center with three meridionally situated PMe, ligands, a phenyl ligand, and what can best be described as a substituted  $\sigma$ -butadienyl ligand. While there appears to be no group in the sixth coordination site, one of the methyl groups from a tert-butyl fragment on the butadienyl chain sits over that sixth site at a distance of 2.782 Å, suggesting that there is an agostic Ir-H-C interaction occurring at that site.8 While hydrogen atoms were not located in this structure, further support for an agostic interaction was obtained from low-temperature <sup>1</sup>H NMR.<sup>9</sup>

We propose that 2 is formed by the sequence of reactions shown in Scheme I. Following chloride removal to generate a coordinatively unsaturated iridium center, the tert-butylacetylene "inserts" into the Ir-H bond to form an iridium-vinyl intermediate. A second equivalent of tert-butylacetylene adds to this still coordinatively unsaturated complex.10 The second tert-butylacetylene then rearranges to a tert-butylvinylidene group. 11 The final product is formed by migration of the vinyl group to the  $\alpha$ -carbon of the vinylidene moiety with the agostic interaction between the iridium and one of the tert-butyl methyl hydrogens stabilizing the final product. Several deuterium labeling experiments fully support this mechanism. If 1 with hydride replaced by deuteride is allowed to react with 2 equiv of 'BuC=CH, the deuterium is found exclusively at postion 4 (refer to the numbering used for 2 in Scheme I). Reaction between hydrido 1 and 2 equiv of 'BuC≡CD gave complementary results, i.e., D located at positions 1 and 3. When hydride 1 is allowed to react sequentially with 1 equiv of 'BuC=CH followed by 1 equivalent of 'BuC=CD after a short time delay, the deuterium is predominantly incorporated at position 1.

The mechanism shown in Scheme I suggests that this iridium system may allow for further additions leading to the oligomerization of alkynes. Following the vinyl to vinylidene migration, the complex is still formally a coordinatively unsaturated metal vinyl complex in the absence of the stabilizing agostic interaction. A third equivalent of alkyne could then add and rearrange to a vinylidene, and the sequence could continue building up oligomers of alkynes with a branched structure. Preliminary information suggests that this may indeed be possible.12

In this work we have demonstrated an unusual reaction involving the coupling of two alkynes on iridiun via a vinyl to vinylidene migration pathway. The iridium complex isolated from the reaction of tert-butylacetylene is an unusual butadienyl compound stabilized by a  $\delta$ -agostic interaction. We believe this work lays the foundation for future investigations into developing the vinyl to vinylidene migration into a synthetically useful reaction with an eye toward the synthesis and characterization of novel branched acetylene oligomers and polymers.

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to the Thomas F. Jeffress and Kate Miller Jeffress Memorial Trust and to the Exxon Education Foundation for financial support of this work and to Johnson-Matthey and Engelhard for iridium salts. We are also grateful to the reviewers for calling some important references to our attention.

(7) X-ray data for 2: orthorhombic space group Pbac, a=11.658 (4) Å, b=18.041 (4) Å, c=33.731 (8) Å with Z=8. A total of 7449 independent reflections were collected (3.5-55° in 20) with 4878 observed  $(F>3.0\sigma(F))$ ; 344 variables refined to yield R = 6.2% and  $R_w = 6.28\%$  with goodness-of-fit = 1.77. Full details are available in the supplementary material.

(8) Brookhart, M.; Green, M. L. H. J. Organomet. Chem. 1983, 250, 395. (9) On cooling, the broad tert-butyl singlet found at δ 0.53 in the H NMR spectrum broadens further and collapses into the base line at -20 °C. On further cooling (-90 °C is the lowest we measured), two new resonances grow at  $\delta$  -0.7 and 1.1 ppm. This indicates that we are freezing out the process that averages the three tert-butyl methyl groups, but rotation of the methyl coordinated to the iridium is still a fast process at -90 °C

(10) Examples of iridium with both vinyl and  $\eta^2$ -alkyne ligands have been observed: (a) Baddley, W. H.; Fraser, M. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 3661. (b) McClure, G. L.; Baddley, W. H. J. Organomet. Chem. 1971, 27, 155. (c) Baddley, W. H.; Tupper, G. B. J. Organomet. Chem. 1974, 67, C16. (11) The rearrangement of a coordinated alkyne to a vinylidene is a

well-documented process: Bruce, M. I.; Swincer, A. G. Adv. Organomet. Chem. 1983, 22, 59 and references therein.

(12) Treatment of 1 with TI[PF<sub>6</sub>] in the presence of acetylene leads to a rapid reaction to produce a dark, purple oily material which has yet to be fully characterized but appears to be a branched acetylene oligomer.

