

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/274570891>

Chemistry and Related Properties of Freshly Emitted Sea Spray Aerosol

ARTICLE *in* CHEMICAL REVIEWS · APRIL 2015

Impact Factor: 46.57 · DOI: 10.1021/cr500713g · Source: PubMed

CITATIONS

2

READS

131

5 AUTHORS, INCLUDING:



Douglas B Collins

University of California, San Diego

16 PUBLICATIONS 145 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Kim Prather

University of California, San Diego

294 PUBLICATIONS 9,612 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Chemistry and Related Properties of Freshly Emitted Sea Spray Aerosol

Patricia K. Quinn,^{*,†} Douglas B. Collins,[‡] Vicki H. Grassian,^{‡,§} Kimberly A. Prather,[‡] and Timothy S. Bates^{||}

[†]Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Seattle, Washington 98115, United States

[‡]Center for Aerosol Impacts on Climate and the Environment, University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, California 92024, United States

[§]Department of Chemistry, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa 52242, United States

^{||}Joint Institute for the Study of the Atmosphere and Ocean, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98105, United States



CONTENTS

1. Introduction	4383
2. Seawater Organic Carbon Pool	4385
3. Generation of Freshly Emitted Sea Spray Aerosol	4387
3.1. Field Measurements	4387
3.2. Laboratory Measurements	4387
3.3. Considerations for Wave Breaking Proxies	4388
4. Size-Dependent Enrichment of Organic Matter	4389
5. Properties of Freshly Emitted Sea Spray Aerosol	4389
5.1. Organic Composition	4389
5.2. Mixing State	4390
5.3. Volatility	4391
5.4. Hygroscopicity	4391
5.5. Cloud Condensation Nuclei Activity	4391
5.6. Ice Nucleation Activity	4391
6. Flux of Sea Spray Aerosol to the Atmosphere	4391
7. Future Directions	4392
Author Information	4392
Corresponding Author	4392
Notes	4392
Biographies	4393
Acknowledgments	4394
References	4394

1. INTRODUCTION

Aerosol particles that exist in the marine atmosphere are derived from both continental and oceanic sources. Since aerosol lifetimes and atmospheric transport times often are similar,¹ particles emitted from continental sources (fossil fuel combustion, biomass burning, dust, and biogenic emissions)

can be transported great distances across ocean basins, either in the boundary layer or in the free troposphere. Marine vessel emissions also contribute to the aerosol burden over the oceans, particularly in coastal regions and major shipping lanes.² As a result, marine aerosol number and mass concentrations, chemical composition, and optical and cloud-nucleating properties vary with distance from shore and with the occurrence of transport events that carry continental emissions thousands of kilometers downwind. While acknowledging the complexity of the sources of aerosol in the marine atmosphere, this review focuses on freshly emitted, primary sea spray aerosol (SSA) generated at the ocean surface and factors affecting its composition and associated properties. This information is required for assessment and validation of model estimates of the atmospheric chemistry and climate impacts of SSA.

Wind-driven particle production at the ocean surface is one of the largest global sources of primary atmospheric aerosol on a mass concentration basis.^{3,4} As a result, even in ocean regions impacted by continental sources, SSA can make a significant contribution to the total aerosol mass concentration under moderate to high wind speed conditions. At wind speeds greater than about $5 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$, breaking waves are formed on the ocean surface.⁵ As waves break, air bubbles are entrained into ocean surface waters. These bubbles rise to the surface and burst, with each bubble producing up to hundreds of film drops in the nanometer to micrometer size range (see Figure 1).^{6,7} Ambient measurements and laboratory studies indicate that the resulting SSA can have a trimodal size distribution for particles less than $10 \mu\text{m}$ in diameter, with modes centered around $0.02\text{--}0.05 \mu\text{m}$, $0.1\text{--}0.2 \mu\text{m}$, and $2\text{--}3 \mu\text{m}$.^{8,9} This multimodal behavior suggests that several mechanisms are involved in SSA production. Film drops form due to fragmentation of the thin fluid cap film of each bubble.¹⁰ Cap films can be stabilized by surfactants, increasing their lifetime and affecting the bursting dynamics of collections of bubbles on the water surface.¹¹ Larger diameter particles are produced by collapse of the bubble cavity; it has been suggested that the material ejected into the atmosphere emanates from the inner gas–liquid

Special Issue: 2015 Chemistry in Climate

Received: December 22, 2014

Published: April 6, 2015






Figure 1. Representative diagram that underlies the fundamental processes that occur in wind-driven production of sea spray aerosol. Breaking waves entrain air bubbles into ocean surface waters. These bubbles scavenge organic matter as they rise to the surface. When they burst at the air–sea interface, they release a mixture of organic matter and inorganic sea salt, forming SSA. “The Microcosm” here describes dynamic microbial ecosystem processes and the interrelationships between dissolved organic matter (DOM), particulate organic matter (POM), and the biological material by which SSA composition is influenced. Large gaps exist in our knowledge regarding enrichment of organics, selectivity that occurs during the production of sea spray aerosol, and heterogeneity of individual sea spray aerosol particles. (Courtesy of Grant Deane, Scripps Institution of Oceanography).

interface of the rupturing bubble.¹² It is believed this process leads to the formation of jet drops ranging in size from about 1 to 25 μm .¹² In addition, spume drops are torn directly from the crests of waves when wind speeds reach 10 $\text{m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ or more.¹³ Having diameters between tens of micrometers and several millimeters, spume drops have very short atmospheric lifetimes of seconds to minutes¹⁴ and consequently are often not included in considerations of atmospheric chemistry and/or aerosol–climate interactions. Overall, SSA production depends on bubble size distributions and lifetime, surface tension, and cap film thickness.^{15,16} Uncertainties surrounding production mechanisms and associated controlling factors make this an active and ongoing area of research.

A number of studies have reported the scavenging of surface-active (i.e., surfactant) organic material from seawater by rising bubbles.^{17–22} Upon bursting at the ocean surface, the bubbles release this organic material into the atmosphere along with other seawater-dissolved and particulate organic material. Laboratory experiments indicate that longer bubble rise times,¹⁹ faster bubbling rates, and smaller bubble sizes²⁰ result in an enrichment in organic carbon relative to seawater in the freshly emitted SSA. These results imply that the magnitude of the incorporation of organic carbon (OC) into SSA varies with turbulence in the upper ocean. The result is a complex, multicomponent chemical mixture of particles ranging from nanometers to micrometers in diameter.

SSA impacts the earth’s radiation balance directly by scattering incoming solar radiation and indirectly by acting as cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) and altering cloud microphysical properties (e.g., cloud drop effective radius and number concentration) and macrophysical properties (e.g., cloud lifetime and extent). Since the wavelength of solar midvisible radiation is centered around 0.55 μm , the most efficient particle size range for scattering light occurs between 0.2 and 1 μm . The peak in the SSA number concentration occurs at the lower end of this size range. Although the majority

of the SSA mass occurs in particles with diameters larger than 1 μm , the large mass concentration of supermicrometer SSA compensates for the low scattering efficiency of this size range. In addition, if the supermicrometer SSA mass concentration is large, it “tails” or extends into the submicrometer, more optically active size range. Thus, SSA is the dominant contributor to light scattering in the marine boundary layer (MBL) for both the sub- and supermicrometer size ranges.^{23–26} Consistent with this analysis, model calculations indicate that, on a global scale, yearly averaged direct radiative forcing by SSA is equal to or greater in magnitude than forcing by natural sulfate and soil dust.^{27,28} Hence, SSA may be the most important natural aerosol chemical component in the atmosphere in terms of aerosol direct effects and scattering of solar radiation.

While direct scattering of solar radiation in the MBL is controlled by SSA mass concentrations, the indirect effects of CCN on MBL cloud properties are influenced by the SSA number concentrations. For a given supersaturation of water, the CCN number concentration depends on the number of particles that are sufficiently large with enough soluble species to take up water vapor and activate to form cloud droplets. Measurements suggest that the effective supersaturation of MBL clouds ranges between approximately 0.1% and 0.4%,^{29–32} although effective supersaturations up to 1% for clean stratus clouds (CCN and total particle concentrations $<300 \text{ cm}^{-3}$) have been reported.³³ Particles larger than 300 nm will activate regardless of chemical composition, but because of their limited number, they do not contribute significantly to CCN number concentrations (see Figure 2). For particles less




Figure 2. Comparison of number size distributions of ambient open ocean aerosol measured over the Southern Ocean during remote marine conditions⁴⁴ and SSA particles generated by laboratory breaking waves.⁴³ Both are shown for relative humidity (RH) of 15%.

than 300 nm in diameter, chemical composition affects the critical diameter (D_c) for activation to a cloud droplet (the size at which 50% of particles activate at a given supersaturation) through the molecular weight, degree of dissociation, density, and solubility of the solute, along with the surface tension of the aqueous droplet at the point of nucleation. For soluble compounds that do not appreciably affect droplet surface tension, Köhler theory³⁴ predicts D_c values between about 40

and 300 nm for water vapor supersaturations of 0.1–0.4%. D_c can be as small as 20–30 nm at 1% supersaturation. Hence, particles less than 300 nm in diameter will determine the CCN concentration in the remote MBL. Under certain conditions, SSA larger than about 1 μm in diameter may act as so-called “giant CCN” and/or ice nuclei; the influence of aerosols on clouds through these two pathways may affect precipitation rates and the magnitude of the local aerosol indirect effect.^{35–37}

MBL ambient aerosol is a mixture of freshly emitted SSA, particles of continental and marine origin that have been mixed into the MBL from the free troposphere, and particles of various ages and origins that have been chemically modified.^{38–40} For example, soon after emission, with the exact time scale depending on the species and processes involved, secondary organic reaction products derived from the oxidation of precursor gases can condense onto freshly emitted SSA.⁴¹ In addition, the enriched organic matter in freshly emitted SSA can react photochemically, resulting in a loss of particulate organic species and the production of volatile, low-molecular-weight organic compounds.⁴² This complex mixture of aerosols and the processing that occurs in the marine atmosphere make it impossible to characterize freshly emitted SSA through measurements of ambient marine aerosol. For example, Figure 2 shows the differences in aerosol number size distribution observed for SSA particles generated from breaking waves in the laboratory⁴³ and for ambient aerosol measured over the Southern Ocean during remote marine conditions as indicated by low radon concentrations ($<100 \text{ mBq}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$) and low total particle number concentration ($<500 \text{ cm}^{-3}$).⁴⁴ In contrast to the monomodal freshly emitted SSA size distribution, the ambient marine aerosol distribution is bimodal in the submicrometer size range. This shape is typical of marine aerosol and indicates that it has undergone coalescence and solute condensation in cloud, growing the smaller Aitken mode particles into the accumulation mode size range.²⁹ Accumulation mode particles can also “accumulate” mass over the course of their lifetime through the condensation of gas-phase species out of cloud. In addition, ambient aerosol is subject to loss processes including sedimentation of larger particles.

Studies aimed at characterizing the properties of freshly emitted SSA have been performed by generating SSA from seawater while avoiding interferences from gas- and particle-phase species in the marine atmosphere. These studies include generation of SSA from natural seawater in both field^{16,45–48} and laboratory settings.^{19,43,49–59} Chemical measurements indicate that the enrichment of organic carbon relative to seawater is highest for the smallest particle size ranges measured ($D_{\text{p,aero},60\%RH} \lesssim 180 \text{ nm}$),^{45,47,49,52} which correspond to the size range that dominates the MBL CCN population. If the ocean-derived organic species incorporated into SSA suppress surface tension, they may reduce the supersaturation required for the SSA to activate into cloud droplets.^{55,60} In addition, photochemical processing of organic compounds within SSA solutions is likely a significant source of OH and other radicals, which can lead to low-molecular-weight reaction products including carboxylic acids, ketones, and alcohols.⁴²

Previous reviews of the state of knowledge on sea spray aerosol have focused on production fluxes and mechanisms^{5,12,61,62} and on physical and chemical properties.^{5,63} This review will focus on the results of field and laboratory experiments that have been performed to characterize the properties of freshly emitted SSA, with a strong emphasis on the organic fraction.

2. SEAWATER ORGANIC CARBON POOL

The ocean contains one of the largest reservoirs of reactive organic carbon on Earth.⁶⁴ This carbon pool is operationally divided into particulate organic carbon (POC), which is large enough in size to be retained on a filter with pore sizes ranging from 0.2 to 0.7 μm , and dissolved organic carbon (DOC), which consists of colloidal particles small enough to pass through a filter (e.g., vesicles,⁶⁵ gel-like particles,^{66,67} and viruses⁶⁸) and dissolved compounds.^{64,69} POC includes phytoplankton, zooplankton, bacteria, and detritus and makes up only ~3% of the ocean’s total organic carbon (TOC).⁶⁴ At the molecular level, DOC is composed of a mixture of biopolymers including polysaccharides, proteins, nucleic acids, and lipids.⁷⁰ Figure 3 displays the known components of the seawater organic carbon pool as a function of size.








