

## TEACHING EVALUATIONS

The following is a summary of student responses from my teaching evaluations for the courses I taught at the University of Michigan. Students responded to each question using a 5 point scale. The complete teaching evaluations are also attached.

### Selected Teaching Evaluation Questions:

- Q2. Overall, the instructor was an excellent teacher.  
Q199. The instructor explained material clearly.  
Q217. The instructor treated students with respect.  
Q230. The instructor seemed well prepared for class meetings.

Scale: 5 = strongly agree to 1 = strongly disagree

MEDIAN STUDENT RESPONSES						
		(Q2) Overall excellent	(Q199) Explained well	(Q217) Respect	(Q230) Well prepared	Responses
As Instructor:						
SU2016	Econ 101 Microeconomics	4.78	4.91	4.96	4.69	13 / 36
SP2016	Econ 102 Macroeconomics	4.25	4.25	4.40	4.57	13 / 36
As Graduate Student Instructor:						
W2020	Econ 102 Macroeconomics*	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.7	15 / 32
W2017	Econ 101 Microeconomics	4.89	4.89	4.81	4.89	12 / 32
F2016	Econ 101 Microeconomics	4.89	4.89	4.89	4.95	12 / 32

*\*University of Michigan changed the teaching evaluation reporting format in Spring 2018 to 1 decimal point*

### Selected Comments from Teaching Evaluations:

- The instruction in this course was fantastic. Obeid made everything very clear. He made sure to explain everything in depth.
- Obeid did a really good job of going slow through everything and explaining it so we would understand. One of the best teachers I have had at U of M.
- I loved learning from Obeid. He was very helpful and responds very quickly to any emails. He is always ready to help and he helped me understand the concepts better. He explained them in an easy manner.
- GSI seemed like he could've been a professor for this class. He was very knowledgeable.
- I would not have understood how to deal with the questions on the tests if not for this discussion course. The practice questions helped a lot.
- Obeid was extremely helpful and always answered all of my questions. I went to his office hours at least 8 times throughout the whole semester and he always explained things I didn't understand clearly.
- This course did a good job overall explaining the main concepts of Econ in a condensed time frame. For this reason, I would say that instruction was done in an organized, and well maintained fashion.



