## Math 106: Problem Set 3

## Owen Jones

## 2/4/2024

- **3.2.1** Let s be a square number. It follows there exists some integer q s.t  $s = q^2$ .
- $q \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  There exists some integer k s.t q = 4k. It follows  $s = 16k^2$ . 8  $16k^2 \Rightarrow s \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ .
- $q \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  There exists some integer k s.t q = 2+4k. It follows  $s = 16k^2 + 16k + 4$ .  $8 \mid 16k^2 + 16k \Rightarrow s \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$ .
  - q is odd There exists some integer k s.t  $q = \pm 1 + 4k$ . It follows  $s = 16k^2 \pm 16k^$ 8k + 1.  $8 \mid 16k^2 + 16k \Rightarrow s \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ .
  - **3.2.2** From **3.2.1**, we know any square leaves remainder 0, 1, or 4 on division by 8. Thus, if we take 3 square numbers, their remainders added together (mod 8) will be some value. Some quick examples:

$$0+0+0=0, 0+0+1=1, 0+1+1=2, 1+1+1=3, 4+0+0=4, 4+1+0=5, 4+1+1=6$$

It remains to show it is impossible for the sum of 3 squares to leave 7 on division by 8.

Since 7 is odd, we must have either 1 or 3 odd squares. 3 odd squares added together leaves remainder 3 on division by 8, so we must have 1 odd square. Since the other two squares are even, they must leave remainder 0 or 4 on division by 8. Thus, the sum of the even squares leave remainder 0 or 4 on division by 8

Since neither 1 nor 5 is 7, it is impossible to leave remainder 7 on division

**3.2.3** Let  $x_k$  by the  $k^{th}$  pentagonal number. From figure 3.1, we deduce  $x_{k+1} =$  $x_k + 3k + 1.$ 

Pf by induction:

Base case:  $\frac{3 \cdot 1^2 - 1}{2} = 1$  which is the  $1^{st}$  pentagonal number.

Induction hypothesis: Assume for some  $k \ge 1$   $x_k = \frac{3k^2 - k}{2}$ . Induction step:  $x_{k+1} = \frac{3k^2 - k}{2} + 3k + 1 = \frac{3k^2 + 5k + 2}{2} = \frac{3(k+1)^2 - (k+1)}{2}$ . Hence, by induction, the claim holds for all k.

**3.2.4** Let  $t_k$  be the  $k^{th}$  triangular number. Thus  $t_k = \sum_{i=0}^k i = \frac{k(k+1)}{2}$ . We show  $k^2 = t_{k-1} + t_k$ .  $t_{k-1} + t_k = \frac{(k-1)k}{2} + \frac{k(k+1)}{2} = \frac{2k^2}{2} = k^2$ 

1

$$t_{k-1} + t_k = \frac{(k-1)k}{2} + \frac{k(k+1)}{2} = \frac{2k^2}{2} = k^2$$

**3.3.1** Let q be a prime divisor of  $2^{n-1}p$ .

Thus, either  $\begin{cases} q=p & \text{if } q \mid p \\ q=2 & \text{if } q \mid 2^{n-1} \end{cases}$  If q=p, then we can iterate through  $2^{n-1}$  using the prime divisor property to show  $1,2,2^2,\cdots 2^{n-1}$  are all

proper divisors of  $2^{n-1}p$ . If q=2, then we can iterate through  $2^{n-2}p$ using the prime divisor property.

Thus, we obtain  $\begin{cases} q = p \text{ and proceed to } 2^{n-2} \text{ case} & \text{if } q \mid p \\ q = 2 & \text{if } q \mid 2^{n-2} \end{cases}$  erate through this case to show  $p, 2p, 2^2p, \cdots 2^{n-2}p$  are all proper divisors

of  $2^{n-1}p$ .

Thus, we only need to show that there are no other proper divisors of  $2^{n-1}p$ . Every number has a unique prime factorization. It follows that any proper divisor of  $2^{n-1}p$  must must constructed from 2s and p. Moreover, any number  $2^{j}p^{k}$  where j > n-1 or p > 1 can't be a divisor because  $2^{j-n+1}p^{k-1} \nmid 1$ .

- **3.3.2** If we divide a by b, we obtain a quotient  $q_1$  and remainder  $r_2$ . It follows we can write  $r_2$  as a linear combination of a and b i.e  $r_2 = a - q_1 b$ . Assume for some i, we can write  $r_i$  and  $r_{i+1}$  as a linear combination of a and b. We have  $r_{i+2} = r_i - q_{i+1}r_i$  by division with remainder. Thus,  $r_{i+2} =$  $(am_i + bn_i) - q_{i+1}(am_{i+1} + bn_{i+1}) = a(m_i - q_{i+1}m_{i+1}) + b(n_i - q_{i+1}n_{i+1})$ which is a linear combination of a and b. Hence,  $m_{i+2} = m_i - q_{i+1}m_{i+1}$ and  $n_{i+2} = n_i - q_{i+1}n_{i+1}$  where  $m_0 = 1, m_1 = 0, n_0 = 0, n_1 = 1$ . When we terminate the Euclidean Algorithm after some k steps, we obtain  $am_k$  +  $bn_k = \gcd(a, b).$
- **3.3.3** If  $gcd(a,b) \mid c$  there exists an integer k s.t gcd(a,b)k = c. It follows from **3.3.2** there exists m, n s.t  $am + bn = \gcd(a, b)$ . Thus, a(mk) + b(nk) = chas an integer solution because mk, nk are both integers. Suppose am +bn = c has an integer solution.  $gcd(a,b) \mid a$  and  $gcd(a,b) \mid b$ , so  $gcd(a,b) \mid$ am + bn. Thus,  $gcd(a, b) \mid c$  must also be true.
- **3.3.4** gcd(12, 15) = 3. Thus, by **3.3.3**, if there exists a solution to 12x + 15y = 1then 3 | 1. This is clearly false, so 12x + 15y = 1 has no integer solutions.