

Lecture 15: Uniformly Most Powerful Tests, the Neyman-Pearson Lemma, and the Karlin-Rubin Theorem

MATH 667-01
Statistical Inference
University of Louisville

November 2, 2017

- We give the definition of a uniformly most powerful test in Section 8.3.2 of Casella and Berger (2002)¹.
- Then the proof for the Neyman-Pearson Lemma is given.
- In Section 8.3.2, pdfs/pmfs with a monotone likelihood ratio (MLR) are also discussed and the Karlin-Rubin Theorem is proven.

¹Casella, G. and Berger, R. (2002). *Statistical Inference, Second Edition*. Duxbury Press, Belmont, CA.

Uniformly Most Powerful (UMP) Tests

- *Definition L15.1* (p.389): Let \mathcal{C} be a class of tests for testing $H_0 : \theta \in \Theta_0$ versus $H_1 : \theta \in \Theta_0^c$. A test in class \mathcal{C} , with power function $\beta(\theta)$, is a *uniformly most powerful* (UMP) *class \mathcal{C} test* if $\beta(\theta) \geq \beta'(\theta)$ for every $\theta \in \Theta_0^c$ and every $\beta'(\theta)$ that is a power function of a test in class \mathcal{C} .
- Often, \mathcal{C} will be the class of all level α tests.
- *Definition L15.2* (Def 8.3.11 on p.388): A *test function*, $\phi(x)$, for a hypothesis testing procedure is a function on the sample space whose value is one if x is in the rejection region and zero if x is in the acceptance region. That is, $\phi(x)$ is the indicator function of the rejection region.

Neyman-Pearson Lemma

- *Theorem L15.1* (Thm 8.3.12 on p.388): Consider testing $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$ versus $H_1 : \theta = \theta_1$, where the pdf or pmf corresponding to θ_i is $f(x|\theta_i)$, $i = 0, 1$, using a test with rejection region R that satisfies

$$(*) \quad x \in R \text{ if } f(x|\theta_1) > kf(x|\theta_0) \text{ and } x \in R^c \text{ if } f(x|\theta_1) < kf(x|\theta_0),$$

for some $k \geq 0$, and

$$(**) \quad \alpha = P_{\theta_0}(\mathbf{X} \in R).$$

Then

- (Sufficiency) Any test that satisfies (*) and (**) is a UMP level α test.
- (Necessity) If there exists a test satisfying (*) and (**) with $k > 0$, then every UMP level α test is a size α test and every UMP level α test satisfies (*) except perhaps on a set A satisfying $P_{\theta_0}(\mathbf{X} \in A) = P_{\theta_1}(\mathbf{X} \in A) = 0$.

Neyman-Pearson Lemma

- *Proof of Theorem L15.1:* Let $\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mathbf{x} \in R \\ 0 & \text{if } \mathbf{x} \in R^c \end{cases}$ and let $\phi'(\mathbf{x})$ be a test function of any other level α test. Since $0 \leq \phi'(\mathbf{x}) \leq 1$, we have $1 - \phi'(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$ and $f(\mathbf{x}|\theta_1) - kf(\mathbf{x}|\theta_0) > 0$ if $\mathbf{x} \in R$. If $\mathbf{x} \in R^c$, we have $0 - \phi'(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0$ and $f(\mathbf{x}|\theta_1) - kf(\mathbf{x}|\theta_0) < 0$. So, for all \mathbf{x} , we have
$$0 \leq \left(\phi(\mathbf{x}) - \phi'(\mathbf{x}) \right) \left(f(\mathbf{x}|\theta_1) - kf(\mathbf{x}|\theta_0) \right). \quad (1)$$

The test functions are related to the power functions as follows:

$$\beta(\theta) = P_{\theta}(X \in R) = \mathbb{E}[\phi(X)] = \int \phi(\mathbf{x}) f(\mathbf{x}|\theta) d\mathbf{x}$$

and

$$\beta'(\theta) = \int \phi'(\mathbf{x}) f(\mathbf{x}|\theta) d\mathbf{x}.$$

- *Proof of Theorem L15.1 continued:* Since (1) holds for all \mathbf{x} ,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int \left(\phi(\mathbf{x}) - \phi'(\mathbf{x}) \right) \left(f(\mathbf{x}|\theta_1) - k f(\mathbf{x}|\theta_0) \right) d\mathbf{x} & (2) \\ &= \int \phi(\mathbf{x}) f(\mathbf{x}|\theta_1) d\mathbf{x} - \int \phi'(\mathbf{x}) f(\mathbf{x}|\theta_1) d\mathbf{x} - k \int \phi(\mathbf{x}) f(\mathbf{x}|\theta_0) d\mathbf{x} + k \int \phi'(\mathbf{x}) f(\mathbf{x}|\theta_0) d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \beta(\theta_1) - \beta'(\theta_1) - k\beta(\theta_0) + k\beta'(\theta_0) \\ &= \beta(\theta_1) - \beta'(\theta_1) - k\left(\beta(\theta_0) - \beta'(\theta_0)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, $\beta'(\theta_0) \leq \alpha$ (ϕ' is a level α test) and $\beta(\theta_0) = \alpha$ (ϕ is a size α test) so it follows that

