

Biochemistry. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2008 November 11.

Published in final edited form as:

Biochemistry. 2007 January 9; 46(1): 182-191. doi:10.1021/bi061652o.

4-hydroxyequilenin-adenine lesions in DNA duplexes: stereochemistry, damage site and structure[†]

Shuang Ding[‡], Robert Shapiro[‡], Nicholas E. Geacintov[‡], and Suse Broyde^{§*}
‡Department of Chemistry, New York University, 100 Washington Square East, New York, NY 10003, USA
§Department of Biology, New York University, 100 Washington Square East, New York, NY 10003, USA

Abstract

The equine estrogens, equilin and equilenin, are major components of the drug Premarin, the most widely used formula for hormone replacement therapy. The derivative 4-hydroxyequilenin(4-OHEN), a major phase I metabolite of equilin and equilenin, autoxidizes to potent cytotoxic quinoids that can react in vitro and in vivo with cytosine and adenine in DNA. Unique cyclic adducts containing the same bicyclo[3,3,1]nonane type connection ring are produced. Each base adduct has four stereoisomers. In order to elucidate the structural effects of A versus C modification, we have carried out molecular dynamics simulations of the stereoisomeric 4-OHEN-A adducts in DNA 11-mer duplexes and compared results with an earlier study of the C adducts (Ding, S., et al, Biochemistry 2005, 44:14565-76). Similar stereochemical principles govern the orientations in DNA duplexes of the 4-OHEN-A adducts as for the analogous C adducts, with opposite orientations of the equilenin rings in stereoisomeric pairs of adducts characterized by near-mirror image CD spectra. However, the larger purine adducts have unique structural properties in the duplexes that distinguish their characteristics from those of the pyrimidine adducts. Significant differences are observed in terms of hydrogen bonding, stacking, bending, groove dimensions, solvent exposure and hydrophobic interactions, and each of the four stereoisomeric 4-OHEN-A adducts exhibit distinct structural features. Each base adduct and stereoisomer distorts the structure of the DNA duplex differently. These characteristics may manifest themselves in terms of differential nucleotide excision repair susceptibilities and mutagenic activities of the 4-OHEN-A and C adducts.

Continuous exposure to estrogens through hormone replacement therapy (HRT) has been shown to increase the risk of breast cancer(1–7). The HRT drug Premarin contains equine estrogens, namely equilin and equilenin. 4-hydroxyequilenin (4-OHEN), a phase I metabolite of both equilin and equilenin, autoxidizes to potent cytotoxic quinoids (8,9) that can cause a variety of DNA lesions in vitro and in vivo (10–15), including the formation of stable adducts produced by alkylation (12,16). Such adducts, if not repaired efficiently may cause mutations that, in turn, may initiate carcinogenesis(17).

The quinoids produced by 4-OHEN oxidation can react with the nucleosides dG, dA and dC to form unusual stable cyclic bulky adducts (9,16,18), and four stereoisomeric adducts have been identified with each of these three bases (19). The chemical structures of these adducts have been determined by electrospray MS and NMR methods (Figure 1) (16,18,19). 4-OHEN-dG and dA adducts have been found in vivo when 4-OHEN is injected into the mammary fat pads of rats (12). The dG, dA and dC adducts have also been detected in human breast cancer

[†]This research is supported by NIH Grants CA-75449 to S.B., NIH Grant CA-73638 (sub-contract from the University of Illinois at Chicago, J. Bolton, P.I.), and NIH Grant CA-112412 to N.E.G.

^{*}Corresponding author: Suse Broyde, tel. (212)998-8231, fax (212)995-4015, email broyde@nyu.edu.

patients who use Premarin (20). The formation of 4-OHEN adducts has been investigated in detail with the single stranded oligonucleotides 5'- d(CCATCGCTACC) and its complementary strand, in vitro (21). The yield of these adducts is influenced by the sequence and secondary structures of DNA. Reaction with cytosine is overwhelmingly preferred over adenine. Guanine adducts are observed in olignucleotides that contain only G and unreactive T residues.

The conformations of the stereoisomeric 4-OHEN adducts on the base level have been previously determined by DFT quantum mechanical methods (22). For 4-OHEN-C and A adducts (Figure 1), the essential structural feature is the near-perpendicular orientation of the equilenin and bridge-linked base, whose Watson-Crick edge is obstructed. The chirality of the C3' atom determines the handedness of the equilenin rings, and the absolute configuration of the C2' atom governs the orientation of its OH group. The unsaturated bicyclo[3.3.1]nonane type linkage site causes the conformations of the 4-OHEN-A and C adducts to be severely restricted. Only one conformer was obtained for each adduct. Near mirror image conformations in stereoisomer adduct pairs, C1/C2 (A1/A2), and C3/C4 (A3/A4), were found. This is consistent with near-mirror image CD spectra of each stereoisomeric pair observed experimentally (21).

In previous work, the structures and thermodynamics of 4-OHEN-C adducts were investigated in 11-mer duplexes by computational and modeling methods (23). Our results showed that the covalently linked cytosine residue can adopt the *syn* or *anti* glycosidic bond conformations, placing the equilenin in the B-DNA major or minor groove, respectively. In each structural family opposite orientations of the equilenin ring system, the C18' bulky methyl group and the bridge ring C2' OH group were found in the stereoisomer pairs. Moreover, the stereoisomeric lesions distort the DNA duplexes differentially.

Here, we present molecular modeling and molecular dynamics (MD) simulation studies of the 4-OHEN-A adducts in DNA 11-mer duplexes. Stereochemical characteristic and duplex structural properties were compared with the analogous 4-OHEN-C adducts. The stereochemical effects in the 4-OHEN-C and A adducts are similar. However, we find structural differences in the duplexes containing stereochemically similar cytosine and adenine adducts in bending, groove dimensions, hydrogen bonding, stacking interactions, solvent exposure and hydrophobic interactions, which reflect the nature of the base modified. These differences may underlie differential mutagenicities and repair susceptibilities of the stereoisomeric modified adenine and cytosine bases (24–30).

METHODS

Starting Structures

A model of the unmodified sequence was created in a standard B-DNA conformation and energy minimized with DUPLEX (31). The conformations of the 4-OHEN-A base adducts were obtained from the previous DFT optimizations (22). The A_4 residue of the selected 11mer DNA sequence was replaced with each of the four 4-OHEN-A adducts. Then the modified base was rotated through the glycosidic torsion angle χ to locate domains with minimal steric close contacts. In each case a narrow region was found in the *syn* domain. The equilenin moiety is located in the major groove (Figure S1). In the *anti* conformational domain, however, no structures without collisions were obtained in the initial models. The fewest close contacts occurred when the equilenin was inserted between the Watson-Crick hydrogen bonding edge of an adjacent base pair. Table S1 (Supporting Information) gives χ values of the adducts employed in all 4-OHEN-A starting models.