Figure 3. Size scale of organic carbon components in seawater. Material retained by seawater filtration at 0.2 μm pore size is regarded as particulate organic carbon. The fraction that passes through the filter is labeled as dissolved organic carbon and includes colloidal and truly dissolved materials. Macromolecules include humic substances and high molecular weight DOM. Adapted from Verdugo et al.⁶⁷

The microbial food web is the dominant source and chemical processor of oceanic DOC. Exopolymer substances (EPS) secreted by phytoplankton are taken up and enzymatically degraded by marine heterotrophic bacteria.^{71–75} In addition, grazing of bacteria by higher heterotrophic organisms transforms organic carbon within the food web, influencing its composition.^{71,75,76} Overall, marine heterotrophic bacteria can transform DOC into organic compounds that have long lifetimes in the ocean (also known as recalcitrant or refractory carbon)^{74,77,78} and therefore have a molecular structure distinct from more labile forms.⁷⁹

As stated above, the primary source of DOC in ocean surface waters is the microbial food web. Additional processes that control the distribution and loss of DOC from surface waters include vertical mixing and horizontal advection,⁸⁰ reactivity of algal-derived DOC,⁸¹ accommodation of DOC by particulates with subsequent sinking,⁸² and UV oxidation and volatilization at the ocean surface.^{42,83} As a result, the distribution of DOC in surface waters in any given region can be decoupled from local biological production.

Only about 15% of seawater DOC has been identified at the molecular level due to low concentrations (total DOC concentrations in surface seawater range between 60 and 90

Table 1. Classes of Compounds and Materials Found in Marine Organic Matter, Their Subsurface Concentrations, and Enrichment Factors in Sea Surface Microlayer and Sea Spray Aerosol Particles^a

Component	Metric	Surface Seawater Conc.	Enrichment Factor SSML	Enrichment Factor SSA	Example Molecule	Ref
DOC	passed through 0.7 μm filter (μM)	60 - 90	1-2 [S,G]	See Figure 4		^{70,94-96}
POC	collected by 0.7 μm filter (μM)	2-7 (1 - 800)	~4 (1.3 – 38) [S,G]	See Bacteria and Virus-like Particles		^{95,97-98}
Carbohydrates	carbohydrate (μM C glucose equiv.)	10 - 25	1.3 – 3 [S,G]	Detected ^a , No EF ^b		^{70,94,97,99}
	hydrolyzable neutral sugars (μM)	0.2 – 0.8			[polysaccharide]	⁷⁰
	hydrolyzable amino sugars (μM)	0.042 – 0.094			[peptidoglycan]	⁷⁰
Proteins, Peptides, Amino Acids	Dissolved combined amino acids (μM)	0.2 – 1	1.9 [S]	1.2-20	see PDB ID: 1RBL	^{70,100-102}
Fatty Acids	solvent extractable lipids (μM)	0.0002 – 0.0007	3.5 [S]	Detected ^a , No EF ^b		¹⁰³
Nucleic Acids (DNA, RNA)	(ug L ⁻¹)	1 – 30				¹⁰⁴⁻¹⁰⁵
Sterols, Hopanoids	total dissolved sterols in lipid extract (ug L ⁻¹)	0.5 – 7.7	2 (0.2 – 8) [S]	Detected ^a , No EF ^b		¹⁰⁶
Organosulfur	DMSP (μM)	0.0076	1.85 (0.81-4.6) [S]	Detected ^a , No EF ^b		^{59,107}
CDOM	Absorbance at 350nm (m ⁻¹)	0.014-0.05	1.3-4 [S]			^{95-96,108}
Bacteria	(cells mL ⁻¹)	1.1 x 10 ⁶	1 – 2, 6 [S,G]	10		^{97,101,109}
Virus-Like Particles (VLP)	(mL ⁻¹)	2.2 x 10 ⁶	1.5, 7 [S]	10		^{101,109}
Microgels	(as TEP; mL ⁻¹)	10 ⁶ - 10 ¹²	1.3 – 5 [G,S]	Detected ^a		^{66,97,10}

^aHas been reported to be detected in SSA. ^bNo EF_{OC} has been reported. SSML sampling methods:⁹⁷ [S] screen or mesh, [G] glass slide. Enrichment factor (EF) here refers to the ratio of SSML or SSA concentration relative to the subsurface concentration

μM) and a lack of methods with the required sensitivity.⁷⁰ Carbohydrates, existing in a combined or polysaccharide form, make up the largest identified fraction (10–15%).⁷⁰ Other classes of compounds that have been identified include neutral sugars, amino acids, amino sugars, and lipids (Table 1).^{84–87} In addition to molecular-level analysis, solid-phase extraction has been used to isolate and characterize the humic fraction of DOC. Humic substances, defined as dissolved organic matter (DOM) that is retained on XAD-2 or XAD-8 resins under acidic conditions, make up 5–25% of surface seawater DOC.⁸⁸ High-molecular-weight DOM, defined as DOM that is retained by an ultrafiltration membrane with 1 nm pore size and a 1000 Da cutoff, makes up 25–40% of surface ocean DOC.⁸⁸

Studies of the composition of marine DOM using high-resolution mass spectrometry appear to be a promising and helpful direction.^{79,89,90} Electrospray ionization (ESI), a soft ionization technique that ionizes polar compounds in aqueous solutions, followed by injection into a high-resolution mass spectrometer, allows for the detection of individual molecules within an organic mixture. For example, a combination of ESI and Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance mass spectrom-

etry (FT-ICR MS) has been used to investigate molecular-level changes in ocean DOM resulting from microbial and photochemical processes.⁸⁹

Over the past decade, hydrogels have been increasingly recognized as a significant component of seawater.^{66,67} Polymeric DOM is composed of hydrophobic and amphiphilic chains. The polymers can be coordinated by cations available in seawater and form nanogels (100–150 nm) through ionic and/or hydrophobic interactions. Nanogels then anneal, forming microscopic transparent exopolymeric particles (TEP) ranging in size from less than 2 μm to greater than 100 μm.^{91,92} Continued agglomeration of colloidal DOM into particulate organic matter (POM) can result in meter-sized macrogels.⁹³ It has been estimated that bulk seawater contains 700 Gt of DOC, 3 Gt of POC, and 70 Gt of hydrogels including 10 Gt of microscopic gels.⁶⁶

The sea surface microlayer (SSML), operationally defined as the top 1–1000 μm of surface waters, serves as the boundary layer interface between the ocean and atmosphere.¹¹¹ As such, it has received attention due to its potential role in enriching organic matter in SSA. The composition of organics in the

SSML varies with microbial community composition but, in general, is dominated by carbohydrates with smaller contributions from proteins and lipids. SSML enrichment factors for DOC, total dissolved carbohydrates (TDC), chromophoric dissolved organic matter (CDOM), POC, and TEP have been reported, based on simultaneous sampling of SSML and underlying subsurface waters, and are summarized in Table 1. In general, SSML enrichments have been found to be low for DOC (<2) relative to subsurface water for both coastal and open ocean regions.^{70,94–96,112,113} TDC and CDOM SSML enrichments are also less than 2 except in regions of visible slicks, which result from organic matter enrichments above some threshold. TDC and CDOM SSML enrichment factors have been found to be up to 3, on average, in slicks.¹¹³ Some studies have noted that the enrichment of nitrogen-containing material is higher than that of carbohydrates and hydrocarbons, but the identity of these compounds has not been fully elucidated.⁹⁹ SSML enrichment of POC is higher than that of TOC, ranging from 1.3 to 38.^{95,96}

Wurl et al.^{113,114} reported the persistence of TDC and CDOM SSML enrichments at wind speeds up to 9.6 m·s⁻¹, the highest winds encountered, indicating a lack of SSML dispersion under moderate wind conditions. The persistence of the CDOM SSML enrichment, even with a short lifetime with respect to photochemical transformation and degradation,¹¹⁵ suggests that it is continually being supplied from subsurface waters to the SSML. In contrast, a negative correlation was reported between TEP SSML enrichment and wind speed, indicating a removal of TEP through deep bubble mixing or bubbles bursting at the ocean surface. Transport of TEP from the SSML to the atmosphere within SSA particles produced by bubble bursting is supported by Leck and Bigg,¹¹⁶ who observed organic microcolloids surrounded by transparent material assumed to be exopolymer gel that is prevalent in the SSML.

Measurements off Long Island, NY, revealed SSML enrichment factors of bacteria and viruses relative to subsurface waters on the order of 5 and 7, respectively.¹⁰⁹ In addition, measurements of bacteria and viruses in SSA (1.2–20 µm in diameter at ambient RH) allowed for the assessment of enrichment factors relative to both the SSML (~5) and subsurface waters (~10). The greater enrichment of organic and/or biological material in SSA compared to SSML samples supports the concept that the SSML is a mechanistic intermediate for the transfer of condensed-phase material from the ocean to the atmosphere. Table 1 shows subsurface concentrations and enrichment factors for many classes of dissolved and particulate organics in the SSML and in SSA (relative to the subsurface concentration where known). The amount and type of organic molecules in SSA that are derived from the subsurface versus SSML remains an open question.

3. GENERATION OF FRESHLY EMITTED SEA SPRAY AEROSOL

Characterization of freshly emitted SSA requires production, sampling, and analysis methods that can generate and allow isolation of these particles, preventing them from being modified by ambient gases and particles. Two approaches to SSA generation have been developed. Bubbles can be generated *in situ* in ocean surface waters while the resulting SSA is drawn into shipboard instrumentation.⁴⁶ Alternatively, seawater can be pumped into a ship or laboratory where it is bubbled in a tank or generator to produce SSA.^{16,43,48,49,58} Although the use of

tanks removes the seawater from its natural physical environment, it allows for the systematic change of seawater properties (e.g., temperature, dissolved oxygen, salinity, biological composition) to study the effects on SSA flux^{48,49,117–119} and composition.^{43,50,51,53}

3.1. Field Measurements

An SSA generator known as Sea Sweep,⁴⁶ the only method currently reported to follow the first approach, generates SSA *in situ* by producing bubbles 0.75 m below the sea surface alongside a ship. A curtain of particle-free air prevents ambient air from entering the stainless steel hood above the bubbles. The freshly emitted SSA produced when the bubbles burst at the ocean surface is drawn from the hood to aerosol instruments aboard the ship. With the ship moving through the water, the bubbled water is being constantly replenished. The more common approach for shipboard measurements is to pump seawater to the ship and generate SSA onboard.^{16,48} A bubble generator designed to model ocean-atmosphere processes that result in the production of SSA has been deployed on ships.^{16,49,120} The volume of the generator, seawater flow rate, and location of the frits used to form bubbles in the generator are such that the average injection depth and lifetimes of the bubbles are representative of average conditions for the surface ocean. Other shipboard methods for generating SSA have included the use of a tank continuously replenished with seawater and bubble production by an impinging water jet.^{45,48}

3.2. Laboratory Measurements

Generation of SSA from natural and artificial seawater has been performed for several decades in the laboratory to characterize the chemical and physical properties of SSA.^{9,16,43,46–51,53–55,58,59,119–128} In addition, the formation and properties of bubbles in seawater have been probed to better understand their roles in the bubble bursting process and their influence on SSA properties.^{9,15,43,51,127} For example, the bubble size distribution plays a key role in SSA production since bubble size at the time of bursting dictates the relative number of SSA produced from film droplets versus jet droplets.^{10,126,129} In most field and laboratory experiments, SSA production involves bubbles that have been generated by forcing pure air (or N₂) through submerged sintered filters or diffusers, which are also known as frits,^{19,46,49,53–55,58,127,130} or by impinging a water jet or waterfall on the surface of seawater, or an artificial seawater proxy solution, in a tank.^{9,45,48,51,128} Both of these methods have been recently tested against laboratory breaking waves,^{43,51} with the impingement of falling water showing a greater similarity to breaking waves than does the sintered glass filter technique. While the details of these and other investigations will be discussed below, it is important to stress that the method by which SSA particles are generated has been shown to influence their physical^{9,127} and chemical⁵¹ properties.

Recently, freshly emitted SSA has been generated by breaking waves in a 33-m-long wave channel apparatus⁴³ in which reproducible breaking waves yield bubble size distributions similar to those observed in the open ocean.¹³¹ In addition, the wave channel apparatus mimics the physical processes of mixing and air entrainment that occur when waves break in the open ocean.¹³² A generation technique for SSA has been developed that is based on the wave channel using a pulsed plunging waterfall and has been used to physically mimic breaking waves. The plunging waterfall apparatus includes not

only the sheetlike shape of an open ocean wave, which impacts the seawater surface with gravitational acceleration, but also the episodic (or “pulsed”) nature of bubble production by waves in the open ocean and a “sweeping” action in which bubbles are produced by impingement of water over a given area of the seawater surface.¹²⁸ Physical properties of the plunging waterfall, such as plunging jet/sheet surface roughness¹³³ or the dissipation rate of turbulent kinetic energy,¹³⁴ have an important influence on the bubble plume and the subsequent surface foam. Each of these factors can be modulated by natural variations in the marine environment, such as changes in water temperature or composition.¹³⁵

A recent study^{43,51} using the wave channel apparatus compared bubble size distributions generated by sintered glass filters and a pulsed plunging waterfall. The pulsed plunging waterfall was found to produce a bubble size distribution that most closely matches that of breaking waves generated from both laboratory and open ocean measurements.^{123,131,136,137} Most significantly, bubble size distributions produced with the pulsed plunging waterfall replicated⁴³ the shape of the distribution around the Hinze scale (a_H)¹³¹ (Figure 4a), the size known to provide the greatest contribution to whitecap foam formation, which is an important driver of SSA production at the ocean surface.^{5,12} Sintered glass filters produced a bubble size distribution similar in shape to the pulsed plunging waterfall and laboratory breaking waves in the 0.02–1.4 mm bubble size range.^{43,51} However, they did not produce bubbles larger than 1.4 mm. As a result, the SSA number size distributions generated by sintered glass filters were found to have a smaller submicrometer modal diameter than those generated by the plunging waterfall and breaking wave methods (Figure 4b,c).⁴³

SSA chemical composition and related properties may also vary depending on the production method. However, a direct comparison of bubble bursting methods indicates that subsaturated water uptake properties show only slight differences.⁹ These small differences could be due to differing organic matter transfer properties of the various bubbling methods. Similarly, the CCN activity of submicrometer SSA particles (water uptake under supersaturated conditions) has been found to be nearly indistinguishable between methods,⁹ unless a thick foam is formed on the water surface.¹³⁸ Direct chemical analysis of particles with diameters closer to 1 μm , however, shows a strong sensitivity to bubble generation mechanism, suggesting perhaps that the chemical composition of these larger particles is more sensitive to differences in bubble generation mechanism in laboratory chambers,⁵¹ although a study of submicrometer particle composition with a similar level of detail is warranted.

