

# Math 114L: Problem Set 4

Owen Jones

May 12, 2024

## Problem 1

Part 1:

Let  $P^{\mathcal{M}} := \{n \in \mathbb{N} : n \text{ is prime or even}\}$ .

Let  $Q^{\mathcal{M}} := \{n \in \mathbb{N} : n \text{ is odd}\}$ .

$\mathcal{M} \models T$  since (1) every number is odd or even, (2) there are infinitely many  $x \in P^{\mathcal{M}} \setminus Q^{\mathcal{M}}$  (even numbers), (3) there are infinitely many  $x \in Q^{\mathcal{M}} \setminus P^{\mathcal{M}}$  (odd composite numbers), (4) there are infinitely many  $x \in P^{\mathcal{M}} \cap Q^{\mathcal{M}}$  (odd primes).

Part 2:

Let  $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \models T$ . (2) says  $P^{\mathcal{M}} \setminus Q^{\mathcal{M}}$  and  $P^{\mathcal{N}} \setminus Q^{\mathcal{N}}$  are countably infinite, so we construct a bijection  $f_1 : P^{\mathcal{M}} \setminus Q^{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow P^{\mathcal{N}} \setminus Q^{\mathcal{N}}$ . Using similar arguments with (3) and (4) we construct bijections  $f_2 : Q^{\mathcal{M}} \setminus P^{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow Q^{\mathcal{N}} \setminus P^{\mathcal{N}}$  and  $f_3 : P^{\mathcal{M}} \cap Q^{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow P^{\mathcal{N}} \cap Q^{\mathcal{N}}$ . (1) says  $M = P^{\mathcal{M}} \cup Q^{\mathcal{M}} = (P^{\mathcal{M}} \setminus Q^{\mathcal{M}}) \cup (Q^{\mathcal{M}} \setminus P^{\mathcal{M}}) \cup (P^{\mathcal{M}} \cap Q^{\mathcal{M}})$ , so  $f := f_1 \sqcup f_2 \sqcup f_3$  is a bijection  $f : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  that preserves  $P$  and  $Q$ .

## Problem 2

- (1) Let  $G_n = ([n+2], \{(1,2), (2,1), \dots, (n+1, n+2), (n+2, n+1)\})$ . The shortest path connecting 1 and  $n+2$  is length  $n+1$   $\{(1,2), (2,3), \dots, (n+1, n+2)\}$ , so  $G_n$  is not  $n$  connected. For  $1 \leq a < b \leq n+2$   $\{(a, a+1), (a+1, a+2), \dots, (b-1, b)\}$  is a path of length  $b-a \leq n+1$  from  $a$  to  $b$ , and by symmetry, we can find a path from  $b$  to  $a$  in a similar manner. Thus,  $G_n$  is  $n+1$  connected.
- (2) Consider the sentence  $\varphi_n := \forall a, b (\neg(a=b) \rightarrow \bigvee_{k \in [n]} \exists c_1, \dots, c_{k-1} E(a, c_1) \wedge E(c_1, c_2) \wedge \dots \wedge E(c_{k-1}, b))$ .  $G \models \varphi_n$  iff for every vertex  $a \neq b$   $\exists 1 \leq k \leq n$  s.t.  $a, b$  are  $k$  connected.
- (3) Suppose for the sake of contradiction the set of all connected graphs form an elementary class. It follows there exists a theory  $T$  s.t.  $M \models T$  iff  $M$  is connected. Let  $T^* = T \cup \{\neg\phi_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . If  $T^*$  is satisfiable, then any model  $G$  s.t.  $G \models T^*$  is not  $n$  connected for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\Delta \subset T^*$  be finite, and let  $n$  be the largest s.t.  $\neg\varphi_n \in \Delta$ . The graph we found in (1),

$G_n$ , satisfies  $T$  since  $G_n$  is  $n + 1$  connected, and  $G_n \models \neg\varphi_n$  because  $G_n$  is not  $k$  connected for any  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . Since  $G_n \models \Delta$ , this implies  $T^*$  is satisfiable by compactness.

### Problem 3

$M \cong N \rightarrow M \equiv N$ , so it suffices to show the reverse direction.

Suppose  $M \equiv N$ . Since  $M$  is finite, we can enumerate its underlying set  $M = \{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n\}$ .

Case 1:  $\mathcal{L}$  is a finite language.

Consider the sentence

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi = & \exists x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \left( \bigwedge_{\substack{i, j \in [n] \\ \text{s.t. } i \neq j}} \neg(x_i = x_j) \right) \wedge \left( \forall x \bigvee_{i \in [n]} x = x_i \right) \\ & \wedge \left( \bigwedge_{c \in \mathcal{L}} \bigwedge_{\substack{i \text{ s.t.} \\ m_i = c^M}} x_i = c \right) \\ & \wedge \left( \bigwedge_{f \in \mathcal{L}} \bigwedge_{\substack{i, i_1, \dots, i_k \in [n] \\ \text{s.t. } m_i = f^M(m_{i_1}, \dots, m_{i_k})}} x_i = f(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k}) \right) \\ & \wedge \left( \bigwedge_{R \in \mathcal{L}} \bigwedge_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_k \in [n] \\ \text{s.t. } (m_{i_1}, \dots, m_{i_k}) \in R^M}} R(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k}) \right) \\ & \wedge \left( \bigwedge_{R \in \mathcal{L}} \bigwedge_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_k \in [n] \\ \text{s.t. } (m_{i_1}, \dots, m_{i_k}) \notin R^M}} \neg R(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Clearly,  $M \models \varphi$  because  $m_1 \dots m_n$  witness  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . By elementary equivalence,  $N \models \varphi$ . Let  $n_1, \dots, n_n$  be the witness of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . Define embedding  $\eta : M \rightarrow N$  by sending each  $m_i$  to  $n_i$ . By first line, domain and codomain are the same size, and  $\eta$  is surjective. Thus,  $\eta$  is a bijection. By the second line,  $m_i = c^M$  implies  $n_i = \eta(m_i) = c^N$  for all  $m_i \in M$ , so  $\eta$  preserves constants. By the third line,  $m_i = f^M(m_{i_1}, \dots, m_{i_k})$  implies  $n_i = \eta(m_i) = f^N(\eta(m_{i_1}), \dots, \eta(m_{i_k}))$  for all  $m_i, m_{i_1}, \dots, m_{i_k} \in M$ , so  $\eta$  preserves functions. By the fourth and fifth line we have  $(m_{i_1}, \dots, m_{i_k}) \in R^M$  iff  $(\eta(m_{i_1}), \dots, \eta(m_{i_k})) \in R^N$ , so  $\eta$  preserves relation symbols.

