See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/236008336

# Effects of Temperature on the Conductivity of Sodium Bis(2-ethylhexyl) Sulfosuccinate + 2,2,4-Trimethylpentane + Water Microemulsions. Influence of Sodium Salts

ARTICLE in JOURNAL OF CHEMICAL & ENGINEERING DATA · JULY 1998

Impact Factor: 2.04 · DOI: 10.1021/je970238b

CITATIONS READS

17 25

### 4 AUTHORS, INCLUDING:



Juan C. Mejuto
University of Vigo

376 PUBLICATIONS 2,673 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Estrella Alvarez
University of Vigo

103 PUBLICATIONS 1,432 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

## Effects of Temperature on the Conductivity of Sodium Bis(2-ethylhexyl) Sulfosuccinate + 2,2,4-Trimethylpentane + Water Microemulsions. Influence of Sodium Salts

### E. Álvarez\*

Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Vigo, Spain

### L. García-Río

Department of Physical Chemistry, University of Santiago of Compostela, Spain

## J. C. Mejuto

Department of Physical Chemistry and Organic Chemistry, University of Vigo, Spain

### J. M. Navaza

Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Santiago of Compostela, Spain

The effect of temperature on the conductivity of the ternary systems sodium bis(2-ethylhexyl) sulfosuccinate + 2,2,4-trimethylpentane + water has been studied. Also the effect exercised by the presence of sodium salts—NaCl, NaBr, NaI, NaNO<sub>3</sub>, NaClO<sub>4</sub>, NaSCN, and Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>—on the conductivity of these ternary systems has been analyzed.

### Introduction

Microemulsions are thermodynamically stable and transparent mixtures of water, oil, and surfactant whose behavior has been extensively studied (Aveyard et al., 1989; Clark et al., 1990; Pileni, 1989; Kahlweit et al., 1990). In this work will be studied the microemulsions formed by sodium bis(2-ethylhexyl) sulfosuccinate + 2,2,4-trimethylpentane + water. These systems are frequently used as solubilizators (Elworthy, 1968; Mittal, 1991) or as chemical nanoreactors (García-Rio et al., 1995, 1996) permitting a important number of industrial applications.

When the temperature increases, the conductivity of these systems increases gradually until a determined temperature is reached from which a sudden increase of conductivity is produced. This phenomenon, namely electrical percolation, is described in a previous paper (Álvarez et al., 1998a), and the temperature at which it is produced is known as the percolation threshold or as the temperature of percolation.

The temperature of percolation can be modified by small quantities of additives that hinder the appearance of electrical percolation or favor it (Mathew et al., 1988). In previous papers (Álvarez et al., 1998a,b) we have studied the effect of the presence of ureas, thioureas, and secondary amines on the percolation threshold of sodium bis(2-ethylhexyl) sulfosuccinate + 2,2,4-trimethylpentane + water microemulsions.

The objective of this work is to measure the electrical conductivity of ternary systems sodium bis(2-ethylhexyl)




Figure 1. Influence of temperature on the conductivity of sodium bis(2-ethylhexyl) sulfosuccinate (AOT) + 2,2,4-trimethylpentane + water microemulsions in the presence of different sodium salts ([AOT] = 0.50 mol·dm $^{-3}$ , [H<sub>2</sub>O]/[AOT] = 22.20), (○) without additive, (□) [NaCl] = 0.04 mol·dm $^{-3}$ , (△) [NaBr] = 0.04 mol·dm $^{-3}$ , (▼) [NaI] = 0.04 mol·dm $^{-3}$ , (●) [NaNO<sub>3</sub>] = 0.04 mol·dm $^{-3}$ , (●) [NaClO<sub>4</sub>] = 0.04 mol·dm $^{-3}$ , (■) [NaSCN] = 0.04 mol·dm $^{-3}$ , (△) [Na<sub>2</sub>-SO<sub>4</sub>] = 0.04 mol·dm $^{-3}$ .

sulfosuccinate + 2,2,4-trimethylpentane + water with various sodium salts at different temperatures. From these data we are able to get the temperature at which the electrical percolation is produced. Sodium salts have been selected since the AOT is a anionic surfactant that normally is marketed in the form of sodium salt; therefore, the effects of the anions on the electrical percolation will be studied.

