

HW3 solutions

1. (a) If $X \sim \text{Cauchy}(\mu, \sigma)$, Theorem L5.2 implies that there is a $Z \sim \text{Cauchy}(0, 1)$ such that $X = \sigma Z + \mu$.

$$\text{So, } P(X \geq \mu) = P(\sigma Z + \mu \geq \mu) = P(Z \geq 0) \\ = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{\pi(1+z^2)} dz = \frac{1}{\pi} [\arctan z]_0^{\infty} = \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - 0 \right) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

$$\text{Also, } P(X \leq \mu) = P(X < \mu) = 1 - P(X \geq \mu) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

$$(b) P(X \geq \mu + \sigma) = P(\sigma Z + \mu \geq \mu + \sigma) = P(Z \geq 1) \\ = \int_1^{\infty} \frac{1}{\pi(1+z^2)} dz = \frac{1}{\pi} [\arctan z]_1^{\infty} = \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4} \right) = \frac{1}{4}.$$

$$P(X \leq \mu - \sigma) = P(Z \leq -1) = \int_{-\infty}^{-1} \frac{1}{\pi(1+z^2)} dz = \frac{1}{\pi} [\arctan z]_{-\infty}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\pi} \left(-\frac{\pi}{4} - \left(-\frac{\pi}{2} \right) \right) = \frac{1}{4}.$$

2. (a) Yes, we can write

$$f_X(x|\alpha) = \underbrace{e^{-x} I_{(0, \infty)}(x)}_{h(x)} \underbrace{\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} e^{\underbrace{w(\alpha)}_{(\alpha-1)\ln x} \underbrace{t(x)}_{\ln x}}}_{c(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}}.$$

(b) Since $w'(\alpha) = 1$,

$$E[\ln X] = E[w'(\alpha) t(X)] = -\frac{d}{d\alpha} [\ln c(\alpha)]$$

$$= \frac{d}{d\alpha} \left[\ln \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \right] = \frac{d}{d\alpha} [\ln \Gamma(\alpha)] = \boxed{\frac{\Gamma'(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha)}}.$$

↗
This is called the digamma function.

3. (a) The pmf of X_i belongs to an exponential family

$$f(x|\lambda) = \frac{1}{x!} I_{\mathbb{Z}^+}(x) e^{-\lambda} e^{(\ln \lambda)x}, \quad \lambda > 0$$

where \mathbb{Z}^+ is the set of all nonnegative integers

with $c(\lambda) = e^{-\lambda}$, $w(\lambda) = \ln \lambda$, and $t(x) = x$.

Since $\{w(\lambda) | \lambda \in (0, \infty)\} = \mathbb{R}$ contains an open subset of \mathbb{R} ,

Theorem 4.6.2 implies that

$\sum_{j=1}^n t(X_j) = \sum_{j=1}^n X_j$ is an exponential family with pmf

$$f_{\sum X_j}(u) = H(u) (c(\lambda))^n e^{(\ln \lambda)u} = H(u) e^{-n\lambda} \lambda^u.$$

(b) It can be seen that $\sum_{j=1}^n X_j \sim \text{Poisson}(n\lambda)$ since

$f_{\sum X_j}(u)$ has the form of a Poisson pmf with $H(u) = \frac{n^u}{u!} I_{\mathbb{Z}^+}(u)$

so that $f_{\sum X_j}(u) = e^{-n\lambda} \frac{(n\lambda)^u}{u!} I_{\mathbb{Z}^+}(u)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } P(\bar{X} \geq \lambda) &= P\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum X_i \geq \lambda\right) = P(\sum X_i \geq n\lambda) \\ &= 1 - P(\sum X_i < n\lambda) = 1 - \sum_{u=0}^{\lfloor n\lambda \rfloor} e^{-n\lambda} \frac{(n\lambda)^u}{u!}. \end{aligned}$$

With $n=3$ and $\lambda=1.2$,

$$\begin{aligned} P(\bar{X} \geq 1.2) &= 1 - \sum_{u=0}^{\lfloor 3.6 \rfloor} e^{-3.6} \frac{(3.6)^u}{u!} \\ &= 1 - e^{-3.6} \left(1 + 3.6 + \frac{(3.6)^2}{2} + \frac{(3.6)^3}{6}\right) \approx \boxed{0.484784} \end{aligned}$$

Alternately: We can show that $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \text{Poisson}(n\lambda)$ as follows using the fact

that the MGF of a $\text{Poisson}(\lambda)$ random variable is $M(t) = e^{\lambda(e^t - 1)}$.

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\sum X_i}(t) &= E[e^{t \sum X_i}] = E[\prod e^{t X_i}] = \prod E[e^{t X_i}] = \prod e^{\lambda(e^t - 1)} \\ &= (e^{\lambda(e^t - 1)})^n = e^{n\lambda(e^t - 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

This is the MGF of a Poisson random variable with mean $n\lambda$ so

$$\sum X_i \sim \text{Poisson}(n\lambda).$$