Desulfuration of Aromatic Sulfones with Fluorides in Supercritical Water

Tamás R. Varga,* Yasuhisa Ikeda, and Hiroshi Tomiyasu[†]

Research Laboratory for Nuclear Reactors, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 2-12-1 O-okayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-8550, Japan

Received May 18, 2003. Revised Manuscript Received October 9, 2003

Introduction

The existence of organic sulfur compounds (thiols, sulfides, and disulfides) in coals and heavy oils is wellknown. The removal of sulfur from these compounds is essential to avoid pollution. Presently, the sulfur removal is achieved by catalytic² or ionic³ hydrogenation, photochemical oxidation,⁴ or supercritical water (scw).^{5–8} Since sulfones are easily obtained from organic sulfides by classical oxidation methods,9 a known decomposition reaction of them, 10-12 named "sulfonyl extrusion", is employed in our work. Although the mechanism of this reaction is presumably ionic, a radical mechanism also could be important. The first step of the base-induced reaction is probably to remove β -H from aromatic carbon, generating a carbanion; 12 the second step is the SO_2 elimination, which results in alkenes or alkynes from cyclic sulfones. Pyrolysis of sulfones at high temperatures (>300 °C) also yields alkenes/alkynes. 10 On the other hand, these reactive unsaturated compounds are probably intermediates in scw only, and the further reaction of them could be an addition of water or polymerization of monomers.

Fluoride ion is a very efficient and strong base in organic chemistry, if employing it in dry aprotic solvent. 13

* Corresponding author. E-mail: trvarga@yahoo.com.

Table 1. Properties of Water at High Temperatures

property	25 °C	300 °C ^b
density [g/cm ³]	0.997	0.713
e	78.85	19.66
$\delta~[\mathrm{MPa^{1/2}}]^a$	47.9	29.7
$\mathrm{p}K_{\mathrm{w}}$	13.99	11.30

 $^{a}\,\delta$ represents Hildebrand solubility parameter, and δ^{2} is the cohesive pressure or energy density. It is proportional to the strength of H-bonding. b At its equilibrium pressure, approximately 8.2 MPa.

However, hydrogen bonds of protic solvents usually mask the fluoride ion by a specific solvation, and this makes fluoride ion a weak base. Interestingly, water at higher temperatures (>250 °C) behaves like an organic aprotic solvent. Density, dielectric constant, Hildebrand solubility parameter, and hydrogen bonding structure significantly decrease.¹⁴ Therefore, water at high temperatures becomes more compatible for organic reactions (Table 1).

Experimental Section

A 0.5 mmol quantity of sulfone (or phenyl sulfoxide) and 1.5 mmol of base were mixed with 6.0 mL of water in a pressureresistant cell (int. vol. 10 mL) made of Hostalloy. Water and the cell were deoxygenated with argon, and the cell was connected with a temperature controller/heater (with two measuring and five heating rods), and pressure gauge. The average heating rate in the full temperature range was 21 °C/min. Interior temperature of cell was kept constant at 380 \pm 1 °C, and pressure was 30 ± 2 MPa, respectively. The reaction time, which means a holding time at 380 °C, was 1 h. After cooling to room temperature for 1 h, the content of the cell was transferred into a 25 mL volumetric flask and then the cell interior was rinsed with acetone (in the case of KOH, dioxane was used). These washings were added to the cell content and the flask was leveled with acetone. A dark grayish fine powder deposit (presumably highcarbon containing, unsaturated hydrocarbons) was observed on the wall of the cell in all cases, except for using pure water and KBr, respectively. The sulfur was found as sulfite in aqueous phase after the reaction was completed. For the quantitative GC measurement of phenols and residual sulfones, a given quantity of a properly selected internal standard (4-hydroxybiphenyl, phenyl sulfone, dibenzothiophene 1,1-dioxide, and 4-fluorophenol, respectively) was added to the sample in order to determine the response factors. The concentrations of sulfones and phenols were determined accurately by calibrating the peak area with acetone solutions containing known concentrations of these components. The estimated error of measurement was ± 0.5 mol %.

The GC-MS measurement was carried out using Shimadzu GCMS-QP5050A instrument with Column DB-1 (0.25 mm i.d.

[†] Department of Chemistry and Material Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Shinshu University, 4-17-1 Wakasato, Nagano 380-8553,

⁽¹⁾ Nishikoa, M. Aromatic sulfur compounds other than condensed thiophenes in fossil fuels: enrichment and identification. *Energy Fuels* **1988**, 2, 214-219.

