## Introduction to Machine Learning

Fall Semester

Homework 4: March 6, 2024

Due: March 20, 2024

## Theory Questions

1. (25 points) SVM with multiple classes. One limitation of the standard SVM is that it can only handle binary classification. Here is one extension to handle multiple classes. Let  $\mathbf{x}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and now let  $y_1, \ldots, y_n \in [K]$ , where  $[K] = \{1, 2, \ldots, K\}$ . We will find a separate classifier  $\mathbf{w}_j$  for each one of the classes  $j \in [K]$ , and we will focus on the case of no bias (b = 0). Define the following loss function (known as the multiclass hinge-loss):

$$\ell(\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_K, \mathbf{x}_i, y_i) = \max_{j \in [K]} (\mathbf{w}_j \cdot \mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{w}_{y_i} \cdot \mathbf{x}_i + \mathbb{1}(j \neq y_i)),$$

where  $\mathbb{1}(\cdot)$  denotes the indicator function. Define the following multiclass SVM problem:

$$f(\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_K) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell(\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_K, \mathbf{x}_i, y_i)$$

After learning all the  $\mathbf{w}_j$ ,  $j \in [K]$ , classification of a new point  $\mathbf{x}$  is done by  $\arg\max_{j \in [K]} \mathbf{w}_j \cdot \mathbf{x}$ . The rationale of the loss function is that we want the "score" of the true label,  $\mathbf{w}_{y_i} \cdot \mathbf{x}_i$ , to be larger by at least 1 than the "score" of each other label,  $\mathbf{w}_j \cdot \mathbf{x}_i$ . Therefore, we pay a loss if  $\mathbf{w}_{y_i} \cdot \mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{w}_j \cdot \mathbf{x}_i \leq 1$ , for  $j \neq y_i$ .

Consider the case where the data is linearly separable. Namely, there exists  $\mathbf{w}_1^*, ..., \mathbf{w}_K^*$  such that  $y_i = \operatorname{argmax}_y \mathbf{w}_y^* \cdot \mathbf{x}_i$  for all i. Show that any minimizer of  $f(\mathbf{w}_1, ..., \mathbf{w}_K)$  will have zero classification error.

- 2. (10 points) Suboptimality of ID3. Solve exercise 2 in chapter 18 in the course book: Understanding Machine Learning: From Theory to Algorithms.
- 3. (25 points) Step-size Perceptron. Consider the modification of Perceptron algorithm with the following update rule:

$$\mathbf{w}_{t+1} \leftarrow \mathbf{w}_t + \eta_t y_t \mathbf{x}_t$$

whenever  $\hat{y}_t \neq y_t$  ( $\mathbf{w}_{t+1} \leftarrow \mathbf{w}_t$  otherwise). Assume that data is separable with margin  $\gamma > 0$  and that  $\|\mathbf{x}_t\| = 1$  for all t. For simplicity assume that the algorithm makes M mistakes at the first M rounds, after which it makes no mistakes. For  $\eta_t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}$ , show that the number of mistakes step-size Perceptron makes is at most  $\frac{4}{\gamma^2} \log(\frac{1}{\gamma})$ . (Hint: use the fact that if  $x \leq a \log(x)$  then  $x \leq 2a \log(a)$ ). It's okay if you obtain a bound with slightly different constants, but the asymptotic dependence on  $\gamma$  should be tight.

4. **(40 Points) Kernel PCA.** In the PCA algorithm, we are given a sample  $\mathbf{x}_1, ..., \mathbf{x}_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . We would like to extend it to Kernel PCA, as follows. We are given a mapping function  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^{d'}$ . We would like to perform PCA on the mapped points,  $\phi(\mathbf{x}_i)$ . For the Kernel PCA algorithm, we will use the matrix  $\bar{K}$  defined as

$$\bar{K}_{i,j} = K(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j),$$

where as usual  $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \langle \phi(\mathbf{x}), \phi(\mathbf{x}') \rangle$ . The algorithm should only use K and not  $\phi(\mathbf{x})$ . Throughout this question you can assume that  $\bar{K}$  is invertible.

(a) Recall that in PCA, we require the sample to be mean-centered. Namely:  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_{i} = 0$ . In regular PCA, we can achieve this by subtracting the mean. For kernel PCA, we would like to achieve this by using the kernel function alone. Denote the following, mean-centered version of  $\phi(\mathbf{x})$ :

$$\mathbf{v}_i = \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \phi(\mathbf{x}_t).$$

We would like to calculate the kernel matrix for the vectors  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ . Namely, define the matrix  $\bar{K}' \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ :

$$\bar{K}'_{i,j} = \langle \mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j \rangle.$$

Show how  $\bar{K}'$  can be calculated using only the original kernel matrix  $\bar{K}$ .

- (b) For the rest of the question, you may assume that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) = 0$ . We would like to apply PCA to the vectors  $\phi(\mathbf{x}_i)$ . Denote by  $\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_k$  the first k principal components in  $\mathbb{R}^{d'}$ , corresponding to the sample  $\phi(\mathbf{x}_1), \ldots, \phi(\mathbf{x}_n)$ . Assuming  $k \leq n$ , show that  $\mathbf{u}_j$  (for  $j = 1, \ldots, k$ ) is a linear combination of  $\phi(\mathbf{x}_1), \ldots, \phi(\mathbf{x}_n)$ . That is, show that  $\mathbf{u}_j = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{j,i} \phi(\mathbf{x}_i)$ . (Hint: use the fact that  $\mathbf{w}\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{v})\mathbf{w}$  for any vectors  $\mathbf{v}$  and  $\mathbf{w}$ ).
- (c) Use the above to show that the coefficients  $\alpha_{j,i}$  can be calculated efficiently (without dependence in d')? (Hint: show that  $\alpha_j = \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \Phi \mathbf{u}_j$  where  $\Phi$  is the matrix whose rows are the  $\phi(\mathbf{x}_i)$ 's and  $\lambda_j$  is the eigenvalue of  $\Phi^T \Phi$  corresponding to the principal component  $\mathbf{u}_j$ . Conclude that each vector of coefficients  $\alpha_j$  is a an eigenvector of  $\bar{K}$ )
- (d) Since d' can be very large (perhaps even infinite), we will not look for the principal components themselves, but instead will be satisfied with the ability to perform a dot product of each principal component with the mapping  $\phi(\mathbf{x})$  of a new point,  $\mathbf{x}$ . More explicitly, let  $\mathbf{x}$  be a new point. Show how we can calculate

$$\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \phi(\mathbf{x}) \rangle$$

for j = 1, ..., k. What is the complexity of the solution?