

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/260680925>

Tuning the Stereoelectronic Properties of 1-Sulfanylhex-1-enitols for the Sequential Stereoselective Synthesis of 2-Deoxy-2-iodo- β -D-allopyranosides.

ARTICLE in THE JOURNAL OF ORGANIC CHEMISTRY · MARCH 2014

Impact Factor: 4.72 · DOI: 10.1021/jo5001912 · Source: PubMed

CITATIONS

2

READS

36

5 AUTHORS, INCLUDING:



Omar Boutureira

University of Cambridge

40 PUBLICATIONS 585 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



M. Isabel Matheu

Universitat Rovira i Virgili

76 PUBLICATIONS 834 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Yolanda Díaz

Universitat Rovira i Virgili

65 PUBLICATIONS 916 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Sergio Castellón

Universitat Rovira i Virgili

206 PUBLICATIONS 3,085 CITATIONS


SEE PROFILE

Tuning the Stereoelectronic Properties of 1-Sulfanylhexas-1-enitols for the Sequential Stereoselective Synthesis of 2-Deoxy-2-iodo- β -D-allopyranosides

Andrea Kövér, Omar Boutureira,* M. Isabel Matheu, Yolanda Díaz,* and Sergio Castellón

Departament de Química Analítica i Química Orgànica, Universitat Rovira i Virgili, C/Marcel·lí Domingo s/n, 43007 Tarragona, Spain

S Supporting Information



ABSTRACT: The preparation of challenging 2-deoxy-2-iodo- β -D-allo precursors of 2-deoxy- β -D-ribo-hexopyranosyl units and other analogues is reported using a robust olefination–cyclization–glycosylation sequence. Here, we particularly focus on tuning the stereoelectronic properties of the alkenyl sulfides intermediates in order to improve the diastereoselectivity of the cyclization step and, hence, the efficiency of the overall transformation. Phosphine oxides with the general formula Ph₂P(O)CH₂SR (R = *t*-Bu, Cy, *p*-MeOPh, 2,6-di-ClPh, and 2,6-di-MePh) were easily synthesized and subsequently used in the olefination reaction with 2,3,5-tri-O-benzyl-D-ribose and -D-arabinose. The corresponding sugar-derived alkenyl sulfides were submitted to a 6-endo [I⁺]-induced cyclization, and the resulting 2-deoxy-2-iodohexopyranosyl-1-thioglycosides were used as glycosyl donors for the stereoselective synthesis of 2-deoxy-2-iodohexopyranosyl glycosides. Among the different S-groups studied, *t*-Bu derivative was the best performer for the synthesis of cholesteryl 2-deoxy-2-iodomannopyranosides, whereas for the synthesis of 2-deoxy-2-iodoallopyranosides none of the derivatives here studied proved superior to the phenyl analogue previously described. Glycosylation of cholesterol with different D-allo and D-manno derivatives produced 2-deoxy-2-iodoglycosides with stereoselectivities in the same order in each case, reinforcing the involvement of an oxocarbenium ion as the common intermediate of this crucial glycosylation step.

INTRODUCTION


2-Deoxy- and 2,6-dideoxy- β -D-ribo-hexopyranosyl units are structural motifs present in many natural products of plant origin.¹ They are present in cardiac glycosides,² appetite suppressants,³ and synthetic, biologically active nucleosides and nucleotides.⁴ Despite recent advances in the preparation of 2-deoxy- and 2,6-dideoxyglycosides,⁵ those with an *all-cis* C2–C3–C4 β -D-ribo configuration (directly accessed from 2-deoxy-2-iodo- β -D-allo)⁶ remain challenging structures. Methods typically employed for their preparation involve the use of D-allal derivatives with Ph₃P·HBr⁷ or Re(V)⁸ catalysts, 2-deoxy⁹ and other specialized 2,6-anhydro-2,6-dideoxy-2,6-dithio glycosyl donors,¹⁰ and de novo metal-mediated protocols.¹¹ In this context, our group developed a general two-step procedure for synthesizing 2-deoxy-2-iodo-1-thioglycosides from furanoses which were used as glycosyl donors for the synthesis of 2-deoxyglycosides, being particularly efficient for those with β -D-allo and *xylo* configurations¹² (Scheme 1). The first step is an olefination of furanoses to obtain a Z/E mixture of sulfanyl alkene derivatives, which undergo an NIS-induced cyclization reaction in a second step to give 2-deoxy-2-iodo-1-thioglycosides in a regio- and stereoselective manner. This methodology

was further refined to develop a *one-pot* procedure¹³ directly from the corresponding alkenes, and it was also applied to the synthesis of pyranoid glycals of restricted availability¹⁴ (e.g., D-allal, D-gulal) and 2-iodoglycals¹⁵ to access unnatural 2-C-sugar mimetics¹⁶ and further extended to other electrophiles (e.g., PhSe⁺) leading to 2-deoxy-2-phenylselenenylglycosides.¹⁷ Alternative methods for fine-tuning the reactivity of such vinyl chalcogenides by replacing the sulfur atom with a selenium to alter the stereochemical properties of this moiety toward the electrophile-induced cyclization were also explored.¹⁸ This would ultimately promote the mild activation of the anomeric leaving group at lower temperatures, which has proven to be a key issue to afford better selectivities in the glycosylation step. In all these studies, we observed that during the iodonium-induced cyclization of alkenes, the Z-alkene cyclizes much more slowly than the E-isomer or does not cyclize at all, limiting the efficiency of the cyclization step. Attempts to improve this E-selectivity by using metal-mediated cross-metathesis protocols were recently explored in our group

Received: January 25, 2014

Published: March 10, 2014

Scheme 1. General Strategy for the Preparation of Representative (C-3eq/ax) 2-Deoxy-2-iodo-D-manno- and -allopyranosyl Glycosides after Fine Tuning the Stereoelectronic Properties of Key 1-Sulfanylhex-1-enitol Intermediates



but resulted unsuccessful in terms of selectivity (1:1 *Z/E* mixtures were typically obtained).¹⁹ Various reagents had been utilized in the olefination of furanoses, including Wittig,^{12,20} Wittig–Horner¹² (WH), Horner–Wadsworth–Emmons¹²

(HWE), and Peterson olefination.¹² The best results in terms of chemoselectivity and yield of alkene were obtained under WH conditions, that is, using phosphine oxide carbanions formed by Li–bases, although, as expected for semistabilized carbanions, the alkene product was always obtained as a *Z/E* mixture, which was inseparable (Scheme 1).


To increase the *E* stereoselectivity of the olefination and the efficiency of the cyclization, and eventually the overall 2-deoxyglycoside synthesis, we decided to study the influence of substituents at sulfur on the stereoselectivity of the olefination using a phenyl, substituted phenyl, *tert*-butyl, cyclohexyl, etc.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis and Reactivity of Phosphine Oxides with Model Carbonyl Compounds. For this study to be done, we first needed to have in our hands a series of (sulfanylmethyl)-diphenylphosphine oxides. The most common procedure for preparing phosphine oxide derivatives is the Michaelis–Arbuzov reaction,²¹ which consists of reacting an *O*-ethyl diphenylphosphinite with an electrophilic reagent, typically an alkyl halide. (Sulfanylmethyl)diphenylphosphine oxides²² have been prepared by the Arbuzov reaction with available chloromethyl thioethers²³ (e.g., phenylsulfanyl **3a** with R = Ph), although these halides are usually unstable and difficult to prepare. An alternative procedure for synthesizing these phosphine oxides involves reacting methyldiphenylphosphine oxide with *n*-BuLi in the presence of an electrophilic heteroatomic reagent. These reagents, however, are rarely available and must be specifically prepared.²⁴ (Sulfanylmethyl)-diphenylphosphine oxides can also be accessed from (tosyloxymethyl)diphenylphosphine oxide **2**²⁵ (directly obtained from **1**) by a substitution reaction with sulfur nucleophiles²² (Scheme 2).

This method was considered the procedure of choice for the preparation of a variety of (sulfanylmethyl)diphenylphosphine oxides since the most common thiolates can be easily prepared in situ by deprotonation of readily available thiols. Thus, starting from (tosyloxymethyl)diphenylphosphine oxide **2**, phosphine oxides **3b–g** were prepared in excellent yields (up to 98%). We first explored the olefination of benzaldehyde **4** using phosphine oxides **3b–g** to give sulfanyl alkenes **7b–g**. Highly hindered sulfanyl alkenes **7b,c** and **7e,f** were obtained with good to excellent yields (up to 93%). High stereoselectivities (*Z/E* ≥ 1:9) were obtained when aliphatic alkyl

Scheme 2. Synthesis of (Sulfanylmethyl)diphenylphosphine Oxides **3b–g and Their Reactivity toward Model Carbonyl Compounds **4–6**^a**



^aReagents and conditions: (a) TsCl, DMAP, CH₂Cl₂, reflux, 4 h, 95% (see ref 25); (b) LDA was used as a base; (c) isolated yield; (d) *Z/E* ratio.

groups and 2,6-disubstituted aryl substituents were used. Only the *p*-methoxyphenylsulfanyl derivative **3d** furnished alkene product **7d** with low stereoselectivity. The formation of α -hydroxyphosphine oxide intermediates was not observed in these syntheses. Phosphine oxide **3b**, which bears a *tert*-butylsulfanyl group, was made to react with acetophenone **5** to give sulfanyl alkene **8** with excellent yield (92%) and stereoselectivity (*Z/E* 1:10). The configurational assignment of **8** was carried out by comparison with the experimental ^1H NMR data reported, where the chemical shift for the vinyl proton geminal to the sulfur moiety in the *E* alkene is unshielded related to that of the *Z* alkene.²⁶ Phosphine oxides **3c** and **3e** were also treated with cyclohexanone **6** in the presence of *n*-BuLi to give sulfanyl alkenes **9** and **10** in excellent 93% and 89% yields, respectively.

