# Lecture 9: UMVUEs and the Cramér-Rao Lower Bound

MATH 667-01 Statistical Inference University of Louisville

October 5, 2017

Last modified: 10/6/2017

#### Introduction

- We discuss uniform minimum variance unbiased estimators as discussed in Section 7.3 of Casella and Berger (2002)<sup>1</sup>.
- We review correlation from Section 4.5.
- We discuss and prove the Cramér-Rao Inequality and some corollaries. The regularity conditions in these notes are from Section 7.3 of Casella and Berger (1990)<sup>2</sup>.
- We present several examples to illustrate the results.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Casella, G. and Berger, R. (2002). *Statistical Inference, Second Edition*. Duxbury Press, Belmont, CA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Casella, G. and Berger, R. (1990). *Statistical Inference*. Duxbury Press, Belmont, CA.

# Best Unbiased Estimator (UMVUE)

- ullet In this lecture, we evaluate an estimator W of a parameter  $\theta$  based on the squared error loss function.
- If we consider only unbiased estimators, then  $\mathsf{E}_{\theta}[(W-\theta)^2] = \mathsf{Var}_{\theta}[W].$
- Definition L9.1 (Def 7.3.7 on p.334): An estimator  $W^*$  is a best unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$  if it satisfies  $\mathsf{E}_{\theta}[W^*] = \tau(\theta)$  for all  $\theta$  and, for any other unbiased estimator W with  $\mathsf{E}_{\theta}[W] = \tau(\theta)$ , we have  $\mathsf{Var}_{\theta}[W^*] \leq \mathsf{Var}_{\theta}[W]$  for all  $\theta$ .
- $W^*$  is also called a *uniform minimum variance unbiased* estimator (UMVUE) of  $\tau(\theta)$ .

# Best Unbiased Estimator (UMVUE)

- Example L9.1: Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be iid Poisson( $\lambda$ ). Both  $\bar{X}$  and  $S^2$  are unbiased estimators of  $\lambda$  since  $\mathsf{E}[X_1] = \mathsf{Var}[X_1] = \lambda$  so that  $\mathsf{E}[\bar{X}] = \mathsf{E}[S^2] = \lambda$ . For what values of  $\lambda$  is the variance of  $\bar{X}$  smaller than the variance of  $S^2$ ?
- Answer to Example L9.1: We know  $\operatorname{Var}[\bar{X}] \stackrel{3.19}{=} \frac{\operatorname{Var}[X_1]}{n} = \frac{\lambda}{n}$ . It can be shown that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Var}[S^2] &=& \frac{1}{n} \left[ \lambda (1+3\lambda) - \frac{n-3}{n-1} \lambda^2 \right] \\ &=& \frac{1}{n} \left[ \lambda + \frac{2n}{n-1} \lambda^2 \right] \end{aligned}$$

so  ${\rm Var}[\bar{X}] < {\rm Var}[S^2]$  for all  $\lambda.$ 

#### Review: Correlation

- $\bullet \ \mathsf{E}[X] = \mu_X \text{, } \mathsf{E}[Y] = \mu_Y \text{, } \mathsf{Var}[X] = \sigma_X^2 \text{, } \mathsf{Var}[Y] = \sigma_Y^2$
- Assume  $0 < \sigma_X^2 < \infty$  and  $0 < \sigma_Y^2 < \infty$
- Definition L9.2 (Def 4.5.2 on p.169): The correlation of X and Y is the number defined by

$$\rho_{XY} = \frac{\mathsf{Cov}[X,Y]}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y}.$$

The value  $\rho_{XY}$  is also called the *correlation coefficient*.

- Theorem L9.1 (Thm 4.5.7 on p.172): For any random variables X and Y,
  - (a)  $-1 \le \rho_{XY} \le 1$ .
  - (b)  $|\rho_{XY}|=1$  if and only if there exists numbers  $a\neq 0$  and b such that P(Y=aX+b)=1. If  $\rho_{XY}=1$  then a>0, and if  $\rho_{XY}=-1$  then a<0.

