a4paper, margin=1in safetyRGB249, 96, 96 coherenceRGB96, 196, 249

Quantum Field Theory Decision Framework for Warp Drive Systems with Proof Integrity Verification

OBINexus Computing
Quantum Propulsion Division

August 12, 2025

Abstract

This paper presents a rigorous mathematical framework for quantum coherence-based warp drive propulsion integrated with a proof integrity verification system. We formalize the coherence operator mechanics in Hilbert space, establish negative energy containment protocols through vacuum separation, and introduce a novel proof integrity matrix for safety-critical decision validation. The framework achieves Truth Weight (TW) scores ≥ 3.0 through coherence-driven decision (CDD) mechanisms, ensuring no catastrophic failures in dimensional spacetime manipulation.

1 Introduction

Traditional warp drive proposals rely on exotic negative energy densities that violate known physical constraints. We present a framework based on quantum field theory (QFT) coherence operators that redistribute existing gravitational fields without requiring exotic matter. This system integrates dimensional game theory for strategic control and proof integrity matrices for safety verification.

2 Coherence Operator Mechanics

2.1 Mathematical Foundation

The coherence operator Ψ_c models emergent gravity through wavefunction interactions in Hilbert space. We define the system Hamiltonian as:

$$\mathcal{H} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \Psi_c + V(\mathbf{r}) \Psi_c + \beta |\Psi_c|^2 \Psi_c \tag{1}$$

where β represents the nonlinear coupling strength controlling spacetime deformation.

2.2 Hilbert Space Representation

The system operates in two coordinate representations:

Cartesian:
$$|x, y, z\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_{Cart}$$
 (2)

Polar:
$$|\cos \theta, \sin \phi, \tan \gamma\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_{Pol}$$
 (3)

The transformation between representations enables homogeneous spacetime deformations:

$$\mathcal{T}: \mathcal{H}_{\text{Cart}} \to \mathcal{H}_{\text{Pol}} \quad \text{via} \quad \mathcal{T}|x, y, z\rangle = |\cos(x/\xi), \sin(y/\xi), \tan(z/\xi)\rangle$$
 (4)

2.3 Coherence Parameters

Definition 1 (Coherence Length). The spatial extent of quantum correlations is given by:

$$\xi = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2m|\mu|}}\tag{5}$$

where μ is the chemical potential derived from $V(\mathbf{r})$.

Definition 2 (Strength Parameter). The deformation magnitude scales as:

$$\beta = \beta_0 \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{2\xi^2}\right) \tag{6}$$

ensuring localized spacetime curvature.

3 Lattice Deformation Framework

3.1 Spacetime Lattice Geometry

We model spacetime as a deformable lattice \mathcal{L} with nodes representing discrete spacetime points:

$$\mathcal{L} = \{ (\mathbf{r}_i, t_i) | i \in \mathbb{Z}^4 \} \tag{7}$$

The deformation operator acts on the lattice via:

$$\hat{D}[\Psi_c]\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}' \text{ where } \mathbf{r}_i' = \mathbf{r}_i + \delta \mathbf{r}_i[\Psi_c]$$
 (8)

3.2 Gravitational Field Modulation

The effective gravitational field becomes:

$$g_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{r}) = g_0 - \nabla^2 |\Psi_c(\mathbf{r})|^2 \tag{9}$$

This allows apparent weight modulation without mass variation:

$$W = m \cdot g_{\text{eff}}$$
 while $\frac{dm}{dt} = 0$ (10)

4 Negative Energy Containment

4.1 Vacuum Separation Architecture

The containment system employs disjoint lattice sectors:

$$\mathcal{V}_{\text{total}} = \mathcal{V}_{+} \oplus \mathcal{V}_{-} \oplus \mathcal{V}_{0} \tag{11}$$

where:

- \mathcal{V}_+ : Matter sector (positive energy)
- V_- : Antimatter sector (negative energy)
- V_0 : Vacuum insulation layer

4.2 Conservation Enforcement

Energy conservation is maintained through:

$$\frac{d}{dt}(E_{+} + E_{-}) = 0 (12)$$

with γ -matrix gates controlling sector interaction:

$$\hat{G}_{\gamma} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{F} & \text{during thrust operation} \\ 0 & \text{during storage} \end{cases}$$
 (13)

5 Proof Integrity Matrix

5.1 Quantum Decision Formalization

Definition 3 (QFT Decision State). A decision state in the quantum field theory framework is:

$$|D\rangle = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \,\Psi[\phi] \,|\phi\rangle \tag{14}$$

where ϕ represents field configurations and $\Psi[\phi]$ is the wavefunctional amplitude.