Force Field

The AMBER 8 program package (32), the Cornell et al. force field (33) and the parm99.dat parameter set (34) were employed to perform MD simulations. Force field parameters for 4-OHEN-A adducts that were not in the AMBER force field were developed, consistent with the rest of the AMBER force field. Bond length, bond angle and dihedral angle equilibrium values were taken from the DFT optimized conformations. The force constants for bonds and angles were obtained by analogy with chemically similar atom types in the AMBER force field. The force constants for bond angles containing the N atom at the linkage site of the 4-OHEN-A adduct (Figure 1) were obtained from those of the analogous 4-OHEN-C adducts (23). Tables S2 give all the added force field parameters for the 4-OHEN-A stereoisomers. The partial charges of the modified nucleotide were calculated with two stages of RESP (35–37) in the AMBER package. Geometry optimization with HF/6-31G* was first carried out for the modified nucleoside with initial models whose glycosidic torsion γ was syn (Table S1) using Gaussian 98 (38). Then, the least squares charge-fitting algorithm RESP (35,37) in the AMBER package was used to fit the derived electrostatic potentials from Gaussian to the atomic center of each molecule. Atom types, topology assignments and partial charges are listed in Tables S3.

Molecular Dynamics Protocol

MD simulations were carried out for the unmodified control and all eight 4-OHEN-A initial models (an *anti* and a *syn* model for each stereoisomer, Figure S1 and Table S1). Details of the MD protocol (32, 39–44) are given in the Supporting Information.

Stability of the Molecular Dynamics Simulation

MD simulations of the 4-OHEN-A adducts and the unmodified control were carried out for 5 ns. The structures generally fluctuate stably after 1 ns and the last 4 ns time frame was employed for our further analyses. In the case of *anti* 4-OHEN-A4, the simulation was extended to 9 ns to obtain reasonable stability; the last 4 ns were employed for analyses. Plots of root-mean-square deviations (RMSD) of the current structure relative to the average structure, as a function of time, are shown in Figure S2.

Structural Analyses

The PTRAJ and CARNAL modules of AMBER were employed for structural analyses. Stacking interactions were estimated by computing the van der Waals interaction energies between adjacent base pairs, including the damaged adenine and partner T pair, with the program ANAL of AMBER. The equilenin moiety, nearly perpendicular to the attached adenine residue, is not included in the base stacking interactions. In addition, DNA duplex groove dimensions for the ensemble of structures for each stereoisomer were computed with MD Toolchest (45,46). We computed the bend angle of the duplex with the CURVES program (45), employing the "UU" option. The first and last base pairs were removed prior to this analysis. In addition, we removed the modified base since CURVES could not recognize this moiety. Thus, the computed bend angles were based on global helix axes determined by the central 9-mer but excluding the modified adenine. The solvent accessible surface area for the equilenin moiety was computed using the Connolly algorithm (47) implemented in the InsightII program with a probe radius of 1.4 Å.

Free Energy Analyses

The molecular mechanics Poisson-Boltzmann surface area (MM-PBSA) method (48–54) in AMBER was employed for thermodynamic analyses. Details of the free energy calculations (48,49,55–60) are given in the Supporting Information.

INSIGHT II from Accelrys, Inc. was employed for visualization and model building. Computations were carried out on our own cluster of Silicon Graphic Origin supercomputers and Octane workstations, as well as at the New York University Information Technology Services supercomputers.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Starting Models

We employed the sequence of Figure 1, which is also under experimental investigation (21). This duplex is the same as the one employed in our study of the 4-OHEN-C adducts, where modification was at C_{18} of the complementary strand. Each stereoisomeric 4-OHEN-A adduct was modeled into the 11mer B-DNA duplex, with the lesion glycosidic bond in both syn and anti domains, as described in Methods. In the syn conformation, the adducts reside in the major groove, while in the anti conformation they are inserted into the duplex with extensive collisions in the initial structures. Molecular dynamic simulations were carried out for all starting models (Figure S1).

Structural Analyses

Stereochemical characteristics: opposite orientations in stereoisomer pairs—

Stereochemistry plays an important role in determining the specific structural properties of the 4-OHEN adducts. The stereochemistry of the 4-OHEN-C and A adducts are dependent on the absolute configurations of substituents at C2' and C3' of the linkage site (Figure 1). The stereochemical characteristics of the 4-OHEN-C adducts are therefore retained in the 4-OHEN-A adducts. Structures of the four stereoisomeric 4-OHEN-A adducts in *syn* and *anti* glycosidic conformations are shown in Figure 2 and Figure 3. With the glycosidic bond *syn*, the equilenin rings are situated in the major groove, 5' directed in 4-OHEN-A2 and A4, and 3' directed in 4-OHEN-A1 and A3. The collisions in the initial *anti* models were relieved during the dynamics by repositioning the equilenin rings to protrude into the minor groove. In these structures with the glycosidic torsion angles in the *anti* conformation, the equilenin rings are directed to the 5'-side of the modified strand in 4-OHEN-A1 and A3, and to the 3'-side in 4-OHEN-A2 and A4. The stereochemical properties of the 4-OHEN-C and -A isomers also govern the orientations of the methyl group and the C2' OH group in DNA duplexes. The stereochemical characteristics of the four stereoisomeric 4-OHEN-A and -C adducts are compared in Table 1.

Hydrogen bonding interactions

(i) Syn glycosidic conformation: Watson-Crick hydrogen bonds are retained in all the base pairs, except for the modified base and its partner (Figure 2). However, in the *syn* conformation, the N7 of the modified adenine may hydrogen bond with N3H of its partner T_{19} . This is observed with an occupancy of ~60% of the trajectory in the 4-OHEN-A2 and A4 stereoisomeric adduct simulations (Table 2). However, crowding between the bridge ring of the adduct and the methyl group of T_3 inhibits formation of this hydrogen bond in 4-OHEN-A1 and A3. In all cases T_{19} has sufficient flexibility to hydrogen bond with N6H of the 5'-side A_{20} . In addition, each stereoisomer has unique hydrogen bonding interactions with base atoms on the damaged or partner strand, except for 4-OHEN-A1. Table 2 summarizes these interactions.

