

# Lecture 10: Sufficiency and the Rao-Blackwell Theorem

MATH 667-01  
Statistical Inference  
University of Louisville

October 12, 2017

- We discuss sufficiency as discussed in Sections 6.1 and 6.2 of Casella and Berger (2002)<sup>1</sup>.
- We discuss and prove the Rao-Blackwell Theorem as discussed in Section 7.3.
- The proof of the Rao-Blackwell Theorem uses iterated expectation formulas from Section 4.4.

---

<sup>1</sup>Casella, G. and Berger, R. (2002). *Statistical Inference, Second Edition*. Duxbury Press, Belmont, CA.

- Now we examine data summarization and data reduction when making inferences about a fixed but unknown parameter  $\theta$  based on a sample  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ .
- When the sample size  $n$  is large, simply being given a list of the observed sample values  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  is not very useful.
- Instead, it is useful to provide a statistic  $T(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  and use the observed value  $T(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  to summarize the information about  $\theta$  in the observed sample.
- Let  $\mathcal{X}$  denote the sample space of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ . Then  $\mathcal{T} = \{t : t = T(\mathbf{x}) \text{ for some } \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}\}$  is the image of  $\mathcal{X}$  under  $T$ .
- So  $T(\mathbf{x})$  partitions  $\mathcal{X}$  into sets  $A_t = \{\mathbf{x} : T(\mathbf{x}) = t\}$  for  $t \in \mathcal{T}$ .

- The goal of the *sufficiency principle* is to summarize data while not losing information about  $\theta$ .
- *Definition L10.1* (Def 6.2.1 on p.272): A statistic  $T(\mathbf{X})$  is a *sufficient statistic for  $\theta$*  if the conditional distribution of the sample  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  given the value of  $T(\mathbf{X})$  does not depend on  $\theta$ .
- That is,  $T(\mathbf{X})$  is sufficient for  $\theta$  if the pdf/pmf  $f_{\mathbf{X}|T(\mathbf{X})=T(\mathbf{x})}(\mathbf{x}|\theta)$  is the same for all  $\theta$ .

- *Theorem L10.1* (Thm 6.2.2 on p.274): If  $p(\mathbf{x}|\theta)$  is the joint pdf/pmf of  $\mathbf{X}$ , and  $q(t|\theta)$  is the pdf/pmf of  $T(\mathbf{X})$ , then  $T(\mathbf{X})$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$  if, and only if, for every  $\mathbf{x}$  in the sample space the ratio  $p(\mathbf{x}|\theta)/q(T(\mathbf{x})|\theta)$  is constant as a function of  $\theta$ .
- *Proof of Theorem L10.1:*

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\theta}(\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} | T(\mathbf{X}) = T(\mathbf{x})) &= \frac{P_{\theta}(\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} \text{ and } T(\mathbf{X}) = T(\mathbf{x}))}{P_{\theta}(T(\mathbf{X}) = T(\mathbf{x}))} \\ &= \frac{P_{\theta}(\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x})}{P_{\theta}(T(\mathbf{X}) = T(\mathbf{x}))} \\ &= \frac{p(\mathbf{x}|\theta)}{q(T(\mathbf{x})|\theta)}. \end{aligned}$$

So,  $T(\mathbf{X})$  is sufficient if and only if the probability above is constant as a function of  $\theta$ .

- *Example L10.1:* Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be iid  $\text{Poisson}(\lambda)$  random variables. Show that  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  is sufficient for  $\lambda$ .
- *Answer to Example L10.1:*

$$P \left( (X_1, \dots, X_n) = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \middle| \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) =$$
$$\frac{P((X_1, \dots, X_n) = (x_1, \dots, x_n))}{P \left( \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right)} = \frac{\lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} e^{-n\lambda} / (\prod_{i=1}^n x_i!)}{(n\lambda)^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} e^{-n\lambda} / (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i)!}$$

since  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \text{Poisson}(n\lambda)$ . Simplifying this expression, we obtain  $n^{-\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i)! / (\prod_{i=1}^n x_i!)$  which does not depend on  $\lambda$ .