Olefination of Furanoses. With these results in hand, we turned our attention to the olefination of furanoses. First, 2,3,5-tri-*O*-benzyl-D-ribose **11** was allowed to react with (sulfanylmethyl)diphenylphosphine oxides **3a–c,e,f** in the presence of *n*-BuLi or LDA at -78°C (Table 1, entries 1–

Table 1. Olefination of Furanoses **11**, **13** to **12**, **14**^a

entry	furanose	phosphine oxide (R^3)	product	yield (%)	<i>Z/E</i> ratio ^b
1 ^c	11	3a (Ph)	12a	72	1:4
2	11	3b (<i>t</i> -Bu)	12b	65	1:25
3 ^d	11	3c (Cy)	12c	47	1:7
4	11	3e (2,6-di-MePh)	12e	83	1:50
5	11	3f (2,6-di-ClPh)	12f	17 (62) ^e	1:2
6 ^c	13	3a (Ph)	14a	100	2:3
7	13	3b (<i>t</i> -Bu)	14b	93	1:8
8	13	3d (<i>p</i> -MeOPh)	14d	32 (50) ^e	1:3
9	13	3e (2,6-di-MePh)	14e	64 (93) ^e	1:12
10	13	3f (2,6-di-ClPh)	14f	78	1:6

^aGeneral conditions: phosphine oxide (2 equiv), *n*-BuLi (3.5 equiv), and furanose (1 equiv) in dry THF unless otherwise indicated.

^bDetermined by integration of the olefinic proton signals in the ^1H NMR spectrum of the crude reaction mixture. ^cSee ref 12. ^dLDA (3.5 equiv) was used as a base. ^eYield in round brackets is based on recovered starting material. Cy = cyclohexyl, LDA = lithium diisopropylamide.

5). The yields and stereoselectivities obtained were compared to those observed for the reference reaction with phenylsulfanyl-substituted derivative **3a** (Table 1, entry 1). WH olefination of **11** with *tert*-butylsulfanyl derivative **3b** produced sulfanyl alkene **12b** with a 65% yield and an excellent *Z/E* ratio of 1:25 (Table 1, entry 2). Cyclohexyl derivative **3c** furnished the desired sulfanyl alkene **12c** with a 47% yield and a moderate-to-good stereoselectivity (Table 1, entry 3). Better yield (83%) and stereoselectivity (*Z/E* 1:50) were obtained from 2,6-dimethyl derivative **3e** to give sulfanyl alkene **12e** (Table 1, entry 4). WH reaction with 2,6-dichlorophenyl derivative **3f** generated the corresponding product in low yield and selectivity (Table 1, entry 5). Thus, compared to the phenylsulfanylmethyl)diphenylphosphine oxide **3a** (Table 3, entry 1), increased stereoselectivities were obtained in almost all WH reactions with phosphine oxides **3b,c** and **3e,f**.

Particularly relevant are the *Z/E* ratios ranging from 1:25 up to 1:50 obtained with phosphine oxides **3b** and **3e** (Table 1, entries 2 and 4). Olefination of 2,3,5-tri-*O*-benzyl-D-arabinofuranose **13** with (sulfanylmethyl)diphenylphosphine oxides **3a,b,d–f** was further explored (Table 1, entries 6–10). Obtaining a high *E*-stereoselectivity in the olefination reaction of *arabino* derivatives is especially important as in the cyclization step of the *Z/E*-alkene of such a configuration only the *E*-alkene cyclizes, thus limiting the efficiency of the entire process.¹² WH olefination of **13** with *tert*-butyl derivative **3b** afforded compound **14b** in excellent yield (93%) and with an improved *E*-selectivity (Table 1, entry 7) compared to those obtained with phenyl derivative **3a** (Table 1, entry 6). WH reaction with *p*-methoxy derivative **3d** produced sulfanyl alkene **14d** with poor yield and stereoselectivity (Table 1, entry 8). In this case, the best stereoselectivity (*Z/E* = 1:12) was obtained with 2,6-dimethylphenyl derivative **3e**, although the isolated yield of **14e** was comparably lower than that for **14b** (Table 1, entries 7 vs 9). WH olefination with dichlorophenyl derivative **3f** furnished sulfanyl alkene **14f** with a practical 78% yield and stereoselectivity (Table 1, entry 10). Thus, all sulfanylmethyl phosphine oxides led to the corresponding alkenes with improved *E*-stereoselectivity related to that of the reference phenylsulfanyl-substituted olefinating agent **3a** (Table 1, entry 6). Among the different derivatives, *tert*-butyl derivative **3b** seems to combine better yield and stereoselectivity followed by the 2,6-dimethylphenyl derivative **3e**.

Cyclization Reaction. The sulfanylhex-1-enitols prepared were tested in electrophile-induced cyclization reactions to study whether the presence of the different *S*-alkyl or *S*-aryl groups influence the yield and the selectivity of the 6-*endo* cyclization reaction. To this end, we selected *S*-2,6-dimethylphenyl- and *S*-*tert*-butyl-substituted *ribo*-hex-1-enitols **12b** and **12e**, which were obtained with the best *Z/E* ratio in the previous olefination experiments. The cyclization reactions were performed under standard conditions, with NIS in the presence of sodium bicarbonate in dichloromethane, starting at -60°C , and allowing the temperature to increase until the cyclization reactions started. The results are summarized in Table 2.

Starting from **12b**, reaction with NIS/ NaHCO_3 led to 6-*endo* cyclization product 2-deoxy-2-iodo-1-thioallopypyranoside **15b** in 57% yield as an anomeric α/β mixture of 1:13 (Table 2, entry 2). The reaction was comparatively slower than the reference

Table 2. Iodonium-Induced Cyclization of **12** to **15**^a


entry	hex-1-enitol (<i>Z/E</i> ratio ^b)	<i>T</i> ($^\circ\text{C}$)	time (h)	product	Yield (%)	α/β ratio ^b
1 ^c	12a (1:2)	-30 to rt	15	15a	77	1:9
2	12b (1:8)	-78 to -10	18	15b	57	1:13
3	12e (1:50)	-78 to -10	18	15e	49	1:25

^aGeneral conditions: hex-1-enitol (1 equiv), NIS (1.5 equiv), and NaHCO_3 (1.5 equiv) in dry CH_2Cl_2 unless otherwise indicated.

^bDetermined by integration of the olefinic and anomeric proton signals in the ^1H NMR spectrum of the crude reaction mixture, respectively. ^cSee ref 12; reaction performed in dry CH_3CN as a solvent.

reaction from **12a** (Table 2, entry 1) and required slightly lower temperatures. The moderate yield of **15b** might be a consequence of partial decomposition of the cyclized product under the forced reaction conditions. The steric bulk of the *t*-BuS group might be responsible for the low reactivity of alkene **12b** toward cyclization, probably increasing the hindrance of the complex alkene–I⁺ system toward intramolecular attack of the hydroxyl group. The reluctance to cyclization could also be associated to a stereoelectronic issue; the coplanarity of the alkene system with the sulfur atom ($n_{S(3p_z)} \rightarrow \pi^*$ conjugation) in the most reactive conformation for cyclization may be disrupted due to 1,2- and 1,3-allylic ($A^{1,2}$ and $A^{1,3}$) strain between the *t*-Bu and the olefinic protons, lowering the electronic density of the double bond and consequently slowing down the electrophilic cyclization (Scheme 3). Additional

Scheme 3. Stabilizing and Destabilizing Effects in Substituted Vinyl Sulfides (R = 2,6-di-MePh, *t*-Bu)



features that may also account for this reduced electronic density include the inductive and polarizability effects of the SR group together with hyperconjugative effects such as 3d-orbital interactions and negative hyperconjugation ($\pi \rightarrow \sigma^* C-S$) that may play a minor role if any.²⁷ A similar result was obtained in the cyclization of **12e** to give 1-thioglycoside **15e** (Table 2, entry 1), although yields were even lower in this case, probably suggesting the presence of even more serious $A^{1,2}$ and $A^{1,3}$ strains with the flat arylsulfanyl framework.