## Instructor with Comments Report

2016-08-02 - 2016-08-17 Report ID: MSR04734

Instructor: Ur Rehman,Obeid

ECON 101 202

		Responses from your Students**							Other Users of This Item*			School/College		
									University Wide					
		5 SA	4 A	3 N	2 D	1 SD	NA	Your Median	75% Above	50% Above	25% Above	75% Above	50% Above	25% Above
4	I had a strong desire to take this course.	6	1	5	1	0	0	4.00	3.33	3.93	4.38	n/a	n/a	n/a
891	As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was (SA=Much Lighter, A=Lighter, N=Typical, D=Heavier, SD=Much Heavier).	2	6	4	1	0	0	3.75	2.67	3.00	3.25			
1631	This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.	6	7	0	0	0	0	4.43	4.13	4.38	4.67			
1632	My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.	6	5	2	0	0	0	4.40	3.50	4.00	4.54			
1633	I knew what was expected of me in this course. (SA=Almost Always, A=Frequently, N=Sometimes, D=Occasionally, SD=Hardly Ever).	9	4	0	0	0	0	4.78	4.00	4.30	4.64			
230	The instructor seemed well prepared for class meetings. (SA=Almost Always, A=Frequently, N=Sometimes, D=Occasionally, SD=Hardly Ever)	8	5	0	0	0	0	4.69	4.50	4.78	4.90			
199	The instructor explained material clearly. (SA=Almost Always, A=Frequently, N=Sometimes, D=Occasionally, SD=Hardly Ever)	11	2	0	0	0	0	4.91	4.25	4.67	4.83			
217	The instructor treated students with respect.	12	1	0	0	0	0	4.96	4.67	4.83	4.94			
1	Overall, this was an excellent course.	5	7	1	0	0	0	4.29	3.86	4.25	4.72	n/a	n/a	n/a
2	Overall, the instructor was an excellent teacher.	9	4	0	0	0	0	4.78	4.50	4.75	4.88	n/a	n/a	n/a
3	I learned a great deal from this course.	5	7	1	0	0	0	4.29	4.08	4.41	4.72	n/a	n/a	n/a
120	I learned a good deal of factual material in this course.	7	4	2	0	0	0	4.57	n/a	n/a	n/a			
121	I gained a good understanding of concepts/principles in this field.	7	5	1	0	0	0	4.57	4.00	4.25	4.75			
143	I was stimulated to discuss related topics outside of class.	5	3	4	1	0	0	4.00	3.35	3.67	4.00			
185	The instructor was sensitive to multicultural issues in the classroom.	4	4	1	0	0	4	4.38	n/a	n/a	n/a			
200	The instructor handled questions well.	9	3	1	0	0	0	4.78	4.08	4.50	4.78			
206	The instructor seemed to enjoy teaching.	10	3	0	0	0	0	4.85	n/a	n/a	n/a			
209	The instructor was not confused by unexpected questions.	10	2	1	0	0	0	4.85	n/a	n/a	n/a			
210	The instructor was skillful in observing student reactions.	8	3	0	1	0	1	4.75	4.17	4.67	4.86			
215	The instructor maintained an atmosphere of good feeling in class.	8	3	1	0	0	1	4.75	4.50	4.83	4.93			
219	The instructor was willing to meet and help students outside class.	9	4	0	0	0	0	4.78	4.63	4.75	4.90			
223	Students in this course were free to disagree and ask questions.	6	3	1	1	0	2	4.58	4.25	4.65	4.86			
231	The objectives of the course were clearly explained.	9	4	0	0	0	0	4.78	4.15	4.50	4.70			
241	The instructor set high standards for students.	6	5	2	0	0	0	4.40	4.40	4.72	4.92			
327	Reading assignments were interesting and stimulating.	2	5	5	1	0	0	3.60	3.25	3.75	4.17			
330	Reading assignments were relevant to what was presented in class.	9	4	0	0	0	0	4.78	n/a	n/a	n/a			
340	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course.	6	6	0	1	0	0	4.42	3.54	4.08	4.41			
350	Slides/overhead transparencies were a valuable part of this course.	10	3	0	0	0	0	4.85	n/a	n/a	n/a			
356	Examinations covered the important aspects of the course.	7	5	0	0	0	0	4.64	4.00	4.44	4.70			
360	Exams were reasonable in length and difficulty.	8	4	1	0	0	0	4.69	3.63	4.00	4.20			
364	The test items were adequately explained after a test was given.	5	5	2	0	0	1	4.30	n/a	n/a	n/a			
366	The grading system was clearly explained.	9	3	1	0	0	0	4.78	4.10	4.50	4.67			
370	I attended class regularly.	9	3	1	0	0	0	4.78	4.79	4.88	4.94			
371	I utilized all the learning opportunities provided in this course.	7	5	1	0	0	0	4.57	4.00	4.17	4.50			



## Instructor with Comments Report

2016-08-02 - 2016-08-17 Report ID: MSR04734

**Instructor: Ur Rehman,Obeid**

**ECON 101 202**

### Written Comments

900 Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.

Student 1

NA

Student 2

NA

Student 3

*This is an economics class, if lectures got to the point where being sensitive to multicultural issues was important enough that it would have an impact on my learning, the lecture would be far enough removed from the subject material that the divergence would be more concerning than any sensitivity or lack thereof on the part of the instructor.*

*My instructor was excellent and presented the core concepts well through strong use of examples and graphical illustration.*

Student 4

*Obeid is an excellent instructor, I hope to have him again in the future*

Student 5

*Obeid is/was a great instructor for this course!*

Student 6

*Overall, very clear and focused to the material at hand. However, the lectures could at times become slow-paced, as the instructor would sometimes be redundant in his words. Expectations for the class were very clear, there is nothing significant that I would change.*

