See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/9014823

Factors Affecting Reduction of Selenate to Elemental Selenium in Agricultural Drainage Water by Enterobacter taylorae

ARTICLE in JOURNAL OF AGRICULTURAL AND FOOD CHEMISTRY · DECEMBER 2003

 $Impact\ Factor; 2.91 \cdot DOI; 10.1021/jf0304019 \cdot Source; PubMed$

CITATIONS

21

READS

35

3 AUTHORS, INCLUDING:



Zahir Zahir University of Agriculture Faisalabad

131 PUBLICATIONS 2,450 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



William. T. Frankenberger
University of California, Riverside
213 PUBLICATIONS 7,614 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Factors Affecting Reduction of Selenate to Elemental Selenium in Agricultural Drainage Water by Enterobacter taylorae

YIQIANG ZHANG, ZAHIR A. ZAHIR, AND WILLIAM T. FRANKENBERGER, JR. *, T

Department of Environmental Sciences, University of California, Riverside, California 92521, and Institute of Soil and Environmental Sciences, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad 38040, Pakistan

Microbial reduction of selenate [Se(VI)] to elemental selenium [Se(0)] is a useful technique for removing Se from agricultural drainage water. A series of batch experiments were conducted in the laboratory to determine the effects of yeast extract (50-1000 mg/L), salinity (EC, 5-75 dS/m), and NO₃- (5-100 mg/L) on the removal of Se(VI) (2000 μ g/L) from drainage water by Enterobacter taylorae. Results showed that relatively high amounts of yeast extract (500 mg/L) were needed for E. taylorae to effectively reduce Se(VI) to Se(0). During a 7-day experiment, ~95% of added Se(VI) was reduced to Se(0) in the low-salinity drainage water (5 dS/m) with NO₃⁻ values of 5-50 mg/L. In the highsalinity drainage water (50-75 dS/m), reduction of Se(VI) to Se(0) was limited. E. taylorae was also capable of reducing Se(VI) to Se(0) in the San Joaquin Valley drainage water, with a reduction of the added Se(VI) to Se(0) (73.8%) and Se(-II) (20%). This study suggests that E. taylorae may be used to treat Se(VI)-contaminated drainage water in the field.

KEYWORDS: Selenium speciation; selenate reduction; nitrate; salinity; yeast extract; Enterobacter taylorae

INTRODUCTION

Selenium (Se) contamination in the San Joaquin Valley wetlands of California is mainly associated with agricultural drainage water containing Se [mostly as selenate [Se(VI)]] (1-3). Bioaccumulation of Se in the Kesterson Reservoir in California has created a hazard to the waterfowl (1, 2). To protect wetland wildlife. Se in agricultural drainage water needs to be removed before its disposal to wetlands.

Bacterial reduction of Se(VI) to elemental Se [Se(0)] is a feasible technology in the removal of Se from agricultural drainage waters because of the insolubility of Se(0) (3–8). Many selenate-reducing bacteria isolated from different aquatic environments are capable of reducing Se(VI) to Se(0) (3–9), such as strain SES-3 isolated from an estuarine sediment (10), Thauera selenatis from Se(VI)-contaminated wastewater (11), γ-proteobacteria from solar evaporation pond salt (12), and Enterobacter taylorae from rice straw (13). In this study, we used E. taylorae to reduce Se(VI) to Se(0) in synthetic and natural drainage water and to determine the effects of yeast extract, salinity, and nitrate (NO₃⁻) on the reduction of Se(VI) to Se(0) in a series of batch experiments.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials. Natural drainage water was collected from the Westlands Water District, San Joaquin Valley, California. The water, with a pH

