

Math 156: Problem Set 2

Owen Jones

April 28, 2024

$$\begin{aligned}
 1. \quad & \operatorname{argmax}_{\mathbf{w}} P(\mathbf{w}|D, \alpha) = \operatorname{argmax}_{\mathbf{w}} P(D|\mathbf{w})P(\mathbf{w}|\alpha) \\
 & = \operatorname{argmax}_{\mathbf{w}} \left(\prod_{n=1}^N \frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}(t_n - y(x_n, \mathbf{w}))^2} \right) \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{(M+1)}{2}} e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2} \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{w}} \\
 & = \operatorname{argmax}_{\mathbf{w}} \log \left(\left(\prod_{n=1}^N \frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}(t_n - y(x_n, \mathbf{w}))^2} \right) \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{(M+1)}{2}} e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2} \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{w}} \right) \\
 & = \operatorname{argmax}_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{N}{2} \log\left(\frac{\beta}{2\pi}\right) + \frac{M+1}{2} \log\left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right) - \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (t_n - y(x_n, \mathbf{w}))^2 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{w} \\
 & = \operatorname{argmax}_{\mathbf{w}} - \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (t_n - y(x_n, \mathbf{w}))^2 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{w} \\
 & = \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (t_n - y(x_n, \mathbf{w}))^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{w}
 \end{aligned}$$

which is the minimizer of our desired function.

The MAP approach adds a regularizer term to MLE approach.

2. Suppose for the sake of contradiction $\{\mathbf{x}_n\}$ and $\{\mathbf{y}_n\}$ are linearly separable, but there exists a point \mathbf{z} where the two convex hulls intersect.

$$\text{Let } \mathbf{z} = \sum_n \alpha_n \mathbf{x}_n = \sum_n \beta_n \mathbf{y}_n.$$

$$\text{It follows } \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{z} + w_0 = \mathbf{w}^\top \left(\sum_n \alpha_n \mathbf{x}_n \right) + w_0 = \mathbf{w}^\top \left(\sum_n \beta_n \mathbf{y}_n \right) + w_0$$

$$\mathbf{w}^\top \left(\sum_n \alpha_n \mathbf{x}_n \right) + w_0 = \sum_n \alpha_n (\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_n) + w_0 \text{ because } \alpha_n \text{ is a scalar quantity.}$$

$$\text{Using } \sum_n \alpha_n = 1, \text{ we obtain } \sum_n \alpha_n (\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_n) + w_0 = \sum_n \alpha_n (\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_n + w_0).$$

$$\text{Thus, we have } \sum_n \alpha_n (\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_n + w_0) = \sum_n \beta_n (\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{y}_n + w_0). \text{ However, this}$$

is impossible for all $\alpha_n, \beta_n \geq 0$ because by assumption $\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_n + w_0 > 0, \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{y}_n + w_0 < 0$. Hence, $\{\mathbf{x}_n\}$ and $\{\mathbf{y}_n\}$ are not linearly separable.

Suppose for the sake of contradiction there exists a point \mathbf{z} where the two convex hulls intersect, but the convex hulls are linearly separable.

It follows there exists a vector $\vec{\mathbf{w}}$ and scalar w_0 s.t $\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_n + w_0 > 0$ and $\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{y}_n + w_0 < 0$. Since \mathbf{z} lies on the intersection of the two convex hulls, $\mathbf{z} = \sum_n \alpha_n \mathbf{x}_n = \sum_n \beta_n \mathbf{y}_n$. However, $\sum_n \alpha_n (\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_n + w_0) = \sum_n \mathbf{w}^\top (\alpha_n \mathbf{x}_n) + w_0 = \sum_n \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{z} + w_0 > 0$ and $\sum_n \beta_n (\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{y}_n + w_0) = \sum_n \mathbf{w}^\top (\beta_n \mathbf{y}_n) + w_0 = \sum_n \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{z} + w_0 < 0$ which is clearly a contradiction. Hence, the two convex hulls do not intersect.

