

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/26752030>

Facile Self-Assembly of Neutral Dendritic Metallocycles via Oxygen-to-Platinum Coordination

ARTICLE *in* THE JOURNAL OF ORGANIC CHEMISTRY · SEPTEMBER 2009

Impact Factor: 4.72 · DOI: 10.1021/jo9013589 · Source: PubMed

CITATIONS

28

READS

27

5 AUTHORS, INCLUDING:



Koushik Ghosh

Los Alamos National Laboratory

30 PUBLICATIONS 1,084 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Published in final edited form as:

J Org Chem. 2009 September 18; 74(18): 7067–7074. doi:10.1021/jo9013589.

Facile Self-Assembly of Neutral Dendritic Metalloccycles via Oxygen-to-Platinum Coordination

Hai-Bo Yang^{*,†}, Brian H. Northrop[‡], Yao-Rong Zheng[‡], Koushik Ghosh[‡], and Peter J. Stang^{*,‡}

[†]Shanghai Key Laboratory of Green Chemistry and Chemical Processes, Department of Chemistry, East China Normal University, 3663 N. Zhongshan Road, Shanghai 200062, China

[‡]Department of Chemistry, University of Utah, 315 South 1400 East, Room 2020, Salt Lake City, Utah 84112

Abstract

A new approach for the fabrication of neutral dendritic metalloccycles is described. By combining rigid 120° dicarboxylate donor linkers functionalized with [G0]–[G3] Fréchet-type dendrons and complementary rigid 60° and 120° di-Pt(II) acceptor subunits, neutral rhomboidal metallodendrimers and hexagonal metallodendrimers, respectively, were prepared under mild conditions in high yields. The assemblies have well-defined shapes and sizes and were characterized by multinuclear NMR (¹H and ³¹P), mass spectrometry (ESI (+)-TOF-MS and APPI(+)-TOF-MS), and elemental analysis. Isotopically resolved mass spectrometry data support the formation of the neutral [2+2] rhomboidal and [3+3] hexagonal metallodendrimers, and NMR data are consistent with the formation of all ensembles. The structures of the [G0] and [G1] neutral rhomboidal metallodendrimers (**3a** and **3b**) were unambiguously confirmed via single-crystal X-ray crystallography. The shape and size of [G3] neutral hexagonal metallodendrimer **5d** was established with MMFF force-field simulations.

Introduction

The directional-bonding approach of coordination-driven self-assembly¹ has proven to be a particularly powerful method for the construction of supramolecular two-dimensional (2D) and three-dimensional (3D) structures with well defined shapes and sizes². Dative metal-ligand bonds are highly directional and relatively strong compared to the weaker noncovalent interactions³ such as hydrogen-bonding, van der Waals, and solvophobic interactions. The directional-bonding coordination-driven approach allows for the combination of rigid electron-poor metal centers and complementary, rigid electron-rich organic donors to provide a wide variety of discrete polygonal and polyhedral coordination assemblies.⁴

In this area, square planar platinum and palladium metals have long been used in conjunction with neutral nitrogen-based organic building blocks such as substituted pyridines and nitriles. Upon formation the resulting supramolecular structures are positively charged, bearing as many positive charges as Pt-N or Pd-N coordination bonds. The coordination of anionic ligands to metals such as Mo,⁵ Rh,⁶ Re,⁷ and Zn⁸ in order to produce *neutral* supramolecules has also been explored. Neutral supramolecular assemblies have the advantage that they are more readily soluble in organic solvents and are likely to be more suitable for the encapsulation of

hbyang@chem.ecnu.edu.cn; stang@chem.utah.edu.

Supporting Information **Available**: Copies of NMR spectra for compounds (**3a-d** and **5a-d**) and crystallographic file (in CIF format) of **3a** and **3b**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

neutral organic guests. Recently, oxygen-to-platinum coordination has been shown to be a suitable means of constructing neutral supramolecular self-assemblies.⁹ For example, rigid or flexible dicarboxylate-based building blocks have been used to self-assemble neutral rectangles, rhomboids, and triangles with Pt(II)-based acceptors via Pt-O coordination-driven self-assembly methodology.^{9a,b} In addition, various neutral functionalized polygons have also been prepared from dicarboxylate building blocks functionalized with ferrocene^{9c}, carborane^{9d}, and crown ether^{9f} moieties. However, research involving the self-assembly of neutral dendritic metallocycles formed via oxygen-to-platinum coordination is still a challenge. Noncovalent routes to the facile preparation of neutral dendritic supramolecules are especially attractive given the wide-ranging applications of dendrimers, the ease of noncovalent self-assembly, and the greater range of organic media that neutral supramolecules are miscible in.

Since the pioneering work of Newkome et al.¹⁰ and Balzani et al.¹¹ in the early 1990s, metallodendrimers¹² have received considerable attention because of their potential applications in catalysis,¹³ biological mimetics,¹⁴ and in photo- and electrochemistry¹⁵. Newkome and coworkers have previously reported the synthesis of isomeric neutral metallodendrimers based on bis(2,2':6',2''-terpyridine)ruthenium(II) connectivity^{16a,b} as well as neutral metallodendrimers possessing adamantane termini^{16c}. Very recently, we have developed a new strategy¹⁷ to prepare metallodendrimers¹⁸ with well-defined shapes and sizes through exo-functionalization, *e.g.* the covalent attachment of Fréchet-type dendrons to the periphery of supramolecules. For example, by combining predesigned 120° angular dendritic organic donors with 180° di-Pt(II) acceptors, “snowflake-shaped” [6+6] metallodendrimers have been prepared via coordination-driven self-assembly.^{18b}

With the aim of developing the new self-assembly paradigm that utilizes Pt-O coordination interactions, our attention has turned to the construction of *neutral* dendritic metallocycles with well-defined shapes and sizes. Herein we report the design, self-assembly, and characterization of a new family of platinum(II)-based neutral dendritic metallocycles with predetermined shapes (rhomboid and hexagon) from 120° dendritic dicarboxylate donor tectons substituted with Fréchet-type dendrons¹⁹ (Figure 1). Characterization of these new neutral metallodendrimers by X-ray crystallography, multinuclear NMR, mass spectroscopy, and elemental analysis is discussed.

