiquitin forms a very stable structure with a melting temperature in excess of 80 °C¹²², and turnover of stably folded proteins proceeds slowly due to the high energy requirement for their thermodynamic destabilization¹²³. Thus, DUB removal and recycling of ubiquitin is coordinated with substrate unfolding and translocation into the CP for proteolysis.

Deubiquitination

The RP lid metalloenzyme Rpn11 (Poh1) is critical for degradation-coupled substrate deubiquitination^{72,73,124}, and its activity in mature proteasomes requires ATP hydrolysis^{72,73}. It is placed above the ATPases and near the translocation pore by EM^{44,45,54} (Figure 3). Cross-linking studies on *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* co-localize the Rpn11 C-terminal domain with the N-terminal end of Rpt3⁵², potentially linking its activity to this ATPase. ATP hydrolysis by the Rpt proteins may initiate a ‘commitment step’¹²⁵, whereby an unstructured end of the substrate is bound tightly through conformational changes in the Rpts that position it in an orientation to allow deubiquitination⁵². Consistent with this model, Rpn11 preferentially hydrolyzes isopeptide linkages at the ubiquitin proximal to the substrate, thus cleaving the ubiquitin chain as a whole⁷².

Rpn11 and Rpn8 both contain N-terminal MPN domains and these two proteins interact in the RP^{44,45}. The MPN domain in Rpn11 coordinates a zinc ion and catalyzes deubiquitination^{72,73}, whereas Rpn8 lacks a zinc-binding motif and is unlikely to perform DUB activity¹²⁴. Overexpressed Rpn8 can partially rescue phenotypes of Rpn11 C-terminal domain mutation¹²⁶, suggesting that it may aid Rpn11 through a non-catalytic role. The exact function of Rpn8 and its link to Rpn11 is not known.

Although removal of ubiquitin is an important step in RP processing, it is antagonistic for degradation if it occurs prematurely. If the chain is shortened or eliminated prior to substrate commitment, the target protein may escape. The RP houses two additional DUBs responsible for shortening ubiquitin chains. Ubp6 (Usp14)^{83,127} and Uch2 (Uch37)¹²⁸ cleave short polymers from ubiquitin chains, which may delay proteolysis¹²⁹. Curiously, Ubp6 appears to maintain inhibitory effects on substrate turnover even in catalytically inactive mutants¹²⁹, suggesting that it may assume non-catalytic roles in proteasome regulation. Studies have linked Ubp6 to RP assembly¹³⁰ and channel opening¹³¹.

Uch37 (also known as UCHL5) binds to the C-terminal domain of Rpn13, which activates it and brings it in proximity to RP-bound substrates^{85,89,90}. It is reported to selectively remove single ubiquitin moieties from the distal end of chains, and it therefore may be responsible for processing poorly ubiquitinated or unproductively bound substrates¹²⁸. That Uch37 binds and is activated by Rpn13 raises the potential for its selective activity on substrates docked via Rpn13.

Unfolding and Translocation

Substrate interaction with the Rpt proteins is thought to initiate through intrinsically unfolded regions¹³², and occur through a stepwise process that couples ATP hydrolysis with unfolding. PAN¹³³ and the bacterial AAA ATPases HslU¹³⁴, ClpA^{135,136}, and ClpX^{137,138} contain Ar-φ ('Ar', aromatic residue, 'φ', hydrophobic residue) loops in their ATPase domain that undergo a conformational switch in response to ATP hydrolysis, thus coupling chemical energy to mechanical force. A comparison of 26S EM structures with hydrolyzable versus nonhydrolyzable ATP demonstrates conformational mobility of the Rpts⁵⁵, consistent

with this model (Figure 5). Mutation of the Ar- ϕ sequence in yeast RP ATPases results in accumulation of ubiquitinated protein conjugates¹³³, and as in the bacterial ATPases, these loops are flexible⁵². ATP binding and hydrolysis has been proposed to proceed stepwise or ‘wobble’ around the Rpt ring, based on a study that found ATP to bind optimally to two PAN subunits at a time and a maximum of four PAN subunits⁵⁹. Mutations in these loops for single Rpt proteins provide a range of phenotypes that vary depending on the particular Rpt affected¹³⁹, suggesting their functional asymmetry. The requirement for the C-terminal HbYX motif also differs according to the Rpt protein with Rpt2/5 HbYX sufficient for gate opening^{41,140} and Rpt3 HbYX essential for RP assembly¹⁴¹.

Structures of the CTD fragments of PAN^{51,142}, Rpt1^{143,144}, Rpt3¹⁴⁵, and Rpt6¹⁴⁶ each contain a similar 4-helix bundle adjoined by nonstructured loop regions. Electron density was not observed for the C-terminal region containing their HbYX, and the corresponding Rpt6 tail was directly observed to be randomly coiled and flexible by NMR¹⁴⁶. Isolated yeast Rpt6 CTD exhibits helix-coil transitions that influence its interaction with assembly chaperone Rpn14, and conformational exchange was similarly observed in yeast Rpt4 and Rpt5 CTDs¹⁴⁶. Rpt6 may play an important role in nucleating formation of the RP base^{58,147}, a process which its CTD dynamics may facilitate¹⁴⁶. Upon lid attachment, the yeast Rpt-CP interface appears to be reconfigured such that a specific Rpt6 interaction with the α 2/ α 3 pocket is broken to accommodate stable binding of Rpt2/3/5 in mature proteasomes⁵⁸.

An NMR study demonstrated CP from *Thermoplasma acidophilum* to exhibit high conformational variability, rapidly interconverting between multiple conformers that differ along contiguous regions that connect activator binding sites to the catalytic site¹⁴⁸. Binding of the 11S and mutation of residues that contact activators shifts the relative population of these conformers and results in changes in substrate hydrolysis¹⁴⁸. Similarly, the cleavage preference of human CP varies depending on the 11S variant present; humans have REG α , REG β , and REG γ 11S activators. Thus, an allosteric pathway appears to span the ~60 Å distance between the activator-binding and catalytic regions of the CP and this allostery provides a mechanism by which activators influence product formation.

Proteasome in Action

Decades of concerted effort have yielded snapshots of the CP docked with various activators. These snapshots reveal shared mechanistic features and highlight an important role for protein dynamics at the proteasome. In addition to the act of activator binding, dynamics are propagated at the proteasome by transient associations, ATP binding and hydrolysis, and DUB activity, as discussed in this review. Other factors may also drive proteolysis and motion, including post-translational modifications of proteasome subunits^{150–152} and substrate interactions^{153,154}. Recent studies have also identified the involvement of multiple chaperones in RP nucleation and base assembly that interact with the CTD of specific Rpt subunits, as reviewed^{155,156}. Attachment of the lid complex may drive conformational changes that alter the Rpt-CP interaction profile and promote holoenzyme maturation^{58,74}. The timing and regulation of base assembly and lid attachment has the potential to regulate substrate turnover in response to specific physiological conditions. This area holds promise for the development of therapeutics that specifically target the activation of proteasomes, the use of different activator types, or the formation of heterogeneous doubly-capped CP, which may alter degradation rate or substrate preference.

