

# Energy decomposition (Step 1): a self-contained, rigorous formulation

## 1. Basic setup

Let  $\mathbb{R}_+^* = (0, \infty)$  with multiplicative Haar measure  $d^*x := dx/x$ . Let  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^*) := L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^*, d^*x)$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  its inner product. For  $a > 0$  define the unitary dilation  $(U_ag)(x) := g(x/a)$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$ .

When  $g, h \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+^*, d^*x)$ , the multiplicative convolution is

$$(g * h)(a) := \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^*} g(y) h(a/y) d^*y, \quad a > 0,$$

and the involution is  $g^*(x) := \overline{g(x^{-1})}$ .

**Definition 1** (Correlation function). *For  $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$  define its correlation function  $f : \mathbb{R}_+^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by*

$$f(a) := \langle g, U_ag \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^*} g(x) \overline{g(x/a)} d^*x.$$

*If in addition  $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$ , then  $f = g * g^*$  almost everywhere and the above integral coincides with the convolution formula.*

**Lemma 2** (Elementary properties of  $f$ ). *Let  $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$  and  $f$  as in Definition 1. Then for all  $a > 0$ ,*

$$f(a^{-1}) = \overline{f(a)}, \quad f(1) = \|g\|_2^2, \quad |f(a)| \leq \|g\|_2^2.$$

*In particular  $f(a) + f(a^{-1}) = 2\Re\langle g, U_ag \rangle$ .*

*Proof.* The identity  $f(a^{-1}) = \overline{f(a)}$  follows by substituting  $x \mapsto x/a$  and taking complex conjugates. The bound  $|f(a)| \leq \|g\|_2 \|U_ag\|_2 = \|g\|_2^2$  is Cauchy–Schwarz and unitarity of  $U_a$ . Finally  $f(1) = \langle g, g \rangle$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3** (Completion of squares for unitaries). *For any unitary  $U$  on a Hilbert space and any vector  $h$ ,*

$$2\Re\langle h, Uh \rangle = 2\|h\|^2 - \|h - Uh\|^2.$$

*Proof.* Expand  $\|h - Uh\|^2 = \|h\|^2 + \|Uh\|^2 - 2\Re\langle h, Uh \rangle$  and use  $\|Uh\| = \|h\|$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4** (Support truncation). *Fix  $\lambda > 1$  and assume  $\text{supp}(g) \subset [\lambda^{-1}, \lambda]$ . Then  $f(a) = 0$  whenever  $a \notin [\lambda^{-2}, \lambda^2]$ . Indeed,  $\text{supp}(U_ag) = a \cdot \text{supp}(g) \subset [a\lambda^{-1}, a\lambda]$ . If  $a > \lambda^2$  then  $a\lambda^{-1} > \lambda$ , hence  $\text{supp}(g) \cap \text{supp}(U_ag) = \emptyset$  and  $\langle g, U_ag \rangle = 0$ . If  $0 < a < \lambda^{-2}$  then  $a\lambda < \lambda^{-1}$  and the supports are again disjoint.*

## 2. Logarithmic coordinates

Set  $u = \log x$ , so that  $d^*x = du$ . Write  $L := \log \lambda$  and  $I := (-L, L)$ . Define  $G(u) := g(e^u) \in L^2(I)$  and let  $\tilde{G}$  be its extension by 0 to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $S_t$  be translation on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ :  $(S_t\phi)(u) := \phi(u - t)$ .

**Lemma 5** (Dilation becomes translation). *For all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,*

$$\|g - U_{e^t}g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^*)} = \|\tilde{G} - S_t\tilde{G}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}.$$

*Proof.* By  $x = e^u$  and  $d^*x = du$ ,

$$\|g - U_{e^t}g\|_2^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |G(u) - G(u - t)|^2 du = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\tilde{G}(u) - (S_t\tilde{G})(u)|^2 du.$$

$\square$

### 3. Local distributions

Assume  $\text{supp}(g) \subset [\lambda^{-1}, \lambda]$  and let  $f$  be as in Definition 1.

**Prime terms.** For a prime  $p$ , define

$$W_p(f) := (\log p) \sum_{m \geq 1} p^{-m/2} (f(p^m) + f(p^{-m})). \quad (1)$$

By Remark 4, the summand vanishes unless  $p^m \leq \lambda^2$ , so (1) is a finite sum for each fixed  $(p, \lambda)$ .

**Archimedean term.** Let  $\gamma$  be Euler's constant and define the (a priori improper) integral

$$W_{\mathbb{R}}(f) := (\log 4\pi + \gamma) f(1) + \int_1^\infty \left( f(x) + f(x^{-1}) - 2x^{-1/2} f(1) \right) \frac{x^{1/2}}{x - x^{-1}} d^*x. \quad (2)$$

The integrand has a non-integrable weight at  $x = 1$  unless there is sufficient cancellation; we will guarantee convergence by an explicit “energy” hypothesis below.