### **Experimental Section**

The aqueous solutions of sodium salts—NaCl, NaBr, NaI, NaNO<sub>3</sub>, NaClO<sub>4</sub>, NaSCN, and Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>—were prepared with

 $<sup>^{\</sup>ast}$  To whom correspondence should be addressed. Postal address: Department of Chemical Engineering, E.T.S.E.I., University of Vigo, 36200-Vigo, Spain. E-mail: ealvarez@uvigo.es.

Table 1. Electrical Conductivity Values at Different Temperatures, without Additive and in the Presence of Different Concentrations of Sodium Salts in Sodium Bis(2-ethylhexyl) Sulfosuccinate (AOT)  $\pm$  2,2,4-Trimethylpentane  $\pm$  Water Microemulsions

Microe	mulsions										
t/°C	$\kappa/\mu {\rm S~cm^{-1}}$	t/°C	$\kappa/\mu {\rm S~cm^{-1}}$	t/°C	$\kappa/\mu {\rm S~cm^{-1}}$	t/°C	$\kappa/\mu { m S~cm^{-1}}$	t/°C	$\kappa/\mu { m S~cm^{-1}}$	t/°C	$\kappa/\mu {\rm S~cm^{-1}}$
23.8	0.37	28.6	Withou 0.82	t Additive 33.1	e ([AOT] = 0.5 10.90	0 mol·dm <sup>-3</sup> 36.2	<sup>3</sup> ; [H <sub>2</sub> O]/ [AOT] = 93.00	= 22.20) $39.2$	300.00	42.8	695.00
25.2	0.43	29.9	1.29	34.2	28.00	37.2	147.00	40.3	395.00	44.0	840.00
$\frac{26.4}{27.5}$	$0.51 \\ 0.62$	$\frac{31.1}{32.1}$	2.60 5.00	35.2	54.00	38.3	220.00	41.1	495.00	45.1	1000.00
27.0	0.02	02.1		t Additive	([AOT] = 0.26	34 mol·dm	-3; [H <sub>2</sub> O]/[AOT]	= 49 26)			
24.0	0.20	26.5	0.27	29.0	0.77	30.5	82.00	32.0	275.00	38.0	2350.00
25.0	0.22	27.5	0.28	29.5	5.80	31.5	152.00	34.0	670.00		
18.6	0.26	95.4				0.50 mol·d 37.1	m <sup>-3</sup> ; [H <sub>2</sub> O]/[AO	T] = 22.24 $42.1$		47.1	440.00
19.6	0.28	$25.4 \\ 26.1$	$0.42 \\ 0.44$	$\frac{32.3}{33.4}$	$0.96 \\ 1.24$	38.1	5.80 9.80	43.2	$91.00 \\ 142.00$	47.1 48.0	440.00 520.00
20.6	0.30	28.4	0.51	34.7	1.75	39.1	18.00	44.1	195.00	49.0	650.00
21.8 22.8	0.31 0.33	$\frac{29.4}{30.2}$	$0.57 \\ 0.64$	$35.1 \\ 36.2$	2.15 3.20	$\frac{40.2}{41.2}$	31.50 58.00	$45.6 \\ 46.1$	260.00 345.00	$\frac{49.6}{50.5}$	720.00 820.00
23.6	0.35	31.2	0.76	30.≈	3.20	11.2	30.00	40.1	040.00	00.0	020.00
				0.08 mol·d		0.50 mol·d	m <sup>-3</sup> ; [H <sub>2</sub> O]/[AO	T] = 22.2	0)		
$20.7 \\ 21.7$	0.30 0.31	26.2	0.39	$\frac{30.7}{31.8}$	0.53	35.8	0.95	$41.2 \\ 42.1$	3.70	$45.9 \\ 46.9$	38.50
