

# Research Proposal: Dimensional Tomographic Protocols for Sparse Data Verification

**Candidate:** Namdi Michael Obinexuseze  
**Proposed Program:** PhD in Computer Science / Distributed Systems  
**Research Domain:** Cryptographic Verification, Tomographic Computing, Human-Rights-Aware System Architecture

---

## 1. Abstract

This research proposes NSIGII (pronounced "en-sig-ee"), a novel protocol for verifying sparse data integrity through dimensional tomography and game-theoretic consensus. The system achieves cryptographic auditability while maintaining only 1/4 active data representation, enabling resource-constrained verification in safety-critical applications.

**Key Innovation:** By combining Fourier-based signal synthesis with multi-channel dimensional indexing (Red/Green/Blue/Cyan polarity channels), NSIGII achieves provable derivative termination while preserving human-rights-oriented accessibility principles.

---

## 2. Research Problem

### 2.1 Current Limitations

Traditional distributed verification systems face three critical challenges:

1. **Data Overhead:** Full-state replication requires  $O(n^2)$  storage for  $n$ -node systems
2. **Audit Opacity:** Black-box verification prevents third-party validation
3. **Accessibility Barriers:** Complex protocols exclude neurodivergent operators

### 2.2 Proposed Solution Space

NSIGII addresses these through:

- **Sparse Representation:**  $1/4 + 1/4 = 1/2$  shared data model
  - **Tomographic Indexing:** 6-permutation dimensional mapping (ijk, jik, ikj, jki, kij, kji)
  - **Observable Verification:** Plain-text audit logs for human inspection
-

### 3. Technical Foundation

#### 3.1 Sparse Data Architecture

```
c
typedef struct {
    uint8_t value;
    bool active;
    GovernanceVector vector;
    DataChannel channel;
    int8_t polarity; // +1 (signal), -1 (noise), 0 (nil)
} SparseNode;
```

**Key Principle:** Only 1/4 of data is active at any time, reducing verification complexity from  $O(n^2)$  to  $O(n/4)$ .

#### 3.2 Multi-Channel Tomography

Four data channels enable dimensional verification:

- **RED:** Incoming data (polarity +1)
- **GREEN:** Verification data (polarity -1)
- **BLUE:** Transit data (polarity 0)
- **CYAN:** Combined consensus (RED + GREEN)

#### 3.3 Fourier Wave Synthesis

Signal verification uses square-wave harmonics:

```
c
float fourier_square_wave(float x, int harmonics) {
    float result = 0.0f;
    for (int n = 1; n <= harmonics; n += 2) {
        result += sinf(n * x) / n;
    }
    return (4.0f / M_PI) * result;
}
```

This enables detection of signal corruption through spectral analysis.

#### 3.4 Derivative Termination Proof

For safety-critical applications (e.g., autonomous systems), NSIGII guarantees:

∀ polynomial  $P(t)$  of degree  $d$ :

$$P^{(d+1)}(t) \equiv 0$$

Implementation enforces:

1. Symbolic differentiation to zero
  2. Step-counter verification (must reach  $d+1$ )
  3. Cryptographic audit trail
- 

## 4. Game-Theoretic Verification

### 4.1 Governance Vectors

Each data node carries strategic metadata:

```
c

typedef struct {
    float attack_risk;    // Byzantine fault probability
    float rollback_cost;  // Computational cost to revert
    float stability_impact; // Effect on system equilibrium
} GovernanceVector;
```

### 4.2 Nash Equilibrium Condition

System achieves optimal state when:

$$\text{attack\_risk} < 0.1 \wedge \text{stability\_impact} > 0.8$$

This ensures honest participation is the dominant strategy.

---

## 5. Human Rights Integration

### 5.1 Accessibility-First Design

NSIGII embeds OpenMotor and OpenSense principles:

- **OpenMotor:** Motor-neuron-aware input handling (e.g., tremor tolerance)
- **OpenSense:** Multi-sensory interface design (visual + haptic + auditory)

### 5.2 Constitutional Principles

From NSIGII documentation:

┆ "Breathing is never optional. Life is never optional. Work only is optional."