Student 7

NA

Student 8

*Sometimes the material is a little boring, but overall it's a good course.*

Student 9

NA

Student 10

*Everything was thoroughly explained.*

Student 11

NA

Student 12

*It was always clear and easy to follow. I really enjoyed the course.*

Student 13

*This course did a good job overall explaining the main concepts of Econ in a condensed time frame. For this reason, I would say that instruction was done in an organized, and well maintained fashion.*



## **Instructor with Comments Report**

2016-08-02 - 2016-08-17 Report ID: MSR04734

**Instructor: Ur Rehman,Obeid**

**ECON 101 202**

\* The quartiles are calculated from Summer 2016 data. The university-wide quartiles are based on all UM classes in which an item was used. The school/college quartiles in this report are based on lower division classes with an enrollment of 16 to 74 students in Division of Social Sciences in the College of LS&A.

\*\* SA - Strongly Agree, A - Agree, N - Neutral, D - Disagree, SD - Strongly Disagree, NA - Not Applicable.



## Instructor with Comments Report

2016-06-09 - 2016-06-21 Report ID: MSR04734

Instructor: Ur Rehman,Obeid  
ECON 102 102

	Responses from your Students**							Other Users of This Item*					
	5 SA	4 A	3 N	2 D	1 SD	NA	Your Median	University Wide			School/College		
								75% Above	50% Above	25% Above	75% Above	50% Above	25% Above
1 Overall, this was an excellent course.	3	5	4	1	0	0	3.80	4.00	4.42	4.75	4.05	4.38	4.69
2 Overall, the instructor was an excellent teacher.	5	6	2	0	0	0	4.25	4.25	4.75	4.90	4.30	4.75	4.88
3 I learned a great deal from this course.	3	7	1	1	0	0	4.07	4.15	4.50	4.80	4.23	4.50	4.68
4 I had a strong desire to take this course.	3	3	3	4	0	0	3.33	3.50	4.00	4.50	3.50	3.97	4.50
120 I learned a good deal of factual material in this course.	3	7	3	0	0	0	4.00	4.13	4.50	4.88			
121 I gained a good understanding of concepts/principles in this field.	4	7	1	1	0	0	4.14	4.10	4.33	4.67			
143 I was stimulated to discuss related topics outside of class.	4	4	3	2	0	0	3.88	n/a	n/a	n/a			
185 The instructor was sensitive to multicultural issues in the classroom.	4	4	2	1	0	2	4.13	4.64	4.80	4.92			
199 The instructor explained material clearly. (SA=Almost Always, A=Frequently, N=Sometimes, D=Occasionally, SD=Hardly Ever)	5	6	2	0	0	0	4.25	4.20	4.67	4.88			
200 The instructor handled questions well.	5	6	2	0	0	0	4.25	4.17	4.59	4.88			
206 The instructor seemed to enjoy teaching.	5	6	2	0	0	0	4.25	4.63	4.90	4.99			
209 The instructor was not confused by unexpected questions.	5	6	2	0	0	0	4.25	n/a	n/a	n/a			
210 The instructor was skillful in observing student reactions.	4	6	3	0	0	0	4.08	3.66	4.28	4.87			
215 The instructor maintained an atmosphere of good feeling in class.	5	5	3	0	0	0	4.20	4.61	4.80	4.92			
217 The instructor treated students with respect.	6	5	2	0	0	0	4.40	4.66	4.86	4.93			
219 The instructor was willing to meet and help students outside class.	7	4	1	0	0	1	4.64	4.55	4.80	4.93			
223 Students in this course were free to disagree and ask questions.	5	7	1	0	0	0	4.29	4.50	4.65	4.83			
230 The instructor seemed well prepared for class meetings. (SA=Almost Always, A=Frequently, N=Sometimes, D=Occasionally, SD=Hardly Ever)	7	4	2	0	0	0	4.57	4.58	4.80	4.92			
231 The objectives of the course were clearly explained.	5	6	2	0	0	0	4.25	4.11	4.38	4.70			
241 The instructor set high standards for students.	5	5	3	0	0	0	4.20	4.50	4.67	4.81			
327 Reading assignments were interesting and stimulating.	2	5	3	3	0	0	3.60	3.60	4.00	4.50			
330 Reading assignments were relevant to what was presented in class.	4	6	3	0	0	0	4.08	n/a	n/a	n/a			
340 The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course.	2	6	3	1	1	0	3.75	3.25	3.83	4.33			
350 Slides/overhead transparencies were a valuable part of this course.	7	5	1	0	0	0	4.57	n/a	n/a	n/a			
356 Examinations covered the important aspects of the course.	6	5	2	0	0	0	4.40	4.11	4.50	4.75			
360 Exams were reasonable in length and difficulty.	5	5	2	1	0	0	4.20	4.00	4.25	4.56			
364 The test items were adequately explained after a test was given.	2	8	3	0	0	0	3.94	n/a	n/a	n/a			
366 The grading system was clearly explained.	7	4	2	0	0	0	4.57	4.50	4.70	4.88			
370 I attended class regularly.	6	5	2	0	0	0	4.40	4.67	4.83	4.90			
371 I utilized all the learning opportunities provided in this course.	4	6	3	0	0	0	4.08	4.14	4.50	4.69			

