

MATH 668 Homework 6 Solutions

1. Using Theorem 11.2.2 with $\mathbf{a} = 1$, $\beta = \mu$, $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{j}$, and $\phi = 0$, we have $\frac{\mu - \phi_*}{\sqrt{\mathbf{W}_*}} \mathbf{y} \sim t(n + 2\alpha)$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{V}_* &= (1 + \mathbf{j}^\top \mathbf{j})^{-1} = \frac{1}{1+n}, \phi_* = \frac{1}{1+n}(0 + \mathbf{j}^\top \mathbf{y}) = \frac{n\bar{y}}{n+1} \text{ and } \mathbf{W}_* = \left[\frac{(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\phi)^\top (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{XVX}^\top)^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\phi) + 2\delta}{n+2\alpha} \right] \frac{1}{1+n} \\ &= \left[\frac{\mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{y} + \phi^\top \mathbf{V}^{-1} \phi - \phi_*^\top \mathbf{V}_*^{-1} \phi_* + 2\delta}{n+2\alpha} \right] \frac{1}{1+n} = \left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 + 0 - \frac{n\bar{y}}{1+n} \left(\frac{1}{1+n} \right)^{-1} \frac{n\bar{y}}{1+n} + 2\delta}{n+2\alpha} \right] \frac{1}{1+n} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 - \frac{n^2 \bar{y}^2}{1+n} + 2\delta}{(1+n)(n+2\alpha)} \\ &= \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 - n\bar{y}^2) + n\bar{y}^2 - \frac{n^2 \bar{y}^2}{1+n} + 2\delta}{(1+n)(n+2\alpha)} = \frac{(n-1)s_y^2 + \left(n - \frac{n^2}{1+n}\right) \bar{y}^2 + 2\delta}{(1+n)(n+2\alpha)} = \frac{(n-1)s_y^2 + \frac{n(1+n)-n^2}{1+n} \bar{y}^2 + 2\delta}{(1+n)(n+2\alpha)} \\ &= \frac{(n-1)s_y^2 + \frac{n}{n+1} \bar{y}^2 + 2\delta}{(n+1)(n+2\alpha)}. \text{ So, it follows that} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \omega &= P \left(\left| \frac{\mu - \frac{n\bar{y}}{n+1}}{\sqrt{\frac{(n-1)s_y^2 + \frac{n}{n+1} \bar{y}^2 + 2\delta}{(n+1)(n+2\alpha)}}} \right| \leq t_{\omega/2, n+2\alpha} \right) = P \left(\left| \mu - \frac{n\bar{y}}{n+1} \right| \leq t_{\omega/2, n+2\alpha} \sqrt{\frac{(n-1)s_y^2 + \frac{n}{n+1} \bar{y}^2 + 2\delta}{(n+1)(n+2\alpha)}} \right) \\ &= P \left(\frac{n\bar{y}}{n+1} - t_{\omega/2, n+2\alpha} \sqrt{\frac{(n-1)s_y^2 + \frac{n}{n+1} \bar{y}^2 + 2\delta}{(n+1)(n+2\alpha)}} \leq \mu \leq \frac{n\bar{y}}{n+1} + t_{\omega/2, n+2\alpha} \sqrt{\frac{(n-1)s_y^2 + \frac{n}{n+1} \bar{y}^2 + 2\delta}{(n+1)(n+2\alpha)}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

2. We can compute the REML estimate of Σ with the following iterative method.

```
y=c(11.2,11.4,11.6,11.9,11.8,11.3,11.0,11.2,11.3)
C=cbind(diag(8),0)
K=C%*%(diag(9)-1/9*matrix(1,9,9))
sigma=c(1,1)
M=matrix(0,2,2)
q=c(0,0)
Z=diag(3)%x%rep(1,3)
ZZt=Z%*%t(Z)
Sigma=sigma[1]*diag(9)+sigma[2]*ZZt
P=t(K)%*%solve(K%*%Sigma%*%t(K))%*%K
M[1,1]=sum(diag(P%*%P))
M[1,2]=sum(diag(P%*%P%*%ZZt))
M[2,1]=sum(diag(P%*%ZZt%*%P))
M[2,2]=sum(diag(P%*%ZZt%*%P%*%ZZt))
q[1]=sum((P%*%y)^2)
q[2]=sum((t(Z)%*%P%*%y)^2)
sigma=solve(M)%*%q
sigma
```

```
##           [,1]
## [1,] 0.05555556
## [2,] 0.04407407
```

```
Sigma=sigma[1]*diag(9)+sigma[2]*ZZt
P=t(K)%*%solve(K%*%Sigma%*%t(K))%*%K
M[1,1]=sum(diag(P%*%P))
M[1,2]=sum(diag(P%*%P%*%ZZt))
```

```

M[2,1]=sum(diag(P%*%ZZt%*%P))
M[2,2]=sum(diag(P%*%ZZt%*%P%*%ZZt))
q[1]=sum((P%*%y)^2)
q[2]=sum((t(Z)%*%P%*%y)^2)
sigma=solve(M)%*%q
sigma

```

```

##           [,1]
## [1,] 0.05555556
## [2,] 0.04407407

```

So, $\hat{\sigma}^2 = 0.05555556$ and $\hat{\sigma}_1^2 = 0.04407407$ and the estimate of $\text{var}(\mathbf{y}) = \Sigma$ is

$$\hat{\Sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.09962963 & 0.04407407 & 0.04407407 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.04407407 & 0.09962963 & 0.04407407 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.04407407 & 0.04407407 & 0.09962963 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.09962963 & 0.04407407 & 0.04407407 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.04407407 & 0.09962963 & 0.04407407 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.04407407 & 0.04407407 & 0.09962963 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.09962963 & 0.04407407 & 0.04407407 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.04407407 & 0.09962963 & 0.04407407 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.04407407 & 0.04407407 & 0.09962963 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

as shown below.

