

# Identifying the Research and Infrastructure Needs for the Global Assessment of Hazardous Chemicals Ten Years after Establishing the Stockholm Convention

Jana Klánová,<sup>\*,†</sup> Miriam Diamond,<sup>‡</sup> Kevin Jones,<sup>§</sup> Gerhard Lammel,<sup>†,✓</sup> Rainer Lohmann,<sup>⊥</sup> Nicola Pirrone,<sup>||</sup> Martin Scheringer,<sup>||</sup> Catia Balducci,<sup>||</sup> Terry Bidleman,<sup>#</sup> Karel Bláha,<sup>§</sup> Luděk Bláha,<sup>†</sup> Kees Boij,<sup>&</sup> Henk Bouwman,<sup>%</sup> Knut Breivik,<sup>@</sup> Sabine Eckhardt,<sup>△</sup> Heidelore Fiedler,<sup>■</sup> Philippe Garrigues,<sup>○</sup> Tom Harner,<sup>×</sup> Ivan Holoubek,<sup>†</sup> Hayley Hung,<sup>×</sup> Matthew MacLeod,<sup>≈</sup> Katarina Magulova,<sup>θ</sup> Silvia Mosca,<sup>||</sup> Alberto Pistocchi,<sup>φ</sup> Staci Simonich,<sup>ψ</sup> Foppe Smedes,<sup>∞</sup> Euripides Stephanou,<sup>⊗</sup> Andy Sweetman,<sup>§</sup> Kateřina Šebková,<sup>§</sup> Marta Venier,<sup>||</sup> Marco Vighi,<sup>○</sup> Branislav Vrana,<sup>†</sup> Frank Wania,<sup>◆</sup> Roland Weber,<sup>▼</sup> and Peter Weiss<sup>●</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Research Centre for Toxic Compounds in the Environment (RECETOX), Faculty of Science, Masaryk University, Kamenice 3/126, 625 00 Brno, Czech Republic

<sup>‡</sup>Department of Geography, University of Toronto, 100 St. George Street, Toronto, M5S 3G6 Ontario, Canada

<sup>§</sup>Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Bailrigg, Lancaster, LA1 4YQ U.K.

<sup>✓</sup>Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, J.-J.-Pfeiffer-Weg 27, 55128 Mainz, Germany

<sup>⊥</sup>Graduate School of Oceanography, University of Rhode Island, South Ferry Road, Narragansett, Rhode Island, 02882, United States

<sup>||</sup>Institute of Atmospheric Pollution Research (CNR-IIA), National Research Council of Italy, Via Salaria km 29.3, CP 10, Monterotondo Stazione, Rome, 00016 Italy

<sup>||</sup>Institute for Chemical and Bioengineering, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, Wolfgang-Pauli-Str. 10, Zürich, CH-8093, Switzerland

<sup>#</sup>Chemistry Department, Umeå University, SE-901 87 Umeå, Sweden

<sup>§</sup>Ministry of Environment of the Czech Republic, Vršovická 65, 10000 Praha, Czech Republic

<sup>&</sup>Royal Netherlands Institute for Sea Research (NIOZ), PO Box 59, AB Texel, 1790 Netherlands

<sup>%</sup>Department of Zoology, School of Environmental Sciences and Development, North-West University, Private Bag X6001, Potchefstroom, 2520 South Africa

<sup>@</sup>Norwegian Institute for Air Research, P.O. Box 100, Kjeller, NO-2027 Norway

<sup>△</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Oslo, Box 1033, NO-0315 Oslo, Norway

<sup>■</sup>United Nations Environment Programme, DTIE/Chemicals Branch, 11-13 Chemin des Anémones, CH-1219 Châtelaine (GE), Switzerland

<sup>○</sup>Institut des Sciences Moléculaires UMR 52 55 CNRS, Université de Bordeaux. 33405 Talence Cedex, Bordeaux, France

<sup>×</sup>Environment Canada, Science and Technology Branch, 4905 Dufferin Street, Toronto, M3H 5T4, Ontario, Canada

<sup>≈</sup>Department of Applied Environmental Science, Stockholm University, Svante Arrhenius väg 8, Stockholm, SE 11418, Sweden

<sup>θ</sup>United Nations Environment Programme, Stockholm Convention Secretariat, 11-13 Chemin des Anémones, Châtelaine (GE), Switzerland

<sup>φ</sup>GECOSistema srl, viale G. Carducci 15, Cesena, 47023 Italy

<sup>ψ</sup>Department of Environmental and Molecular Toxicology, Oregon State University, 1141 ALS, Corvallis, 97331-7301 Oregon, United States

<sup>∞</sup>Deltares, Geo-environmental Research Laboratory, PO-box 85467, Utrecht, Segrate, 3508 Netherlands

<sup>⊗</sup>Environmental Chemical Processes Laboratory, Dept. of Chemistry, University of Crete, EL-71003 Voutes-Heraklion, Greece

<sup>||</sup>School of Public and Environmental Affairs, Indiana University, 702 N. Walnut Grove Avenue, Bloomington, Indiana, 47405, United States

<sup>○</sup>Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Milano Bicocca, Piazza della Scienza 1, Milano, 20126 Italy

<sup>◆</sup>Department of Physical and Environmental Sciences, University of Toronto, Scarborough, 1265 Military Trail, Toronto, M1C 1A4 Ontario, Canada

<sup>▼</sup>POPs Environmental Consulting, Ulmenstrasse 3, Goeppingen, 73035 Germany

<sup>●</sup>Federal Environment Agency, Spittelauer Lände 5, 1090 Vienna, Austria

