How Robust Are Robust Measures of PCE Inflation

Sergio Ocampo

Raphael Schoenle

Dominic Smith

Western University

Brandeis University and CEPR Bureau of Labor Statistics

CEBRA, Inflation: Drivers and Dynamics Webinar Series December, 2022

Robust Measures of PCE Inflation

- Judging the behavior of trend inflation is remarkably hard
- (Headline) Inflation averages all expenditure categories
 - Many expenditure categories experience extraordinarily high or low changes
 - Many such changes are only transitory
- In response, policy institutions develop robust measures of inflation
 - Drop some expenditure categories from inflation
 - Eliminate transitory variation and provide information on trend movements
 - Examples: Trimmed mean inflation, median inflation, core inflation

What We Do

1. Construct long series of PCE inflation 1960-2022

- This increases the series' length by 40% adding periods of high inflation

2. Properties of Official Trimmed Mean and Median inflation

- Substantial disagreement in many months
- Trimmed mean slightly better than median inflation at capturing trend inflation

3. Alternative Trimmed Mean Measures

- Choose trims targeting the behavior of trend inflation
- A range of trims deliver similar prediction error over time...
 However, significant variation in level predictions in any month
- Best trims are slightly asymmetrical and higher when targeting future trend inflation

PCE Inflation Data

- Personal Consumption Expenditure (PCE) data from NIPA
 - Produced and revised by the Bureau of Economic Analysis
 - Preferred inflation measure used by the Federal Reserve
- Extended sample: January 1959 → October 2022
 - Official Trimmed Mean/Median series only from 1977 (our sample 40% longer)
- Capture periods of rising and high inflation
 - 1960-1977 period contains two episodes of rising inflation (1968 and 1973)
 - 44 months with inflation higher than 5% (about one-fifth of full sample)

Trimmed Mean Inflation Series

- 1. Remove α % of expenditure with the lowest inflation
- 2. Remove β % of expenditure with the highest inflation
- 3. Weight and average monthly inflation of remaining categories

$$\pi_t^{tm,mo} = \sum_i \omega_t^i \frac{p_t^i}{p_{t-1}^i}$$

4. Chain monthly rates, $\pi_t^{tm,mo}$, to get yearly inflation

$$\pi_t^{tm} = \prod_{s=0}^{11} \pi_{t-s}^{tm,mo}$$

Official Robust Inflation Series

Dallas FED Trimmed Mean Inflation:


- Trim out the $\alpha = 24\%$ lowest and $\beta = 31\%$ highest inflation categories
- Trims chosen based on prediction of trend inflation (1977-2005)

Cleveland FED Median Inflation:


- Equivalent to trimming out trimmed mean inflation with $\alpha = \beta = 50\%$

Excluded Categories

Long Series of Robust Measures of Inflation



Agreement Between Series



Series differ often from headline inflation

- Range across series≈0.85pp

(Re-)Evaluating Robust Measure of Inflation

Objective: Match measures of current and future trend inflation $(\bar{\pi})$

- 1. Current trend inflation: Centered moving average (± 16 months)
 - Alternative: band-pass filter
- 2. Future trend inflation: Forward moving average (12-24 months ahead)
 - Alternative: forward moving average (0-24 months ahead)

Three Samples: 1970-2022 1970-1989 2000-2022

$$extit{rmse}^i = \sqrt{rac{1}{T}\sum_t (\pi_t^i - ar{\pi}_t^j)^2}$$

Performance of Official Measures details

| Target | Sample | PCE Inflation Measure | | | |
|----------------------|-----------|-----------------------|--------|----------|--|
| laiget | | Trimmed Mean | Median | Headline | |
| | 1970-2022 | 1.10 | 1.16 | 2.20 | |
| Current Trend | 1970-1989 | 1.62 | 1.51 | 2.28 | |
| | 2000-2022 | 0.75 | 0.95 | 2.47 | |
| | 1970-2022 | 2.12 | 2.14 | 2.93 | |
| Future Trend | 1970-1989 | 3.02 | 3.00 | 3.48 | |
| | 2000-2022 | 1.59 | 1.61 | 2.93 | |

- Trimmed Mean and Median inflation are much better than no trimming
- Trimmed Mean is slightly better than Median inflation


Optimal Trims details

| Target | Sample | | Best Trims | Official Trims | |
|---------------------|-----------|-------|------------|----------------|-----------|
| laiget | | Lower | Upper | RMSE | min(RMSE) |
| | 1970-2022 | 20 | 22 | 1.06 | 1.10 |
| Current Trend | 1970-1989 | 18 | 16 | 1.44 | 1.51 |
| | 2000-2022 | 22 | 29 | 0.74 | 0.75 |
| | 1970-2022 | 27 | 32 | 2.09 | 2.12 |
| Future Trend | 1970-1989 | 49 | 50 | 2.90 | 3.00 |
| | 2000-2022 | 28 | 32 | 1.55 | 1.59 |

- Optimal trims vary widely from the official ones (and across time)
 - Dallas $(\alpha, \beta) = (24, 31)$, Cleveland $(\alpha, \beta) = (50, 50)$
- However, optimal trims are only *slightly better* than official measures

Optimal Trims for Predicting Current trend 1970-2022

RMSE relative to optimal trim



- Wide range with similar RMSE (blue area)
- Slightly asymmetrical trims are optimal (higher upper trim)
- Optimal Trim: $(\alpha, \beta) = (20\%, 22\%)$
- Range of best 50 trims \approx 40bp



