

The exam is closed book; students are permitted to prepare one 8.5×11 page of formulas, notes, etc. that can be used during the exam. A calculator is permitted but not necessary for the exam. Do 4 out of the 5 problems (10 points each, 40 points total). Clearly indicate the problem that you are omitting; if it is not clear, then the first 4 problems will be graded.

Problem 1. (10 points) Suppose the probability mass function of a random variable is $f(x|\theta)$ where θ equals either 1, 2, or 3 and the values of the $f(x|\theta)$ are given in the following table for each θ .

	x			
	1	2	3	4
$f(x \theta=1)$.05	.05	.05	.85
$f(x \theta=2)$.15	.25	.35	.25
$f(x \theta=3)$.40	.30	.20	.10

(a - 2 pts) What is the rejection region for the UMP test with size .10 for testing $H_0: \theta = 1$ versus $H_1: \theta = 2$?

(b - 2 pts) What is the power for the UMP test in part (a) when $\theta = 2$?

(c - 2 pts) What is the rejection region for the UMP test with size .10 for testing $H_0: \theta = 1$ versus $H_1: \theta = 3$?

(d - 2 pts) What is the power for the UMP test in part (c) when $\theta = 3$?

(e - 2 pts) Is there a UMP test for testing $H_0: \theta = 1$ versus $H_1: \theta \neq 1$? If so, find it. If not, explain why not.

(a)

	x			
	1	2	3	4
$f(x \theta=2)$	3	5	7	.25
$f(x \theta=1)$.85

If we only reject when $x=3$, $P_{\theta=1}(\text{reject } H_0) = .05$.

Next, we add $x=2$ to the rejection region. Then $P_{\theta=1}(X \in \{2,3\}) = .05 + .05 = .10$.

By the Neyman-Pearson Lemma, $R = \{2,3\}$ is the rejection region of the UMP level .10 test of $H_0: \theta = 1$ vs. $H_1: \theta = 2$.

(b) $P_{\theta=2}(X \in \{2,3\}) = P_{\theta=2}(X=2) + P_{\theta=2}(X=3) = .25 + .35 = .60$

(c) Similar to (a), we find $R = \{1,2\}$ since

	1	2	3	4
$f(x \theta=3)$	8	6	4	.10
$f(x \theta=1)$.85

(d) $P_{\theta=3}(X \in \{1,2\}) = P_{\theta=3}(X=1) + P_{\theta=3}(X=2) = .40 + .30 = .70$.

(e) The intended meaning of the problem is to ask if there is a UMP level .10 test. There is not a UMP level .10 test since the test with $R = \{2,3\}$ is most powerful when $\theta = 2$, but $P_{\theta=3}(X \in \{2,3\}) = .30 + .20 = .50 < .70 = P_{\theta=3}(X \in \{1,2\})$.

Likewise, the test with $R = \{1,2\}$ is most powerful when $\theta = 3$, but $P_{\theta=2}(X \in \{1,2\}) = .15 + .25 = .40 < .60 = P_{\theta=2}(X \in \{2,3\})$.

Alternate answer: There is a UMP level .15 test since $R = \{1,2,3\}$ is the rejection region of the test with the most power when $\theta = 2$ and $\theta = 3$.

Problem 2. (10 points) Suppose \mathcal{X} is the set of all possible values of a continuous random variable/vector X which has pdf $f(x|\theta)$ where $\theta \in \{\theta_0, \theta_1\}$. Let R be a set such that x is in R if $f(x|\theta_1) > kf(x|\theta_0)$ and x is in R^c if $f(x|\theta_1) \leq kf(x|\theta_0)$ and let

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in R \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in R^c \end{cases}.$$

For some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $\beta \in (\alpha, 1)$, assume that k is selected so that $\int \phi(x)f(x|\theta_0) dx = \alpha$ and $\int \phi(x)f(x|\theta_1) dx = \beta$.

(a - 5 pts) For any function ψ such that $\psi(x) \in [0, 1]$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$, prove that

$$(\phi(x) - \psi(x))(f(x|\theta_1) - kf(x|\theta_0)) \geq 0 \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

(b - 5 pts) If $\int \psi(x)f(x|\theta_0) dx \leq \alpha$, then prove that $\int \psi(x)f(x|\theta_1) dx \leq \beta$.

(a) If $x \in R$, then $\phi(x) - \psi(x) = 1 - \psi(x) \geq 0$ and $f(x|\theta_1) - kf(x|\theta_0) > 0$
so that $(\phi(x) - \psi(x))(f(x|\theta_1) - kf(x|\theta_0)) \geq 0$.

