- 1. Write a pseudocode algorithm that finds the largest number in a list of numbers.
- 2. Write a pseudocode algorithm that prints out all the subsets of three elements of a set of *n* elements. The elements of this set are stored in a list that is a parameter to the algorithm.

1. Write a pseudocode algorithm that finds the largest number in a list of numbers.

```
number findMax(int n, const keytype S[])
index i;
number max = S[1];
for(i = 2; i <= n; i++)
    if(S[i] > max);
    max = S[i];
return max;
```

2. Write a pseudocode algorithm that prints out all the subsets of three elements of a set of *n* elements. The elements of this set are stored in a list that is a parameter to the algorithm.

```
void subsets(int n, const keytype S[])
  index i, j, k;
  for(i = 1; i <= n; i++)
    for(j = i + 1; j <=n; j++)
       for(k = j + 1; k <= n; k++)
       cout << S[i] << S[j] << S[k] << endl;</pre>
```

- 1. How many times faster is gcd(31415, 14142) by Euclid's algorithm than by algorithm 2 provided in the slides? (You'll want to use a calculator!) Compare the two based on the frequency count of the total number of mods performed.
- 2. What is the time complexity of the Matrix Multiplication algorithm previously given?

```
void MatrixMult(int n, const number A[][], const number B[][],
               number C[][])
   index i, j, k;
    for (i = 1; i \le n; i++)
       for (j = 1; j \le n; j++)
           C[i][j] = 0;
           for (k = 1; k \le n; k++)
               C[i][j] = C[i][j] + A[i][k] * B[k][j];
```

3. Consider the following algorithm for finding the distance between the two closest elements in an array of numbers. Make as many improvements as you can:

```
procedure MinDistance(int n, A[])
     minDist = \infty;
     for i = 0 to n - 1 do
          for j = 0 to n - 1 do
               if (i!=j) and (|A[i]-A[j]| < minDist)
                     minDist = |A[i] - A[i]|
     return minDist;
```

1. How many times faster is gcd(31415, 14142) by Euclid's algorithm than by algorithm 2 provided in the slides? (You'll want to use a calculator!) Compare the two based on the frequency count of the total number of mods performed.

```
The # of divisions made by Euclid's algorithm is 11: gcd(31415, 14142), gcd(14142, 3131), gcd(3131, 1618), gcd(1618, 1513), gcd(1513, 105), gcd(105, 43), gcd(43, 19), gcd(19, 5), gcd(5, 4), gcd(4, 1), gcd(1, 0)
```

Since we have now determined that the gcd is 1, we know that the iterative algorithm (algorithm 2 in the slides) takes 14142 iterations! In each iteration, the algorithm makes either 1 or 2 comparisons. Therefore, Euclid's algorithm is between 1 * 14142/1 = 1300 and 2 * 14142/11 2600 times faster.

```
void MatrixMult(int n, const number A[][], const number B[][],
               number C[][])
   index i, j, k;
   for (i = 1; i \le n; i++)
       for (j = 1; j \le n; j++)
           C[i][j] = 0;
           for (k = 1; k \le n; k++)
               C[i][j] = C[i][j] + A[i][k] * B[k][j];
```

Basic Operation: multiplication instruction in the innermost for loop.

No way to break out of any loop, so we have n times in the *i* loop, *n* times in the *j* loop, *n* times in the *k* loop, or $n * n * n = n^3$

```
procedure MinDistance(int n, A[])
      minDist = \infty;
      for i = 0 to n - 1 do
             for i = 0 to n - 1 do
                   if (i!=j) and (|A[i] - A[j]| < minDist)
                          minDist = |A[i] - A[j]|
      return minDist;
procedure MinDistanceBetter(int n, A[])
      minDist = \infty;
      for i = 0 to n - 1 do
             for j = i + 1 to n - 1 do
                   int curDist = |A[i] - A[j]|;
                    if (curDist < minDist)
                          minDist = curDist
      return minDist;
```

Since we take the absolute value of A[i] - A[j], we don't need to check A[j] - A[i]. Therefore, we can start the j loop from j = i + 1 rather than j = 0, so we avoid double checking.

We create a variable, curDist, to hold the result of |A[i] - A[j]| so we don't need to calculate it twice.

Since we are now starting the j loop at j = i + 1, we no longer need the (i != j) check in the if statement.

- 1. $f(x) = 3n^2 + 10n\lg n + 1000n + 4\lg n + 9999$ is in which complexity class? $\Theta(\lg n), \Theta(n^2 \lg n), \Theta(n), \Theta(n \lg n), \Theta(n^2)$
- 2. Determine whether the following are in the same complexity class:
 - a. $2^{\lg n}$ and n
 - b. $n^{1/2}$ and $(\lg n)^2$
 - c. (n-1)! and (n)!
- 3. Prove that $6n^2 + 20n \in O(n^2)$

1. $f(x) = 3n^2 + 10nlgn + 1000n + 4lgn + 9999$ is in which complexity class?

 $\Theta(n^2)$ is n^2 is the dominant term.

2. Determine whether the following are in the same complexity class:

$2^{\lg n}$ and n

$$2^{\lg n} = n^{\lg 2} = n \text{ (since } \lg 2 = 1)$$

Therefore, they are in the same complexity class.

2. Determine whether the following are in the same complexity class:

```
n^{1/2} and (\lg n)^2
```

Always take the lg of both sides to remove exponents!

We are left with:

 $\lg n^{1/2}$ and $\lg(\lg n)^2$

 $\frac{1}{2}(\lg n)$ and $2\lg\lg n$

Remove constants, and lgn grows faster than lglgn

2. Determine whether the following are in the same complexity class

(n - 1)! and (n)!

No. (n-1)! grows slower than (n)! Remember, if we have 100n and n and n = 1, 100 is 100 times larger than 1. If we have n = 3, 300 is still 100 times larger than 3.

However, with (n - 1)!, each time n grows, (n - 1)! is n less than n! Therefore, they don't grow at the same rate.

3. Prove that $6n^2 + 20n \in O(n^2)$

$$6n^2 + 20n >= cn^2$$
 when $n >= N$

When does $6n^2$ start to dominate 20n?

When n = 4.

Plug 4 in:

$$96 + 80 >= c16$$

$$c = 11 \text{ and } N = 4$$