of 7.9 and a salinity (electrical conductivity [EC]) of 7.4 dS/m contained 38.6 μ g/L Se(VI), 4.29 μ g/L selenite [Se(IV)], 0.567 μ g/L organic Se, 3725 mg/L SO₄²⁻, 509 mg/L Cl⁻, 51.1 mg/L NO₃⁻, 0.31 mg/L NH₄⁺, and 0.125 mg/L PO₄³⁻. The natural drainage water was passed through a 5 μ m filter to remove detritus prior to use. Synthetic agricultural drainage water with an EC of 5.1 dS/m and a pH of 8 was prepared with the following constituents (in g/L): Na₂SO₄, 1.48; NaCl, 0.659; NaHCO₃, 0.275; CaCl₂·2H₂O, 0.733; MgSO₄, 0.745; (NH₄)₂SO₄, 0.073; Na₂B₄O₇•4H₂O, 0.176; KCl, 0.019; NaH₂PO₄, 0.044; FeCl₂, 0.0002; yeast extract, 0.5 (from 0.05 to 1 in the yeast extract effect experiment described below); glucose 0.5; and a trace element solution (14), 1 mL/L. CaCl₂•2H₂O, MgSO₄, and other chemicals were separately dissolved in deionized water and autoclaved (18 psi at 121 °C) for 20 min before mixing on cooling. After mixing, a Se(VI) standard solution was added to the drainage water to a Se(VI) concentration of 2000 µg/L. In the salinity effect experiment described below, synthetic drainage water was autoclaved after salts (Na2SO4 and NaCl) were added to the drainage water. The Se(VI) and NO₃⁻ standard stock solution (10000 mg/L) was passed through a sterile 0.2 μ m membrane filter prior to its addition to the drainage water.

E. taylorae was pregrown in a 1% tryptic soy broth (TSB) solution and incubated (30 °C) for 1 day. The solution was then centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 20 min. To remove the TSB residues, cells were washed three times by centrifugation (5000 rpm, 20 min) with 35 mL of the sterile synthetic drainage water described above. Washed cells were resuspended in the same solution to give an OD₆₀₀ of 1.3.

Reduction of Se(VI) in Synthetic Drainage Water. A series of batch experiments were conducted in the laboratory to determine the effects of yeast extract, salinity, and NO₃⁻ on Se(VI) reduction to Se(0) in synthetic drainage water. The terms "yeast extract effect", "salinity effect", and "NO3" effect" represent three batches of experiments. In the yeast extract effect experiments, 200-mL portions of

^{*} Corresponding author [telephone (909) 787-3405; fax (909) 787-2954; e-mail william.frankenberger@ucr.edu].

University of California.

[‡] Univeristy of Agriculture.




Figure 1. Effect of yeast extract (50-1000 mg/L) on the changes of Se species in a synthetic drainage water upon inoculation with E. taylorae.

synthetic drainage water with yeast extract levels of 50, 100, 500, and 1000 mg/L were placed in each 250-mL flask, followed by 0.5 mL of washed cell suspension. In the salinity effect experiments, 200-mL portions of synthetic drainage water prior to autoclaving were placed in each 250-mL flask, followed by the addition of Na₂SO₄ and NaCl with a weight ratio of 3:1 to EC levels of 5, 25, 50, and 75 dS/m. The drainage water was autoclaved (18 psi at 121 °C) and cooled to room temperature, followed by the addition of 2000 μ g/L Se(VI) and 0.5 mL of washed cell suspension. In the NO₃⁻ effect experiments, 200-mL portions of sterile synthetic drainage water were placed in each 250-mL flask, followed by spiking of NO₃⁻ to concentration levels of 5, 25, 50, and 100 mg/L and 0.5 mL of the washed cell suspension. All of the flasks were capped with sterile stoppers and incubated under a static condition at room temperature (21 °C). All three batches of the experiments were run in triplicate for 7 days. The samples were collected daily for analysis of Se species.

Reduction of Se(VI) in Natural Agricultural Drainage Water. To examine whether E. taylorae can survive in natural drainage water and reduce Se(VI) to Se(0), 200 mL of nonsterile natural drainage water spiked with a Se(VI) concentration of 2000 μ g/L and 0.05% of yeast extract was added to each 250-mL flask, followed by the addition of 0.5 mL of the washed cell suspension. The drainage water without added washed cell suspension served as a control. Glucose was not added in this experiment because we found in a previous test that the addition of yeast extract alone to drainage water could also reduce Se(VI). All flasks were capped with sterile stoppers and incubated at room temperature (21 °C). The experiment was run in triplicate for 7 days. The water samples were collected daily for analysis of Se species.

