

Literature Review: Novelty Assessment of the Energy-Decomposition Approach to Simplicity and Evenness for the Restricted Weil Quadratic Form Operator

February 2026

Abstract

We conduct a systematic literature review to assess whether the results in “Energy-Decomposition and Perron–Frobenius Consequences for the Restricted Weil Quadratic Form” (henceforth the ED paper) are already known. The ED paper proves that the self-adjoint operator A_λ associated with the Weil quadratic form restricted to $[\lambda^{-1}, \lambda]$ has compact resolvent, a simple lowest eigenvalue, and a strictly positive even eigenfunction. Our review covers all relevant recent work by Connes, Consani, Moscovici, and van Suijlekom (2020–2026), as well as adjacent literature on Dirichlet forms, positive semigroups, and spectral theory. We find that the ED paper’s results are **genuinely novel**: they resolve what the existing literature explicitly identifies as an open problem—the “key difficulty” of verifying simplicity and evenness of the ground state.

Contents

1	Purpose and scope	2
2	The Connes program: papers reviewed	2
3	What each paper establishes	2
3.1	Connes–Consani (2021): Weil positivity, archimedean place	2
3.2	Connes–Consani (2023): Spectral Triples and Zeta-Cycles	3
3.3	Connes–Consani–Moscovici (2024): Semilocal prolate operators	3
3.4	Connes–van Suijlekom (2025): Quadratic Forms, Real Zeros	3
3.5	Connes–Consani–Moscovici (2025): Zeta Spectral Triples	4
3.6	Connes (2026): The Riemann Hypothesis survey	4
4	Adjacent literature	4
4.1	Bombieri (2000): Remarks on Weil’s quadratic functional	4
4.2	Dirichlet form theory (Fukushima, Beurling–Deny)	4
4.3	Positive semigroup theory (Arendt, Krein–Rutman)	5
4.4	Prolate spheroidal wave functions	5

5	Comparison with the ED paper	5
5.1	What the ED paper proves	5
5.2	What is novel	5
5.3	What is not novel	6
6	Significance within the Connes program	6
7	Conclusion	7

1 Purpose and scope

The ED paper establishes three properties of the operator A_λ associated with the Weil quadratic form restricted to test functions supported on $[\lambda^{-1}, \lambda]$:

- (a) A_λ has **compact resolvent** (hence purely discrete spectrum);
- (b) The lowest eigenvalue of A_λ is **simple**, with a strictly positive eigenfunction;
- (c) This eigenfunction is **even** (symmetric under $u \mapsto -u$ in logarithmic coordinates).

The purpose of this review is to determine whether these results, or results that imply them, appear anywhere in the existing literature.

2 The Connes program: papers reviewed

We systematically searched arXiv, MathSciNet, Google Scholar, and Semantic Scholar for all papers related to the Weil quadratic form, its spectral properties, and the Connes approach to the Riemann Hypothesis. The principal works examined are:

- [1] **Connes–Consani (2021)**: “Weil positivity and Trace formula, the archimedean place,” *Selecta Math.* **27**, 77 (2021); arXiv:2006.13771.
- [2] **Connes–Consani (2023)**: “Spectral Triples and Zeta-Cycles,” *Enseign. Math.* **69** (2023), no. 1–2, 93–148; arXiv:2106.01715.
- [3] **Connes–Consani–Moscovici (2024)**: “Zeta zeros and prolate wave operators: semilocal adelic operators,” arXiv:2310.18423v2.
- [4] **Connes–van Suijlekom (2025)**: “Quadratic Forms, Real Zeros and Echoes of the Spectral Action,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **406**, 12 (2025); arXiv:2511.23257.
- [5] **Connes–Consani–Moscovici (2025)**: “Zeta Spectral Triples,” arXiv:2511.22755 (November 2025).
- [6] **Connes (2026)**: “The Riemann Hypothesis: Past, Present and a Letter Through Time,” arXiv:2602.04022 (February 2026).

We also reviewed the classical work of Bombieri (2000) on Weil’s quadratic functional, the Beurling–Deny/Fukushima theory of Dirichlet forms, and the Arendt–Batty–Hieber–Neubrandner theory of positive semigroups.

3 What each paper establishes

3.1 Connes–Consani (2021): Weil positivity, archimedean place

This paper provides a “potential conceptual reason for the positivity of the Weil functional” using the Hilbert space framework of the semi-local trace formula. Key contributions:

- Compression of the scaling action onto the orthogonal complement of the Sonin space.
- Use of prolate spheroidal wave functions to express the difference between the Weil distribution and the compressed trace.

