

Lecture 11: Completeness, UMVUEs, and the Lehmann-Scheffé Theorem

MATH 667-01
Statistical Inference
University of Louisville

October 19, 2017

- We first discuss some important theorems regarding unbiased estimators in Section 7.3 of Casella and Berger (2002)¹.
- We define complete statistics and state a result for completeness for exponential families as discussed in Section 6.2.
- Finally, we state a few results from Sections 7.3 and 7.5 closely related to work by Lehmann and Scheffé (1950)² showing that a complete sufficient statistic is the unique UMVUE of its mean.

¹Casella, G. and Berger, R. (2002). *Statistical Inference, Second Edition*. Duxbury Press, Belmont, CA.

²Lehmann, E. L. and Scheffé, H. (1950). Completeness, similar regions, and unbiased estimation – part I. *Sankhya* **10**, 233–268.

Uniqueness of UMVUEs

- *Theorem L11.1* (Thm 7.3.19 on p.343): If there is a best unbiased estimator of $\tau(\theta)$, then it is unique.
- *Proof of Theorem L11.1*: Suppose W and W' are both best unbiased estimators of $\tau(\theta)$.

Then $W^* = \frac{1}{2}(W + W')$ is an unbiased estimator of $\tau(\theta)$.

Further, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}[W^*] &\stackrel{3.5}{=} \frac{1}{4} \text{Var}[W + W'] \\ &\stackrel{3.15}{=} \frac{1}{4} (\text{Var}[W] + \text{Var}[W'] + 2\text{Cov}[W, W']) \\ &\stackrel{9.5}{\leq} \frac{1}{4} (\text{Var}[W] + \text{Var}[W'] + 2\sqrt{\text{Var}[W]\text{Var}[W']}) \\ &\stackrel{9.5}{\leq} \frac{1}{4} (\text{Var}[W] + \text{Var}[W] + 2\sqrt{\text{Var}[W]\text{Var}[W]}) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (4 \text{Var}[W]) = \text{Var}[W].\end{aligned}$$

Uniqueness of UMVUEs

- *Proof of Theorem L11.1 continued:* Since W is a UMVUE, $\text{Var}[W] \leq \text{Var}[W^*]$ which implies that $\text{Var}[W] = \text{Var}[W^*]$.
- It follows that $\sqrt{\text{Var}[W]\text{Var}[W']} = \text{Cov}[W, W']$, and consequently, *Theorem L9.1(b)* implies that $W' = a(\theta)W + b(\theta)$.
- Since $\text{Var}[W] = \text{Var}[W']$,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}[W] &= \text{Cov}[W, W'] \\ &= \text{Cov}[W, a(\theta)W + b(\theta)] \\ &\stackrel{3.15}{=} a(\theta)\text{Var}[W]\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $a(\theta) = 1$.

- *Proof of Theorem L11.1 continued:* We also have

$$\tau(\theta) = E[W'] = a(\theta)E[W] + b(\theta) = a(\theta)\tau(\theta) + b(\theta).$$

- Since $a(\theta) = 1$, we obtain

$$\tau(\theta) = \tau(\theta) + b(\theta)$$

so that $b(\theta) = 0$.

- So,

$$W' = a(\theta)W + b(\theta) = 1 \cdot W + 0 = W$$

which proves that the UMVUE is unique.

Characterization of UMVUEs

- *Theorem L11.2* (Thm 7.3.20 on p.344): If $E_{\theta}[W] = \tau(\theta)$, W is the best unbiased estimator of $\tau(\theta)$ if and only if W is uncorrelated with all unbiased estimators of zero.
- *Proof of Theorem L11.2*: Suppose W is the best unbiased estimator of $\tau(\theta)$ and let U be an unbiased estimator of 0. Then $W' = W + aU$ is an unbiased estimator of $\tau(\theta)$ for all a . Also, we have

$$\text{Var}[W'] \stackrel{3.15}{=} \text{Var}[W] + 2a\text{Cov}[W, U] + a^2\text{Var}[U].$$

The right side is minimized at $a^* = \frac{-\text{Cov}[W, U]}{\text{Var}[U]}$ since

$$\frac{d}{da}\text{Var}[W + aU] = 2\text{Cov}[W, U] + 2a\text{Var}[U]$$

is positive when $a < a^*$ and negative when $a > a^*$.

So, $\text{Var}[W + a^*U] \leq \text{Var}[W]$ with equality only if $a^* = 0$.

Characterization of UMVUEs

- *Proof of Theorem L11.2 continued:* Conversely, suppose that W is uncorrelated with all unbiased estimators of θ , and W' is any other unbiased estimator of $\tau(\theta)$.
- Since $W' - W$ is an unbiased estimator of θ , W is uncorrelated with $W' - W$ which implies that $\text{Cov}[W, W' - W] = 0$.
- Then W is the UMVUE since

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}[W'] &= \text{Var}[W + (W' - W)] \\ &\stackrel{3.15}{=} \text{Var}[W] + \text{Var}[W' - W] + 2\text{Cov}[W, W' - W] \\ &= \text{Var}[W] + \text{Var}[W' - W] \\ &\geq \text{Var}[W]\end{aligned}$$

for any arbitrary W' .

- *Definition L11.1* (Def 6.2.21 on p.285): Let $f(t|\theta)$ be a family of pdfs or pmfs for a statistic $T(\mathbf{X})$. The family of probability distributions is called *complete* if

$$E_{\theta}[g(T)] = 0 \text{ for all } \theta$$

implies

$$P_{\theta}(g(T) = 0) = 1 \text{ for all } \theta.$$

Equivalently, $T(\mathbf{X})$ is called a *complete statistic*.