3.3. Considerations for Wave Breaking Proxies

Wave breaking is an inherently episodic phenomenon. In most cases, bubble plumes entrained in the surface ocean fully decay via bursting before more bubbles are entrained in the same volume of seawater.¹³⁹ Based on observations at a coastal location, the decay time of oceanic whitecap foam ranges from 0.2 to 10 s,¹³⁹ with the decay time depending on the concentration and composition of surface-active compounds.^{15,140} Foam has been observed to form in SSA generators that contain high concentrations of surface-active material, sometimes becoming a thick cap on the water surface throughout the tank.^{49,51,138} Persistent foam on the water surface in laboratory SSA generators has been shown to




Figure 4. (a) Normalized bubble size distribution from sintered glass filters, laboratory breaking waves, and plunging waterfall.⁴³ The solid line refers to the shape of the bubble size distribution of waves in the open ocean.¹³¹ (b) Number size distributions of SSA generated by each of the three methods are shown on a log–log scale to emphasize the difference in modal diameter and submicrometer size distribution shape.^{43,51} The shape of the size distributions from breaking waves and plunging waterfall are similar. (c) Sintered glass filters, which produce a different size distribution of bubbles (i.e., lacking bubbles with diameters greater than 1.4 mm), yield SSA with a different number size distribution shape. The sintered glass filters distribution corresponds to the right-hand vertical axis.

influence the total aerosol concentration,^{16,49,138} induce a change in the shape of the number size distribution,^{51,138} decrease CCN activity,¹³⁸ and increase organic content⁵¹ of aerosol particles formed through bubble bursting. By allowing bubble plumes to decay to some extent before bubble generation is resumed, the formation of foam can be reduced but not fully eliminated.⁵¹ Refreshing the air–water interface by circulating seawater through the tank and allowing surface water to spill off into a drain^{49,58} can reduce or even preclude the formation of foam. Further measurements are required to assess the environmental relevance of aerosol production in SSA generators under varying foam presence, extent, and morphology. Since foam forms and is stabilized by the presence of surface-active compounds,¹¹ measurements of surface tension and surfactant concentrations, such as those recently reported by Long et al.,¹⁶ would help to constrain realistic foam

conditions in laboratory experiments and help give SSA production physicochemical context.

4. SIZE-DEPENDENT ENRICHMENT OF ORGANIC MATTER

The enrichment of organic material in SSA is often defined as

$$EF_{OC} = \frac{OC_{SSA}/Na_{SSA}}{OC_{seawater}/Na_{seawater}} \quad (1)$$

where EF_{OC} is the enrichment factor of organic carbon in sea spray aerosol relative to that in seawater, OC_{SSA} and Na_{SSA} are the concentrations of organic carbon and Na^+ in sea spray aerosol, and $OC_{seawater}$ and $Na_{seawater}$ are the corresponding concentrations in seawater.^{19,43,47,49} Several studies have reported values of EF_{OC} for freshly emitted SSA that was generated from natural seawater with techniques able to eliminate any influence of ambient constituents.^{19,43,47,49}

Hoffman and Duce¹⁹ reported EF_{OC} for bulk SSA ($D_p < 20 \mu m$ at 48–79% RH) produced from seawater collected from Narragansett Bay and the Sargasso Sea. Values averaged 250 ± 145 and 74 ± 27 for the productive waters of Narragansett Bay and oligotrophic waters of the Sargasso Sea, respectively.¹⁹ The authors hypothesized that the larger EF_{OC} values for the Narragansett Bay SSA were due to more surface-active organics in the seawater relative to the Sargasso Sea. When surface-active material was added to the natural seawater, it was found that the OC to Na^+ ratio increased dramatically, confirming that surfactant material in seawater has a significant influence on the organic content of SSA.

Keene et al.⁴⁹ measured size-segregated EF_{OC} for SSA derived from Sargasso Sea water. Values were found to increase with decreasing particle size such that sub-1 μm and sub-0.18 μm diameter SSA (79% RH) had EF_{OC} on the order of 10^3 and 10^4 , respectively (Figure 5). These values are 1 (sub-1 μm) and 2 (sub-0.18 μm) orders of magnitude greater than the sub-20 μm value reported by Hoffman and Duce¹⁹ due to inorganic sea salt dominating bulk SSA mass.

Submicrometer (60% RH) EF_{OC} values for a range of biological activity (as indicated by chlorophyll *a*) in California




Figure 5. Organic enrichment factors (EF_{OC}), as micrograms of carbon, for freshly emitted SSA relative to seawater for WACS, CalNex,⁴⁷ Sargasso Sea,^{19,49} and a North Atlantic plankton bloom region.⁴⁵ WACS, CalNex, and Sargasso Sea values ($D_p < 20 \mu m$) are based on measurement of total OC; Sargasso Sea values ($D_p < 0.18$ and $1.0 \mu m$) are based on water-extractable OC; and North Atlantic values are based on water-insoluble OC.

coastal waters were on the order of 1000.⁴⁷ The same SSA generator (Sea Sweep⁴⁶) was used in the western North Atlantic to characterize freshly emitted SSA generated from the eutrophic, biologically productive waters of Georges Bank and oligotrophic, nonproductive waters of the Sargasso Sea. Sub-1 μm and sub-0.18 μm (60% RH) EF_{OC} values were on the order of 10^3 and 10^4 , respectively, with values derived from the oligotrophic seawater being slightly higher (Figure 5). Measurements by Facchini et al.⁴⁵ in the northeast Atlantic during a plankton bloom resulted in EF_{OC} values of 10^4 and 10^5 for sub-1 μm and sub-0.25 μm SSA, respectively (Figure 5).

All measurements of freshly emitted SSA indicate that OC is enriched in all size ranges with respect to seawater and that the smallest analyzed size fraction has the largest enrichment. High OC enrichments occur in regions of both eutrophic and oligotrophic surface seawater. That said, comparisons of SSA OC concentrations and EF_{OC} between experiments is complicated by the different methods used for analysis of OC concentration. EF_{OC} values from Quinn et al.⁴⁷ for California coastal and North Atlantic waters are based on total OC_{SSA} determined from thermal-optical analysis. Values from Keene et al.⁴⁹ for the Sargasso Sea are based on combustion of the water-extractable fraction of organic carbon (WEOC). Values from Facchini et al.⁴⁵ for the North Atlantic are based on the water-insoluble organic carbon fraction (WIOC) derived from the difference between total organic carbon (TOC) determined by thermal-optical analysis and water-soluble organic carbon (WSOC) determined by a liquid TOC analyzer. In addition, Collins et al.⁵¹ reported that sintered glass filters produce aerosol containing a larger fraction of organic-enriched particles (0.8–2.0 μm size range) than either the pulsed plunging waterfall or breaking waves in a wave channel. A dedicated intercomparison study of methods is required to fully understand the method-dependent biases associated with the reported OC concentrations and EF_{OC} .

5. PROPERTIES OF FRESHLY EMITTED SEA SPRAY AEROSOL

5.1. Organic Composition

A variety of methods, each providing unique information, has been used to characterize the organic composition of SSA. Proton nuclear magnetic resonance (1H NMR) spectroscopic measurements of the submicrometer fraction of freshly emitted SSA generated in the northeastern Atlantic show a dominant aliphatic composition, including (a) hydroxylated moieties of sugars, esters, and polyols; (b) aliphatic groups adjacent to carbonyls, amides, and acids; and (c) aliphatic chains with terminal methyls, typical of lipids.⁴⁵ High-resolution aerosol mass spectrometer (AMS; Aerodyne Research, Inc.) measurements of SSA in coastal California waters show that the organic fraction contains nonoxygenated hydrocarbon classes (40–70%, average = 58%) of which approximately 50% are unsaturated hydrocarbons.⁴⁶ Oxygenated hydrocarbons account for 28–58% (average ~40%) of the organic mass. Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy measurements suggest the submicrometer organic carbon mass composition is 53% hydroxyl, 33% alkane, and 14% amine.⁴⁶ Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance mass spectrometry (FT-ICR MS) and NMR spectroscopy of freshly emitted SSA from the Angola Basin (south Atlantic) show CHO, CHNO, and CHOS type compounds.^{59,107} NMR spectrometry also shows the presence of acrylic acid (a breakdown product of dimethylsulfoniopro-

pionate (DMSP) in the freshly emitted aerosol.^{59,107} These measurements are consistent with the composition of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) in the ocean that includes polysaccharides, proteins, nucleic acids, and lipids^{66,141} (see section 2 for a description of seawater DOC).

5.2. Mixing State

Based on the analysis of individual particles by online single-particle mass spectrometry and offline spectrochemical imaging and microanalysis of substrate deposited particles, SSA generated in the wave channel apparatus can be broken down into four main particle types: sea salt (SS), sea salt with organic carbon (SS-OC), organic carbon (OC), and biological (Bio).⁴³ The relative abundance of these particle types is size-dependent, with organic-containing types generally becoming more prevalent with decreasing size (Figure 6), similar to the




Figure 6. Size-resolved chemical composition from 30 nm to 3 μm of individual SSA particles generated in the Scripps Institution of Oceanography ocean-atmosphere wave channel. Particles are divided into four distinct chemical types as described in the text. Adapted from Prather et al.⁴³

findings of size-segregated bulk chemical composition measurements.^{45,49,142} Bio and SS particle types dominate the number fraction of the largest size range analyzed (2–3 μm in diameter). SS-OC dominates from 200 nm to 1 μm , and OC particles dominate at sizes below approximately 200 nm.

SS and SS-OC particles are characterized by dominant contributions from Na, Cl, Mg, and K, which are abundant inorganic components of seawater. In addition to these inorganic components, SS-OC particles also contain up to about 30–40% (v/v) organic matter.^{50,143} OC particles contain Ca and Mg, which are known to form complexes with natural organic ligands^{124,144} and help organize organic-dominated supramolecular structures in seawater.⁶⁶ The remaining particle type, Bio, is biological in nature and is thought to consist of either whole or fragmented bacterial cells on the basis of aerosol particle size and studies of controlled bacteria culture additions to seawater.^{43,145} This particle type has been associated with known single-particle mass spectrometric markers for biological material, including phosphate, organic nitrogen, and Mg.^{146,147} Additionally, Bio particles are often associated with transition metals as a result of sequestration and chelation by biological components.¹⁴⁵ Transition metals associated with Bio-type particles may play a role in the atmospheric chemistry and climate effects of SSA particles.