Results and Discussion

Self-Assembly of Neutral Rhomboidal Metallodendrimers 3a–d

In general, the shape of an individual two-dimensional polygon is determined by the value of the turning angle within its angular components. According to the “directional bonding” and “symmetry interaction” models,^{2a,e} discrete rhomboidal entities can be self-assembled via the combination of 60° units with 120° linking components. Upon the addition of an aqueous solution of 120° dendritic disodium carboxylate **1a–d** to an acetone solution of 60° angular acceptor 2,9-(*trans*-Pt(PET₃)₂NO₃)₂-phenanthrene (**2**)²⁰ in a 1:1 molar ratio a white precipitate of rhomboidal neutral metallodendrimers **3a–d** was formed (Scheme 1). In each case the product was centrifuged and washed several times with water. The white solid was then dissolved in CD₂Cl₂ for ¹H and ³¹P{¹H} NMR studies.

Multinuclear NMR (¹H and ³¹P) analysis of [G0]–[G3] assemblies **3a–d** exhibited very similar characteristics, each of which suggested the formation of discrete, highly symmetric rhomboidal dendritic metallocycles. The ¹H and ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra of **3d** are shown in Figure 2 as representative examples. The ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra of the [G0]–[G3] assemblies **3a–d** displayed a sharp singlet (ca. 19.0 ppm) shifted upfield from the starting platinum acceptor **2** by approximately 2.0 ppm. Compared to the charged rhomboidal metallodendrimers^{18b}, where the corresponding shift is 6.4 ppm, this shift is noticeably smaller.

This smaller shift can be attributed to the greater similarity between the newly formed platinum-oxygen bond and the Pt-ONO₂ bond in the starting material. Examination of the ¹H NMR spectrum of each neutral rhomboidal metallodendrimer **3a-d** is indicative of the formation of highly symmetrical structure. For example, two sharp singlets at 8.27 ppm and 7.80 ppm, respectively, were assigned to the protons (H_a and H_b) on the benzene ring of the dendritic dicarboxylate in **3d**. Moreover the singlet and doublet at 8.70 ppm and 7.74 ppm, respectively, were also observed in the ¹H NMR spectrum of **3d**, which can be attributed to the protons H₄ and H₂ on the phenanthrene ring (Figure 2). The sharp NMR signals in both the ³¹P and ¹H NMR (see Supporting Information), along with the solubility of these species, ruled out the formation of oligomers in solution.

The structures of the neutral rhomboidal metallodendrimers **3a** and **3b** have also been confirmed by ESI-MS spectrometry. In the ESI mass spectra of the [G0] and [G1] assemblies, peaks attributable to [M + H]⁺ at *m/z* = 2618.8 for **3a** and *m/z* = 3043.0 for **3b**, where M represents the intact assemblies, were observed. These peaks were isotopically resolved and they agree very well with their respective theoretical distributions (Figure 3). The analysis of the signals observed in the full mass spectra confirmed that no other assembled species were formed during self-assembly.

X-ray crystallographic analysis unambiguously established the structures of **3a** and **3b** as discrete [G0] and [G1] neutral rhomboidal metallodendritic assemblies (Figures 4 and 5). Crystals suitable for single-crystal X-ray analysis were grown by vapor diffusion of acetone into separate dichloromethane solutions of **3a** and **3b** at ambient temperatures for 2 days. Table 1 summarizes the data, structure solution, and refinement for **3a** and **3b**. At the molecular level, both structures feature a well-defined rhombus with an approximately 2.4×1.3 nm cavity, similar to that of the cationic rhomboidal metallodendrimers reported previously (2.3×1.3 nm).^{18b} The rhomboidal structure of **3a** has external dimensions of *ca.* 3.09 nm long and 1.89 nm wide, while **3b** spreads out over an area of *ca.* 4.11×1.91 nm². The geometry around each Pt metal center in both **3a** and **3b** is approximately square planar. For example, in **3a** an O(9)-Pt(1)-P(1) angle of 87.1, O(9)-Pt(1)-P(2) angle of 94.6, and a P(1)-Pt(1)-P(2) angle of 173.06 are observed, which is consistent with a square planar geometry. Selected bond lengths and angles are presented in Table 2.

Self-Assembly of Neutral Hexagonal Metallodendrimers **5a-d**

Discrete hexagonal entities can be self-assembled via the combination of two complementary ditopic building blocks A² and X², each incorporating 120° angles between their coordination sites, allowing for the formation of hexagonal structures of type A₂X₃.^{1d,2a} Recently, three-component and six-component multi-cationic hexagonal metallodendrimers have been prepared by using this strategy.¹⁸ In order to extend the scope of this approach to the construction of neutral hexagonal metallodendrimers, we have investigated the self-assembly of 120° dendritic disodium carboxylates **1a-d** with 120° di-platinum acceptor **4**²¹ (Scheme 2).

