See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/11318759

Studies on the synthesis of bafilomycin A(1): stereochemical aspects of the fragment assembly aldol reaction for construction of the C(13)-C25) segment.

| ARTICLE in THE JOURNAL OF ORGANIC CHEMISTRY | · JULY 2002 |  |  |
|---|-------------|--|--|
| Impact Factor: 4.72 · Source: PubMed        |             |  |  |
|   |             |  |  |
|   |             |  |  |
|   |             |  |  |
| CITATIONS                                   | READS       |  |  |
| 5   | 10          |  |  |

**5 AUTHORS**, INCLUDING:



**Thomas D Bannister** 

The Scripps Research Institute

47 PUBLICATIONS 568 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

# Studies on the Synthesis of Bafilomycin A<sub>1</sub>: Stereochemical Aspects of the Fragment Assembly Aldol Reaction for Construction of the C(13)-C(25) Segment

William R. Roush,\*,1 Thomas D. Bannister,2 Michael D. Wendt,2 Jill A. Jablonowski,2 and Karl A. Scheidt<sup>3</sup>

Departments of Chemistry, Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana 47405, and University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109

### roush@umich.edu

Received December 28, 2001

Highly stereoselective syntheses of aldols 8a-c corresponding to the C(13)-C(25) segment of bafilomycin A<sub>1</sub> were developed by routes involving fragment assembly aldol reactions of chiral aldehyde 6a and the chiral methyl ketones 7. A remote chelation effect plays a critical role in determining the stereoselectivity of the key aldol coupling of **6a** and the lithium enolate of **7b**. The protecting group for C(23)—OH of the chiral aldehyde fragment also influences the selectivity of the lithium enolate aldol reaction. In contrast, the aldol reaction of 6a and the chlorotitanium enolates of **7a.c** were much less sensitive to the nature of the C(15)-hydroxyl protecting group. Studies of the reactions of chiral aldehydes with Takai's (y-methoxyallyl)chromium reagent 40 are also described. The stereoselectivity of these reactions is also highly dependent on the protecting groups and stereochemistry of the chiral aldehyde substrates.

Bafilomycin  $A_1$  (1),<sup>4</sup> a member of the hygrolide family of macrolide antibiotics, 5 is a potent vacuolar H<sup>+</sup>-ATPase inhibitor that displays broad antibacterial and antifungal activity.6 The stereochemistry of bafilomycin A<sub>1</sub>, and of the hydrolide family in general, was initially assigned by Corey on the basis of a molecular modeling analysis of published NMR data. The stereostructural assignment of 1 was subsequently verified by X-ray crystallography. 7,8 Other members of this family include the hygrolidins (e.g., hygrolidin, 2), which are active against SV-40 transformed C-3H-2K cells, 9,10 leucanicidin, which has antifungal and insecticidal activity,11 L-681,110A1, an inhibitor of Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup>-ATPase, <sup>12</sup> and L-155,175, which has antiparasitic activity. 13 The concanamycins (e.g., concanomycin A, 3), are 18-membered lactone congeners which also are potent vacuolar H<sup>+</sup>-ATPase inhibitors.<sup>14</sup> The

newest member of this family is formamicin, 4, which displays significant cytotoxicity against murine tumor cell lines in vitro, particularly leukemia cells. 15,16

## bafilomycin A<sub>1</sub> (1)

### hygrolidin (2)

formamicin (4)

The interesting biological activity of this group of compounds has stimulated interest both in the semisynthesis of analogues, 17-19 as well as the chemical synthesis

- (1) Address correspondence to this author at the Department of Chemistry, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1055.
  - (2) Indiana University.
  - (3) University of Michigan.
- (4) Werner, G.; Hagenmaier, H.; Drautz, H.; Baumgartner, A.; Zähner, H. *J. Antibiot.* **1984**, *37*, 110. (5) Corey, E. J.; Ponder, J. W. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1984**, *25*, 4325.
- (6) Bowman, E. J.; Siebers, A.; Altendorf, K. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1988, 85, 7972.
- (7) Baker, G. H.; Brown, P. J.; Dorgan, R. J. J.; Everett, J. R.; Ley, S. V.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; Williams, D. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28,
- (8) Baker, G. H.; Brown, P. J.; Dorgan, R. J. J.; Everett, J. R. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1989, 1073 and references therein.
- (9) Seto, H.; Tajima, I.; Akao, H.; Furihata, K.; Otake, N. J. Antibiot. 1984, 37, 610.
- (10) Seto, H.; Akao, H.; Furihata, K.; Otake, N. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 2667.
- (11) Isogai, A.; Sakuda, S.; Matsumoto, S.; Ogura, M.; Furihata, K.;
- Seto, H.; Suzuki, A. *Agric. Biol. Chem.* **1984**, *48*, 1379. (12) Hensens, O. D.; Monaghan, R. L.; Huang, L. Y.; Albers-Schönberg, G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1983**, *105*, 3672.

  (13) Goetz, M. A.; McCormick, P. A.; Monaghan, R. L.; Ostlind, D.
- A.; Hensens, O. D.; Liesch, J. M.; Albers-Schönberg, G. J. Antibiot.
- (14) Kinashi, H.; Someno, K.; Sakaguchi, K.; Higashijima, T.; Miyazawa, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1981**, *22*, 3861.

of the natural products themselves. Total syntheses of bafilomycin A<sub>1</sub> have been recorded by Evans, 20 Toshima, <sup>21–23</sup> Hanessian, <sup>24</sup> and also our laboratory. <sup>25,26</sup> Several other studies on the synthesis of the bafilomycin have been reported, <sup>27,28</sup> including a very recent total synthesis of bafilomycin V<sub>1</sub> by Marshall and co-workers.<sup>29</sup> A total synthesis of hygrolidin has been accomplished by Yonemitsu, 30,31 and total syntheses of concanamicin F (the aglycone of concanamicin A) have been recorded by both the Toshima and Paterson groups. 32,33 We report here the details of our synthesis of the C(13)-C(25) segment of 1 via a fragment assembly aldol sequence, preliminary accounts of which have been reported previously.34,35 These studies strongly influenced the evolution of the strategy for our ultimately successful bafilomycin total synthesis. 25,26