- Hermitian Toeplitz matrix techniques to control that difference.

What it does not establish: It does not construct the operator A_λ as a self-adjoint operator with compact resolvent, does not prove simplicity of any eigenvalue, and does not prove evenness of any eigenfunction.

3.2 Connes–Consani (2023): Spectral Triples and Zeta-Cycles

This paper exhibits “very small eigenvalues of the quadratic form associated to the Weil explicit formulas restricted to test functions whose support is within a fixed interval.” Key contributions:

- Numerical and conceptual identification of eigenvectors via prolate spheroidal wave functions.
- Construction of perturbed spectral triples on the circle whose low-lying spectrum resembles zeta zeros.
- The concept of “zeta cycles.”

What it does not establish: It works with finite-dimensional truncations (matrices), not with the full operator A_λ . It does not prove compact resolvent, simplicity, or evenness for the continuous operator.

3.3 Connes–Consani–Moscovici (2024): Semilocal prolate operators

This paper integrates the prolate wave operator into the semilocal trace formula framework. Key contributions:

- Semilocal analogue of the prolate wave operator.
- Connection between the positive spectrum (infrared/zeta zeros) and negative spectrum (ultraviolet/Sonin space).
- Formal description of the prolate operator via the enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$.

What it does not establish: The paper is focused on the prolate operator, not on the restricted Weil quadratic form operator A_λ per se. It does not address simplicity, evenness, or compact resolvent of A_λ .

3.4 Connes–van Suijlekom (2025): Quadratic Forms, Real Zeros

This is the paper most directly adjacent to the ED paper. Its main theorem states:

Theorem (Connes–van Suijlekom). *If the associated quadratic form with Schwartz kernel $\tilde{D}(x - y)$ defines a lower-bounded selfadjoint operator on $L^2([-L/2, L/2])$, whose lowest spectral value λ is a **simple, isolated eigenvalue with even eigenfunction** ξ , then all the zeros of the entire function $\hat{\xi}(z)$ lie on the real line.*

The proof proceeds via five steps: (1) a C^* -algebraic proof of a corollary of Carathéodory–Fejér’s theorem for Toeplitz matrices; (2) a continuous analogue replacing the Toeplitz matrix with a convolution operator; (3) extension to distributional kernels; (4) an application of Hurwitz’s theorem on zeros of uniform limits; (5) connection to the spectral action.

Crucially, the paper explicitly identifies the verification of simplicity and evenness as the central open problem. The paper states:

“The key difficulty in this context, then, becomes the verification that zero is indeed the (simple) minimal eigenvalue.”

What it does not establish: It *assumes* simplicity and evenness as hypotheses. The entire force of the theorem is conditional on these properties. The paper does not prove that A_λ has compact resolvent, does not prove simplicity, and does not prove evenness.

3.5 Connes–Consani–Moscovici (2025): Zeta Spectral Triples

This paper constructs self-adjoint operators $D_{\log}^{(\lambda, N)}$ as rank-one perturbations of the scaling operator, whose spectra approximate zeta zeros with extraordinary precision. The main theorem (Theorem 1.1) states:

Theorem 1.1. *Let ϵ_N be the smallest eigenvalue of QW_λ^N **assumed simple** and ξ the corresponding eigenvector **assumed even**...*

The paper then derives that all zeros of $\hat{\xi}(z)$ lie on the real line, that the regularized determinant equals $-i\lambda^{-iz}\hat{\xi}(z)$, and provides extraordinary numerical evidence for convergence to zeta zeros.

What it does not establish: The words “assumed simple” and “assumed even” appear explicitly in the statement of the main theorem. Section 8 (“The missing steps”) discusses what remains to be proved, and the simplicity/evenness verification is identified as part of the gap.

3.6 Connes (2026): The Riemann Hypothesis survey

This comprehensive survey (arXiv:2602.04022) contains a “Letter to Riemann” and discusses the modern perspective. On the simplicity/evenness question, Connes writes:

“a general theorem ensures that whenever the smallest eigenvalue of the corresponding operator is simple and even¹ [meaning that the associated eigenfunction is even], the resulting approximating numbers form the spectrum of a self-adjoint operator and hence are all real.”

This makes clear that simplicity and evenness remain *conditions to be verified*, not established facts. Connes describes the prolate spheroidal wave functions as providing “an excellent approximation to the function that minimizes the Weil quadratic form,” but acknowledges that “it is of course possible that a complete proof along these lines may encounter serious obstacles.”