$$\beta(\theta_0) - \beta'(\theta_0) \geq 0$$

which implies that $\beta(\theta_1) - \beta'(\theta_1) \geq 0$. Hence, $\beta(\theta) \geq \beta'(\theta)$ for every θ in $\Theta_0^c = \{\theta_1\}$ which shows that ϕ is a UMP level α test.

- *Proof of Theorem L15.1 continued:* Suppose ϕ' is the test function for a UMP level α test.

Since ϕ is also a UMP level α test, $\beta(\theta_1) = \beta'(\theta_1)$.

Thus, it follows that $0 \leq -k(\beta(\theta_0) - \beta'(\theta_0))$ so that

$$\beta(\theta_0) - \beta'(\theta_0) \leq 0 \Rightarrow \alpha - \beta'(\theta_0) \leq 0 \Rightarrow \alpha \leq \beta'(\theta_0).$$

But since ϕ' is a level α test, $\beta'(\theta_0) \leq \alpha$ so we know $\beta'(\theta_0) = \alpha$; that is, ϕ' is a size α test. This also implies that the integral in (2) equals 0, and consequently, ϕ' must satisfy (*) except possibly on a set of measure 0.

Neyman-Pearson Lemma

- *Example L15.1:* Suppose the pmf of X under H_0 and H_1 are given in the following table.

	x				
	1	2	3	4	5
$f(x H_0)$.01	.02	.02	.03	.92
$f(x H_1)$.02	.02	.10	.63	.23

- (a) Find a UMP test with size .05 for testing H_0 versus H_1 .
- (b) Find the probability of a Type II error of the test in part (a).
- *Answer to Example L15.1:* By the Neyman-Pearson Lemma, we look at rejection regions satisfying

$$x \in R \text{ if } f(x|H_1) > kf(x|H_0) \text{ and } x \in R^c \text{ if } f(x|H_1) < kf(x|H_0)$$

for some k .

- Answer to Example L15.1 continued: The following table computes the ratios $\frac{f(x|H_1)}{f(x|H_0)}$.

	x				
	1	2	3	4	5
$f(x H_0)$.01	.02	.02	.03	.92
$f(x H_1)$.02	.02	.10	.63	.23
$f(x H_1)/f(x H_0)$	2	1	5	21	.25

If $k \in (21, \infty)$, $R = \emptyset$ and $P(X \in R|H_0) = 0$.

If $k \in (5, 21)$, $R = \{4\}$ and $P(X \in R|H_0) = .03$.

If $k \in (2, 5)$, $R = \{3, 4\}$ and $P(X \in R|H_0) = .05$.

So rejecting H_0 if and only if $X \in \{3, 4\}$ is the UMP test with size .05.

- The probability of a Type II error is

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(X \notin R|H_1) &= P(X \in \{1, 2, 5\} | H_1) \\
 &= f(1|H_1) + f(2|H_1) + f(5|H_1) = .27.
 \end{aligned}$$

Neyman-Pearson Lemma

- *Example L15.2:* Let X_1, \dots, X_n be iid $\text{Normal}(\mu, 1)$ random variables. Show that there is no UMP test for testing $H_0 : \mu = \mu_0$ versus $H_1 : \mu \neq \mu_0$.

- *Answer to Example L15.2:* For a specified value of α , a level α test in this problem is a test which satisfies $P_{\theta_0}(\text{reject } H_0) \leq \alpha$.

Let μ_1 be a value less than μ_0 and consider testing

$H'_0 : \mu = \mu_0$ versus $H'_1 : \mu = \mu_1$.

Let $z_\alpha = \Phi^{-1}(1 - \alpha)$ with Φ being the cdf of a standard normal random variable. It can be shown that the test that rejects H'_0 if and only if $\bar{X} < \mu_0 - z_\alpha \sigma / \sqrt{n}$ is the UMP test of H'_0 versus H'_1 by *Theorem L15.1(a)*; name this test “Test 1”. By *Theorem L15.1(b)*, any other test that has as high a power as Test 1 must have the same rejection region except possibly on a set of measure 0. That is, if there is a UMP test for H_0 versus H_1 , it must be Test 1 because no other test has as high a power at μ_1 .