### Written Comments

900 Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.



## Instructor with Comments Report

2016-06-09 - 2016-06-21 Report ID: MSR04734

**Instructor: Ur Rehman,Obeid**

**ECON 102 102**

Student 1

*good*

Student 2

*The instruction in this course was fantastic. Obeid made everything very clear. He made sure to explain everything in depth.*

Student 3

*NA*

Student 4

*Very good. Great slides w/notes*

Student 5

*Obeid did a really good job of going slow through everything and explaining it so we would understand. One of the best teachers I have had at U of M.*

Student 6

*NA*

Student 7

*Great instruction*

Student 8

*NA*

Student 9

*NA*

Student 10

*NA*

Student 11

*NA*

Student 12

*Obeid cares about making sure we understand material. I felt like his slides were a little text heavy sometimes, but that did make it easy to go back and look at*

Student 13

*n/a*

\* The quartiles are calculated from Spring 2016 data. The university-wide quartiles are based on all UM classes in which an item was used. The school/college quartiles in this report are based on lower division classes with an enrollment of 16 to 74 students in Division of Social Sciences in the College of LS&A.

\*\* SA - Strongly Agree, A - Agree, N - Neutral, D - Disagree, SD - Strongly Disagree, NA - Not Applicable.

# University of Michigan

## Winter 2020 Instructor Report With Comments

### ECON 102-201: Principle Econ II

#### Obeid Ur Rehman

15 out of 32 students responded to this evaluation.

#### Responses to University-wide questions about the course:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median	Univ-wide Median	School/College Median
This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter. (Q1631)	5	7	1	2	0	0	4.1	4.5	4.4
My interest in the subject has increased because of this course. (Q1632)	5	3	4	2	1	0	3.7	4.2	4.1
I knew what was expected of me in this course.(Q1633)	5	6	3	1	0	0	4.1	4.5	4.6
Overall, this was an excellent course.(Q1)	5	4	4	2	0	0	3.9	4.3	4.3
I had a strong desire to take this course.(Q4)	7	3	1	3	1	0	4.3	4.1	4.1
As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was (SA=Much Lighter, A=Lighter, N=Typical, D=Heavier, SD=Much Heavier). (Q891)	0	4	8	2	1	0	3.1	3.0	3.2
How did the unexpected change to remote course format affect your learning experience in this course this term? (SA=Very Positively Affected, A=Somewhat Positively Affected, N=No Effect, D=Somewhat Negatively Affected, SD=Very Negatively Affected) (Q1840)*	0	1	4	8	2	0	2.2	2.4	2.4