From the outset, our strategy for the synthesis of bafilomycin A<sub>1</sub> focused on the assembly of three key fragments: stereotriad 5, corresponding to the C(5)-C(11) segment of the natural product; aldehyde **6**, the C(21)-C(25) fragment: methyl ketone 7, the C(13)-C(20)unit. We anticipated that the C(10)-C(14) diene unit spanning fragments 5 and 7 could be introduced by a Wittig- or Horner-type olefination sequence, or via a Pd(0) mediated cross coupling reaction, and that a diastereoselective aldol reaction would be used in the union of the chiral methyl ketone (deriving from fragment 7) with the chiral aldehyde 6. However, it was not obvious at the outset what the preferred order of fragment coupling would be, nor was it apparent what the stereochemical control opportunities would be for the proposed aldol coupling of fragments 6 and 7.

The aldol reaction has been studied extensively during the past decade, and numerous applications of this

(15) Igarashi, M.; Kinoshita, N.; Ikeda, T.; Nakagawa, E.; Hamada, M.; Takeuchi, T. *J. Antibiot.* **1997**, *50*, 926.

(16) Igarashi, M.; Nakamura, H.; Naganawa, H.; Takeuchi, T. J. Antibiot. 1997, 50, 932.

(17) Hanessian, S.; Tehim, A.; Meng, Q. C.; Grandberg, K. *Tetra-hedron Lett.* **1996**, *37*, 9001.

(18) Gatti, P. A.; Gagliardi, S.; Cerri, A.; Visconti, M.; Farina, C. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 7185. (19) Gagliardi, S.; Catti, P. A.; Belfiore, P.; Zocchetti, A.; Clarke, G.

D.; Farina, C. J. Med. Chem. 1998, 41, 1883.

(20) Evans, D. A.; Calter, M. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 6871. (21) Toshima, K.; Jyojima, T.; Yamaguchi, H.; Murase, H.; Yoshida, T.; Matsumura, S.; Nakata, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 1069.

(22) Toshima, K.; Yamaguchi, H.; Jyojima, T.; Noguchi, Y.; Nakata,

M.; Matsumura, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1996**, *37*, 1073. (23) Toshima, K.; Jyojima, T.; Yamaguchi, H.; Noguchi, Y.; Yoshida, T.; Murase, H.; Nakata, M.; Matsumura, S. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62,

(24) Hanessian, S.; Ma, J.; Wang, W.; Gai, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2001**, 123, 10200.

(25) Scheidt, K. A.; Tasaka, A.; Bannister, T. D.; Wendt, M. D.; Roush, W. R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 1652.

(26) Scheidt, K. A.; Tasaka, A.; Bannister, T. D.; Wendt, M. D.; Savall, B. M.; Fegley, G. J.; Roush, W. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, in

(27) Paterson, I.; Bower, S.; McLeod, M. D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, *36*. 175.

(28) Marshall, J. A.; Adams, N. D. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 2897.

(29) Marshall, J. A.; Adams, N. D. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 733.

process have now been recorded in the synthesis of natural (and unnatural) products.<sup>36</sup> However, in 1989 when our studies on this problem were initated, relatively little information was available that would allow us to predict with confidence the outcome of the proposed aldol coupling. Because both fragments 6 and 7 are chiral, we expected that the stereochemical course of this reaction would depend on the intrinsic diastereofacial preference of each.<sup>37</sup> While it was reasonable to expect that the aldehyde fragment would favor production of the desired C(21,22)-syn diastereomer 8 by application of the Felkin-Anh paradigm,<sup>38-41</sup> assuming that the reaction did not proceed by way of a chelate-controlled pathway, 42 less certain was the diastereofacial selectivity preference of the chiral methyl ketone fragment 7.43-48 Although a considerable body of information existed concerning aldol reactions of chiral ethyl ketone enolates, there were indications that the diastereofacial selectivity of chiral ethyl ketone enolates is dependent on the metal counterion. 49-52 Moreover, evidence also existed that aldol reactions of methyl ketone enolates are often less diastereofacial selective than the corresponding ethyl ketone enolates.<sup>36f</sup> Accordingly, we decided to address the stereochemistry of the proposed aldol coupling as the first step in the development of our strategy for the total synthesis of bafilomycin A<sub>1</sub>.

The results of this study, preliminary accounts of which were published in 1992 and 1993,34,35 served to define the protecting groups that would be used for the R<sub>1</sub> and  $R_2$  positions of  $\mathbf{6}$  and  $\mathbf{7}$ , as well as the preferred order of

(31) Makino, K.; Nakajima, N.; Hashimoto, S.; Yonemitsu, O. Tetrahedron Lett. **1996**, *37*, 9077.

(32) Jyojima, T.; Miyamoto, N.; Katohno, M.; Nakata, M.; Matsumura, S.; Toshima, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 6007.

(33) Paterson, I.; Doughty, V. A.; McLeod, M. D.; Trieselmann, T. *Angew. Chem., Int.* **2000**, *39*, 1308.

(34) Roush, W. R.; Bannister, T. D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 3587. (35) Roush, W. R.; Bannister, T. D.; Wendt, M. D. Tetrahedron Lett. **1993**, 34, 8387

(36) Reviews of the aldol reaction: (a) Cowden, C. J.; Paterson, I. Org. React. 1997, 51, 1. (b) Franklin, A. S.; Paterson, I. Contemp. Org. Synth. 1994, 1, 317. (c) Heathcock, C. H. In Comprehensive Organic Synthesis; Heathcock, C. H., Ed.; Pergamon Press: New York, 1991; Vol. 2, p 181. (d) Kim, B. M.; Williams, S. F.; Masamune, S. In Comprehensive Organic Synthesis; Heathcock, C. H., Ed.; Pergamon Press: New York, 1991; Vol. 2, p 239. (e) Paterson, I. In *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis*; Heathcock, C. H., Ed.; Pergamon Press: New York, 1991; Vol. 2, p 301. (f) Braun, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1987, 26, 24. (g) Heathcock, C. H. Asymmetric Synth. 1984, 3, 111. (h) Evans,

A.; Nelson, J. V.; Taber, T. R. *Top. Stereochem.* **1982**, *13*, 1.
 (37) Masamune, S.; Choy, W.; Petersen, J. S.; Sita, L. R. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1985**, *24*, 1.
 (38) Cherest, M.; Felkin, H.; Prudent, N. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1968**,

(39) Anh, N. T.; Eisenstein, O. Nouv. J. Chim. 1977, 1, 61.