Supplementary Material Available: Tables 1-5 including a structure determination summary, atomic coordinates, bond lengths and angles, and anisotropic displacement coefficients for 2 (5 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

## Deuterium Isotope Effects in Gas-Phase Reactions of Alkyl Halides: Distinguishing E2 and S<sub>N</sub>2 Pathways

Scott Gronert, Charles H. DePuy, and Veronica M. Bierbaum\*

> Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado 80309-0215 Received November 30, 1990

While E2 and S<sub>N</sub>2 reactions of alkyl halides are among the most studied of all chemical processes in solution, investigations of their gas-phase ion-molecule counterparts have been greatly hindered by the difficulty of distinguishing between the two pathways.<sup>1</sup> This is the case because in most gas-phase experiments only the ions are detected, and halide is the exclusive ionic product of both reactions (eq 1).

$$CH_{3}CH_{2}CH_{2}X + Nu^{-} - \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} S_{N^{2}} \\ \\ E_{2} \\ \\ \end{array}} CH_{3}CH_{2}CH_{2}Nu + X^{-} \qquad (1a)$$

We report that with the proper choice of nucleophiles  $S_N 2$  and E2 reactions of halides can be distinguished in the gas phase, as in solution, by their differing deuterium kinetic isotope effects,<sup>2</sup> the former showing an inverse secondary  $k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D}$  as small as 0.76 and the latter a normal primary  $k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D}$  as large as 4.7.

What little information is available in the literature about this competition is conflicting. By using very difficult neutral detection techniques two groups of workers have come to rather different conclusions in what seem to be similar systems. Lieder and Brauman<sup>3</sup> observed substitution products in the reaction of fluoride ion with ethyl chloride in an ion cyclotron resonance spectrometer, whereas Jones and Ellison<sup>4</sup> detected only the elimination product, propylene, in the reaction of methoxide ion with n-propyl bromide in a flowing afterglow apparatus. When the leaving group is not a halide ion, the different paths can sometimes be distinguished by the formation of different product ions. For example, ethers,<sup>5</sup> formates, <sup>6</sup> nitrites, <sup>7</sup> and cyclic sulfides <sup>8</sup> have been shown to undergo elimination rather than substitution, even when the leaving group is primary, while sulfites9 lead to nearly equal amounts of substitution and elimination.

Only a few kinetic isotope effects have heretofore been detected in gas-phase ion-molecule chemistry, 5c,10 primarily because these reactions are so rapid, with measurable rate constants in the range  $10^{-9}-10^{-13}$  cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> ( $10^{8}-10^{12}$  L mol<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>). Most alkyl halides react with nucleophiles at or near the collision rate, making

Current address: Department of Chemistry, San Francisco State University, San Francisco, CA 94132

<sup>(1)</sup> Riveros, J. M.; Jose, S. M.; Takashima, I. Adv. Phys. Org. Chem. 1985, 21, 197.

<sup>(2)</sup> Melander, L.; Saunders, J. H., Jr. Reaction Rates of Isotopic Molecules; John Wiley and Sons: New York, 1980.

<sup>(3)</sup> Lieder, C. A.; Brauman, J. I. Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Phys. 1975,

<sup>(4)</sup> Jones, M. E.; Ellison, G. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 1645.
(5) (a) DePuy, C. H.; Bierbaum, V. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 5034.
(b) DePuy, C. H.; Beedle, E. C.; Bierbaum, V. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 6483.
(c) de Koning, L. J.; Nibbering, N. M. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 1715

<sup>(6)</sup> Faigle, J. F. G.; Isolani, P. C.; Riveros, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 2049.

<sup>(7) (</sup>a) King, G. K.; Marioq, M. M.; Bierbaum, V. M.; DePuy, C. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 7133. (b) Noest, A. J.; Nibbering, N. M. M. Adv. Mass Spectrom. 1980, 8, 227.

<sup>(8)</sup> Bartmess, J. E.; Hays, R. L.; Khatri, H. N.; Misra, R. N.; Wilson, S. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 4746.
(9) Lum, R. C.; Grabowski, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 8568.
(10) Bierbaum, V. M.; Filley, J.; DePuy, C. H.; Jarrold, M. F.; Bowers, M. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 2818.