Hence,  $\eta$  preserves constant, function, and relation symbols, and therefore,  $M \cong N$ .

### Problem 4

Let  $I$  be a set s.t  $|I| > |M|$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}' = \mathcal{L} \cup \{c_i : i \in I\}$  be an extension of  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $T = Th_{\mathcal{L}}(M) \cup \{c_i \neq c_j : i \neq j \in I\}$ . We want to show  $T$  is satisfiable. Let  $\Delta \subset T$  be finite. Pick a finite  $J \subset I$  s.t every  $c_i$  that occur in  $\Delta$  have an index in  $J$ . Since  $M$  is infinite, we can interpret each  $\{c_i : i \in J\}$  as distinct

elements of  $M$ . Thus,  $M \models \Delta$ . By compactness,  $T$  is satisfiable. Let  $N$  be an  $\mathcal{L}^*$  structure s.t  $N \models T$   $N \models Th_{\mathcal{L}}(N) \Rightarrow Th_{\mathcal{L}}(N) \supset Th_{\mathcal{L}}(M) \Rightarrow Th_{\mathcal{L}}(N) = Th_{\mathcal{L}}(M)$ , so  $N \equiv M$ . However,  $|N| \leq |I| > |M|$ , so  $|N| > |M|$ . Hence,  $N$  and  $M$  cannot be isomorphic.

## Problem 5

- (1) Extend the language  $\mathcal{L}$  to a new language  $\mathcal{L}^* = \mathcal{L} \cup \{a\}$ . Define a theory  $T^* = Th(M) \cup \{D(p, a) : p \in \mathbb{P}\}$  in the new language  $\mathcal{L}^*$ . It suffices to show  $T^*$  is finitely satisfiable. Let  $T_0 \subset T^*$  be finite. It follows  $T_0 = \{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n\} \cup \{D(p, a) : p \in P\}$  for finitely many  $\varphi_i \in Th(M)$  sentences and some finite subset  $P \subset \mathbb{P}$ .  $M \models T_0$  because  $M \models \{D(p, a) : p \in P\}$  if we for example let  $a = \prod_{p_i \in P} p_i$  and  $M \models \varphi_i$  for any  $\varphi_i \in Th(M)$ . Since  $T^*$  is finitely satisfiable, then  $T^*$  must be satisfiable by compactness. Thus, there exists some structure  $N$  s.t  $N \models T^*$  and because  $N \models Th(M)$   $N \equiv_{\mathcal{L}} M$  in the language  $\mathcal{L}$ .
- (2) Assume the twin prime conjecture is true. Extend the language  $\mathcal{L}$  to a new language  $\mathcal{L}^* = \mathcal{L} \cup \{p_1, p_2\}$ . Define a theory  $T^* = Th(M) \cup \{T(p_1) \wedge T(p_2)\} \cup \{n < p_1 : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{p_2 = p_1 + 2\}$  in the new language  $\mathcal{L}^*$ . It suffices to show  $T^*$  is finitely satisfiable. Let  $T_0 \subset T^*$  be finite. It follows  $T_0 = \{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n\} \cup \{T(p_1) \wedge T(p_2)\} \cup \{n < p_1 : n \in N_0\} \cup \{p_2 = p_1 + 2\}$  for finitely many  $\varphi_i \in Th(M)$  sentences and some finite subset  $N_0 \subset \mathbb{N}$ .  $M \models \{T(p_1) \wedge T(p_2)\} \cup \{n < p_1 : n \in N_0\} \cup \{p_2 = p_1 + 2\}$  because the twin prime conjecture states there exists infinitely many pairs of primes  $(p_1, p_2)$  s.t  $p_2 = p_1 + 2$  allowing us to find some  $p_1, p_2$  larger than the largest element of  $N_0$ .  $M \models \varphi_i$  for any  $\varphi_i \in Th(M)$ . Thus,  $M \models T_0$ . Since  $T^*$  is finitely satisfiable, then  $T^*$  must be satisfiable by compactness. Thus, there exists some structure  $N$  s.t  $N \models T^*$  and because  $N \models Th(M)$   $N \equiv_{\mathcal{L}} M$  in the language  $\mathcal{L}$ .

## Problem 6

Assume to the contrary such a  $k$  does not exist. It follows for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a finite model  $M_n$  with  $n$  or more elements that makes  $\varphi$  false. Let  $M' = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M_n$  be the union of all  $M_n$ . Because  $M'$  is a model with infinitely many elements, it must make  $\varphi$  true. However, each  $M_n$  makes  $\varphi$  false, so their union must make  $\varphi$  false. Hence, we obtain a contradiction, and there must exist some  $k$  s.t all models with  $k$  or more elements must make  $\varphi$  true.