Neutral hexagonal metallodendrimers **5a-d** were prepared according to the same procedure used for in the self-assembly of neutral rhomboidal metallodendrimers **3a-d**. ³¹P{¹H} NMR analysis of each product is consistent with the formation of a single, highly symmetric species as indicated by the appearance of a sharp singlet (*ca.* 18.8 ppm) with concomitant ¹⁹⁵Pt satellites, shifted upfield by *ca.* 1.9 ppm as compared to **4**. As expected this upfield shift is also smaller than that in the case of the previously reported charged hexagonal ones (*ca.* 5.5 ppm)^{18a}, which occurs for the similar reason discussed in the formation of neutral rhomboidal metallodendrimers. Likewise, the ¹H NMR spectra of metallodendrimers **5a-c** displayed very simple and sharp resonances, indicative of the generation of the desired symmetric hexagonal products. For instance, the ¹H NMR spectrum of **5d** presented two sharp singlets at 8.18 ppm and 7.70 ppm, respectively, which can be assigned to the protons (H_a and H_b) on the benzene

ring of the dendritic dicarboxylate (Figure 6). A doublet attributable to the proton (H_c) on the benzene ring attached to the platinum atom was found at 7.59 ppm in the 1H NMR spectrum of **5d** as well. Upon stirring at 298 K for 72 h, the $^{31}P\{^1H\}$ and 1H NMR of assemblies **5a-c** do not show any significant changes, demonstrating the stability of these novel supramolecular assemblies in solution. The formation of oligomers was ruled out by the sharp NMR signals in both the $^{31}P\{^1H\}$ and 1H NMR spectra (see Supporting Information) along with the solubility of these species.

Mass-spectrometric studies of metallodendrimers **5a-d** were performed by ESI(+)-TOF-MS and APPI(+)-TOF-MS spectrometry, which allows the assemblies to remain intact during the analysis process in order to obtain the high resolution required for the unambiguous determination of their absolute molecular weight and molecularity. However, due to the high molecular weight and relatively weak Pt(II)-O bonds formed between a soft metal and a hard ligand, it is more difficult to get strong mass signals even under the ESI(+)-TOF-MS or APPI(+)-TOF-MS conditions. With considerable effort, however, two peaks corresponding to the charge states $[M + Na]^+$ and $[M + 2Na]^{2+}$ of [G0] assembly **5a** were observed in both ESI(+)-TOF-MS and APPI(+)-TOF-MS spectra: $m/z = 3963.2$ and $m/z = 1993.6$, respectively. These peaks were isotopically resolved (Figure 7) and agree well with their theoretically predicted distribution. The results of these mass studies provide strong support for the formation of neutral hexagonal metallodendrimers.

Close examination of the mass spectra of **5a** revealed no peaks indicating the formation or existence of [2+2] rhomboidal or [4+4] octagonal structures. It should be noted that it is not possible to form polygons with an odd number of sides (i.e. pentagon, heptagon, etc.) by combining 120° donors with 120° acceptors as they would require the direct connection of either two acceptor or two donor moieties. The similar characteristics observed in each 1H and ^{31}P NMR spectrum of [G0]-[G3] neutral assemblies **5a-d**, e.g. the singularity of each $^{31}P\{^1H\}$ NMR signal, along with the lack of mass spectral peaks corresponding to other polygon architectures in **5a** are supportive of the conclusion that [3+3] neutral hexagonal metallodendrimers are the products in each self-assembly. The elemental analysis obtained for neutral hexagonal metallodendrimer assemblies **5a-d** all match their theoretical values.

Large supramolecular hexagonal structures and flexible, high generation dendrimers often prove difficult to crystallize. Hence, all attempts to grow X-ray quality single crystals of hexagonal metallodendrimers **5a-c** have proven unsuccessful to date. MMFF force-field simulations were employed to optimize the geometry of the [G3] neutral hexagonal metallodendrimer **5d**. The space-filling model of the simulated structure (Figure 8) indicates that **5d** has a roughly planar hexagonal ring at its core surrounded by flexible dendrons. The hexagonal ring-shaped metallodendrimer has an internal radius of approximately 1.1 nm and an average outer dendron radius of 3.5 nm.

Conclusion

The work presented here provides a simple yet effective approach to the construction of well-defined neutral metallodendrimers possessing predetermined sizes and shapes via oxygen-to-platinum coordination. [G0]-[G3] neutral rhomboidal metallodendrimers and hexagonal metallodendrimers were prepared by the combination of predesigned 120° dendritic dicarboxylate donor subunits and di-Pt(II) acceptors with appropriate complementary angles (60° and 120° , respectively) under mild conditions in high yields. Multinuclear NMR (1H and ^{31}P) analysis of all assemblies displayed very similar characteristics that are indicative of the generation of discrete, highly symmetric species. All neutral metallodendrimers exhibit remarkable solubility in common organic solvents, such as dichloromethane and chloroform. The sharp NMR signals in both $^{31}P\{^1H\}$ and 1H NMR spectra along with the solubility of these

species ruled out the formation of oligomers. The structures of metallob dendrimers **3a**, **3b**, and **5a** were further confirmed by mass spectrometry. The structures of [G0] and [G1] neutral rhomboidal dendrimers **3a** and **3b** were unambiguously established via X-ray crystallography.

We have provided a novel strategy for the design and synthesis of neutral dendritic metallobcycles, in which the formation of Pt(II)-O bonds plays an essential role during the construction of the desired assemblies. This approach can be used to prepare a variety of neutral metallob dendrimers with well-defined shapes and sizes through the proper choice of subunits with predefined angles and symmetry. For instance, the shape of the neutral dendritic metallobcycles can be rationally designed to be either a rhomboid or a hexagon as described herein. This study offers a complementary approach to the synthesis of metallobcycles that avoids the polycationic nature of analogous Pt-N based dendritic metallobcycles. Extending this idea further to additional two-dimensional structures, such as squares, rectangles and triangles, and even three-dimensional architectures like trigonal prisms and trigonal bipyramids is currently under investigation.