(ii) Anti glycosidic conformation: In the *anti* conformation, the equilenin rings were repositioned during the dynamics to protrude into the minor groove, thus severely disrupting Watson-Crick hydrogen bonding interactions of adjacent, unmodified base pairs (Figure 2). Watson-Crick hydrogen bonding of the $G_5 \cdot C_{18}$ pair is totally disrupted in the 3'-directed 4-OHEN-A2 and A4 isomeric adducts. For the 5' oriented 4-OHEN-A1 and A3 cases, all the 5'-side Watson-Crick unmodified base pairs are broken (see below). In the *anti* case, the hydroxyl

groups of the adduct linkage site point to the hydrogen bond edge, and can form hydrogen bonds with the partner base T_{19} , except in the case of A4 which does not participate in any hydrogen bond interactions due to unusual stacking (see below). In addition, 4-OHEN-A2 and its partner T_{19} form hydrogen bonds with adjacent bases (Table 2).

Stacking

(i) Syn glycosidic conformation: The modified adenine is mainly stacked within the DNA duplex (Figure 2a and Figure 3a). However, base pair stacking is significantly perturbed, in line with the absence of Watson-Crick hydrogen bonding at the lesion sites. The 4-OHEN-A2 and A4 adducts exhibit better stacking interactions, because the modified adenine forms a hydrogen bond with its partner, which diminishes the base pair stacking perturbation (Table S4).

(ii) Anti glycosidic conformation: The modified adenine bases assume mainly stacked conformations within the DNA duplexes (Figure 2b and Figure 3b). However, in 4-OHEN-A2 and A4, the stacking is diminished due to disrupted Watson-Crick hydrogen bonding of the adjacent unmodified base pair $G_5 \cdot C_{18}$. The presence of the large A2 and A4 adducts also tilts the adjacent bases C_{18} and G_5 , respectively. This severely weakens the stacking interactions involving the pair $G_5 \cdot C_{18}$. However, in 4-OHEN-A4, the tilted base G_5 stacks with the equilenin aromatic B ring, while the partner T_{19} now stacks only with C_{18} . In 4-OHEN-A1 and A3, C_{21} is pushed away from its normal base pair position by the equilenin rings and stacks with A_{20} but not C_{22} . Consequently the 3' end base C_{22} slips into the C_{21} position, and in 4-OHEN-A3 Watson-Crick hydrogen bonds are formed between C_{22} and G_2 , but not in the case of A1 (Figure 2). This causes the 5'-end G_1 , a dangling end without partner, to stack with the misaligned $C_{22} \cdot G_2$ pair. Likely this unusual stacking is an end effect due to the position of the 5'-directed adduct only three base pairs away from the $C_{22} \cdot G_1$ terminus.

Bending and groove dimensions

(i) Syn glycosidic conformation: The modified duplexes are somewhat bent as compared to the unmodified duplex (Table 3), with 4-OHEN-A1 and A3 more bent than 4-OHEN-A2 and A4. It appears that the hydrogen bond between the modified adenine N7 and its partner T_{19} limits the bending in A2 and A4. The major groove width around the lesion site is generally enlarged due to accommodation of the equilenin rings (Figure 4), although only modestly in the case of 4-OHEN-A3 because of a hydrogen bond between the carbonyl group of the equilenin with N4H of C_{16} . The dimensions of the minor groove in the *syn* 4-OHEN-A adducts fluctuate around those of the unmodified duplex.

(ii) Anti glycosidic conformation: In the *anti* conformation, the 4-OHEN-A modified duplexes are significantly more bent than in the *syn* conformers (Table 3). The broken base pairs adjacent to the lesion in each stereoisomeric adduct provide added flexibility which allows a greater bend. The minor groove opens because the adducts protrude into it, except in the case of 4-OHEN-A4 in which stacking between the equilenin B ring and G₅ causes major groove enlargement. The minor groove opening is in the direction of the equilenin ring orientation in each case.

Solvent exposure—The different groove positions of the *syn* and *anti* conformations cause a large difference in solvent exposure of the adducts. In the *syn* case, the equilenin moiety is positioned in the major groove without much distortion of the duplex structures, but it is mostly exposed to the solvent (Figure 2a and Figure 3a). On the other hand, the equilenin moiety in the *anti* adducts is much less solvent exposed. The bulky rings protrude to the smaller minor groove and have hydrophobic interactions with the DNA backbone (Figure 2b and Figure 3b).

The solvent accessible surface of the equilenin moiety (Table 4) clearly shows this difference between the *syn*/major groove and *anti*/minor groove conformations.

Thermodynamic Analyses

The ensemble of structures from the simulations were employed to carry out thermodynamic analyses using the MM-PBSA method (48–54). Relative free energies of syn and anti conformations were calculated to compare their conformational stabilities (Table 5 and S5). We note that the anti/minor groove conformation, being lowest energy for A1, A3 and A4, is mainly favored. The solvent exposed surface plays an important role in the conformational stability of these adducts in DNA duplexes. In the anti/minor groove case the equilenin rings have less solvent accessible surface with attendant better hydrophobic interactions (Figure 3). This favors the *anti* conformation. However, the *syn*/major groove conformation is less distorted, as described above. The conformational preference is a balance between these structural features in each stereoisomer. The diminished solvent exposure with enhanced hydrophobic contacts cause the *anti* conformation to be preferred, except for 4-OHEN-A2. In this case, the purine ring system causes disfavorable unstacking of C₁₈ with exposure to solvent of this base and the equilenin (Figure 2 and Figure 3). A similar unstacking involving G₅ occurred also in 4-OHEN-A4, but was compensated by stacking of this base with the equilenin. Thus, our results indicate that both syn and anti conformers with the adduct in the major or minor groove, respectively, are feasible. A subtle interplay of the adduct groove position and attendant solvent exposure/hydrophobic contacts, and adduct-induced distortion determine the conformational preference of each stereoisomeric adduct; environmental conditions, such as salt concentration, base sequence context and duplex length, could influence the choice.