(40) Lodge, E. P.; Heathcock, C. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 3353.

(41) Roush, W. R. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 4151.

(42) Reetz, M. T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1984, 23, 556.

<sup>(30)</sup> Makino, K.; Kimura, K.; Nakajima, N.; Hashimoto, S.; Yonemitsu, O. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1996**, *37*, 9073.

coupling of fragments **5**−**7** in the ultimately successful total synthesis.<sup>25</sup> Stereochemical studies of aldol reactions of chiral methyl ketone<sup>20,53-58</sup> and ethyl ketone metal enolates  $^{58-60}$  subsequently published from several laboratories, including our own, 53-55,59 have now defined a significant number of the stereochemical features of fragment assembly aldol reactions that can be used for predictive purposes in designing efficient syntheses of complex target molecules.

Synthesis of Aldehyde 6. Because the stereochemistry (and the stereoselectivity) of the fragment assembly aldol coupling of 6 and 7 would depend on the diastereofacial preferences of each component,<sup>37</sup> it was necessary that both fragments be synthesized with high enantiomeric purity. After several inital routes were rejected owing to poor diastereo- and/or enantioselectivity,61,62 our first workable synthesis of 6 was developed on the basis of an Evans' asymmetric aldol reaction of chiral crotonate imide 10.63 Thus, asymmetric aldol reaction of **10** with isobutyraldehyde provided syn aldol 11 in 91% vield as the only observed isomer. Reduction of the borate ester regenerated from 11 with LiBH4 produced diol 12 in 85% yield. Tosylation of the primary hydroxyl group under standard conditions followed by reduction of the tosylate using LiAlH<sub>4</sub> in Et<sub>2</sub>O and then protection of the secondary alcohol as a p-methoxybenzyl (PMB) ether<sup>64</sup> gave **13** in 65% overall yield. Oxidative cleavage<sup>65</sup> of the vinyl group then completed the synthesis of aldehyde 6a.

Ultimately, the preferred method for synthesis of 2,3anti aldehyde **6** originated from from  $\beta$ -hydroxy ester **14**, which is easily prepared by enantioselective reduction

of ethyl isobutyrylacetate. 66 Fráter anti alkylation 67 of the lithium enolate of 14 with MeI followed by in situ silylation of the alkoxide with TBS-OTf provide ester 15 in 70% yield with >95:5 diastereoselectivity. Reduction of the ester to the primary alcohol with DIBAL-H and subsequent Swern oxidation<sup>68</sup> of the alcohol afforded aldehyde 6b in 83% overall yield.

**Aldol Reactions of 6: Initial Studies.** The aldol reaction of 6a and isopropyl methyl ketone (16a) was

(61) Bannister, T. D. Ph.D. Thesis, Indiana University, 1991.

(62) (a) One route to **6** based on the addition of diisopropylcuprate to  $\beta$ -alkoxy aldehyde **i** was abandoned since the key carbonyl addition step proceeded with only 4:1 diastereoselectivity (cf.: Still, W. C.; Schneider, J. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1980, 21, 1035). (b) A second route based on the asymmetric (E)-crotylboration of isobutyraldehyde was abandoned since the volatile homoallylic alcohol iii was obtained with  $\leq$ 90% ee (Roush, W. R.; Ando, K.; Powers, D. B.; Palkowitz, A. D.; Halterman, R. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990**, *112*, 6339. Brown, H. C.; Bhat, K. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 5919). (c) A third route to 6 involving the Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation of iv also was abandoned as epoxy alcohol v was obtained with only 92% ee (Katsuki, T.; Martin, V. S. Org. React. 1996, 48, 1). (d) A route involving the Heathcock-Evans anti aldol reaction of isobutyraldehyde and the L-valine-derived acyloxazolidinone was also discarded, as in our hands the desired anti aldol (corresponding to 15) was obtained with only 4:1 diastereoselectivity and in moderate yield (cf.: Walker, M. A.; Heathcock, C. H. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 5747).

(63) Evans, D. A.; Sjogren, E. B.; Bartroli, J.; Dow, R. L. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 4957.

(64) Horita, K.; Yoshioka, T.; Tanaka, T.; Oikawa, Y.; Yonemitsu, O. *Tetrahedron* **1986**, *42*, 3021. (65) VanRheenen, V.; Kelly, R. C.; Cha, D. Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1976**,

(66) Genêt, J. P.; Ratovelomanana-Vidal, V.; Caño de Andrade, M. C.; Pfister, X.; Guerreiro, P.; Lenoir, J. Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 4801 and references therein.

(67) Fráter, G.; Müller, U.; Günther, W. Tetrahedron 1984, 40, 1269. (68) Tidwell, T. T. Org. React. 1990, 39, 297.

<sup>(43)</sup> Seebach, D.; Chow, H. F.; Jackson, R. F. W.; Lawson, K.; Sutter, M. A.; Thaisrivongs, S.; Zimmermann, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107,

<sup>(44)</sup> Duplantier, A. J.; Nantz, M. H.; Roberts, J. C.; Short, R. P.; Somfai, P.; Masamune, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1989**, *30*, 7357.

<sup>(45)</sup> Paterson, I.; Goodman, J. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 997. (46) Paterson, I.; Goodman, J. M.; Lister, M. A.; Schumann, R. C.; McClure, C. K.; Norcross, R. D. Tetrahedron 1990, 46, 4663.