Spectroscopic chemical analysis of individual particles can yield important information on the molecular composition of individual particles and the mixing state of various classes of chemicals. For instance, the association of organic matter with Mg in particles with diameters between 30 and 550 nm has been ascertained through chemical imaging of individual

particles by scanning or transmission electron microscopy (SEM or TEM) with energy-dispersive X-ray analysis (EDX).^{52,148} In order to gather more information about the organic components of SSA, electron microscopic analysis can be performed in parallel with scanning transmission X-ray microscopy (STXM) with near-edge X-ray absorption fine structure (NEXAFS).¹⁴⁹ Combined STXM–NEXAFS and TEM–EDX analysis of OC particles has shown that this type is characterized by a lack of chloride, a preponderance of carbonyls, and inorganic species like Ca, K, and Mg.⁵² The carbonyls could indicate the importance of protein and/or fatty acid aggregates as the source of this particle type.^{100,150}

Micro-Raman spectroscopy can also nondestructively resolve information about the organic chemical structures within particles in the 300–4000 nm diameter size range as well as select inorganic components (e.g., sulfate, carbonate) and even the hydration state of crystalline particles. Sulfate has been observed in particles by electron microscopy with EDX, STXM–NEXAFS, and Raman spectroscopy. Raman analysis indicated that sulfate in freshly emitted SSA samples was localized to particles with diameters mostly larger than 2 μm , while the aliphatic carbon signal was increasingly prevalent as particle size decreased toward 300 nm.¹⁴⁸ Often individual particle spectra showed the presence of both organics and sulfate. An example of this is shown in Figure 7 for a 2 μm




Figure 7. (a) Raman spectra of a 2 μm SSA particle (with inset of C–H stretching region). Bands associated with various vibrational modes and different functional groups are labeled. (b) Normalized integrated area of Raman bands for $\nu(\text{SO}_4^{2-})$ and $\nu(\text{C}-\text{H})$ modes, as a function of particle size. Reprinted with permission from Ault et al.¹⁴⁸ Copyright 2013 Royal Society of Chemistry.

diameter particle that shows both sulfate peaks and C–H stretches associated with aliphatic hydrocarbons. The relative areas of the sulfate peak near 1010 cm^{-1} and the C–H stretching region around 2900 cm^{-1} shown as a function of particle size demonstrate that the sulfates are mostly in the larger particles and the organic compounds dominate at smaller particle sizes. Signals referring to amino acids along with fatty acids and lipids have been observed in particles, although heterogeneity exists between the Raman spectra collected for different particles collected in a single sample.¹⁴⁸ In addition,

surface-sensitive spectroscopy studies have indicated that interfacial C–H and O–H stretches exist, but alkyl chains are disordered on the surface,¹⁵¹ perhaps allowing for the penetration of reactive gas molecules, contrary to the setup of some laboratory studies that utilize single, well-ordered monolayers of surface-active compounds to simulate SSA particle surfaces.^{152,153}

5.3. Volatility

Heating of atmospheric aerosols is used to infer aerosol chemical composition.^{8,154,155} Atmospheric aerosol heated to 230 °C causes ammonium nitrate, ammonium sulfate, and volatile organics to evaporate, leaving behind nonvolatile organics, sea salt, elemental carbon, and dust.⁸ Heating freshly emitted SSA generated at the ocean surface by use of Sea Sweep to 230 °C volatilizes only a small fraction of the organic carbon (<10%).⁴⁶ The lack of volatility of SSA at 230 °C is consistent with the colloidal nature of a portion of seawater organic matter⁶⁶ (see section 2). It has been hypothesized that aggregates of seawater organic matter, bound together by phytoplankton exudates, are injected into the atmosphere through bubble bursting.¹⁵⁶ Once in the atmosphere, the colloidal exopolymer gels that bind the aggregates together are degraded by ultraviolet light and acidification. These exopolymer gels have been described as insoluble, thermally stable, and highly hydrated.^{45,156}

5.4. Hygroscopicity

Measurements of the subsaturated hygroscopic growth factor (HGF) of SSA generated *in situ* at the ocean surface by use of Sea Sweep at 90% RH for diameters of 50, 100, and 145 nm yield a single mode in the frequency distribution that is 10% less hygroscopic than aerosol generated from artificial seawater in the laboratory.^{46,143} Organic enrichment of artificial seawater in laboratory experiments achieves similar results to field studies.^{143,157} The relatively large HGFs are consistent with a high enrichment in organic matter similar in composition to seawater colloidal exopolymer gels. The lack of two modes indicates that either all the SSA organics and sea salt are internally mixed at these diameters or the externally mixed particles (OC and SS-OC particles, see Figure 6) are similar in their hygroscopicity.

5.5. Cloud Condensation Nuclei Activity

The CCN activation ratio, which indicates the fraction of total particles that take up water and form CCN, has been measured for SSA generated *in situ* at the ocean surface by use of Sea Sweep.⁴⁷ The difference in the measured CCN activation ratio of SSA and aerosol generated from a NaCl solution was used to estimate a supersaturated hygroscopicity parameter.¹⁵⁸ SSA with a dry diameter of 100 nm was found to be about 30% less CCN-active than the NaCl calibration aerosols, while 40 nm particles were 70% less CCN-active. With decreasing particle size, the SSA became less like NaCl due to an increase in the volume fraction of OC. The volume fraction of OC increased from 40% for 100 nm particles to 80% for 40 nm particles.⁴⁷

Laboratory studies with large perturbations in seawater organic content also show that very high organic enrichments can affect SSA CCN activity in some cases. When the hygroscopicity parameter¹⁵⁸ was used to quantify CCN activity, a decrease of 9–37% was observed with addition of phytoplankton exudates (512 μM C) or DOC-rich algae cultures to seawater,^{50,143} although minimal changes in CCN activity under similar chemical conditions have also been

reported.⁵⁵ In a different laboratory experiment, heterotrophic bacteria were allowed to grow on peptone and yeast extract as a nutrient source. The CCN activity of SSA produced from this seawater was observed to decrease by 86%. This change in CCN activity was driven by a large increase in the number fraction of OC particles with dry diameters between 30 and 200 nm.⁵⁰ The change in CCN activity was likely a result of the mixing state of SSA. It has been hypothesized that aerosol mixing state can have a particularly strong control over the CCN activity of SSA particles,⁵⁰ and that changes in internally mixed volume fractions of salts and organics may have a smaller impact.¹⁵⁹

5.6. Ice Nucleation Activity

The heterogeneous ice nucleation (IN) activity of isolated freshly emitted SSA particles using realistic aerosol generation methods has not been reported in the literature. Only a few studies have published ice nucleating particle (INP) concentrations within the MBL^{160–163} or in controlled marine aerosol generation experiments.¹⁶⁴ Marine diatoms may influence heterogeneous ice nucleation in SSA particles;^{165–167} however, the flux of such large plankton cells into the atmosphere is likely quite small due to their large size compared to the SSA number size distribution. On the basis of limited experimental data, recent model studies suggest that the contribution of INP to the MBL from SSA could be important climatologically, especially in mixed-phase clouds¹⁶⁸ and over the Southern Ocean.¹⁶⁹ In terrestrial systems, some biological particles (e.g., certain bacteria) have been characterized as highly IN-active, initiating ice nucleation at temperatures as warm as –5 °C.^{170,171} In comparison, mineral dust (widely accepted as a significant type of INP) has been shown to initiate ice nucleation at approximately –20 °C,¹⁷¹ although less efficient nucleation has been observed at warmer temperatures.^{172,173} Biological particles have been observed in SSA,^{43,145} including both bacteria and viruses,^{56,109,174} for which the IN activity is unknown yet potentially important. Overall, studies of IN activity in aerosol particles have shown a high degree of chemical selectivity,^{166,173,175–177} although the fundamental process of heterogeneous freezing in the atmosphere has yet to be elucidated.

6. FLUX OF SEA SPRAY AEROSOL TO THE ATMOSPHERE

Most model parametrizations of the flux of SSA to the atmosphere assume that SSA emissions are proportional to whitecap coverage¹³ and can be described as a function of wind speed at a height of 10 m.¹⁷⁸ Gong¹⁷⁹ and Clarke et al.⁸ expanded this function to a size-resolved number flux based on comparisons with field observations. Jaegle et al.¹⁸⁰ further modified the source function by adding a dependence on sea surface temperature that improved comparisons with *in situ* and remote sensing observations. More recently, attempts have been made to account for the flux of the organic fraction of SSA by using a scaling factor in the flux parametrization based on surface ocean chlorophyll concentrations.^{117,181–184} However, chlorophyll is able to explain only a fraction of the variance of organic content of ambient marine aerosol that is assumed to originate from the ocean,⁴⁷ most likely because chlorophyll is a tracer for only a small fraction of the ocean carbon pool as discussed above. Large EF_{OC} in oligotrophic waters indicate a source of organic carbon that is not directly associated with chlorophyll and phytoplankton biomass but is available for

incorporation in SSA. That said, sunlight-mediated surfactants produced in biologically productive waters do affect the production efficiency (number of particles per unit volume of air detrained) of SSA.¹⁶ In addition, laboratory experiments have shown a link between the number fraction of organic carbon particles in the 60–180 nm size range and heterotrophic biological activity.^{43,52} Recently Burrows et al.¹⁸⁵ have parametrized SSA using a competitive Langmuir adsorption equilibrium at bubble surfaces. This approach is an initial step in linking ocean biogeochemistry and aerosol chemical composition in earth system models utilizing the concepts of fundamental physical chemistry.

The SSA number flux is dominated by particles containing organic carbon. The SSA number size distribution has a dominant mode centered around 100 nm.^{8,118,186} If the organic fraction of the mass concentration of particles with diameters <180 nm is 55%,⁴⁷ the number fraction in this size range is >55% since the smallest sizes are predominantly OC-type particles (Figure 5). Similar or higher mass fractions of freshly emitted organic particles in this size range have been observed by Keene et al.⁴⁹ and Facchini et al.,⁴⁵ although laboratory studies indicate organic/salt fractions less than 40%.^{50,143} For wind speeds of 5–15 m/s, the number flux of SSA particles is roughly 10–100 cm⁻²·s⁻¹.^{8,187} If a 1 km boundary layer height and a 5-day lifetime for SSA are assumed, this range in the number flux of SSA would support a background number concentration of 40–400 particles·cm⁻³.

The SSA mass flux is dominated by inorganic sea salts with 99% of the mass in a size range with (dry) diameters greater than 1 μm .¹⁸⁰ Most of the mass less than 1 μm is from the tail of the sea salt mass distribution centered around 3 μm .¹⁸⁸ If 5% of the submicrometer SSA mass is organic,⁴⁷ based on the model results of Jaegle et al.,¹⁸⁰ the SSA organic flux is approximately 3 Tg C·year⁻¹. Other model estimates for submicrometer SSA organic flux range from 2 to 298 Tg C·year⁻¹.^{182–184}

Clarke et al.⁸ estimate that in regions with little continental impact, the SSA flux contributes 5–90% of the CCN in the marine boundary layer, with the remainder coming from the free troposphere via entrainment. In regions with little continental impact, many of the free troposphere particles result from gases that are emitted from the ocean and oxidized in the free troposphere.⁸ Thus, it is of interest to compare the gas and particulate fluxes of organic carbon from the ocean to the atmosphere. The dominant organic carbon gas-phase emission from the ocean to the atmosphere is in the form of dimethylsulfide (DMS).¹⁸⁹ The DMS flux has been extensively studied over the past 25 years and is estimated at 19 Tg C·year⁻¹ (25 Tg S·year⁻¹).¹⁸⁹ DMS is a major source of sulfate aerosol globally¹⁹⁰ but is also oxidized to methanesulfonic acid (MSA). If the average molar ratio of MSA/SO₄²⁻ from DMS oxidation is 0.2,¹⁹¹ DMS oxidation contributes about 0.6 Tg C to secondary organic aerosol via MSA. Most of the carbon associated with DMS will be oxidized to CO₂ and will not contribute to aerosol mass.¹ Similarly, the ocean flux of CH₄ to the atmosphere (0.3 Tg C·year⁻¹)¹⁹² will not contribute to aerosol mass. Facchini et al.¹⁹³ and Müller et al.¹⁹⁴ have reported monomethylammonium, dimethylamine, and diethylamine in submicrometer particles over the north Atlantic and at Cape Verde, respectively. The combined concentrations were an order of magnitude less than that of MSA, suggesting an amine carbon flux from the ocean of roughly 0.06 Tg C·year⁻¹. Isoprene and monoterpene emissions from the ocean may

contribute up to 1 Tg C·year⁻¹ to the atmosphere.¹⁹⁵ The fraction of this gas-phase carbon that is converted to atmospheric particulate carbon is quite uncertain and the atmospheric oxidation products, most likely oxalate, make up only a few percent of the submicrometer OC aerosol.⁴¹ Although the sum of these potential secondary sources of organic carbon from the ocean is highly uncertain, it is likely to be at the very lower end of the range of the SSA primary source.

7. FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Much remains to be learned about the connections between seawater properties (DOC composition, local biological activity, surfactants, etc.) and the composition of SSA. Many important questions remain, including the following:

- (1) How do physical production mechanisms influence the chemical composition of sub- and supermicrometer SSA?
- (2) How are production mechanisms influenced by the biological and chemical properties of seawater and the SSML?
- (3) What role do subsurface seawater and the SSML play in determining the composition of SSA?
- (4) What are the specific classes of organic molecules in the SSML and SSA?
- (5) What major classes of organic compounds move from bulk surface seawater and/or the SSML to SSA particles?
- (6) Does the existence of a particular organic compound class in the SSML and/or SSA change as a function of the biogeochemical state of the seawater?
- (7) What model compounds can be used in fundamental laboratory studies to better understand the physicochemical properties of SSA?
- (8) How can the flux of SSA, including the organic fraction, best be parametrized in global-scale models to most accurately reflect the enrichment and climate impacts of organics in SSA?
- (9) What is the role of gas-phase organic species emitted from the ocean in forming new aerosol or contributing to the growth of existing marine aerosol? How does the magnitude of this source of carbon to the atmosphere compare to the source of carbon from primary SSA production?

A combination of field and laboratory observations are required to answer these questions and inform and improve climate models. The most transformational results will be obtained by including the results from both the oceanic and atmospheric communities in observational and modeling efforts.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail patricia.k.quinn@noaa.gov.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

Biographies



Patricia K. Quinn received her B.A. degree in chemistry from Reed College (1982) and her Ph.D. in chemistry from the University of Washington (1988). She has been a research chemist at NOAA's Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory since 1993. Patricia's research is focused on the effect of atmospheric aerosol particles on air quality and climate. She has participated in research cruises since 1986, studying a broad range of aerosol types including remote marine aerosol in the Arctic and Antarctic and pollution aerosol in the Houston Ship Channel and the Sacramento River. She has served as a member of the Surface Ocean Lower Atmosphere Study (SOLAS) Scientific Steering Committee and is a Fellow of the American Geophysical Union.



