

# Lecture 11: Completeness, UMVUEs, and the Lehmann-Scheffé Theorem

MATH 667-01  
Statistical Inference  
University of Louisville

October 19, 2017

Last modified: 10/19/2017

- We first discuss some important theorems regarding unbiased estimators in Section 7.3 of Casella and Berger (2002)<sup>1</sup>.
- We define complete statistics and state a result for completeness for exponential families as discussed in Section 6.2.
- Finally, we state a few results from Sections 7.3 and 7.5 closely related to work by Lehmann and Scheffé (1950)<sup>2</sup> showing that a complete sufficient statistic is the unique UMVUE of its mean.

---

<sup>1</sup>Casella, G. and Berger, R. (2002). *Statistical Inference, Second Edition*. Duxbury Press, Belmont, CA.

<sup>2</sup>Lehmann, E. L. and Scheffé, H. (1950). Completeness, similar regions, and unbiased estimation – part I. *Sankhya* **10**, 233–268.

# Uniqueness of UMVUEs

- *Theorem L11.1* (Thm 7.3.19 on p.343): If there is a best unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$ , then it is unique.
- *Proof of Theorem L11.1*: Suppose  $W$  and  $W'$  are both best unbiased estimators of  $\tau(\theta)$ .

Then  $W^* = \frac{1}{2}(W + W')$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$ .

Further, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}[W^*] &\stackrel{3.5}{=} \frac{1}{4} \text{Var}[W + W'] \\ &\stackrel{3.15}{=} \frac{1}{4} (\text{Var}[W] + \text{Var}[W'] + 2\text{Cov}[W, W']) \\ &\stackrel{9.5}{\leq} \frac{1}{4} (\text{Var}[W] + \text{Var}[W'] + 2\sqrt{\text{Var}[W]\text{Var}[W']}) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (\text{Var}[W] + \text{Var}[W] + 2\sqrt{\text{Var}[W]\text{Var}[W]}) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (4 \text{Var}[W]) = \text{Var}[W].\end{aligned}$$

# Uniqueness of UMVUEs

- *Proof of Theorem L11.1 continued:* Since  $W$  is a UMVUE,  $\text{Var}[W] \leq \text{Var}[W^*]$  which implies that  $\text{Var}[W] = \text{Var}[W^*]$ .
- It follows that  $\sqrt{\text{Var}[W]\text{Var}[W']} = \text{Cov}[W, W']$ , and consequently, *Theorem L9.1(b)* implies that  $W' = a(\theta)W + b(\theta)$ .
- Since  $\text{Var}[W] = \text{Var}[W']$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}[W] &= \text{Cov}[W, W'] \\ &= \text{Cov}[W, a(\theta)W + b(\theta)] \\ &\stackrel{3.15}{=} a(\theta)\text{Var}[W]\end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $a(\theta) = 1$ .

- *Proof of Theorem L11.1 continued:* We also have

$$\tau(\theta) = E[W'] = a(\theta)E[W] + b(\theta) = a(\theta)\tau(\theta) + b(\theta).$$

- Since  $a(\theta) = 1$ , we obtain

$$\tau(\theta) = \tau(\theta) + b(\theta)$$

so that  $b(\theta) = 0$ .

- So,

$$W' = a(\theta)W + b(\theta) = 1 \cdot W + 0 = W$$

which proves that the UMVUE is unique.

# Characterization of UMVUEs

- *Theorem L11.2* (Thm 7.3.20 on p.344): If  $E_{\theta}[W] = \tau(\theta)$ ,  $W$  is the best unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$  if and only if  $W$  is uncorrelated with all unbiased estimators of zero.
- *Proof of Theorem L11.2*: Suppose  $W$  is the best unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$  and let  $U$  be an unbiased estimator of 0. Then  $W' = W + aU$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$  for all  $a$ . Also, we have

$$\text{Var}[W'] \stackrel{3.15}{=} \text{Var}[W] + 2a\text{Cov}[W, U] + a^2\text{Var}[U].$$

The right side is minimized at  $a^* = \frac{-\text{Cov}[W, U]}{\text{Var}[U]}$  since

$$\frac{d}{da}\text{Var}[W + aU] = 2\text{Cov}[W, U] + 2a\text{Var}[U]$$

is positive when  $a < a^*$  and negative when  $a > a^*$ .

So,  $\text{Var}[W + a^*U] \leq \text{Var}[W]$  with equality only if  $a^* = 0$ .