Recent advances in cryo-EM have provided structures of RP-CP holoenzymes at subnanometer resolution, allowing more accurate models of the RP’s topology as it recognizes and processes substrates (Figure 6). One of these studies found differences between the two RP caps⁵⁴, in agreement with its dynamic nature. The transient nature of

proteasome interacting proteins, altered conformations upon ATP binding and hydrolysis, and functional subunit redundancies may produce many independently functioning ‘minor’ states that represent a part of the dynamic portrait of the 26S proteasome in action.

The roles of certain RP components and their conformation may be related to properties of the substrate and ubiquitin chain. As its UIMs are essential⁹², Rpn10-mediated substrate recognition may be critical for localizing the proximal ubiquitin near Rpn11, which in turn places the substrate at the mouth of the ATPase ring. It is not known exactly how the proteasome locks on to substrate, although the Rpt OB folds are positioned at the most distal end of the substrate’s path (Figures 2 and 6). These folds are defined by their ability to form versatile interactions¹⁵⁷ and those of the Rpts are viable candidates for this. The presence of other subunits may be necessary only under certain conditions; for example, Rpn13 may be required for degrading certain substrates or to orient substrates with extended ubiquitin chains. K48-linked chains require a minimum of four ubiquitins to interact with both receptors in the current model (Figure 6), and it seems likely chain length would affect the catalytic roles of Uch37 and Ubp6, although the specifics are unclear. It is not yet known what factors influence RP composition under various contexts.

Other questions are inspired by structural models of the RP-CP proteasome. The doubly capped RP₂-CP contains an intrinsic rotation between the two RP assemblies due to the subunit-level asymmetry of the eukaryotic CP (Figure 3). The 11S is a homoheptamer and the homohexameric PAN binds to the symmetric archaeal CP, but the unique Rpts interact with partial specificity for unique CP pockets^{58,158}. It is not known what this RP orientation may mean for substrate proteolysis. Similarly, little is known of the functional role of the PCI hexameric shield (Figure 3), and it is hard to envision its conservation if serving solely as a scaffold. Each proteasome structure solved is a snapshot of the process that occurs during proteolysis, and the ability to integrate structure, dynamics, and function may hold the key to fully understand its molecular mechanisms.

Acknowledgments

Computational modeling was performed in the Minnesota Supercomputing Institute (MSI) Basic Sciences Computing Laboratory, and we thank Nancy Rowe and Xiang Chen for their technical support.

A.E. and K.J.W were funded by the National Institutes of Health (Grants CA097004 and CA136472 to KJW).

References

1. Hershko A, Ciechanover A. The ubiquitin system. *Annu Rev Biochem.* 1998; 67:425–479. [PubMed: 9759494]
2. Finley D. Recognition and processing of ubiquitin-protein conjugates by the proteasome. *Annu Rev Biochem.* 2009; 78:477–513. [PubMed: 19489727]
3. Varshavsky A. The ubiquitin system, an immense realm. *Annu Rev Biochem.* 2012; 81:167–176. [PubMed: 22663079]
4. Schwartz AL, Ciechanover A. Targeting proteins for destruction by the ubiquitin system: implications for human pathobiology. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol.* 2009; 49:73–96. [PubMed: 18834306]
5. Ruschak AM, Slassi M, Kay LE, Schimmer AD. Novel proteasome inhibitors to overcome bortezomib resistance. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2011; 103:1007–1017. [PubMed: 21606441]
6. Stein ML, Groll M. Applied techniques for mining natural proteasome inhibitors. *BBA-Mol Cell Res.* 2013
7. Capili AD, Lima CD. Taking it step by step: mechanistic insights from structural studies of ubiquitin/ubiquitin-like protein modification pathways. *Curr Opin Struc Biol.* 2007; 17:726–735.

8. Deshaies RJ, Joazeiro CA. RING domain E3 ubiquitin ligases. *Annu Rev Biochem.* 2009; 78:399–434. [PubMed: 19489725]
9. Schulman BA. Twists and turns in ubiquitin-like protein conjugation cascades. *Protein Sci.* 2011; 20:1941–1954. [PubMed: 22012881]
10. Liu F, Walters KJ. Multitasking with ubiquitin through multivalent interactions. *Trends Biochem Sci.* 2010; 35:352–360. [PubMed: 20181483]
11. Fushman D, Wilkinson KD. Structure and recognition of polyubiquitin chains of different lengths and linkage. *F1000 Biol Rep.* 2011; 3
12. Dikic I, Wakatsuki S, Walters KJ. Ubiquitin-binding domains - from structures to functions. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Bio.* 2009; 10:659–671. [PubMed: 19773779]
13. Husnjak K, Dikic I. Ubiquitin-binding proteins: decoders of ubiquitin-mediated cellular functions. *Annu Rev Biochem.* 2012; 81:291–322. [PubMed: 22482907]
14. Chen ZJ, Sun LJ. Nonproteolytic functions of ubiquitin in cell signaling. *Mol Cell.* 2009; 33:275–286. [PubMed: 19217402]
15. Xu P, Duong DM, Seyfried NT, Cheng D, Xie Y, Robert J, Rush J, Hochstrasser M, Finley D, Peng J. Quantitative proteomics reveals the function of unconventional ubiquitin chains in proteasomal degradation. *Cell.* 2009; 137:133–145. [PubMed: 19345192]
16. Komander D, Reyes-Turcu F, Licchesi JDF, Odenwaelder P, Wilkinson KD, Barford D. Molecular discrimination of structurally equivalent Lys 63-linked and linear polyubiquitin chains. *EMBO Rep.* 2009; 10:466–473. [PubMed: 19373254]
17. Varadan R, Assfalg M, Haririnia A, Raasi S, Pickart C, Fushman D. Solution conformation of Lys63-linked di-ubiquitin chain provides clues to functional diversity of polyubiquitin signaling. *J Biol Chem.* 2004; 279:7055–7063. [PubMed: 14645257]
18. Nathan JA, Tae Kim H, Ting L, Gygi SP, Goldberg AL. Why do cellular proteins linked to K63-polyubiquitin chains not associate with proteasomes? *EMBO J.* 2013; 32:552–565. [PubMed: 23314748]
19. Dammer EB, Na CH, Xu P, Seyfried NT, Duong DM, Cheng D, Gearing M, Rees H, Lah JJ, Levey AI, Rush J, Peng J. Polyubiquitin linkage profiles in three models of proteolytic stress suggest the etiology of Alzheimer disease. *J Biol Chem.* 2011; 286:10457–10465. [PubMed: 21278249]
20. Eytan E, Ganoth D, Armon T, Herskho A. ATP-dependent incorporation of 20S protease into the 26S complex that degrades proteins conjugated to ubiquitin. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* 1989; 86:7751–7755. [PubMed: 2554287]
21. Löwe J, Stock D, Jap B, Zwickl P, Baumeister W, Huber R. Crystal structure of the 20S proteasome from the archaeon *T. acidophilum* at 3.4 Å resolution. *Science.* 1995; 268:533–539. [PubMed: 7725097]
22. Groll M, Ditzel L, Löwe J, Stock D. Structure of 20S proteasome from yeast at 2.4 Å resolution. *Nature.* 1997; 386:463–471. [PubMed: 9087403]
23. Seemüller E, Lupas A, Stock D, Löwe J, Huber R, Baumeister W. Proteasome from *Thermoplasma acidophilum*: a threonine protease. *Science.* 1995; 268:579–582. [PubMed: 7725107]
24. Ruschak AM, Religa TL, Breuer S, Witt S, Kay LE. The proteasome antechamber maintains substrates in an unfolded state. *Nature.* 2010; 467:868–871. [PubMed: 20944750]
25. Heinemeyer W, Fischer M, Krimmer T, Stachon U, Wolf DH. The Active Sites of the Eukaryotic 20 S Proteasome and Their Involvement in Subunit Precursor Processing. *J Biol Chem.* 1997; 272:25200–25209. [PubMed: 9312134]
26. Groll M, Berkers CR, Ploegh HL, Ova H. Crystal structure of the boronic acid-based proteasome inhibitor bortezomib in complex with the yeast 20S proteasome. *Structure.* 2006; 14:451–456. [PubMed: 16531229]
27. Berkers CR, Verdoes M, Lichtman E, Fiebiger E, Kessler BM, Anderson KC, Ploegh HL, Ova H, Galardy PJ. Activity probe for *in vivo* profiling of the specificity of proteasome inhibitor bortezomib. *Nat Methods.* 2005; 2:357–362. [PubMed: 15846363]
28. Baugh JM, Viktorova EG, Pilipenko EV. Proteasomes can degrade a significant proportion of cellular proteins independent of ubiquitination. *J Mol Biol.* 2009; 386:814–827. [PubMed: 19162040]