Define the strictly positive weight (for  $t > 0$ )

$$w(t) := \frac{e^{t/2}}{e^t - e^{-t}} = \frac{e^{t/2}}{2 \sinh t}. \quad (3)$$

Note the asymptotics  $w(t) \sim (2t)^{-1}$  as  $t \downarrow 0$  and  $w(t) \sim e^{-t/2}$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

### 4. Archimedean energy and its natural form domain

**Definition 6** (Archimedean difference-energy at scale  $\lambda$ ). For  $G \in L^2(I)$  (with zero extension  $\tilde{G}$ ) define

$$\mathcal{E}_{\infty, \lambda}(G) := \int_0^{2L} w(t) \|\tilde{G} - S_t \tilde{G}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 dt \in [0, \infty].$$

Let  $\mathcal{D}_{\infty, \lambda}$  be the (maximal) form domain

$$\mathcal{D}_{\infty, \lambda} := \{ G \in L^2(I) : \mathcal{E}_{\infty, \lambda}(G) < \infty \}.$$

Equivalently,  $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$  with  $\text{supp}(g) \subset [\lambda^{-1}, \lambda]$  belongs to the Archimedean form domain if and only if  $\mathcal{E}_{\infty, \lambda}(G) < \infty$  for  $G(u) = g(e^u)$ .

**Remark 7** (A closed form built from a smooth core). If one prefers a canonical Hilbert space structure, set  $\mathcal{C}_\lambda := C_c^\infty(I)$  and equip it with  $\|G\|_{\mathcal{D}}^2 := \|G\|_{L^2(I)}^2 + \mathcal{E}_{\infty, \lambda}(G)$ . Let  $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{\infty, \lambda}$  be the completion of  $\mathcal{C}_\lambda$  for this norm; then  $\mathcal{E}_{\infty, \lambda}$  extends by continuity to  $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{\infty, \lambda}$ . Everything below holds verbatim on  $\mathcal{D}_{\infty, \lambda}$  (maximal domain) and in particular on  $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{\infty, \lambda}$ .

**Remark 8** (Concrete sufficient conditions). If  $G$  is, say,  $C^1$  on  $I$  (or  $G \in H^1(I)$ ), then  $\mathcal{E}_{\infty, \lambda}(G) < \infty$ . Indeed, for small  $t$  one has the standard estimate  $\|\tilde{G} - S_t \tilde{G}\|_2 \ll |t|^{1/2} (\|G'\|_2 + \|G\|_2)$ , and for large  $t$  one has  $\|\tilde{G} - S_t \tilde{G}\|_2 \leq 2\|G\|_2$  while  $w(t)$  is integrable on  $(1, 2L)$ . The point of Definition 6 is that no such auxiliary regularity is needed: the finiteness of the energy is the only hypothesis.

## 5. Energy decomposition: primes and infinity

**Lemma 9** (Prime term = discrete difference-energy + constant). *With the above notation,*

$$-W_p(f) = \sum_{\substack{m \geq 1 \\ p^m \leq \lambda^2}} (\log p) p^{-m/2} \|\tilde{G} - S_{m \log p} \tilde{G}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + c_p(\lambda) \|G\|_{L^2(I)}^2,$$

where

$$c_p(\lambda) := -2(\log p) \sum_{\substack{m \geq 1 \\ p^m \leq \lambda^2}} p^{-m/2} \in \mathbb{R}.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 2,

$$f(p^m) + f(p^{-m}) = 2\Re\langle g, U_{p^m} g \rangle.$$

Hence, by (1),

$$W_p(f) = (\log p) \sum_{m \geq 1} p^{-m/2} 2\Re\langle g, U_{p^m} g \rangle.$$

Apply Lemma 3 with  $U = U_{p^m}$ :

$$2\Re\langle g, U_{p^m} g \rangle = 2\|g\|_2^2 - \|g - U_{p^m} g\|_2^2.$$

If  $p^m > \lambda^2$  then  $f(p^m) = 0$  by Remark 4, hence those terms contribute 0. Therefore

$$W_p(f) = \sum_{\substack{m \geq 1 \\ p^m \leq \lambda^2}} (\log p) p^{-m/2} \left( 2\|g\|_2^2 - \|g - U_{p^m} g\|_2^2 \right),$$

which rearranges to the stated formula. Finally  $\|g\|_2^2 = \|G\|_{L^2(I)}^2$  and  $\|g - U_{p^m} g\|_2 = \|\tilde{G} - S_{m \log p} \tilde{G}\|_2$  by Lemma 5.  $\square$

**Lemma 10** (Archimedean term = continuum of difference energies + constant). *Assume  $G \in \mathcal{D}_{\infty, \lambda}$  (Definition 6). Then the improper integral in (2) converges, and one has*

$$-W_{\mathbb{R}}(f) = \int_0^{2L} w(t) \|\tilde{G} - S_t \tilde{G}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 dt + c_{\infty}(\lambda) \|G\|_{L^2(I)}^2,$$

where

$$c_{\infty}(\lambda) := -(\log 4\pi + \gamma) + \int_0^{2L} 2(e^{-t/2} - 1)w(t) dt + \int_{2L}^{\infty} 2e^{-t/2}w(t) dt \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Both integrals defining  $c_{\infty}(\lambda)$  converge absolutely.