Comparison of 4-OHEN-A and C Adducts

The 4-OHEN-A adducts have the same bicyclo[3,3,1]nonane type connection rings as 4-OHEN-C. Consequently, similar stereochemical characteristics as in the 4-OHEN-C stereoisomers, described previously (23), were obtained for the analogous 4-OHEN-A adducts (Table 1). For each groove position, the equilenin ring orientations are the same for 4-OHEN-C and A adducts with the same stereochemistry; thus, the opposite orientation effect in stereoisomer pairs is the same. Preliminary NMR data for 4-OHEN-dC adducts has suggested opposite orientations of stereoisomers in duplex DNA (Zhang, N., Kolbanovskiy, A., Ding, S., Shastry, A., Geacintov, N. E., Broyde, S., and Patel, D., personal communication). However, there are subtle differences that are governed by the nature of the base damaged. The solvent accessible surface of the equilenin moiety plays a role here. Hence we calculated this quantity for the cytosine adducts to compare with adenine (Table 4). We also present in Table 5 the syn/anti preference of the cytosine adducts for comparison. We note that this preference for the C and A adducts is inverted in every case, except A4 whose syn and anti structures are almost equal in energy. Thus, C1 and C3 prefer syn and C2 and C4 prefer the anti conformation. As described above, the syn/major groove conformers are mainly favored by less distortion, while the anti/minor groove structures are favored by diminished solvent exposure with attendant enhanced favorable hydrophobic contacts. Each stereoisomer and base adduct selects a different balance between these competing features. For the 4-OHEN-C case, in C2 and C4 the favorable hydrophobic contacts in anti dominate; in C1 and C3 the lack of distortion with favorable van der Waals interactions between the inward-facing equilenin methyl group and adjacent base in syn dominate (Figure 5). In A1 and A3 these methyl groups in syn are too far from the DNA for such contacts, because of the larger purine ring system. The purine in anti A2 causes disfavorable unstacking and solvent exposure as described above (Figure 2 and Figure 3).

Table 6 gives a structural comparison of 4-OHEN-C and A adduct duplex distortions. We note from the table that each base adduct and each stereoisomer produces distinct structural

distortions in terms of hydrogen bonding, stacking, bending and groove dimensions. Each perturbs the structure of the DNA duplex differently. Overall, compared to the C adducts, the equilenin rings of the A adducts in the *syn* conformation are positioned further away from the DNA in the major groove due to the larger purine ring, which results in more solvent exposed equilenin rings and less distorted major grooves. Furthermore, in *syn*, the larger 4-OHEN-A adducts generally disturb the stacking more and the DNA duplexes bend more toward the major groove, in an effort to shield these adducts from the solvent. In the *anti* case, both 4-OHEN-C and A adducts generally break adjacent Watson-Crick base pairs. However, the larger 4-OHEN-A adducts also tilt nearby bases in order to accommodate the equilenin rings in the minor groove (Figure 2). This causes the weaker stacking and larger groove distortions in the A adducts. However, stereoisomer effects involving adduct hydrogen bonds with neighboring bases can modulate these overall tendencies in specific cases.

Structure-Function Relationships

Our modeling studies indicate structural properties that are distinct for each stereoisomer and base damaged. These structural differences likely account for observed differences in biochemical function. In vitro primer extension studies conducted with several Y-family bypass polymerases indicate that various 4-OHEN-C and A lesions are differentially bypassed, depending on stereochemistry and base damaged (24,26-28). Adduct stereochemistry effects on bypass efficiency were observed with pol η . Specifically, the bypass frequency in pol η differed by two orders of magnitude in the members of a pair of 4-OHEN-dC stereoisomers with opposite sign CD spectra (27). For 4-OHEN-dA adducts, the bypass frequency past one stereoisomer was approximately 3 times higher than for another (28). For a pair of 4-OHENdC major adducts whose CD spectra were opposite sign, with pol κ mismatched dCMP and dAMP were inserted opposite both stereoisomers, and chain extension with partner dC was much higher than with dA (27). Insertion of dGMP, the correct base, was highly inefficient. For this 4-OHEN-dC pair with pol n, insertion of dAMP and extension were both higher than for the correct base dGMP. However, for the pair of 4-OHEN-dA major adducts with opposite sign CD spectra, both pols κ and η preferentially incorporated dTMP, the correct base, opposite these 4-OHEN-dA lesions; mismatched dAMP and dCMP were also incorporated by pol κ and η, respectively (28). Current studies also suggest damaged base-specific repair susceptibilities, with dA adducts less repaired than dC adducts in prokaryotic and eukaryotic nucleotide excision repair (NER) assays (26,30) (Kropachev, K., Chen, D., Kolbanovskiy, M. and Geacintov, N., to be published).

CONCLUSION

The 4-OHEN-A adducts have the same connection rings as the 4-OHEN-C adducts; this produces similar stereochemical properties and stereochemistry-governed orientations in DNA duplexes. However, the larger purine adducts cause distinctly different structural properties as compared to the smaller pyrimidine adducts. Specific features of hydrogen bonding, bending, stacking, groove dimensions, solvent exposure and hydrophobic interactions characterize the A adducts, and these are unique for each stereoisomeric adduct. Consequently, mutagenicity and DNA repair properties must be evaluated for each stereoisomeric base adduct to delineate their individual biological effects.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Abbreviations

4-OHEN, 4-hydroxyequilenin; 4-OHEN-C, 4-hydroxyequilenin-cytosine; 4-OHEN-A, 4-hydroxyequilenin-adenine; HRT, hormone replacement therapy; DFT, Density Functional Theory; MD, molecular dynamics; RMSD, root-mean-square deviations; MM-PBSA, molecular mechanics Poisson-Boltzmann surface area.