Douglas B. Collins received a B.A. in chemistry from Colgate University in 2008 and continued to the University of California at San Diego for an M.S. and Ph.D. in atmospheric and analytical chemistry, completed in 2014. His graduate work focused on the importance of aerosol chemistry and mixing state on the cloud nucleating properties of aerosols, including detailed studies of the production and physicochemical properties of sea spray aerosol. Douglas is currently a postdoctoral fellow at the University of California at San Diego with plans to pursue aerosol–climate interactions through remote measurements of the atmosphere.




Vicki H. Grassian received her B.S. degree in chemistry from the State University of New York at Albany, her M.S. degree at Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute (1982) and her Ph.D. at the University of California at Berkeley (1987). Professor Grassian is currently the F. Wendell Miller Professor of Chemistry in the Department of Chemistry and holds appointments in the Departments of Chemical and Biochemical Engineering and Occupational and Environmental Health. In addition, she is currently Co-Director of the Center for Aerosol Impacts on Climate and the Environment (CAICE), a multi-institutional Phase II NSF Chemical Center of Innovation. Her research interests are in the areas of environmental molecular surface science, heterogeneous atmospheric chemistry, climate impact of atmospheric aerosols, and environmental and health aspects of nanoscience and nanotechnology. In 2012, she received the National American Chemical Society (ACS) Award for Creative Advances in Environmental Science and Technology for her original and creative contributions in understanding mineral dust aerosol properties through laboratory studies and their impact on atmospheric chemistry and climate. In 2014, she received the ACS Midwest Award and the Royal Society of Chemistry John Jeyes Award for her pioneering contributions to the chemistry of environmental interfaces, heterogeneous atmospheric chemistry, and the environmental implications of nanomaterials.



Kimberly A. Prather received her Ph.D. in 1990 from the University of California at Davis. She was a postdoctoral fellow at the University of California at Berkeley (1990–1992). In 1992, she became an assistant professor at University of California at Riverside. In 2001, she moved to University of California at San Diego as a professor of chemistry. Her research focuses on improving our understanding of how humans are influencing our atmosphere and climate. She is Director of the Center for Aerosol Impacts on Climate and the Environment (CAICE), a multi-institutional Phase II NSF Chemical Center of

Innovation. She is a Fellow of the American Geophysical Union and the American Association for the Advancement of Science.



Timothy S. Bates received his B.A. degree in Chemistry from Wittenberg University (1975), his M.S. degree in Oceanography (1978) from the University of Washington, and an interdisciplinary Ph.D. in Environmental Chemistry (1988) from the University of Washington. He worked as a research chemist at NOAA's Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory from 1978 to 2014. He is currently a senior fellow and research scientist at the Joint Institute for the Study of the Atmosphere and Ocean (JISAO) at the University of Washington. His research over the past 40 years has focused on the role of the oceans in the chemical composition of the atmosphere and atmospheric aerosols and their role in climate and air quality. His research has taken him on 38 research cruises totaling over 900 days at sea. He has published over 170 peer-reviewed papers and is a Fellow of the American Geophysical Union.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by the NOAA Atmospheric Composition and Climate Program and also by the Center for Aerosol Impacts on Climate and the Environment (CAICE), an NSF Center for Chemical Innovation (CHE-1305427). This is PMEL contribution 4273. Any opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

REFERENCES

- (1) Seinfeld, J. H.; Pandis, S. N. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics: From Air Pollution to Climate Change*; 2nd ed.; John Wiley and Sons: New York, 2006.
- (2) Corbett, J. J.; Winebrake, J. J.; Green, E. H.; Kasibhatla, P.; Eyring, V.; Lauer, A. Mortality from ship emissions: A global assessment. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2007**, *41*, 8512–8518.
- (3) Warneck, P. *Chemistry of the Natural Atmosphere*; Academic Press: San Diego, CA, 1988.
- (4) Woodcock, A. H. Note concerning human respiratory irritation associated with high concentrations of plankton and mass mortality of marine organisms. *J. Mar. Res.* **1948**, *7*, 56–62.
- (5) de Leeuw, G.; Andreas, E. L.; Anguelova, M. D.; Fairall, C. W.; Lewis, E. R.; O'Dowd, C.; Schulz, M.; Schwartz, S. E. Production flux of sea spray aerosol. *Rev. Geophys.* **2011**, *49*, Rg2001.
- (6) Blanchard, D. C. Condensation nuclei and raindrop spectra. *J. Atmos. Sci.* **1963**, *20*, 624–625.
- (7) Foulk, C. W. Foaming and priming of boiler water. *Trans. Am. Soc. Mech. Eng.* **1932**, *54*, 105–113.
- (8) Clarke, A. D.; Owens, S. R.; Zhou, J. C. An ultrafine sea-salt flux from breaking waves: Implications for cloud condensation nuclei in the remote marine atmosphere. *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.* **2006**, *111*.
- (9) Fuentes, E.; Coe, H.; Green, D.; de Leeuw, G.; McFiggans, G. Laboratory-generated primary marine aerosol via bubble-bursting and atomization. *Atmos. Meas. Tech.* **2010**, *3*, 141–162.
- (10) Spiel, D. E. On the births of film drops from bubbles bursting on seawater surfaces. *J. Geophys. Res.: Oceans* **1998**, *103*, 24907–24918.
- (11) Bikerman, J. J. *Foams*; Springer Verlag: New York, Heidelberg, and Berlin, 1973.
- (12) Lewis, E. R.; Schwartz, S. E. *Sea Salt Aerosol Production: Mechanisms, Methods, Measurements, and Models - A Critical Review*; American Geophysical Union: Washington, DC, 2004.
- (13) Monahan, E. C.; Fairall, C. W.; Davidson, K. L.; Boyle, P. J. Observed inter-relations between 10 m winds, ocean whitecaps, and marine aerosols. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.* **1983**, *109*, 379–392.
- (14) Andreas, E. L. Sea spray and the turbulent air-sea heat fluxes. *J. Geophys. Res.: Oceans* **1992**, *97*, 11429–11441.
- (15) Modini, R. L.; Russell, L. M.; Deane, G. B.; Stokes, M. D. Effect of soluble surfactant on bubble persistence and bubble-produced aerosol particles. *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.* **2013**, *118*, 1388–1400.
- (16) Long, M. S.; Keene, W. C.; Kieber, D. J.; Frossard, A. A.; Russell, L. M.; Maben, J. R.; Kinsey, J. D.; Quinn, P. K.; Bates, T. S. Light-enhanced primary marine aerosol production from biologically productive seawater. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **2014**, *41*, 2661–2670.
- (17) Blanchard, D. C. Bubble scavenging and water-to-air transfer of organic material in sea. In *Applied Chemistry at Protein Interfaces*; Advances in Chemistry, Vol. 145; American Chemical Society: Washington, DC, 1975 ; Chapt. 18, pp 360–387
- (18) Brown, J. W.; Skop, R. A.; Viechnicki, J.; Tseng, R. S. Transport of surface-active organic materials from seawater to the air-water-interface by an ascending current field. *Fluid Dyn. Res.* **1992**, *9*, 97–105.
- (19) Hoffman, E. J.; Duce, R. A. Factors influencing organic-carbon content of marine aerosols - Laboratory study. *J. Geophys. Res., C: Oceans Atmos.* **1976**, *81*, 3667–3670.
- (20) Tseng, R. S.; Viechnicki, J. T.; Skop, R. A.; Brown, J. W. Sea-to-air transfer of surface-active organic-compounds by bursting bubbles. *J. Geophys. Res.: Oceans* **1992**, *97*, 5201–5206.
- (21) Skop, R. A.; Viechnicki, J. T.; Brown, J. W. A model for microbubble scavenging of surface-active lipid molecules from seawater. *J. Geophys. Res.: Oceans* **1994**, *99*, 16395–16402.
- (22) Stefan, R. L.; Szeri, A. J. Surfactant scavenging and surface deposition by rising bubbles. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **1999**, *212*, 1–13.
- (23) Bates, T. S.; Anderson, T. L.; Baynard, T.; Bond, T.; Boucher, O.; Carmichael, G.; Clarke, A.; Erlick, C.; Guo, H.; Horowitz, L.; Howell, S.; Kulkarni, S.; Maring, H.; McComiskey, A.; Middlebrook, A.; Noone, K.; O'Dowd, C. D.; Ogren, J.; Penner, J.; Quinn, P. K.; Ravishankara, A. R.; Savoie, D. L.; Schwartz, S. E.; Shinozuka, Y.; Tang, Y.; Weber, R. J.; Wu, Y. Aerosol direct radiative effects over the northwest Atlantic, northwest Pacific, and North Indian Oceans: Estimates based on in-situ chemical and optical measurements and chemical transport modeling. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2006**, *6*, 1657–1732.
- (24) Murphy, D. M.; Anderson, J. R.; Quinn, P. K.; McInnes, L. M.; Brechtel, F. J.; Kreidenweis, S. M.; Middlebrook, A. M.; Posfai, M.; Thomson, D. S.; Buseck, P. R. Influence of sea-salt on aerosol radiative properties in the Southern Ocean marine boundary layer. *Nature*. **1998**, *392*, 62–65.
- (25) Quinn, P. K.; Coffman, D. J. Comment on “Contribution of different aerosol species to the global aerosol extinction optical thickness: Estimates from model results” by Tegen et al. *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.* **1999**, *104*, 4241–4248.
- (26) Kleefeld, C.; O'Dowd, C. D.; O'Reilly, S.; Jennings, S. G.; Aalto, P.; Becker, E.; Kunz, G.; de Leeuw, G. Relative contribution of submicron and supermicron particles to aerosol light scattering in the marine boundary layer. *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.* **2002**, *107*.
- (27) Jacobson, M. Z. Global direct radiative forcing due to multicomponent anthropogenic and natural aerosols. *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.* **2001**, *106*, 1551–1568.
- (28) Takemura, T.; Nakajima, T.; Dubovik, O.; Holben, B. N.; Kinne, S. Single-scattering albedo and radiative forcing of various aerosol