• Theorem L9.2 (p.335): Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be a sample with pdf  $f(\boldsymbol{x}|\theta)$ , and let  $W(\boldsymbol{X}) = W(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$  be any estimator where  $\mathsf{E}_{\theta}[W(\boldsymbol{X})]$  is a differentiable function of  $\theta$ . Suppose the joint pdf  $f(\boldsymbol{x}|\theta)$  satisfies

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} \int \cdots \int h(\boldsymbol{x}) f(\boldsymbol{x}|\theta) \ d\boldsymbol{x} = \int \cdots \int h(\boldsymbol{x}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(\boldsymbol{x}|\theta) \ d\boldsymbol{x},$$

for any function h(x) with  $\mathsf{E}_{\theta}[\ |h(X)|\ ]<\infty.$  Then

$$\mathsf{Var}_{\theta}[W(\boldsymbol{X})] \geq \frac{\left\{\frac{d}{d\theta}\mathsf{E}_{\theta}[W(\boldsymbol{X})]\right\}^2}{\mathsf{E}_{\theta}\left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\ln f(\boldsymbol{X}|\theta)\right)^2\right]}.$$

- The inequality is referred to as the Cramér-Rao inequality.
- If W(X) is an unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$ , then the numerator becomes

$$\left(\frac{d}{d\theta}\mathsf{E}_{\theta}[W(\boldsymbol{X})]\right)^{2} = \left(\tau'(\theta)\right)^{2}.$$

• Proof of Theorem L9.2: Since Theorem L9.1(a) implies

$$\left\{ \mathsf{Cov}\left[W(\boldsymbol{X}), \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \ln f(\boldsymbol{X}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) \right] \right\}^2 \leq \mathsf{Var}[W(\boldsymbol{X})] \mathsf{Var}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \ln f(\boldsymbol{X}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) \right],$$

it follows that

$$\mathsf{Var}[W(\boldsymbol{X})] \geq \frac{\left\{\mathsf{Cov}\left[W(\boldsymbol{X}), \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(\boldsymbol{X}|\theta)\right]\right\}^2}{\mathsf{Var}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(\boldsymbol{X}|\theta)\right]}.$$

Proof of Theorem L9.2 continued: Note that

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{E}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(\boldsymbol{X}|\theta)\right] &= \int_{\mathcal{X}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(\boldsymbol{x}|\theta) f(\boldsymbol{x}|\theta) \; d\boldsymbol{x} \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{X}} \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(\boldsymbol{x}|\theta)}{f(\boldsymbol{x}|\theta)} f(\boldsymbol{x}|\theta) \; d\boldsymbol{x} \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{X}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(\boldsymbol{x}|\theta) \; d\boldsymbol{x} \\ &= \frac{d}{d\theta} \int_{\mathcal{X}} f(\boldsymbol{x}|\theta) \; d\boldsymbol{x} = \frac{d}{d\theta} \boldsymbol{1} = 0. \end{split}$$

Proof of Theorem L9.2 continued: Then we have

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{Cov}\left[W(\boldsymbol{X}), \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(\boldsymbol{X}|\theta)\right] &= \mathsf{E}\left[W(\boldsymbol{X}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(\boldsymbol{X}|\theta)\right] \\ &= \mathsf{E}\left[W(\boldsymbol{X}) \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(\boldsymbol{X}|\theta)}{f(\boldsymbol{X}|\theta)}\right] \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{X}} \frac{d}{d\theta} [W(\boldsymbol{x}) f(\boldsymbol{x}|\theta)] \; d\boldsymbol{x} \\ &= \frac{d}{d\theta} \mathsf{E}[W(\boldsymbol{X})] \end{split}$$

and

$$\mathsf{Var}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \ln f(\boldsymbol{X}|\boldsymbol{\theta})\right] = \mathsf{E}\left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \ln f(\boldsymbol{X}|\boldsymbol{\theta})\right)^2\right].$$

• Theorem L9.3 (p.337): Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be iid with pdf  $f(x|\theta)$ , and let  $W(\boldsymbol{X}) = W(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$  be any estimator where  $\mathsf{E}_{\theta}[W(\boldsymbol{X})]$  is a differentiable function of  $\theta$ . If the joint pdf  $f(\boldsymbol{x}|\theta) = \prod f(x_i|\theta)$  satisfies

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} \int \cdots \int h(\boldsymbol{x}) f(\boldsymbol{x}|\theta) \ d\boldsymbol{x} = \int \cdots \int h(\boldsymbol{x}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(\boldsymbol{x}|\theta) \ d\boldsymbol{x},$$

for any function  $h(\boldsymbol{x})$  with  $\mathsf{E}_{\theta}[\;|h(\boldsymbol{X})|\;]<\infty$ , then

$$\mathsf{Var}_{\theta}[W(\boldsymbol{X})] \geq \frac{\left(\frac{d}{d\theta}\mathsf{E}_{\theta}[W(\boldsymbol{X})]\right)^{2}}{n\mathsf{E}_{\theta}\left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\ln f(X|\theta)\right)^{2}\right]}.$$