References

- Zumoff B. Does postmenopausal estrogen administration increase the risk of breast cancer? Contributions of animal, biochemical, and clinical investigative studies to a resolution of the controversy. Proc Soc Exp Biol Med 1998;217:30–37. [PubMed: 9421204]
- Lupulescu A. Estrogen use and cancer incidence: a review. Cancer Invest 1995;13:287–295. [PubMed: 7743382]
- 3. Liehr JG. Genotoxic effects of estrogens. Mutat Res 1990;238:269–276. [PubMed: 2160607]
- Colditz GA, Hankinson SE, Hunter DJ, Willett WC, Manson JE, Hennekens C, Rosner B, Speizer FE.
 The use of estrogens and progestins and the risk of breast cancer in postmenopausal women. N Engl J Med 1995;332:1589–1593. [PubMed: 7753136]
- Hersh AL, Stefanick ML, Stafford RS. National use of postmenopausal hormone therapy: annual trends and response to recent evidence. Jama 2004;291:47–53. [PubMed: 14709575]
- 6. Rossouw JE, Anderson GL, Prentice RL, LaCroix AZ, Kooperberg C, Stefanick ML, Jackson RD, Beresford SA, Howard BV, Johnson KC, Kotchen JM, Ockene J. Risks and benefits of estrogen plus progestin in healthy postmenopausal women: principal results From the Women's Health Initiative randomized controlled trial. Jama 2002;288:321–333. [PubMed: 12117397]
- Hays J, Ockene JK, Brunner RL, Kotchen JM, Manson JE, Patterson RE, Aragaki AK, Shumaker SA, Brzyski RG, LaCroix AZ, Granek IA, Valanis BG. Effects of estrogen plus progestin on health-related quality of life. N Engl J Med 2003;348:1839–1854. [PubMed: 12642637]
- 8. Zhang F, Chen Y, Pisha E, Shen L, Xiong Y, van Breemen RB, Bolton JL. The major metabolite of equilin, 4-hydroxyequilin, autoxidizes to an o-quinone which isomerizes to the potent cytotoxin 4-hydroxyequilenin-o-quinone. Chem Res Toxicol 1999;12:204–213. [PubMed: 10027800]
- 9. Bolton JL, Pisha E, Zhang F, Qiu S. Role of quinoids in estrogen carcinogenesis. Chem Res Toxicol 1998;11:1113–1127. [PubMed: 9778307]
- Pisha E, Lui X, Constantinou AI, Bolton JL. Evidence that a metabolite of equine estrogens, 4hydroxyequilenin, induces cellular transformation in vitro. Chem Res Toxicol 2001;14:82–90. [PubMed: 11170511]
- 11. Chen Y, Liu X, Pisha E, Constantinou AI, Hua Y, Shen L, van Breemen RB, Elguindi EC, Blond SY, Zhang F, Bolton JL. A metabolite of equine estrogens, 4-hydroxyequilenin, induces DNA damage and apoptosis in breast cancer cell lines. Chem Res Toxicol 2000;13:342–350. [PubMed: 10813650]
- 12. Zhang F, Swanson SM, van Breemen RB, Liu X, Yang Y, Gu C, Bolton JL. Equine estrogen metabolite 4-hydroxyequilenin induces DNA damage in the rat mammary tissues: formation of single-strand breaks, apurinic sites, stable adducts, and oxidized bases. Chem Res Toxicol 2001;14:1654–1659. [PubMed: 11743748]
- Bolton JL, Trush MA, Penning TM, Dryhurst G, Monks TJ. Role of quinones in toxicology. Chem Res Toxicol 2000;13:135–160. [PubMed: 10725110]
- 14. Liu X, Yao J, Pisha E, Yang Y, Hua Y, van Breemen RB, Bolton JL. Oxidative DNA damage induced by equine estrogen metabolites: role of estrogen receptor alpha. Chem Res Toxicol 2002;15:512– 519. [PubMed: 11952337]
- 15. Bolton JL. Quinoids, quinoid radicals, and phenoxyl radicals formed from estrogens and antiestrogens. Toxicology 2002;177:55–65. [PubMed: 12126795]
- Shen L, Qiu S, Chen Y, Zhang F, van Breemen RB, Nikolic D, Bolton JL. Alkylation of 2'deoxynucleosides and DNA by the Premarin metabolite 4-hydroxyequilenin semiquinone radical. Chem Res Toxicol 1998;11:94–101. [PubMed: 9511900]
- Luch A. Nature and nurture lessons from chemical carcinogenesis. Nat Rev Cancer 2005;5:113– 125. [PubMed: 15660110]

18. Shen L, Qiu SX, vanBreemen RB, Zhang FG, Chen YM, Bolton JL. Reaction of the Premarin metabolite 4-hydroxyequilenin semiquinone radical with 2'-deoxyguanosine: Formation of unusual cyclic adducts. J Am Chem Soc 1997;119:11126–11127.

- Embrechts J, Lemiere F, Van Dongen W, Esmans EL. Equilenin-2'-deoxynucleoside adducts: analysis
 with nano-liquid chromatography coupled to nanoelectrospray tandem mass spectrometry. J Mass
 Spectrom 2001;36:317–328. [PubMed: 11312524]
- Embrechts J, Lemiere F, Van Dongen W, Esmans EL, Buytaert P, Van Marck E, Kockx M, Makar A. Detection of estrogen DNA-adducts in human breast tumor tissue and healthy tissue by combined nano LC-nano ES tandem mass spectrometry. J Am Soc Mass Spectrom 2003;14:482