#### Responses to University-wide questions about the instructor:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median	Univ-wide Median	School/College Median
Overall, Obeid Ur Rehman was an excellent teacher.(Q2)	8	6	1	0	0	0	4.6	4.6	4.6
Obeid Ur Rehman seemed well prepared for class meetings.(Q230)	10	4	1	0	0	0	4.8	4.8	4.8
Obeid Ur Rehman explained material clearly.(Q199)	9	5	1	0	0	0	4.7	4.7	4.7
Obeid Ur Rehman treated students with respect.(Q217)	9	5	1	0	0	0	4.7	4.8	4.9

#### Responses to questions about the course:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median	University-Wide Median
Students in this course were free to disagree and ask questions. (Q223)	7	6	1	0	0	0	4.5	4.7
Reading assignments were interesting and stimulating. (Q327)	5	3	3	1	0	2	4.2	3.9
Examinations covered the important aspects of the course. (Q356)	5	2	3	2	2	0	3.5	4.5
Exams were reasonable in length and difficulty. (Q360)	4	4	1	3	2	0	3.8	4.2
The test items were adequately explained after a test was given. (Q364)	3	4	3	2	2	0	3.5	4.1

## Responses to questions about the instructor:

	SA	A	N	D	SD	N/A	Your Median	University-Wide Median
Obeid Ur Rehman was sensitive to multicultural issues in the classroom. (Q185)	6	6	1	0	0	1	4.4	4.7
Obeid Ur Rehman handled questions well. (Q200)	5	8	1	0	0	0	4.3	4.6
Obeid Ur Rehman seemed to enjoy teaching. (Q206)	4	9	1	0	0	0	4.2	4.6
Obeid Ur Rehman was skillful in observing student reactions. (Q210)	5	8	1	0	0	0	4.3	4.5
Obeid Ur Rehman was willing to meet and help students outside class. (Q219)	6	6	2	0	0	0	4.3	4.7
Obeid Ur Rehman set high standards for students. (Q241)	5	4	4	0	0	1	4.1	4.5

The medians are calculated from Winter 2020 data. University-wide medians are based on all UM classes in which an item was used. The school/college medians in this report are based on classes that are lower division with enrollment of 16 to 74 in Division of Social Sciences in the College of LS&A.

## Written Comments

### What teaching methods worked well? [e.g. videoconferencing; asynchronous interaction; etc.] (Q1841)\*

Comments
Office hours
Office Hours
Explaining concepts
Discussion recordings and the GSI being available via email
None except doing the assigned homework
I think the posting videos was helpful.
posted slides

### What were your greatest challenges in remote learning for this course? [e.g. internet connectivity; personal motivation; managing life stresses; etc.] (Q1842)\*

Comments
hands on learning
Personal Motivation
Much harder to learn
The recordings didn't explain things as in depth as the in-person discussion section and it was harder to ask questions
Personal motivation and managing other life problems
Video recordings only began later so I feel that I lost some understanding of how to solve the problems
internet connectivity

### Comment on the quality of instruction in this course. (Q900)

Comments
I loved learning from Obeid. He was very helpful and responds very quickly to any emails. He is always ready to help and he helped me understand the concepts better. He explained them in an easy manner.
Great job
Mr. Rehman did a good job of explaining math concepts and answering questions. His grading of the homework was fair and reasonable.
He did very well with his thoroughness. We went through questions several times in order to make sure everyone understood.



\* Due to the unexpected shift to remote instruction, questions 1840, 1841, and 1842 were added to all end-of-term Winter 2020 teaching evaluations.