<sup>(47)</sup> Trost, B. M.; Urabe, H. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 3982.
(48) Evans, D. A.; Cage, J. R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 6129.

<sup>(49)</sup> McCarthy, P. A.; Kageyama, M. *J. Org. Chem.* **1987**, *52*, 4681. (50) Evans, D. A.; Clark, J. S.; Metternich, R.; Novack, V. J.;

Sheppard, G. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 866 (51) Evans, D. A.; Rieger, D. L.; Bilodeau, M. T.; Urpi, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 1047

<sup>(52)</sup> Martin, S. F.; Lee, W.-C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 2711.

<sup>(53)</sup> Gustin, D. J.; VanNieuwenhze, M. S.; Roush, W. R. *Tetrahedron* Lett. 1995, 36, 3443.

<sup>(54)</sup> Roush, W. R.; Lane, G. C. Org. Lett. 1999, 1, 95.

<sup>(55)</sup> Roush, W. R.; Dilley, G. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 4955.

<sup>(56)</sup> Evans, D. A.; Duffy, J. L.; Dart, M. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1994,

<sup>(57)</sup> Paterson, I.; Gibson, K. R.; Oballa, R. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37 8585

<sup>(58)</sup> Evans, D. A.; Dart, M. J.; Duffy, J. L.; Yang, M. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 4322

<sup>(59)</sup> Gustin, D. J.; VanNieuwenhze, M. S.; Roush, W. R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 3447.

<sup>(60)</sup> Evans, D. A.; Yang, M. G.; Dart, M. J.; Duffy, J. L. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 1957

examined to assess the diastereofacial preference of the chiral aldehyde. Treatment of the lithium enolate of 16a, generated by using LiN(TMS)2 in THF, with 6a for 1 min<sup>21</sup> at -78 °C provided a 3:1 mixture of diastereomeric aldols 17a, 18a in 94% yield. The stereochemistry of each aldol was verified by treatment with 1.0 equiv of DDQ, which effected oxidative cyclization to the corresponding p-methoxybenzylidene acetals, the stereostructures of which were easily confirmed by 1H NMR analysis (see Supporting Information). 69 The aldol reaction of 6a and **16a** was less selective (1.4:1 in favor of **17a**) by using the boron enolate generated by treatment of 16a with Bu<sub>2</sub>BOTf and Et<sub>3</sub>N but improved to 4.2:1 by using the chiral boron enolate prepared from 16a and (-)-Ipc<sub>2</sub>BOTf according to Paterson's protocol.46 The aldol reactions of aldehyde 6b and the lithium and dibutylboron enolates of pinacalone (16b) were also examined and were found to be less selective than the corresponding reactions of **6a**. Comparable results for the aldol reactions of **6a**,**b** have been reported by Evans.<sup>58</sup>

These studies showed that the intrinsic diastereofacial preference of  ${\bf 6a,b}$  favors the naturally occurring C(21) bafilomycin stereochemistry, when the aldol reaction is performed with a lithium enolate. Because the selectivity of the aldol reactions was modestly better using  ${\bf 6a}$  with a PMB protecting group rather than  ${\bf 6b}$  with a TBS ether, we concentrated on  ${\bf 6a}$  in the studies which follow.

The aldol reaction of **6a** and the model chiral methyl ketone **19** was next examined. Methyl ketone **19** was synthesized from the readily available homoallylic alcohol **20**<sup>70</sup> by protection of the hydroxyl group as a TBS ether and Wacker oxidation<sup>71</sup> of the vinyl group.

The lithium enolate of **19** underwent a highly diastereoselective reaction with **6a** under kinetically controlled conditions (THF, -78 °C, 30 s before NH<sub>4</sub>Cl quench). Under these conditions, the desired aldol **21** predomi-

nated over diastereomer 22 by a ratio of 10:1. Interestingly, the stereoselectivity of the aldol coupling of 6a and **19** was dependent on the reaction conditions. When the lithium enolate of 19 was treated with 6a for a longer time (30 min) at -78 °C or at higher temperatures (-40 °C, 5 min), substantial amounts of the C(18)-epimer 23 (up to 50% of 23) and enone 24 (from the -40 °C experiment only) were also obtained. These products presumably arise by intramolecular abstraction of the C(18)—H by the intermediate C(21) lithium alkoxide. Moreover, if the lithium enolate aldol reaction was performed in the presence of HMPA, selectivity dropped to 1:1.2 with the undesired epimer 22 predominating. Use of the sodium enolate and the dibutylboron enolate generated from 19 each gave ca. 1:1 mixtures of the two aldols. Attempts to improve the stereoselectivity of the boron aldol reaction via triple asymmetric synthesis,44 by using the boron enolate generated from **6a** and (-)-Ipc<sub>2</sub>BOTf, <sup>46</sup> were also unsuccessful, as this experiment provided the unwanted aldol 22 as the major component of a 2:1 mixture. No reaction was observed in attempts to couple 19 and 6a using enol borane intermediate from **19** and the enantiomeric (+)-Ipc<sub>2</sub>BOTf.

(a) The reaction of the enolate and 6a was quenched after 30 sec at -78 °C by addition of aq. NH₄CI.

No Reaction

(+)-lpc<sub>2</sub>BOTf, Et<sub>3</sub>N, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> -40 °C

The stereochemistry of the new hydroxyl groups in **21** and **22** was assigned by conversion of each compound to the corresponding *p*-methoxybenzylidene acetals **25** and **26**. In view of concerns raised by the isolation of the C(18)-epimer **23**, the C(18) stereochemistry of **21** was assigned by conversion to the spiroketal **28**, the stereochemistry of which follows unambiguously from the <sup>1</sup>H NMR NOE and coupling constant (*J*) data that are shown. The stereochemical assignment of C(18) of **22** is more involved and is summarized in the Supporting Information.