If $x \in R^c$, then $\phi(x) - \psi(x) = 0 - \psi(x) \leq 0$ and $f(x|\theta_1) - kf(x|\theta_0) \leq 0$
so that $(\phi(x) - \psi(x))(f(x|\theta_1) - kf(x|\theta_0)) \geq 0$.

So, the statement is true for any $x \in \mathcal{X}$.

(b) From part (a), it follows that

$$\int (\phi(x) - \psi(x))(f(x|\theta_1) - kf(x|\theta_0)) dx \geq 0.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } \int (\phi(x) - \psi(x))(f(x|\theta_1) - kf(x|\theta_0)) dx &= \\ \int \phi(x)f(x|\theta_1) dx - \int \psi(x)f(x|\theta_1) dx - k \int \phi(x)f(x|\theta_0) dx + k \int \psi(x)f(x|\theta_0) dx &= \\ = \beta - \beta' - k\alpha + k\alpha' \end{aligned}$$

where $\beta' = \int \psi(x)f(x|\theta_1) dx$ and $\alpha' = \int \psi(x)f(x|\theta_0) dx$.

Since $k > 0$ (follows from $\alpha > 0$) and $\alpha' \leq \alpha$, we have

$$\beta - \beta' - \cancel{k\alpha} + k\alpha' \geq \beta - \beta' - k\alpha + k\alpha' \geq 0$$

so that $\beta \geq \beta'$.

Problem 3. (10 points) Suppose that X_1, \dots, X_9 is independent, identically distributed random variables from a distribution with probability density function $f(x) = \frac{1}{\theta} I_{[0, \theta]}(x)$ where $\theta > 0$ is unknown, and suppose that the experimenter is interested in testing

$$H_0 : \theta \leq 1 \text{ versus } H_1 : \theta > 1.$$

(a - 2 pts) Find a sufficient statistic $T(X_1, \dots, X_9)$ for θ .

(b - 5 pts) Find the probability density function $g(t|\theta)$ of T and show that the family of pdf's has a nondecreasing monotone likelihood ratio.

(c - 3 pts) Find the value t_0 such that the test which rejects H_0 if and only if $T > t_0$ is a UMP level .05 test.

(a) The joint pdf of X_1, \dots, X_9 is $f(x) = \frac{1}{\theta^9} \prod_{i=1}^9 I_{[0, \theta]}(x_i) = \frac{1}{\theta^9} I_{[0, \theta]}(\max_{1 \leq i \leq 9} x_i)$

so $\max_{1 \leq i \leq 9} X_i$ is sufficient for θ by the Factorization Theorem.

(b) First, find the cdf of $T = \max X_i$.

$$G(t) = P(T \leq t) = P(X_1 \leq t, \dots, X_9 \leq t) = \prod_{i=1}^9 P(X_i \leq t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t < 0 \\ (\frac{t}{\theta})^9 & \text{if } 0 \leq t < \theta \\ 1 & \text{if } t \geq \theta \end{cases}$$

The pdf of T is $g(t) = G'(t) = \frac{9t^8}{\theta^9} I_{[0, \theta]}(t)$.

$$\text{For } \theta_1 < \theta_2, \quad \frac{g(t|\theta_2)}{g(t|\theta_1)} = \begin{cases} \frac{\theta_1^9}{\theta_2^9} & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \theta_1 \\ \infty & \text{if } \theta_1 < t \leq \theta_2 \end{cases} \text{ is nondecreasing in } t,$$

so $\{g(t|\theta)\}$ has a nondecreasing monotone likelihood ratio.

(c) By the Karlin-Rubin Theorem, the test which rejects H_0

if and only if $T > t_0$ is a ~~UMP test~~ ^{UMP level α test} where

$$\alpha = P_{\theta=1}(T > t_0).$$

$$P_{\theta=1}(T > t_0) = 1 - P_{\theta=1}(T \leq t_0) = 1 - \left(\frac{t_0}{1}\right)^9 = .05$$

$$t_0^9 = .95$$

$$t_0 = .95^{1/9}$$

Problem 4. (10 points) Suppose X_1 and X_2 are independent identically distributed exponential random variables each with probability density function

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\beta} e^{-x/\beta} & \text{for } x > 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $\beta > 0$ is unknown.

(a - 5 pts) Show that $\frac{\min\{X_1, X_2\}}{\beta}$ is a pivot.

(b - 5 pts) Use the pivot in part (a) to find a $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ confidence interval for β .