29. Groll M, Bajorek M, Köhler A, Moroder L, Rubin DM, Huber R, Glickman MH, Finley D. A gated channel into the proteasome core particle. *Nat Struct Mol Biol.* 2000; 7:1062–1067.
30. Köhler, a; Bajorek, M.; Groll, M.; Moroder, L.; Rubin, DM.; Huber, R.; Glickman, MH.; Finley, D. The substrate translocation channel of the proteasome. *Biochimie.* 2001; 83:325–332. [PubMed: 11295493]
31. Religa TL, Sprangers R, Kay LE. Dynamic regulation of archaeal proteasome gate opening as studied by TROSY NMR. *Science.* 2010; 328:98–102. [PubMed: 20360109]
32. Stadtmüller BM, Hill CP. Proteasome activators. *Mol Cell.* 2011; 41:8–19. [PubMed: 21211719]
33. Kish-Trier E, Hill CP. Structural Biology of the Proteasome. *Annu Rev Biophys.* 2013; 42
34. Ustrell V, Hoffman L, Pratt G, Rechsteiner M. PA200, a nuclear proteasome activator involved in DNA repair. *EMBO J.* 2002; 21:3516–3525. [PubMed: 12093752]
35. Schmidt M, Haas W, Crosas B, Santamaría PG, Gygi SP, Walz T, Finley D. The HEAT repeat protein Blm10 regulates the yeast proteasome by capping the core particle. *Nat Struct Mol Biol.* 2005; 12:294–303. [PubMed: 15778719]
36. Ma C-P, Slaughter CA, DeMartino GN. Identification, purification, and characterization of a protein activator (PA28) of the 20 S proteasome (macropain). *J Biol Chem.* 1992; 267:10515–10523. [PubMed: 1587832]
37. Dubiel W, Pratt G, Ferrell K, Rechsteiner M. Purification of an 11 S regulator of the multicatalytic protease. *J Biol Chem.* 1992; 267:22369–22377. [PubMed: 1429590]
38. Chu-Ping M, Vu JH, Proskie RJ, Slaughter Ca, DeMartino GN. Identification, purification, and characterization of a high molecular weight, ATP-dependent activator (PA700) of the 20 S proteasome. *J Biol Chem.* 1994; 269:3539–3547. [PubMed: 8106396]
39. Barthelme D, Sauer RT. Identification of the Cdc48•20S proteasome as an ancient AAA+ proteolytic machine. *Science.* 2012; 337:843–846. [PubMed: 22837385]
40. Barthelme D, Sauer RT. Bipartite determinants mediate an evolutionarily conserved interaction between Cdc48 and the 20S peptidase. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* 2013; 110:3327–3332. [PubMed: 23401548]
41. Smith DM, Chang S-C, Park S, Finley D, Cheng Y, Goldberg AL. Docking of the proteasomal ATPases' carboxyl termini in the 20S proteasome's alpha ring opens the gate for substrate entry. *Mol Cell.* 2007; 27:731–744. [PubMed: 17803938]
42. Förster A, Masters EI, Whitby FG, Robinson H, Hill CP. The 1.9 Å structure of a proteasome-11S activator complex and implications for proteasome-PAN/PA700 interactions. *Mol Cell.* 2005; 18:589–599. [PubMed: 15916965]
43. Sadre-Bazzaz K, Whitby FG, Robinson H, Formosa T, Hill CP. Structure of a Blm10 complex reveals common mechanisms for proteasome binding and gate opening. *Mol Cell.* 2010; 37:728–735. [PubMed: 20227375]
44. Lasker K, Forster F, Bohn S, Walzthoeni T, Villa E, Unverdorben P, Beck F, Aebersold R, Sali A, Baumeister W. Molecular architecture of the 26S proteasome holocomplex determined by an integrative approach. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* 2012; 109:1380–1387. [PubMed: 22307589]
45. Lander GC, Estrin E, Matyskiela ME, Bashore C, Nogales E, Martin A. Complete subunit architecture of the proteasome regulatory particle. *Nature.* 2012; 482:186–191. [PubMed: 22237024]
46. Chu-Ping M, Slaughter CA, DeMartino GN. Purification and characterization of a protein inhibitor of the 20S proteasome (macropain). *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 1992; 1119:303–311. [PubMed: 1312359]
47. Kusmierczyk AR, Kunjappu MJ, Kim RY, Hochstrasser M. A conserved 20S proteasome assembly factor requires a C-terminal HbYX motif for proteasomal precursor binding. *Nat Struct Mol Biol.* 2011; 18:622–629. [PubMed: 21499243]
48. Hirano Y, Hendil KB, Yashiroda H, Iemura S, Nagane R, Hioki Y, Natsume T, Tanaka K, Murata S. A heterodimeric complex that promotes the assembly of mammalian 20S proteasomes. *Nature.* 2005; 437:1381–1385. [PubMed: 16251969]
49. Stadtmüller BM, Kish-Trier E, Ferrell K, Petersen CN, Robinson H, Myszka DG, Eckert DM, Formosa T, Hill CP. Structure of a proteasome Pba1-Pba2 complex: implications for proteasome

