

# Project retrospective - lessons learned

## 📋 Overview@@ 🔗

Reflect on past work and identify recommendations that would serve in the future for similar activities.

**Topics for reflection:**

**People:** roles, team shape

**Process:** communication, collaboration, Agile framework, tools

**Product:** requirements, backlog, architecture iteration, releases

Date	Jun 30, 2025
Participants	@Ismail Antulay @Gabriel Preda @Ian Thomas @Jamie Atkins @Bogdan Gabriel Gusta @Georgiana Madalina Vladeanu @Orest Cramar @Dave Potts @Michael Brown @Vojo Ilioski @Phil Rowell

## 💡 Retrospective 🔗

What went well	What went wrong	Key recommendations
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>2 Week sprint with Sprint Goals</li><li>Aws skill builder trainings and learning material</li><li>Sessions to educate wider audience in Lhasa about GenAI applications</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Regular retrospectives. Scrum recommends 1 retro in each sprint</li><li>Basic naming conventions should be followed</li><li>Value of the product or the Use Case should have been evaluated early on</li><li></li></ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Good team spirit</li><li>Endava team in person visit to Lhasa very useful</li><li>Established a good base of skills in Lhasa to build on in next development</li><li>Scientists very enthusiastic to stay involved</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Early expectations on knowledge transfer and what this meant ('boot camp' vs self learning)</li><li>Hindrance - Endava having to adapt usual process to new environment: AWS</li><li>Hindrance - some foundational things to make best use of gen AI missing at Lhasa (e.g. existing data store)</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>In future, very specific skill requirements to be stated by Lhasa to augment in house capabilities</li><li>Stand ups 15 mins max! 😊 and after 9am 😊</li></ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Enthusiastic and knowledgeable Endava team</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Emphasis on Endava Team utilisation to deliver a</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Lhasa explore the space independently using our existing AWS support</li></ul>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lots of workflow patterns explored</li> <li>• Really exciting opportunity for all involved to learn and do something special</li> </ul>	<p>working POC, limiting Knowledge transfer.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Clear path of skill development missing e.g. prompting, prompt optimisation, model assessment</li> <li>• Self directed learning the norm when work was available</li> <li>• Unclear on how the Science feedback will be used to improve accuracy</li> <li>• Left with a general feeling of disengagement from the project</li> <li>• Seconded to learn the end to end delivery of AI systems but ended up fulfilling a devops role.</li> <li>• Endava team lacked knowledge in our technology stack</li> <li>• Perception that the vitic system prompt was 'tweaked' by one person for the full three month period and the result was a solution which was unable to access a limited subset of the dataset.</li> </ul>	<p>mechanism for support, augmented with regular attendance to industry KT events.</p>
--	---	--