• Proof of Theorem L9.3 continued: If we also assume that  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  is iid, then we have

$$E\left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(\boldsymbol{X}|\theta)\right)^{2}\right] = E\left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln \prod_{i=1}^{n} f(X_{i}|\theta)\right)^{2}\right]$$

$$= E\left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln f(X_{i}|\theta)\right)^{2}\right]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} E\left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(X_{i}|\theta)\right)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(X_{j}|\theta)\right)\right]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} E\left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(X_{i}|\theta)\right)^{2}\right] +$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} E\left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(X_{i}|\theta)\right)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(X_{j}|\theta)\right)\right]$$

• Proof of Theorem L9.3 continued:

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(X_{i}|\theta)\right)^{2}\right] +$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j \neq i} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(X_{i}|\theta)\right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(X_{j}|\theta)\right)\right]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(X_{i}|\theta)\right)^{2}\right] +$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j \neq i} \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(X_{i}|\theta)\right] \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(X_{j}|\theta)\right]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(X_{i}|\theta)\right)^{2}\right]$$

$$= n\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(X_{j}|\theta)\right)^{2}\right] .$$

- The quantity  $\mathsf{E}_{\theta} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(X|\theta) \right)^2 \right]$  is called the *information number*, or *Fisher information* of the sample.
- Theorem L9.4 (Lem 7.3.11 on p.338): If  $f(x|\theta)$  satisfies

$$\frac{d}{d\theta}\mathsf{E}_{\theta}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\ln f(X|\theta)\right] = \int\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\ln f(x|\theta)\right)f(x|\theta)\right] \ dx$$

and  $\frac{d}{d\theta} \int f(x|\theta) \ dx = \int \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(x|\theta) \ dx$ , then

$$\mathsf{E}_{\theta} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(X|\theta) \right)^{2} \right] = -\mathsf{E}_{\theta} \left[ \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}} \ln f(X|\theta) \right].$$

• The condition on  $f(x|\theta)$ , and consequently the result, is true for an exponential family.

Proof of Theorem L9.4: Note that

$$\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}} \left[ \ln f(x|\theta) \right] = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left\{ \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(x|\theta)}{f(x|\theta)} \right\}$$

$$= \frac{\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}} f(x|\theta)}{f(x|\theta)} - \frac{\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(x|\theta)\right)^{2}}{(f(x|\theta))^{2}}.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{E} \left[ \frac{\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} f(X|\theta)}{f(X|\theta)} \right] &= \int \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} f(x|\theta) \; dx \\ &= \int \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(x|\theta) \right\} \; dx \end{split}$$

• Proof of Theorem L9.4 continued:

$$= \int \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(x|\theta) \right\} dx$$

$$= \int \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left\{ \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(x|\theta)}{f(x|\theta)} f(x|\theta) \right\} dx$$

$$= \int \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left\{ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(x|\theta) \right) f(x|\theta) \right\} dx$$

$$= \frac{d}{d\theta} \int \left\{ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(x|\theta) \right) f(x|\theta) \right\} dx$$

$$= \frac{d}{d\theta} \int \left\{ \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(x|\theta)}{f(x|\theta)} f(x|\theta) \right\} dx$$

$$= \frac{d}{d\theta} \int \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(x|\theta) dx = \frac{d}{d\theta} \frac{d}{d\theta} \int f(x|\theta) dx = \frac{d}{d\theta} [1] = 0$$

Proof of Theorem L9.4 continued: So, it follows that

$$E\left[\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}} \ln f(X|\theta)\right] = E\left[\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}} f(\frac{\mathbf{X}}{|\theta)}\right] - E\left[\frac{\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(\mathbf{X}|\theta)\right)^{2}}{\left(f(\mathbf{X}|\theta)\right)^{2}}\right]$$

$$= 0 - E\left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(\frac{\mathbf{X}}{|\theta)}\right)^{2}\right]$$

$$= -E\left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(\frac{\mathbf{X}}{|\theta)}\right)^{2}\right].$$