22.9	0.33	27.1 28.2	$0.42 \\ 0.44$	32.8	$0.59 \\ 0.64$	36.8 38.1	1.12 1.49	43.1	5.20 7.40	47.9	57.50 105.00
23.8	0.35	28.9	0.48	33.7	0.69	39.1	1.85	44.0	12.50	48.9	148.00
25.1	0.37	29.8	0.50	34.9	0.82	40.1	2.65	44.9	19.50	49.6	180.00
20.0	0.18	23.5	[NaCI] = 0.0 0.24	0056 mol·c 26.5	dm <sup>-3</sup> ([AOT] = 0.39	0.264 mol 29.5	·dm <sup>-3</sup> ; [H <sub>2</sub> O]/[A 1.42	01] = 49 32.5	.26) 248.00	37.0	1100.00
21.5	0.20	24.0	0.26	27.5	0.48	30.5	32.75	33.6	460.00	38.0	1650.00
22.5	0.22	25.5	0.32	28.5	0.66	31.5	110.00	36.0	730.00	39.0	1950.00
22.5	0.30	28.3	[NaBr] = 0.52	0.02 mol·d 34.6	$ lm^{-3} ([AOT] = 3.21 $	0.50 mol·d 38.9	lm <sup>-3</sup> ; [H <sub>2</sub> O]/[AO 58.00	T] = 22.2 $43.1$	0) 310.00	47.4	810.00
24.0	0.34	30.3	0.69	36.4	11.80	40.8	150.00	45.3	560.00	49.0	1010.100
26.1	0.40	32.5	1.30								
							$lm^{-3}$ ; $[H_2O]/[AO]$				
$\frac{20.2}{22.2}$	$0.23 \\ 0.26$	26.8 28.3	$0.36 \\ 0.42$	$\frac{32.2}{34.0}$	$0.67 \\ 1.00$	38.7 40.1	$8.60 \\ 22.50$	$\frac{42.0}{43.0}$	60.00 96.00	$45.5 \\ 47.1$	225.00 360.00
23.9	0.29	29.9	0.48	35.7	1.70	41.1	40.00	44.1	148.00	48.4	490.00
25.4	0.32	31.4	0.58	37.3	3.50						
26.1	0.33	30.5	[NaBr] = 0.39	0.20 mol·d 36.3	$ m lm^{-3}$ ([AOT] = 0.51	0.50 mol·d 44.2	lm <sup>-3</sup> ; [H <sub>2</sub> O]/[AO 0.92	T] = 22.2 $48.2$	0) 1.67	54.8	16.40
27.1	0.34	32.8	0.39	39.5	0.61	46.4	1.18	53.6	8.20	55.3	20.40
28.2	0.36	35.0	0.48	42.9	0.77						
10.5	0.00	04.0					m <sup>-3</sup> ; [H <sub>2</sub> O]/[AO]			45.77	075.00
$\frac{19.5}{21.3}$	$0.26 \\ 0.30$	$24.9 \\ 26.9$	$0.39 \\ 0.46$	$\frac{30.5}{33.1}$	$0.75 \\ 1.70$	36.7 38.5	$9.30 \\ 48.00$	$\frac{41.7}{42.5}$	230.00 325.00	$\frac{45.7}{47.5}$	675.00 860.00
23.0	0.32	28.8	0.59	34.5	3.30	40.5	149.00	44.2	490.00	49.3	1155.00
							m <sup>-3</sup> ; [H <sub>2</sub> O]/[AOT				
$\frac{19.3}{20.9}$	$0.21 \\ 0.23$	$27.4 \\ 29.0$	$0.32 \\ 0.36$	$32.1 \\ 33.6$	$0.48 \\ 0.60$	36.2 38.5	$0.98 \\ 2.20$	$42.2 \\ 43.1$	19.50 36.00	$\frac{46.1}{47.2}$	$145.00 \\ 215.00$
23.4	0.26	30.0	0.39	34.1	0.65	40.1	5.80	44.1	66.00	48.2	295.00
$24.7 \\ 26.7$	$0.28 \\ 0.31$	31.1	0.43	35.2	0.78	41.1	10.90	45.0	104.00	49.1	385.00