The dependence of aldol stereoselectivity on reaction conditions and especially on the nature of the metal enolate was curious. (Subsequent studies from our laboratory have established that the dependence of aldol stereoselectivity on metal enolate is general for methyl ketone aldol reactions. <sup>53–55,59</sup>) To determine if the product distribution reflected kinetic or thermodynamic control, we treated aldol **22**, the minor product of the aldol reaction of **6a** and **19**, with 1.1 equiv of LiN(TMS)<sub>2</sub> in THF at -78 °C for 15 min and also with Li(NTMS)<sub>2</sub> in THF-HMPA at -78 °C for 15 min, to regenerate the lithium aldolate. Diastereomer **21** was not observed in

<sup>(69)</sup> Oikawa, Y.; Yoshioka, T.; Yonemitsu, O. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 889.

<sup>(70)</sup> Roush, W. R.; Palkowitz, A. D.; Ando, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 6348.

<sup>(71)</sup> Hegedus, L. S. In *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis*; Trost, B. M., Ed.; Pergamon Press: Oxford, U.K., 1991; Vol. 4; p 551.

either case, indicating that the aldol reaction of 6a and the lithium enolate of 19 is kinetically controlled under the conditions specified above.

To gain additional insight into the factors that control the stereoselectivity of the aldol reaction of 6a and 19, we examined the aldol coupling of 19 with isobutyraldehyde and also the reaction of 6a and the enantiomeric methyl ketone, *ent-***19**. The reaction of the lithium enolate of 19 and isobutyraldehyde provided a ca. 1.5:1 mixture of aldols, while the reaction of 6a and ent-19 gave a 5:1 mixture favoring aldol 30. These results, in concert with the data presented previously for the reaction of aldehyde 6a and methyl ketones 16 (3:1 ds favoring 17a) and 19 (10:1 ds favoring 21), indicate that Masamune's multiplicativity rule does not apply rigorously to these double asymmetric fragment assembly aldol reactions.<sup>37</sup> Although the stereochemistry of aldol 29 was not assigned rigorously, we have tentatively assigned the major distereomer as the 1,4-syn isomer depicted for 29 by application of the <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis of the characteristic ABX pattern for the methylene  $\alpha$ -to the ketone carbonvl. 72 This assignment indicates that the lithium enolate of **19** exhibits a *re*-diastereofacial preference, which in turn is consistent with the diminished selectivity observed in the aldol reaction of ent-19 and 6a (5:1), compared to the 10:1 selectivity for the reaction of 19 and 6a. We believe that the lithium enolate of 19 and 6a represents the matched case, while the combination of **6a** and *ent-***19** represents the mismatched pair in these fragment assembly aldol reactions.<sup>37</sup> Because the selectivity of the reaction of 19 and isobutyraldehyde is very modest, it appears that the stereochemical course of these reactions is dominated by the diastereofacial preference of the chiral aldehyde component. This conclusion is reinforced by the observation that the aldol reaction of

**19** and TBS-protected chiral aldehyde **6b** (which is less diastereofacial selective than 6a in reactions with achiral methyl ketone enolates; vide supra) is virtually nonselective.73

Synthesis of Chiral Methyl Ketone 7. The excellent stereoselectivity of the aldol reaction of 6a and the lithium enolate of 19 encouraged us to proceed with the synthesis of the originally targeted methyl ketone 7. We elected to synthesize 7a with the C(15)-hydroxyl protected as a triethylsilyl (TES) ether, in anticipation that this protecting group would be suitable for use in the total synthesis. The synthesis of **7a** began with ethyl  $\beta$ -hydroxy- $\alpha$ -methylbutyrate **32**, which we prepared with 20:1 stereoselectivity via the Frater-Seebach alkylation of ethyl (R)- $\beta$ -hydroxybutyrate. <sup>67</sup> Aldehyde **33** was prepared from 32 by a four-step sequence involving DIBAL reduction of an intermediate 3,4-dimethoxybenzylidene acetal.<sup>74,75</sup> Treatment of aldehyde **33** with the diisopropyl (S,S)-tartrate modified (E)-crotylboronate **34**<sup>76</sup> in toluene at -78 °C in the presence of activated 4 Å molecular sieves provided a single homoallylic alcohol (via a matched double asymmetric transformation)<sup>70</sup> with ≥98:2 selectivity by <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis. Protection of the C(17) hydroxyl as a TBS ether then provided 35 in 85% yield for the two steps. The stereochemistry of 35 was verified by the accidental conversion of the derived aldehyde 36 to the pyranose 37 upon exposure to wet MgSO<sub>4</sub>.

<sup>(72)</sup> Roush, W. R.; Bannister, T. D.; Wendt, M. D.; VanNieuwehnze, M. S.; Gustin, D. J.; Dilley, G. D.; Lane, G. D.; Scheidt, K. A.; Smith, W. J. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 4284.

We were now ready to introduce the C(14) and C(15)stereocenters of the targeted methyl ketone 7a. Hoffmann had developed an (E)-( $\gamma$ -methoxyallyl)boronate reagent that in principle could be used for the present purposes.<sup>77</sup> However, in view of the difficulties associated with the synthesis of Hoffmann's (E)-( $\gamma$ -methoxyally)lboronate, we elected to explore use of Takai's (γ-methoxyallyl)chromium reagent which is generated in situ from acrolein dimethyl acetal, TMS-I, and CrCl<sub>2</sub>.<sup>78</sup> We were delighted to find that subjecting aldehyde 36 to Takai's reaction conditions provided homoallylic alcohol 38 with ca. 7:1 selectivity; the isolated yield of 38 was 76%. Multiple gram quantities (>10 g) of allyl methyl ether 38 were synthesized by using this procedure. The stereochemistry of 38 was assigned by conversion to the pyranose derivative **39**, which exhibited  $J_{14,15} = 3.1$  Hz and  $J_{15,16} = 11.4$ Hz in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum. These data are unambiguously consistent with the 14,15-anti-15,16-syn stereochemical relationship in **38**. Finally, the synthesis of **7a** was completed by protection of C(15)—OH as a TES ether, DDQ oxidative deprotection of the DMPM ether.<sup>64</sup> and PCC oxidation of the resulting C(19)-OH.