## Instructor with Comments Report

2017-04-05 - 2017-04-19 Report ID: MSR04734

Instructor: Ur Rehman,Obeid

ECON 101 302

	Responses from your Students**							Other Users of This Item*					
	5 SA	4 A	3 N	2 D	1 SD	NA	Your Median	University Wide			School/College		
								75% Above	50% Above	25% Above	75% Above	50% Above	25% Above
4 I had a strong desire to take this course.	3	3	3	1	1	0	3.67	3.71	4.17	4.64	3.75	4.00	4.30
891 As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was (SA=Much Lighter, A=Lighter, N=Typical, D=Heavier, SD=Much Heavier).	1	3	6	0	1	0	3.25	2.80	3.10	3.40			
1631 This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.	7	2	0	0	1	0	4.79	4.10	4.40	4.75			
1632 My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.	5	3	1	0	1	0	4.50	3.79	4.20	4.64			
1633 I knew what was expected of me in this course. (SA=Almost Always, A=Frequently, N=Sometimes, D=Occasionally, SD=Hardly Ever).	6	4	0	0	1	0	4.58	4.00	4.35	4.67			
230 The instructor seemed well prepared for class meetings. (SA=Almost Always, A=Frequently, N=Sometimes, D=Occasionally, SD=Hardly Ever)	9	2	0	0	0	1	4.89	4.50	4.79	4.91			
199 The instructor explained material clearly. (SA=Almost Always, A=Frequently, N=Sometimes, D=Occasionally, SD=Hardly Ever)	9	2	0	0	0	1	4.89	4.25	4.67	4.87			
217 The instructor treated students with respect.	8	3	0	0	0	1	4.81	4.67	4.83	4.94			
1 Overall, this was an excellent course.	5	4	1	0	1	0	4.38	3.88	4.30	4.73	3.83	4.13	4.50
2 Overall, the instructor was an excellent teacher.	9	2	0	0	0	1	4.89	4.33	4.73	4.90	4.25	4.65	4.82
3 I learned a great deal from this course.	6	4	0	0	1	0	4.58	4.00	4.38	4.71	4.00	4.17	4.50
120 I learned a good deal of factual material in this course.	6	2	2	0	1	0	4.58	4.00	4.28	4.67			
121 I gained a good understanding of concepts/principles in this field.	6	4	0	0	1	0	4.58	4.00	4.25	4.60			
143 I was stimulated to discuss related topics outside of class.	4	2	4	0	1	0	3.75	3.67	4.00	4.50			
185 The instructor was sensitive to multicultural issues in the classroom.	7	2	0	0	0	3	4.86	4.33	4.67	4.83			
200 The instructor handled questions well.	9	2	0	0	0	1	4.89	4.25	4.65	4.85			
206 The instructor seemed to enjoy teaching.	7	4	0	0	0	1	4.71	4.39	4.75	4.92			
209 The instructor was not confused by unexpected questions.	8	3	0	0	0	1	4.81	4.10	4.50	4.79			
210 The instructor was skillful in observing student reactions.	8	3	0	0	0	1	4.81	4.00	4.50	4.81			
215 The instructor maintained an atmosphere of good feeling in class.	7	4	0	0	0	1	4.71	4.28	4.75	4.90			
219 The instructor was willing to meet and help students outside class.	8	3	0	0	0	1	4.81	4.50	4.75	4.88			
223 Students in this course were free to disagree and ask questions.	6	3	0	0	1	0	4.67	4.25	4.60	4.83			
231 The objectives of the course were clearly explained.	7	3	0	0	1	0	4.71	4.00	4.33	4.67			
241 The instructor set high standards for students.	7	4	0	0	0	1	4.71	4.30	4.63	4.83			
327 Reading assignments were interesting and stimulating.	4	2	1	0	1	3	4.50	3.50	4.00	4.38			
330 Reading assignments were relevant to what was presented in class.	5	2	0	0	1	3	4.70	3.88	4.14	4.50			
340 The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course.	8	1	0	0	1	1	4.88	3.25	4.00	4.42			
350 Slides/overhead transparencies were a valuable part of this course.	5	3	1	0	1	1	4.50	4.00	4.33	4.61			
356 Examinations covered the important aspects of the course.	6	3	0	0	1	1	4.67	4.00	4.30	4.63			
360 Exams were reasonable in length and difficulty.	5	2	1	1	1	1	4.50	3.75	4.06	4.50			
364 The test items were adequately explained after a test was given.	7	2	1	0	1	0	4.71	3.63	4.00	4.33			
366 The grading system was clearly explained.	8	2	0	0	1	0	4.81	4.00	4.33	4.65			
370 I attended class regularly.	6	3	0	1	1	0	4.58	4.50	4.80	4.90			
371 I utilized all the learning opportunities provided in this course.	7	2	1	0	1	0	4.71	3.98	4.17	4.50			



## Instructor with Comments Report

2017-04-05 - 2017-04-19 Report ID: MSR04734

**Instructor: Ur Rehman,Obeid**  
**ECON 101 302**

### Written Comments

900 Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.