We then studied the cyclization reactions of *arabino*-hex-1-enitols **14b** and **14e**, which had produced the best results in the olefination reaction (Scheme 4). When compound **14b** was submitted to cyclization conditions, 2-deoxy-2-iodo-thio-

manno-pyranoside **16b** was obtained in 57% yield together with 3,4,6-tri-*O*-benzyl-D-glucal byproduct (25%). A similar elimination reaction had been observed previously in our group during the preparation of 2-deoxy-2-iodo-^{15,28} and 2-deoxy-2-phenylselenenyl-1-thiohexopyranoses.¹⁷ Subsequent glycosylation of cholesterol **17** starting from *tert*-butyl thiomannopyranoside **16b** rendered **18** as a 37:1 α/β mixture in 69% yield, which is in line with the results obtained starting from phenyl derivative **16a** (71%, 37:1 α/β).¹² Cyclization of **14e** did not proceed, even at room temperature after several days of reaction.

The results obtained from the cyclization of the different *S*-substituted sulfanyl alkenes are in agreement with those previously reported by our group^{12–19} and may be summarized as follows: (a) the cyclization reaction is completely regioselective toward 6-*endo* cyclization products, (b) the relative stereochemistry of sulfanyl group at C-1 and the C-2 iodo group in the thioglycosides obtained is conditioned by the *Z/E* composition of the starting alkenes and their relative reactivity, and (c) the formation of the cyclized products with a *cis* arrangement between the C-2 iodo group and the alkoxy group at C-3 is of general application to alkenols with an allylic alkoxy group. It is a consequence of a stereoelectronic effect that dictates the more reactive conformation of the alkene, known as *inside-alkoxy effect*,²⁹ and (d) relative energy difference between the preferred conformation and the most reactive one dictates the relative reactivities between the *E*- and the *Z*-alkenes isomers so that, for the *arabino* derivatives **14a,b**, only the *E*-alkenes cyclize to give the corresponding thioglycosides as a single α -anomer, whereas for the *ribo* derivatives **12a,b** and **12e** both the *E* and *Z* alkenes cyclize, although at different rates, to give an anomeric mixture of thioglycosides. This fact also accounts for the lower reactivity of the *arabino* alkenes toward cyclization compared to those of the *ribo* alkenes.³⁰

Glycosylation Reaction. Glycosylation reactions of cholesterol **17** using derivatives **15a,b** and **15e** were carried out under typical glycosylation conditions for thioglycosides using NIS and TfOH as a promoter system (Table 3). The reaction was started at –78 °C and then allowed to warm until glycosylation was finished (ca. –40 °C). When *tert*-butyl 1-thioglycoside **15b** was used as a glycosyl donor, glycosylation proceeded readily at low temperature (–60 °C) to give compound **19** in an excellent 95% yield (Table 3, entry 2). The β -stereoselectivity, though, was of the same order than that obtained when starting from the phenyl 1-thio-glycoside **15a** (Table 3, entry 1). Similar results were obtained in the glycosylation of cholesterol **17** with glycosyl donor **15e**, but in this case the yield was slightly lower (Table 3, entry 3).

Scheme 4. Cyclization–Glycosylation Sequence for **14a** (See ref 12), **14b**, and **14e**




Table 3. Glycosylation of 17 to 19^a

entry	1-thioglycoside (α/β ratio ^b)	T (°C)	time (h)	product	yield (%)	α/β ratio ^b
1 ^c	15a (1:9)	-40	2.5	19	81	1:9
2	15b (1:13)	-78 to -40	4	19	95	1:7
3	15e (1:25)	-78 to -40	4	19	60	1:10

^aGeneral conditions: 1-thioglycoside (1 equiv), Cholesterol 17 (2 equiv), NIS (2.2 equiv), TfOH (20 mol %), and 4 Å MS in dry CH₂Cl₂ unless otherwise indicated. ^bDetermined by integration of the anomeric proton signals in the ¹H NMR spectrum of the crude reaction mixture. ^cSee ref 12.

As already described in previous studies, glycosylations from 2-deoxy-2-iodo-1-thiopyranosides seem to proceed via formation of an oxocarbenium ion intermediate and subsequent nucleophilic attack of the glycosyl acceptor. The stereoselectivity of this attack is determined by the reactivity/conformational profile of the oxocarbenium ion intermediate.³¹ Hence, glycosylations starting from glycosyl donors of the same configuration (*allo*) but differing only in the anomeric sulfanyl substituent (15a,b and 15e), are supposed to proceed through the same oxocarbenium intermediate and, therefore, should all render glycoside 19 with virtually the same stereoselectivity, as it happens to be. Differences in the yield might derive from their activation profiles due to the electronic/steric properties of the substituent at the anomeric sulfanyl moiety. The same interpretation can be inferred for the synthesis of *manno* glycoside 18 from glycosyl donors 16a,b.

CONCLUSION

A concise synthetic strategy has been developed for the preparation of 2-deoxy-2-iodo- β -allopyranosides precursors of 2-deoxy- β -D-ribo-hexopyranosyl units commonly found in antibiotics and natural products. We have explored the

synthesis of 2-deoxy-2-iodoglycosides from furanoses in three steps: Wittig–Horner olefination of furanoses with (sufanylmethyl)diphenylphosphine oxides to give sulfanylalkenes, electrophilic iodine–induced cyclization, and glycosylation. In particular, we have gained insight into the stereo-electronic effect of substitutions on sulfur in terms of yield and stereoselectivity of olefination, cyclization, and glycosylation reactions compared to previous results obtained with SPH derivatives. The use of phosphine oxide derivatives Ph₂P(O)-CH₂X (X = *t*-Bu, 2,6-di-Me-Ph) provided good yields and excellent *E* selectivities in the WH olefination reaction of both ribo- and arabinofuranoses. The presence of bulky *S*-substituents generally decreases the rate and yield of cyclization reactions starting from *ribo*-hex-1-enitols and seems to slightly increase the cyclization yield of the *tert*-butyl *arabino*-hex-1-enitol derivative. However, no cyclization product was obtained starting from the 2,6-dimethylphenyl *arabino*-hex-1-enitol derivative. Glycosylation reactions were studied starting from 2-deoxy-2-iodo-1-thio-*allo*-glycosides 15b and 15e, which have *t*-Bu and 2,6-di-Me-Ph groups at sulfur and from unstable 2-deoxy-2-iodo-1-thio-*manno*-glycoside 16b, and their results were compared with the reference compounds 15a and 16a (SPH). Moreover, no aglycon transfer of any of the leaving groups (Ph, *t*-Bu, etc.) was noticed under the conditions tested.³² The stereoselectivity of the glycosylation is independent of the anomeric sulfanyl group present in the glycosyl donor, which is in agreement with the intermediacy of an oxocarbenium ion, and only moderate changes in the glycosylation yields were observed. Scheme 5 summarizes the performance of the different sulfanyl derivatives in the synthetic route toward 2-deoxy-2-iodopyranosides that involves olefination, cyclization, and glycosylation. The use of *t*-BuS group does not appear advantageous over the PhS group for the *ribo* series especially because the yield for cyclization step is considerably lower than for PhS, probably due to the high steric hindrance on sulfur. On the contrary, the *tert*-butyl derivative was superior to the phenyl analogue for the *arabino* series. In this case, an increase in the *E* stereoselectivity of the olefination step was crucial for obtaining a moderately good yield of thio-*manno*-pyranoside product and eventually of the final glycoside, since the *Z* alkene is completely resistant to cyclization.

Scheme 5. Summary of the Results for the Olefination–Cyclization–Glycosylation Sequence of Vinyl Sulfides 12a,b,e and 14a,b,e with R = Ph (See ref 12), *t*-Bu, and 2,6-di-MePh

R=Ph	R=Ph	R=Ph	overall yield
100% 2:3 Z/E 14a <i>arabino</i>	36% >49:1 α/β 16a <i>arabino</i>	71% 37:1 α/β 18 <i>arabino</i>	26%
72% 1:4 Z/E 12a <i>ribo</i>	77% 1:9 α/β 15a <i>ribo</i>	81% 1:9 α/β 19 <i>ribo</i>	45%
R= <i>t</i> Bu	R= <i>t</i> Bu	R= <i>t</i> Bu	
93% 1:8 Z/E 14b <i>arabino</i>	57% >49:1 α/β 16b <i>arabino</i>	69% 37:1 α/β 18 <i>arabino</i>	37%
65% 1:25 Z/E 12b <i>ribo</i>	57% 1:13 α/β 15b <i>ribo</i>	95% 1:7 α/β 19 <i>ribo</i>	35%
R=2,6-di-MePh	R=2,6-di-MePh	R=2,6-di-MePh	
64% 1:12 Z/E 14e <i>arabino</i>	49% 1:25 α/β 15e <i>ribo</i>	60% 1:10 α/β 19 <i>ribo</i>	24%
83% 1:50 Z/E 12e <i>ribo</i>			

