

What theoretical rationale supports intentional fragility as a structural principle?

How does designed fragility function as a safeguard against coercive stability?

In what ways might fragility enforce ethical accountability within a system of governance?

How can fragility coexist with resilience without diluting either concept?

What mechanisms ensure that fragility invites reflection rather than exploitation?

How is responsibility distributed when a fragile system fails as intended?

To what extent does deliberate fragility challenge traditional engineering ethics?

How does user trust evolve in systems that openly acknowledge their vulnerability?

What distinguishes sacred fragility (protective) from negligent fragility (destructive)?

Can a fragile-by-design framework scale without betraying its own philosophical core?