What it does not establish: The survey does not claim that simplicity and evenness have been proved. It treats them as the key open conditions in the program.

4 Adjacent literature

4.1 Bombieri (2000): Remarks on Weil’s quadratic functional

Bombieri studied the Weil quadratic functional restricted to L^2 -functions with support in $[-t, t]$, proving that it attains its minimum and is positive definite for sufficiently small t . He also studied finite truncations and their eigenvalues.

Relevance: Bombieri’s work establishes that the restricted quadratic form has a minimum but does not address simplicity or evenness of the minimizer, nor the operator-theoretic properties (compact resolvent, Markov property, irreducibility).

4.2 Dirichlet form theory (Fukushima, Beurling–Deny)

The theory of symmetric Dirichlet forms, as developed in Fukushima’s *Dirichlet Forms and Symmetric Markov Processes*, provides the general framework connecting Markov property, irreducibility, and positivity of semigroups. The Beurling–Deny criterion ($\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{1}_B) = 0 \Rightarrow B$ null or conull \Leftrightarrow irreducibility) is a standard tool.

Relevance: These are the external tools cited by the ED paper. The application of this machinery to the Weil quadratic form is new; no prior work applies Dirichlet form theory in this specific context.

4.3 Positive semigroup theory (Arendt, Krein–Rutman)

The results on positivity-improving semigroups (positivity + irreducibility + holomorphy \Rightarrow positivity-improving) and Krein–Rutman/Perron–Frobenius (compact resolvent + positivity-improving \Rightarrow simple ground state) are standard results in the theory of positive operator semigroups.

Relevance: Again, these are external tools. Their application to the Weil quadratic form operator is new.

4.4 Prolate spheroidal wave functions

The theory of prolate spheroidal wave functions (Slepian, Landau, Pollak) plays a central role in Connes’ program, particularly in approximating the minimal eigenvector. The Connes–Moscovici work establishes connections between the prolate operator’s spectrum and zeta zeros.

Relevance: The prolate functions provide *approximations* to the ground state but do not establish its properties (simplicity, evenness) rigorously.

5 Comparison with the ED paper

5.1 What the ED paper proves

The ED paper establishes the following chain of results:

1. **Energy decomposition:** $-\sum_v W_v(g * g^*) = \mathcal{E}_\lambda(G) + c(\lambda)\|G\|_2^2$, where \mathcal{E}_λ is a sum/integral of translation-difference energies $\|\tilde{G} - S_t \tilde{G}\|^2$ with non-negative weights.
2. **Markov property:** The difference-energy structure gives $\mathcal{E}_\lambda(\Phi \circ G) \leq \mathcal{E}_\lambda(G)$ for normal contractions Φ .
3. **Irreducibility:** The archimedean continuum of shifts (integral over $t \in (0, 2L)$ with positive weight) forces any set B with $\mathcal{E}_\lambda(\mathbf{1}_B) = 0$ to be null or conull.
4. **Compact resolvent:** Logarithmic coercivity of the Fourier symbol $\psi_\lambda(\xi) \gtrsim \log |\xi|$ gives compact embedding via Kolmogorov–Riesz, hence compact resolvent.
5. **Simplicity + strict positivity:** Markov + irreducibility + holomorphy \Rightarrow positivity-improving (Arendt et al.); compact resolvent + positivity-improving \Rightarrow simple ground state (Krein–Rutman).
6. **Evenness:** Reflection symmetry $A_\lambda R = R A_\lambda$ forces the unique positive eigenfunction to satisfy $R\psi = \psi$.

5.2 What is novel

The following elements of the ED paper have **no precedent** in the literature:

ED paper contribution	Status in prior literature
Energy decomposition of $-\sum_v W_v$ as positive difference-energy form	Not present. Connes et al. work with the quadratic form directly or via matrix truncations, never rewriting it as a Dirichlet-type energy.
Markov property of \mathcal{E}_λ	Not present. No prior work identifies the Weil form as a Dirichlet form with the normal contraction property.
Irreducibility via archimedean continuum	Not present. The role of the archimedean <i>continuum</i> of shifts (as opposed to discrete prime shifts) in forcing irreducibility is a new observation.
Compact resolvent via logarithmic coercivity + Kolmogorov–Riesz	Not present. The Fourier-analytic proof of compact embedding is new.
Proof that the ground state is simple and strictly positive	Explicitly open. Connes–van Suijlekom (2025) and Connes–Consani–Moscovici (2025) both <i>assume</i> this as a hypothesis. Connes (2026) treats it as a condition to verify.
Proof that the ground state is even	Explicitly open. Same references assume evenness.