Analysis. Selenium species in the drainage water were determined using a method developed by Zhang and Frankenberger (15, 16) after Se(0) was removed from solution by centrifugation at 12000 for 10 min. In brief, Se speciation was carried out as follows: Se(IV) in the water samples was determined in a pH 7 buffer solution. The sum of Se(IV) and selenide [Se(-II)] [organic Se and inorganic Se(-II)] was determined when the Se(-II) in the water samples was oxidized to Se(IV) by Na₂S₂O₈, which was indicated by precipitation of manganese oxides formed from the oxidation of added Mn²⁺. The Se(-II) concentration was calculated as the difference between Se in this water

sample and the Se(IV) concentration determined in another subsample. Total soluble Se in the water samples was determined by oxidizing all Se to Se(VI) by $\rm Na_2S_2O_8$, followed by reduction to Se(IV) in 6 N HCl. Se(VI) concentration was calculated as the difference between total soluble Se concentration and the sum of Se(IV) and Se(-II) concentration determined in another subsample. Se(0) was determined as the difference between added Se(VI) and total soluble Se. Se concentrations in all prepared solutions were analyzed by hydride generation atomic absorption spectrometry (HGAAS) (15, 17). The detection limit in the prepared solution was 0.5 μ g/L.

RESULTS

Effect of Yeast Extract on the Se(VI) Reduction. The efficiency of the Se(VI) reduction is related to the amount of yeast extract added to the drainage water (Figure 1). In the high-yeast extract (500–1000 mg/L) drainage water, Se(VI) dropped rapidly during a 7-day experiment, from 1950 to 38.6–93.2 μ g/L. Se(IV) formed from Se(VI) reduction increased to 802–841 μ g/L on day 2. Then, Se(IV) decreased with time to \sim 5 μ g/L. Se(0) and Se(-II) also increased with time. On the final day of the experiment, Se(0) was 1742–1800 μ g/L and Se(-II) was 97.2–110 μ g/L in the high-yeast extract drainage water.

In the low-yeast extract (50–100 mg/L) drainage water, Se(VI) also dropped rapidly during the 7-day experiment, from 2000 to 149–152 μ g/L. Se(IV) formed from Se(VI) reduction increased to 1006 μ g/L at day 3 in the 100 mg/L yeast extract drainage water and to 1310 μ g/L at day 4 in the 50 mg/L yeast extract drainage water. Se(IV) was maintained at these levels during the rest of the experiment. Se(0) formed from Se(IV) reduction increased slowly with time. On the final day of the experiment, Se(0) and Se(-II) concentrations were 408 and 37.5 μ g/L, respectively, in the 50 mg/L yeast extract drainage water. In the 100 mg/L yeast extract drainage water, Se(0) and Se(-II) concentrations were 820 and 64.4 μ g/L, respectively.




Figure 2. Effect of salinity (5-75 dS/m) on the changes of Se species in a synthetic drainage water upon inoculation with E. taylorae.

Effect of Salinity on Se(VI) Reduction. The relationship between the Se(VI) reduction and salinity (EC = 5, 25, 50, and 75 dS/m) in the synthetic drainage water is shown in **Figure 2**. In the high-salinity (EC = 50 and 75 dS/m) drainage water, Se(VI) decreased slowly during the 7-day experiment, from the 2039 to $1237-1588~\mu g/L$. With the decrease of Se(VI) in the drainage water, Se(IV) formed from Se(VI) reduction increased slowly to a range of $60-61.6~\mu g/L$ in the 75 dS/m drainage water and to $170-178~\mu g/L$ in the 50 dS/m drainage water at days 4-5 and then slightly decreased with time. During the experiment, Se(0) increased to $339~\mu g/L$ in the 75 dS/m drainage water and to $624~\mu g/L$ in the 50 dS/m drainage water. Se(-II) was relatively stable in the drainage water, with a concentration range of $31-67.6~\mu g/L$.