 –491. [PubMed: 12745217]
- 21. Kolbanovskiy A, Kuzmin V, Shastry A, Kolbanovskaya M, Chen D, Chang M, Bolton JL, Geacintov NE. Base selectivity and effects of sequence and DNA secondary structure on the formation of covalent adducts derived from the equine estrogen metabolite 4-hydroxyequilenin. Chem Res Toxicol 2005;18:1737–1747. [PubMed: 16300383]
- 22. Ding S, Shapiro R, Geacintov NE, Broyde S. Conformations of stereoisomeric base adducts to 4-hydroxyequilenin. Chem Res Toxicol 2003;16:695–707. [PubMed: 12807352]
- Ding S, Shapiro R, Geacintov NE, Broyde S. Equilenin-derived DNA adducts to cytosine in DNA duplexes: structures and thermodynamics. Biochemistry 2005;44:14565–14576. [PubMed: 16262256]
- 24. Chen D, Kolbanovskiy A, Shastry A, Chang M, Bolton JL, Geacintov NE. Replication of DNA sequences with equine estrogen metabolite 4-OHEN-dC adducts catalyzed by A- and Y- family polymerases in vitro. Abstracts of Papers of the American Chemical Society 2005;230:U1853–U1853.
- 25. Geacintov NE, Kolbanovskiy A, Kuzmin K, Chang MS, Bolton JL. DNA damage induced by an equine estrogen metabolite (4-OHEN) in solution and the characteristics of site specifically modified oligodeoxynucleotide model systems containing single, stable covalent adducts. Chemical Research in Toxicology 2003;16:1665–1666.
- 26. Chen DD, Oum L, Kolbanovskiy A, Kuzmin V, Shastry A, Chang MS, Bolton JL, Geacintov N. Translesion synthesis and nucleotide excision repair of site specifically modified oligodeoxyribonucleotides containing single lesions derived from the equine estrogen metabolite 4-OHEN. Chemical Research in Toxicology 2004;17:1782–1782.
- 27. Suzuki N, Yasui M, Santosh Laxmi YR, Ohmori H, Hanaoka F, Shibutani S. Translesion synthesis past equine estrogen-derived 2'-deoxycytidine DNA adducts by human DNA polymerases eta and kappa. Biochemistry 2004;43:11312–11320. [PubMed: 15366941]
- 28. Yasui M, Laxmi YR, Ananthoju SR, Suzuki N, Kim SY, Shibutani S. Translesion Synthesis Past Equine Estrogen-Derived 2'-Deoxyadenosine DNA Adducts by Human DNA Polymerases eta and kappa. Biochemistry 2006;45:6187–6194. [PubMed: 16681391]
- 29. Yasui M, Matsui S, Laxmi YR, Suzuki N, Kim SY, Shibutani S, Matsuda T. Mutagenic events induced by 4-hydroxyequilin in supF shuttle vector plasmid propagated in human cells. Carcinogenesis 2003;24:911–917. [PubMed: 12771036]
- 30. Chen DD, Liu TM, Ruan Q, Zou Y, Kuzmin V, Kolbanovskiy A, Chang MS, Bolton JL, Geacintov N. Nucleotide excision repair of site specific cytidine adducts derived from the equine estrogen metabolite 4-OHEN in DNA by UvrABC proteins from *Escherichia coli*. Chemical Research in Toxicology 2003;16:1682–1683.
- 31. Hingerty BE, Figueroa S, Hayden TL, Broyde S. Prediction of DNA structure from sequence: a build-up technique. Biopolymers 1989;28:1195–1222. [PubMed: 2775836]
- 32. Case, DA.; Darden, TA.; Cheatham, TE., III; Simmerling, CL.; Wang, J.; Duke, RE.; Luo, R.; Merz, KM.; Wang, B.; Pearlman, DA.; Crowley, M.; Brozell, S.; Tsui, V.; Gohlke, H.; Mongan, J.; Hornak, V.; Cui, G.; Beroza, P.; Schafmeister, C.; Kollman, PA. AMBER 8. San Francisco, CA: University of California; 2004.
- 33. Cornell WD, Cieplak P, Bayly CI, Gould IR, Merz KM, Ferguson DM, Spellmeyer DC, Fox T, Caldwell JW, Kollman PA. A 2nd Generation Force-Field for the Simulation of Proteins, Nucleic-Acids, and Organic-Molecules. J Am Chem Soc 1995;117:5179–5197.

34. Cheatham TE, Cieplak P, Kollman PA. A modified version of the Cornell et al. force field with improved sugar pucker phases and helical repeat. J Biomol Struct Dyn 1999;16:845–862. [PubMed: 10217454]

- 35. Bayly CI, Cieplak P, Cornell WD, Kollman PA. A Well-Behaved Electrostatic Potential Based Method Using Charge Restraints for Deriving Atomic Charges the Resp Model. J Phys Chem-Us 1993;97:10269–10280.
- 36. Zhang Q, Broyde S, Schlick T. Deformations of promoter DNA bound to carcinogens help interpret effects on TATA-element structure and activity. Philos T Roy Soc A 2004;362:1479–1496.
- 37. Cieplak P, Cornell WD, Bayly C, Kollman PA. Application of the Multimolecule and Multiconformational Resp Methodology to Biopolymers Charge Derivation for DNA, Rna, and Proteins. J Comput Chem 1995;16:1357–1377.
- 38. Frisch, JM.; Trucks, WG.; Schlegel, BH.; Scuseria, EG.; Robb, AM.; Cheeseman, RJ.; Zakrzewski, GV.; Montgomery, AJ.; Stratmann, ER.; Burant, CJ.; Dappprich, S.; Millam, MJ.; Daniels, DA.; Kudin, NK.; Strain, CM.; Farkas, O.; Tomasi, J.; Barone, V.; Cossi, M.; Cammi, R.; Mennucci, B.; Pomelli, C.; Adamo, C.; Clifford, S.; Ochterski, J.; Petersson, AG.; Ayala, YP.; Cui, Q.; Morokuma, K.; Malick, KD.; Rabuck, DA.; Raghavachari, K.; Foresman, BJ.; Cioslowski, J.; Ortiz, VJ.; Baboul, GA.; Stefanov, BB.; Liu, G.; Liashenko, A.; Piskorz, P.; Komaromi, I.; Comperts, R.; Martin, LR.; Fox, JD.; Keith, T.; Al-Laham, AM.; Peng, YC.; Nanayakkara, A.; Gonzalez, C.; Challacombe, M.; Gill, WMP.; Johnson, B.; Chen, W.; Wong, WM.; Andres, LJ.; Head-Gordon, M.; Replogle, SE.; Pople, AJ. Gaussian 98. Pittsburgh: Gaussian, Inc; 1998.
- Jorgensen WL, Chandrasekhar J, Madura JD, Impey RW, Klein ML. Comparison of Simple Potential Functions for Simulating Liquid Water. J Chem Phys 1983;79:926–935.
- Darden T, York D, Pedersen L. Particle Mesh Ewald an N.Log(N) Method for Ewald Sums in Large Systems. J Chem Phys 1993;98:10089–10092.
- Essmann U, Perera L, Berkowitz ML, Darden T, Lee H, Pedersen LG. A Smooth Particle Mesh Ewald Method. J Chem Phys 1995;103:8577–8593.
- 42. Ryckaert JP, Ciccotti G, Berendsen HJC. Numerical-Integration of Cartesian Equations of Motion of a System with Constraints Molecular-Dynamics of N-Alkanes. J Comput Phys 1977;23:327–341.
- 43. Harvey SC, Tan RKZ, Cheatham TE. The flying ice cube: Velocity rescaling in molecular dynamics leads to violation of energy equipartition. J Comput Chem 1998;19:726–740.
- 44. Berendsen HJC, Postma JPM, Vangunsteren WF, Dinola A, Haak JR. Molecular-Dynamics with Coupling to an External Bath. J Chem Phys 1984;81:3684–3690.
- 45. Ravishanker G, Swaminathan S, Beveridge DL, Lavery R, Sklenar H. Conformational and helicoidal analysis of 30 PS of molecular dynamics on the d(CGCGAATTCGCG) double helix: "curves", dials and windows. J Biomol Struct Dyn 1989;6:669–699. [PubMed: 2619934]
- 46. Ravishanker, G.; Wang, W.; Beveridge, DL. MD Toolchest. Middletown, CT: Wesleyan University;
- 47. Connolly ML. Solvent-accessible surfaces of proteins and nucleic acids. Science 1983;221:709–713. [PubMed: 6879170]
- 48. Srinivasan J, Cheatham TE, Cieplak P, Kollman PA, Case DA. Continuum solvent studies of the stability of DNA, RNA, and phosphoramidate DNA helices. J Am Chem Soc 1998;120:9401–9409.
- 49. Kollman PA, Massova I, Reyes C, Kuhn B, Huo S, Chong L, Lee M, Lee T, Duan Y, Wang W, Donini O, Cieplak P, Srinivasan J, Case DA, Cheatham TE 3rd. Calculating structures and free energies of complex molecules: combining molecular mechanics and continuum models. Acc Chem Res 2000;33:889–897. [PubMed: 11123888]
- 50. Wang W, Kollman PA. Free energy calculations on dimer stability of the HIV protease using molecular dynamics and a continuum solvent model. J Mol Biol 2000;303:567–582. [PubMed: 11054292]
- Reyes CM, Kollman PA. Structure and thermodynamics of RNA-protein binding: using molecular dynamics and free energy analyses to calculate the free energies of binding and conformational change. J Mol Biol 2000;297:1145–1158. [PubMed: 10764579]
- 52. Lee MR, Duan Y, Kollman PA. Use of MM-PB/SA in estimating the free energies of proteins: application to native, intermediates, and unfolded villin headpiece. Proteins 2000;39:309–316. [PubMed: 10813813]