Published: August 19, 2011



The Stockholm Convention (SC) on Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs) is a global treaty under UNEP with the objective to protect human health and the environment from hazardous, long-lasting chemicals. Ten years after the adoption of the Convention on May 22, 2001, an expert meeting organized by the RECETOX Centre was held in Brno, Czech Republic, with the support of the American Chemical Society and the European Association for Chemical and Molecular Sciences. The aim of the workshop was to identify gaps, challenges, and research needs associated with the global assessment of hazardous chemicals. Recognizing that significant but insufficient progress has been made toward the World Summit on Sustainable Development (WSSD) 2020 goal on chemicals management through existing programs, the participants identified the following priority areas:

### I. SOURCE CONTROL

Cost-effective exposure reduction requires control of the key emission sources of hazardous chemicals that include surprisingly large but poorly documented stocks of “primary” as well as “secondary” sources. Recent evidence indicates that stockpiles of wastes and poorly controlled “recycling” of disposed products are an ongoing source increasingly important for exposure assessments. As many countries currently lack the capacity to control POPs, it is important to ensure that compliance with the SC is not achieved by relocating banned POPs from developed countries to countries lacking capacity.

### II. GLOBAL SCALE TRANSPORT

POPs are characterized by their ability to disperse and exert effects far from their sources. Their potential to be transported on regional and global scales is affected by their formation/usage/disposal patterns and their properties, and is linked to atmospheric and oceanic circulation patterns. Understanding how pollutants spread therefore requires linking source control to knowledge on physicochemical processes, transport models, and monitoring data. A helpful step forward was establishment of the

Global Monitoring Plan (GMP), however, experience shows that to ensure coherent information flow, the global community needs to further link all existing instruments.

### III. PERSISTENCE

Compound degradation influences the persistence and hence the long-term concentrations of chemicals and yet there is a dearth of information about degradation rates and pathways. Improved understanding of these processes can be achieved by linking laboratory scale experiments with mass balance models to assess degradation of chemicals while they undergo multimedia global transport. Knowledge on half-lives and degradation pathways will facilitate the estimation of source–receptor relationships and control strategies under a variety of global change scenarios.

### IV. MONITORING TOOLS

A range of new and/or improved techniques is needed to strengthen our ability to address chemicals of global concern with improved spatial and temporal resolution including (a) advanced and cost-effective sensors capable of providing *quasi-real time* concentrations at different latitudes; (b) flux-based techniques to improve the quantitative assessment of the relative importance of primary and secondary sources; and (c) screening methods for foodstuffs, bioavailability determinations, and effect-based assessment.

### V. FUTURE TRENDS AND SCENARIOS

Although the production and use of many hazardous chemicals has been banned, ongoing commitments to exposure reductions are constrained by uncertainties in (a) the extent and hence impact of past control measures including numerous exemptions; (b) the extent and impact of new control measures; and (c) concentration changes due to global climate change. Integrated measurement and modeling strategies are required to build the scientific platform to allow policy makers to assess cost-effective strategies for reducing the environmental and human risk.

### VI. GLOBAL MONITORING PLAN

While the GMP, a key element to the Effectiveness Evaluation of the SC, critically depends on reliable temporal trend data, the network reporting data for the GMP is currently an unsustainable, short-term “proof of concept” project. It has been shown to be a workable model that can fulfill Article 16 requirements; however, it needs sustained long-term support to achieve its function. It is envisaged that the established core international network of contributors be strengthened and further capacitated to enable the synergistic use of international joint research infrastructure together with partners from developing countries.

### VII. GLOBAL EARTH OBSERVATION SYSTEM OF SYSTEMS (GEOSS)

To improve the flow of monitoring data to the environmental and health communities, the GMP has been incorporated in the revised (2012–2015) work plan of the GEOSS. Among the priority actions in the “Societal Benefit Area” of health is to (a) implement a global monitoring plan, (b) evaluate the effectiveness of international efforts to reduce POP releases, and (c) interlink existing information systems for environmental and health

monitoring. Unlike former priority actions, the latter one is awaiting implementation as the information system hosting GMP data is nonexistent. Such a system is, however, needed to enhance our understanding of environmental factors affecting human health.

### VIII. CAPACITY BUILDING

Institutional knowledge and the technical ability to participate in the conventions are critical, and yet lacking in many countries. Fulfilling this need requires global collaboration between those whose capacity needs development and those who are able to assist. Capacity requires building in a stepwise manner over the short- and long-term by (a) establishing top-quality joint infrastructure; (b) providing open access for experts within/outside the network; and (c) securing long-term funding to support participants from developing countries.

### IX. LIMITING ADVERSE EFFECTS

Evidence is mounting of adverse effects of pollutants on human and ecological health. Such effects are particularly apparent in sensitive environments, such as the polar regions. Studies have confirmed the association between exposure to environmental pollutants and depressed immunity, increasing vulnerability to infectious diseases. Whereas the SC has achieved success with a chemical-by-chemical approach in the past, new approaches to minimize harm from hazardous chemicals are needed in addition to chemical screening and risk management. Chemical alternatives assessment, supported by green chemistry and preventive engineering approaches, should be promoted.

### X. SCIENCE TO SERVE POLICY NEEDS

Recalling the Rio Declaration on Environment and Development, Agenda 21, and the Johannesburg Plan of Implementation of the WSSD, new research strategies should be proposed, in the view of the authors, to address societal needs and produce policy-relevant knowledge, providing coherent frameworks for globally coordinated approaches to management of hazardous chemicals (including POPs). Coordinating across all priority areas identified in this declaration will produce key benefits.

### ■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### Corresponding Author

\*E-mail: klanova@recetox.muni.cz; phone: +420-549495149; fax: +420-549492860.