The Takai methoxyallylation protocol is mechanistically related to the reactions of the Hiyama-Nozaki crotylchromium reagent with aldehydes<sup>79,80</sup> in which

chairlike transition states similar to 43 and 44 are thought to be involved.81 It is known that substituted allylchromium reagents equilibrate between (E) and (Z) isomers at rates faster than carbonyl addition and that the (E) isomer (cf. **40**E) is more reactive than the (Z)isomer.81 Application of the Felkin-Anh model for nucleophilic addition to  $\alpha$ -methyl chiral aldehydes, <sup>38,39</sup> or our "gauche pentane" model of asymmetric induction,41,70,81 leads to the prediction that transition state 43 should be favored in reactions of the (methoxyallyl)chromium reagent **40***E* with chiral aldehydes **42**. Takai, however, favors a mechanism involving the intermediacy of an internally chelated (Z)-(methoxyallyl)chromium species, which would require that the major product 45 be produced through a boatlike transition state (not shown).78,82

Because there were no other examples of reactions of chiral aldehydes with Takai's reagent 40 at the time that our synthesis of 7a was developed, we examined the reactions of 40 with several other chiral aldehydes. After our report of the conversion of 36 to 38, several additional examples of the reactions of the  $(\gamma$ -alkoxyallyl)chromium species have appeared. <sup>23,82,83</sup> The additional results summarized here indicate the reaction diastereoselectivity is sensitive to the nature of the protecting groups and the stereochemistry of the aldehyde substrate. Surprisingly, the reaction of **40** with aldehyde **6a** (with a  $\beta$ -p-methoxybenzyl ether protecting group) gives a 60:40 mixture of products in which the anti-Felkin isomer 46 predominates. However, when the  $\beta$ -alkoxy protecting group is switched to a TBS ether, as in 47, the Felkin diastereomer 45 is the major component of a 62:38 mixture. On the other hand, the reaction of the 2,3-syn aldehyde 48 with a  $\beta$ -alkoxy PMB ether protecting group provides the Felkin isomer **45** as the major product (ds = 72:28). The most selective substrate of those examined is 49, which gave a 9:1 mixture favoring the Felkin isomer 45.

<sup>(73)</sup> The diminished selectivity of the aldol reaction of **19** and the TBS protected aldehyde **6b**, compared to the reaction with the PMB-protected **6a** and **19**, is consistent with our observations that the stereoselectivities of methyl ketone fragement assembly aldol reactions are highly dependent on the aldehyde  $\beta$ -protecting group and especially that TBS-ether-protected  $\beta$ -alkoxy aldehydes are much less Felkin selective in reactions with chiral methyl ketone lithium enolates than are analogous  $\beta$ -alkoxy aldehydes bearing alkyl ether protecting groups (see refs 53 and 55).

<sup>(74)</sup> Ishihara, K.; Mori, A.; Yamamoto, H. Tetrahedron 1990, 46, 4595.

<sup>(75)</sup> The more direct sequence involving conversion of **32** to the corresponding dimethoxybenzyl (DMPM) ether via treatment with the DMPM-imidate reagent followed by DIBAL reduction of the ester to the aldehyde was less efficient and more difficult to perform on large scale

<sup>(76)</sup> Roush, W. R.; Ando, K.; Powers, D. B.; Palkowitz, A. D.; Halterman, R. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990**, *112*, 6339.

<sup>(77)</sup> Hoffmann, R. W.; Kemper, B.; Metternich, R.; Lehmeier, T. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1985, 2246.

<sup>(78)</sup> Takai, K.; Nitta, K.; Utimoto, K. Tetrahedron Lett. **1988**, 29, 5263

<sup>(79)</sup> Okude, Y.; Hirano, S.; Hiyama, T.; Nozaki, H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1977**, *99*, 3179.

<sup>(80)</sup> Buse, C. T.; Heathcock, C. H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1978**, *19*, 1685. (81) Roush, W. R. In *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis*, Trost, B. M., Ed.; Pergamon Press: New York, 1991; Vol. 2, p 1.

<sup>(82)</sup> Fürstner, A. Chem. Rev. **1999**, 99, 991.

<sup>(83)</sup> Boeckman, R. K., Jr.; Hudack, R. A., Jr. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 3524.

Surprisingly, however, changing the terminal TBDPS ether protecting group to a pivaloate ester in 50, or by changing the stereochemistry of the C(4)-methyl group as in 51, gave substrates that exhibited very poor selectivity in reactions with the (methoxyallyl)chromium reagent 40. While the factors that govern the diastereoselectivity of these reactions are not readily apparent, one can speculate that chelate pathways involving either the Z-isomer of the reagent<sup>78</sup> or the substrate <sup>42</sup> may be competitive in some of these cases. It is indeed fortuitous that aldehyde 36 that we targeted for the bafilomycin A<sub>1</sub> synthesis is one of the more selective substrates for the Cr(II)-mediated methoxyallylation reaction.

#### Substrates for the Takai Methoxyallylation Reaction<sup>a,b</sup>

|                  |              | Product       |  |
|------------------|--------------|---------------|--|
| <u>Substrate</u> | <u>Yield</u> | Ratio, 45: 46 |  |
| 6a               | 83%          | 40:60         |  |
| 36               | 87%          | 85 : 15       |  |
| 47               | 78%          | 62 : 38       |  |
| 48               | 72%          | 72 : 28       |  |
| 49               | 90%          | 90 : 10       |  |
| 50               | _            | 66 : 34       |  |
| 51               | _            | 60:40         |  |

- (a) All reactions were performed using the procedure described in the Experimental Section for the methoxyallylation of 36.
- (b) The stereochemistry of the products of the methoxyallylation reactions have been assigned as described in the Supporting Information.