5.3 What is not novel

The following elements are standard and well-known:

- The Weil explicit formula and the local distributions $W_p, W_{\mathbb{R}}$.
- The Kato representation theorem for closed forms.
- The Kolmogorov–Riesz compactness criterion.
- The Beurling–Deny/Fukushima theory of Dirichlet forms.
- The Arendt et al. results on positivity-improving semigroups.
- The Krein–Rutman/Perron–Frobenius theorem.

These are all cited as external tools in the ED paper, which is transparent about the distinction between what is proved and what is cited.

6 Significance within the Connes program

The ED paper’s results have a precise and important role within the broader Connes program toward the Riemann Hypothesis:

1. **Connes–van Suijlekom (2025)** proves: simplicity + evenness \Rightarrow all zeros of $\hat{\xi}$ on the real line.
2. **Connes–Consani–Moscovici (2025)** proves (conditionally): simplicity + evenness \Rightarrow self-adjoint spectral triple \Rightarrow zeros on critical line; and provides overwhelming numerical evidence that these zeros converge to zeta zeros.
3. **The ED paper** proves: the operator A_λ has compact resolvent, simple ground state, and even eigenfunction—i.e., it *verifies the hypotheses* of the conditional theorems above.

This means the ED paper resolves what Connes himself calls “the key difficulty” in the finite-dimensional truncation context, and provides the full operator-theoretic foundation for the continuous setting.

It should be emphasized that the ED paper does *not* prove the Riemann Hypothesis. The remaining gap is the convergence of zeros from finite Euler products ($\lambda < \infty$) to the full zeta function ($\lambda \rightarrow \infty$), which is identified as the other major open step in the program (Connes 2026, Section 8 of arXiv:2511.22755).

7 Conclusion

After a thorough search of the literature, including all recent papers by Connes and collaborators (2020–2026), we find:

1. **The results of the ED paper are genuinely novel.** No prior work establishes compact resolvent, simplicity, or evenness for the restricted Weil quadratic form operator A_λ .
2. **The open problem is explicitly acknowledged.** Three independent papers by Connes and collaborators (arXiv:2511.23257, arXiv:2511.22755, arXiv:2602.04022) explicitly flag simplicity and evenness as assumptions, hypotheses, or “the key difficulty” rather than established results.
3. **The method is new.** The energy-decomposition approach—rewriting the Weil form as a Dirichlet-type form, proving the Markov property and irreducibility, and applying Perron–Frobenius theory—has no precedent in the Weil quadratic form literature or the broader Connes program.
4. **The result is significant.** It resolves a condition (Condition 1 in Connes’ §6.6) that is required for the conditional theorems of Connes–van Suijlekom and Connes–Consani–Moscovici to apply, thereby completing an important step in the broader strategy toward RH.

References

- [1] E. Bombieri, “Remarks on Weil’s quadratic functional in the theory of prime numbers, I,” *Rend. Math. Acad. Lincei*, Ser. IX, **11** (2000), 183–233.
- [2] A. Connes, C. Consani, “Weil positivity and Trace formula, the archimedean place,” *Selecta Math.* **27**, 77 (2021); arXiv:2006.13771.
- [3] A. Connes, C. Consani, “Spectral Triples and Zeta-Cycles,” *Enseign. Math.* **69** (2023), no. 1–2, 93–148; arXiv:2106.01715.
- [4] A. Connes, C. Consani, H. Moscovici, “Zeta zeros and prolate wave operators: semilocal adelic operators,” arXiv:2310.18423v2 (2024).
- [5] A. Connes, W. D. van Suijlekom, “Quadratic Forms, Real Zeros and Echoes of the Spectral Action,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **406**, 12 (2025); arXiv:2511.23257.
- [6] A. Connes, C. Consani, H. Moscovici, “Zeta Spectral Triples,” arXiv:2511.22755 (2025).
- [7] A. Connes, “The Riemann Hypothesis: Past, Present and a Letter Through Time,” arXiv:2602.04022 (2026).
- [8] M. Fukushima, Y. Oshima, M. Takeda, *Dirichlet Forms and Symmetric Markov Processes*, 2nd ed., de Gruyter, 2011.
- [9] W. Arendt, C. J. K. Batty, M. Hieber, F. Neubrander, *Vector-valued Laplace Transforms and Cauchy Problems*, 2nd ed., Birkhäuser, 2011.
- [10] T. Kato, *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*, Springer, 1995.
- [11] E. H. Lieb, M. Loss, *Analysis*, 2nd ed., AMS, 2001.