- species with a global three-dimensional model. *J. Clim.* **2002**, *15*, 333–352.
- (29) Hoppel, W. A.; Frick, G. M.; Fitzgerald, J. W. Deducing droplet concentration and supersaturation in marine boundary layer clouds from surface aerosol measurements. *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.* **1996**, *101*, 26553–26565.
- (30) Leaitch, W. R.; Banic, C. M.; Isaac, G. A.; Couture, M. D.; Liu, P. S. K.; Gultepe, I.; Li, S. M.; Kleinman, L.; Daum, P. H.; MacPherson, J. I. Physical and chemical observations in marine stratus during the 1993 North Atlantic Regional Experiment: Factors controlling cloud droplet number concentrations. *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.* **1996**, *101*, 29123–29135.
- (31) Hudson, J. G.; Garrett, T. J.; Hobbs, P. V.; Strader, S. R.; Xie, Y. H.; Yum, S. S. Cloud condensation nuclei and ship tracks. *J. Atmos. Sci.* **2000**, *57*, 2696–2706.
- (32) Roberts, G.; Mauger, G.; Hadley, O.; Ramanathan, V. North American and Asian aerosols over the eastern Pacific Ocean and their role in regulating cloud condensation nuclei. *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.* **2006**, *111*.
- (33) Hudson, J. G.; Noble, S.; Jha, V. Stratus cloud supersaturations. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **2010**, *37*.
- (34) Köhler, H. The nucleus in and the growth of hygroscopic droplets. *Trans. Faraday Soc.* **1936**, *32*, 1152–1161.
- (35) Feingold, G.; Cotton, W. R.; Kreidenweis, S. M.; Davis, J. T. The impact of giant cloud condensation nuclei on drizzle formation in stratocumulus: Implications for cloud radiative properties. *J. Atmos. Sci.* **1999**, *56*, 4100–4117.
- (36) Rosenfeld, D.; Lahav, R.; Khain, A.; Pinsky, M. The role of sea spray in cleansing air pollution over ocean via cloud processes. *Science* **2002**, *297*, 1667–1670.
- (37) Posselt, R.; Lohmann, U. Influence of giant CCN on warm rain processes in the ECHAMS GCM. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2008**, *8*, 3769–3788.
- (38) Clarke, A. D.; Davis, D.; Kapustin, V. N.; Eisele, F.; Chen, G.; Paluch, I.; Lenschow, D.; Bandy, A. R.; Thornton, D.; Moore, K.; Mauldin, L.; Tanner, D.; Litchy, M.; Carroll, M. A.; Collins, J.; Albercoor, C. Particle nucleation in the tropical boundary layer and its coupling to marine sulfur sources. *Science* **1998**, *282*, 89–92.
- (39) Guazzotti, S. A.; Coffee, K. R.; Prather, K. A. Continuous measurements of size-resolved particle chemistry during INDOEX-Intensive Field Phase 99. *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.* **2001**, *106*, 28607–28627.
- (40) Rinaldi, M.; Decesari, S.; Finessi, E.; Julianelli, L.; Carbone, C.; Fuzzi, S.; O'Dowd, C. D.; Ceburnis, D.; Facchini, M. C. Primary and secondary organic marine aerosol and oceanic biological activity: Recent results and new perspectives for future studies. *Adv. Meteorol.* **2010**, No. 310682.
- (41) Turekian, V. C.; Macko, S. A.; Keene, W. C. Concentrations, isotopic compositions, and sources of size-resolved, particulate organic carbon and oxalate in near-surface marine air at Bermuda during spring. *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.* **2003**, *108*.
- (42) Zhou, X. L.; Davis, A. J.; Kieber, D. J.; Keene, W. C.; Maben, J. R.; Maring, H.; Dahl, E. E.; Izaguirre, M. A.; Sander, R.; Smoydzyn, L. Photochemical production of hydroxyl radical and hydroperoxides in water extracts of nascent marine aerosols produced by bursting bubbles from Sargasso seawater. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **2008**, *35*.
- (43) Prather, K. A.; Bertram, T. H.; Grassian, V. H.; Deane, G. B.; Stokes, M. D.; DeMott, P. J.; Aluwihare, L. I.; Palenik, B.; Azam, F.; Seinfeld, J. H.; Moffet, R. C.; Molina, M. J.; Cappa, C. D.; Geiger, F. M.; Roberts, G. C.; Russell, L. M.; Ault, A. P.; Baltrušaitis, J.; Collins, D. B.; Corrigan, C. E.; Cuadra-Rodriguez, L. A.; Ebbin, C. J.; Forestieri, S. D.; Guasco, T. L.; Hersey, S. P.; Kim, M. J.; Lambert, W.; Modini, R. L.; Mui, W.; Pedler, B. E.; Ruppel, M. J.; Ryder, O. S.; Schoepp, N.; Sullivan, R. C.; Zhao, D. Bringing the ocean into the laboratory to probe the chemical complexity of sea spray aerosol. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2013**, *110*, 7550–7555.
- (44) Bates, T. S.; Kapustin, V. N.; Quinn, P. K.; Covert, D. S.; Coffman, D. J.; Mari, C.; Durkee, P. A.; De Bruyn, W. J.; Saltzman, E. S. Processes controlling the distribution of aerosol particles in the lower marine boundary layer during the First Aerosol Characterization Experiment (ACE 1). *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.* **1998**, *103*, 16369–16383.
- (45) Facchini, M. C.; Rinaldi, M.; Decesari, S.; Carbone, C.; Finessi, E.; Mircea, M.; Fuzzi, S.; Ceburnis, D.; Flanagan, R.; Nilsson, E. D.; de Leeuw, G.; Martino, M.; Woeltjen, J.; O'Dowd, C. D. Primary submicron marine aerosol dominated by insoluble organic colloids and aggregates. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **2008**, *35*, No. L17814.
- (46) Bates, T. S.; Quinn, P. K.; Frossard, A. A.; Russell, L. M.; Hakala, J.; Petaja, T.; Kulmala, M.; Covert, D. S.; Cappa, C. D.; Li, S. M.; Hayden, K. L.; Nuaaman, I.; McLaren, R.; Massoli, P.; Canagaratna, M. R.; Onasch, T. B.; Sueper, D.; Worsnop, D. R.; Keene, W. C. Measurements of ocean derived aerosol off the coast of California. *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.* **2012**, *117*.
- (47) Quinn, P. K.; Bates, T. S.; Schultz, K. S.; Coffman, D. J.; Frossard, A. A.; Russell, L. M.; Keene, W. C.; Kieber, D. J. Contribution of sea surface carbon pool to organic matter enrichment in sea spray aerosol. *Nat. Geosci.* **2014**, *7*, 228–232.
- (48) Hultin, K. A. H.; Nilsson, E. D.; Krejci, R.; Martensson, E. M.; Ehni, M.; Hagstrom, A.; de Leeuw, G. In situ laboratory sea spray production during the Marine Aerosol Production 2006 cruise on the northeastern Atlantic Ocean. *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.* **2010**, *115*, No. D06201.
- (49) Keene, W. C.; Maring, H.; Maben, J. R.; Kieber, D. J.; Pszenny, A. A. P.; Dahl, E. E.; Izaguirre, M. A.; Davis, A. J.; Long, M. S.; Zhou, X. L.; Smoydzin, L.; Sander, R. Chemical and physical characteristics of nascent aerosols produced by bursting bubbles at a model air-sea interface. *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.* **2007**, *112*, No. D21202.
- (50) Collins, D. B.; Ault, A. P.; Moffet, R. C.; Ruppel, M. J.; Cuadra-Rodriguez, L. A.; Guasco, T. L.; Corrigan, C. E.; Pedler, B. E.; Azam, F.; Aluwihare, L. I.; Bertram, T. H.; Roberts, G. C.; Grassian, V. H.; Prather, K. A. Impact of marine biogeochemistry on the chemical mixing state and cloud forming ability of nascent sea spray aerosol. *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.* **2013**, *118*, 8553–8565.
- (51) Collins, D. B.; Zhao, D. F.; Ruppel, M. J.; Laskina, O.; Grandquist, J. R.; Modini, R. L.; Stokes, M. D.; Russell, L. M.; Bertram, T. H.; Grassian, V. H.; Deane, G. B.; Prather, K. A. Direct aerosol chemical composition measurements to evaluate the physicochemical differences between controlled sea spray aerosol generation schemes. *Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss.* **2014**, *7*, 6457–6499.
- (52) Ault, A. P.; Moffet, R. C.; Baltrušaitis, J.; Collins, D. B.; Ruppel, M. J.; Cuadra-Rodriguez, L. A.; Zhao, D.; Guasco, T. L.; Ebbin, C. J.; Geiger, F. M.; Bertram, T. H.; Prather, K. A.; Grassian, V. H. Size-dependent changes in sea spray aerosol composition and properties with different seawater conditions. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2013**, *47*, 5603–5612.
- (53) Park, J. Y.; Lim, S.; Park, K. Mixing state of submicrometer sea spray particles enriched by insoluble species in bubble-bursting experiments. *J. Atmos. Ocean. Technol.* **2014**, *31*, 93–104.
- (54) Tyree, C. A.; Hellion, V. M.; Alexandrova, O. A.; Allen, J. O. Foam droplets generated from natural and artificial seawaters. *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.* **2007**, *112*, No. D12204.
- (55) Moore, M. J. K.; Furutani, H.; Roberts, G. C.; Moffet, R. C.; Gilles, M. K.; Palenik, B.; Prather, K. A. Effect of organic compounds on cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) activity of sea spray aerosol produced by bubble bursting. *Atmos. Environ.* **2011**, *45*, 7462–7469.
- (56) Hultin, K. A. H.; Krejci, R.; Pinhassi, J.; Gomez-Consarnau, L.; Martensson, E. M.; Hagstrom, A.; Nilsson, E. D. Aerosol and bacterial emissions from Baltic Seawater. *Atmos. Res.* **2011**, *99*, 1–14.
- (57) Niedermeier, D.; Wex, H.; Voigtlander, J.; Stratmann, F.; Bruggemann, E.; Kiselev, A.; Henk, H.; Heintzenberg, J. LACIS-measurements and parameterization of sea-salt particle hygroscopic growth and activation. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2008**, *8*, 579–590.
- (58) Modini, R. L.; Harris, B.; Ristovski, Z. D. The organic fraction of bubble-generated, accumulation mode sea spray aerosol (SSA). *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2010**, *10*, 2867–2877.
- (59) Schmitt-Kopplin, P.; Liger-Belair, G.; Koch, B. P.; Flerus, R.; Kattner, G.; Harir, M.; Kanawati, B.; Lucio, M.; Tziotis, D.; Hertkorn, N.; Gebefugi, I. Dissolved organic matter in sea spray: A transfer study

- from marine surface water to aerosols. *Biogeosciences* **2012**, *9*, 1571–1582.
- (60) Decesari, S.; Facchini, M. C.; Fuzzi, S.; McFiggans, G. B.; Coe, H.; Bower, K. N. The water-soluble organic component of size-segregated aerosol, cloud water and wet depositions from Jeju Island during ACE-Asia. *Atmos. Environ.* **2005**, *39*, 211–222.
- (61) O'Dowd, C. D.; de Leeuw, G. Marine aerosol production: A review of the current knowledge. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London, Ser. A* **2007**, *365*, 1753–1774.
- (62) Massel, S. R. *Ocean Waves Breaking and Marine Aerosol Fluxes*; Springer: New York, 2007.
- (63) Gantt, B.; Meskhidze, N. The physical and chemical characteristics of marine primary organic aerosol: A review. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2013**, *13*, 3979–3996.
- (64) Hedges, J. I. Global biogeochemical cycles: progress and problems. *Mar. Chem.* **1992**, *39*, 67–93.
- (65) Biller, S. J.; Schubotz, F.; Roggensack, S. E.; Thompson, A. W.; Summons, R. E.; Chisholm, S. W. Bacterial vesicles in marine ecosystems. *Science* **2014**, *343*, 183–186.
- (66) Verdugo, P. Marine microgels. *Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci.* **2012**, *4* (4), 375–400.
- (67) Verdugo, P.; Alldredge, A. L.; Azam, F.; Kirchman, D. L.; Passow, U.; Santschi, P. H. The oceanic gel phase: A bridge in the DOM-POM continuum. *Mar. Chem.* **2004**, *92*, 67–85.
- (68) Suttle, C. A. Marine viruses: Major players in the global ecosystem. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **2007**, *5*, 801–812.
- (69) Hansell, D. A.; Carlson, C. A. *Biogeochemistry of Marine Dissolved Organic Matter*, 2nd ed.; Academic Press: London, 2015.
- (70) Benner, R. In *Biogeochemistry of Marine Dissolved Organic Matter*; Hansell, D. A., Carlson, C. A., Eds.; Academic Press: San Diego, CA, 2002.
- (71) Azam, F.; Fenchel, T.; Field, J. G.; Gray, J. S.; Meyerreil, L. A.; Thingstad, F. The ecological role of water-column microbes in the sea. *Mar. Ecol.: Prog. Ser.* **1983**, *10*, 257–263.
- (72) Hollibaugh, J. T.; Azam, F. Microbial-degradation of dissolved proteins in seawater. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **1983**, *28*, 1104–1116.
- (73) Azam, F. Microbial control of oceanic carbon flux: The plot thickens. *Science* **1998**, *280*, 694–696.
- (74) Jiao, N.; Herndl, G. J.; Hansell, D. A.; Benner, R.; Kattner, G.; Wilhelm, S. W.; Kirchman, D. L.; Weinbauer, M. G.; Luo, T. W.; Chen, F.; Azam, F. Microbial production of recalcitrant dissolved organic matter: Long-term carbon storage in the global ocean. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **2010**, *8*, 593–599.
- (75) Pomeroy, L. R.; Williams, P. J. I.; Azam, F.; Hobbie, J. E. The microbial loop. *Oceanography* **2007**, *20*, 28–33.
- (76) Kujawinski, E. B. The Impact of Microbial Metabolism on Marine Dissolved Organic Matter. *Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci.* **2011**, *3* (3), 567–599.
- (77) Ogawa, H.; Amagai, Y.; Koike, I.; Kaiser, K.; Benner, R. Production of refractory dissolved organic matter by bacteria. *Science* **2001**, *292*, 917–920.
- (78) Gruber, D. F.; Simjouw, J. P.; Seitzinger, S. P.; Taghon, G. L. Dynamics and characterization of refractory dissolved organic matter produced by a pure bacterial culture in an experimental predator-prey system. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2006**, *72*, 4184–4191.
- (79) Flerus, R.; Lechtenfeld, O. J.; Koch, B. P.; McCallister, S. L.; Schmitt-Kopplin, P.; Benner, R.; Kaiser, K.; Kattner, G. A molecular perspective on the ageing of marine dissolved organic matter. *Biogeosciences* **2012**, *9*, 1935–1955.
- (80) Hansell, D. A.; Carlson, C. A. Biogeochemistry of total organic carbon and nitrogen in the Sargasso Sea: Control by convective overturn. *Deep-Sea Res., Part II* **2001**, *48*, 1649–1667.
- (81) Hansell, D. A.; Carlson, C. A.; Repeta, D. J.; Schlitzer, R. Dissolved organic matter in the ocean: New insights stimulated by a controversy. *Oceanography* **2009**, *22*, 52–61.
- (82) Hwang, J. S.; Druffel, E. R. M.; Bauer, J. E. Incorporation of aged dissolved organic carbon (DOC) by oceanic particulate organic carbon (POC): An experimental approach using natural carbon isotopes. *Mar. Chem.* **2006**, *98*, 315–322.
- (83) Lin, K. J.; Carlson, D. J. Photoinduced degradation of tracer phenols added to marine surface microlayers. *Mar. Chem.* **1991**, *33*, 9–22.
- (84) Borch, N. H.; Kirchmann, D. L. Concentration and composition of dissolved combined neutral sugars (polysaccharides) in seawater determined by HPLC-PAD. *Mar. Chem.* **1997**, *57*, 85–95.
- (85) Skoog, A.; Benner, R. Aldoses in various size fractions of marine organic matter: Implications for carbon cycling. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **1997**, *42*, 1803–1813.
- (86) Hubberton, U.; Lara, R. J.; Kattner, G. Refractory organic compounds in polar waters: Relationship between humic substances and amino acids in the Arctic and Antarctic. *J. Mar. Res.* **1995**, *53*, 137–149.
- (87) Kennicutt, M. C.; Jeffrey, L. M. Chemical and GC-MS characterization of marine dissolved lipids. *Mar. Chem.* **1981**, *10*, 367–387.
- (88) Benner, R.; Pakulski, J. D.; McCarthy, M.; Hedges, J. I.; Hatcher, P. G. Bulk chemical characteristics of dissolved organic matter in the ocean. *Science* **1992**, *255*, 1561–1564.
- (89) Kujawinski, E. B.; Del Vecchio, R.; Blough, N. V.; Klein, G. C.; Marshall, A. G. Probing molecular-level transformations of dissolved organic matter: insights on photochemical degradation and protozoan modification of DOM from electrospray ionization Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance mass spectrometry. *Mar. Chem.* **2004**, *92*, 23–37.
- (90) Cortes-Francisco, N.; Caixach, J. Molecular characterization of dissolved organic matter through a desalination process by high resolution mass spectrometry. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2013**, *47*, 9619–9627.
- (91) Passow, U.; Alldredge, A. L.; Logan, B. E. The role of particulate carbohydrate exudates in the flocculation of diatom blooms. *Deep Sea Res., Part I* **1994**, *41*, 335–357.
- (92) Alldredge, A. L.; Passow, U.; Logan, B. E. The abundance and significance of a class of large, transparent organic particles in the ocean. *Deep Sea Res., Part I* **1993**, *40*, 1131–1140.
- (93) Kovac, N.; Bajt, O.; Faganelli, J.; Sket, B.; Orel, B. Study of macroaggregate composition using FT-IR and H-1-NMR spectroscopy. *Mar. Chem.* **2002**, *78*, 205–215.
- (94) Sieburth, J. M. N.; Willis, P. J.; Johnson, K. M.; Burney, C. M.; Lavoie, D. M.; Hinga, K. R.; Caron, D. A.; French, F. W.; Johnson, P. W.; Davis, P. G. Dissolved organic-matter and heterotrophic microneuston in surface microlayers of North-Atlantic. *Science* **1976**, *194*, 1415–1418.
- (95) Obernosterer, I.; Catala, P.; Lami, R.; Caparros, J.; Ras, J.; Bricaud, A.; Dupuy, C.; van Wambeke, F.; Lebaron, P. Biochemical characteristics and bacterial community structure of the sea surface microlayer in the South Pacific Ocean. *Biogeosciences* **2008**, *5*, 693–705.
- (96) Carlson, D. J. Dissolved organic materials in surface microlayers: Temporal and spatial variability and relation to sea state. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **1983**, *28*, 415–431.
- (97) Cunliffe, M.; Upstill-Goddard, R. C.; Murrell, J. C. Microbiology of aquatic surface microlayers. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* **2011**, *35*, 233–246.
- (98) Martiny, A. C.; Vrugt, J. A.; Lomas, M. W. Concentrations and ratios of particulate organic carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus in the global ocean. *Sci. Data* **2014**, *1*, No. 140048.
- (99) van Pinxteren, M.; Muller, C.; Iinuma, Y.; Stolle, C.; Herrmann, H. Chemical characterization of dissolved organic compounds from coastal sea surface micro layers (Baltic Sea, Germany). *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2012**, *46*, 10455–10462.
- (100) Kuznetsova, M.; Lee, C.; Aller, J. Characterization of the proteinaceous matter in marine aerosols. *Mar. Chem.* **2005**, *96*, 359–377.
- (101) Kuznetsova, M.; Lee, C.; Aller, J.; Frew, N. Enrichment of amino acids in the sea surface microlayer at coastal and open ocean sites in the North Atlantic Ocean. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **2004**, *49*, 1605–1619.