Table I. Rate Coefficients<sup>a</sup> and Isotope Effects for Reactions of Alkyl Halides with Nucleophiles

reagent: PA <sup>b</sup> (kcal mol <sup>-1</sup> )	CF <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> O <sup>-</sup> 362	H <sub>2</sub> NS <sup>-</sup> 362	CF <sub>3</sub> CF <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> O <sup>-</sup> 357	HS- 351	(CF <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> CHO <sup>-</sup> 345	Cl <sup>-</sup> 333	Br <sup>-</sup> 323
chlorides							
MeCl	$2.20 \pm 0.02 (-10)$	$1.46 \pm 0.07 (-10)$	$1.01 \pm 0.02 (-10)$	$1.21 \pm 0.03 (-11)$			
EtC1	$2.48 \pm 0.05 (-10)$	$3.81 \pm 0.14 (-11)$	$5.88 \pm 0.15 (-11)$	nrc			
i-PrCl	$4.31 \pm 0.19 (-10)$	nr	$8.46 \pm 0.36 (-11)$	nr			
$i$ -PrCl- $d_6$	$1.88 \pm 0.05 (-10)$	nr	$1.79 \pm 0.09 (-11)$	nr			
$k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D}$	$2.3 \pm 0.1$		$4.7 \pm 0.3$				
t-BuCl	$6.07 \pm 0.35 (-10)$	nr	$1.69 \pm 0.05 (-10)$	nr			
t-BuCl-do	$2.85 \pm 0.09 (-10)$	nr	$5.14 \pm 0.33 (-11)$	nr			
$k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D}$	$2.1 \pm 0.1$		$3.3 \pm 0.2$				
bromides							
MeBr	$8.93 \pm 0.20 (-10)$	$7.04 \pm 0.03 (-10)$	$8.48 \pm 0.21 (-10)$	$3.17 \pm 0.10 (-10)$	$2.74 \pm 0.13 (-11)$	$2.72 \pm 0.14 (-11)$	
MeBr-d <sub>3</sub>			$8.58 \pm 0.18  (-10)$	$3.27 \pm 0.03 (-10)$		$3.40 \pm 0.19 (-11)$	
$k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D}$		$1.04 \pm 0.03$	$0.99 \pm 0.04$	$0.97 \pm 0.03$	$0.87 \pm 0.04$	$0.80 \pm 0.06$	
EtBr	$1.24 \pm 0.04 (-9)$	$9.05 \pm 0.16 (-10)$	$9.86 \pm 0.42 (-10)$	$1.95 \pm 0.13 (-10)$			
EtBr-ds	$1.13 \pm 0.04 (-9)$	$9.07 \pm 0.29 (-10)$	$8.52 \pm 0.29 (-10)$	$1.91 \pm 0.05 (-10)$			
$k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D}$	$1.10 \pm 0.06$	1.00   0.04	$1.16 \pm 0.06$	$1.02 \pm 0.07$	$1.17 \pm 0.08$		
i-PrBr	$1.39 \pm 0.04 (-9)$	$3.49 \pm 0.09 (-10)$	$1.07 \pm 0.02 (-9)$	$2.05 \pm 0.05 (-12)$	$4.24 \pm 0.17 (-12)$		
i-PrBr-d <sub>6</sub>	1.16 • 0.03 (-9)	$3.34 \pm 0.03 (-10)$	$8.14 \pm 0.41 (-10)$	$2.25 \pm 0.11 (-12)$			
$k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D}$	$1.20 \pm 0.05$	$1.04 \pm 0.03$	$1.32 \pm 0.07$	$0.91 \pm 0.05$	>1.9		
t-BuBr	$1.33 \pm 0.01 (-9)$	$1.30 \pm 0.05 (-10)$	$1.16 \pm 0.08 (-9)$	nr			
iodides	,	(,	( . /				
MeI				$7.44 \pm 0.14 (-10)$		$1.66 \pm 0.03 (-10)$	$2.89 \pm 0.09 (-11)$
$MeI-d_3$				$7.35 \pm 0.20 (-10)$		$1.98 \pm 0.02 (-10)$	$3.78 \pm 0.08 (-11)$
$k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D}$				$1.01 \pm 0.03$		$0.84 \pm 0.02$	$0.76 \pm 0.03$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Units are cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. Errors are 1 standard deviation and reflect relative accuracy. Absolute errors, approximately ±20%. <sup>b</sup> Proton affinity of the nucleophile. <sup>c</sup>No reaction.

isotope effects undetectable. We have recently completed a comprehensive survey of the rates of reaction of primary, secondary, and tertiary chlorides and bromides with a variety of nucleophiles in the gas phase. From this study we were able to identify nucleophiles that react relatively inefficiently, thereby giving isotope effects an opportunity to manifest themselves. We also came to the unexpected conclusion that, while sulfur and oxygen anions of the same basicity react at essentially the same rate by the  $S_N2$  mechanism, oxygen anions are much more efficient than sulfur anions in inducing E2 reactions. For example, as shown in Table I, methyl chloride, which must undergo substitution, reacts somewhat more rapidly with  $H_2NS^-$  than with  $CF_3CF_2C-H_2O^-$ . In contrast, isopropyl and *tert*-butyl chloride fail to react with  $H_2NS^-$  but react readily with the alkoxide. We therefore concluded that the latter reactions are eliminations.