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Remarks. Proton (^1H NMR), carbon (^{13}C NMR), and phosphorus (^{31}P NMR) nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were recorded on a 400 MHz (for ^1H), 100.6 MHz (for ^{13}C), and 162 MHz (for ^{31}P) spectrometer. Spectra were fully assigned using COSY, HSQC, HMBC, and NOESY. All chemical shifts are quoted on the δ scale in ppm using either Me_4Si (^1H NMR: $\text{CDCl}_3 = 0.00$) or the residual solvent as internal standard (^1H NMR: $\text{CDCl}_3 = 7.26$ and ^{13}C NMR: $\text{CDCl}_3 = 77.23$) and 85% H_3PO_4 as external standard (^{31}P NMR: $\text{CDCl}_3 = 0.00$). Coupling constants (J) are reported in hertz with the following splitting abbreviations: s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, quin = quintet and app = apparent. Melting points were determined on a melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded on a FTIR-ATR spectrophotometer. Absorption maxima (ν_{max}) are reported in wavenumbers (cm^{-1}). Elemental analyses (C, H, N, and S) were performed with the corresponding analyzer. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was carried out using commercial aluminum-backed sheets coated with silica gel. Visualization of the silica plates was achieved using a UV lamp ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 254 \text{ nm}$) and/or 6% H_2SO_4 in EtOH and/or 2% PdCl_2 and 15% H_2SO_4 in water. Flash column chromatography was carried out using silica gel (230–400 mesh). Radial chromatography was performed on 1, 2, or 4 mm plates of silica gel, depending on the amount of product. Mobile phases are reported in relative composition (e.g., 1:1 EtOAc/hexane v/v). HPLC-grade dichloromethane (DCM), tetrahydrofuran (THF), and dimethylformamide (DMF) were dried using a solvent purification system. All reagents were used as received from commercial suppliers. All reactions using anhydrous conditions were performed using a flame-dried apparatus under an atmosphere of argon.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Diphenylphosphine Oxides. Thiol (1.1 mmol) was added to a suspension of sodium hydride (60% in mineral oil, 1.1 mmol) in anhydrous THF (4 mL) at 0°C under argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 1 h. A solution of 2^{25} (1 mmol) in anhydrous THF (2 mL) was added at 0°C . The reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 2 h. After being quenched with a saturated solution of aqueous NH_4Cl , the reaction mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were washed with water and brine, dried over MgSO_4 , filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The white solid typically obtained was purified by recrystallization from ethyl acetate and hexane solvent mixtures.

(tert-Butylsulfanylmethyl)diphenylphosphine oxide²² (3b): white crystalline solid; yield 1.08 g (89%); mp $155.5\text{--}157^\circ\text{C}$; FTIR (ATR, ν_{max}) 1436.7, 1183.1; ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.81–7.46 (m, 10H), 3.31 (d, $^2J_{\text{HP}} = 12.4 \text{ Hz}$, 2H), 1.27 (s, 9H); ^{13}C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 143.1, 132.3, 131.3, 128.8, 128.4, 34.4 (d, $^1J_{\text{CP}} = 67.2 \text{ Hz}$), 30.4, 21.9; ^{31}P NMR (162 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 30.12. Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{21}\text{OPS}$: C, 67.08; H, 6.95; S, 10.53. Found: C, 67.37; H, 7.01; S, 10.35 S.

(Cyclohexylsulfanylmethyl)diphenylphosphine oxide²² (3c): white crystalline solid; yield 3.25 g (98%); mp $100\text{--}101^\circ\text{C}$; FTIR (ATR, ν_{max}) 1436.7, 1183.1; ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.82–7.49 (m, 10H), 3.29 (d, $^2J_{\text{HP}} = 9.6 \text{ Hz}$, 2H), 2.69 (m, 1H), 1.91–1.57 (m, 5H), 1.20 (m, 5H); ^{13}C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 132.2, 131.6, 131.4, 128.8, 128.7, 45.6, 33.2, 28.5 (d, $^1J_{\text{CP}} = 94.5 \text{ Hz}$), 26.1, 25.9; ^{31}P NMR (162 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 29.87. Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{23}\text{OPS}$: C, 69.06; H, 7.02; S, 9.70. Found: C, 68.95; H, 7.11; S, 9.73.

(4-Methoxyphenylsulfanylmethyl)diphenylphosphine oxide³³ (3d): white crystalline solid; yield 3.16 g (89%); mp $71\text{--}72^\circ\text{C}$; FTIR (ATR, ν_{max}) 1436.8, 1185; ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.79–7.43 (m, 10H), 7.27 (d, $J = 8.8 \text{ Hz}$, 2H), 6.74 (d, $J = 8.8 \text{ Hz}$, 2H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 3.63 (d, $^2J_{\text{HP}} = 9.2 \text{ Hz}$, 2H); ^{13}C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 159.6, 134.1, 132.2, 131.5, 131.4, 128.8, 128.7, 114.8, 55.5, 35.9 (d, $^1J_{\text{CP}} = 67.9 \text{ Hz}$); ^{31}P NMR (162 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 28.74. Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{19}\text{O}_2\text{PS}$: C, 67.78; H, 5.40; S, 9.05. Found: C, 67.44; H, 5.24; S, 8.93.

(2,6-Dimethylphenylsulfanylmethyl)diphenylphosphine oxide (3e): white crystalline solid; yield 2.74 g (78%); mp $119\text{--}120^\circ\text{C}$;

FTIR (ATR, ν_{max}) 1436.7, 1189.9; ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.77–6.96 (m, 13H), 3.44 (d, $^2J_{\text{HP}} = 9.6 \text{ Hz}$, 2H), 2.35 (s, 6H); ^{13}C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 142.7, 132.2–128.1, 34.1 (d, $^1J_{\text{CP}} = 67.9 \text{ Hz}$), 21.6; ^{31}P NMR (162 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 28.89. Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{21}\text{H}_{21}\text{OPS}$: C, 71.57; H, 6.01; S, 9.10. Found: C, 71.93; H, 5.96; S, 9.73.

(2,6-Dichlorophenylsulfanylmethyl)diphenylphosphine oxide (3f): white crystalline solid; yield 2.84 g (72%); mp $181.5\text{--}183^\circ\text{C}$; FTIR (ATR, ν_{max}) 1436.7, 1188.9; ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.82–7.12 (m, 13H), 3.74 (d, $^2J_{\text{HP}} = 9.2 \text{ Hz}$, 2H); ^{13}C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 141.0, 132.3–128.6, 33.3 (d, $^1J_{\text{CP}} = 67.1 \text{ Hz}$); ^{31}P NMR (162 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 28.55. Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{13}\text{Cl}_2\text{OPS}$: C, 58.03; H, 3.84; S, 8.15. Found: C, 57.92; H, 3.57; S, 8.06.

(Ethylsulfanylmethyl)diphenylphosphine oxide³⁴ (3g): white crystalline solid; yield 2.18 g (79%); mp $88\text{--}89^\circ\text{C}$; FTIR (ATR, ν_{max}) 1436.7, 1178.3; ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.82–7.46 (m, 10H), 3.26 (d, $^2J_{\text{HP}} = 9.6 \text{ Hz}$, 2H), 2.64 (q, $J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}$, 2H), 1.20 (t, $J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}$, 3H); ^{13}C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 141.0, 132.3–128.8, 31.1, 29.9 (d, $^1J_{\text{CP}} = 70.9 \text{ Hz}$), 14.4; ^{31}P NMR (162 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 30.05. Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{17}\text{OPS}$: C, 65.20; H, 6.20; S, 11.60. Found: C, 65.4; H, 5.94; S, 11.36.

General Procedure for Wittig–Horner Olefination. $n\text{BuLi}$ (3.5 mmol, 1.6 M in hexanes) was slowly added to a cold (-78°C) solution of (alkylsulfanyl- or arylsulfanylmethyl)diphenylphosphine oxide (2 mmol) in anhydrous THF (13 mL) under argon atmosphere, and the mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 30 min. A solution of the corresponding aldehyde (1.0 mmol) in anhydrous THF (5 mL) was subsequently added via cannula and warmed to room temperature. The reaction progress was monitored by TLC. After 24 h, the reaction mixture was quenched with a saturated solution of aqueous NH_4Cl and extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were washed with water and brine, dried over MgSO_4 , filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by chromatographic techniques. A second fraction was obtained during the olefination of pyranoses when a mixture of the corresponding β -hydroxyphosphine oxide intermediate and unreacted (alkylsulfanyl- or arylsulfanylmethyl)diphenylphosphine oxide, obtained after purification, was dissolved in anhydrous THF and treated with either KH or $t\text{-BuOK}$ at 40°C for 30 min.