Student 1

*I never went to this discussion*

Student 2

*Dr.Dudely was a great instructor who kept a positive attitude at all time in the class.*

Student 3

*GSI seemed like he could've been a professor for this class. He was very knowledgeable of the subject.*

Student 4

NA

Student 5

*The tests are frustrating because they are really short so each multiple choice question ends up being around 5% of the grade which makes it really difficult to do well.*

Student 6

*great explanations*

Student 7

*Obeid was a great teacher and really helped us better our understanding of econ. I loved going to econ discussion because it always cleared up many questions I had from class.*

Student 8

*I would not have understood how to deal with the questions on the tests if not for this discussion course. The practice questions helped a lot.*

Student 9

NA

Student 10

NA

Student 11

NA

Student 12

*The discussion section was helpful if you had any questions or did not understand something in class. Our GSI was able to clearly explain the topics and was very helpful with preparation before the exams and review after them.*

\* The quartiles are calculated from Winter 2017 data. The university-wide quartiles are based on all UM classes in which an item was used. The school/college quartiles in this report are based on lower division classes with an enrollment of 16 to 74 students in Division of Social Sciences in the College of LS&A.

\*\* SA - Strongly Agree, A - Agree, N - Neutral, D - Disagree, SD - Strongly Disagree, NA - Not Applicable.