Neyman-Pearson Lemma

- *Answer to Example L15.2 continued:* Now consider a test which rejects H_0 if $\bar{X} > \mu_0 + z_\alpha \sigma / \sqrt{n}$, which is a level α test; call this “Test 2”.

Let $\beta_i(\mu)$ be the power function for Test i . For any $\mu_2 > \mu_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_2(\mu_2) &= P_{\mu_2} \left(\bar{X} > \mu_0 + \frac{\sigma z_\alpha}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \\&= P_{\mu_2} \left(\frac{\bar{X} - \mu_2}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}} > \frac{\mu_0 - \mu_2}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}} + z_\alpha \right) \\&> P(Z > z_\alpha) \\&= P(Z < -z_\alpha) \\&= P_{\mu_2} \left(\frac{\bar{X} - \mu_2}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}} < \frac{\mu_0 - \mu_2}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}} - z_\alpha \right) \\&= P_{\mu_2} \left(\bar{X} < \mu_0 - \frac{\sigma z_\alpha}{\sqrt{n}} \right) = \beta_1(\mu_2).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, Test 1 is not a UMP test since Test 2 has higher power than Test 1 at θ_2 . Thus, no UMP level α exists for testing H_0 versus H_1 .

- *Theorem L15.2* (Cor 8.3.13 on p.389): Consider the hypothesis testing problem posed in the Neyman-Pearson Lemma. Suppose $T(\mathbf{X})$ is a sufficient statistic for θ and $g(t|\theta_i)$ is the pdf or pmf of T corresponding to $\theta_i, i = 0, 1$. Then any test based on T with rejection region S (a subset of the sample space of T) is a UMP level α test if it satisfies

$$t \in S \text{ if } g(t|\theta_1) > k g(t|\theta_0)$$

and

$$t \in S^c \text{ if } g(t|\theta_1) < k g(t|\theta_0),$$

for some $k \geq 0$ where $\alpha = P_{\theta_0}(T \in S)$.

- *Proof of Theorem L15.2:* The result follows immediately from part (a) of the Neyman-Pearson Lemma since

$$\mathbf{x} \in R \text{ if } f(\mathbf{x}|\theta_1) \stackrel{10.7}{=} g(T(\mathbf{x})|\theta_1)h(\mathbf{x}) > kg(T(\mathbf{x})|\theta_0)h(\mathbf{x}) \stackrel{10.7}{=} kf(\mathbf{x}|\theta_0)$$

and

$$\mathbf{x} \in R^c \text{ if } f(\mathbf{x}|\theta_1) \stackrel{10.7}{=} g(T(\mathbf{x})|\theta_1)h(\mathbf{x}) < kg(T(\mathbf{x})|\theta_0)h(\mathbf{x}) \stackrel{10.7}{=} kf(\mathbf{x}|\theta_0).$$

- *Definition L15.3* (Def 8.3.16 on p.391): A family of pdfs or pmfs $\{g(t|\theta) : \theta \in \Theta\}$ for a univariate random variable T with real-valued parameter θ has a *monotone likelihood ratio* (MLR) if, for every $\theta_2 > \theta_1$, $g(t|\theta_2)/g(t|\theta_1)$ is a monotone (nonincreasing or nondecreasing) function of t on $\{t : g(t|\theta_1) > 0 \text{ or } g(t|\theta_2) > 0\}$.
Note that $c/0$ is defined as ∞ if $0 < c$.
- The following result is referred to as the Karlin-Rubin Theorem.
- *Theorem L15.3* (Thm 8.3.17 on p.391): Consider testing $H_0 : \theta \leq \theta_0$ versus $H_1 : \theta > \theta_0$. Suppose that T is a sufficient statistic for θ and the family of pdfs of pmfs $\{g(t|\theta) : \theta \in \Theta\}$ of T has a nondecreasing MLR. Then for any t_0 , the test that rejects H_0 if and only if $T > t_0$ is a UMP level α test where $\alpha = P_{\theta_0}(T > t_0)$.

- Proof of Theorem L15.3:* Let θ' be any value in Θ greater than θ_0 . First, we consider the test $H'_0 : \theta = \theta_0$ versus $H'_1 : \theta = \theta'$. For $\mathcal{T}' = \{t : t > t_0, \text{ and } g(t|\theta') > 0 \text{ or } g(t|\theta_0) > 0\}$, define $k' = \inf_{t \in \mathcal{T}'} \frac{g(t|\theta')}{g(t|\theta_0)}$. Since T has a nondecreasing MLR, $\frac{g(t|\theta')}{g(t|\theta_0)} > k'$ if and only if $t > t_0$. By *Theorem L15.2*, this test is UMP for testing H'_0 versus H'_1 .