Theorem 1 (Coherence-Driven Decision Validity). A decision D is valid if and only if:

$$\langle \hat{C} \rangle_D > \frac{\hbar^2}{2m\sigma^2} - \beta \cdot f_{cal}$$
 (15)

where $\hat{C} = \int d^3x d^3y J(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \Psi^{\dagger} \Psi$ is the coherence operator.

5.2 Truth Weight Matrix

Table 1: Proof Integrity Matrix with Truth Weights

	3 .				
Reasoning Type	TP	FP	TN	$\mathbf{F}\mathbf{N}$	$\overline{\mathbf{T}\mathbf{W}}$
Deductive	3	0	2	-2	+3
Inductive	2	-3	1	-1	-1
Contradiction	5	0	2	-3	+4
Constructive	3	-2	1	-1	+1
Coherence-Driven	4	0	3	-1	+6

Truth Weight calculation:

$$TW = \sum_{i} w_{i}^{+} - \sum_{j} |w_{j}^{-}|$$
 (16)

6 Control System Implementation

6.1 Vector Normalization

The gravitational control vector is normalized as:

$$\hat{\mathbf{g}} = \left(\frac{\nabla \Psi_x}{|\nabla \Psi_x|}, \frac{\nabla \Psi_y}{|\nabla \Psi_y|}, \frac{\nabla \Psi_z}{|\nabla \Psi_z|}\right) \tag{17}$$

6.2 Bidirectional Modulation Matrix

Control is achieved through:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Delta g_x \\ \Delta g_y \\ \Delta g_z \end{bmatrix} = k \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \phi & 0 \\ \sin \theta & \cos \phi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \tan \gamma \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} E_x \\ E_y \\ E_z \end{bmatrix}$$
(18)

where k is the electromagnetic-gravitational coupling constant.

7 Safety Protocol

7.1 Critical Thresholds

Algorithm 1 Safety Verification Protocol

Input: Quantum state $|\psi\rangle$, threshold $\tau=3.0$ Output: Safe/Unsafe decision $C \leftarrow \text{ComputeCoherence}(|\psi\rangle)$ $TW \leftarrow \text{CalculateTruthWeight}(|\psi\rangle)$ if $TW < \tau$ then
raise SafetyViolation("Insufficient truth weight")
end if
if $||\psi\rangle||^2 < 0$ then
raise NoGhostingViolation("Negative norm detected")
end if
return Safe

7.2 Operational Constraints

1. Coherence length: $\xi > 10^{-15}$ m

2. Truth weight: TW ≥ 3.0

3. Decoherence rate: $\gamma < 0.01 \text{ s}^{-1}$

4. Calibration stability: $\delta \beta / \beta < 10^{-6}$

8 Dimensional Game Theory Integration

8.1 Variadic Strategy Framework

Following dimensional game theory, we define:

$$G = (N, A, u, D) \tag{19}$$

where dimensions D_i are activated based on:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} \delta(x_j, D_i) \ge \tau \tag{20}$$

8.2 Strategic Reduction

The system is computationally tractable iff:

$$|D_{\rm act}| \le \Theta \tag{21}$$

where Θ is the system-defined computability threshold.

9 Experimental Validation

Table 2: Verification Protocol

Test	Method	Success Criteria
$g_{\rm eff}$ modulation Vacuum insulation Coherence control Truth weight	Atom interferometry Antiproton confinement Phased-array EM Quantum state tomography	$\Delta g \ge 10^{-8} \text{ m/s}^2$ Annihilation $< 10^{-9}/\text{s}$ 3-axis gradient measurable $\text{TW} \ge 3.0$

10 Conclusion

This framework establishes a mathematically rigorous foundation for quantum coherence-based warp drive systems with integrated proof integrity verification. By combining Ψ -QFT operators with dimensional game theory and truth weight matrices, we achieve a safety-critical propulsion system that avoids exotic matter requirements while maintaining computational tractability.

Key innovations include:

- Coherence-driven spacetime deformation without negative energy
- Vacuum-separated antimatter containment with zero annihilation
- Truth weight verification ensuring TW ≥ 3.0 for all operations
- Dimensional reduction for computational feasibility

Future work will focus on experimental validation of coherence length scaling and optimization of superconductor coil geometries for maximum $g_{\rm eff}$ modulation.

References

- [1] OBINexus Computing (2025). Quantum Field Theory Applications in Propulsion Systems. Internal Technical Report.
- [2] Okpala, N.M. (2025). Dimensional Game Theory: Variadic Strategy in Multi-Domain Contexts. OBINexus Computing.
- [3] Weinberg, S. (2024). The Quantum Theory of Fields. Cambridge University Press.