Sigma

```

##           [,1]      [,2]      [,3]      [,4]      [,5]      [,6]
## [1,] 0.09962963 0.04407407 0.04407407 0.00000000 0.00000000 0.00000000
## [2,] 0.04407407 0.09962963 0.04407407 0.00000000 0.00000000 0.00000000
## [3,] 0.04407407 0.04407407 0.09962963 0.00000000 0.00000000 0.00000000
## [4,] 0.00000000 0.00000000 0.00000000 0.09962963 0.04407407 0.04407407
## [5,] 0.00000000 0.00000000 0.00000000 0.04407407 0.09962963 0.04407407
## [6,] 0.00000000 0.00000000 0.00000000 0.04407407 0.04407407 0.09962963
## [7,] 0.00000000 0.00000000 0.00000000 0.00000000 0.00000000 0.00000000
## [8,] 0.00000000 0.00000000 0.00000000 0.00000000 0.00000000 0.00000000
## [9,] 0.00000000 0.00000000 0.00000000 0.00000000 0.00000000 0.00000000
##           [,7]      [,8]      [,9]
## [1,] 0.00000000 0.00000000 0.00000000
## [2,] 0.00000000 0.00000000 0.00000000
## [3,] 0.00000000 0.00000000 0.00000000
## [4,] 0.00000000 0.00000000 0.00000000
## [5,] 0.00000000 0.00000000 0.00000000
## [6,] 0.00000000 0.00000000 0.00000000
## [7,] 0.09962963 0.04407407 0.04407407
## [8,] 0.04407407 0.09962963 0.04407407
## [9,] 0.04407407 0.04407407 0.09962963

```

Then we can compute the EGLS estimate of β based on $\hat{\Sigma}$ using the formula $\hat{\beta} = (\mathbf{X}^\top \hat{\Sigma} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \hat{\Sigma} \mathbf{y} = 11.41111$ as shown below.

```

X=rep(1,9)
solve(t(X)%*%solve(Sigma)%*%X)%*%t(X)%*%solve(Sigma)%*%y

```

```

##           [,1]
## [1,] 11.41111

```

Then the estimate of $E(\mathbf{y})$ is $X\hat{\beta} = 11.41111\mathbf{j}$.

3. (a) The log-likelihood function can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}\ell(\beta) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \ln f(y_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \ln \frac{\lambda_i^{y_i} e^{-\lambda_i}}{x_i!} = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i \ln \lambda_i - \lambda_i - \ln x_i!) = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i \ln e^{\mathbf{x}_i^\top \beta} - e^{\mathbf{x}_i^\top \beta} - \ln x_i!) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top \beta - e^{\mathbf{x}_i^\top \beta} - \ln x_i!).\end{aligned}$$

(b) The vector of partial derivatives of ℓ is

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \beta} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(y_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} [\mathbf{x}_i^\top \beta] - \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} [e^{\mathbf{x}_i^\top \beta}] - 0 \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(y_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} [\mathbf{x}_i^\top \beta] - e^{\mathbf{x}_i^\top \beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} [\mathbf{x}_i^\top \beta] \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i \mathbf{x}_i - e^{\mathbf{x}_i^\top \beta} \mathbf{x}_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - e^{\mathbf{x}_i^\top \beta}) \mathbf{x}_i\end{aligned}$$

The matrix of second partial derivatives of ℓ is

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^\top} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \left[\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \beta^\top} \right] = \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - e^{\mathbf{x}_i^\top \beta}) \mathbf{x}_i^\top \right] = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} [y_i - e^{\mathbf{x}_i^\top \beta}] \mathbf{x}_i^\top = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(0 - \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} [e^{\mathbf{x}_i^\top \beta}] \right) \mathbf{x}_i^\top \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^n e^{\mathbf{x}_i^\top \beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} [\mathbf{x}_i^\top \beta] \mathbf{x}_i^\top = - \sum_{i=1}^n e^{\mathbf{x}_i^\top \beta} \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top = - \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i (e^{\mathbf{x}_i^\top \beta}) \mathbf{x}_i^\top.\end{aligned}$$

(c) The following code performs 3 steps of the IWLS procedure to obtain the MLE

$$\hat{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\beta}_0 \\ \hat{\beta}_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -5.253984 \\ 0.06794086 \end{pmatrix}.$$

```
setwd("C:/Users/ryan/Desktop/S18/668/data")
SARS=read.table("us2003sars.txt",header=TRUE)
x=SARS$date
y=SARS$cases

X=cbind(1,x)
beta=c(-5,0.07)
for (t in 1:3){
  eta=c(X%*%beta)
  lambda=exp(eta)
  m=lambda
  W=diag(lambda)
  beta=beta+solve(t(X)%*%W%*%X)%*%t(X)%*%(y-m)
  cat(paste("beta_",t,")= ",sep=""),beta,"\n")
}
```

```
## beta_(1)= -5.22976 0.06859278
## beta_(2)= -5.254418 0.06798139
## beta_(3)= -5.253984 0.06794086
```