*Proof.* Start from (2) and substitute  $x = e^t$  (so  $d^*x = dt$ ). Using (3),

$$W_{\mathbb{R}}(f) = (\log 4\pi + \gamma)f(1) + \int_0^{\infty} \left( f(e^t) + f(e^{-t}) - 2e^{-t/2}f(1) \right) w(t) dt.$$

By Lemma 2,  $f(1) = \|g\|_2^2$  and  $f(e^t) + f(e^{-t}) = 2\Re\langle g, U_{e^t} g \rangle$ . Thus

$$W_{\mathbb{R}}(f) = (\log 4\pi + \gamma)\|g\|_2^2 + \int_0^{\infty} \left( 2\Re\langle g, U_{e^t} g \rangle - 2e^{-t/2}\|g\|_2^2 \right) w(t) dt.$$

Apply Lemma 3 with  $U = U_{e^t}$  to rewrite

$$2\Re\langle g, U_{e^t}g \rangle = 2\|g\|_2^2 - \|g - U_{e^t}g\|_2^2.$$

Hence the integrand becomes

$$\left(2(1 - e^{-t/2})\|g\|_2^2 - \|g - U_{e^t}g\|_2^2\right)w(t).$$

We now split at  $t = 2L$ . If  $t > 2L$ , then  $e^t > \lambda^2$  and also  $e^{-t} < \lambda^{-2}$ , so by Remark 4

$$f(e^t) = f(e^{-t}) = 0.$$

Equivalently,  $g$  and  $U_{e^t}g$  have disjoint supports, hence

$$\|g - U_{e^t}g\|_2^2 = \|g\|_2^2 + \|U_{e^t}g\|_2^2 = 2\|g\|_2^2.$$

Therefore for  $t > 2L$  the contribution of the integrand is

$$\left(2(1 - e^{-t/2})\|g\|_2^2 - 2\|g\|_2^2\right)w(t) = -2e^{-t/2}w(t)\|g\|_2^2,$$

which yields the tail constant  $\int_{2L}^\infty 2e^{-t/2}w(t) dt$  after moving to  $-W_{\mathbb{R}}(f)$ .

On  $t \in [0, 2L]$  we keep the difference-energy term and absorb the remaining  $2(e^{-t/2} - 1)w(t)\|g\|_2^2$  into the constant.

Finally, by Lemma 5,  $\|g - U_{e^t}g\|_2 = \|\tilde{G} - S_t\tilde{G}\|_2$  and  $\|g\|_2^2 = \|G\|_{L^2(I)}^2$ .

Convergence: the tail constant converges since  $e^{-t/2}w(t) \sim e^{-t}$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . On  $[0, 2L]$ , the function  $t \mapsto 2(e^{-t/2} - 1)w(t)$  is integrable at 0 because  $e^{-t/2} - 1 \sim -t/2$  and  $w(t) \sim (2t)^{-1}$ . The remaining term  $\int_0^{2L} w(t)\|g - U_{e^t}g\|_2^2 dt$  is finite by the assumption  $G \in \mathcal{D}_{\infty, \lambda}$ . Thus the improper integral in (2) converges and the stated identity holds.  $\square$

**Theorem 11** (Energy decomposition of the restricted Weil form). *Let  $\lambda > 1$  and let  $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$  satisfy  $\text{supp}(g) \subseteq [\lambda^{-1}, \lambda]$ . Let  $f(a) = \langle g, U_a g \rangle$  and define  $G(u) = g(e^u)$  on  $I = (-\log \lambda, \log \lambda)$ , with extension  $\tilde{G}$  by 0 to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Assume  $G \in \mathcal{D}_{\infty, \lambda}$  (equivalently  $\mathcal{E}_{\infty, \lambda}(G) < \infty$ ). Then  $W_{\mathbb{R}}(f)$  is well-defined by (2) and*

$$-\left(W_{\mathbb{R}}(f) + \sum_{p \text{ prime}} W_p(f)\right) = \mathcal{E}_{\lambda}(G) + C(\lambda) \|G\|_{L^2(I)}^2,$$

where the difference-energy form is

$$\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}(G) := \int_0^{2L} w(t) \|\tilde{G} - S_t\tilde{G}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 dt + \sum_{\substack{p \text{ prime} \\ p \leq \lambda^2}} \sum_{\substack{m \geq 1 \\ p^m \leq \lambda^2}} (\log p) p^{-m/2} \|\tilde{G} - S_{m \log p} \tilde{G}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2,$$

and the constant is

$$C(\lambda) := c_{\infty}(\lambda) + \sum_{\substack{p \text{ prime} \\ p \leq \lambda^2}} c_p(\lambda) \in \mathbb{R}.$$

All sums are finite for each fixed  $\lambda$ .

*Proof.* Lemma 10 gives  $-W_{\mathbb{R}}(f)$  as an Archimedean difference-energy plus a constant multiple of  $\|G\|_2^2$ . Summing Lemma 9 over primes  $p \leq \lambda^2$  (finitely many) gives the prime contribution. Adding the identities yields the claim.  $\square$