3. See the function SGD in the attached code
4. For the purpose of this problem, I chose to use sklearn's MinMax scaler on the features in the dataset. The parameters I chose for my SGD classification model were to RSME less than 0.1 as the termination condition, 100,000 max iterations, 10% of total population as the batch size, and a learning rate of 0.005. I used a train, validation, test split of 60%, 20%, and 20%. The model performed very well on the test set (See classification report). Precision, recall, and f-1 score were all in the low to mid 90s except for the recall on class 0. Thus, the ratio of True negatives to total number of negatives is slightly worse than the other metrics.

```
In [62]: import numpy as np
import sklearn as skl
from sklearn import datasets
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
from sklearn.preprocessing import MinMaxScaler
from sklearn.metrics import classification_report
import pandas as pd
from numpy import linalg
from scipy.special import expit
```

```
In [3]: data=datasets.load_breast_cancer()
df = pd.DataFrame(data.data, columns=data.feature_names)
df['target'] = data.target
```

```
In [58]: def SGD(data,validate,epsilon=0.1,target=None,batch_size=None,alpha=0.005,max_it
    if batch_size is None:
        batch_size=int(0.1*data.shape[0])
        #sets batch size to 5% of population if none entered
    w=np.random.normal(0,1,data.shape[1])
    #generates initial weights and biases for model from standard normal
    for i in np.arange(max_iter):
        sample=data.sample(n=batch_size)
        #samples data from population
        x=np.insert(sample.iloc[:, :-1].values,0,np.ones([batch_size,]),axis=1)
        #adds column of ones for w_0 of weights and bias vector
        t=sample.iloc[:, -1].values
        #vector of targets
        y=expit(x.dot(w))
        #compute the y_n for each x_n
        g=np.array([diff*phi for diff,phi in zip(y-t,x)]).sum(axis=0)
        #compute the gradient
        w=w-alpha*g
        #compute new weight and bias vector
        if i%1000==0:
            x_v=np.insert(validate.iloc[:, :-1].values,0,np.ones([validate.shape[0],]),axis=1)
            y_v=expit(x_v.dot(w))
            t_v=validate.iloc[:, -1].values
            error=np.sqrt(((y_v - t_v) ** 2).mean())
            if error<epsilon:
                break
    return w,error,i #return w vector, RMSE, and iterations
```

```
In [31]: scaler=MinMaxScaler()
scaled_data = scaler.fit_transform(df)
scaled_df = pd.DataFrame(scaled_data, columns=df.columns)
```

```
In [76]: scaled_df.head()
```

Out [76]:

	mean radius	mean texture	mean perimeter	mean area	mean smoothness	mean compactness	mean concavity	mean concave points	syn
0	0.521037	0.022658	0.545989	0.363733	0.593753	0.792037	0.703140	0.731113	0.6
1	0.643144	0.272574	0.615783	0.501591	0.289880	0.181768	0.203608	0.348757	0.3
2	0.601496	0.390260	0.595743	0.449417	0.514309	0.431017	0.462512	0.635686	0.5
3	0.210090	0.360839	0.233501	0.102906	0.811321	0.811361	0.565604	0.522863	0.1
4	0.629893	0.156578	0.630986	0.489290	0.430351	0.347893	0.463918	0.518390	0.3

5 rows × 31 columns

In [38]:

```
train, validate, test = np.split(scaled_df.sample(frac=1), [int(.6*len(scaled_df
```

In [61]:

```
test_features=np.insert(test.iloc[:,-1].values,0,np.ones([test.shape[0],]),axis
test_target=test.iloc[:,-1].values
predicted_target=expit(test_features.dot(SGD(train,validate)[0]))
```

In [75]:

```
print(classification_report(test_target,np.round(predicted_target)))
```

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0.0	0.93	0.88	0.91	49
1.0	0.91	0.95	0.93	65
accuracy			0.92	114
macro avg	0.92	0.92	0.92	114
weighted avg	0.92	0.92	0.92	114