- We can use *Theorem L10.1* to verify that a statistic is sufficient for  $\theta$ , but it is better to have a way of finding sufficient statistics without having a candidate in mind.
- This can be done with the following result known as the Factorization Theorem.
- *Theorem L10.2* (Thm 6.2.6 on p.276): Let  $f(\mathbf{x}|\theta)$  denote the joint pdf/pmf of a sample  $\mathbf{X}$ . A statistic  $T(\mathbf{X})$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$  if and only if there exist functions  $g(t|\theta)$  and  $h(\mathbf{x})$  such that, for all sample points  $\mathbf{x}$  and all parameter points  $\theta$ ,  $f(\mathbf{x}|\theta) = g(T(\mathbf{x})|\theta)h(\mathbf{x})$ .

- Sketch of proof of Theorem L10.2 for the discrete case:
- Suppose  $T(\mathbf{X})$  is a sufficient statistic. Then

$$\begin{aligned}f(\mathbf{x}|\theta) &= P_{\theta}(\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}) \\&= P_{\theta}(\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} \text{ and } T(\mathbf{X}) = T(\mathbf{x})) \\&= P_{\theta}(T(\mathbf{X}) = T(\mathbf{x})) P_{\theta}(\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} | T(\mathbf{X}) = T(\mathbf{x})) \\&= g(T(\mathbf{x})|\theta)h(\mathbf{x}).\end{aligned}$$

- Suppose that  $f(\mathbf{x}|\theta) = g(T(\mathbf{x})|\theta)h(\mathbf{x})$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{f(\mathbf{x}|\theta)}{q(T(\mathbf{x})|\theta)} &= \frac{g(T(\mathbf{x})|\theta)h(\mathbf{x})}{q(T(\mathbf{x})|\theta)} \\&= \frac{g(T(\mathbf{x})|\theta)h(\mathbf{x})}{\sum_{\mathbf{y} \in A_{T(\mathbf{x})}} g(T(\mathbf{y})|\theta)h(\mathbf{y})} \\&= \frac{g(T(\mathbf{x})|\theta)h(\mathbf{x})}{g(T(\mathbf{x})|\theta) \sum_{\mathbf{y} \in A_{T(\mathbf{x})}} h(\mathbf{y})} = \frac{h(\mathbf{x})}{\sum_{\mathbf{y} \in A_{T(\mathbf{x})}} h(\mathbf{y})}\end{aligned}$$

does not depend on  $\theta$ .



- *Example L10.2:* Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be iid random variables from a  $\text{Normal}(\mu, 1)$  distribution. Find a sufficient estimator for  $\mu$ .
- *Answer to Example L10.2:* Let  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . The joint pdf of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  is

$$\begin{aligned}f(\mathbf{x}|\mu) &= (2\pi)^{-n/2} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2 \right) \\&= (2\pi)^{-n/2} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \right) \exp \left( n\bar{x}\mu - \frac{n}{2}\mu^2 \right) \\&= (2\pi)^{-n/2} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \right) e^{-\frac{n}{2}(\bar{x}-\mu)^2} \\&= h(\mathbf{x})g(\bar{x}|\mu)\end{aligned}$$

where  $h(\mathbf{x}) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \right)$  does not depend on  $\mu$  and  $g(t|\mu) = e^{-\frac{n}{2}(t-\mu)^2}$ . Thus,  $\bar{X}$  is sufficient for  $\mu$ .