In the relatively low-salinity (EC = 5-25 dS/m) drainage water, Se(VI) dropped rapidly during the 7-day experiment, from 2043 to $31.5-318~\mu g/L$. Se(IV) formed from Se(VI) reduction increased to 900 $\mu g/L$ at day 2 in the 5 dS/m drainage water and to $528~\mu g/L$ at day 3 in the 25 dS/m drainage water. Then, Se(IV) decreased to 14.1 and 145 $\mu g/L$ on the final day of the experiment in the 5 and 25 dS/m drainage water, respectively. Se(0) formed from Se(IV) reduction increased rapidly to 1964 and 1536 $\mu g/L$ in the 5 and 25 dS/m drainage water, respectively. Se(-II) was low during the experiment, with a concentration range of $7.82-60.6~\mu g/L$.

Effect of Nitrate on Se(VI) Reduction. The effect of NO₃⁻ on Se(VI) reduction in synthetic drainage water with NO₃⁻ levels of 5, 25, 50, and 100 mg/L is presented in **Figure 3**. In the relatively low NO₃⁻ (5–50 mg/L) drainage water, Se(VI) dropped rapidly from 1960 to 26.8–58.6 μ g/L during the 7-day experiment. With the decrease of Se(VI) in the drainage water, Se(IV) formed from Se(VI) reduction increased with the highest detection on day 2 (540–703 μ g/L). Then, Se(IV) decreased with time to \sim 5 μ g/L. Se(0) formed from Se(IV) reduction increased rapidly to 1839–1878 μ g/L on the last day of the

experiment. Se(-II) was relatively stable during the experiment, with a concentration range of $9.11-68.1~\mu g/L$.

In the relatively high NO_3^- (100 mg/L) drainage water, Se(VI) decreased slowly during the 7-day experiment, from 1979 to 506 μ g/L. However, Se(IV) formed from Se(VI) reduction was maintained at a very low level of $10-20~\mu$ g/L during this experiment, with increases of Se(0) and Se(-II). On the final day of the experiment, Se(0) and Se(-II) concentrations were 1352 and 111 μ g/L, respectively.

Se(VI) Reduction in Natural Drainage Water. Reduction of Se(VI) in natural drainage water with and without inoculation of *E. taylorae* is presented in **Figure 4**. In the drainage water with inoculation of *E. taylorae*, Se(VI) decreased rapidly during the 7-day experiment, from 2030 μ g/L at the beginning of the experiment to 95.3 μ g/L at day 7. Se(IV) formed from Se(VI) reduction increased to 469 μ g/L on day 2. On the final day of the experiment, Se(IV) was 32.7 μ g/L. Se(0) and Se(-II) increased with time to 1490 and 402 μ g/L, respectively. In contrast, Se(VI) decreased slowly with time in the control to 1187 μ g/L, with a low Se(IV) range of 0–32.5 μ g/L during the 7-day experiment in the noninoculated drainage water. With the decrease of Se(VI) in the natural drainage water, Se(0) and Se(-II) increased to 500 and 316 μ g/L, respectively.

DISCUSSION

Bacterial reduction of soluble Se(VI) to insoluble Se(0) has been proposed as a remedial technology in treating Secontaminated drainage water in San Joaquin Valley, California (3, 18). Recently, Zahir et al. (13) isolated a Se(VI)-reducing bacterium, E. taylorae, from rice straw and used it to successfully reduce Se(VI) to Se(0) in an artificial high-salinity (15.5 dS/m) drainage water. We characterized the pathway of Se(VI) reduction in the drainage water as Se(VI) \rightarrow Se(IV) \rightarrow Se(0) \rightarrow Se(-II). This study showed that the efficiency of Se(VI)




Figure 3. Effect of NO₃⁻ (5–100 mg/L) on the changes of Se species in a synthetic drainage water upon inoculation with E. taylorae.




Figure 4. Reduction of Se(VI) in natural drainage water spiked with 2000 μ g/L of Se(VI), with and without inoculation with *E. taylorae*.

reduction by *E. taylorae* was related to the amount of yeast extract added, salinity, and NO₃⁻ levels in the drainage water.