- Example L9.2: Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be iid Poisson( $\lambda$ ). Find the Cramér-Rao lower bound on the variance of unbiased estimators of  $\lambda$ . Also, find the MLE and show that it is the UMVUE of  $\lambda$ .
- Answer to Example L9.2: Since  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda^2} \ln f(x|\lambda) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda^2} \left[ \ln \left\{ \lambda^x e^{-\lambda} (x!)^{-1} \right\} \right] = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda^2} \left[ x \ln \lambda \lambda \ln(x!) \right] = -\frac{x}{\lambda^2}$ , we have

$$\mathsf{E}\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda^2} \ln f(X|\lambda)\right] = \mathsf{E}\left[-\frac{1}{\lambda^2} X\right] = -\frac{1}{\lambda^2} \mathsf{E}[X] = -\frac{1}{\lambda^2} \lambda = -\frac{1}{\lambda}.$$

By Theorem L9.4,

$$\mathsf{E}\left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(X|\theta)\right)^2\right] = -\mathsf{E}\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda^2} \ln f(X|\lambda)\right] = \frac{1}{\lambda}.$$

 Answer to Example L9.2 continued: So the Cramér-Rao lower bound for an unbiased estimator in the iid case is

$$\frac{\left(\frac{d}{d\theta}\mathsf{E}_{\theta}[W(\boldsymbol{X})]\right)^{2}}{n\mathsf{E}_{\theta}\left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\ln f(X|\theta)\right)^{2}\right]} = \frac{1}{n\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)} = \frac{\lambda}{n}.$$

The MLE of  $\lambda$  is  $\hat{\lambda}=\bar{X}$  and  $\mathrm{Var}[\bar{X}]=\frac{\mathrm{E}[X_1]}{n}=\frac{\lambda}{n}$  so it attains the CRLB and is the UMVUE of  $\lambda$ .

- Example L9.3: Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be iid Normal $(\mu, \sigma^2)$  random variables. Find the Cramér-Rao lower bound on unbiased estimators of  $\sigma^2$ . Does  $S^2$  satisfy the CRLB?
- Answer to Example L9.3: Since

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial (\sigma^2)^2} \ln f(x|\mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial (\sigma^2)^2} \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \ln(\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (x - \mu)^2 \right] 
= \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} - \frac{(x - \mu)^2}{\sigma^6},$$

Theorem L9.4 implies that

$$\mathsf{E}\left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(X|\mu, \sigma^2)\right)^2\right] = -\mathsf{E}\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \sigma^2} \ln f(X|\mu, \sigma^2)\right]$$
$$= -\mathsf{E}\left[\frac{1}{2\sigma^4} - \frac{(X-\mu)^2}{\sigma^6}\right]$$

Answer to Example L9.3 continued:

$$\begin{split} &= \quad -\mathsf{E}\left[\frac{1}{2\sigma^4} - \frac{(X-\mu)^2}{\sigma^6}\right] \\ &= \quad -\frac{1}{2\sigma^4} + \frac{\mathsf{E}[(X-\mu)^2]}{\sigma^6}] \\ &= \quad -\frac{1}{2\sigma^4} + \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^6} = \frac{1}{2\sigma^4}. \end{split}$$

Thus, the CRLB is 
$$\frac{1}{n \mathsf{E} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(X|\theta) \right)^2 \right]} = \frac{2\sigma^4}{n}$$
.

So,  $S^2$  does not satisfy the CRLB since

$$\operatorname{Var}[S^2] = \frac{2\sigma^4}{n-1} = \frac{n}{n-1} \left( \frac{2\sigma^4}{n} \right) > \frac{2\sigma^4}{n} = CRLB.$$

- Example L9.4: Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be iid Uniform $(0,\theta)$  random variables. Find the Cramér-Rao lower bound on the variance of unbiased estimators of  $\theta$ . Also, for  $Y = \max\{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$  show that  $\binom{n+1}{n} Y$  is an unbiased estimator which has a smaller variance than the Cramér-Rao lower bound.

- Answer to Example L9.4 continued:  $\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)Y$  is unbiased since  $\operatorname{E}\left[\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)Y\right] = \frac{n+1}{n}\int_0^\theta y \frac{ny^{n-1}}{\theta^n} \ dy = \frac{n+1}{\theta^n}\int_0^\theta y^n \ dy = \frac{n+1}{\theta^n}\left[\frac{1}{n+1}y^{n+1}\right]_0^\theta = \frac{n+1}{\theta^n}\left[\frac{1}{n+1}\theta^{n+1}\right] = \theta.$
- $$\begin{split} & \bullet \ \, \mathsf{Similarly,} \ \, \mathsf{E}\left[\left(\frac{n+1}{n}Y\right)^2\right] = \frac{(n+1)^2}{n\theta^n} \int_0^\theta y^{n+1} \ dy = \\ & \frac{(n+1)^2}{n\theta^n} \left[\frac{1}{n+2} y^{n+2}\right]_0^\theta = \frac{(n+1)^2}{n\theta^n} \left[\frac{1}{n+2} \theta^{n+2}\right] = \frac{(n+1)^2}{n(n+2)} \theta^2. \end{split}$$
- So,  $Var\left[\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)Y\right] = \frac{(n+1)^2}{n(n+2)}\theta^2 \theta^2 = \frac{1}{n(n+2)}\theta^2$ .
- ullet It is now seen that  $\operatorname{Var}\left[\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)Y\right]=\frac{1}{n+2}\left(\frac{\theta^2}{n}\right)<\frac{\theta^2}{n}=\operatorname{CRLB}.$