Experimental Section

General Procedure for the Preparation of Neutral Rhomboidal Metallob dendrimers **3a-c** and Neutral Hexagonal Metallob dendrimers **5a-c**

To a 2 mL acetone solution containing 0.005 mmol of 60° di-Pt(II) acceptor **2** or 120° di-Pt(II) acceptor **4** was added an aqueous solution (for [G3] the solution was acetone/water 1:1) of the appropriate [G0] – [G3] dendritic disodium carboxylate (0.005 mmol) drop by drop with continuous stirring (10 min), whereupon the white product precipitated. The reaction mixture was centrifuged, washed several times with acetone and water, and dried in an oven at 80 °C. The product was collected and redissolved in CD₂Cl₂ for NMR analysis.

[G0] Neutral Rhomboidal Metallob dendrimer **3a**

Yield: 6.41 mg (white solid), 98%. ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 300 MHz): δ 8.71 (s, 4H), 8.25 (s, 2H), 7.74-7.77 (m, 8H), 7.35-7.53 (m, 18H), 5.20 (s, 4H), 1.54-1.57 (m, 48H), 1.13-1.24 (m, 72H). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 121.4 MHz): δ 18.9 (s, ¹J_{Pt-P} = 2908.8 Hz). Anal. Calcd for C₁₀₆H₁₅₆O₁₀P₈Pt₄·2H₂O: C, 47.96; H, 6.08; Found: C, 47.67; H, 5.98.

[G1] Neutral Rhomboidal Metallob dendrimer **3b**

Yield: 7.22 mg (white solid), 95%. ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 300 MHz): δ 8.72 (s, 4H), 8.27 (s, 2H), 7.79 (s, 4H), 7.76 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 4H), 7.35-7.47 (m, 28H), 6.80 (d, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 4H), 6.59 (t, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 2H), 5.16 (s, 4H), 5.10 (s, 8H), 1.54-1.57 (m, 48H), 1.14-1.27 (m, 72H). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 121.4 MHz): δ 19.0 (s, ¹J_{Pt-P} = 2903.8 Hz). Anal. Calcd for C₁₃₄H₁₈₀O₁₄P₈Pt₄·2H₂O: C, 52.27; H, 6.02; Found: C, 51.99; H, 5.88.

[G2] Neutral Rhomboidal Metallob dendrimer **3c**

Yield: 9.33 mg (white solid), 96%. ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 300 MHz): δ 8.71 (s, 4H), 8.27 (s, 2H), 7.80 (s, 4H), 7.75 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 4H), 7.30-7.46 (m, 48H), 6.78 (s, 4H), 6.73 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 8H), 6.57 (s, 6H), 5.15 (s, 4H), 5.07 (s, 16H), 5.03 (s, 8H), 1.52-1.55 (m, 48H), 1.13-1.26 (m, 72H). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 121.4 MHz): δ 18.9 (s, ¹J_{Pt-P} = 2897.1 Hz). Anal. Calcd for C₁₉₀H₂₂₈O₂₂P₈Pt₄: C, 58.63; H, 5.90; Found: C, 58.22; H, 6.10.

[G3] Neutral Rhomboidal Metallob dendrimer **3d**

Yield: 13.41 mg (white glassy solid), 96%. ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 300 MHz): δ 8.70 (s, 4H), 8.27 (s, 2H), 7.80 (s, 4H), 7.74 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 4H), 7.25-7.45 (m, 88H), 6.80 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 4H), 6.72 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 8H), 6.69 (d, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 16H), 6.61 (s, 2H), 6.55 (s, 12H), 5.14 (s, 4H),

5.00-5.07 (m, 56H), 1.52-1.55 (m, 48H), 1.11-1.21 (m, 72H). $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (CD_2Cl_2 , 121.4 MHz): δ 19.0 (s, $^1J_{\text{Pt-P}} = 2908.6$ Hz). Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{302}\text{H}_{324}\text{O}_{38}\text{P}_8\text{Pt}_4$: C, 64.89; H, 5.84; Found: C, 65.13; H, 5.86.

[G0]-Neutral Hexagonal Metallo dendrimer 5a

6.23 mg (white solid), Yield: 95%. ^1H NMR (CD_2Cl_2 , 300 MHz): δ 8.16 (s, 3H), 7.68 (s, 6H), 7.61 (d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 12H), 7.34-7.51 (m, 27H), 5.16 (s, 6H), 1.55-1.59 (m, 72H), 1.12-1.20 (m, 108H). $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (CD_2Cl_2 , 121.4 MHz): δ 18.8 (s, $^1J_{\text{Pt-P}} = 2859.1$ Hz). Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{156}\text{H}_{234}\text{O}_{18}\text{P}_{12}\text{Pt}_6$: C, 47.56; H, 5.99; Found: C, 47.58; H, 6.24.

[G1] Neutral Hexagonal Metallo dendrimer 5b

7.32 mg (white solid), Yield: 96%. ^1H NMR (CD_2Cl_2 , 300 MHz): δ 8.19 (s, 3H), 7.70 (s, 6H), 7.62 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 12H), 7.36-7.45 (m, 42H), 6.76 (s, 6H), 6.58 (s, 3H), 5.13 (s, 6H), 5.08 (s, 12H), 1.56-1.62 (m, 72H), 1.11-1.21 (m, 108H). $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (CD_2Cl_2 , 121.4 MHz): δ 18.8 (s, $^1J_{\text{Pt-P}} = 2856.8$ Hz). Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{198}\text{H}_{270}\text{O}_{24}\text{P}_{12}\text{Pt}_6$: C, 51.96; H, 5.95; Found: C, 51.92; H, 5.99.