# Characterization of UMVUEs

- *Proof of Theorem L11.2 continued:* Conversely, suppose that  $W$  is uncorrelated with all unbiased estimators of  $\theta$ , and  $W'$  is any other unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$ .
- Since  $W' - W$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\theta$ ,  $W$  is uncorrelated with  $W' - W$  which implies that  $\text{Cov}[W, W' - W] = 0$ .
- Then  $W$  is the UMVUE since

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}[W'] &= \text{Var}[W + (W' - W)] \\ &\stackrel{3.15}{=} \text{Var}[W] + \text{Var}[W' - W] + 2\text{Cov}[W, W' - W] \\ &= \text{Var}[W] + \text{Var}[W' - W] \\ &\geq \text{Var}[W]\end{aligned}$$

for any arbitrary  $W'$ .

- *Definition L11.1* (Def 6.2.21 on p.285): Let  $f(t|\theta)$  be a family of pdfs or pmfs for a statistic  $T(\mathbf{X})$ . The family of probability distributions is called *complete* if

$$E_{\theta}[g(T)] = 0 \text{ for all } \theta$$

implies

$$P_{\theta}(g(T) = 0) = 1 \text{ for all } \theta.$$

Equivalently,  $T(\mathbf{X})$  is called a *complete statistic*.



- *Example L11.1:* Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be iid  $\text{Uniform}(0, \theta)$  random variables. Show that  $T(X_1, \dots, X_n) = X_{(n)}$  is a complete statistic.
- *Answer to Example L11.1:* Suppose  $E[g(T)] = 0$  for all  $\theta > 0$ . Then  $\frac{d}{d\theta} E[g(T)] = 0$ . We can compute

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\theta} E[g(T)] &\stackrel{9.21}{=} \frac{d}{d\theta} \int_0^\theta g(t) n t^{n-1} \theta^{-n} dt \\ &= \frac{d}{d\theta} \left[ \theta^{-n} \int_0^\theta g(t) n t^{n-1} dt \right] \\ &= \frac{d}{d\theta} [\theta^{-n}] \int_0^\theta g(t) n t^{n-1} dt + \theta^{-n} \frac{d}{d\theta} \left[ \int_0^\theta g(t) n t^{n-1} dt \right] \\ &= -n\theta^{-n-1} \int_0^\theta g(t) n t^{n-1} dt + \theta^{-n} g(\theta) n \theta^{n-1} \\ &= -n\theta^{-1} E[g(T)] + g(\theta) n \theta^{-1} = g(\theta) n \theta^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $n\theta^{-1} \neq 0$ , we have  $g(\theta) = 0$  for  $\theta > 0$ .

(Technically, this only justifies the completeness condition for Riemann-integrable functions.)

- *Theorem L11.3* (Thm 7.3.23 on p.347): Let  $T$  be a complete sufficient statistic for a parameter  $\theta$ , and let  $\phi(T)$  be any estimator based only on  $T$ . Then  $\phi(T)$  is the unique UMVUE of its expected value.
- *Proof of Theorem L11.3:* Let  $\tau(\theta) = E[\phi(T)]$  and let  $W$  be any unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$ . *Theorem L10.5* implies that  $\tilde{\phi}(T) = E[W|T]$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$  such that  $\text{Var}[\tilde{\phi}(T)] \leq \text{Var}[W]$  for all  $\theta$ . Since  $\phi(T)$  and  $\tilde{\phi}(T)$  are both unbiased,  $E[\phi(T) - \tilde{\phi}(T)] = 0$ . Since  $T$  is complete, it follows that  $P(\phi(T) - \tilde{\phi}(T) = 0) = 1$ , or equivalently,  $\phi(T) = \tilde{\phi}(T)$  with probability 1. Then, we have

$$\text{Var}[\phi(T)] = \text{Var}[\tilde{\phi}(T)] \leq \text{Var}[W].$$

Since  $W$  is any arbitrary unbiased estimator,  $\phi(T)$  is a UMVUE of  $\tau(\theta)$ . By *Theorem L11.1*, it is unique.

- *Theorem L11.4* (Thm 7.5.1 on p.369): Unbiased estimators based on complete sufficient statistics are unique.
- *Proof of Theorem L11.4:* Suppose that  $T$  is a complete sufficient statistic for  $\theta$  and  $E[\phi(T)] = \tau(\theta)$ . By *Theorem L11.3*,  $\phi(T)$  is the UMVUE of  $\tau(\theta)$ . By *Theorem L11.1*, UMVUEs are unique.
- So, since  $\phi$  is arbitrary,  $\phi(T)$  is the only function of  $T$  which is an unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$ .