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad P\left(\frac{X_{(1)}}{\beta} \leq t\right) &= P(X_{(1)} \leq \beta t) = 1 - P(X_{(1)} > \beta t) \\ &= 1 - P(X_1 > \beta t, X_2 > \beta t) \\ &= 1 - P(X_1 > \beta t) P(X_2 > \beta t) \\ &= 1 - (e^{-t})(e^{-t}) \\ &= 1 - e^{-2t} \end{aligned}$$

$$P(X > \beta t) = \int_{\beta t}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\beta} e^{-x/\beta} dx$$

$$= \left[-e^{-x/\beta} \right]_{\beta t}^{\infty}$$

$$= 0 + e^{-\beta t/\beta} = e^{-t}$$

So the distribution of $\frac{X_{(1)}}{\beta}$ does not depend on β , and thus, $\frac{X_{(1)}}{\beta}$ is a pivot.

$$\begin{aligned} (b) \quad P\left(\frac{X_{(1)}}{\beta} \leq t\right) &= 1 - e^{-2t} = 1 - \alpha \\ \alpha &= e^{-2t} \\ \ln \alpha &= -2t \\ \text{corrected } t &= -\frac{1}{2} \ln \alpha \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So } P\left(\frac{X_{(1)}}{\beta} \leq -\frac{1}{2} \ln \alpha\right) = 1 - \alpha$$

\Downarrow

$$P\left(\frac{-2 X_{(1)}}{\ln \alpha} \leq \beta\right) = 1 - \alpha$$

which shows that $\left[\frac{-2 X_{(1)}}{\ln \alpha}, \infty \right)$ is a $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ confidence interval for β .

Problem 5. (10 points) Suppose X_1, \dots, X_n are iid Bernoulli random variables each with probability mass function

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} p^x(1-p)^{1-x} & \text{for } x = 0, 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $p \in (0, 1)$ is unknown. Let $\hat{p}_n = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{n}$.

(a - 2 pts) Show that \hat{p}_n is a consistent estimator of p .

(b - 4 pts) Show that $\frac{\sqrt{n}(\hat{p}_n - p)}{\sqrt{\hat{p}_n(1-\hat{p}_n)}}$ converges in distribution to a standard normal random variable.

(c - 4 pts) Use your answer to part (b) to construct an approximate 99% confidence interval for p .

$$(a) E[\hat{p}_n] = \frac{1}{n} E\left[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E[X_i] = \frac{1}{n} (np) = p \Rightarrow \text{Bias}[\hat{p}_n] = 0 \text{ for all } n$$

$$\text{Var}[\hat{p}_n] = \frac{1}{n^2} \text{Var}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right] = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}[X_i] = \frac{1}{n^2} n \{p(1-p)\} = \frac{p(1-p)}{n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

by independence

So \hat{p}_n is a consistent sequence of estimators of p .

(b) The Central Limit Theorem implies that

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}(\hat{p}_n - p)}{\sqrt{p(1-p)}} \rightarrow N(0,1) \text{ in distribution.}$$

Part (a) shows that $\hat{p}_n \rightarrow p$ in probability. Since $h(\hat{p}_n) = \frac{\sqrt{p(1-p)}}{\sqrt{\hat{p}_n(1-\hat{p}_n)}}$ is continuous function of $\hat{p}_n \in (0,1)$, $h(\hat{p}_n) \rightarrow h(p) = 1$ in probability.

So

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}(\hat{p}_n - p)}{\sqrt{\hat{p}_n(1-\hat{p}_n)}} = \frac{\sqrt{p(1-p)}}{\sqrt{\hat{p}_n(1-\hat{p}_n)}} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{n}(\hat{p}_n - p)}{\sqrt{p(1-p)}} \rightarrow N(0,1) \text{ in distribution}$$

by Slutsky's Theorem since $\frac{\sqrt{p(1-p)}}{\sqrt{\hat{p}_n(1-\hat{p}_n)}} \rightarrow 1$ in prob. and $\frac{\sqrt{n}(\hat{p}_n - p)}{\sqrt{p(1-p)}} \rightarrow N(0,1)$ in dist.

$$(c) P\left(-2.576 \leq \frac{\sqrt{n}(\hat{p}_n - p)}{\sqrt{\hat{p}_n(1-\hat{p}_n)}} \leq 2.576\right) \rightarrow .99$$

$$\begin{aligned} -2.576 \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}_n(1-\hat{p}_n)}{n}} &\leq \hat{p}_n - p & \hat{p}_n - p &\leq 2.576 \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}_n(1-\hat{p}_n)}{n}} \\ p &\leq \hat{p}_n + 2.576 \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}_n(1-\hat{p}_n)}{n}} & \hat{p}_n - 2.576 \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}_n(1-\hat{p}_n)}{n}} &\leq p \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So } P\left(\hat{p}_n - 2.576 \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}_n(1-\hat{p}_n)}{n}} \leq p \leq \hat{p}_n + 2.576 \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}_n(1-\hat{p}_n)}{n}}\right) \rightarrow .99$$

shows that $\hat{p}_n \pm 2.576 \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}_n(1-\hat{p}_n)}{n}}$ is a 99% confidence interval for p .