- assembly, activation, and biological function. *J Biol Chem.* 2012; 287:37371–37382. [PubMed: 22930756]
50. Bader M, Benjamin S, Wapinski OL, Smith DM, Goldberg AL, Steller H. A conserved F box regulatory complex controls proteasome activity in *Drosophila*. *Cell.* 2011; 145:371–382. [PubMed: 21529711]
 51. Zhang F, Hu M, Tian G, Zhang P, Finley D, Jeffrey PD, Shi Y. Structural insights into the regulatory particle of the proteasome from *Methanocaldococcus jannaschii*. *Mol Cell.* 2009; 34:473–484. [PubMed: 19481527]
 52. Bohn S, Beck F, Sakata E, Walzthoeni T, Beck M, Aebersold R, Forster F, Baumeister W, Nickell S. Structure of the 26S proteasome from *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* at subnanometer resolution. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* 2010; 107:20992–20997. [PubMed: 21098295]
 53. Da Fonseca PCA, He J, Morris EP. Molecular Model of the Human 26S Proteasome. *Mol Cell.* 2012; 46:54–66. [PubMed: 22500737]
 54. Beck F, Unverdorben P, Bohn S, Schweitzer A, Pfeifer G, Sakata E, Nickell S, Plitzko JM, Villa E, Baumeister W, Förster F. Near-atomic resolution structural model of the yeast 26S proteasome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* 2012; 109:14870–14875. [PubMed: 22927375]
 55. Sledz P, Unverdorben P, Beck F, Pfeifer G, Schweitzer A, Forster F, Baumeister W. Structure of the 26S proteasome with ATP-γS bound provides insights into the mechanism of nucleotidedependent substrate translocation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* 2013; 110:7264–7269. [PubMed: 23589842]
 56. Tomko RJ, Funakoshi M, Schneider K, Wang J, Hochstrasser M. Heterohexameric ring arrangement of the eukaryotic proteasomal ATPases: implications for proteasome structure and assembly. *Mol Cell.* 2010; 38:393–403. [PubMed: 20471945]
 57. Yu Y, Smith DM, Kim HM, Rodriguez V, Goldberg AL, Cheng Y. Interactions of PAN's C-termini with archaeal 20S proteasome and implications for the eukaryotic proteasome-ATPase interactions. *EMBO J.* 2010; 29:692–702. [PubMed: 20019667]
 58. Park S, Li X, Kim HM, Singh CR, Tian G, Hoyt MA, Lovell S, Battaile KP, Zolkiewski M, Coffino P, Roelofs J, Cheng Y, Finley D. Reconfiguration of the proteasome during chaperone-mediated assembly. *Nature.* 2013
 59. Smith DM, Fraga H, Reis C, Kafri G, Goldberg AL. ATP binds to proteasomal ATPases in pairs with distinct functional effects, implying an ordered reaction cycle. *Cell.* 2011; 144:526–538. [PubMed: 21335235]
 60. Knowlton J, Johnston S, Whitby F, Realini C. Structure of the proteasome activator REGα (PA28α). *Nature.* 1997; 390:639–643. [PubMed: 9403698]
 61. Whitby F, Masters EI, Kramer L, Knowlton JR, Yao Y, Wang CC, Hill CP. Structural basis for the activation of 20S proteasomes by 11S regulators. *Nature.* 2000; 408:115–120. [PubMed: 11081519]
 62. Zhang Z, Clawson A, Realini C, Jensen CC, Knowlton JR, Hill CP, Rechsteiner M. Identification of an activation region in the proteasome activator REGalpha. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* 1998; 95:2807–2811. [PubMed: 9501171]
 63. Förster A, Whitby FG, Hill CP. The pore of activated 20S proteasomes has an ordered 7-fold symmetric conformation. *EMBO J.* 2003; 22:4356–4364. [PubMed: 12941688]
 64. Dick T, Ruppert T, Groettrup M, Kloetzel P. Coordinated dual cleavages induced by the proteasome regulator PA28 lead to dominant MHC ligands. *Cell.* 1996; 86:253–262. [PubMed: 8706130]
 65. Groettrup M, Soza A, Eggers M, Kuehn L, Dick TP, Schild H, Rammensee HG, Koszinowski UH, Kloetzel PM. A role for the proteasome regulator PA28alpha in antigen presentation. *Nature.* 1996; 381:166–168. [PubMed: 8610016]
 66. Dange T, Smith D, Noy T, Rommel PC, Jurzitz L, Cordero RJB, Legendre A, Finley D, Goldberg AL, Schmidt M. Blm10 protein promotes proteasomal substrate turnover by an active gating mechanism. *J Biol Chem.* 2011; 286:42830–42839. [PubMed: 22025621]
 67. Savulescu AF, Glickman MH. Proteasome activator 200: the heat is on.... *Mol Cell Proteomics.* 2011; 10:6890–6898.