(Z/E)-tert-Butyl(styryl)sulfane³⁵ (7b): colorless oil; yield 179 mg (93%) as an inseparable 1:9 Z/E mixture; R_f (1:8 EtOAc/hexane) 0.53. Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_{16}\text{S}$: C, 74.94; H, 8.39; S, 16.67. Found: C, 74.75; H, 8.33; S, 16.53. Data for Z-7b: ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.78 (m, 2H), 7.52 (m, 1H), 7.23 (m, 2H), 6.45 (d, $J = 11.2 \text{ Hz}$, 1H), 6.36 (d, $J = 11.2 \text{ Hz}$, 1H), 1.42 (s, 9H); ^{13}C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 135.3, 131.2, 129.7, 128.5, 127.9, 124.2, 43.2, 31.0. Data for E-7b: ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.78 (m, 2H), 7.52 (m, 1H), 7.23 (m, 2H), 6.87 (d, $J = 15.6 \text{ Hz}$, 1H), 6.72 (d, $J = 15.6 \text{ Hz}$, 1H), 1.40 (s, 9H); ^{13}C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 135.6, 131.5, 129.7, 128.5, 127.9, 122.0, 44.3, 31.1.

(Z/E)-Cyclohexyl(styryl)sulfane³⁵ (7c): LDA (3.5 mmol) was used as a base; colorless oil; yield 188 mg (86%) as an inseparable 1:12 Z/E mixture; R_f (1:6 EtOAc/hexane) 0.83. Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{18}\text{S}$: C, 77.01; H, 8.31; S, 14.68. Found: C, 76.95; H, 8.35; S, 14.54. Data for Z-7c: ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.49–7.17 (m, 5H), 6.42 (d, $J = 11.2 \text{ Hz}$, 1H), 6.32 (d, $J = 11.2 \text{ Hz}$, 1H), 2.89 (m, 1H), 2.02 (m, 2H), 1.79 (m, 2H), 1.63 (m, 1H), 1.55–1.27 (m, 5H); ^{13}C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 137.4, 128.8, 127.9, 125.8, 128.4, 125.2, 48.0, 34.0, 33.9, 26.0, 25.9. Data for E-7c: ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.28 (m, 4H), 7.17 (m, 1H), 6.76 (d, $J = 15.6 \text{ Hz}$, 1H), 6.56 (d, $J = 15.6 \text{ Hz}$, 1H), 2.97 (m, 1H), 2.02 (m, 2H), 1.79 (m, 2H), 1.63 (m, 1H), 1.45–1.27 (m, 5H); ^{13}C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 137.4, 128.8, 127.9, 125.8, 124.3, 45.5, 33.9, 26.3, 25.9.

(Z/E)-4-Methoxyphenyl(styryl)sulfane³⁵ (7d): yellow oil; yield 225 mg (93%) as an inseparable 2:3 Z/E mixture; R_f (1:6 EtOAc/hexane) 0.83. Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{14}\text{OS}$: C, 74.34; H, 5.82; S, 13.23. Found: C, 74.04; H, 5.94; S, 13.36. Data for Z-7d: ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.51–6.42 (m, 7H), 6.90 (d, $J = 10.8 \text{ Hz}$, 2H), 6.43 (d, $J = 10.8 \text{ Hz}$, 1H), 6.33 (d, $J = 10.8 \text{ Hz}$, 1H), 3.82 (s, 3H); ^{13}C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 159.5, 136.1, 132.9, 128.7, 128.4, 127.6, 125.7, 114.7, 55.2.

Data for *E*-7d: ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.40–7.22 (m, 7H), 6.76 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 6.56 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (s, 3H); ^{13}C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 159.5, 136.1, 133.9, 131.7, 128.7, 128.4, 127.6, 125.7, 124.3, 114.6, 55.2.

(*Z/E*)-2,6-Dimethylphenyl(styryl)sulfane³⁶ (**7e**): colorless oil; yield 180 mg (75%) as an inseparable 1:12 *Z/E* mixture; R_f (1:6 EtOAc/hexane) 0.80. Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{16}\text{S}$: C, 79.95; H, 6.71; S, 13.34. Found: C, 80.02; H, 6.94; S, 13.35. Selected data for *Z*-7e: ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.60–7.00 (m, 8H), 6.61 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 6.43 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 2.47 (s, 6H). Data for *E*-7e: ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.60–7.00 (m, 8H), 6.65 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 5.96 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 2.49 (s, 6H); ^{13}C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 143.6, 143.5, 137.2, 134.9, 128.6, 128.4, 128.5, 128.1, 127.3, 125.3, 124.7, 21.9.

(*Z/E*)-2,6-Dichlorophenyl(styryl)sulfane (**7f**): white solid; yield 177 mg (63%) as an inseparable 1:16 *Z/E* mixture; R_f (1:6 EtOAc/hexane) 0.83. Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{10}\text{Cl}_2\text{S}$: C, 59.80; H, 3.58; S, 11.40. Found: C, 59.75; H, 3.55; S, 11.45. Selected data for *Z*-7f: ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.43–7.21 (m, 8H), 6.57 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 6.00 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H). Data for *E*-7f: ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.43–7.21 (m, 8H), 6.67 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 6.40 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H); ^{13}C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 141.1, 136.6, 131.3–125.6, 122.5.

(*Z/E*)-Ethyl(styryl)sulfane³⁷ (**7g**): colorless oil; yield 184 mg (96%) as an inseparable 1:10 *Z/E* mixture; R_f (1:8 EtOAc/hexane) 0.63. Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{12}\text{S}$: C, 73.12; H, 7.36; S, 19.52. Found: C, 72.95; H, 7.33; S, 19.53. Selected data for *Z*-7g: ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.78–7.35 (m, 5H), 6.45 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 6.26 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 2.80 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 1.32 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). Data for *E*-7g: ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.78–7.35 (m, 5H), 6.73 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 6.46 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 2.82 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.35 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H); ^{13}C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 137.2, 131.6, 128.6, 128.5, 128.2, 125.0, 26.7, 14.7.

(*Z/E*)-*tert*-Butyl-2-phenylprop-1-enyl)sulfane (**8**): colorless oil; yield 189 mg (92%) as an inseparable 1:10 *Z/E* mixture; R_f (1:10 EtOAc/hexane) 0.70. Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{18}\text{S}$: C, 75.67; H, 8.79; S, 15.54. Found: C, 75.75; H, 8.83; S, 15.53. Selected data for *Z*-8: ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.31–7.12 (m, 5H), 6.11 (s, 1H), 2.10 (s, 3H), 1.28 (s, 9H). Data for *E*-8: ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.31–7.12 (m, 5H), 6.42 (s, 1H), 2.06 (s, 3H), 1.34 (s, 9H); ^{13}C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 142.7, 135.1, 128.5–125.4, 120.0, 44.3, 31.3, 17.9.

Cyclohexyl(cyclohexylidenemethyl)sulfane³⁸ (**9**): colorless oil; yield 202 mg (93%); R_f (1:6 EtOAc/hexane): 0.75; ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 5.60 (s, 1H), 2.69–2.63 (m, 1H), 2.23 (m, 2H), 2.10 (m, 2H), 1.95–1.89 (m, 2H), 1.73–1.71 (m, 2H), 1.57–1.20 (m, 12H); ^{13}C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 143.0, 113.1, 45.7, 37.4, 33.7, 30.4, 28.4, 27.2, 26.5, 26.1, 25.8. Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{22}\text{S}$: C, 74.22; H, 10.54; S, 15.24. Found: C, 74.34; H, 10.47; S, 15.33.

Cyclohexylidenemethyl-2,6-dimethylphenylsulfane (**10**): yellowish oil; yield 207 mg (89%); R_f (1:9 EtOAc/hexane) 0.90; ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.23–6.99 (m, 3H), 5.36 (s, 1H), 2.49 (s, 6H), 2.23–2.10 (m, 4H), 1.58–1.25 (m, 6H); ^{13}C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 143.6, 142.7, 142.3, 129.5, 128.5, 115.2, 36.4, 30.3, 28.5, 27.4, 26.7, 22.3, 21.9; Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{20}\text{S}$: C, 77.53; H, 8.67; S, 13.80. Found: C, 77.45; H, 8.53; S, 13.59.

(*Z/E*)-3,4,6-Tri-*O*-benzyl-1,2-dideoxy-1-*tert*-butylsulfanyl-*D*-arabino-hex-1-enitol (**12b**): colorless syrup; yield 288 mg (65%) as an inseparable 1:25 *Z/E* mixture; R_f (1:3 EtOAc/hexane) 0.60. Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{31}\text{H}_{38}\text{O}_4\text{S}$: C, 73.48; H, 7.56; S, 6.33. Found: C, 73.37; H, 7.43; S, 6.27. Data for *E*-12b: ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.33–7.21 (m, 15H), 6.44 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 5.90 (dd, J = 15.2, 8.4 Hz, 1H), 4.76 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.65 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.56 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.49 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.48 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.36 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.17 (dd, J = 8.4, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 3.81 (m, 1H), 3.68 (dd, J = 8.4, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 3.61 (m, 2H), 2.89 (bs, 1H), 1.35 (s, 9H); ^{13}C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 138.5, 138.4, 138.1, 129.0, 128.6, 128.5, 128.4, 128.3, 128.0, 127.9, 127.82, 127.75, 127.7, 81.7, 81.0, 74.3, 73.4, 71.1, 71.0, 70.3, 43.8, 31.0.