- *Example L10.3:* Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be iid random variables from a  $\text{Uniform}\{1, \dots, \theta\}$  distribution. Show that  $X_{(n)}$  is sufficient for  $\theta$ .
- *Answer to Example L10.3:* Let  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $\mathcal{N}_\theta = \{1, 2, \dots, \theta\}$ , and  $\mathcal{N}$  is the set of positive integers. The joint pmf of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  is

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{x}|\theta) &= \frac{1}{\theta^n} \prod_{i=1}^n I_{\mathcal{N}_\theta}(x_i) \\ &= \frac{1}{\theta^n} \prod_{i=1}^n I_{\mathcal{N}}(x_i) I_{\mathcal{N}_\theta}(x_{(n)}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\theta^n} I_{\mathcal{N}_\theta}(x_{(n)}) \prod_{i=1}^n I_{\mathcal{N}}(x_i) \\ &= g(x_{(n)}|\theta) h(\mathbf{x}) \end{aligned}$$

where  $g(t|\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta^n} I_{\mathcal{N}_\theta}(t)$  and  $h(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n I_{\mathcal{N}}(x_i)$  does not depend on  $\theta$ . Thus,  $X_{(n)}$  is sufficient for  $\theta$ .

- Sometimes, the information about the parameter cannot be summarized with a single number. The sufficient statistic might be a vector and the parameter itself might be vector-valued.
- *Theorem L10.3* (Thm 6.2.10 on p.279): Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be iid observations from a pdf or pmf,  $f(x|\boldsymbol{\theta})$  that belongs to an exponential family given by

$$f(x|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = h(x)c(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \exp \left( \sum_{i=1}^k w_i(\boldsymbol{\theta}) t_i(x) \right),$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_d)$ ,  $d \leq k$ . Then

$T(\mathbf{X}) = \left( \sum_{j=1}^n t_1(X_j), \dots, \sum_{j=1}^n t_k(X_j) \right)$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ .

- *Example L10.4:* Suppose that  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  is a random sample from a Normal distribution with unknown mean  $\mu$  and unknown variance  $\sigma^2$ . Find a sufficient statistic for  $(\mu, \sigma^2)$ .
- *Answer to Example L10.4:* Recall from Example L6.5 that the normal family of densities with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$  can be expressed as

$$f(x|\boldsymbol{\eta}) = h(x)c(\boldsymbol{\eta})e^{\eta_1 t_1(x) + \eta_2 t_2(x)}$$

where  $h(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$ ,  $c^*(\boldsymbol{\eta}) = \sqrt{\eta_1} \exp\left(-\frac{\eta_2^2}{2\eta_1}\right)$ ,  $t_1(x) = -\frac{x^2}{2}$ , and  $t_2(x) = x$  with  $\eta_1 = 1/\sigma^2$  and  $\eta_2 = \mu/\sigma^2$ .

Thus,  $\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2, \sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right)$  is sufficient for  $(\mu, \sigma^2)$ .

- Any one-to-one function of a sufficient statistic is also a sufficient statistic, as shown below.
- Suppose  $T(\mathbf{X})$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ , and suppose  $r$  is a one-to-one function (with inverse  $r^{-1}$ ) such that  $T^*(\mathbf{x}) = r(T(\mathbf{x}))$  for all  $\mathbf{x}$ .
- By the Factorization Theorem (*Theorem L10.2*), there exist  $g$  and  $h$  such that

$$f(\mathbf{x}|\theta) = g(T(\mathbf{x})|\theta)h(\mathbf{x}) = g(r^{-1}(T^*(\mathbf{x}))|\theta)h(\mathbf{x}).$$

Letting  $g^*(t|\theta) = g(r^{-1}(t)|\theta)$ , we have

$$f(\mathbf{x}|\theta) = g^*(T^*(\mathbf{x})|\theta)h(\mathbf{x})$$

so that  $T^*(\mathbf{X})$  is sufficient for  $\theta$ .