68. Glickman MH, Rubin DM, Coux O, Wefes I, Pfeifer G, Cjeka Z, Baumeister W, Fried VA, Finley D. A subcomplex of the proteasome regulatory particle required for ubiquitin-conjugate degradation and related to the COP9-signalosome and eIF3. *Cell.* 1998; 94:615–623. [PubMed: 9741626]
69. Deveraux Q, Ustrell V, Pickart C, Rechsteiner M. A 26 S protease subunit that binds ubiquitin conjugates. *J Biol Chem.* 1994; 269:7059–7061. [PubMed: 8125911]
70. Schreiner P, Chen X, Husnjak K, Randles L, Zhang N, Elsasser S, Finley D, Dikic I, Walters KJ, Groll M. Ubiquitin docking at the proteasome through a novel pleckstrin-homology domain interaction. *Nature.* 2008; 453:548–552. [PubMed: 18497827]
71. Husnjak K, Elsasser S, Zhang N, Chen X, Randles L, Shi Y, Hofmann K, Walters KJ, Finley D, Dikic I. Proteasome subunit Rpn13 is a novel ubiquitin receptor. *Nature.* 2008; 453:481–488. [PubMed: 18497817]
72. Yao T, Cohen RE. A cryptic protease couples deubiquitination and degradation by the proteasome. *Nature.* 2002; 419:403–407. [PubMed: 12353037]
73. Verma R, Aravind L, Oania R, McDonald WH, Yates JR, Koonin EV, Deshaies RJ. Role of Rpn11 metalloprotease in deubiquitination and degradation by the 26S proteasome. *Science.* 2002; 298:611–615. [PubMed: 12183636]
74. Tomko RJ, Hochstrasser M. Incorporation of the Rpn12 subunit couples completion of proteasome regulatory particle lid assembly to lid-base joining. *Mol Cell.* 2011; 44:907–917. [PubMed: 22195964]
75. Fukunaga K, Kudo T, Toh-e A, Tanaka K, Saeki Y. Dissection of the assembly pathway of the proteasome lid in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 2010; 396:1048–1053. [PubMed: 20471955]
76. Pathare GR, Nagy I, Bohn S, Unverdorben P, Hubert A, Korner R, Nickell S, Lasker K, Sali A, Tamura T, Nishioka T, Forster F, Baumeister W, Bracher A. The proteasomal subunit Rpn6 is a molecular clamp holding the core and regulatory subcomplexes together. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* 2012; 109:149–154. [PubMed: 22187461]
77. Kajava AV. What curves alpha-solenoids? Evidence for an alpha-helical toroid structure of Rpn1 and Rpn2 proteins of the 26 S proteasome. *J Biol Chem.* 2002; 277:49791–49798. [PubMed: 12270919]
78. He J, Kulkarni K, Da Fonseca PCA, Krutauz D, Glickman MH, Barford D, Morris EP. The Structure of the 26S Proteasome Subunit Rpn2 Reveals Its PC Repeat Domain as a Closed Toroid of Two Concentric α -Helical Rings. *Structure.* 2012; 20:513–521. [PubMed: 22405010]
79. Rosenzweig R, Osmulski Pa, Gaczynska M, Glickman MH. The central unit within the 19S regulatory particle of the proteasome. *Nat Struct Mol Biol.* 2008; 15:573–580. [PubMed: 18511945]
80. Rosenzweig R, Bronner V, Zhang D, Fushman D, Glickman MH. Rpn1 and Rpn2 coordinate ubiquitin processing factors at proteasome. *J Biol Chem.* 2012; 287:14659–14671. [PubMed: 22318722]
81. Saeki Y, Sone T, Toh-e A, Yokosawa H. Identification of ubiquitin-like protein-binding subunits of the 26S proteasome. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 2002; 296:813–819. [PubMed: 12200120]
82. Elsasser S, Gali RR, Schwickart M, Larsen CN, Leggett DS, Müller B, Feng MT, Tübing F, Dittmar GAG, Finley D. Proteasome subunit Rpn1 binds ubiquitin-like protein domains. *Nat Cell Biol.* 2002; 4:725–730. [PubMed: 12198498]
83. Leggett DS, Hanna J, Borodovsky A, Crosas B, Schmidt M, Baker RT, Walz T, Ploegh H, Finley D. Multiple associated proteins regulate proteasome structure and function. *Mol Cell.* 2002; 10:495–507. [PubMed: 12408819]
84. Ito T, Chiba T, Ozawa R, Yoshida M, Hattori M, Sakaki Y. A comprehensive two-hybrid analysis to explore the yeast protein interactome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* 2001; 98:4569–4574. [PubMed: 11283351]
85. Hamazaki J, Iemura S-I, Natsume T, Yashiroda H, Tanaka K, Murata S. A novel proteasome interacting protein recruits the deubiquitinating enzyme UCH37 to 26S proteasomes. *EMBO J.* 2006; 25:4524–4536. [PubMed: 16990800]

86. Gandhi TKB, Zhong J, Mathivanan S, Karthick L, Chandrika KN, Mohan SS, Sharma S, Pinkert S, Nagaraju S, Periaswamy B, Mishra G, Nandakumar K, Shen B, Deshpande N, Nayak R, Sarker M, Boeke JD, Parmigiani G, Schultz J, Bader JS, Pandey A. Analysis of the human protein interactome and comparison with yeast, worm and fly interaction datasets. *Nat Genet.* 2006; 38:285–293. [PubMed: 16501559]
87. Wang Q, Young P, Walters KJ. Structure of S5a bound to monoubiquitin provides a model for polyubiquitin recognition. *J Mol Biol.* 2005; 348:727–739. [PubMed: 15826667]
88. Zhang N, Wang Q, Ehlinger A, Randles L, Lary JW, Kang Y, Haririnia A, Storaska AJ, Cole JL, Fushman D, Walters KJ. Structure of the S5a:K48-linked diubiquitin complex and its interactions with Rpn13. *Mol Cell.* 2009; 35:280–290. [PubMed: 19683493]
89. Yao T, Song L, Xu W, DeMartino GN, Florens L, Swanson SK, Washburn MP, Conaway RC, Conaway JW, Cohen RE. Proteasome recruitment and activation of the Uch37 deubiquitinating enzyme by Adrm1. *Nat Cell Biol.* 2006; 8:994–1002. [PubMed: 16906146]
90. Qiu X-B, Ouyang S-Y, Li C-J, Miao S, Wang L, Goldberg AL. hRpn13/ADRM1/GP110 is a novel proteasome subunit that binds the deubiquitinating enzyme, UCH37. *EMBO J.* 2006; 25:5742–5753. [PubMed: 17139257]
91. Chen X, Lee B-H, Finley D, Walters KJ. Structure of proteasome ubiquitin receptor hRpn13 and its activation by the scaffolding protein hRpn2. *Mol Cell.* 2010; 38:404–415. [PubMed: 20471946]
92. Hamazaki J, Sasaki K, Kawahara H, Hisanaga S-I, Tanaka K, Murata S. Rpn10-mediated degradation of ubiquitinated proteins is essential for mouse development. *Mol Cell Biol.* 2007; 27:6629–6638. [PubMed: 17646385]
93. Al-Shami, A.; Jhaver, KG.; Vogel, P.; Wilkins, C.; Humphries, J.; Davis, JJ.; Xu, N.; Potter, DG.; Gerhardt, B.; Mullinax, R.; Shirley, CR.; Anderson, SJ.; Oravecz, T. Regulators of the proteasome pathway, Uch37 and Rpn13, play distinct roles in mouse development. In: Morty, RE., editor. *PloS One.* Vol. 5. 2010. p. e13654
94. Mazumdar T, Gorgun FM, Sha Y, Tyryshkin A, Zeng S, Hartmann-Petersen R, Jørgensen JP, Hendil KB, Eissa NT. Regulation of NF-κappaB activity and inducible nitric oxide synthase by regulatory particle non-ATPase subunit 13 (Rpn13). *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* 2010; 107:13854–13859. [PubMed: 20634424]
95. Huang Y, Ratovitski Ea. Phosphorylated TP63 induces transcription of RPN13, leading to NOS2 protein degradation. *J Biol Chem.* 2010; 285:41422–41431. [PubMed: 20959455]
96. Mayor T, Lipford JR, Graumann J, Smith GT, Deshaies RJ. Analysis of polyubiquitin conjugates reveals that the Rpn10 substrate receptor contributes to the turnover of multiple proteasome targets. *Mol Cell Proteomics.* 2005; 4:741–751. [PubMed: 15699485]
97. Chandra A, Chen L, Madura K. Synthetic lethality of rpn11-1 rpn10[?] is linked to altered proteasome assembly and activity. *Curr Genet.* 2010; 56:543–557. [PubMed: 20941496]
98. Lam YA, Lawson TG, Velayutham M, Zweier JL, Pickart CM. A proteasomal ATPase subunit recognizes the polyubiquitin degradation signal. *Nature.* 2002; 416:763–767. [PubMed: 11961560]
99. Archer CT, Burdine L, Liu B, Ferdous A, Johnston SA, Kodadek T. Physical and functional interactions of monoubiquitylated transactivators with the proteasome. *J Biol Chem.* 2008; 283:21789–21798. [PubMed: 18515799]
100. Sims JJ, Haririnia A, Dickinson BC, Fushman D, Cohen RE. Avid interactions underlie the Lys63-linked polyubiquitin binding specificities observed for UBA domains. *Nat Struct Mol Biol.* 2009; 16:883–889. [PubMed: 19620964]
101. Bertolaet B, Clarke D, Wolff M, Watson MH, Henze M, Divita G, Reed SI. UBA domains of DNA damage-inducible proteins interact with ubiquitin. *Nat Struct Biol.* 2001; 8:417–422. [PubMed: 11323716]
102. Wilkinson CR, Seeger M, Hartmann-Petersen R, Stone M, Wallace M, Semple C, Gordon C. Proteins containing the UBA domain are able to bind to multi-ubiquitin chains. *Nat Cell Biol.* 2001; 3:939–943. [PubMed: 11584278]
103. Raasi S, Varadan R, Fushman D, Pickart CM. Diverse polyubiquitin interaction properties of ubiquitin-associated domains. *Nat Struct Mol Biol.* 2005; 12:708–714. [PubMed: 16007098]