⁽²⁾ Adschiri, T.; Shibata, R.; Sato, T.; Watanabe, M.; Arai, K. Catalytic Hydrodesulfurization of Dibenzothiophene through Partial Oxidation and a Water-Gas Shift Reaction in Supercritical Water. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. **1998**, 37, 2634-2638.

⁽³⁾ Eckert-Maksić, M.; Margetić, D. Ionic hydrogenation of organosulfur compounds. *Energy Fuels* **1991**, *5*, 327–332.

(4) Shiraishi, Y.; Hirai, T.; Komasawa, I. Identification of Desulfur-

ization Products in the Photochemical Desulfurization Process for Benzothiophenes and Dibenzothiophenes from Light Oil Using an Organic

Two-Phase Extraction System. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **1999**, *38*, 3300–3309. (5) Houser, T. J.; Zhou, Y.; Liu, X. The Destruction of Selected Hazardous Compounds Using Supercritical Water. *J. Supercrit. Fluids* **1996**, 9, 106-112.

⁽⁶⁾ Katritzky, A. R., et. al. Aqueous High-Temperature Chemistry of Carbo- and Heterocycles. 21. Reactions of Sulfur-Containing Compounds in Supercritical Water at 460 °C. Energy Fuels 1994, 8, 498–506.

(7) Ogunsola, O. M.; Berkowitz, N. Removal of heterocyclic S and N from oil precursors by supercritical water. Fuel 1995, 74, 1485–1490.

(8) Vogelaar, B. M.; Makkee, M.; Moulijn, J. A. Applicability of supercritical water as a reaction medium for desulfurisation and demetalli-

sation of gasoil. Fuel Proc. Technol. 1999, 61, 265-277.

⁽⁹⁾ Schank, K. In Methoden der organischen Chemie (Houben-Weyl), Organische Schwefel-Verbindungen, 4th aufl., Board E11/2;Thieme Verlag: Stuttgart, 1985; pp 1194–1202.

(10) Smith, M. B.; March, J. March's Advanced Organic Chemistry,

⁵th ed.; John Wiley & Sons Inc.: New York, 2001; pp 1354-1355.

⁽¹¹⁾ Vögte, F.; Rossa, L. Pyrolysis of Sulfones as a Synthetic Method. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1979**, *18*, 515–529.

(12) Fischer, N. H. The Synthesis of Episulfones and Olefins via Sulfenes. *Synthesis* **1970**, 393–404.

⁽¹³⁾ Clark, J. H. Fluoride Ion as a Base in Organic Synthesis. Chem. Rev. 1980, 80, 429-452.

⁽¹⁴⁾ Siskin, M.; Katritzky, A. R. Reactivity of Organic Compounds in Superheated Water: General Background. Chem. Rev. 2001, 101, 825-

Scheme 1. On Desulfuration of Aromatic Sulfones with the Possible Intermediates

 \times 28.5 m length, film 0.25 $\mu m).$ GC temperature: 50–250 °C with heat-up rate of 10 °C/min; carrier gas: He at 100 kPa; flow rate: total 20 mL/min; split ratio: 8; column flow rate: 1.8 mL/min.

Results and Discussion

In this work, desulfurization of two aromatic sulfones with fluorides in scw was studied, such as dibenzothiophene 1,1-oxide (DBTO $_2$; a heteroaromatic sulfone) and phenyl sulfone (PSO $_2$; the simplest aromatic sulfone), respectively. Acetone-soluble 2-hydroxybiphenyl (2-HBP) and insoluble dark, carbon-rich hydrocarbons are formed from DBTO $_2$. Compared with the pure scw, the yield of 2-HBP is greatly increased using bases such as KOH and alkaline metal fluorides in scw. The reactivity of KF is higher than that of NaF, and the former is nearly as effective as KOH, which is known to be a much stronger base in aprotic solvents. Treatment of DBTO $_2$ with KF in subcritical water at 300 °C seems less effective (Table 2).

Fluoride ion is not only a proton base but also a nucleophile (i.e., carbon base) in dipolar aprotic solvents, since nucleophilic fluorination is well-known in organic synthesis. 15 The bromide ion in scw, which is a very weak proton base and strong nucleophile, is found less effective than pure scw itself. This result supports that fluoride ion probably does not attack the $\alpha\text{-carbon}$ bonded to a sulfur atom in a nucleophilic aromatic substitution reaction. Fluorine-substituted compounds are not detected in all these experiments.