## Instructor with Comments Report

2016-12-01 - 2016-12-14 Report ID: MSR04734

Instructor: Ur Rehman,Obeid  
ECON 101 207

	Responses from your Students**							Other Users of This Item*					
	5 SA	4 A	3 N	2 D	1 SD	NA	Your Median	University Wide			School/College		
								75% Above	50% Above	25% Above	75% Above	50% Above	25% Above
4 I had a strong desire to take this course.	6	2	3	0	0	0	4.58	3.75	4.19	4.64	3.83	4.10	4.33
891 As compared with other courses of equal credit, the workload for this course was (SA=Much Lighter, A=Lighter, N=Typical, D=Heavier, SD=Much Heavier).	3	2	5	1	0	0	3.40	2.83	3.13	3.42			
1631 This course advanced my understanding of the subject matter.	6	3	2	0	0	0	4.58	4.10	4.41	4.75			
1632 My interest in the subject has increased because of this course.	3	6	1	1	0	0	4.08	3.75	4.19	4.63			
1633 I knew what was expected of me in this course. (SA=Almost Always, A=Frequently, N=Sometimes, D=Occasionally, SD=Hardly Ever).	2	6	2	0	0	0	4.00	4.00	4.33	4.67			
230 The instructor seemed well prepared for class meetings. (SA=Almost Always, A=Frequently, N=Sometimes, D=Occasionally, SD=Hardly Ever)	9	2	0	0	0	0	4.89	4.50	4.80	4.92			
199 The instructor explained material clearly. (SA=Almost Always, A=Frequently, N=Sometimes, D=Occasionally, SD=Hardly Ever)	9	2	0	0	0	0	4.89	4.25	4.67	4.86			
217 The instructor treated students with respect.	10	1	0	0	0	0	4.95	4.69	4.86	4.95			
1 Overall, this was an excellent course.	2	6	2	0	0	0	4.00	3.88	4.31	4.71	3.88	4.14	4.50
2 Overall, the instructor was an excellent teacher.	9	2	0	0	0	0	4.89	4.33	4.73	4.90	4.17	4.63	4.83
3 I learned a great deal from this course.	3	5	1	1	0	0	4.10	4.00	4.36	4.71	4.00	4.20	4.50
120 I learned a good deal of factual material in this course.	3	5	1	1	0	0	4.10	4.00	4.25	4.67			
121 I gained a good understanding of concepts/principles in this field.	3	5	1	1	0	0	4.10	3.96	4.25	4.63			
143 I was stimulated to discuss related topics outside of class.	2	5	3	0	0	0	3.90	3.75	4.00	4.50			
185 The instructor was sensitive to multicultural issues in the classroom.	8	1	2	0	0	0	4.81	4.33	4.67	4.83			
200 The instructor handled questions well.	8	2	1	0	0	0	4.81	4.17	4.67	4.85			
206 The instructor seemed to enjoy teaching.	8	2	1	0	0	0	4.81	4.33	4.75	4.92			
209 The instructor was not confused by unexpected questions.	8	2	1	0	0	0	4.81	4.00	4.39	4.73			
210 The instructor was skillful in observing student reactions.	7	2	2	0	0	0	4.71	4.00	4.50	4.83			
215 The instructor maintained an atmosphere of good feeling in class.	7	3	1	0	0	0	4.71	4.36	4.75	4.90			
219 The instructor was willing to meet and help students outside class.	8	1	2	0	0	0	4.81	4.50	4.75	4.90			
223 Students in this course were free to disagree and ask questions.	3	6	1	0	0	0	4.17	4.25	4.61	4.80			
231 The objectives of the course were clearly explained.	2	7	1	0	0	0	4.07	4.08	4.38	4.69			
241 The instructor set high standards for students.	7	2	2	0	0	0	4.71	4.30	4.61	4.82			
327 Reading assignments were interesting and stimulating.	1	5	3	0	0	1	3.80	3.75	4.00	4.50			
330 Reading assignments were relevant to what was presented in class.	3	5	1	0	0	1	4.20	4.06	4.33	4.67			
340 The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course.	5	1	3	0	0	1	4.60	3.33	4.00	4.50			
350 Slides/overhead transparencies were a valuable part of this course.	3	2	4	0	1	0	3.50	4.13	4.44	4.64			
356 Examinations covered the important aspects of the course.	3	5	1	0	0	1	4.20	4.04	4.33	4.64			
360 Exams were reasonable in length and difficulty.	3	5	1	0	0	1	4.20	3.75	4.06	4.43			
364 The test items were adequately explained after a test was given.	4	4	1	0	0	1	4.38	3.74	4.08	4.40			
366 The grading system was clearly explained.	5	3	1	0	0	1	4.60	4.07	4.40	4.67			
370 I attended class regularly.	5	4	1	0	0	0	4.50	4.65	4.83	4.92			
371 I utilized all the learning opportunities provided in this course.	2	7	1	0	0	0	4.07	4.00	4.17	4.50			



## Instructor with Comments Report

2016-12-01 - 2016-12-14 Report ID: MSR04734

**Instructor: Ur Rehman,Obeid**  
**ECON 101 207**

### Written Comments

900 Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.

Student 1

*Great instruction.*

Student 2

*NA*

Student 3

*Obeid was was extremely helpful and always answered all of my questions. I went to his office hours at least 8 times throughout the whole semester and he always explained things I didn't understand clearly.*

Student 4

*pretty good quality, pace is a little slow in discussion*

Student 5

*NA*

Student 6

*Very well.*

Student 7

*NA*

Student 8

*great*

Student 9

*GSI Obeid Rehman was great and explained things better than the professor. Made material easy to understand.*

Student 10

*NA*

Student 11

*It was fine. Dudley was not great. He did not teach me anything. I learned the entire subject through the textbook.*

Student 12

*NA*

\* The quartiles are calculated from Fall 2016 data. The university-wide quartiles are based on all UM classes in which an item was used. The school/college quartiles in this report are based on lower division classes with an enrollment of 16 to 74 students in Division of Social Sciences in the College of LS&A.

\*\* SA - Strongly Agree, A - Agree, N - Neutral, D - Disagree, SD - Strongly Disagree, NA - Not Applicable.