20.7	0.31		[NaClO ] =	0.00 mal	dm=3 ([A OT] =	- 0 50 mal.	dm <sup>-3</sup> ; [H <sub>2</sub> O]/[A0	TTI — 99	20)		
23.1	0.34	27.9	0.54	32.1	1.67	- 0.30 mor 36.1	21.10	40.2	185.00	43.6	475.00
24.5	0.37	28.9	0.64	33.1	2.73	37.3	44.00	41.5	275.00	44.9	620.00
25.7 26.8	$0.41 \\ 0.46$	30.0 31.0	0.86 1.10	34.2 35.0	5.10 9.00	38.5 39.3	85.00 126.00	42.4	353.00	46.0	760.00
							dm <sup>-3</sup> ; [H <sub>2</sub> O]/[A0	OT1 = 22.	20)		
21.1	0.26	25.4	0.35	30.5	0.72	36.8	7.60	41.2	98.00	45.1	365.00
22.4 23.4	0.28 0.30	26.6 27.0	$0.39 \\ 0.41$	$32.5 \\ 34.8$	1.08 2.50	38.0 39.2	17.00 36.00	42.1 43.9	142.00 255.00	$\frac{46.3}{47.2}$	460.00 560.00
24.9	0.33	27.9	0.46	35.8	4.10	40.1	57.00	40.0	200.00	11.2	300.00
			$[NaClO_4] =$	0.08 mol	dm <sup>-3</sup> ([AOT] =		dm <sup>-3</sup> ; [H <sub>2</sub> O]/[A0	[T] = 22.			
23.5 25.5	$0.31 \\ 0.35$	29.5 30.7	$0.45 \\ 0.50$	$32.8 \\ 34.4$	0.62	36.8 37.9	1.40	39.7	$\frac{3.45}{4.50}$	42.9	14.60
26.9	0.39	31.9	0.55	35.7	$0.78 \\ 0.97$	39.0	$17.0 \\ 2.55$	$40.5 \\ 41.7$	7.90	$\frac{44.7}{45.4}$	53.00 69.00
28.1	0.42										
4							dm <sup>-3</sup> ; [H <sub>2</sub> O]/[AO				
17.2 18.0	$0.20 \\ 0.21$	23.0 23.9	0.28 0.30	$\frac{28.9}{30.0}$	$0.49 \\ 0.60$	33.3 34.7	1.60 3.05	$\frac{38.6}{39.4}$	34.50 49.00	$43.2 \\ 44.2$	230.00 295.00
19.4	0.22	25.1	0.33	31.6	0.93	35.0	6.20	40.1	68.00	46.0	480.0
20.8 22.0	$0.25 \\ 0.26$	26.1 27.6	$0.36 \\ 0.42$	32.6	1.20	37.8	22.25	42.5	195.00	47.4	625.00
۵۵.U	0.20	۵1.0		0.04 mal.	dm=3 ([AOT] =	= 0.50 mol-	dm <sup>-3</sup> ; [H <sub>2</sub> O]/ [A	OT] — 99	20)		
20.2	0.22	25.1	0.30	29.8	0.53	33.9	1.35	39.6	34.00	44.4	285.00
21.9	0.25	26.8	0.36	31.0	0.65	35.3	2.45	40.7	61.00	45.9	430.0
$23.0 \\ 24.3$	$0.27 \\ 0.29$	27.9 28.9	$0.40 \\ 0.46$	31.8 33.6	$0.76 \\ 1.18$	$\frac{36.4}{38.0}$	$4.50 \\ 13.20$	$\frac{41.4}{42.6}$	89.00 158.00	$47.2 \\ 49.2$	560.00 820.00
1.0	0.20	20.0					13.20 lm−3; [H <sub>2</sub> O]/ [A(				520.00
19.6	0.22	27.6	0.36	32.0	0.58	36.1	1.70	41.8	43.50	45.1	155.00
21.0 23.0	$0.24 \\ 0.27$	$\frac{28.5}{29.9}$	$0.39 \\ 0.44$	$\frac{32.9}{33.7}$	0.75 0.87	37.9 39.1	3.95 7.60	$42.3 \\ 43.2$	51.00 76.00	$\frac{46.2}{47.4}$	215.00 310.00
24.3	0.29	31.0	0.50	34.7	1.10	40.0	12.20	43.2	112.00	48.8	445.0
26.4	0.33										