Aldol Reactions of 6a and 7a. The aldol reaction of 6a and 7a was performed by using the conditions that gave the optimal 10:1 selectivity in the aldol reaction of 6a and 19. Thus, treatment of 7a with LiN(TMS)2 in THF at -78 °C gave the lithium enolate, to which was added a solution of aldehyde 6a in THF. The reaction was quenched 30 s later by addition of aqueous NH<sub>4</sub>Cl. To our considerable surprise, this reaction gave a 55:45 mixture of aldols 8a and 9a. The stereochemistry at C(21) of both diastereomers was verified by DDQ oxidation to the corresponding p-methoxybenzylidene acetals (see Supporting Information).69

The lack of stereoselectivity in the aldol reaction of 6a and 7a prompted us to examine several additional substrates (52-55) in an attempt to uncover the factor-(s) responsible for the aberrant behavior of methyl ketone **7a**. The lithium enolates of **52–55** were generated by treatment with LiN(TMS)₂ in THF at −78 °C and allowed to react with **6a** for  $\leq 1$  min at -78 °C. These reactions also proved to be only moderately selective (2-3:1) for the 21(R) aldol stereoisomers **56–59**. Aldol **59** (R = H) was unstable to chromatography, so in this case the lithium aldolate was treated with TBS-OTf before reaction workup, thereby permitting aldol 59 (R = TBS) to be isolated. Control experiments established that the reaction of 53 and 6a is kinetically controlled, as regeneration of the lithium aldolate from the minor aldol diastereomer (LiN(TMS)2, THF, -78 °C, 15 min) failed to result in its equilibration with 57.

These results established that the C(17) alkoxy protecting group of the methyl ketone fragment has an insignificant influence on the reaction diastereoselectivity, as ketones **52–54** with TBS, TES, and PMB protecting groups at this position gave essentially identical results in the aldol reactions with **6a**. However, comparison of the results of reaction of 6a with 19 (10:1 selectivity) and 55 (3:1 selectivity), which have C(15)-OBzl and C(15)-OTBDPS groups, respectively, indicates that a C(15)-alkoxy group is needed to achieve good diastereoselectivity in this aldol reaction. On the basis of these data, we speculated that the aldol reaction of 6a and 19 proceeds by way of a chelated transition state such as 60. Although remote chelation effects are rarely observed, 42,84,85 this hypothesis is consistent with the observations that enolates deriving from  ${\bf 56-58}$  with vinyl substituents, rather than C(15)-alkoxy groups, give low selectivity in the aldol reactions with 6a. This transition state model also explains the behavior of methyl ketone **7a** with a C(15)-triethylsilyl ether which also should be precluded from participation in a chelated

<sup>(84)</sup> Tomooka, K.; Okinaga, T.; Suzuki, K.; Tsuchihashi, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 6335.

<sup>(85)</sup> Frenette, R.; Monette, M.; Bernstein, M. A.; Young, R. N.; Verhoeven, T. R. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 3083.

transition state such as 61.86 Finally, this hypothesis is also consistent with the results of the aldol reactions of 6a and the sodium enolate of 19, and also of the lithium enolate of 19 in the presence of HMPA, since chelates involving the C(15)-alkoxy group should be less likely under these conditions.

It is well appreciated that lithium enolates are aggregated in solution<sup>87,88</sup> and that the dimeric forms are believed to be the most reactive species.<sup>87</sup> Accordingly, it may well be that transition structure 60 is overly simplified and that the C(15)-alkoxy substituent of 19 is actually chelated to a second lithium cation in an aggregate.<sup>89</sup> Nevertheless, the hypothesis that chelation involving the C(15)-alkoxy group is essential for high stereoselection is easily tested experimentally: synthetically useful stereoselectivity should be restored if the C(15)-alcohol protecting group of 7a is changed from a triethylsilyl ether to a protecting group (cf. the MOM ether protecting group of 7b) that can more easily participate in a chelate. We were most pleased to find, therefore, that the kinetically controlled aldol reaction of aldehyde **6a** and methyl ketone **7b** provided **8b** with 8:1 selectivity. The stereochemistry of the newly formed hydroxyl center was assigned by conversion to the *p*-methoxybenzylidene acetal **63**.69

**Transition State Analysis.** The synthetically useful stereoselectivity of the aldol reaction of **6a** and **7b** is

consistent with the participation of the C(15)-alkoxy group in the chelated transition state 62. However, the results of aldol reactions of methyl ketones 7a and 52-55, which are incapable of participating in such highly organized, chelated transition structures, indicate that several less diastereoselective transition states must also be accessible. It is conceivable that both chairlike (64, 65) and boatlike (66, 67) transition states could be involved. Several computational studies indicate that chairlike and boatlike transition states in methyl ketone aldol reactions are relatively close in energy. 90,91 Moreover, some data exist in the boron aldol area indicating that methyl ketone aldol reactions that proceed by way of boatlike transition states exhibit opposite enolate face selectivity compared to the pathways involving chairlike transition structures.  $^{\rm 36f,46}$  Therefore, both the chairlike and boatlike transition structures must be considered in any transition state analysis.

We expect that the diastereofacial selectivity of aldol reactions that proceed via nonchelated chairlike transition states should favor the Felkin diastereomers (e.g., **56–58** from **52–54**), in view of Evans' observation that the aldol reactions of chiral ethyl ketone Z(O)-enolates are highly diastereoselective by way of chairlike transition states analogous to **64**.51,60 However, to account for the modest selectivity of these fragment assembly methyl ketone aldol reactions, we must invoke either the alternative chairlike transition state 65, in which the enolate adds in an anti-Felkin sense to the chiral aldehyde or the anti-Felkin boatlike transition structure 67. Further analysis of **65** and **67** reveals that the enolate  $\alpha$ -stereocenter adopts a sterically disfavored rotamer with the "R" group eclipsing the enolate double bond in 65, whereas the enolate  $\alpha$ -stereocenter adopts a more favorable rotamer with the medium-sized methyl group eclipsing the enolate double bond in 67. On the assumption that the chairlike and boatlike transition structures should be comparable in energy (in the absence of overriding steric effects), 90 and because the foregoing analysis suggests that 67 might have fewer destabilizing interactions than **65**, we have invoked **67** to explain the significant production of anti-Felkin diastereomers in the aldol reactions of **7a** and **52–55**. Studies designed to probe this hypothesis are in progress and will be reported in due course.