53. Wang J, Morin P, Wang W, Kollman PA. Use of MM-PBSA in reproducing the binding free energies to HIV-1 RT of TIBO derivatives and predicting the binding mode to HIV-1 RT of efavirenz by docking and MM-PBSA. J Am Chem Soc 2001;123:5221–5230. [PubMed: 11457384]

- 54. Huo S, Massova I, Kollman PA. Computational alanine scanning of the 1:1 human growth hormone-receptor complex. J Comput Chem 2002;23:15–27. [PubMed: 11913381]
- 55. Honig B, Nicholls A. Classical electrostatics in biology and chemistry. Science 1995;268:1144–1149. [PubMed: 7761829]
- 56. Nicholls, A.; Sharp, KA.; Honig, B. Delphi, Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biophysics. New York: Columbia University; 1990.
- 57. Sitkoff D, Sharp KA, Honig B. Accurate Calculation of Hydration Free-Energies Using Macroscopic Solvent Models. J Phys Chem-Us 1994;98:1978–1988.
- 58. Alberts, B.; Bray, D.; Lewis, J.; Raff, M.; Roberts, K.; Watson, JD. Molecular Biology of the Cell. 3rd edition. New York, NY: Garland Publishing, Inc; 1994.
- 59. Gilson MK, Honig BH. Calculation of Electrostatic Potentials in an Enzyme Active-Site. Nature 1987;330:84–86. [PubMed: 3313058]
- 60. Sanner MF, Olson AJ, Spehner JC. Reduced surface: an efficient way to compute molecular surfaces. Biopolymers 1996;38:305–320. [PubMed: 8906967]

4-OHEN-A

Figure 1. (a) Chemical structures and stereochemical characteristics of the 4-OHEN-A and 4-OHEN-C adducts. (b) Sequence of the 11mer B-DNA duplex for the MD simulations. A_4^* is the damaged position.




Figure 2. Five base pair segments with modified bases at center in the trajectory-average structures for the four 4-OHEN-A modified duplexes, in stereoview. The color code is as follows: 4-OHEN-A, colored by atom: C, green; O, red; N, blue; H white; partner base T, orange; 5'-side $T_3 \cdot A_{20}$ pair, light blue; 3'-side $G_5 \cdot C_{18}$ pair, dark blue. The C2' OH group of the adduct is in pink spheres and the C18' methyl group in green spheres. (a) *syn* glycosidic conformation; (b) *anti* glycosidic conformation. In 4-OHEN-A1 and A3, 6-mers at 5' end of the modified strand are shown.




Figure 3. Space filling models of five base pair segments with modified bases at center in the trajectory-average structures for the four 4-OHEN-A modified duplexes, in stereoview. The color code is as follows: 4-OHEN-A, colored by atom: C, green; O, red; N, blue; and H white (except the methyl group in yellow). (a) *syn* glycosidic conformation; (b) *anti* glycosidic conformation. In 4-OHEN-A1 and A3, 6-mers at 5' end of the modified strand are shown.

(a) syn




Figure 4. Trajectory-average groove dimensions (Å) of 4-OHEN-A modified duplexes. The color code is as follows: 4-OHEN-A1, red; 4-OHEN-A2, green; 4-OHEN-A3, magenta; 4-OHEN-A4, blue; unmodified duplex, black. (a) *syn* glycosidic conformation; (b) *anti* glycosidic conformation.




Figure 5.Comparison of *syn*/major groove and *anti*/minor groove structures of 4-OHEN adducts to adenine and cytosine. Five base pair segments with modified bases at center are shown. The color code is as follow: 4-OHEN-A in blue with hydrogen in white; 4-OHEN-C in yellow with hydrogen in white.

tdiassnuew Johnny Wa-HIN Table 1 Stereochemistry-Dependent Structural Properties of 4-OHEN-A/C Adducts

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

	C2' OH group	near axial near axial ear equatorial
	C2' OI	near near near eq near eq
Anti Conformation	C18' methyl group ^{c,d}	$\Sigma \circ \Sigma \circ$
An	Equilenin rings orientation ^a C18' methyl group ^{C,d}	ले वे ले वे
	C2' OH group	near axial near axial near equatorial near equatorial
Syn Conformation	C18' methyl group b,c	Σ«Σ«
Syn	Equilenin rings orientation ^a	ભેં જે ભેં જે
	try	2'S-3'R 2'R-3'S 2'R-3'R 2'S-3'S
	Stereochemistry	4-OHEN-A1/ C1 4-OHEN-A2/ C2 4-OHEN-A3/ C3 4-OHEN-A4/ C4