[G2] Neutral Hexagonal Metallo dendrimer 5c

9.45 mg (white solid), Yield: 97%. ^1H NMR (CD_2Cl_2 , 300 MHz): δ 8.18 (s, 3H), 7.70 (s, 6H), 7.60 (d, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 12H), 7.29-7.44 (m, 72H), 6.75 (s, 6H), 6.71 (d, $J = 2.1$ Hz, 12H), 6.56 (s, 9H), 5.11 (s, 6H), 5.05 (s, 24H), 5.01 (s, 12H), 1.54-1.56 (m, 72H), 1.09-1.19 (m, 108H). $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (CD_2Cl_2 , 121.4 MHz): δ 18.8 (s, $^1J_{\text{Pt-P}} = 2873.3$ Hz). Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{282}\text{H}_{342}\text{O}_{36}\text{P}_{12}\text{Pt}_6 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$: C, 57.54; H, 5.93; Found: C, 57.71; H, 6.35.

[G3] Neutral Hexagonal Metallo dendrimer 5d

13.29 mg (white glassy solid), Yield: 95%. ^1H NMR (CD_2Cl_2 , 300 MHz): δ 8.18 (s, 3H), 7.70 (s, 6H), 7.59 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 12H), 7.28-7.40 (m, 132H), 6.76 (s, 6H), 6.70 (s, 12H), 6.67 (d, $J = 1.8$ Hz, 24H), 6.59 (s, 3H), 6.54 (s, 18H), 5.09 (s, 6H), 4.98-5.01 (m, 84H), 1.53-1.55 (m, 72H), 1.07-1.17 (m, 108H). $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (CD_2Cl_2 , 121.4 MHz): δ 18.8 (s, $^1J_{\text{Pt-P}} = 2868.3$ Hz). Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{450}\text{H}_{486}\text{O}_{60}\text{P}_{12}\text{Pt}_6$: C, 64.37; H, 5.83; Found: C, 64.76; H, 5.75.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

H.-B. Y. thanks the Shanghai Pujiang Program (09PJ1404100) for financial support. P.J.S. thanks the NIH (Grant GM-057052) for financial support. B.H.N. thanks the NIH (Grant GM-080820) for financial support. We thank Dr. Jim Muller for his help with mass spectral measurements

References

1. Lehn, JM. *Supramolecular Chemistry: concepts and perspectives*. VCH; New York: 1995. Constable, EC. *Polymer Transition Metal Helicates*. Vol. 9. Ibid; 1996. p. 213Chapter 6Chambon, JC.; Dietrich-Buchecker, C.; Sauvage, JP. *Transition Metals as Assembling and Templating Species*. In: Lehn, JM.; Chair, E.; Atwood, JL.; Davis, JED.; MacNicol, DD.; Vogtle, F., editors. *Comprehensive Supramolecular Chemistry*. Vol. 9. Pergamon Press; Oxford: 1996. p. 43Chapter 2Uller, E.; Demleitner, I.; Bernt, I.; Saalfrank, RW. *Synergistic Effect of Serendipity and Rational Design in Supramolecular Chemistry*. In: Fujita, M., editor. *Structure and Bonding*. Vol. 96. Springer; Berlin: 2000. p. 149 (e) Leininger S, Olenyuk B, Stang PJ. *Chem Rev* 2000;100:853–908. [PubMed: 11749254] (f) Schwab PFH, Levin MD, Michl J. *Chem Rev* 1999;99:1863. [PubMed: 11849014]