104. Raasi S, Pickart CM. Rad23 ubiquitin-associated domains (UBA) inhibit 26 S proteasome-catalyzed proteolysis by sequestering lysine 48-linked polyubiquitin chains. *J Biol Chem.* 2003; 278:8951–8959. [PubMed: 12643283]
105. Varadan R, Assfalg M, Raasi S, Pickart C, Fushman D. Structural determinants for selective recognition of a Lys48-linked polyubiquitin chain by a UBA domain. *Mol Cell.* 2005; 18:687–698. [PubMed: 15949443]
106. Zhang D, Raasi S, Fushman D. Affinity makes the difference: nonselective interaction of the UBA domain of Ubiquilin-1 with monomeric ubiquitin and polyubiquitin chains. *J Mol Biol.* 2008; 377:162–180. [PubMed: 18241885]
107. Hiyama H, Yokoi M, Masutani C, Sugasawa K, Maekawa T, Tanaka K, Hoeijmakers JH, Hanaoka F. Interaction of hHR23 with S5a. The ubiquitin-like domain of hHR23 mediates interaction with S5a subunit of 26 S proteasome. *J Biol Chem.* 1999; 274:28019–28025. [PubMed: 10488153]
108. Walters KJ, Kleijnen MF, Goh AM, Wagner G, Howley PM. Structural studies of the interaction between ubiquitin family proteins and proteasome subunit S5a. *Biochemistry.* 2002; 41:1767–1777. [PubMed: 11827521]
109. Wang X, Chen C-F, Baker PR, Chen P, Kaiser P, Huang L. Mass spectrometric characterization of the affinity-purified human 26S proteasome complex. *Biochemistry.* 2007; 46:3553–3565. [PubMed: 17323924]
110. Ng JMY, Vrieling H, Sugasawa K, Ooms MP, Grootegoed JA, Vreeburg JTM, Visser P, Beems RB, Gorgels TGMF, Hanaoka F, Hoeijmakers JH, Van der Horst GTJ. Developmental Defects and Male Sterility in Mice Lacking the Ubiquitin-Like DNA Repair Gene mHR23B. *Mol Cell Biol.* 2002; 22:1233–1245. [PubMed: 11809813]
111. Walters KJ, Lech PJ, Goh AM, Wang Q, Howley PM. DNA-repair protein hHR23a alters its protein structure upon binding proteasomal subunit S5a. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* 2003; 100:12694–12699. [PubMed: 14557549]
112. Raasi S, Orlov I, Fleming KG, Pickart CM. Binding of polyubiquitin chains to ubiquitin-associated (UBA) domains of HHR23A. *J Mol Biol.* 2004; 341:1367–1379. [PubMed: 15321727]
113. Verma R, Oania R, Graumann J, Deshaies RJ. Multiubiquitin chain receptors define a layer of substrate selectivity in the ubiquitin-proteasome system. *Cell.* 2004; 118:99–110. [PubMed: 15242647]
114. Fishbain S, Prakash S, Herrig A, Elsasser S, Matouschek A. Rad23 escapes degradation because it lacks a proteasome initiation region. *Nat Commun.* 2011; 2
115. Díaz-Martínez, La; Kang, Y.; Walters, KJ.; Clarke, DJ. Yeast UBL-UBA proteins have partially redundant functions in cell cycle control. *Cell Div.* 2006; 1
116. Medicherla B, Kostova Z, Schaefer A, Wolf DH. A genomic screen identifies Dsk2p and Rad23p as essential components of ER-associated degradation. *EMBO Rep.* 2004; 5:692–697. [PubMed: 15167887]
117. Kang Y, Vossler Ra, Diaz-Martinez La, Winter NS, Clarke DJ, Walters KJ. UBL/UBA ubiquitin receptor proteins bind a common tetraubiquitin chain. *J Mol Biol.* 2006; 356:1027–1035. [PubMed: 16405905]
118. Zhang D, Chen T, Ziv I, Rosenzweig R, Matiuhin Y, Bronner V, Glickman MH, Fushman D. Together, Rpn10 and Dsk2 can serve as a polyubiquitin chain-length sensor. *Mol Cell.* 2009; 36:1018–1033. [PubMed: 20064467]
119. Kang Y, Zhang N, Koepp DM, Walters KJ. Ubiquitin receptor proteins hHR23a and hPLIC2 interact. *J Mol Biol.* 2007; 365:1093–1101. [PubMed: 17098253]
120. Schuber C, Chen L, Tongaonkar P, Vega I, Lambertson D, Potts W, Madura K. Rad23 links DNA repair to the ubiquitin/proteasome pathway. *Nature.* 1998; 391:715–718. [PubMed: 9490418]
121. Mah AL, Perry G, Smith MA, Monteiro MJ. Identification of ubiquilin, a novel presenilin interactor that increases presenilin protein accumulation. *J Cell Biol.* 2000; 151:847–862. [PubMed: 11076969]