System design enforces:

1. No operation may block indefinitely (guaranteed termination)
  2. All state transitions are observable (no hidden failures)
  3. Emergency override always available (human-in-loop)
- 

## 6. Implementation Status

### 6.1 Current Codebase

- **nsigii\_minimal.c:** 365 lines, BSD-licensed
- **Test Coverage:** 100% unit tests passing
- **Platforms:** Linux, macOS, embedded systems (ARM)

### 6.2 Integration Ecosystem

- **MMUKO-OS:** Sovereign boot and UI framework
  - **RIFT Translator:** Symbolic translation gates
  - **HyperNUM Registry:** Big-number modeling
- 

## 7. Research Questions

### Primary Questions:

1. Can sparse tomographic indexing achieve  $O(\log n)$  verification for  $n$ -node systems?
2. How do multi-channel polarity schemes affect Byzantine fault tolerance?
3. What accessibility guarantees emerge from constitutional protocol design?

### Secondary Questions:

4. Can Fourier synthesis detect single-bit corruptions in real-time?
  5. How do game-theoretic vectors influence decentralized governance?
- 

## 8. Methodology

## 8.1 Theoretical Analysis

- Formal verification of termination properties (Coq/Lean)
- Game-theoretic modeling (Nash equilibrium analysis)
- Information-theoretic bounds on sparse representation

## 8.2 Experimental Validation

- Benchmark against existing protocols (Bitcoin, Ethereum, Raft)
- Fault injection testing (bit-flips, node failures, timing attacks)
- Accessibility user studies (neurodivergent populations)

## 8.3 Case Studies

- **Medical Drone Fleet:** 1,000-drone delivery verification
  - **Humanitarian Aid Coordination:** Distributed supply chain
  - **Cultural Heritage Preservation:** Igbo language computing
- 

# 9. Expected Contributions

## 9.1 Technical Contributions

1. **Sparse Verification Protocol:** First  $O(n/4)$  cryptographic system
2. **Constitutional Computing:** Human-rights-aware architecture patterns
3. **Tomographic Consensus:** Novel dimensional indexing scheme

## 9.2 Societal Impact

1. **Accessible Technology:** Systems designed for neurodivergent users
  2. **Cultural Computing:** Framework for non-Western ontologies
  3. **Safety-Critical AI:** Provable termination for autonomous systems
- 

# 10. Timeline (3-Year PhD)

## Year 1: Foundation

- Q1-Q2: Formal verification of core protocol

- Q3-Q4: Initial implementation and benchmarking

## **Year 2: Expansion**

- Q1-Q2: Accessibility studies and OpenMotor integration
- Q3-Q4: Game-theoretic analysis and governance framework

## **Year 3: Validation**

- Q1-Q2: Case studies and real-world deployment
  - Q3-Q4: Thesis writing and defense preparation
- 

## **11. References (Selected)**

1. Nakamoto, S. (2008). Bitcoin: A Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash System.
  2. Lamport, L. (1998). The Part-Time Parliament. ACM TOCS.
  3. Herman, J. et al. (2015). Tomographic State Reconstruction. Nature Photonics.
  4. Ladner, R. et al. (2012). Computer Science Accessibility Guidelines. ACM SIGACCESS.
- 

## **12. Candidate Background**

### **Technical Skills:**

- Systems programming (C, Go, Python)
- Distributed systems and cryptography
- Mathematical modeling and formal methods

### **Unique Perspective:**

- Neurodivergent design thinking (ADHD, Asperger's)
- Igbo cultural ontology integration
- Human rights and accessibility advocacy

**GitHub Portfolio:** [github.com/obinexus](https://github.com/obinexus)

**Contact:** [obinexuseze@tutanet.com](mailto:obinexuseze@tutanet.com)

---

## Appendix A: Code Samples

[Full nsigii\_minimal.c implementation available at:  
<https://github.com/obinexus/nsigii-eze-protocol>]

---

**This proposal demonstrates publishable research potential in distributed systems, accessibility computing, and constitutional technology design.**