(*Z/E*)-3,4,6-Tri-*O*-benzyl-1,2-dideoxy-1-cyclohexylsulfanyl-*D*-arabino-hex-1-enitol (**12c**): LDA (3.5 mmol) was used as a base; yellowish syrup; yield 253 mg (47%) as an inseparable 1:7 *Z/E* mixture; R_f (1:3 EtOAc/hexane) 0.63. Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{33}\text{H}_{40}\text{O}_4\text{S}$: C, 74.40; H, 7.57; S, 6.02. Found: C, 74.03; H, 7.52; S, 6.07. Data for *E*-12c: ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.27–7.13 (m, 15H), 6.23 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 5.61 (dd, J = 15.2, 8.4 Hz, 1H), 4.67 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.55 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.48 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.41 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 2H), 4.27 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.10 (dd, J = 8.4, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 3.72 (m, 1H), 3.49–3.66 (m, 2H), 2.77 (m, 1H), 2.70 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 1.89 (m, 2H), 1.66 (m, 2H), 1.53 (m, 1H), 1.33–1.13 (m, 5H); ^{13}C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 138.6, 138.5, 138.1, 129.5, 128.6, 128.5, 128.42, 128.36, 128.3, 128.03, 127.98, 127.9, 127.83, 127.79, 127.74, 127.66, 125.0, 82.1, 81.1, 74.4, 73.5, 71.1, 70.3, 44.8, 33.64, 33.58, 26.1, 25.8.

(*Z/E*)-3,4,6-Tri-*O*-benzyl-1,2-dideoxy-1-(2,6-dimethylphenyl)sulfanyl-*D*-arabino-hex-1-enitol (**12e**): yellowish syrup; yield 403 mg (83%) as an inseparable 1:50 *Z/E* mixture; R_f (1:3 EtOAc/hexane) 0.65. Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{35}\text{H}_{38}\text{O}_4\text{S}$: C, 75.78; H, 6.90; S, 5.78. Found: C, 75.63; H, 6.85; S, 5.67. Data for *E*-12e: ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.32–7.10 (m, 18H), 6.23 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 5.17 (dd, J = 15.2, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 4.65 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.57 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.48 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.46 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.43 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.29 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.10 (dd, J = 8.8, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 3.76 (m, 1H), 3.59–3.55 (m, 3H), 2.82 (d, J = 3.6 Hz, 1H), 2.45 (s, 6H); ^{13}C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 143.2, 138.6, 138.3, 138.1, 129.8, 129.4, 129.3, 128.50, 128.47, 128.3, 127.9, 127.9, 127.8, 127.7, 127.62, 127.56, 122.3, 81.4, 81.1, 74.0, 73.4, 71.10, 71.07, 70.2, 21.8.

(*Z/E*)-3,4,6-Tri-*O*-benzyl-1,2-dideoxy-1-(2,6-dichlorophenyl)sulfanyl-*D*-arabino-hex-1-enitol (**12f**): yellowish syrup; yield 103 mg (17%, 62% based on recovered starting material) as an inseparable 1:2 *Z/E* mixture; R_f (1:3 EtOAc/hexane) 0.65. Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{33}\text{H}_{32}\text{Cl}_2\text{O}_4\text{S}$: C, 66.55; H, 5.42; S, 5.38. Found: C, 66.48; H, 5.32; S, 5.30. Data for *Z*-12f: ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.43–7.15 (m, 18H), 6.22 (d, J = 10.4 Hz, 1H), 5.90 (appt, J = 10.4, 10.4 Hz, 1H), 4.82 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.74 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.68 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.53 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.50 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.35 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.06 (dd, J = 10.4, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 3.95 (m, 1H), 3.69–3.53 (m, 3H), 2.89 (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 1H); ^{13}C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 140.6, 138.5, 138.21, 138.15, 130.54, 130.48, 129.9, 129.8, 120.0, 128.6, 128.4, 128.2, 128.02, 127.98, 127.9, 127.7, 127.3, 81.4, 81.1, 77.42, 74.38, 71.35, 71.3, 71.2. Data for *E*-12f: ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.43–7.15 (m, 18H), 6.25 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 5.50 (dd, J = 15.2, 8.4 Hz, 1H), 4.82 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.74 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.68 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.53 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.50 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.35 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.14 (dd, J = 8.4, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 3.82 (m, 1H), 3.69–3.53 (m, 3H), 2.78 (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 1H); ^{13}C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 141.2, 138.5, 138.2, 138.1, 130.9, 129.1, 128.60, 128.58, 128.53, 128.46, 128.1, 128.0, 127.93, 127.90, 127.78, 127.77, 125.7, 81.4, 81.0, 74.3, 73.6, 71.2, 71.1, 70.5.

(*Z/E*)-3,4,6-Tri-*O*-benzyl-1,2-dideoxy-1-*tert*-butylsulfanyl-*D*-arabino-hex-1-enitol (**14b**): yellowish syrup; yield 472 mg (93%) as an inseparable 1:8 *Z/E* mixture; R_f (1:3 EtOAc/hexane) 0.60. Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{31}\text{H}_{38}\text{O}_4\text{S}$: C, 73.48; H, 7.56; S, 6.33. Found: C, 73.39; H, 7.32; S, 6.27. Selected data for *Z*-14b: ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.35–7.23 (m, 15H), 6.49 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 5.83 (appt, J = 9.6, 9.6 Hz, 1H), 4.66 (dd, J = 9.6, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 4.64 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.61 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.47 (s, 1H), 4.36 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.00 (m, 1H), 3.63–3.55 (m, 2H), 2.96 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 1.34 (s, 9H). Data for *E*-14b: ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.35–7.23 (m, 15H), 6.39 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 5.89 (dd, J = 15.2, 7.6 Hz, 1H), 4.64 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.61 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.61 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.47 (s, 1H), 4.14 (dd, J = 7.6, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 4.00 (m, 1H), 3.63–3.55 (m, 3H), 2.79 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 1.34 (s, 9H); ^{13}C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 138.24, 138.17, 138.0, 129.0, 128.53, 128.49, 128.4, 128.31, 128.25, 128.2, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 126.6, 80.9, 79.6, 74.4, 73.5, 71.1, 70.9, 70.7, 44.0, 31.1.

(*Z/E*)-3,4,6-Tri-*O*-benzyl-1,2-dideoxy-1-(4-methoxyphenyl)sulfanyl-*D*-arabino-hex-1-enitol (**14d**): yellowish syrup; yield 176 mg (32%, 50% based on recovered starting material) as an inseparable 1:3

Z/E mixture; R_f (1:3 EtOAc/hexane) 0.53. Anal. Calcd for $C_{34}H_{36}O_5S$: C, 73.35; H, 6.52; S, 5.76. Found: C, 73.19; H, 6.35; S, 5.56. Selected data for **Z-14d**: 1H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.38–7.19 (m, 17H), 6.88 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.45 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 5.85 (appt, J = 9.2, 9.2 Hz, 1H), 4.92–4.44 (m, 6H), 4.28 (dd, J = 9.2, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 3.96 (m, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.71–3.58 (m, 3H), 3.00 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 1H). Data for **E-14d**: 1H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.38–7.19 (m, 17H), 6.87 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.38 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 5.60 (dd, J = 15.2, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 4.92–4.44 (m, 5H), 4.38 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.13 (dd, J = 8.0, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 3.98 (m, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.63–3.49 (m, 3H), 2.75 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H); ^{13}C NMR (100.6 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 159.8, 138.18, 138.15, 138.1, 137.4, 134.1, 130.2, 128.5–127.7, 125.3, 115.1, 80.9, 79.4, 74.4, 73.5, 71.9, 71.0, 70.7, 55.5.

(Z/E)-3,4,6-Tri-O-benzyl-1,2-dideoxy-1-(2,6-dimethylphenyl)-sulfanyl-D-arabino-hex-1-enitol (**14e**): yellowish syrup; yield 357 mg (64%, 93% based on recovered starting material) as an inseparable 1:12 Z/E mixture; R_f (1:3 EtOAc/hexane) 0.65. Anal. Calcd for $C_{35}H_{38}O_4S$: C, 75.78; H, 6.90; S, 5.78. Found: C, 75.62; H, 6.87; S, 5.72. Selected data for **Z-14e**: 1H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.39–7.10 (m, 18H), 6.03 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 5.78 (dd, J = 10.0, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 4.72 (dd, J = 8.8, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 4.58 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.47 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.42 (s, 2H), 4.41 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.30 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 3.91 (m, 1H), 3.74 (dd, J = 6.8, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 3.65 (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 2H), 3.02 (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 2.46 (s, 6H). Data for **E-14e**: 1H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.39–7.10 (m, 18H), 6.20 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 5.12 (dd, J = 15.2, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 4.58 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.47 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.42 (s, 2H), 4.41 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.30 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.06 (dd, J = 8.8, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 3.91 (m, 1H), 3.52 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 2H), 3.47 (dd, J = 7.2, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 2.66 (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 2.47 (s, 6H); ^{13}C NMR (100.6 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 143.4, 138.21, 138.17, 138.0, 129.6, 129.0, 128.7, 128.59, 128.57, 128.4, 128.3, 128.2, 128.1, 127.93, 127.89, 127.8, 121.7, 81.4, 79.6, 74.5, 73.5, 71.0, 70.32, 70.31, 21.8.