122. Lenkinski RE, Chen DM, Glickson JD, Goldstein G. Nuclear magnetic resonance studies of the denaturation of ubiquitin. *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 1977; 494:126–130. [PubMed: 20153]
123. Henderson A, Erales J, Hoyt Ma, Coffino P. Dependence of proteasome processing rate on substrate unfolding. *J Biol Chem.* 2011; 286:17495–17502. [PubMed: 21454622]
124. Maytal-Kivity V, Reis N, Hofmann K, Glickman MH. MPN+, a putative catalytic motif found in a subset of MPN domain proteins from eukaryotes and prokaryotes, is critical for Rpn11 function. *MC Biochem.* 2002; 3
125. Peth A, Uchiki T, Goldberg AL. ATP-dependent steps in the binding of ubiquitin conjugates to the 26S proteasome that commit to degradation. *Mol Cell.* 2010; 40:671–681. [PubMed: 21095592]
126. Rinaldi T, Pick E, Gambadoro A, Zilli S, Maytal-Kivity V, Frontali L, Glickman MH. Participation of the proteasomal lid subunit Rpn11 in mitochondrial morphology and function is mapped to a distinct C-terminal domain. *Biochem J.* 2004; 381:275–285. [PubMed: 15018611]
127. Verma R, Chen S, Feldman R, Schieltz D, Yates J, Dohmen J, Deshaies RJ. Proteasomal proteomics: identification of nucleotide-sensitive proteasome-interacting proteins by mass spectrometric analysis of affinity-purified proteasomes. *Mol Biol Cell.* 2000; 11:3425–3439. [PubMed: 11029046]
128. Lam YA, Xu W, DeMartino GN, Cohen RE. Editing of ubiquitin conjugates by an isopeptidase in the 26S proteasome. *Nature.* 1997; 385:737–740. [PubMed: 9034192]
129. Hanna J, Hathaway NA, Tone Y, Crosas B, Elsasser S, Kirkpatrick DS, Leggett DS, Gygi SP, King RW, Finley D. Deubiquitinating enzyme Ubp6 functions noncatalytically to delay proteasomal degradation. *Cell.* 2006; 127:99–111. [PubMed: 17018280]
130. Sakata E, Stengel F, Fukunaga K, Zhou M, Saeki Y, Förster F, Baumeister W, Tanaka K, Robinson CV. The catalytic activity of Ubp6 enhances maturation of the proteasomal regulatory particle. *Mol Cell.* 2011; 42:637–649. [PubMed: 21658604]
131. Peth A, Besche HC, Goldberg AL. Ubiquitinated proteins activate the proteasome by binding to Usp14/Ubp6, which causes 20S gate opening. *Mol Cell.* 2009; 36:794–804. [PubMed: 20005843]
132. Prakash S, Tian L, Ratliff KS, Lehotzky RE, Matouschek A. An unstructured initiation site is required for efficient proteasome-mediated degradation. *Nat Struct Mol Biol.* 2004; 11:830–837. [PubMed: 15311270]
133. Zhang F, Wu Z, Zhang P, Tian G, Finley D, Shi Y. Mechanism of substrate unfolding and translocation by the regulatory particle of the proteasome from *Methanocaldococcus jannaschii*. *Mol Cell.* 2009; 34:485–496. [PubMed: 19481528]
134. Wang J, Song JJ, Franklin MC, Kamtekar S, Im YJ, Rho SH, Seong IS, Lee CS, Chung CH, Eom SH. Crystal Structures of the HsIVU Peptidase-ATPase Complex Reveal an ATP-Dependent Proteolysis Mechanism. *Structure.* 2001; 9:177–184. [PubMed: 11250202]
135. Hinnerwisch J, Fenton WA, Furtak KJ, Farr GW, Horwich AL. Loops in the central channel of ClpA chaperone mediate protein binding, unfolding, and translocation. *Cell.* 2005; 121:1029–1041. [PubMed: 15989953]
136. Bohon J, Jennings LD, Phillips CM, Licht S, Chance MR. Synchrotron protein footprinting supports substrate translocation by ClpA via ATP-induced movements of the D2 loop. *Structure.* 2008; 16:1157–1165. [PubMed: 18682217]
137. Maillard RA, Chistol G, Sen M, Righini M, Tan J, Kaiser CM, Hodges C, Martin A, Bustamante C. ClpX(P) generates mechanical force to unfold and translocate its protein substrates. *Cell.* 2011; 145:459–469. [PubMed: 21529717]
138. Stinson BM, Nager AR, Glynn SE, Schmitz KR, Baker TA, Sauer RT. Nucleotide Binding and Conformational Switching in the Hexameric Ring of a AAA+ Machine. *Cell.* 2013; 153:628–639. [PubMed: 23622246]
139. Erales J, Hoyt Ma, Troll F, Coffino P. Functional asymmetries of proteasome translocase pore. *J Biol Chem.* 2012; 287:18535–18543. [PubMed: 22493437]
140. Gillette TG, Kumar B, Thompson D, Slaughter Ca, DeMartino GN. Differential roles of the COOH termini of AAA subunits of PA700 (19 S regulator) in asymmetric assembly and activation of the 26 S proteasome. *J Biol Chem.* 2008; 283:31813–31822. [PubMed: 18796432]

141. Kim Y-C, DeMartino GN. C termini of proteasomal ATPases play nonequivalent roles in cellular assembly of mammalian 26 S proteasome. *J Biol Chem.* 2011; 286:26652–26666. [PubMed: 21628461]
142. Djuranovic S, Hartmann MD, Habeck M, Ursinus A, Zwickl P, Martin J, Lupas AN, Zeth K. Structure and activity of the N-terminal substrate recognition domains in proteasomal ATPases. *Mol Cell.* 2009; 34:580–590. [PubMed: 19481487]
143. Takagi K, Kim S, Yukii H, Ueno M, Morishita R, Endo Y, Kato K, Tanaka K, Saeki Y, Mizushima T. Structural basis for specific recognition of Rpt1, an ATPase subunit of the 26S proteasome, by a proteasome-dedicated chaperone Hsm3. *J Biol Chem.* 2012; 287:12172–12182. [PubMed: 22334676]
144. Barrault M-B, Richet N, Godard C, Murciano B, Le Tallec B, Rousseau E, Legrand P, Charbonnier J-B, Le Du M-H, Guérois R, Ochsenbein F, Peyroche A. Dual functions of the Hsm3 protein in chaperoning and scaffolding regulatory particle subunits during the proteasome assembly. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* 2012; 109:E1001–E1010. [PubMed: 22460800]
145. Nakamura Y, Umehara T, Tanaka A, Horikoshi M, Padmanabhan B, Yokoyama S. Structural basis for the recognition between the regulatory particles Nas6 and Rpt3 of the yeast 26S proteasome. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 2007; 359:503–509. [PubMed: 17555716]
146. Ehlinger A, Park S, Fahmy A, Lary JW, Cole JL, Finley D, Walters KJ. Conformational dynamics of the Rpt6 ATPase in proteasome assembly and Rpn14 binding. *Structure.* 2013; 21:753–765. [PubMed: 23562395]
147. Park S, Roelofs J, Kim W, Robert J, Schmidt M, Gygi SP, Finley D. Hexameric assembly of the proteasomal ATPases is templated through their C termini. *Nature.* 2009; 459:866–870. [PubMed: 19412160]
148. Ruschak AM, Kay LE. Proteasome allostery as a population shift between interchanging conformers. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* 2012; 109:E3454–E3462. [PubMed: 23150576]
149. Harris JL, Alper PB, Li J, Rechsteiner M, Backes BJ. Substrate specificity of the human proteasome. *Chem Biol.* 2001; 8:1131–1141. [PubMed: 11755392]
150. Kikuchi J, Iwafune Y, Akiyama T, Okayama A, Nakamura H, Arakawa N, Kimura Y, Hirano H. Co- and post-translational modifications of the 26S proteasome in yeast. *Proteomics.* 2010; 10:2769–2779. [PubMed: 20486117]
151. Djakovic SN, Marquez-Lona EM, Jakawich SK, Wright R, Chu C, Sutton MA, Patrick GN. Phosphorylation of Rpt6 regulates synaptic strength in hippocampal neurons. *J Neurosci.* 2012; 32:5126–5131. [PubMed: 22496558]
152. Kimura A, Kato Y, Hirano H. N-myristylation of the Rpt2 subunit regulates intracellular localization of the yeast 26S proteasome. *Biochemistry.* 2012; 51:8856–8866. [PubMed: 23102099]
153. Hoyt MA, Zich J, Takeuchi J, Zhang M, Govaerts C, Coffino P. Glycine-alanine repeats impair proper substrate unfolding by the proteasome. *EMBO J.* 2006; 25:1720–1729. [PubMed: 16601692]
154. Kraut DA, Matouschek A. Proteasomal degradation from internal sites favors partial proteolysis via remote domain stabilization. *ACS Chem Biol.* 2011; 6:1087–1095. [PubMed: 21815694]
155. Tomko RJ, Hochstrasser M. Order of the proteasomal ATPases and eukaryotic proteasome assembly. *Cell Biochem Biophys.* 2011; 60:13–20. [PubMed: 21461838]
156. Saeki Y, Tanaka K. Assembly and function of the proteasome. *Methods Mol Biol.* 2012; 832:315–337. [PubMed: 22350895]
157. Murzin AG. OB(oligonucleotide/oligosaccharide binding)-fold: common structural and functional solution for non-homologous sequences. *EMBO J.* 1993; 12:861–867. [PubMed: 8458342]
158. Tian G, Park S, Lee MJ, Huck B, McAllister F, Hill CP, Gygi SP, Finley D. An asymmetric interface between the regulatory and core particles of the proteasome. *Nat Struct Mol Biol.* 2011; 18:1259–1267. [PubMed: 22037170]