#### Attainment

• Theorem L9.5 (Cor 7.3.15 on p.341): Let  $X_1,\ldots,X_n$  be iid  $f(x|\theta)$ , where  $f(x|\theta)$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem L9.3. Let  $L(\theta|\boldsymbol{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i|\theta)$  denote the likelihood function. If  $W(\boldsymbol{X}) = W(X_1,\ldots,X_n)$  is any unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$ , then  $W(\boldsymbol{X})$  attains the Cramér-Rao Lower Bound if and only if

$$a(\theta)[W(\boldsymbol{x}) - \tau(\theta)] = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln L(\theta|\boldsymbol{x})$$

for some function  $a(\theta)$ .

#### Attainment

Proof of Theorem L9.5: By Theorem L9.1(b),

$$\left\{\mathsf{Cov}\left[W(\boldsymbol{X}), \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \ln f(\boldsymbol{X}|\boldsymbol{\theta})\right]\right\}^2 = \mathsf{Var}[W(\boldsymbol{X})] \mathsf{Var}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \ln f(\boldsymbol{X}|\boldsymbol{\theta})\right]$$

if and only if there are functions  $b(\theta)$  and  $a(\theta)$  (where  $|a(\theta)|>0)$  such that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(\mathbf{X}|\theta) = a(\theta)W(\mathbf{X}) + b(\theta). \tag{1}$$

Since  $\mathsf{E}[W(X)] = \tau(\theta)$  and  $\mathsf{E}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(\boldsymbol{X}|\theta)\right] \stackrel{9.8}{=} 0$ , taking the expected value of both sides of (1) yields  $0 = a(\theta)\tau(\theta) + b(\theta)$  so that

$$b(\theta) = -a(\theta)\tau(\theta). \tag{2}$$

Substituting (2) into (1), we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(\boldsymbol{X}|\theta) = a(\theta) \left\{ W(\boldsymbol{X}) - \tau(\theta) \right\}.$$

#### Attainment

• Example L9.5: Let  $X_1,\ldots,X_n$  be a random sample from a  $\mathrm{Beta}(\theta,1)$  population which has pdf

$$f(x) = \theta x^{\theta - 1} I_{(0,1)}(x).$$

- (a) Compute  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln L(\theta | x)$  where L is the likelihood function.
- (b) Find the UMVUE for  $\frac{1}{\theta}$ .
- Answer to Example L9.5: We have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln L(\theta | \boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln f(x_i | \theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left[ (\theta - 1) \ln x_i + \ln \theta \right]$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \ln x_i + \frac{1}{\theta} \right\} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln x_i + \frac{n}{\theta}.$$

• Answer to Example L9.5 continued: Since  $f(x|\theta)$  is a member of an exponential family with  $c(\theta) = \theta$ ,  $w(\theta) = \theta - 1$ , and  $t(x) = \ln x$ , and  $\{w(\theta):\theta\in(0,\infty)\}=(-1,\infty)$  contains an open subset of  $\mathbb R$ Theorem L6.2 implies that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} t(X_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln X_i$  belongs to an exponential family with  $C(\theta) = \left[c(\theta)\right]^n = \theta^n$ ,  $w(\theta) = \theta - 1$ , and  $u_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} t(x_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln x_i$ . By Theorem L6.1, we have  $\mathsf{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln X_i\right] = -\frac{d}{d\theta} \left[\ln(\theta^n)\right] = -\frac{n}{\theta}.$ 

- Hence,  $\mathsf{E}\left[\frac{-1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \ln X_i\right] = \frac{1}{\theta}$ .
- Theorem L9.5 shows that  $\frac{-1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln X_i$  is the UMVUE for  $\frac{1}{\theta}$  since

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln L(\theta | \boldsymbol{x}) = -n \left( -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln x_i - \frac{1}{\theta} \right).$$