2. (a) Stang PJ, Olenyuk B. *Acc Chem Res* 1997;30:502. Holliday, BJ.; Mirkin, CA. *Angew Chem, Int Ed*. Vol. 40. 2001. p. 2022 (c) Seidel SR, Stang PJ. *Acc Chem Res* 2002;35:972. [PubMed: 12437322] (d) Fujita M, Umemoto K, Yoshizawa M, Fujita N, Kusakawa T, Biradha K. *Chem Commun* 2001:509. (e) Caulder DL, Raymond KN. *Acc Chem Res* 1999;32:975. (f) Gianneschi NC, Masar MS III, Mirkin CA. *Acc Chem Res* 2005;38:825. [PubMed: 16285706] (g) Cotton FA, Lin C, Murillo CA. *Acc Chem Res* 2001;34:759. [PubMed: 11601960] (h) Fujita M, Tominaga M, Hori A, Therrien B. *Acc Chem Res* 2005;38:369. [PubMed: 15835883] (i) Fiedler D, Leung DH, Bergman RG, Raymond KN. *Acc Chem Res* 2005;38:349. [PubMed: 15835881] (j) Steel PJ. *Acc Chem Res* 2005;38:243. [PubMed: 15835871] (k) Zangrando E, Casanova M, Alessio E. *Chem Rev* 2008;108:4979. [PubMed: 19067647] (l) Oliveri CG, Ulmann PA, Wiester MJ, Mirkin CA. *Acc Chem Res* 2008;41:1618. [PubMed: 18642933] (m) Lee SJ, Hupp JT. *Coord Chem Rev* 2006;250:1710.
3. Goshe AJ, Steele IM, Ceccarelli C, Rheingold AL, Bosnich B. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2002;99:4823. [PubMed: 11959933]
4. (a) Fujita M, Oguro D, Miyazawa M, Oka H, Yamaguchi K, Ogura K. *Nature* 1995;378:469. (b) Olenyuk B, Levin MD, Whiteford JA, Shield JE, Stang PJ. *J Am Chem Soc* 1999;121:10434. (c) Olenyuk B, Whiteford JA, Fechtenkötter A, Stang PJ. *Nature* 1999;398:796. [PubMed: 10235260] (d) Takeda N, Umemoto K, Yamaguchi K, Fujita M. *Nature* 1999;398:794. (e) Fujita M, Fujita N, Ogura K, Yamaguchi K. *Nature* 1999;400:52. (f) Lee SJ, Lin W. *J Am Chem Soc* 2002;124:4554. [PubMed: 11971690] (g) Kuehl CJ, Kryschenko YK, Radhakrishnan U, Seidel SR, Huang SD, Stang PJ. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2002;99:4932. [PubMed: 11880599] (h) Huang XC, Zhang JP, Chen XM. *J Am Chem Soc* 2004;126:13218. [PubMed: 15479064] (i) Davis AV, Raymond KN. *J Am Chem Soc* 2005;127:7912. [PubMed: 15913381] (j) Hiraoka S, Sakata Y, Shionoya M. *J Am Chem Soc* 2008;130:10058. [PubMed: 18613672] (k) Hiraoka S, Harano K, Shiro M, Ozawa Y, Yasuda N, Toriumi K, Shionoya M. *Angew Chem, Int Ed* 2006;45:6488. (l) Merlau ML, Del Pilar Mejia M, Nguyen ST, Hupp JT. *Angew Chem, Int Ed* 2001;40:4239. (m) Sun SS, Stern CL, Nguyen ST, Hupp JT. *J Am Chem Soc* 2004;126:6314. [PubMed: 15149229] (n) Murase T, Sato S, Fujita M. *Angew Chem, Int Ed* 2007;46:1083. (o) Kawano M, Kawamichi T, Haneda T, Kojima T, Fujita M. *J Am Chem Soc* 2007;129:15418. [PubMed: 18031041] (p) Ghosh S, Mukherjee PS. *J Org Chem* 2006;71:8412. [PubMed: 17064013]
5. (a) Cotton FA, Daniels LM, Lin C, Murillo CA. *J Am Chem Soc* 1999;121:4538. (b) Cotton FA, Donahue JP, Murillo CA. *J Am Chem Soc* 2003;125:5436. [PubMed: 12720458]
6. Cotton FA, Lin C, Daniels ML, Murillo CA, Yu SY. *J Chem Soc, Dalton Trans* 2001:502.
7. (a) Bala M, Thanasekaran P, Rajendran T, Liao RT, Liu YH, Lee GH, Peng SM, Rajagopal S, Lu KL. *Inorg Chem* 2003;42:4795. [PubMed: 12895097] (b) Manimaran B, Rajendran T, Lu YL, Lee GH, Peng SM, Lu KL. *Eur J Inorg Chem* 2001;3:633. (c) Slone RV, Hupp JT, Albrecht-Schmitt TE. *Inorg Chem* 1996;35:4096. [PubMed: 11666616]
8. (a) Saalfrank RW, Reimann U, Goritz M, Hampel E, Scheurer A, Heinemann FW, Busches M, Daub J, Schunemann V, Trautwein AX. *Chem Eur J* 2002;8:3614. (b) Saalfrank RW, Trummer S, Reimann U, Chowdhry MM, Hampel F, Waldmann O. *Angew Chem, Int Ed* 2000;39:3492.
9. (a) Das N, Mukherjee PS, Arif AM, Stang PJ. *J Am Chem Soc* 2003;125:13950. [PubMed: 14611218] (b) Mukherjee PS, Das N, Kryschenko YK, Arif AM, Stang PJ. *J Am Chem Soc* 2004;126:2464. [PubMed: 14982455] (c) Das N, Arif AM, Stang PJ, Sieger M, Sarkar B, Kaim W, Fiedler J. *Inorg Chem* 2005;44:57984. (d) Das N, Stang PJ, Arif AM, Campana CF. *J Org Chem* 2005;70:10440. [PubMed: 16323855] (e) Das N, Ghosh A, Singh OM, Stang PJ. *Org Lett* 2006;8:1701. [PubMed: 16597145] (f) Huang F, Yang HB, Das N, Maran U, Arif AM, Gibson HW, Stang PJ. *J Org Chem* 2006;71:6623. [PubMed: 16901156] (g) Ghosh S, Mukherjee PS. *Inorg Chem* 2009;48:2605. [PubMed: 19267506]
10. Newkome GR, Moorefield F, Vögtle F, Baker GR, Johnson AL, Behara RK. *Angew Chem, Int Ed Engl* 1991;30:1176.
11. Denti G, Serroni S, Campagna S, Ricevuto V, Balzani V. *Inorg Chim Acta* 1991;182:127.
12. Newkome, GR.; Moorefield, F.; Vögtle, F. *Dendritic Molecules: Concepts, Synthesis, Properties*. VCH; Weinheim, Germany: 1996. (b) Constable EC. *Chem Commun* 1997:1073. (c) Zeng F, Zimmerman SC. *Chem Rev* 1997;97:1681. [PubMed: 11851463] (d) Newkome GR, He E, Moorefield CN. *Chem Rev* 1999;99:1689. [PubMed: 11849008] (e) Bosman AW, Janssen HM, Meijer EW. *Chem Rev* 1999;99:1665. [PubMed: 11849007] (f) Balzani V, Campagna S, Denti G, Juris A, Serroni S, Venturi M. *Acc Chem Res* 1998;31:26. (g) Gorman CB, Smith JC. *Acc Chem*

