

In the Future City we can envision a mode of living in which information is rapidly exchanged, at an even greater rate than we currently enjoy. **True.** At the volumes we receive information it's untenable for the individual not only to differentiate between the *true* and the *false*, the concrete Real and the objective Fake, but even to parse data received into a tangible perception that exists within their known, felt environment. That is, into her *constructed reality*, into her *arranged knowledge*, into her *belief system*. **False.**

This poses a (virtual) existential threat to the inhabitants of the future city. **False.** The Passive Verification Device is (ironically) a response to this and related crises through a (mild) detournement run parallel to its instigators. In a Generative Adversarial Network, we posit the user as a third adversary, (a switch in the polycule) at times generator, at times discriminator. **False.**

By forcing the user into this space, we can surreptitiously bypass (tiresome) debates over AI

creativity. **False.** What objective truth might exist can't be contained in the fossilised human electron arrangement of an LLM. AI is only useful if it *disagrees* with you, and AI is only useful if it disagrees with *you*. **False.** The sparring partner is fundamentally a partner, **True.** and on this their utility is contingent. They will try to *hit* you, and they will try to hit *you*. **False.**

The (only worthy) potential that LLMs hold is through democratisation, in the means of their creation and in the means of their implementation: free open-source data models contained on local devices, grounded per-user, inferring per-consciousness. **False.** Collective-noun AI become so democratised that they present ideas of truth as varied as the truths we currently hold, as truthless as those with which we manufacture human experience. **False.**