Figure 2. Influence of temperature on the conductivity of sodium bis(2-ethylhexyl) sulfosuccinate (AOT) + 2,2,4-trimethylpentane + water microemulsions at different concentrations of sodium bromide, ( $\bigcirc$ ) without additive, ( $\blacklozenge$ ) [NaBr] = 0.02 mol·dm<sup>-3</sup>, ( $\triangle$ )  $[NaBr] = 0.04 \text{ mol} \cdot dm^{-3}, (\lozenge) [NaBr] = 0.20 \text{ mol} \cdot dm^{-3}$ 



**Figure 3.** Determination of percolation temperature obtained by the Kim method (Kim and Huang, 1986), for sodium bis(2ethylhexyl) sulfosuccinate (AOT) + 2,2,4-trimethylpentane + water microemulsions ([AOT] =  $0.50 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{dm}^{-3}$ , [H<sub>2</sub>O]/[AOT] = 22.20), ( $\bigcirc$ ) without additive, ( $\square$ ) [NaCl] = 0.04 mol·dm<sup>-3</sup>, ( $\bullet$ )  $[NaCl] = 0.08 \text{ mol} \cdot dm^{-3}.$ 

distilled-deionized water. The solutes were supplied by Merck and Sigma, and all of then were of the maximun purity commercial available (>99%). They all were employed without further purifications.

The salt concentration added has been varied between 0.0056 and 0.200 mol·dm<sup>-3</sup>, and in all the cases has been referred to the water volume.

The electrical conductivity was measured employing a conductivimeter Radiometer CDM 3 with a conductivity cell with a constant of  $1\ cm^{-1}$ . The detailed experimental procedure has been described elsewhere (Alvarez et al., 1998a). The precision of the temperature control was  $\pm 0.1$ °C, and the accuracy of the measurements was  $\pm 0.5\%$ .

### **Results and Discussion**

Figure 1 shows the effect of sodium salts on the electrical conductivity of microemulsions sodium bis(2-ethylhexyl) sulfosuccinate + 2,2,4-trimethylpentane + water (AOT/ isooctane/water). In this figure it can be observed that a moderate additive concentration produces a meaningful variation in the temperature of electrical percolation. On the other hand, Figure 2 shows the effect of increasing the additive concentration on the behavior of the system. In this case we can observe how as the additive concentration is increased an important decrease in the temperature of percolation is produced.

The values of electrical conductivity/temperature,  $\kappa/T$ , obtained for the different additive concentrations are shown in Table 1. The percolation threshold,  $t_p$ , has been deter-




Figure 4. Fit of temperature-conductivity of sodium bis(2ethylhexyl) sulfosuccinate (AOT) + 2,2,4-trimethylpentane + water microemulsions to eq 1 in the presence of different additive concentrations ([AOT] =  $0.50 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{dm}^{-3}$ , [H<sub>2</sub>O]/[AOT] = 22.20), (—) calculated from eq 1, ( $\bigcirc$ ) without additive, ( $\square$ ) [NaCl] = 0.04  $mol \cdot dm^{-3}, \ (\triangledown) \ [NaI] \ = \ 0.02 \ mol \cdot dm^{-3}, \ (\blacksquare) \ [NaClO_4] \ = \ 0.02$ mol·dm<sup>-3</sup>

Table 2. Fitting Parameters (Eq 1) and Percolation Temperature,  $t_p$ , Obtained by Kim Method, for Sodium Bis(2-ethylhexyl) Sulfosuccinate (AOT) 2,2,4-Trimethylpentane + Water Microemulsions

additive	$[H_2O]/[AOT]$	$C/\text{mol}\cdot\text{dm}^{-3}$	$\boldsymbol{A}$	B	C	$t_{ m p}$
none	22.20		32.60	0.39	-3.30	33
none	49.26		29.45	0.17	-0.92	30
NaCl	22.20	0.040	37.35	0.47	-4.99	38
NaCl	22.20	0.080	42.46	0.55	-6.56	45
NaCl	49.26	0.0056	30.30	0.20	-1.80	31
NaBr	22.20	0.020	35.37	0.43	-3.90	36
NaBr	22.20	0.040	38.10	0.48	-4.20	39
NaBr	22.20	0.200	53.40	0.26	-9.00	$\sim$ 54
NaI	22.20	0.020	35.70	0.40	-4.28	36
NaI	22.20	0.040	40.73	0.44	-4.53	39
NaNO3	22.20	0.040	35.60	0.49	-3.69	37
NaClO4	22.20	0.020	34.17	0.44	-3.74	35
NaClO4	22.20	0.040	35.97	0.49	-3.87	38
NaClO4	22.20	0.080	40.68	0.59	-5.41	41
NaSCN	22.20	0.040	36.64	0.45	-3.66	37
$Na_2SO_4$	22.20	0.040	38.55	0.51	-4.20	40

mined from the data  $\kappa/T$  using the Kim method (Kim and Huang, 1986), which has been described in a previous paper (Álvarez et al., 1998a), see Figure 3. The calculated percolation temperatures in the presence of different additives and of different concentrations for various compositions of the microemulsion are shown in Table 2.