- Res 2001;34:60. [PubMed: 11170357] (h) Astruc D. Acc Chem Res 2000;33:287. [PubMed: 10813873] (i) Crooks RM, Zhao M, Sun L, Chechik V, Yeung LK. Acc Chem Res 2001;34:181. [PubMed: 11263876] (j) Selby HD, Roland BK, Zheng Z. Acc Chem Res 2003;36:933. [PubMed: 14674784] (k) Huck WTS, van Veggel FCJM, Reinhoudt DN. Angew Chem, Int Ed Engl 1996;35:1213. (l) Enomoto M, Aida T. J Am Chem Soc 1999;121:874. (m) Hwang SH, Shreiner CD, Moorefield CN, Newkome GR. New J Chem 2007;1192. (n) Constable EC. Chem Soc Rev 2007;36:246. [PubMed: 17264927] (o) Baytekin HT, Sahre M, Rang A, Engeser M, Schulz A, Schalley CA. Small 2008;4:1823. [PubMed: 18752209]
13. (a) Astruc D, Chardac F. Chem Rev 2001;101:2991. [PubMed: 11749398] (b) Becker JJ, Gagné MR. Acc Chem Res 2004;37:798. [PubMed: 15491126] (c) Astruc D, Ornelas C, Ruiz J. Acc Chem Res 2008;41:841. [PubMed: 18624394] (d) Bonomi R, Selvestrel F, Lombardo V, Sissi C, Polizzi S, Mancin F, Tonellato U, Scrimin P. J Am Chem Soc 2008;130:15744. [PubMed: 18975902]
14. (a) Enomoto M, Aida T. J Am Chem Soc 1999;121:874. (b) Percec V, Dulcey AE, Peterca M, Ilies M, Sienkowska MJ, Heiney PA. J Am Chem Soc 2005;127:17902. [PubMed: 16351122]
15. (a) Adronov A, Fréchet MJ. Chem Commun 2000:1701. (b) Jiang H, Lee SJ, Lin W. Org Lett 2002;4:2149. [PubMed: 12074654] (c) Choi MS, Yamazaki T, Yamazaki I, Aida T. Angew Chem, Int Ed 2004;43:150. (d) Mo YJ, Jiang DL, Uyemura M, Aida T, Kitagawa T. J Am Chem Soc 2005;127:10020. [PubMed: 16011364] (e) Maury O, Bozec HL. Acc Chem Res 2005;38:691. [PubMed: 16171312] (f) Hwang SH, Moorefield CN, Newkome GR. Chem Commun 2008;37:2543.
16. (a) Newkome RN, He E, Godinez LA, Baker GR. J Am Chem Soc 2000;122:9993. (b) Newkome RN, Yoo KS, Kim HJ, Moorefield CN. Chem Eur J 2003;9:3367. (c) Newkome RN, Kim HJ, Choi KH, Moorefield CN. Macromolecules 2004;37:6268. (d) Rodríguez L, Lodeiro C, Lima JC, Crehuet R. Inorg Chem 2008;47:4952. [PubMed: 18439003] (e) Angurell I, Muller G, Rocamora R, Rossell O, Seco M. Dalton Trans 2003;6:1194.
17. (a) Northrop BH, Yang HB, Stang PJ. Chem Commun 2008:5896. (b) Yang HB, Ghosh K, Northrop BH, Zheng YR, Lyndon MM, Muddiman DC, Stang PJ. J Am Chem Soc 2007;129:14187. [PubMed: 17963382] (c) Yang HB, Ghosh K, Zhao Y, Northrop BH, Lyndon MM, Muddiman DC, White HS, Stang PJ. J Am Chem Soc 2008;130:839. [PubMed: 18166061] (d) Ghosh K, Yang HB, Northrop BH, Lyndon MM, Zheng YR, Muddiman DC, Stang PJ. J Am Chem Soc 2008;130:5320. [PubMed: 18341280] (e) Northrop BH, Glöckner A, Stang PJ. J Org Chem 2008;73:1787. [PubMed: 18247491] (f) Ghosh K, Zhao Y, Yang HB, Northrop BH, White HS, Stang PJ. J Org Chem 2008;73:8553. [PubMed: 18841907] (g) Ghosh K, Hu J, White HS, Stang PJ. J Am Chem Soc 2009;131:6695. [PubMed: 19397325]
18. (a) Yang HB, Das N, Huang F, Hawkrigge AM, Muddiman DC, Stang PJ. J Am Chem Soc 2006;128:10014. [PubMed: 16881621] (b) Yang HB, Hawkrigge AM, Huang SD, Das N, Bunge SD, Muddiman DC, Stang PJ. J Am Chem Soc 2007;129:2120. [PubMed: 17256935] (c) Yang HB, Northrop BH, Zheng YR, Ghosh K, Lyndon MM, Muddiman DC, Stang PJ. J Org Chem 2009;74:3524. [PubMed: 19344131]
19. Deng GJ, Yi B, Huang YY, T WJ, He YM, F QH. Adv Synth & Catal 2004;346:440.
20. Kryschenko YK, Seidel SR, Arif AM, Stang PJ. J Am Chem Soc 2003;125:5193. [PubMed: 12708871]
21. Leininger S, Schmitz M, Stang PJ. Org Lett 1999;1:1921. [PubMed: 10836049]





FIGURE 1.
Schematic and chemical structures of 120° dendritic dicarboxylate donor subunits **1**.

