See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/231437922

Rate Enhancement of the Reaction of HCl with ClONO2 by Ions: Implications for the Mechanisms of Stratospherically Important Heterogeneous Reactions

ARTICLE in JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN CHEMICAL SOCIETY · JULY 1994

Impact Factor: 12.11 · DOI: 10.1021/ja00094a069

CITATIONS READS

33 9

3 AUTHORS:



Jane M Van Doren

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services



SEE PROFILE



A. A. Viggiano

Air Force Research Laboratory

435 PUBLICATIONS 6,865 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Robert A. Morris

Atmospheric and Environmental Research, Inc.

143 PUBLICATIONS 2,608 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

AD-A284 582

CUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No 0704-0188

nation is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing immediating and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this purgen estimate or any other coducting finis burden to Washington responses previews, Directorate for internation Operations and Reports. 02, and to the office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0198), Washington, IC 2050.

2. REPORT DATE August 19 1994 3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Reprint

4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE 5. FUNDING NUMBERS Rate Enhancement of the Reaction of HCl with CIONO2 by Ions: Implications for the Mechanisms of Stratospherically Important Heterogeneous Reactions

PE 61102F PR 2303 TA GJ

WU 01

6. AUTHOR(S)

Jane M. Van Doren*, A.A. Viggiano, Robert A. Morris

3. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER

17. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Phillips Lab/GPID

29 Randolph Road Hanscom AFB, MA 01731-3010

PL-TR-94-2223

ON EPONGONING HONITORING AGENCY REPORT YUMEEN

19. SPONSORING MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND AC

*Department of Chemistry, College of the Holy Cross, Worcester, Massachusetts 01610-2395 Reprinted from the Journal of the American Chemical Society, 1994, 116

Approved for public release; Distribution unlimited

We report the first observation of the ion-assisted neutral reaction of HCl with ClONO2. These two species react efficiently in the gas phase to form nitric acid and chlorine when one or the other is clustered to an NO₃- core ion:

> NO_3 -HCl + ClONO₂ \rightarrow NO_3 -HNO₃ + Cl₂ (1)

> NO_3 -CIONO₂ + HCl $\rightarrow NO_3$ -HNO₃ + Cl₂

DTIE QUAT

14. SUBJECT TERMS Rate Constant, Chlorine Nitrate, Ion Molecule			15. NUMBER OF PAGES
			2
			16. PRICE CODE
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT
UNCLASSIFIED	UNCLASSIFIED	UNCLASSIFIED	SAR

Reprinted from the Journal of the American Chemical Society, 1994, 116.

Copyright © 1994 by the American Chemical Society and reprinted by permission of the copyright owner.

Jane M. Van Doren

Department of Chemistry, College of the Holy Cross Worcester, Massachusetts 01610-2395

A. A. Viggiano and Robert A. Morris

Phillips Laboratory/GPID Hanscom AFB, Massachusetts 01731-3010

Received March 14, 1994

We report the first observation of the ion-assisted neutral reaction of HCl with ClONO2. These two species react efficiently in the gas phase to form nitric acid and chlorine when one or the other is clustered to an NO3- core ion:

$$NO_3$$
-HCl + ClONO₂ $\rightarrow NO_3$ -HNO₃ + Cl₂ (1)

$$NO_3$$
-CloNO₂ + HCl $\rightarrow NO_3$ -HNO₃ + Cl₂ (2)

Utilizing a selected ion flow tube, 1,2 we find that reaction 1 proceeds with a rate coefficient of 1.8 × 10⁻⁹ cm³ s⁻¹ at 233 K and that reaction 2 proceeds with a rate coefficient of 1.5×10^{-9} cm³ s⁻¹ at 283 K, values near the collision rate coefficient.³ Minor (≤4%) channels involving ligand switching were also observed. In contrast, the rate coefficient for the neutral species HCl and ClONO₂ is small,⁴ <1 × 10^{-20} cm³ s⁻¹. Few reactions of this type, where the core ion enhances the rate of the reaction without apparently being chemically transformed, have been reported. 5.6 All previous studies have involved reactions of neutral species clustered to alkali positive ions. Reactions 1 and 2 are the fastest ion-assisted reactions studied to date and are the first instances where the effect of the reactants' organization, i.e., which neutral is initially clustered to the ion, could be probed.