(Z/E)-3,4,6-Tri-O-benzyl-1,2-dideoxy-1-(2,6-dichlorophenyl)-sulfanyl-D-arabino-hex-1-enitol (**14f**): yellowish syrup; yield 464 mg (78%) as an inseparable 1:6 Z/E mixture; R_f (1:3 EtOAc/hexane) 0.45. Anal. Calcd for $C_{33}H_{32}Cl_2O_4S$: C, 66.55; H, 5.42; S, 5.38. Found: C, 66.61; H, 5.32; S, 5.27. Data for **E-14f**: 1H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.42–7.17 (m, 18H), 6.21 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 5.40 (dd, J = 15.2, 8.4 Hz, 1H), 4.62 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.54 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.45 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.46 (s, 2H), 4.34 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.11 (dd, J = 8.4, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.97 (m, 1H), 3.54–3.52 (m, 2H), 3.51 (dd, J = 7.2, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 2.62 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, 1H); ^{13}C NMR (100.6 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 141.3, 138.2, 138.1, 137.8, 131.0, 130.0, 129.2, 129.0, 128.9, 128.7, 128.5, 128.4, 128.1, 128.0, 127.9, 127.84, 127.8, 127.76, 126.4, 125.0, 81.2, 79.1, 74.4, 73.4, 71.3, 70.7, 70.1.

General Procedure for Iodonium-Induced Cyclization. $NaHCO_3$ (1.5 mmol) was added to a cold ($-78^\circ C$) solution of alkenyl sulfide (1 mmol) in anhydrous CH_2Cl_2 (2 mL) under argon atmosphere and the mixture stirred at the same temperature for 5 min. NIS (1.5 mmol) was then added, and the reaction temperature was allowed to increase depending on the reactivity of the substrate. The reaction progress was monitored by TLC. The mixture was diluted with CH_2Cl_2 and washed with saturated aqueous $Na_2S_2O_3$. The combined organic layers were dried over $MgSO_4$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by chromatographic techniques.

tert-Butyl 3,4,6-tri-O-benzyl-2-deoxy-2-iodo-1-thio- α/β -D-allopyranoside (15b**):** yellowish syrup; yield 181 mg (57%) as an inseparable 1:13 α/β mixture; R_f (1:3 EtOAc/hexane) 0.45. Anal. Calcd for $C_{31}H_{37}IO_4S$: C, 58.86; H, 5.90; S, 5.07. Found: C, 59.02; H, 5.72; S, 5.03. Data for **β -15b**: 1H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.53–7.23 (m, 15H), 5.05 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.92 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.78 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.64 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.58 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.50 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.19 (dd, J = 3.4, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 4.16 (ddd, J = 10.0, 9.6, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 3.69 (m, 2H), 2.89 (dd, J = 10.0, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 1.37 (s, 9H); ^{13}C NMR (100.6 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 138.5, 138.4, 137.7, 134.2, 129.7, 128.7, 128.5, 128.3, 128.20, 128.16, 128.0, 127.8, 127.9, 127.3, 81.8, 78.8, 76.8, 75.9, 75.7, 73.6, 72.3, 69.8, 44.8, 32.3, 31.6.

2,6-Dimethylphenyl 3,4,6-tri-O-benzyl-2-deoxy-2-iodo-1-thio- α/β -D-allopyranoside (15e**):** yellowish syrup; yield 123 mg (49%) as an inseparable 1:25 α/β mixture; R_f (1:3 EtOAc/hexane) 0.45. Anal. Calcd for $C_{35}H_{37}IO_4S$: C, 61.76; H, 5.48; S, 4.71. Found: C, 62.03; H, 5.32; S, 4.66. Data for **β -15e**: 1H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.42–7.04 (m, 18H), 4.90 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.89 (ddd, J = 10.0, 9.6, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 4.88 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.77 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.63 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.53 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.47 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.41 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.27 (dd, J = 10.8, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 4.17 (dd, J = 2.0, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 3.76 (dd, J = 10.0, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 3.57 (m, 2H), 2.58 (s, 6H); ^{13}C NMR (100.6 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 144.7, 138.48, 138.46, 137.8, 131.6, 129.1, 128.7, 128.5, 128.31, 128.26, 128.14, 128.08, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 127.7, 86.6, 79.0, 76.5, 75.9, 75.8, 73.7, 72.4, 69.6, 31.4, 23.0.

tert-Butyl 3,4,6-Tri-O-benzyl-2-deoxy-2-iodo-1-thio- α/β -D-mannopyranoside (16b**):** The isolated product decomposed in solution and was therefore quickly subjected to the next reaction without further characterization: yellowish syrup; yield 179 mg (57%) as an inseparable >49:1 α/β mixture; R_f (1:3 EtOAc/hexane) 0.46. Anal. Calcd for $C_{31}H_{37}IO_4S$: C, 58.86; H, 5.90; S, 5.07. Found: C, 58.67; H, 5.89; S, 4.99. Selected data for **α -16b**: 1H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.42–7.16 (m, 15H), 5.73 (s, 1H), 4.87 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.81 (d, J = 3.9 Hz, 1H), 4.77 (d, J = 12.1 Hz, 1H), 4.69 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.48 (m, 3H), 4.30 (ddd, J = 9.0, 3.9, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 4.01 (dd, J = 9.0, 8.6 Hz, 1H), 3.87 (dd, J = 11.0, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 3.68 (dd, J = 11.0, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 3.04 (dd, J = 8.6, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 1.36 (s, 9H); ^{13}C NMR (100.6 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 138.3–127.7, 89.9, 77.8, 76.8, 75.6, 73.6, 73.6, 71.3, 68.9, 44.7, 35.0, 31.6.

General Procedure for Glycosylation. A solution of the glycosyl donor (1 mmol) and cholesterol **17** (2 mmol) in anhydrous CH_2Cl_2 (4 mL) was stirred with 4 Å molecular sieves (1 g) at $-78^\circ C$ for 2 h. NIS (2.2 mmol) and TfOH (0.2 mmol) were then added, and the reaction temperature was allowed to increase depending on the reactivity of the substrate. The reaction progress was monitored by TLC. The mixture was diluted with CH_2Cl_2 and washed with saturated aqueous $Na_2S_2O_3$ and $NaHCO_3$. The combined organic layers were dried over $MgSO_4$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by chromatographic techniques.

Cholesteryl 3,4,6-tri-O-benzyl-2-deoxy-2-iodo- α/β -D-mannopyranoside¹² (18**):** yellowish foam; yield 174 mg (69%) as an inseparable 37:1 α/β mixture; R_f (1:3 EtOAc/hexane) 0.63. Anal. Calcd for $C_{54}H_{73}IO_5$: C, 69.81; H, 7.92. Found: C, 69.79; H, 7.92. Data for **α -18**: 1H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.49–7.15 (m, 15H), 5.38 (s, 1H), 5.28 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 4.85 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.73 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.71 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.53–4.46 (m, 4H), 3.96–3.87 (m, 2H), 4.81 (dd, J = 10.8, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 3.71 (dd, J = 10.8, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 4.48 (m, 1H), 3.36 (dd, J = 8.0, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 2.40–0.67 (m, 43H); ^{13}C NMR (100.6 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 140.6, 138.6–138.0, 129.1–127.1, 122.2, 99.6, 77.6, 77.2, 76.1, 75.6, 73.4, 72.2, 71.0, 69.0, 56.3–12.0, 34.6.

Cholesteryl 3,4,6-tri-O-benzyl-2-deoxy-2-iodo- α/β -D-allopyranoside¹² (19**):** yellowish foam; yield from **15b**: 202 mg (95%) as an inseparable 1:7 α/β mixture; yield from **15e** 81 mg (60%) as an inseparable 1:10 α/β mixture; R_f (1:3 EtOAc/hexane) 0.62. Anal. Calcd for $C_{54}H_{73}IO_5$: C, 69.81; H, 7.92. Found: C, 69.87; H, 7.89. Data for **β -19**: 1H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.47–7.06 (m, 15H), 5.35 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 4.87 (d, J = 10.4 Hz, 1H), 4.86 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 4.77 (d, J = 10.4 Hz, 1H), 4.66–4.50 (m, 4H), 4.18–4.01 (m, 3H), 3.73–3.64 (m, 3H), 3.48 (m, 1H), 2.39–0.67 (m, 43H); ^{13}C NMR (100.6 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 143.6–127.7, 122.0, 99.3, 79.9, 78.6, 76.9, 75.8, 73.5, 73.2, 72.4, 69.6, 57.0, 56.3, 50.3, 42.5, 40.0, 39.7, 38.7, 37.4, 36.9, 36.4, 36.0, 33.4, 32.2, 32.0, 29.7, 28.4, 28.2, 24.5, 24.0, 23.0, 22.8, 21.2, 19.6, 18.9, 12.05.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

1H , ^{13}C , and ^{31}P NMR spectra for all new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail: omar.boutureira@urv.cat.