<sup>(86)</sup> For studies on the influence of protecting groups on chelate controlled carbonyl additions: (a) Keck, G. E.; Castellino, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 3847. (b) Frye, S. V.; Eliel, E. L.; Cloux, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 1862. (c) Kahn, S. D.; Keck, G. E.; Hehre, W. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 279. (d) Keck, G. E.; Castellino, S., Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 281. (e) Keck, G. E.; Castellino, S.; Wiley: M. R. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 5478. (f) Reetz, M. T.; Hüllmann, M.; Seitz, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1987, 26, 477.

<sup>(87)</sup> Seebach, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1988, 27, 1624.

<sup>(88)</sup> Williard, P. G.; Liu, Q. Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1993**, *115*, 3380. (89) For the disclosure of an aldol reaction of a lithium enolate in the solid state: Wei, Y.; Bakthavatchalam, R. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1991**, *32*, 1535.

<sup>(90)</sup> Li, Y.; Paddon-Row, M. N.; Houk, K. N. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 481.

<sup>(91)</sup> Bernardi, F.; Robb, M. A.; Suzzi-Valli, G.; Tagliavini, E.; Trombini, C.; Umani-Ronchi, A. *J. Org. Chem.* **1991**, *56*, 6472.

Implications for the Projected Bafilomycin A<sub>1</sub> **Total Synthesis.** Our goal at the outset of these studies was to define the opportunities for use of the fragment assembly aldol reaction for synthesis of the C(13)-C(25)segment of bafilomycin A1. While the synthesis of 8b via the aldol reaction of **6a** and **7b** satisfied this inital goal, it was readily apparent that **8b** would not be a viable intermediate for the total synthesis. First, the C(15)-MOM ether is too robust a protecting group for the deprotection sequence planned for later in the synthesis. However, given the sensitivity of aldol selectivity on the C(23) and C(15) protecting groups in **6a** and **7b**, as well as the senstivity of the Takai methoxyallylation reaction to the nature of protecting groups on the aldehyde substrate, we were unable to identify a workable revision of the protecting group scheme that would be compatible with use of the lithium enolate fragment assembly aldol reaction as a key step in the projected total synthesis. (Additional constraints on the nature of the C(15)hydroxyl protecting group became apparent as the total synthesis progressed toward completion. 25,26) Second. even if a solution to the protecting group/reaction selectivity problem had been achieved, it would have been necessary to devise a strategy for protecting the C(19) ketone to prevent intramolecular hemiketalization by the C(15)-OH during the subsequent macrolactonization reaction.

Several studies addressing the latter issue were performed using aldol 21 as a model system. However, we found that the methyl hemiketal 68 was very sensitive to elimination of methanol, leading to glycal 69. Glycal **69** was produced during the DDQ deprotection of **21**, as well as during the acid-catalyzed reaction of the hemiacetal with MeOH. Moreover, an NMR sample of 68 readily eliminated MeOH when allowed to stand in CDCl<sub>3</sub> overnight. Similar problems have been encountered by Marshall in his studies directed toward the bafilomycin synthesis.<sup>28</sup> In addition, spiroketalization of 68 occurred readily during the catalytic debenzylation reaction (leading to 28). Although we found that hemiketal **70** was much more stable when the C(17)–OH was deprotected, this also did not appear to be a synthetically useful solution to the problem at hand.

On the basis of these results, we concluded that it would be appropriate to perform the key fragment

assembly aldol reaction at the very end of the synthesis. after the macrocycle was assembled. In this scenerio, C(15)—OH would be "protected" by the C(1)-acyl unit of the natural product. It was not obvious, however, that a C(15) acyloxy unit would be compatible with the conditions of a lithium enolate aldol reaction. Because a chlorotitanium enolate should be compatible with the C(15)-acyloxy substituent of the methyl ketone, we explored the aldol reaction of 6a with the titanium enolate of methyl ketone 7c. To our considerable delight, the aldol reactions of aldehyde 6a with the chlorotitanium enolates of both 7a,c each provided the desired Felkin diastereomers 8a,c with  $\geq 94:6$  selectivity. Chelated transition states analogous to 62 seem unlikely under these conditions, so the excellent diastereoselectivity may be a consequence of the shorter Ti-O bond lengths that maximize nonbonded interactions in the boatlike transition state (i.e., analogous to **67**), <sup>52</sup> thereby raising the energy of the competitive boatlike transition state so that the vast majority of the reaction proceeds via the chairlike transition structure analogous to 64.

PMBO OH Me Me Me OMe

6a 7a, R = SiEt<sub>3</sub>
7c, R = COPh

TiCl<sub>4</sub>, 
$$i$$
-Pr<sub>2</sub>NEt

CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, -78 °C

8a, R = SiEt<sub>3</sub>,  $\geq$ 96 : 4 selectivity

81%

8c, R = COPh, 94 : 6 selectivity

**Summary.** We have developed highly stereoselective syntheses of aldols **8a-c** corresponding to the C(13)-C(25) segment of bafilomycin A<sub>1</sub>. In the course of these investigations we discovered that a remote chelation effect plays a critical role in determining the stereoselectivity of the key coupling of aldehyde 6a and the lithium enolate of 7b and also that the C(23) protecting group of the chiral aldehyde fragment influences the selectivity of the lithium enolate aldol reaction. In contrast, the aldol reaction of 6a and the titanium enolates of 7a,c proved to be much less sensitive to the nature of the C(15)-hydroxyl protecting group. The latter results defined an important technology platform that permitted us to initiate efforts to complete the total synthesis of bafilomycin  $A_1$ .  $^{25,26}$ 

**Acknowledgment.** Support provided the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (Grant GM 38436) is gratefully acknowledged. We also thank Dr. Akihiro Tasaka for helping to optimize the synthesis of 38.

Supporting Information Available: Complete experimental details and stereochemical assignments of all aldol products and products of the Takai γ-methoxyallylation reactions of chiral aldehydes and selected <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

JO016413F