

COSC264

Introduction to Computer Networks and the Internet

# TCP Flow Control and Congestion Control

Dr. Barry Wu

Wireless Research Centre

University of Canterbury

[barry.wu@canterbury.ac.nz](mailto:barry.wu@canterbury.ac.nz)

# TCP reliable data transfer: a summary

- TCP creates rdt service on top of IP's unreliable service
- Pipelined segments
- Cumulative ACKs
- TCP uses single retransmission timer
- Retransmissions are triggered by:
  - timeout events
  - duplicate ACKs (*fast retransmit*)

# TCP Round Trip Time and Timeout

## Setting the timeout

- To measure the variability of the RTT;
- first estimate of how much SampleRTT deviates from EstimatedRTT:

$$\text{DevRTT} = (1-\beta) * \text{DevRTT} + \beta * |\text{SampleRTT} - \text{EstimatedRTT}|$$

(typically,  $\beta = 0.25$ )

Then set timeout interval:


$$\text{TimeoutInterval} = \text{EstimatedRTT} + 4 * \text{DevRTT}$$

# Tricks for reliable data transfer

Mechanism	Use, Comments
Checksum	To detect bit errors
Timer	To timeout/retransmit a packet (lost/premature packet)
Sequence number	To detect a lost packet (gap in seq#) To detect a duplicate packet (duplicate seq#)
Acknowledgement	To notify successful reception (individual/cumulative)
Negative ACK	To notify unsuccessful reception
Window, pipelining	To improve sender utilisation (utilisation vs performance)



How to set the window size?




$$\text{LastByteSent} - \text{LastByteAked} \leq \min\{\text{CongWin}, \text{RcvWindow}\};$$
 CongWin for congestion control; RcvWindow for flow control;

# Outline


- TCP Flow control
- Principles of general congestion control
- TCP congestion control

# Flow control

- Flow control is a speed-matching service – matching the rate at which the sender is sending against the rate at which the receiving is reading.



# TCP Flow control: how it works




- spare room in buffer
- =  $\text{RcvWindow}$
- =  $\text{RcvBuffer} - [\text{LastByteRcvd} - \text{LastByteRead}]$


The receiver calculates RcvWindow and tells the sender!




# TCP segment structure



# Pathological scenario



# The journey of a packet



Problems	Causes	Solutions
Bit error	e.g., signal attenuation/noise	Error detection and correction
Buffer overflow	e.g., Speed-mismatch; Too much traffic;	Flow control and congestion control
Lost packet	e.g., buffer overflow at host/router	Acknowledgement and retransmission (ARQ) - RDT
Out of order	e.g. an early packet gets lost and retransmitted; a later one arrives first.	Acknowledgement and retransmission (ARQ) - RDT

Packet Retransmission (a panacea in RDT) *treats the symptom* of packet loss; packet loss typically results from overflowing router buffers as the network becomes congested; (congestion control *treats the cause* of packet loss.)


# Outline

- TCP Flow control
- Principles of general congestion control
- TCP congestion control

# Flow control vs Congestion control

Congestion control is not so much a service provided to the invoking application; it is a service to the network *as a whole*.

Transport-layer flow control – to avoid buffer overflow at the *receiver*;



Congestion control – to avoid router buffer overflow caused by congestion in the network!

# Approaches towards congestion control


Two broad approaches towards congestion control:

## Network-assisted congestion control:

- routers provide feedback to end systems
  - single bit indicating congestion
  - explicit rate sender should send at


## End-end congestion control:

- no explicit feedback from network
- congestion inferred from end-system observed loss, delay
- *approach taken by TCP*



# Causes/costs of congestion: scenario 1


- two senders, two receivers
- one router, infinite buffers
- no retransmission
- maximum achievable throughput (R)
- (*costs*) large delays when congested





# Causes/costs of congestion: scenario 2

- one router, *finite* buffers
- sender retransmission of lost packet




## “costs” of congestion:

- ❑ more work (retrans) in order for compensation for lost pkts due to buffer overflow.
- ❑ unneeded retransmissions: link carries multiple copies of pkt (premature timeout)

# Causes/costs of congestion: scenario 3

- four senders
- Multi-hop paths
- timeout/retransmit
- Cost: upstream efforts wasted



# Principles of Congestion Control


## Congestion:

- informally: “too many sources sending too many data too fast for *network* to handle”
- different from flow control!
- Manifestations (symptoms):
  - lost packets (buffer overflow at routers)
  - long delays (queueing in router buffers)


# Outline


- TCP Flow control
- Principles of general congestion control
- TCP congestion control

Everyone enjoys a share of the resources  
without causing network congestion.



# The share for everyone is dynamic!





$$\text{LastByteSent} - \text{LastByteAked} \leq \min\{\text{CongWin}, \text{RcvWindow}\};$$
 CongWin for congestion control; RcvWindow for flow control;

# TCP Congestion Control

- end-end control (no network assistance)
- sender limits transmission:


**LastByteSent - LastByteAcked**

$$\leq \min\{\text{CongWin}, \text{RcvWindow}\}$$

- Assumptions

- `RcvWindow > CongWin;`
- Negligible transmission delay;

For flow control





# Basic strategy

- Roughly,

$$\text{rate} = \frac{\text{CongWin}}{\text{RTT}} \text{ Bytes/sec}$$

- By adjusting **CongWin** *according to network congestion*, the sender can adjust its rate at which it sends data into its connection.