Yeast extract is commonly used in culture media for microbial reduction of Se(VI) to Se(0) (7, 10, 12, 19-21). Fujita et al. (19) reported that yeast extract, as a growth factor, was required for Bacillus sp. SF-1 to reduce Se(VI) to Se(0), and casamino acids, a DNA/RNA mixture, or a vitamin mixture cannot replace the yeast extract. In this study, yeast extract was also shown to promote Se(VI) reduction to Se(0) by E. taylorae. In the drainage water with a relatively high level of yeast extract (500-1000 mg/L), ~89-93% of the added Se(VI) was reduced to Se(0) and \sim 5% to Se(-II). In the drainage water with low levels of yeast extract (50-100 mg/L), only 20-40% of the added Se(VI) was reduced to Se(0), although the amount of glucose added in the drainage water was the same in the experiments. The amount of yeast extract in the drainage water also affected the reduction process. In the high-yeast extract drainage water, Se(IV) was rapidly reduced to Se(0) when it was formed from rapid reduction of Se(VI). In contrast, in the low-yeast extract drainage water, reduction of Se(IV) to Se(0) was limited, although Se(VI) reduction to Se(IV) proceeded rapidly. During the last 3–4 days of the experiment, a decrease in Se(VI) concentration, a slight change in the concentration of Se(IV), and an increase in Se(0) concentration in the low-yeast extract drainage water suggested that low amounts of yeast extract in the drainage water do not provide enough essential growth factors to support rapid bacterial reduction of Se(IV) to Se(0), which occurred in the high-yeast extract drainage water.

High-salinity drainage water often is the byproduct of agricultural irrigation in farmlands. The salinity in drainage water affected the efficiency of Se(VI) reduction to Se(0). In a study on the effect of sulfate, a major anion in agricultural drainage water, on the rate of Se(VI) reduction with washed cell suspension of *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans*, Zehr and Oremland (22) reported that the rate of Se(VI) reduction was negatively related to the amounts of SO_4^{2-} with a range of

0.2–50 mM. In this study, the reduction efficiency of Se(VI) to Se(0) by *E. taylorae* was also negatively associated with the salinity in the drainage water, with the major anions being SO_4^{2-} and Cl^- . During this 7-day experiment, the percentage of Se(VI) reduction to Se(0) followed a reverse trend with salinity levels in the drainage water: 95% (EC = 5 dS/m) > 81% (EC = 25 dS/m) > 30.5% (EC = 50 dS/m) > 16.7% (EC = 75 dS/m).

Nitrate is one of the most common anions found in agricultural drainage water, due to the application of fertilizers. NO₃⁻ is a competitive electron acceptor to Se(VI) in Se(VI) reduction to Se(0) in aquatic system (23, 24). In a study on the microbial reduction of NO₃⁻ and Se(VI), Steinberg et al. (21) reported that NO₃⁻ reduction by an anaerobic, freshwater enrichment preceded that of Se(VI) reduction in an anaerobic medium with equal amounts of Se(VI) and NO₃⁻ of 20 mM. Fujita et al. (19) reported that Se(VI) reduction by Bacillus sp. SF-1 in a basal medium with 1 mM of Se(VI) was completely inhibited when 20 mM NO₃⁻ was added to the medium. NO₃⁻ and Se(VI) can also be simultaneously reduced in the medium with washedcell suspensions of Sulfurospirillum barnesii and lactate as the electron donor (8). In this study, reduction of Se(VI) to Se(0) was related to the amounts of NO₃⁻ in the drainage water. A NO₃⁻ range of 5-50 mg/L did not affect Se(VI) reduction to Se(0). About 95% of added Se(VI) was reduced to Se(0) in the drainage water during our 7-day experiment. Se(VI) reduction was slightly slowed when NO₃⁻ was increased to 100 mg/L in the drainage water. Similar results can be also found in a recent study on bacterial Se(VI) reduction using rice straw, when Zhang and Frankenberger (25) reported that the presence of NO₃⁻ in a rice straw solution retarded Se(VI) reduction to Se(0). During a 14-day study, \sim 93% of the added Se(VI) (1000 μ g/L) was reduced to Se(0) during a period of 7 days in the rice straw solution with the 100 mg/L NO₃⁻. It took 12 days for 93% of the added Se(VI) to be reduced to Se(0) in the rice straw solution with 250 mg/L NO₃⁻. In the rice straw solution with 500 mg/L NO₃⁻, Se(VI) was not reduced until day 14, when almost all of the NO₃⁻ was removed from solution via denitrification.