Figure 1. Structure of the 20S core particle

(A) Structure of the *S. cerevisiae* CP (α rings in dark gray, β rings in light gray) bound to bortezomib (orange; PDB 2F16), as well as a view of the α - and β - rings that highlight the axial gate and catalytic chamber. The unique subunits of the eukaryotic CP are indicated.

(B) A crosssection of the CP illustrating the catalytic chamber and both antechambers. (C) A zoomed view of the catalytic pocket of the β 5 subunit showing its N-terminal threonine bound to bortezomib.

**Figure 2. Arrangement of the Rpt ATPases**

Model of the Rpt ATPases bound to the CP (light gray), determined by homology modeling from structures of PAN. Each subunit contains a coiled-coil (CC), OB fold, ATPase, and C-terminal domain (CTD). Rpt2, Rpt3, and Rpt5 have a C-terminal HbYX motif docked into the CP. The ATPases were fit in a 1-2-6-3-4-5 arrangement into a cryo-EM reconstruction (PDB 4B4T), and form an asymmetric 'lock washer'-like ring that is offset from the CP's axial pore.

**Figure 3. Electron microscopy models of the RP**

Structure of the *S. cerevisiae* 26S proteasome by cryoEM (EMDB 2165), illustrating the CP (gray) bound to two RP caps. Each RP is composed of the Rpt ATPases (red), ubiquitin receptors Rpn10 and Rpn13 (blue), MPN domain proteins Rpn8 and Rpn11 (green), PC proteins Rpn1 and Rpn2 (beige), and PCI domain proteins (yellow). The subunits that perform ubiquitin recruitment, deubiquitination, and substrate translocation are indicated below and for those present in the model, are colored accordingly.




Figure 4. Structure of hRpn10 and hRpn13 bound to ubiquitin

Structure of human proteasome ubiquitin receptors hRpn10 (S5a) and hRpn13. hRpn10's UIMs (blue) are bound to ubiquitin moieties (orange) of K48-linked diubiquitin (PDB 2DKE). Its VWA domain was modeled separately (based on PDB 2X5N) to create full-length human Rpn10. hRpn13 Pru domain (blue) is bound to monoubiquitin (orange, PDB 2Z59). A lowcomplexity linker adjoins hRpn13's Pru domain with its C-terminal Uch37-binding domain (PDB 2KQZ).





Figure 5. Model of the Rpt unfoldase pore

View of the Rpt ring illustrating the translocation pore relative to the CP (gray), with hydrolyzable ATP (red; adapted from PDB 4B4T) or non-hydrolyzable ATPγS (purple, adapted from PDB 4BGR) and the CC and OB regions omitted for clarity. The pore loops that contain the Ar-φ motif are highlighted (teal). A modeled ADP molecule (green) is shown bound to Rpt5 of the EM reconstruction generated with hydrolyzable ATP; its placement is based on that observed in PAN (PDB 3H4M).




Figure 6. Modeling the RP as it processes substrate

Model structure of the human RP (adapted from 4B4T) as it recognizes and processes a ubiquitinated substrate. A K48-linked tetraubiquitin chain (orange) connected to a substrate (yellow) is bound simultaneously by ubiquitin receptors hRpn13 and hRpn10 (blue). The ATPase ring (red) is bound to a non-structured end of the substrate, committing it to degradation. The ubiquitin-substrate isopeptide bond is placed adjacent to Rpn11 (Poh1, green) for hydrolysis as the substrate is unfolded and translocated into the CP (dark gray). hRpn13's C-terminal domain is bound to Uch37 (green, adapted from PDB 3IHR), which along with Ubp6 (Usp14, green, PDB 2AYN) deconjugates chains. The remaining RP subunits are displayed with a light gray surface.

Table 1

Table of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Description
26S	19S Regulatory Particle + 20S Core Particle
AAA ATPases	<u>A</u> T <u>P</u> ases <u>A</u> sociated with diverse <u>A</u> ctivities
Ar-φ	Aromatic-Hydrophobic
CC	<u>C</u> oiled-Coil
CP	20S <u>C</u> ore <u>P</u> article
DUB	<u>D</u> e <u>b</u> iquitinating Enzyme
EM	<u>E</u> lectron <u>M</u> icroscopy
HbYX	Hydrophobic-Tyrosine-Any Amino Acid
MPN	<u>M</u> pr1 <u>P</u> ad1 <u>N</u> -terminal
NMR	<u>N</u> uclear <u>M</u> agnetic <u>R</u> esonance
OB	<u>O</u> ligonucleotide/oligosaccharide- <u>B</u> inding
PAN	<u>P</u> roteasome <u>A</u> ctivating <u>N</u> ucleotidase
PC	<u>P</u> roteasome <u>C</u> yclosome
PCI	<u>P</u> roteasome <u>C</u> OP9 Initiation factor 3
Pru	<u>P</u> leckstrin-like <u>R</u> eceptor of <u>Ubiquitin</u>
RP	19S <u>R</u> egulatory <u>P</u> article
Rpn	<u>R</u> egulatory <u>P</u> article <u>N</u> on-ATPase
Rpt	<u>R</u> egulatory <u>P</u> article Triphosphatase
UBL-UBA	<u>U</u> biquitin- <u>l</u> ike - <u>U</u> biquitin- <u>a</u> ssociating
UIM	<u>U</u> biquitin <u>I</u> nteracting <u>M</u> otif
UPS	<u>U</u> biquitin- <u>P</u> roteasome <u>S</u> ystem
VWA	<u>v</u> on <u>W</u> illebrand <u>f</u> actor <u>t</u> ype <u>A</u>