- *Example L10.5:* Suppose that  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  is a random sample from a Normal distribution with unknown mean  $\mu$  and unknown variance  $\sigma^2$ . Show that  $(\bar{X}, S^2)$  is sufficient for  $(\mu, \sigma^2)$ .
- *Answer to Example L10.5:* It was shown in *Example L10.4* that  $\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2, \sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right)$  is sufficient for  $(\mu, \sigma^2)$ . Let

$$r(t_1, t_2) = \left(\frac{t_2}{n}, \frac{-2nt_1 - t_2^2}{n(n-1)}\right).$$

Since  $r$  is one-to-one,  $r\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2, \sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right) = (\bar{X}, S^2)$  is sufficient for  $(\mu, \sigma^2)$ .

- *Definition L10.2* (Def 6.2.11 on p.280): A sufficient statistic  $T(\mathbf{X})$  is called a *minimal sufficient statistic* if, for any other sufficient statistic  $T'(\mathbf{X})$ ,  $T(\mathbf{x})$  is a function of  $T'(\mathbf{x})$ .
- *Theorem L10.4* (Thm 6.2.13 on p.281): Let  $f(\mathbf{x}|\theta)$  be a pmf/pdf of a sample  $\mathbf{X}$ . Suppose there exists a function  $T(\mathbf{x})$  such that, for every two sample points  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$ , the ratio  $f(\mathbf{x}|\theta)/f(\mathbf{y}|\theta)$  is constant as a function of  $\theta$  if and only if  $T(\mathbf{x}) = T(\mathbf{y})$ . Then  $T(\mathbf{X})$  is a minimal sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .

- *Example L10.6:* Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be iid  $\text{Normal}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , with  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$  unknown. Show that  $(\bar{X}, S^2)$  is a minimal sufficient statistic for  $(\mu, \sigma^2)$ .
- *Answer to Example L10.6:* From *Example L10.5*, this statistic is sufficient. Let  $(\bar{x}, s_x^2)$  and  $(\bar{y}, s_y^2)$  denote the sample means and sample variances corresponding to the observed samples  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$ , respectively. It can be shown that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{f(\mathbf{x}|\mu, \sigma^2)}{f(\mathbf{y}|\mu, \sigma^2)} &= \frac{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{-n/2} \exp \left\{ -[n(\bar{x} - \mu)^2 + (n-1)s_x^2] / (2\sigma^2) \right\}}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{-n/2} \exp \left\{ -[n(\bar{y} - \mu)^2 + (n-1)s_y^2] / (2\sigma^2) \right\}} \\ &= \exp \left\{ -[n(\bar{x}^2 - \bar{y}^2) + 2n\mu(\bar{x} - \bar{y}) - (n-1)(s_x^2 - s_y^2)] / (2\sigma^2) \right\},\end{aligned}$$

which is constant if and only if  $\bar{x} = \bar{y}$  and  $s_x^2 = s_y^2$ .

Thus,  $(\bar{X}, S^2)$  is a minimal sufficient statistic for  $(\mu, \sigma^2)$ .



# Rao-Blackwell Theorem

- Sufficient statistics are related to unbiased estimators through a well-known result known as the Rao-Blackwell Theorem.
- *Theorem L10.5* (Thm 7.3.17 on p.342): Let  $W$  be any unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$ , and let  $T$  be a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ . Define  $\phi(T) = E(W|T)$ . Then
  - (1)  $E_{\theta}\phi(T) = \tau(\theta)$  and
  - (2)  $\text{Var}_{\theta} \phi(T) \leq \text{Var}_{\theta} W$  for all  $\theta$ ;that is,  $\phi(T)$  is a uniformly better unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$ .
- Consequently, conditioning any unbiased estimator on a sufficient statistic will uniformly “improve” the estimator, so the Rao-Blackwell Theorem shows that we only need to consider statistics which are functions of sufficient statistics when searching for a UMVUE.

- *Theorem L10.6* (Thm 4.4.3 on p.164): If  $X$  and  $Y$  are any two random variables, then

$$E[X] = E[E[X|Y]],$$

provided that the expectations exist.