- *Example L11.1:* Let X_1, \dots, X_n be iid $\text{Uniform}(0, \theta)$ random variables. Show that $T(X_1, \dots, X_n) = X_{(n)}$ is a complete statistic.
- *Answer to Example L11.1:* Suppose $E[g(T)] = 0$ for all $\theta > 0$. Then $\frac{d}{d\theta} E[g(T)] = 0$. We can compute

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\theta} E[g(T)] &\stackrel{9.21}{=} \frac{d}{d\theta} \int_0^\theta g(t) n t^{n-1} \theta^{-n} dt \\ &= \frac{d}{d\theta} \left[\theta^{-n} \int_0^\theta g(t) n t^{n-1} dt \right] \\ &= \frac{d}{d\theta} [\theta^{-n}] \int_0^\theta g(t) n t^{n-1} dt + \theta^{-n} \frac{d}{d\theta} \left[\int_0^\theta g(t) n t^{n-1} dt \right] \\ &= -n\theta^{-n-1} \int_0^\theta g(t) n t^{n-1} dt + \theta^{-n} g(\theta) n \theta^{n-1} \\ &= -n\theta^{-1} E[g(T)] + g(\theta) n \theta^{-1} = g(\theta) n \theta^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $n\theta^{-1} \neq 0$, we have $g(\theta) = 0$ for $\theta > 0$.

(Technically, this only justifies the completeness condition for Riemann-integrable functions.)

- *Theorem L11.3* (Thm 7.3.23 on p.347): Let T be a complete sufficient statistic for a parameter θ , and let $\phi(T)$ be any estimator based only on T . Then $\phi(T)$ is the unique UMVUE of its expected value.
- *Theorem L11.4* (Thm 7.5.1 on p.369): Unbiased estimators based on complete sufficient statistics are unique.
- *Theorem L11.5* (p.347): If T is a complete sufficient statistic for a parameter θ and $h(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is any unbiased estimator of $\tau(\theta)$, then $\phi(T) = E[h(X_1, \dots, X_n)|T]$ is the UMVUE of $\tau(\theta)$.

- *Example L11.2:* Let X_1, \dots, X_n be iid $\text{Uniform}(0, \theta)$ random variables. Show that $\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right) X_{(n)}$ is the UMVUE of θ .
- *Answer to Example L11.2:* We know that $\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right) X_{(n)}$ is complete from *Example L11.1*. It is a sufficient statistic for θ by *Theorem L10.2* since the joint pdf can be expressed as $f(\mathbf{x}|\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta^n} I_{(0, \theta)}(x_{(n)})$.
- Let $\phi(T) = \frac{n+1}{n}T$. We know that $E\left[\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right) X_{(n)}\right] = \theta$ by *Example L9.4*.
- So *Theorem L11.3* implies that $\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right) X_{(n)}$ is the unique UMVUE of θ .

- *Theorem L11.6* (Thm 6.2.25 on p.288): Let X_1, \dots, X_n be iid random variables with a pdf or pmf $f(x|\boldsymbol{\theta})$ that belongs to an exponential family given by

$$f(x|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = h(x)c(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^k w_i(\boldsymbol{\theta}) t_i(x) \right),$$

where $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k)$. Then

$T(\mathbf{X}) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n t_1(X_j), \dots, \sum_{j=1}^n t_k(X_j) \right)$ is complete if the parameter space Θ contains an open set in \mathbb{R}^k .

Completeness and Exponential Families

- *Example L11.3:* Let X_1 and X_2 be independent identically distributed (iid) $\text{Poisson}(\theta)$ random variables.
 - (a) Find a complete sufficient statistic for θ .
 - (b) Find the UMVUE for $P(X_1 = 0) = e^{-\theta}$.
- *Answer to Example L11.3:* (a) We know $X_1 + X_2$ is sufficient for θ from *Example L10.7(a)*. Since the Poisson is an exponential family with pdf

$$f(x|\lambda) = \frac{1}{x!} I_{\mathbb{Z}^+(x)} e^{-\lambda} e^{x \ln \lambda}$$

where $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ which contains an open subset in \mathbb{R} , we know $\sum t(X_i) = \sum X_i = X_1 + X_2$ is complete by *Theorem L11.6*.

- *Answer to Example L11.3 continued:* We also know that $W = I_{\{0\}}(X_1)$ is an unbiased estimator of $e^{-\theta}$ from *Example L10.7*.
- So *Theorem L11.5* implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(W|X_1 + X_2) &= \mathbb{E}[W|X_1 + X_2] \\ &\stackrel{10.22}{=} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{X_1+X_2}\end{aligned}$$

is the UMVUE of $\tau(\theta)$.

Completeness and Exponential Families

- *Example L11.4:* Let X_1, \dots, X_n be iid $\text{Normal}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ random variables, where both μ and σ^2 are unknown. Show that \bar{X} is the UMVUE of μ and S^2 is the UMVUE of σ^2 .
- *Answer to Example L11.4 continued:* We know that (\bar{X}, S^2) is sufficient for (μ, σ^2) from *Example L10.6*.
- Since this is a full exponential family as shown in *Example L6.5*, (\bar{X}, S^2) is a complete statistic.
- Let $\phi_1(t_1, t_2) = t_1$. Then, by *Theorem L11.3*, $\phi_1(\bar{X}, S^2) = \bar{X}$ is the UMVUE of $E[\phi_1(\bar{X}, S^2)] = E[\bar{X}] \stackrel{3.19}{=} \mu$.
- Let $\phi_2(t_1, t_2) = t_2$. Then, by *Theorem L11.3*, $\phi_2(\bar{X}, S^2) = S^2$ is the UMVUE of $E[\phi_2(\bar{X}, S^2)] = E[S^2] \stackrel{3.22}{=} \sigma^2$.