- (102) Newman, J.; Branden, C. I.; Jones, T. A. Structure determination and refinement of ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase from *Synechococcus* Pcc6301. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **1993**, *49*, 548–560.
- (103) Marty, J. C.; Saliot, A.; Buatmenard, P.; Chesselet, R.; Hunter, K. A. Relationship between the lipid compositions of marine aerosols, the sea-surface microlayer, and subsurface water. *J. Geophys. Res., C: Oceans Atmos.* **1979**, *84*, 5707–5716.
- (104) DeFlaun, M. F.; Paul, J. H.; Jeffrey, W. H. Distribution and molecular-weight of dissolved dna in subtropical estuarine and oceanic environments. *Mar. Ecol.: Prog. Ser.* **1987**, *38*, 65–73.
- (105) Sakano, S.; Kamatani, A. Determination of dissolved nucleic acids in seawater by the fluorescence dye, ethidium-bromide. *Mar. Chem.* **1992**, *37*, 239–255.
- (106) Barbier, M.; Tusseau, D.; Marty, J. C.; Saliot, A. Sterols in aerosols, surface microlayer and subsurface water in the Northeastern Tropical Atlantic. *Oceanol. Acta* **1981**, *4*, 77–84.
- (107) Yang, G. P.; Tsunogai, S.; Watanabe, S. Biogeochemistry of dimethylsulfoniopropionate (DMSP) in the surface microlayer and subsurface seawater of Funka Bay, Japan. *J. Oceanogr.* **2005**, *61*, 69–78.
- (108) Zhang, J.; Yang, G. P. Chemical properties of colored dissolved organic matter in the sea-surface microlayer and subsurface water of Jiaozhou Bay, China in autumn and winter. *Acta Oceanol Sin.* **2013**, *32*, 26–39.
- (109) Aller, J. Y.; Kuznetsova, M. R.; Jahns, C. J.; Kemp, P. F. The sea surface microlayer as a source of viral and bacterial enrichment in marine aerosols. *J. Aerosol Sci.* **2005**, *36*, 801–812.
- (110) Orellana, M. V.; Matrai, P. A.; Leck, C.; Rauschenberg, C. D.; Lee, A. M.; Coz, E. Marine microgels as a source of cloud condensation nuclei in the high Arctic. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2011**, *108*, 13612–13617.
- (111) Liss, P. S.; Duce, R. A. *The Sea Surface and Global Change*; Cambridge University Press: New York, 1997.
- (112) Wurl, O.; Holmes, M. The gelatinous nature of the sea-surface microlayer. *Mar. Chem.* **2008**, *110*, 89–97.
- (113) Wurl, O.; Miller, L.; Ruttgers, R.; Vagle, S. The distribution and fate of surface-active substances in the sea-surface microlayer and water column. *Mar. Chem.* **2009**, *115*, 1–9.
- (114) Wurl, O.; Wurl, E.; Miller, L.; Johnson, K.; Vagle, S. Formation and global distribution of sea-surface microlayers. *Biogeosciences* **2011**, *8*, 121–135.
- (115) Mopper, K.; Kieber, D. J. In *Biogeochemistry of Marine Dissolved Organic Matter*; Hansell, D. A., Carlson, C. A., Eds.; Academic Press: San Diego, CA, 2002.
- (116) Leck, C.; Bigg, E. K. Biogenic particles in the surface microlayer and overlaying atmosphere in the central Arctic Ocean during summer. *Tellus, Ser. B* **2005**, *57*, 305–316.
- (117) Fuentes, E.; Coe, H.; Green, D.; de Leeuw, G.; McFiggans, G. On the impacts of phytoplankton-derived organic matter on the properties of the primary marine aerosol, Part 1: Source fluxes. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2010**, *10*, 9295–9317.
- (118) Martensson, E. M.; Nilsson, E. D.; de Leeuw, G.; Cohen, L. H.; Hansson, H. C. Laboratory simulations and parameterization of the primary marine aerosol production. *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.* **2003**, *108*, 4297.
- (119) Zabori, J.; Matisans, M.; Krejci, R.; Nilsson, E. D.; Strom, J. Artificial primary marine aerosol production: a laboratory study with varying water temperature, salinity, and succinic acid concentration. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2012**, *12*, 10709–10724.
- (120) Frossard, A. A.; Russell, L. M.; Burrows, S. M.; Elliott, S. M.; Bates, T. S.; Quinn, P. K. Sources and composition of submicron organic mass in marine aerosol particles. *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.* **2014**, *119*, 12977–13003.
- (121) Blanchard, D. C. Sea-to-air transport of surface active material. *Science* **1964**, *146*, 396.
- (122) Blanchard, D. C.; Woodcock, A. H. Bubble formation and modification in the sea and its meteorological significance. *Tellus* **1957**, *9*, 145–158.
- (123) Cipriano, R. J.; Blanchard, D. C. Bubble and aerosol spectra produced by a laboratory breaking wave. *J. Geophys. Res., C: Oceans Atmos.* **1981**, *86*, 8085–8092.
- (124) Duce, R. A.; Hoffman, E. J. Chemical fractionation at air-sea interface. *Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci.* **1976**, *4*, 187–228.
- (125) Frossard, A. A.; Russell, L. M.; Massoli, P.; Bates, T. S.; Quinn, P. K. Side-by-side comparison of four techniques explains the apparent differences in the organic composition of generated and ambient marine aerosol particles. *Aerosol Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *48*, v–x.
- (126) Resch, F.; Afeti, G. Film drop distributions from bubbles bursting in seawater. *J. Geophys. Res.: Oceans* **1991**, *96*, 10681–10688.
- (127) Sellegrí, K.; O'Dowd, C. D.; Yoon, Y. J.; Jennings, S. G.; de Leeuw, G. Surfactants and submicron sea spray generation. *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.* **2006**, *111*, No. D22215.
- (128) Stokes, M. D.; Deane, G. B.; Prather, K. A.; Bertram, T. H.; Ruppel, M. J.; Ryder, O. S.; Brady, J. M.; Zhao, D. A marine aerosol reference tank system as a breaking wave analogue. *Atmos. Meas. Tech.* **2013**, *6*, 1085–1094.
- (129) Wu, J. Jet drops produced by bubbles bursting at the surface of seawater. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.* **2002**, *32*, 3286–3290.
- (130) Cloke, J.; McKay, W. A.; Liss, P. S. Laboratory investigations into the effect of marine organic material on the sea-salt aerosol generated by bubble bursting. *Mar. Chem.* **1991**, *34*, 77–95.
- (131) Deane, G. B.; Stokes, M. D. Scale dependence of bubble creation mechanisms in breaking waves. *Nature* **2002**, *418*, 839–844.
- (132) Rapp, R. J.; Melville, W. K. Laboratory Measurements of deep-water breaking waves. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London, Ser. A* **1990**, *331*, 735–&.
- (133) Zhu, Y. G.; Oguz, H. N.; Prosperetti, A. On the mechanism of air entrainment by liquid jets at a free surface. *J. Fluid Mech.* **2000**, *404*, 151–177.
- (134) Garrett, C.; Li, M.; Farmer, D. The connection between bubble size spectra and energy dissipation rates in the upper ocean. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.* **2000**, *30*, 2163–2171.
- (135) Callaghan, A. H.; Stokes, M. D.; Deane, G. B. The effect of water temperature on air entrainment, bubble plumes, and surface foam in a laboratory breaking-wave analog. *J. Geophys. Res.: Oceans* **2014**, *119*, 7463–7482.
- (136) Medwin, H.; Breitz, N. D. Ambient and transient bubble spectral densities in quiescent seas and under spilling breakers. *J. Geophys. Res.: Oceans* **1989**, *94*, 12751–12759.
- (137) Bowyer, P. A. Video measurements of near-surface bubble spectra. *J. Geophys. Res.: Oceans* **2001**, *106*, 14179–14190.
- (138) King, S. M.; Butcher, A. C.; Roseoern, T.; Coz, E.; Lieke, K. I.; de Leeuw, G.; Nilsson, E. D.; Bilde, M. Investigating primary marine aerosol properties: CCN activity of sea salt and mixed inorganic-organic particles. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2012**, *46*, 10405–10412.
- (139) Callaghan, A. H.; Deane, G. B.; Stokes, M. D.; Ward, B. Observed variation in the decay time of oceanic whitecap foam. *J. Geophys. Res.: Oceans* **2012**, *117*, No. C09015.
- (140) Callaghan, A. H.; Deane, G. B.; Stokes, M. D. Two regimes of laboratory whitecap foam decay: bubble-plume controlled and surfactant stabilized. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.* **2013**, *43*, 1114–1126.
- (141) Aluwihare, L. I.; Repeta, D. J.; Chen, R. F. A major biopolymeric component to dissolved organic carbon in surface sea water. *Nature* **1997**, *387*, 166–169.
- (142) Hoffman, E. J.; Duce, R. A. Organic-carbon in marine atmospheric particulate matter: Concentration and particle-size distribution. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **1977**, *4*, 449–452.
- (143) Fuentes, E.; Coe, H.; Green, D.; McFiggans, G. On the impacts of phytoplankton-derived organic matter on the properties of the primary marine aerosol, Part 2: Composition, hygroscopicity and cloud condensation activity. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2011**, *11*, 2585–2602.
- (144) Casillas-Ituarte, N. N.; Callahan, K. M.; Tang, C. Y.; Chen, X. K.; Roeselova, M.; Tobias, D. J.; Allen, H. C. Surface organization of aqueous MgCl₂ and application to atmospheric marine aerosol chemistry. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2010**, *107*, 6616–6621.
- (145) Guasco, T. L.; Cuadra-Rodriguez, L. A.; Pedler, B. E.; Ault, A. P.; Collins, D. B.; Zhao, D.; Kim, M. J.; Ruppel, M. J.; Wilson, S. C.;

