

# ASSIGNMENT FEEDBACK FORM

Student Name/ID/Section	Obada Mohammad Khaled Alhalaybeh - 23110107 - 1						
HTU Course Title and No.	40201220 - Software Development Lifecycles						
BTEC Unit Code and Title	K/618/7408 - Software Development Lifecycles						
Assignment Number	1	Assessor Name	Ashwaq Khalil				
Submission Date	Jan 26, 2025	Date Received 1st submission	Jan 26, 2025				
Re-submission Date		Date Received 2nd submission					

# Ongoing formative feedback from assessor:

Attendance: Very GoodLab / In-class Activity: Good

• One-to-one Formative Discussion: Very Good

#### Assessor feedback for summative assessment:

### **General Feedback**

Some parts of the report need to be more organized. However, it is clear and readable.

## Strength of Performance

The student was able to:

- Describe two iterative and two sequential software lifecycle models.
- Explain how risk is managed in software lifecycle models.
- Explain the purpose of a feasibility report.
- Describe how technical solutions can be compared.
- Undertake a software investigation to meet a business need.
- Use appropriate software analysis tools/techniques to carry out a software investigation and create supporting documentation.
- Discuss, using examples, the suitability of software behavioural design techniques.
- Discuss using an example, why a particular lifecycle model is selected for a development environment.
- Discuss the components of a feasibility report.
- Analyse how software requirements can be traced throughout the software lifecycle.
- Discuss two approaches to improving software quality.
- Analyse a range of software behavioural tools and techniques.
- Differentiate between a finite state machine (FSM) and an extended FSM, providing an application of use for both.
- Assess the merits of applying the Waterfall lifecycle model to a large software development project.
- Assess the impact of different feasibility criteria on a software investigation.
- Present justifications of how data-driven software can improve the reliability and effectiveness of software.

The stud	dent was u	nable to:							
l	valuate the oftware qu	-	of underta	aking a syst	ems inves	stigation v	with rega	ard to its effe	ectiveness in improving
				pecause of to t consider a		_	backs in	the report:	
Grade: M			Assessor Signature:				Date:		
		Ashwaq Khalil				Jan 29, 2025			
Resub	mission ]	Feedbac	k (if re	quired):					
Grade:			Assessor Signature:				Date:		
Criter	ia (To be j	filled befor	re resubn	nission)					
P1 ☑	P2 ☑	P3 ☑	P4 ☑	P5 ☑	P6 ☑	P7 ☑	M1 ☑	M2 ☑	Final Grade
M3 ☑	M4 ☑	M5 ☑	M6 ☑	D1 ☑	D2 🗹	D3 □	D4 🗹		M
I certif	derstood	formative by me, I a	e and sur Iso do ur		that the g	grade abo	_		een fully explained mmendation that could
Student Name:				Student Signature:					
					Date:				

**Limitation of Performance**