- *Theorem L11.5* (p.347): If  $T$  is a complete sufficient statistic for a parameter  $\theta$  and  $h(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is any unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$ , then  $\phi(T) = E[h(X_1, \dots, X_n)|T]$  is the UMVUE of  $\tau(\theta)$ .
- *Proof of Theorem L11.5:* Since  $h(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$  and  $T$  is sufficient for  $\theta$ ,  $\phi(T)$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$  by *Theorem L10.5*. Since  $T$  is complete and sufficient, *Theorem L11.3* implies that  $\phi(T)$  is the (unique) UMVUE of  $\tau(\theta)$ .

- *Example L11.2:* Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be iid  $\text{Uniform}(0, \theta)$  random variables. Show that  $\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right) X_{(n)}$  is the UMVUE of  $\theta$ .
- *Answer to Example L11.2:* We know that  $\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right) X_{(n)}$  is complete from *Example L11.1*. It is a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$  by *Theorem L10.2* since the joint pdf can be expressed as  $f(\mathbf{x}|\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta^n} I_{(0, \theta)}(x_{(n)})$ .
- Let  $\phi(T) = \frac{n+1}{n}T$ . We know that  $E\left[\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right) X_{(n)}\right] = \theta$  by *Example L9.4*.
- So *Theorem L11.3* implies that  $\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right) X_{(n)}$  is the unique UMVUE of  $\theta$ .

- *Theorem L11.6* (Thm 6.2.25 on p.288): Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be iid random variables with a pdf or pmf  $f(x|\boldsymbol{\theta})$  that belongs to an exponential family given by

$$f(x|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = h(x)c(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \exp \left( \sum_{i=1}^k w_i(\boldsymbol{\theta}) t_i(x) \right),$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k)$ . Then

$T(\mathbf{X}) = \left( \sum_{j=1}^n t_1(X_j), \dots, \sum_{j=1}^n t_k(X_j) \right)$  is complete if the parameter space  $\Theta$  contains an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ .

# Completeness and Exponential Families

- *Example L11.3:* Let  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  be independent identically distributed (iid)  $\text{Poisson}(\theta)$  random variables.
  - (a) Find a complete sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .
  - (b) Find the UMVUE for  $P(X_1 = 0) = e^{-\theta}$ .
- *Answer to Example L11.3:* (a) We know  $X_1 + X_2$  is sufficient for  $\theta$  from *Example L10.7(a)*. Since the Poisson is an exponential family with pdf

$$f(x|\lambda) = \frac{1}{x!} I_{\mathbb{Z}^+(x)} e^{-\lambda} e^{x \ln \lambda}$$

where  $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$  which contains an open subset in  $\mathbb{R}$ , we know  $\sum t(X_i) = \sum X_i = X_1 + X_2$  is complete by *Theorem L11.6*.

- *Answer to Example L11.3 continued:* We also know that  $W = I_{\{0\}}(X_1)$  is an unbiased estimator of  $e^{-\theta}$  from *Example L10.7*.
- So *Theorem L11.5* implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(W|X_1 + X_2) &= \mathbf{E}[W|X_1 + X_2] \\ &\stackrel{10.22}{=} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{X_1+X_2}\end{aligned}$$

is the UMVUE of  $\tau(\theta)$ .



# Completeness and Exponential Families

- *Example L11.4:* Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be iid  $\text{Normal}(\mu, \sigma^2)$  random variables, where both  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$  are unknown. Show that  $\bar{X}$  is the UMVUE of  $\mu$  and  $S^2$  is the UMVUE of  $\sigma^2$ .
- *Answer to Example L11.4 continued:* We know that  $(\bar{X}, S^2)$  is sufficient for  $(\mu, \sigma^2)$  from *Example L10.6*.
- Since this is a full exponential family as shown in *Example L6.5*,  $(\bar{X}, S^2)$  is a complete statistic.
- Let  $\phi_1(t_1, t_2) = t_1$ . Then, by *Theorem L11.3*,  $\phi_1(\bar{X}, S^2) = \bar{X}$  is the UMVUE of  $E[\phi_1(\bar{X}, S^2)] = E[\bar{X}] \stackrel{3.19}{=} \mu$ .
- Let  $\phi_2(t_1, t_2) = t_2$ . Then, by *Theorem L11.3*,  $\phi_2(\bar{X}, S^2) = S^2$  is the UMVUE of  $E[\phi_2(\bar{X}, S^2)] = E[S^2] \stackrel{3.22}{=} \sigma^2$ .