Rate enhancement of the reaction of HCl with ClONO2 also occurs on a variety of water-based surfaces. 4,7-13 In fact, the efficient heterogeneous reaction of HCl with ClONO2 on polar stratospheric clouds plays an important role in the catalytic destruction of polar stratospheric ozone.14 We find that the efficiency of the ion-assisted reaction is similar to that of the heterogeneous reaction, ~50% versus ~30%, respectively.4

Also of importance in the chemistry of the polar stratosphere is the heterogeneous reaction of ClONO2 with H2O to form HOCl + HNO₃. $^{4,7-13}$ This reaction is inefficient in the gas phase⁴ (k $< 2 \times 10^{-21}$ cm³ s⁻¹) but is very efficient in the presence of a variety of surfaces ($\sim 1-100\%$).^{4,7-13}

We investigated the reactions

$$NO_3$$
-ClONO₂ + H₂O \rightarrow NO₃-HNO₃ + HOCl (3)

$$NO_3^- H_2O + CIONO_2 + NO_3^- HNO_3 + HOC1$$
 (4a)

$$\rightarrow$$
 NO₃-ClONO₂ + H₂O (4b)

In contrast to the reaction with HCl, the NO₃-ion-assisted neutral reaction of ClONO₂ with H₂O to form HOCl + HNO₃ was found to be slow, i.e., the rate coefficients for both reactions 3 and 4a are $<1 \times 10^{-11}$ cm³ s⁻¹. Reaction 4a is exothermic (-39 kJ mol-1).15 The observations of reactions 2 and 4b place limits on the cluster bond energy of NO₃-ClONO₂: 61 kJ mol⁻¹ ≤ $D(NO_3-ClONO_2) \le 172 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$. These limits are not sufficient to determine whether reaction 3 is thermodynamically allowed. Ligand switching was observed in the reaction of NO₃-H₂O with ClONO₂ with a near collisional rate coefficient of 1.8×10^{-9} cm³ s-1 at 232 K.

While ClONO₂ and H₂O were not observed to react when either of these neutrals was clustered to NO₃-, they appear to react when the "core" ion is H+, i.e., when the reactant ion is H₃O⁺. Specifically, we observe the formation of protonated nitric acid,3 known to have the structure NO2+-H2O:16,17

$$H_3O^+ + ClONO_2 \rightarrow NO_2^+ \cdot H_2O + HOCl (63\%)$$
 (5a)

$$\rightarrow NO_2^+ \cdot HOCl + H_2O (31\%)$$
 (5b)

$$\rightarrow NO_2^+ + (HOCl H_2O) (6\%)$$
 (5c)

NO₂+·HOCl and NO₂+ are also formed. These products are consistent with the expected neutral reaction products (HOCl + HNO₃), as discussed below. Reaction 5c is approximately thermoneutral; formation of separated HOCl and H2O neutrals would be 40 kJ/mol endothermic. 15 Unlike reactions 1 and 2, however, the reactant core ion is not maintained in reaction 5. We find the rate coefficient for reaction 5 to be 2.9×10^{-9} cm³ s-1 at 233 K. Water clusters of H₃O+ react inefficiently if at all $(k \le 1.3 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ at } 233 \text{ K for } \text{H}_3\text{O}^+(\text{H}_2\text{O}) + \text{ClONO}_2$ where the observed reactivity may be due entirely or in part to an HNO₃ impurity in the ClONO₂; no reaction was observed for $H_3O^+(H_2O)_{2,3} + CIONO_2).$

The ion NO₂+·HOCl arises from proton transfer (structure is given in ref 18) and indicates that the proton affinity of ClONO₂ is >697 kJ mol-1. Calculations by Lee and Rice18 yield a value of 740 \pm 13 kJ mol⁻¹, consistent with our results.

⁽¹⁾ Viggiano, A. A.; Morris, R. A.; Dale, F.; Paulson, J. F.; Giles, K.; Smith, D.; Su, T. J. Chem. Phys. 1990, 93, 1149.
(2) Smith, D.; Adams, N. G. Adv. At. Mol. Phys. 1988, 24, 1.
(3) ClONO₂ sample was held at 233 K (where vapor pressure of HNO3

impurity is negligible), and vapor was added to the flow tube. A minor HNO3

impurity (~10%) was present in the neutral flow due to reactions with trace water on inlet line

⁽⁴⁾ DeMore, W. B.; Sander, S. P.; Golden, D. M.; Hampson, R. F.; Kurylo, M. J.; Howard, C. J.; Ravishankara, A. R.; Kolb, C. E.; Molina, M. J. Chemical Kinetics and Photochemical Data for Use in Stratospheric Modeling, Evaluation No. 10. NASA, Jet Propulsion Laboratory: Pasadena, CA, Publ. 92-20, 1992 see also references therein.