- *Theorem L10.7* (Thm 4.4.7 on p.167): For any two random variables  $X$  and  $Y$ ,

$$\text{Var}[X] = E[\text{Var}[X|Y]] + \text{Var}[E[X|Y]]$$

provided that the expectations exist.

- *Proof of Theorem L10.5:* Since  $T$  is sufficient,  $W|T$  does not depend on  $\theta$  and thus  $\phi(T) = E[W|T]$  is only a function of the sample and thus an estimator. Using the iterated formulas, we have

$$E[\phi(T)] = E[E[W|T]] = E[W] = \tau(\theta)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}[W] &= E[\text{Var}[W|T]] + \text{Var}[E[W|T]] \\ &= E[\text{Var}[W|T]] + \text{Var}[\phi(T)] \\ &\geq \text{Var}[\phi(T)]\end{aligned}$$

since  $\text{Var}[W|T] \geq 0$ , and thus,  $E[\text{Var}[W|T]] \geq 0$ .

- *Example L10.7:* Let  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  be independent identically distributed (iid)  $\text{Poisson}(\theta)$  random variables.
  - (a) Find a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .
  - (b) Show that  $T(X_1) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } X_1 = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta) = e^{-\theta}$ .
  - (c) Compute  $E[T(X_1) | X_1 + X_2 = y]$ .
  - (d) For the estimator  $T(X_1)$  in part (b), find a uniformly better unbiased estimator of  $e^{-\theta}$ .

- *Answer to Example L10.7:* (a) The joint pmf of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  is

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, x_2 | \theta) &= f(x_1 | \theta) f(x_2 | \theta) = \frac{\theta^{x_1} e^{-\theta}}{x_1!} \frac{\theta^{x_2} e^{-\theta}}{x_2!} \\ &= \frac{\theta^{x_1 + x_2} e^{-2\theta}}{x_1! x_2!} = g(x_1 + x_2 | \theta) h(x_1, x_2) \end{aligned}$$

where  $g(t | \theta) = \theta^t e^{-2\theta}$  and  $h(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{x_1! x_2!}$ . So,  $X_1 + X_2$  is sufficient for  $\theta$ .

- (b)  $E[T(X_1)] = P(T(X_1) = 1) = P(X_1 = 0) = \frac{\theta^0 e^{-\theta}}{0!} = e^{-\theta}$
- (c) Since  $X_1 + X_2 \sim \text{Poisson}(2\theta)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} E[T(X_1) | X_1 + X_2 = y] &= P(T(X_1) = 1 | X_1 + X_2 = y) \\ &= P(X_1 = 0 | X_1 + X_2 = y) \\ &= \frac{P(X_1 = 0 \text{ and } X_1 + X_2 = y)}{P(X_1 + X_2 = y)} \\ &= \frac{P(X_1 = 0 \text{ and } X_2 = y)}{P(X_1 + X_2 = y)} \end{aligned}$$

- *Answer to Example L10.7 continued:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[T(X_1)|X_1 + X_2 = y] &= \frac{P(X_1 = 0 \text{ and } X_2 = y)}{P(X_1 + X_2 = y)} \\ &= \frac{P(X_1 = 0)P(X_2 = y)}{P(X_1 + X_2 = y)} \\ &= \frac{e^{-\theta}(\theta^y e^{-\theta}/y!)}{(2\theta)^y e^{-2\theta}/y!} \\ &= \frac{\theta^y}{(2\theta)^y} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^y. \end{aligned}$$

- (d) Since  $T(X_1)$  is an unbiased estimator of  $e^{-\theta}$  and  $X_1 + X_2$  is sufficient for  $\theta$  (and consequently  $e^{-\theta}$ ), the Rao-Blackwell Theorem implies that

$$\phi(X_1 + X_2) = \mathbb{E}[T(X_1)|X_1 + X_2] = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{X_1 + X_2}$$

is a uniformly better unbiased estimator of  $e^{-\theta}$ .