a with respect to the modified strand

bs: toward solvent

 c M: toward modified strand

 d P: toward partner strand

Syn	Hydrogen bond	Occupancy (%)	
4-OHEN-A1	$(T_{19})O4H-N6(A_{20})$	22.9	
4-OHEN-A2	$(A_4^*)O3'-HO6(G_5)$	53.9	
	$(A_4*)O2'-HN7(G_5)$	44.0	
	$(A_4*)O2'-HO6(G_5)$	29.5	
	$(A_4^*)O2'-HN7(G_{17})$	19.5	
	$(A_4^*)O3'H-N4(C_{18})$	24.3	
	$(A_4^*)N6H-N4(C_{18})$	22.0	
	$(A_4^*)N7H-N3(T_{19})$	69.7	
	$(T_{19})O4H-N6(A_{20})$	34.9	
4-OHEN-A3	$(A_4^*)O4'H-N4(C_{16})$	52.4	
	$(T_{19})O4H-N6(A_{20})$	39.6	
4-OHEN-A4	$(A_4^*)O3'-HO6(G_5)$	85.0	
	$(A_4^*)N7H-N3(T_{19})$	60.3	
	$(T_{19})O4H-N6(A_{20})$	30.5	
anti	Hydrogen bond	Occupancy (%)	
4-OHEN-A1	(A ₄ *)O2'-HO2(T ₁₉)	93.4	
	$(A_4^*)O3'H-N3(T_{19})$	51.2	
4-OHEN-A2	$(A_4^*)O2'-HO4(T_3)$	39.2	
	$(A_4^*)O2'-HO3'(A_4^*)$	24.1	
	$(A_4*)O4'H-N2(G_5)$	54.1	
	$(A_4^*)O2'H-N3(T_{19})$	84.8	
	$(T_{19})O4H-N6(A_{20})$	28.3	
4-OHEN-A3	$(A_4^*)O2'H-N3(T_{19})$	50.9	

^aHydrogen bonding criteria were 3.35 Å between heavy atoms and a hydrogen bonding angle for donor-hydrogen-acceptor of 135°.

NIH-PA Author Manuscript	Table 3	rajectory Average Bend Angles of the Modified DNA Duplexes
NIH-PA Author Manuscript		Trajectory Average Ber

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

	ı
4-OHEN-A4	22.9 (9.1) 55.0 (21.8)
4-OHEN-A3	30.8 (13.4) 48.1 (20.2)
4-OHEN-A2	23.9 (11.4) 54.1 (20.0)
4-OHEN-A1	29.5 (15.4) 41.5 (10.9)
unmodified	15.2 (7.7)
	syn anti

 $^{\it a}$ Bend angles are in degrees. Standard deviations are in parentheses.

 Table 4

 Solvent Accessible Surface for the Equilenin Rings of 4OHEN-C and A Adducts in 11mer Duplexes.

syn anti	4-OHEN-A1 250.3 (7.1) 123.0 (10.1)	4-OHEN-A2 220.9 (15.7) 163.1 (13.7)	4-OHEN-A3 243.3 (10.9) 131.4 (26.7)	4-OHEN-A4 229.7 (9.6) 201.1 (20.1)
syn anti	4-OHEN-C1 232.0 (9.7) 151.9 (11.1)	4-OHEN-C2 193.6 (8.2) 112.9 (6.5)	4-OHEN-C3 222.0 (6.4) 131.3 (6.6)	4-OHEN-C4 212.4 (7.4) 115.3 (6.6)

a Trajectory average values for solvent accessible surface (\mathring{A}^2) of equilenin moiety. Standard deviations are given in parentheses. 4-OHEN-C adduct values are based on trajectories of (23).

 Table 5

 Relative Free Energies for syn and anti Conformations of Each Stereoisomeric Adduct.a

ΔG syn anti	4-OHEN-A1 3.6 0	4-OHEN-A2 0 6.3	4-OHEN-A3 5.4 0	4-OHEN-A4 0.6 0
	4-OHEN-C1	4-OHEN-C2	4-OHEN-C3	4-OHEN-C4
syn	0.0	3.9	0.0	5.0
anti	1.5	0.0	6.8	0.0

^a For each stereoisomeric adduct, the conformation with the lower energy is assigned $\Delta G = 0$. Energies are in kcal/mol. Data for 4-OHEN-C adducts are from (23).

Comparison of 4-OHEN-Ca and A Adduct Features Compared to Unmodified Control NIH-PA Author Manuscript **NIH-PA Author Manuscript**

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

	Adjacent Base Pair ^b	Number of Adduct Hydrogen Bonds ^c	Stacking Energy Difference ^d (kcal/mol)	Bend Angle Difference [©] (degrees)	Major Groove Distortion (Å)	Minor Groove Distortion (Å)
syn 4-OHEN-C1	intact	0	5.5	11.9	3.1	0.7
4-OHEN-A1	intact	0	5.9	14.3	1.8	-1.3
4-OHEN-C2	intact	1	4.6	11.0	3.1	2.0
4-OHEN-A2	intact	2	4.7	8.7	2.3	2.1
4-OHEN-C3	intact	0	5.3	-0.7	-2.2	-2.3
4-OHEN-A3	intact	1	9.9	15.6	1.0	1.8
4-OHEN-C4	distorted	2	9.2	0.1	5.3	-6.0
4-OHEN-A4	intact	2	3.1	7.5	2.9	2.7
anti						
4-OHEN-CI	distorted	0	22.2	4.1	5.2	8.8
4-OHEN-A1	broken	2	50	26.3	1.4	6.2
4-OHEN-C2	broken	1	19.7	15.8	3.7	-2.6
4-OHEN-A2	broken	2	22.1	38.9	4.1	9.5
4-OHEN-C3	broken	33	22.6	38.4	2.2	8.2
4-OHEN-A3	broken	1	500	32.9	4.7	6.1
4-OHEN-C4	broken	2	15.9	40.1	2.8	1.6
4-OHEN-A4	broken	0	20.9	39.8	13.4	-1.9

 $^{^{}a}$ Data for 4-OHEN-C from (23).

b Occupancy of Watson-Crick hydrogen bonds: intact, all > 90%; distorted, any one 50%-90%; broken, all 0%. Hydrogen bonds are distorted or broken in the direction of the equilenin ring orientation (Figure 2), except for anti C1. The unmodified duplex has all base pairs intact.

^cNumber of hydrogen bonds involving 4-OHEN base adduct with occupancy > 50% in stable time frame of trajectory (see Methods and Table 2).

^d Difference between stacking interaction energy of modified duplex and its unmodified counterpart (see Methods and Table S4). Larger energies show more perturbed stacking.

 $^{^{}e}$ Difference between the trajectory average bend angle of the adduct and the unmodified duplex (Table 3).

Using the unmodified duplex as reference, we calculated the groove distortions of the modified duplexes as (d-d0), where d is a groove dimension of the modified duplex, and d0 is the corresponding value for the unmodified duplex (Figure 3). The groove dimension difference with the largest absolute value is shown. A negative sign indicates groove closing compared to the unmodified control.

 $^{^{\}it g}$ Stacking cannot be calculated due to the dangling end.