Now, we show that $\beta(\theta)$ is a nondecreasing function of θ . Let $\theta_1 < \theta_2$. If $t_1 < t_2$, then

$$\frac{g(t_2|\theta_2)}{g(t_2|\theta_1)} \geq \frac{g(t_1|\theta_2)}{g(t_1|\theta_1)} \Leftrightarrow g(t_1|\theta_1)g(t_2|\theta_2) \geq g(t_1|\theta_2)g(t_2|\theta_1).$$

- *Proof of Theorem L15.3 continued:* Summing/integrating t_1 on $(-\infty, t_2)$, we see that

$$P_{\theta_1}(T \leq t_2)g(t_2|\theta_2) \geq P_{\theta_2}(T \leq t_2)g(t_2|\theta_1) \Rightarrow \frac{g(t_2|\theta_2)}{g(t_2|\theta_1)} \geq \frac{P_{\theta_2}(T \leq t_2)}{P_{\theta_1}(T \leq t_2)}.$$

Summing/integrating t_2 on $[t_1, \infty)$, we see that

$$g(t_1|\theta_1)P_{\theta_2}(T > t_1) \geq g(t_1|\theta_2)P_{\theta_1}(T > t_1) \Rightarrow \frac{P_{\theta_2}(T > t_1)}{P_{\theta_1}(T > t_1)} \geq \frac{g(t_1|\theta_2)}{g(t_1|\theta_1)}.$$

Thus, for any t , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{P_{\theta_2}(T > t)}{P_{\theta_1}(T > t)} &\geq \frac{P_{\theta_2}(T \leq t)}{P_{\theta_1}(T \leq t)} \Rightarrow \frac{1 - P_{\theta_2}(T \leq t)}{1 - P_{\theta_1}(T \leq t)} \geq \frac{P_{\theta_2}(T \leq t)}{P_{\theta_1}(T \leq t)} \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{P_{\theta_1}(T \leq t)}{1 - P_{\theta_1}(T \leq t)} \geq \frac{P_{\theta_2}(T \leq t)}{1 - P_{\theta_2}(T \leq t)}. \end{aligned}$$

- *Proof of Theorem L15.3 continued:* Since $\frac{x}{1-x}$ is a nondecreasing function for $x \in (0, 1)$, this implies that $P_{\theta_2}(T > t) \geq P_{\theta_1}(T > t)$.

Now, for testing H_0 , the size of the test is

$$\sup_{\theta \in (-\infty, \theta_0]} \beta(\theta) = \beta(\theta_0) = \alpha$$

since $\beta(\theta)$ is nondecreasing. Let β^* be the power function for any other level α test for H_0 . This is also a level α test for H'_0 so $\beta(\theta) \geq \beta^*(\theta')$ for any $\theta' > \theta_0$. So the test for H_0 versus H_1 is a UMP level α test.

- *Example L15.3:* Suppose X_1, \dots, X_n is a random sample from a distribution with pdf

$$f(x|\theta) = e^{-(x-\theta)} I_{[\theta, \infty)}(x)$$

and let θ_0 be a fixed number in $\Theta = (-\infty, \infty)$. Consider the one-sided test $H_0 : \theta \leq 0$ versus $H_1 : \theta > 0$. Show that the likelihood ratio test which rejects H_0 if $X_{(1)} \geq K$ is a UMP level α test where $\alpha = P_{\theta=0}(X_{(1)} \geq K)$.

- *Answer to Example L15.3:* Note that $X_{(1)}$ is sufficient for θ (see slide 14.11). It can be shown that $X_{(1)} - \theta$ is exponentially distributed with mean $\frac{1}{n}$ so $X_{(1)}$ has pdf $g(t|\theta) = ne^{-n(t-\theta)} I_{[\theta, \infty)}(t)$ (similar to work on slide 14.12). This family of pdfs has a MLR since, for $\theta_1 < \theta_2$,
$$\frac{g(t|\theta_2)}{g(t|\theta_1)} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \theta_1 \leq t < \theta_2 \\ e^{n(\theta_1 - \theta_2)} & \text{if } \theta_2 \leq t \end{cases}$$
is a nondecreasing function of t . So, by the Karlin-Rubin Theorem, the LRT is a UMP level α test.