- Pomeroy, R. S.; Grassian, V. H.; Azam, F.; Bertram, T. H.; Prather, K. A. Transition metal associations with primary biological particles in sea spray aerosol generated in a wave channel. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2013**, *48*, 1324–1333.
- (146) Pratt, K. A.; DeMott, P. J.; French, J. R.; Wang, Z.; Westphal, D. L.; Heymsfield, A. J.; Twohy, C. H.; Prenni, A. J.; Prather, K. A. In situ detection of biological particles in cloud ice-crystals. *Nat. Geosci.* **2009**, *2*, 397–400.
- (147) Russell, S. C. Microorganism characterization by single particle mass spectrometry. *Mass Spectrom. Rev.* **2009**, *28*, 376–387.
- (148) Ault, A. P.; Zhao, D. F.; Ebbin, C. J.; Tauber, M. J.; Geiger, F. M.; Prather, K. A.; Grassian, V. H. Raman microspectroscopy and vibrational sum frequency generation spectroscopy as probes of the bulk and surface compositions of size-resolved sea spray aerosol particles. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2013**, *15*, 6206–6214.
- (149) Moffet, R. C.; Henn, T.; Laskin, A.; Gilles, M. K. Automated chemical analysis of internally mixed aerosol particles using X-ray spectromicroscopy at the carbon K-edge. *Anal. Chem.* **2010**, *82*, 7906–7914.
- (150) Hawkins, L. N.; Russell, L. M. Polysaccharides, proteins, and phytoplankton fragments: Four chemically distinct types of marine primary organic aerosol classified by single particle spectromicroscopy. *Adv. Meteorol.* **2010**, No. 612132.
- (151) Ebbin, C. J.; Ault, A. P.; Ruppel, M. J.; Ryder, O. S.; Bertram, T. H.; Grassian, V. H.; Prather, K. A.; Geiger, F. M. Size-resolved sea spray aerosol particles studied by vibrational sum frequency generation. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2013**, *117*, 6589–6601.
- (152) Voss, L. F.; Bazerbashi, M. F.; Beekman, C. P.; Hadad, C. M.; Allen, H. C. Oxidation of oleic acid at air/liquid interfaces. *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.* **2007**, *112*.
- (153) Stemmler, K.; Vlasenko, A.; Guimbaud, C.; Ammann, M. The effect of fatty acid surfactants on the uptake of nitric acid to deliquesced NaCl aerosol. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2008**, *8*, 5127–5141.
- (154) Jennings, S. G.; O'Dowd, C. D.; Cooke, W. F.; Sheridan, P. J.; Cachier, H. Volatility of elemental carbon. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **1994**, *21*, 1719–1722.
- (155) Johnson, G.; Ristovski, Z.; Morawska, L. Application of the VH-TDMA technique to coastal ambient aerosols. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **2004**, *31*, No. L16105.
- (156) Bigg, E. K.; Leck, C. The composition of fragments of bubbles bursting at the ocean surface. *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.* **2008**, *113*.
- (157) Wex, H.; Fuentes, E.; Tsagkogeorgas, G.; Voigtlander, J.; Clauss, T.; Kiselev, A.; Green, D. H.; Coe, H.; McFiggans, G.; Stratmann, F. The influence of algal exudate on the hygroscopicity of sea spray particles. *Adv. Meteorol.* **2010**, No. 365131.
- (158) Petters, M. D.; Kreidenweis, S. M. A single parameter representation of hygroscopic growth and cloud condensation nucleus activity. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2007**, *7*, 1961–1971.
- (159) Bilde, M.; Svenningsson, B. CCN activation of slightly soluble organics: The importance of small amounts of inorganic salt and particle phase. *Tellus, Ser. B* **2004**, *56*, 128–134.
- (160) Schnell, R. C.; Vali, G. Biogenic ice nuclei. I. Terrestrial and marine sources. *J. Atmos. Sci.* **1976**, *33*, 1554–1564.
- (161) Rosinski, J.; Haagenson, P. L.; Nagamoto, C. T.; Parungo, F. Nature of ice-forming nuclei in marine air masses. *J. Aerosol Sci.* **1987**, *18*, 291–8.
- (162) Schnell, R. C. Ice nuclei in seawater, fog water and marine air off coast of Nova-Scotia: Summer 1975. *J. Atmos. Sci.* **1977**, *34*, 1299–1305.
- (163) Bigg, E. K. Ice nucleus concentrations in remote areas. *J. Atmos. Sci.* **1973**, *30*, 1153–1157.
- (164) Schnell, R. C. Ice nuclei produced by laboratory cultured marine phytoplankton. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **1975**, *2*, 500–502.
- (165) Knopf, D. A.; Alpert, P. A.; Wang, B.; Aller, J. Y. Stimulation of ice nucleation by marine diatoms. *Nat. Geosci.* **2011**, *4*, 88–90.
- (166) Alpert, P. A.; Aller, J. Y.; Knopf, D. A. Initiation of the ice phase by marine biogenic surfaces in supersaturated gas and supercooled aqueous phases. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2011**, *13*, 19882–19894.
- (167) Alpert, P. A.; Aller, J. Y.; Knopf, D. A. Ice nucleation from aqueous NaCl droplets with and without marine diatoms. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2011**, *11*, 5539–5555.
- (168) Yun, Y. X.; Penner, J. E. An evaluation of the potential radiative forcing and climatic impact of marine organic aerosols as heterogeneous ice nuclei. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **2013**, *40*, 4121–4126.
- (169) Burrows, S. M.; Hoose, C.; Poschl, U.; Lawrence, M. G. Ice nuclei in marine air: Biogenic particles or dust? *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2013**, *13*, 245–267.
- (170) Mohler, O.; Georgakopoulos, D. G.; Morris, C. E.; Benz, S.; Ebert, V.; Hunsmann, S.; Saathoff, H.; Schnaiter, M.; Wagner, R. Heterogeneous ice nucleation activity of bacteria: New laboratory experiments at simulated cloud conditions. *Biogeosciences* **2008**, *5*, 1425–1435.
- (171) Murray, B. J.; O'Sullivan, D.; Atkinson, J. D.; Webb, M. E. Ice nucleation by particles immersed in supercooled cloud droplets. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2012**, *41*, 6519–6554.
- (172) O'Sullivan, D.; Murray, B. J.; Malkin, T. L.; Whale, T. F.; Umo, N. S.; Atkinson, J. D.; Price, H. C.; Bautista, K. J.; Browse, J.; Webb, M. E. Ice nucleation by fertile soil dusts: Relative importance of mineral and biogenic components. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2014**, *14*, 1853–1867.
- (173) Atkinson, J. D.; Murray, B. J.; Woodhouse, M. T.; Whale, T. F.; Bautista, K. J.; Carslaw, K. S.; Dobbie, S.; O'Sullivan, D.; Malkin, T. L. The importance of feldspar for ice nucleation by mineral dust in mixed-phase clouds. *Nature* **2013**, *498*, 355–358; *500*, 490.
- (174) Blanchard, D. C.; Syzdek, L. D. Concentration of bacteria in jet drops from bursting bubbles. *J. Geophys. Res.* **1972**, *77*, 5087.
- (175) Sullivan, R. C.; Petters, M. D.; DeMott, P. J.; Kreidenweis, S. M.; Wex, H.; Niedermeier, D.; Hartmann, S.; Clauss, T.; Stratmann, F.; Reitz, P.; Schneider, J.; Sierau, B. Irreversible loss of ice nucleation active sites in mineral dust particles caused by sulphuric acid condensation. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2010**, *10*, 11471–11487.
- (176) Niedermeier, D.; Hartmann, S.; Clauss, T.; Wex, H.; Kiselev, A.; Sullivan, R. C.; DeMott, P. J.; Petters, M. D.; Reitz, P.; Schneider, J.; Mikhailov, E.; Sierau, B.; Stetzer, O.; Reimann, B.; Bundke, U.; Shaw, R. A.; Buchholz, A.; Mentel, T. F.; Stratmann, F. Experimental study of the role of physicochemical surface processing on the IN ability of mineral dust particles. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2011**, *11*, 11131–11144.
- (177) Sullivan, R. C.; Minambres, L.; DeMott, P. J.; Prenni, A. J.; Carrico, C. M.; Levin, E. J. T.; Kreidenweis, S. M. Chemical processing does not always impair heterogeneous ice nucleation of mineral dust particles. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **2010**, *37*, No. L24805.
- (178) Monahan, E. C.; O'Muircheartaigh, I. G. Whitecaps and the passive remote-sensing of the ocean surface. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* **1986**, *7*, 627–642.
- (179) Gong, S. L. A parameterization of sea-salt aerosol source function for sub- and super-micron particles. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles.* **2003**, *17*.
- (180) Jaegle, L.; Quinn, P. K.; Bates, T. S.; Alexander, B.; Lin, J. T. Global distribution of sea salt aerosols: new constraints from in situ and remote sensing observations. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2011**, *11*, 3137–3157.
- (181) O'Dowd, C. D.; Langmann, B.; Varghese, S.; Scannell, C.; Cebrunis, D.; Facchini, M. C. A combined organic-inorganic sea-spray source function. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **2008**, *35*, No. L01801.
- (182) Vignati, E.; Facchini, M. C.; Rinaldi, M.; Scannell, C.; Cebrunis, D.; Sciare, J.; Kanakidou, M.; Myriokefalitakis, S.; Dentener, F.; O'Dowd, C. D. Global scale emission and distribution of sea-spray aerosol: Sea-salt and organic enrichment. *Atmos. Environ.* **2010**, *44*, 670–677.
- (183) Gantt, B.; Meskhidze, N.; Facchini, M. C.; Rinaldi, M.; Cebrunis, D.; O'Dowd, C. D. Wind speed dependent size-resolved parameterization for the organic mass fraction of sea spray aerosol. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2011**, *11*, 8777–8790.
- (184) Long, M. S.; Keene, W. C.; Kieber, D. J.; Erickson, D. J.; Maring, H. A sea-state based source function for size- and

composition-resolved marine aerosol production. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2011**, *11*, 1203–1216.

(185) Burrows, S. M.; Ogunro, O.; Frossard, A. A.; Russell, L. M.; Rasch, P. J.; Elliott, S. A physically-based framework for modelling the organic fractionation of sea spray aerosol from bubble film Langmuir equilibria. *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.* **2014**, *14*, 5375–5443.

(186) O'Dowd, C. D.; Smith, M. H. Physicochemical properties of aerosols over the Northeast Atlantic: Evidence for wind-speed-related submicron sea-salt aerosol production. *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.* **1993**, *98*, 1137–1149.

(187) Nilsson, E. D.; Martensson, E. M.; van Ekeren, J. S.; de Leeuw, G.; Moerman, M.; O'Dowd, C. Primary marine aerosol emissions: Size resolved eddy covariance measurements with estimates of the sea salt and organic carbon fractions. *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.* **2007**, *7*, 13345–13400.

(188) Bates, T. S.; Coffman, D. J.; Covert, D. S.; Quinn, P. K. Regional marine boundary layer aerosol size distributions in the Indian, Atlantic and Pacific Oceans: A comparison of INDOEX measurements with ACE-1 and ACE-2, and Aerosols99. *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.* **2002**, *107*, 8026.

(189) Lana, A.; Bell, T. G.; Simo, R.; Vallina, S. M.; Ballabrera-Poy, J.; Kettle, A. J.; Dachs, J.; Bopp, L.; Saltzman, E. S.; Stefels, J.; Johnson, J. E.; Liss, P. S. An updated climatology of surface dimethylsulfide concentrations and emission fluxes in the global ocean. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles.* **2011**, *25*, No. GB003850.

(190) Bates, T. S.; Lamb, B. K.; Guenther, A. B.; Dignon, J.; Stoiber, R. E. Sulfur emissions to the atmosphere from natural sources. *J. Atmos. Chem.* **1992**, *14*, 315–337.

(191) Bates, T. S.; Calhoun, J. A.; Quinn, P. K. Variations in the concentration ratio of methane-sulfonate to sulfate in marine aerosol particles over the South Pacific Ocean. *J. Geophys. Res.* **1992**, *97*, 9859–9865.

(192) Bates, T. S.; Kelly, K. C.; Johnson, J. E.; Gammon, R. H. A reevaluation of the open source of methane to the atmosphere. *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.* **1996**, *101*, 6919–6930.

(193) Facchini, M. C.; De Cesari, S.; Rinaldi, M.; Carbone, C.; Finessi, E.; Mircea, M.; Fuzzi, S.; Moretti, F.; Tagliavini, E.; Ceburnis, D.; O'Dowd, C. D. Important source of marine secondary organic aerosol from biogenic amines. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2008**, *42*, 9116–9121.

(194) Müller, C.; Iinuma, Y.; Karstensen, J.; van Pinxteren, D.; Lehmann, S.; Gnauk, T.; Herrmann, H. Seasonal variation of aliphatic amines in marine sub-micrometer particles at the Cape Verde islands. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2009**, *9*, 9587–9597.

(195) Shaw, S. L.; Gantt, B.; Meskhidze, N. Production and emissions of marine isoprene and monoterpenes: A review. *Adv. Meteorol.* **2010**, No. 408696.