Compared with DBTO₂, desulfuration of phenyl sulfone (PSO₂) with KF is not going with nearly quantitatively (>99.5%) yield under same conditions. Therefore, CsF is also employed because of its higher reactivity. 13 The reaction products are phenol, unreacted PSO₂, and insoluble hydrocarbon. As can be expected, the yield of phenol increases using CsF in scw (Table 3). Therefore, the reactivity order of alkaline metal fluorides toward aromatic sulfones is concluded to be CsF > KF > NaF, which has the same order as that in aprotic solvents under normal conditions. 13

In addition, reaction with KF in scw is also employed for phenyl sulfoxide (PSO), which is mainly deoxygenated to phenyl sulfide. However, a small amount of phenol is also formed. Moreover, our preliminary studies show that aliphatic sulfones (butyl and tetramethyl) are quantitatively (100%) decomposed with CsF in scw and results in the formation of a large amount of an insoluble dark-gray

Table 2. Desulfurization of DBTO₂ in scw at 380 °C/1 h (in mol % of original DBTO₂)

base	2-HBP	$DBTO_2$	base	2-HBP	$DBTO_2$
H ₂ O	8	92	KF	74	< 0.5
KBr	4	96	$\mathbf{K}\mathbf{F}^{a}$	35	41
KOH	79	< 0.5	NaF	49	12

^a In subcritical water at 300 °C.

Table 3. Desulfurization of PSO₂ in scw at 380 °C/1 h (in mol % of original PSO₂)

fluoride	${\sf phenol}^a$	PSO_2
KF	50	40
CsF	65	23

 $^{\text{a}}\,\text{PSO}_2\to 2$ PhOH; the quantitative desulfuration would be equal with 200 mol % phenol.

deposit and a small amount (1-2 mol %) of volatile hydrocarbons.

Fluoride ion was found to be a strong base in scw. It is probably able to deprotonate aromatic sulfones having weak C–H acidities (p K_a > 30), and induces a sulfonyl extrusion reaction with high yield in scw. Our proposed mechanism of this reaction is based on the previous literature data^{10,12} and is in agreement with structures of products that are formed in scw. Fluoride or hydroxide ion probably removes β -H from aromatic carbon, generating a carbanion, which can be stabilized by SO₂ elimination, resulting in diphenylene and benzyne, respectively. These highly reactive, unstable intermediates could be sources of insoluble hydrocarbons and phenols by water addition reactions, as well (see Scheme 1).

The reaction in the case of $DBTO_2$ gives higher yield in scw, compared with subcritical water. This could be explained by temperature (380 vs 300 °C) and solvent effects, which are due to the significantly different physical properties of scw. However, solubility of alkaline metal fluorides is supposed to be higher in subcritical water.

The basicity of fluoride ion in scw is comparable with that of the hydroxide ion. This might be attributable to much weaker solvation of fluoride (and hydroxide) ion in scw compared with liquid water at ambient temperature. Ions probably exist as ion pairs in scw, because of its low (ϵ < 20) dielectric constant.

However, the formation of hydroxide ion from water may also contribute in overall basicity:

$$F^- + H_2O = OH^- + HF$$
 (1)

In the case of very weak hydration, the shifting of equilibrium (1) to the right could be thermodynamically favorable. The reactivity of alkaline metal fluorides is found to be CsF > KF > NaF, which order is the same as that in aprotic solvents under ordinary conditions. The cation—fluoride ion interaction (increasing from CsF to NaF) and solubility of MF in scw (decreasing from CsF to NaF) could be responsible for this order.

Acknowledgment. This research was performed with a grant of the Research for the Future Program of JSPS. The authors greatly appreciate the assistance of Dr. W. C. Xu (Institute of Research and Innovation, Kashiwa, Japan) in GC-MS measurements and Dr. Z. Dinya (University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary) for helpful suggestions on GC.

⁽¹⁵⁾ Hudlicky, M. *Chemistry of Organic Fluorine Compounds*; Ellis Harwood: Chichester 1976; Hudlicky, M., Pavlath, A. E., Eds.; *Chemistry of Organic Fluorine Compounds II: A Critical Review*; American Chemical Society: Washington, DC, 1995.