This study showed that *E. taylorae* can survive in natural drainage water and also effectively reduce Se(VI) to Se(0). In the absence of *E. taylorae*, only 24.6 and 15.6% of the added Se(VI) was reduced to Se(0) and Se(-II) in the drainage, respectively. Upon the addition of *E. taylorae* to the drainage water, 73.8 and 20% of the added Se(VI) was reduced to Se(0) and Se(-II), respectively. In the San Joaquin Valley, California, the values of EC in drainage water, with the major anions of SO₄²⁻ and Cl⁻, range from 2.25 to 21.5 dS/m (26). NO₃⁻ typically ranges from 3 to 234 mg/L (26). Therefore, *E. taylorae* may be a potential Se(VI) reducer to remediate Se-contaminated drainage water with relatively low NO₃⁻ levels in the San Joaquin Valley, California

LITERATURE CITED

- Ohlendorf, H. M. Bioaccumulation and effects of selenium in wildlife. In *Selenium in Agriculture and the Environment*; Jacobs, L. W., Ed.; ASA and SSSA: Madison, WI, 1989; pp 133–177.
- (2) Presser, T. S.; Ohlendorf, H. M. Biogeochemical cycling of selenium in the San Joaquin Valley, California, USA. *Environ. Manage.* 1987, 11, 805–821.
- (3) Cantafio, A. W.; Hagen, K. D.; Lewis, G. E.; Bledsoe, T. L.; Nunan, K. M.; Macy, J. M. Pilot-scale selenium bioremediation