# How to detect congestion?

- loss event = timeout *or* 3 duplicate ACKs
- TCP sender reduces rate (**CongWin**) after loss event

# How to adjust CongWin


- Take the arrival of ACKs as an indication of being congestion-free:
  - If ACKs (for previously unacknowledged segments) arrive fast, increase CongWin quickly;
  - If ACKs (for previously unacknowledged segments) arrive slow, increase CongWin slowly;
  - If there is timeout/duplicate ACKs, decrease CongWin.

# *The celebrated* TCP congestion control algorithm




# TCP Slow Start

- When connection begins, **CongWin** = 1 MSS (Maximum Segment Size)
  - Example: MSS = 500 bytes & RTT = 200 msec
  - initial rate = 20 kbps
- available bandwidth may be  $\gg$  MSS/RTT
  - desirable to quickly ramp up to respectable rate
- When connection begins, increase rate ***exponentially*** fast until first loss event
- “Start from slow”



# TCP Slow Start (more)

- When connection begins, increase rate exponentially until *first loss event*.
  - double **CongWin** every RTT
    - CongWin is typically set to 1 MSS initially;
    - done by incrementing **CongWin** by **1MSS** for every **ACK** received;
- Summary: *initial rate is slow* but ramps up exponentially fast




# TCP AIMD (saw-toothed pattern)

## multiplicative decrease:

cut **CongWin** in half  
after loss event

## additive increase: increase

**CongWin** by 1 MSS  
(max seg. Size) **every**  
**RTT** in the absence of  
loss events



Long-lived TCP connection

# A common approach for Additive-Increase

- Sender increases its `CongWin` by  $1 \text{ MSS} \times \frac{\text{MSS}}{\text{CongWin}}$  bytes whenever a new ACK arrives.

After  $(\frac{\text{CongWin}}{\text{MSS}})$  segments are sent and ACK'd within one RTT, `CongWin` will be increased by


$$1 \text{ MSS} \times \frac{\text{MSS}}{\text{CongWin}} \times \frac{\text{CongWin}}{\text{MSS}} = 1 \text{ MSS}$$



# An example



- Init. CongWin = 1 MSS = 500 bytes; (typical values of MSS are 1460, 536, 512)
- After sending one segment ( 1MSS) and receiving one ACK within one RTT, CongWin = 1 MSS + 1 MSS \* (1 MSS/1 MSS) = 2 MSS; *Now it can send two segments;*
- After sending two segments (1MSS each) and receiving two ACKs within one RTT, CongWin = 2 + 1 MSS\*(1 MSS/2 MSS) \*2 = 3 MSS;
- Continue; CongWin = 3 + 1 MSS\*(1 MSS/3 MSS) \*3 = 4 MSS;

# Tricks so far




TCP has some refined actions towards a loss event, depending on whether it is a timeout or 3 dup-ACKs.

- 3 dup ACKs indicates network capable of delivering some segments
- timeout is “more alarming”;




# Refinement – Reaction to timeout


- After 3 dup ACKs:
  - **CongWin** is cut in half
  - window then grows linearly
- But after timeout event:
  - **CongWin** is set to 1 MSS;
  - window then grows exponentially
  - to a threshold (half of the **CongWin** before timeout), then grows linearly



# TCP Tahoe vs TCP Reno




# Tricks so far



# An example


Suppose we know for a host its share is 10 MSS/RTT for a certain time;  
Assume that whenever the host sends at a rate  $\geq 16$  MSS/RTT, there is a timeout;  
Whenever the rate is over 10 MSS/RTT, there are 3 dup ACKs;





# TCP sender congestion control - Reno

Event	State	TCP Sender Action	Commentary
ACK receipt for previously unacked data	Slow Start (SS)	$\text{CongWin} = \text{CongWin} + \text{MSS}$ (for each effective ACK), If $(\text{CongWin} > \text{Threshold})$ set state to "Congestion Avoidance"	Resulting in a doubling of CongWin every RTT
ACK receipt for previously unacked data	Congestion Avoidance (CA)	$\text{CongWin} = \text{CongWin} + \text{MSS} * (\text{MSS} / \text{CongWin})$	Additive increase, resulting in increase of CongWin by 1 MSS every RTT
Loss event detected by triple duplicate ACK	SS or CA	$\text{Threshold} = \text{CongWin} / 2$ , $\text{CongWin} = \text{Threshold}$ , Set state to "Congestion Avoidance"	Fast recovery, implementing multiplicative decrease. CongWin will not drop below 1 MSS.
Timeout	SS or CA	$\text{Threshold} = \text{CongWin} / 2$ , $\text{CongWin} = 1 \text{ MSS}$ , Set state to "Slow Start"	Enter slow start
Duplicate ACK	SS or CA	Increment duplicate ACK count for segment being acked	CongWin and Threshold not changed



# Summary

- TCP flow control
- Principles of general congestion control
- TCP congestion control

# References

- [KR3] James F. Kurose, Keith W. Ross, *Computer networking: a top-down approach featuring the Internet*, 3<sup>rd</sup> edition.
- [PD5] Larry L. Peterson, Bruce S. Davie, *Computer networks: a systems approach*, 5<sup>th</sup> edition
- [TW5] Andrew S. Tanenbaum, David J. Wetherall, *Computer network*, 5<sup>th</sup> edition
- [LHBi]Y-D. Lin, R-H. Hwang, F. Baker, *Computer network: an open source approach*, International edition

# Acknowledgements

- All slides are developed based on slides from the following two sources:
  - Dr DongSeong Kim's slides for COSC264, University of Canterbury;
  - Prof Aleksandar Kuzmanovic's lecture notes for CS340, Northwestern University,  
[https://users.cs.northwestern.edu/~akuzma/classes/CS340-w05/lecture\\_notes.htm](https://users.cs.northwestern.edu/~akuzma/classes/CS340-w05/lecture_notes.htm)