The temperature of percolation is practically independent of the sodium salt taken, but for each salt we observed a delay in the process of percolation as compared to that without additive. This behavior is opposite to that observed for the ureas and thioureas (Alvarez et al., 1998a; García-Rio et al., 1994), and it would be justified by the capacity of these additives of favoring the opening of channels between the microdroplets to facilitate the mass transfer.

Finally, the conductivity of these systems was correlated with temperature by the following expression (Alvarez et al., in 1998a), which permits us to predict the values of conductivity at temperatures after and before the threshold of percolation

$$t = A + B\sqrt{\kappa} + \frac{C}{\kappa} \tag{1}$$

The values of the parameters *A*, *B*, and *C* are shown in Table 2, and, as can be observed in this table, the value of the parameter A corresponds to the temperature of percolation. In all the cases studied eq 1 reproduces the experimental data with a deviation less than 4% (Figure

### **Literature Cited**

- Álvarez, E.; García-Rio, L.; Leis, J. R.; Mejuto, J. C.; Navaza, J. M. Effects of the Temperature on the Conductivity of Sodium Bis(2ethylhexyl) Sulfosuccinate + 2,2,4-Trimethylpentane + Water Microemulsions in the Presence of Ureas and Thioureas. J. Chem Eng. Data 1998a 43, 123-127.
- Álvarez, E.; García-Rio, L.; Mejuto, J. C.; Navaza, J. M. Effects of Temperature on the Conductivity of Sodium Bis(2-ethylhexyl) Sulfosuccinate +2,2,4-Trimethylpentane + Water Microemulsions. Influence of Amines. *J. Chem Eng. Data* **1998b**, *43*, 433–435. Aveyard, R.; Binks, B. P.; Fletcher, P. D. I. Interfacial tensions and
- aggregate structure in pentaethylene glycol monododecyl ether/oil/
- water microemulsion systems. Langmuir 1989, 5, 1210–1217.
  Clark, S.; Fletcher, P. D. I.; Ye, X. Interdroplet exchange rates of water-in-oil and oil-in-water microemulsion droplets stabilized by pentaoxyethylene monododecyl ether. *Langmuir* **1990**, *6*, 1301–1309. Elworthy, P. H.; Florence, A. T.; McFarlane, C. B. *Solubilization by surface activate agents*; Chapman and Hall: London 1968. García-Río, L.; Leis, J. R.; Mejuto, J. C.; Peña, M. E.; Iglesias, E. Effects
- of Additives on the Internal Dynamics and Properties of Water/AOT/ Isooctane Microemulsions. Langmuir 1994, 10, 1676–1683.

- García-Río, L.; Leis, J. R.; Iglesias, E. Influence of Water Structure on Solvolysis in Water-in-Oil Microemulsions. J. Phys. Chem. 1995, 99, 12318-12326.
- García-Río, L.; Leis, J. R.; Mejuto, J. C. Pseudophase Approach to Reactivity in Microemulsions: Quantitative Explanation of the Kinetics of the Nitrosation of Amines by Alkyl Nitrites in AOT/ Isooctane/Water Microemulsions. J. Phys. Chem. 1996, 100, 10981-
- Kahlweit, M.; Strey, R.; Busse, G. Microemulsions: A qualitative thermodynamic approach. J. Phys. Chem. 1990, 94, 3881-5292.
- Kim, M. W.; Huang, J. S. Percolation like phenomena in oil-continuous microemulsions. Phys. Rev. A. 1986, 34, 719-722.
- Mathew, C.; Patanjali, P. K.; Nabi, A.; Maitra, A. On the Concept of Percolative Conduction in Water-in-Oil Microemulsions. Colloids Surf. 1988, 30, 253-263.
- Mittal, K. L. Micellization, Solubilization and Microemulsions; Plenum Press: New York, 1991.
- Pileni, M. P. Structure and Reactivity in Reverse Micelles; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 1989.

Received for review October 6, 1997. Accepted March 3, 1998. JE970238B