**FIGURE 2.**

The partial ^1H NMR (top) and $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (bottom) spectra of [G3] neutral rhomboidal metallodendrimer **3d** (See Figure 1 and Scheme 1 for the structures of building blocks **1a-d** and **2**).




FIGURE 3.
Calculated (top) and experimental (bottom) ESI-MS spectra of [G0] rhomboidal metallodendrimer (A) and [G1] rhomboidal metallodendrimer (B).




FIGURE 4.
Crystal structure of [G0] neutral rhomboidal metallodendrimer **3a**. Hydrogen atoms have been removed for clarity.






FIGURE 5.

Crystal structure of [G1] neutral rhomboidal metallodendrimer **3b**. Hydrogen atoms have been removed for clarity.

**FIGURE 6.**

The partial ^1H NMR (top) and $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (bottom) spectra of [G3] neutral hexagonal metallodendrimer **5d** (See Figure 1 and Scheme 2 for the structures of building blocks **1a-d** and **4**).

**FIGURE 7.**

Calculated (top), experimental ESI(+)-TOF-MS (middle), and experimental APPI(+)-TOF-MS (bottom) spectra of [G0] neutral hexagonal metallodendrimer **5a** (column A shows the $[M + Na]^+$ peak and column B shows the $[M + 2Na]^{2+}$ peak).






FIGURE 8. Simulated molecular model of [G3] neutral hexagonal metallodendrimer **5d** (C = grey, O = red, P = purple, Pt = yellow; hydrogen atoms have been removed for clarity).

**SCHEME 1.**

Self-Assembly of [G0]–[G3] 120° Angular Dendritic Linkers **1a–d** with 60° Di-platinum Acceptor **2** to Afford Neutral Rhomboidal Metallodendrimers **3a–d**

**SCHEME 2.**

Self-Assembly of [G0]–[G3] 120° Angular Dendritic Linkers **1a–d** with 120° Di-platinum Acceptor **4** to Afford Neutral Hexagonal Metallodendrimers **5a–d**

TABLE 1

Crystal Data and Structure Refinement for **3a** and **3b**

	3a	3b
Empirical formula	C ₁₀₉ H ₁₆₂ O ₁₁ P ₈ Pt ₄	C ₁₄₃ H ₁₉₈ O ₁₇ P ₈ Pt ₄
Formula weight	2676.51	3217.13
Temp.(K)	150(1)	150(1)
Cryst. System	Monoclinic	Triclinic
Space group	<i>P</i> 2 ₁	<i>P</i> 1
Unit cell dimensions	<i>a</i> = 15.5715(3) Å <i>b</i> = 14.5131(4) Å <i>c</i> = 25.4337(4) Å α = 90° β = 99.9300(12)° γ = 90° <i>V</i> = 5661.7(2) Å ³ <i>Z</i> = 2	<i>a</i> = 15.6185(2) Å <i>b</i> = 16.1923(2) Å <i>c</i> = 29.9377(4) Å α = 81.5975(8)° β = 81.9972(7)° γ = 72.5629(8)° <i>V</i> = 7109.15(16) Å ³ <i>Z</i> = 2
Density (calcd, g/cm ³)	1.570	1.503
Abs. coeff. (mm ⁻¹)	5.094	4.074
<i>F</i> (000)	2672	2672
Cryst. Size	0.28 × 0.28 × 0.20 mm ³	0.28 × 0.23 × 0.13 mm ³
θ range for data collection	1.33 to 27.54°	1.43 to 25.35°
Reflns collected/unique	22371/22371 [R(int) = 0.0000]	40885/25919 [R(int) = 0.0546]
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F ²	Full-matrix least-squares on F ²
Data/restraints/params	22371 / 4 / 1135	25919 / 25 / 1380
GOF on <i>F</i> ²	1.035	1.040
Final <i>R</i> indices [<i>F</i> _o ² > $\sigma^2(F_o^2)$]	<i>R</i> 1 = 0.0531, <i>wR</i> 2 = 0.1051	<i>R</i> 1 = 0.0788, <i>wR</i> 2 = 0.1488
Largest peak and hole (e/Å ³)	2.618 and -1.586	1.967 and -1.398

TABLE 2
Selected Bond Lengths (Å) and Angles (deg) for **3a** and **3b**

3a					
Pt(1)-O(9)	2.133(8)	Pt(1)-P(1)	2.289(4)	Pt(1)-P(2)	2.299(4)
Pt(2)-O(1)	2.111(9)	Pt(2)-P(3)	2.302(3)	Pt(2)-P(4)	2.288(4)
O(9)-Pt(1)-P(1)		87.1(3)	O(9)-Pt(1)-P(2)		94.6(2)
P(1)-Pt(1)-P(2)		173.06(14)	O(1)-Pt(2)-P(3)		87.8(3)
O(1)-Pt(2)-P(4)		92.2(3)	P(3)-Pt(2)-P(4)		174.91(17)
3b					
Pt(1)-O(10)	2.110(7)	Pt(1)-P(1)	2.292(4)	Pt(1)-P(2)	2.270(4)
Pt(2)-O(1)	2.129(7)	Pt(2)-P(3)	2.251(5)	Pt(2)-P(4)	2.328(4)
O(10)-Pt(1)-P(1)		92.8(3)	O(10)-Pt(1)-P(2)		90.0(3)
P(1)-Pt(1)-P(2)		176.86(16)	O(1)-Pt(2)-P(3)		89.1(3)
O(1)-Pt(2)-P(4)		90.8(2)	P(3)-Pt(2)-P(4)		179.84(16)