These conclusions are confirmed by the isotope effects reported in this paper. As Table I shows, methyl bromide and iodide show either no isotope effect or an inverse secondary isotope effect with nucleophiles of all types. For example, CD<sub>3</sub>Br reacts with Cl<sup>-</sup> 1.25 times more rapidly than does CH<sub>3</sub>Br. Thus the zero point energy difference between hydrogen and deuterium is greater in the transition state than it is in the ground state. These results are consistent with those reported for S<sub>N</sub>2 reactions in solution.<sup>12</sup> In contrast, ethyl, isopropyl, and tert-butyl chlorides and bromides show appreciable to large isotope effects with appropriate nucleophiles whose attacking atom is oxygen, but no isotope effect with those nucleophiles with sulfur as the attacking atom. For example, isopropyl chloride reacts 4.7 times more rapidly with pentafluoropropoxide ion than does its hexadeuterio analogue, and tert-butyl chloride reacts 3.3 times more rapidly than does tertbutyl chloride- $d_9$ . We have not found a sulfur nucleophile that reacts with either of these chlorides at a measurable rate. However, a comparison among sulfur and oxygen anions can be made using alkyl bromides as substrates. As predicted, sulfur anions react with ethyl and isopropyl bromide with no isotope effect or with a slight inverse isotope effect while oxygen anions react with these same substrates with appreciable normal isotope effects. The most reasonable explanation is that the former react by S<sub>N</sub>2 and the latter mainly by E2 reactions.

The observation of such relatively large isotope effects in such rapid processes serves to emphasize yet again how the unique

energetics of gas-phase ion-molecule interactions can give rise simultaneously to fast yet selective reactions. The ability to measure such subtle effects in the solvent-free environment of the gas phase should allow more precise computational modeling and perhaps give rise to a better understanding of the nature of isotope effects, particularly those secondary ones associated with the  $S_{\rm N}2$  reaction.<sup>13</sup>

Acknowledgment. We gratefully acknowledge support of this work by the National Science Foundation under Grants CHE-8815459 and CHE-8815446 and discussions with Prof. Saul Wolfe.

## Photoinduced Porphyrin-to-Quinone Electron Transfer across Oligospirocyclic Spacers

Spencer Knapp,\*,¹a T. G. Murali Dhar,¹a Jennifer Albaneze,¹a Steve Gentemann,¹b Joseph A. Potenza,\*,¹a Dewey Holten,\*,¹b and Harvey J. Schugar\*,¹a

Departments of Chemistry, Rutgers University New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903 Washington University, St. Louis, Missouri 63130 Received September 17, 1990

Structural determinations of bacterial photosynthetic reaction centers<sup>2,3</sup> have prompted many studies of photoinduced intramolecular electron transfer (ET) in models having linked porphyrins,<sup>4,5</sup> porphyrin–quinones (PQ)<sup>5,6</sup> and other donor–acceptor

<sup>(11)</sup> DePuy, C. H.; Gronert, S.; Mullin, A.; Bierbaum, V. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 8650.

<sup>(12) (</sup>a) Axelsson, B. S.; Matsson, O.; Langstrom, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 6661. (b) Westaway, K. C. In Isotopes in Organic Chemistry; Buncel, E., Lee, C. C., Eds.; Elsevier: New York, 1987; Vol. 7, p 275.

<sup>(13)</sup> For recent theoretical analyses of secondary isotope effects in S<sub>N</sub>2 transition states, see: Zhao, X. G.; Tucker, S. C.; Truhlar, D. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 826. Wolfe, S.; Kim, C.-K. J. Am. Chem. Soc., submitted.

<sup>(1) (</sup>a) Rutgers University. (b) Washington University. (2) Deisenhofer, J.; Michel, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1989, 28, 829-47.

<sup>(3) (</sup>a) Feher, G.; Allen, J. P.; Okamura, M. Y.; Rees, D. C. Nature 1989, 339, 111-6. (b) Norris, J. R.; Schiffer, M. Chem. Eng. News 1990, 68(31), 22-37.

<sup>(4) (</sup>a) Sessler, J. L.; Johnson, M. R.; Lin, T.-Y.; Creager, S. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 3659-61. (b) Osuka, A.; Maruyama, K.; Mataga, N.; Asahi, T.; Yamazaki, I.; Tamai, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 4958-9. (c) Johnson, S. G.; Small, G. J.; Johnson, D. G.; Svec, W. A.; Wasielewski, M. R. J. Phys. Chem. 1989, 93, 5437-44.