⁽⁵⁾ Rowe, B. R.; Viggiano, A. A.; Fehsenfeld, F. C.; Fahey, D. W.; Ferguson, E. E. J. Chem. Phys. 1982, 76, 742.

⁽⁶⁾ Viggiano, A. A.; Deakyne, C. A.; Dale, F.; Paulson, J. F. J. Chem. Phys. 1987, 87, 6544.

⁽⁷⁾ Hanson, D. R.; Ravishankara, A. R. J. Geophys. Res. 1993, 98, 22931. (8) Zhang, R.; Jayne, J. T.; Molina, M. J. J. Phys. Chem. 1994, 98, 867.
(9) Molina, M. J.; Zhang, R.; Wooldridge, P. J.; McMahon, J. R.; Kim,

J. E.; Chang, H. Y; Beyer, K. D. Science 1993, 261, 1418. (10) Abbatt, J. P.; Beyer, K. D.; Fucaloro, A. F.; McMahon, J. R.;
 Wooldridge, P. J., Zhang, R.; Molina, M. J. J. Geophys. Res. 1992, 97, 15819.
 (11) Abbatt, J. P.; Molina, M. J. J. Phys. Chem. 1992, 96, 7674.
 (12) Chu, L. T.; Leu, M. T.; Keyser, L. F. J. Phys. Chem. 1993, 97, 12798.

⁽¹³⁾ Hanson, D. R.; Ravishankara, A. R. J. Phys. Chem. 1992, 96, 2682.

⁽¹⁴⁾ Poole, L. R.; Jones, R. L.; Kurylo, M. J.; Wahner, A. In World Meteorological Organization Global Ozone Research and Monitoring Project. Report No. 25; Albritton, D. L., Watson, R. T., Solomon, S., Hampson, R. F., Ormond, F., Eds.; World Meteorological Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 1991; Chapter 3. For more general references see: McElroy, M. B.; Salawitch, R. J. Science 1989, 243, 763. Toon, O. B.; Turco, R. P. Sci. Am. 1991. June. 68.

⁽¹⁵⁾ Lias, S. G.; Bartmess, J. E.; Liebman, J. F.; Holmes, J. L.; Levin, R. D.; Mallard, W. G. J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 1988, 17 Suppl. 1, 1. Alqasmi. R.; Knauth, H.-D.; Rohlack, D. Ber. Bunsen-Ges. Phys. Chem. 1978, 82, 217.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Lee, T. J.; Rice, J. E. J. Phys. Chem. 1992, 96, 650. (17) Fehsenfeld, F. C.; Howard, C. J.; Schmelttekopf, A. L. J. Chem. Phys. 1975, 63, 2835.

⁽¹⁸⁾ Lee, T. J.; Rice, J. E. J. Phys. Chem. 1993, 97, 6637.

Nelson and Okumura, ¹⁹ using a beam-collision cell apparatus, also observed the formation of NO_2^+ and NO_2^+ - H_2O in the reaction of protonated water clusters with ClONO₂. Our results differ from theirs ¹⁹ in several ways: (1) they did not observe proton-transfer product ions, (2) they found NO_2^+ as the primary product, and (3) they observed reaction between $H_3O^+(H_2O)_n$ ($n \ge 2$) and ClONO₂. We attribute these differences to the higher collision energies (>0.5 eV) in their experiment compared with our thermalized (233 K) reactants.

The first observations of the rate enhancement of neutral gasphase reactions by association with ions were made at the NOAA laboratory in 1982 and 1983.^{5,20} Viggiano et al.⁶ pursued the origin of these enhancements, finding that the enhancement was in part due to a distortion of the neutral geometry toward the transition state in the presence of the ion. Such an explanation is consistent with the rate enhancement observed in the reactions reported here but does not relate the critical role the ion plays in the present situation.