Conclusion

We extend robust measures of inflation back to 1960 and evaluate them

- Official robust inflation measures are near-optimal when matching trend
- However, average prediction error hides differences in series' behavior
- 1. Wide range of trims delivers similar error rates
- 2. Different trims imply different predictions (but similar prediction error)

Appendix

Summary Statistics back

| | Inflation Measures | | | |
|-------------|----------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------------|
| | Headline | Core | Median | Trimmed Mean |
| | Full | Sample | (748 mor | iths) |
| Mean | 3.27 | 3.21 | 3.33 | 2.96 |
| Std. Dev. | 2.42 | 2.13 | 2.01 | 1.86 |
| Coeff. Var. | 0.74 | 0.66 | 0.60 | 0.63 |
| | π < | 2.5% (| (373 mont | :hs) |
| Mean | 1.55 | 1.73 | 2.01 | 1.72 |
| Std. Dev. | 0.67 | 0.53 | 0.95 | 0.70 |
| Coeff. Var. | 0.43 | 0.31 | 0.47 | 0.41 |
| | $\pi \geq$ 5% (123 months) | | | |
| Mean | 7.76 | 7.09 | 6.85 | 6.31 |
| Std. Dev. | 2.00 | 1.59 | 1.60 | 1.57 |
| Coeff. Var. | 0.26 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.25 |

Performance of official measures - Details


| Target | Sample | PCE Inflation Measure | | | |
|-----------------|-----------|-----------------------|--------|----------|--|
| iaiget | Sample | Trimmed Mean | Median | Headline | |
| | 1970-2022 | 1.10 | 1.16 | 2.20 | |
| Current Trend | 1970-1989 | 1.62 | 1.51 | 2.28 | |
| | 2000-2022 | 0.75 | 0.95 | 2.47 | |
| | 1970-2022 | 1.62 | 1.66 | 2.43 | |
| Forward Trend | 1970-1989 | 2.38 | 2.34 | 2.74 | |
| | 2000-2022 | 1.09 | 1.21 | 2.56 | |
| | 1970-2022 | 1.25 | 1.30 | 2.11 | |
| Band Pass Trend | 1970-1989 | 1.65 | 1.55 | 1.98 | |
| | 2000-2022 | 1.02 | 1.18 | 2.42 | |
| | 1970-2022 | 2.12 | 2.14 | 2.93 | |
| Future Trend | 1970-1989 | 3.02 | 3.00 | 3.48 | |
| | 2000-2022 | 1.59 | 1.61 | 2.93 | |

Best trims details details

| Target | Sample | Best Trims | | | Official Trims |
|-----------------|-----------|------------|-------|------|----------------|
| Target | Sample | Lower | Upper | RMSE | min(RMSE) |
| | 1970-2022 | 20 | 22 | 1.06 | 1.10 |
| Current Trend | 1970-1989 | 18 | 16 | 1.44 | 1.51 |
| | 2000-2022 | 22 | 29 | 0.74 | 0.75 |
| | 1970-2022 | 15 | 17 | 1.59 | 1.62 |
| Forward Trend | 1970-1989 | 13 | 13 | 2.26 | 2.34 |
| | 2000-2022 | 24 | 31 | 1.09 | 1.09 |
| | 1970-2022 | 11 | 11 | 1.12 | 1.25 |
| Band Pass Trend | 1970-1989 | 12 | 10 | 1.36 | 1.55 |
| | 2000-2022 | 15 | 18 | 0.97 | 1.02 |
| | 1970-2022 | 27 | 32 | 2.09 | 2.12 |
| Future Trend | 1970-1989 | 49 | 50 | 2.90 | 3.00 |
| | 2000-2022 | 28 | 32 | 1.55 | 1.59 |

What About Implied Levels of Current Trend?

Trimmed Mean inflation October 2022 for top 50 trims




- Asymmetrical trims:
 Trim more high-inflation
- Trim between 12-27%
- Range≈40bp for trimmed mean across these 50 trim combinations



Optimal Trims for Implied Future Trend 1970-2022

RMSE relative to optimal trim




- Optimal Trim: $(\alpha, \beta) = (27\%, 32\%)$
- Forecasting is an equalizer for the error



What About Implied Levels of Future Trend?

Trimmed Mean inflation October 2022 for top 50 trims



- More asymmetrical trims
- Trim between 22-45%
- Still ≈40bp range


Back to Future Trend

Excluded Categories back

| | Median | Trimmed Mean | Middle 90% |
|---|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| | Median | mmmed Mean | |
| | | | (10, 10) Trim |
| | Mo | st Commonly Excluded | |
| 1 | 71 series | Eggs | Eggs |
| 2 | never median | Food on farms | Vegetables |
| 3 | | Vegetables | Food on farms |
| 4 | | Fruit | Used auto margin |
| 5 | | Gasoline | Fuel oil |
| | Mo | ost Commonly Included | |
| 1 | Owner-occ homes | Owner-occ homes | Owner-occ homes |
| 2 | Other purchased meals | Other purchased meals | Other purch meals |
| 3 | Tenant-occ homes | Owner-occ mobile homes | Tenant-occ homes |
| 4 | Nonprofit hospitals | Casino gambling | Casino gambling |
| 5 | Physician services | Tenant-occ homes | Lotteries |
| | | | |

Why Do So Many Trims Deliver Similar Outcomes?

Range of Inflation by Trim: $\pi_{1-\beta} - \pi_{\alpha}$



Small differences in inflation range → Small differences in RMSE

- Inflation range < 7pp for most trims
 - Compare with 3.5% ave. monthly inflation

Back to Current Trend