This is the title: v1.3

Firstname Middlename Surname 1* , Firstname Middlename Familyname $^{1,2\dagger\$}$, Firstname Initials Surname 2† , Firstname Surname 2*

¹Institution 1; ²Institution 2

This LiveCoMS document is maintained online on GitHub at https:
//github.com/myaccount/homegithubrepository; to provide feedback, suggestions, or help improve it, please visit the GitHub repository and participate via the issue tracker.

This version dated November 24, 2017 **Abstract** This particular document provides a skeleton illustrating key sections for a Perpetual Review document. Please see the sample sample-document.tex in github.com/livecomsjournal/article_templates/templates for additional information on and examples of using the LiveCoMS LaTeX class. Here we also assume familiarity with LaTeX and knowledge of how to include figures, tables, etc.; if you want examples, see the sample just referenced.

In your work, in this particular slot, please provide an abstract of no more than 250 words. Your abstract should explain the main contributions of your article, and should not contain any material that is not included in the main text. Please note that your abstract, plus the authorship material following it, must not extend beyond the title page or modifications to the LaTeX class will likely be needed.

*For correspondence:

email1@example.com (FMS); email2@example.com (FS)

Present address: §Department, Institute, Country; ¶Department, Institute, Country

1 Introduction

Here you would explain what area you are reviewing, and motivate your review.

In this particular template, we have removed most of the usage examples which occur in sample-document.tex to provide a minimal template you can modify.

2 Review organization

In general the organization of your review is up to you. Live-CoMS reviews are similar to traditional reviews except that they are updatable.

2.1 Perpetual review

You will probably want to devote at least a small amount of space explaining to your readers what a Perpetual Review is

and highlighting how they can get involved via your git issue tracker or by communicating with you directly. In contrast to a traditional review, here it *will* help you if the community points out other, related work that perhaps should be cited, since it reduces the need for you to dig deeply into the literature to keep your work up to date.

Tutorials should endeavor to cover the specific task at hand, and also highlight how the steps might need to be modified (or additional care might need to be taken at particular points) to handle more general cases.

The scope of the tutorial, as well as the expected proficiencies / outcomes for researchers who complete the tutorial, should be clearly defined. This will often happen in a specific section or subsection in the article itself.

[†]These authors contributed equally to this work

[‡]These authors also contributed equally to this work

3 Prerequisites

In keeping with other types of articles at LiveCoMS, it may be useful (at your discretion) to note any prerequisites your article assumes and who it is targeted at.

4 Conclusions

It's appropriate to wrap up with discussion and conclusions.

5 Author Contributions

(Explain the contributions of the different authors here)
For a more detailed description of author contributions, see the GitHub issue tracking and changelog at https://github.com/myaccount/homegithubrepository.

6 Other Contributions

(Explain the contributions of any non-author contributors here) For a more detailed description of contributions from the community and others, see the GitHub issue tracking and changelog at https://github.com/myaccount/homegithubrepository.

7 Potentially Conflicting Interests

Declare any potentially conflicting interests here, whether or not they pose an actual conflict in your view.

8 Funding Information

FMS acknowledges the support of NSF grant CHE-1111111.