We suggest that the "core" ions in reactions 1 and 2 are intimately involved in the reaction mechanism. Specifically, the ion-dipole bond energy gained upon initial association of the reactants allows an endothermic15 proton transfer (37 kJ mol-1 for isolated reactants) from HCl to NO₃-. The Cl-then attacks the chlorine in ClONO₂ to form Cl₂ and NO₃. This is supported by recent results from Okumura and co-workers, who found that the reaction of Cl- with ClONO2 is efficient.21 In the proposed mechanism, the nascent product ion will contain an NO₃- core formed from ClONO₂, different from the NO₃-core found in the reactants. Subsequent rapid proton transfer in the NO₃-HNO₃ product ion, however, will erase any such distinction. Facilitation of the neutral reaction of ClONO2 with HCl via initial proton transfer in the ion-dipole complex formed is also consistent with the lack of observation of reactions 3 and 4a, since proton transfer from H₂O to NO₃⁻ is very endothermic¹⁵ (~277 kJ mol⁻¹ for the isolated reactants). This mechanism suggests that other ions which can proton transfer with HCl within the ion-dipole complex will also facilitate the apparent neutral reaction, e.g., the reaction of Br-HCl with ClONO₂.


The ionic reaction mechanism operative in reactions 1 and 2 may form the basis of the mechanism involved in the neutral heterogeneous reactions occurring in the atmosphere. Specifically, proton transfer from HCl to water will allow Cl⁻ to react with ClONO₂. Indeed, experimental evidence has led many researchers to suggest that the heterogeneous neutral reactions of HCl + ClONO₂ and of $\rm H_2O + ClONO_2$ proceed through ionic reaction mechanisms. \$\frac{8}{2} \text{11,13,21-24}\$

The gas-phase ion-molecule reaction between H₃O⁺ and ClONO₂ is also likely to proceed via an initial proton transfer, forming the NO₂+·HOCl ion. This product is observed in about one-third of the reactive collisions. Calculations by Lee and Rice¹⁸ indicate that NO₂+·H₂O is more strongly bound than NO₂+·HOCl. Therefore, in about two-thirds of the reactive collisions, H₂O replaces HOCl before the products separate, yielding the observed product ion NO₂+·H₂O. Finally, in a small fraction of the reactive encounters, the bare ion NO₂+ exits the reactive complex. Nelson and Okumura's observations support this hypothesis.¹⁹

Again, we suggest that the ion-molecule reaction mechanism for reaction 5 may form the basis of the mechanism for the reaction of H_2O with $ClONO_2$ occurring on surfaces in the atmosphere. Specifically, proton transfer from H_3O^+ present in the acidic stratospheric aerosols to adsorbed $ClONO_2$ may initiate the chemical transformation. This has also been suggested by Okumura and co-workers. Finally, since recent reports suggest that under most conditions the apparent reaction of HCl with $ClONO_2$ on surfaces begins with the reaction of $ClONO_2$ with available $H_2O_3^{-11,13}$ the ionic mechanism in the reaction of H_3O^+ + $ClONO_2$ may also be important in the atmospheric reaction of HCl with $ClONO_2$.

Acknowledgment. We thank Steve Lloyd and Jim Anderson for supplying the ClONO₂ and Joe Grabowski, John Paulson, Tom Miller, and Doug Worsnop for helpful discussions.

⁽²⁴⁾ Okumura, M., personal communication, 1994.



⁽¹⁹⁾ Nelson, C. M.; Okumura, M. J. Phys. Chem. 1992, 96, 6112.
(20) Bohringer, H.; Fahey, D. W.; Fehsenfeld, F. C.; Ferguson, E. E. Planet. Space Sci. 1983, 31, 185.
(21) Wofsy, S. C.; Molina, M. J.; Salawitch, R. J.; Fox, L. E.; McElroy,

⁽²¹⁾ Wofsy, S. C.; Molina, M. J.; Salawitch, R. J.; Fox, L. E.; McElroy. M. B. J. Geophys. Res. 1988, 93, 2442.

⁽²²⁾ Burley, J. D.; Johnston, H. S. Geophys. Res. Lett. 1992, 19, 1359.
(23) Finlayson-Pitts, B. J.; Ezell, M. J.; Pitts, J. N., Jr. Nature 1989, 337, 221.