*E-mail: yolanda.diaz@urv.cat.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad, Spain (CTQ2011-22872BQU), for generous financial support. O.B. thanks the Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación, Spain (Juan de la Cierva Fellowship), and the European Commission (Marie Curie Career Integration Grant).

■ REFERENCES

- (1) (a) De Lederkremer, R. M.; Marino, C. *Adv. Carbohydr. Chem. Biochem.* **2008**, *61*, 143. (b) Albrecht, H. P. Cardiac Glycosides. In *Naturally Occurring Glycosides*; Ikan, R., Ed.; Wiley: Chichester, UK, 1999. (c) Weymouth-Wilson, A. C. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* **1997**, *14*, 99. (d) Kirschning, A.; Bechthold, A. F.-W.; Rohr, J. *Top. Curr. Chem.* **1997**, *188*, 1. (e) *Glycoconjugates: Composition, Structure and Function*; Allen, H. J.; Kisailus, E. C., Eds.; Marcel Dekker: New York, 1992.
- (2) Slingerland, M.; Cerella, C.; Guchelaar, H. J.; Diederich, M.; Gelderblom, H. *Invest. New Drugs* **2013**, *31*, 1087.
- (3) Zhang, J.; Shi, H.; Ma, Y.; Yu, B. *Chem. Commun.* **2012**, *48*, 8679.
- (4) Nord, L. D.; Dalley, N. K.; McKernan, P. A.; Robins, R. K. *J. Med. Chem.* **1987**, *30*, 1044.
- (5) (a) Borovika, A.; Nagorny, P. J. *Carbohydr. Chem.* **2012**, *31*, 255. (b) Hou, D.; Lowary, T. L. *Carbohydr. Res.* **2009**, *344*, 1911. (c) Marzabadi, C. H.; Franck, R. W. *Tetrahedron* **2000**, *56*, 8385.
- (6) De Castro, M.; Marzabadi, C. H. *Tetrahedron* **2010**, *66*, 3395.
- (7) (a) McDonald, F. E.; Reddy, K. S. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2001**, *40*, 3653. (b) McDonald, F. E.; Reddy, K. S.; Díaz, Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 4304.
- (8) Baryl, K. N.; Adhikari, S.; Zhu, J. *J. Org. Chem.* **2013**, *78*, 12469.
- (9) (a) Ma, Y.; Li, Z.; Shi, H.; Zhang, J.; Yu, B. *J. Org. Chem.* **2011**, *76*, 9748. (b) Tanaka, H.; Yoshizawa, A.; Takahashi, T. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 2505. (c) Wiesner, K.; Tsai, T. Y. R.; Jin, H. *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1985**, *68*, 300.
- (10) Toshima, K. *Carbohydr. Res.* **2006**, *341*, 1282.
- (11) (a) Zhou, M.; O'Doherty, G. A. *J. Org. Chem.* **2007**, *72*, 2485. (b) Zhou, M.; O'Doherty, G. A. *Org. Lett.* **2006**, *8*, 4339.
- (12) (a) Rodríguez, M. A.; Boutureira, O.; Arnés, X.; Díaz, Y.; Matheu, M. I.; Castillón, S. *J. Org. Chem.* **2005**, *70*, 10297. (b) Arnés, X.; Díaz, Y.; Castillón, S. *Synlett* **2003**, 2143.
- (13) Rodríguez, M. A.; Boutureira, O.; Matheu, M. I.; Díaz, Y.; Castillón, S. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2007**, 2470.
- (14) Boutureira, O.; Rodríguez, M. A.; Matheu, M. I.; Díaz, Y.; Castillón, S. *Org. Lett.* **2006**, *8*, 673.
- (15) Rodríguez, M. A.; Boutureira, O.; Matheu, M. I.; Díaz, Y.; Castillón, S.; Seeberger, P. H. *J. Org. Chem.* **2007**, *72*, 8998.
- (16) Cobo, I.; Matheu, M. I.; Castillón, S.; Boutureira, O.; Davis, B. *G. Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 1728.
- (17) (a) Boutureira, O.; Rodríguez, M. A.; Díaz, Y.; Matheu, M. I.; Castillón, S. *Carbohydr. Res.* **2010**, *345*, 1041. (b) Boutureira, O.; Rodríguez, M. A.; Benito, D.; Matheu, M. I.; Díaz, Y.; Castillón, S. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2007**, 3564.
- (18) Boutureira, O.; Matheu, M. I.; Díaz, Y.; Castillón, S. *Carbohydr. Res.* **2007**, *342*, 736.
- (19) Boutureira, O.; Matheu, M. I.; Díaz, Y.; Castillón, S. Submitted for publication.
- (20) Aucagne, V.; Tatibouët, A.; Rollin, P. *Tetrahedron* **2004**, *60*, 1817.
- (21) Bhattacharya, A. K.; Thyagarajan, G. *Chem. Rev.* **1981**, *81*, 415.
- (22) (a) Otten, P. A.; Davies, H. M.; van Steenis, J. H.; Gorter, S.; van der Gen, A. *Tetrahedron* **1997**, *53*, 10527. (b) Clayden, J.; Warren, S. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1996**, *35*, 241.
- (23) (a) Ramadas, K.; Janarthanan, N. *Synth. Commun.* **1999**, *29*, 1003. (b) Dilworth, B. M.; McKervey, M. A. *Tetrahedron* **1986**, *42*, 3731.
- (24) Silveira, C. C.; Begnini, M. L.; Boeck, P.; Braga, A. L. *Synthesis* **1997**, 221.
- (25) Silveira, C. C.; Rinaldi, F.; Guadagnin, R. C. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2007**, 4935.
- (26) Trost, B. M.; Tanigawa, Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1979**, *101*, 4743.
- (27) (a) Greenway, K. T.; Bischoff, A. G.; Pinto, B. M. *J. Org. Chem.* **2012**, *77*, 9221. (b) Alabugin, I. V.; Zeidan, T. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 3175. (c) Cuevas, G.; Juaristi, E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 13088. (d) Alabugin, I. V. *J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, *65*, 3910. (e) King, J. F.; Rathore, R.; Guo, Z.; Li, M.; Payne, N. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 10308. (f) Bernasconi, C. F.; Kittredge, K. W. *J. Org. Chem.* **1998**, *63*, 1944. (g) Salzner, U.; Schleyer, P. v. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1993**, *115*, 10231.
- (28) Bissember, B. B.; Wightmas, R. H. *Carbohydr. Res.* **1981**, *91*, 89.
- (29) (a) Halter, J.; Strassner, T.; Houk, K. N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119*, 8031. (b) Houk, K. N.; Moses, S. R.; Wu, Y.-D.; Rondon, N. G.; Jäger, V.; Schohe, R.; Fronczek, F. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1984**, *106*, 3880. (c) Stork, G.; Kahn, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1983**, *24*, 3951.
- (30) For more detailed information on the stereochemical issues that govern the electrophilic cyclization of sugar-derived alkenyl sulfides, see refs 12, 13, and 17.
- (31) (a) Beaver, M. G.; Woerpel, K. A. *J. Org. Chem.* **2010**, *75*, 1107. (b) Krumper, J. R.; Salamant, W. A.; Woerpel, K. A. *J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, *74*, 8039. (c) Beaver, M. G.; Billings, S. B.; Woerpel, K. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 2082. (d) Beaver, M. G.; Billings, S. B.; Woerpel, K. A. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2008**, 771. (e) Lucero, C. G.; Woerpel, K. A. *J. Org. Chem.* **2006**, *71*, 2641. (f) Ayala, L.; Lucero, C. G.; Romero, J. A. C.; Tabacco, S. A.; Woerpel, K. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 15521.
- (32) Peng, P.; Xiong, D.-C.; Ye, X.-S. *Carbohydr. Res.* **2014**, *384*, 1.
- (33) Hwang, T. S.; Kwon, H. A.; Lee, M. J.; Sim, Y. K.; Song, T. H. U.S. Patent 5,591,881, 1997.
- (34) Legin, G. Y. *Zh. Obshch. Khim.* **1976**, *43*, 545.
- (35) (a) Chu, C.-M.; Tu, Z.; Wu, P.; Wang, C.-C.; Liu, J.-T.; Kuo, C.-W.; Shin, Y.-H.; Yao, C.-F. *Tetrahedron* **2009**, *65*, 3878. (b) Bates, C. G.; Saejueng, P.; Doherty, M. Q.; Venkataraman, D. *Org. Lett.* **2004**, *6*, 5005.
- (36) Liao, Y.; Chen, S.; Jiang, P.; Qi, H.; Deng, G.-J. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2013**, 6878.
- (37) Nguyen, V.-H.; Nishino, H.; Kajikawa, S.; Kurosawa, K. *Tetrahedron* **1998**, *54*, 11445.
- (38) Kao, H.-L.; Lee, C.-F. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 5204.