- of San Joaquin drainage water with *Thauera selenatis*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **1996**, 62, 3298–3303.
- (4) Barton, L. L.; Fekete, F. A.; Saiz, B. L.; Nuttall, H. E. Characteristics of lead and selenium colloids produced by bacteria. In *Proceedings of the Fourth International IGT Symposium on Gas, Oil and Environmental Biotechnology*; Wise, D. L., Trantolo, D. J., Eds.; Institute of Gas Technology: Des Plaines, IL, 1991; pp 481–496.
- (5) Barton, L. L.; Nuttall, H. E.; Lindemann, W. C.; Blake, R. C., II Biocolloid formation: an approach to bioremediation of toxic metal wastes. In *Remediation of Hazardous Waste Contaminated Soils*; Wise, D. L., Trantolo, D. J., Eds.; Marcel Dekker: New York, 1994; pp 481–496.
- (6) Francisco, A. T.; Barton, L. L.; Lemanski, C. L.; Zocco, T. G. Reduction of selenate and selenite to elemental selenium by Wolinella succinogenes. Can. J. Microbiol. 1992, 38, 1328– 1333.
- (7) Losi, M. E.; Frankenberger, W. T., Jr. Reduction of selenium oxyanions by *Enterobacter cloacae* strain SLDaa-1: Isolation and growth of the bacterium and its expulsion of selenium particles. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 1997, 63, 3079–3084.
- (8) Oremland, R. S.; Blum, J. S.; Bindi, A. B.; Dowdle, P. R.; Herbel, M.; Stolz, J. F. Simultaneous reduction of nitrate and selenate by cell suspensions of selenium-respiring bacteria. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 1999, 65, 4385–4392.
- (9) Lortie, L.; Gould, W. D.; Rajan, S.; Mccready, R. G. L.; Cheng, K. J. Reduction of selenate and selenite to elemental selenium by a *Pseudomonas stutzeri* isolate. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 1992, 58, 4042–4044.
- (10) Oremland, R. S.; Blum, J. S.; Culbertson, C. W.; Visscher, P. T.; Miller, L. G.; Dowdle, P.; Strohmaier, F. E. Isolation, growth, and metabolism of an obligatory anaerobic, selenate-respiring bacterium, strain SES-3. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 1994, 60, 3011–3019.
- (11) Macy, J. M.; Michel, T. A.; Kirsch, D. G. Selenate reduction by a *Pseudomonas* species, a new mode of anaerobic respiration. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 1989, 61, 195–198.
- (12) De Souza, M. P.; Amini, A.; Dojka, M. A.; Pickering, I. J.; Dawson, S. C.; Pace, N. R.; Terry, N. Identification and characterization of bacteria in a selenium-contaminated hypersaline evaporation pond. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2001, 69, 3785–3794.
- (13) Zahir, Z. A.; Zhang, Y. Q.; Frankenberger Jr, W. T. Fate of selenate metabolized by *Enterobacter taylorae*. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2003, 51, 3609–3613.
- (14) Focht, D. D. Microbiological procedures for biodegradation research. In *Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2. Microbiological* and Biochemical Properties; ASA and SSSA: Madison, WI, 1994; pp 65–94.
- (15) Zhang, Y. Q.; Moore, J. N.; Frankenberger, W. T., Jr. Speciation of soluble selenium in agricultural drainage waters and aqueous soil-sediment extracts using hydride generation atomic absorption spectrometry. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 1999, 33, 1652–1656.
- (16) Zhang, Y. Q.; Frankenberger, W. T., Jr. Characterization of selenate removal from drainage water utilizing rice straw. J. Environ. Qual. 2003, 32, 441–446.
- (17) Zhang, Y. Q.; Moore, J. N.; Frankenberger, W. T., Jr. Measurement of selenite in sediment extracts by using hydride generation atomic absorption spectrometry. *Sci. Total Environ.* **1999**, 229, 183–193.
- (18) Quinn, N. W. T.; Lundquist, T. J.; Green, F. B.; Zarate, M. A.; Oswald, W. J.; Leighton, T. Algal-bacterial treatment facility removes selenium from drainage water. *Calif. Agric.* 2000, 54, 50-56
- (19) Fujita, M.; Ike, M.; Nishimoto, S.; Takahashi, K.; Kashiwa, M. Isolation and characterization of a novel selenate-reducing bacterium, *Bacillus sp.* SF-1. *J. Ferment. Bioeng.* 1997, 83, 517–522.

- (20) Ike, M.; Takahashi, K.; Fujita, T.; Kashiwa, M.; Fujita, M. Selenate reduction by bacteria isolated from aquatic environment free from selenium contamination. *Water Res.* 2000, 34, 3019–3025.
- (21) Steinberg, N. A.; Blum, J. S.; Hochstein, L.; Oremland, R. S. Nitrate is a preferred electron acceptor for growth of freshwater selenate-respiring bacteria. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **1992**, *58*, 426–428
- (22) Zehr, J. P.; Oremland, R. S. Reduction of selenate to selenide by sulfate-respiring bacteria: Experiments with cell suspensions and Estuarine sediments. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **1987**, *53*, 1365–1369.
- (23) Masscheleyn, P. H.; Patrick, W. H. J. Biogeochemical processes affecting selenium cycling in wetlands. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* **1993**, *12*, 2235–2243.
- (24) Stolz, J. F.; Oremland, R. S. Bacterial respiration of arsenic and selenium. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* **1999**, *23*, 615–627.

- (25) Zhang, Y. Q.; Frankenberger, W. T., Jr. Factors affecting selenate removal from agricultural drainage water utilizing rice straw. Sci. Total Environ. 2003, 305, 207–216.
- (26) Oswald, W. J.; Chen, P. H.; Gerhardt, M. B.; Green, B. F.; Nurdogan, Y.; Von Hippel, D. F.; Newman, R. D.; Chown, L.; Tam, C. S. The role of microalgae in removal of selenate from subsurface tile drainage. In *Biotreatment of Agricultural Waste-water*; Huntley, M. E., Eds.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, 1989; pp 131–141.

Received for review May 28, 2003. Revised manuscript received July 25, 2003. Accepted July 28, 2003. This research was funded by the UC Salinity and Drainage Program.

JF0304019