

Tree search algorithms

Basic idea:

offline, simulated exploration of state space
by generating successors of already-explored states
(a.k.a. **expanding** states)

```
function TREE-SEARCH(problem, strategy) returns a solution, or failure
  initialize the search tree using the initial state of problem
  loop do
    if there are no candidates for expansion then return failure
    choose a leaf node for expansion according to strategy
    if the node contains a goal state then return the corresponding solution
    else expand the node and add the resulting nodes to the search tree
  end
```

Implementation: general tree search

```
function TREE-SEARCH(problem, fringe) returns a solution, or failure
  fringe ← INSERT(MAKE-NODE(INITIAL-STATE[problem]), fringe)
  loop do
    if fringe is empty then return failure
    node ← REMOVE-FRONT(fringe)
    if GOAL-TEST(problem, STATE(node)) then return node
    fringe ← INSERTALL(EXPAND(node, problem), fringe)
```

```
function EXPAND(node, problem) returns a set of nodes
  successors ← the empty set
  for each action, result in SUCCESSOR-FN(problem, STATE[node]) do
    s ← a new NODE
    PARENT-NODE[s] ← node; ACTION[s] ← action; STATE[s] ← result
    PATH-COST[s] ← PATH-COST[node] + STEP-COST(node, action, s)
    DEPTH[s] ← DEPTH[node] + 1
    add s to successors
  return successors
```

Search strategies

A strategy is defined by picking the **order of node expansion**

Strategies are evaluated along the following dimensions:

completeness—does it always find a solution if one exists?

time complexity—number of nodes generated/expanded

space complexity—maximum number of nodes in memory

optimality—does it always find a least-cost solution?

Time and space complexity are measured in terms of

b —maximum branching factor of the search tree

d —depth of the least-cost solution

m —maximum depth of the state space (may be ∞)

Properties of breadth-first search

Complete?? Yes (if b is finite)

Time?? $1 + b + b^2 + b^3 + \dots + b^d + b(b^d - 1) = O(b^{d+1})$, i.e., exp. in d

Space?? $O(b^{d+1})$ (keeps every node in memory)

Optimal?? Yes (if cost = 1 per step); not optimal in general

Space is the big problem; can easily generate nodes at 100MB/sec
so 24hrs = 8640GB.

Uniform-cost search

Expand least-cost unexpanded node

Implementation:

fringe = queue ordered by path cost, lowest first

Equivalent to breadth-first if step costs all equal

Complete?? Yes, if step cost $\geq \epsilon$

Time?? # of nodes with $g \leq$ cost of optimal solution, $O(b^{\lceil C^*/\epsilon \rceil})$
where C^* is the cost of the optimal solution

Space?? # of nodes with $g \leq$ cost of optimal solution, $O(b^{\lceil C^*/\epsilon \rceil})$

Optimal?? Yes—nodes expanded in increasing order of $g(n)$

Properties of depth-first search

Complete?? No: fails in infinite-depth spaces, spaces with loops

Modify to avoid repeated states along path

⇒ complete in finite spaces

Time?? $O(b^m)$: terrible if m is much larger than d

but if solutions are dense, may be much faster than breadth-first

Space?? $O(bm)$, i.e., linear space!

Optimal?? No

Depth-limited search

= depth-first search with depth limit l ,
i.e., nodes at depth l have no successors

Recursive implementation:

```
function DEPTH-LIMITED-SEARCH(problem, limit) returns soln/fail/cutoff
    RECURSIVE-DLS(MAKE-NODE(INITIAL-STATE[problem]), problem, limit)

function RECURSIVE-DLS(node, problem, limit) returns soln/fail/cutoff
    cutoff-occurred?  $\leftarrow$  false
    if GOAL-TEST(problem, STATE[node]) then return node
    else if DEPTH[node] = limit then return cutoff
    else for each successor in EXPAND(node, problem) do
        result  $\leftarrow$  RECURSIVE-DLS(successor, problem, limit)
        if result = cutoff then cutoff-occurred?  $\leftarrow$  true
        else if result  $\neq$  failure then return result
    if cutoff-occurred? then return cutoff else return failure
```

Iterative deepening search

```
function ITERATIVE-DEEPENING-SEARCH(problem) returns a solution
  inputs: problem, a problem
  for depth  $\leftarrow$  0 to  $\infty$  do
    result  $\leftarrow$  DEPTH-LIMITED-SEARCH(problem, depth)
    if result  $\neq$  cutoff then return result
  end
```


Properties of iterative deepening search

Complete?? Yes

Time?? $(d + 1)b^0 + db^1 + (d - 1)b^2 + \dots + b^d = O(b^d)$

Space?? $O(bd)$

Optimal?? Yes, if step cost = 1

Can be modified to explore uniform-cost tree

Numerical comparison for $b = 10$ and $d = 5$, solution at far right leaf:

$$N(\text{IDS}) = 50 + 400 + 3,000 + 20,000 + 100,000 = 123,450$$

$$N(\text{BFS}) = 10 + 100 + 1,000 + 10,000 + 100,000 + 999,990 = 1,111,100$$

IDS does better because other nodes at depth d are not expanded

BFS can be modified to apply goal test when a node is **generated**

Summary of algorithms

Criterion	Breadth- First	Uniform- Cost	Depth- First	Depth- Limited	Iterative Deepening
Complete?	Yes*	Yes*	No	Yes, if $l \geq d$	Yes
Time	b^{d+1}	$b^{\lceil C^*/\epsilon \rceil}$	b^m	b^l	b^d
Space	b^{d+1}	$b^{\lceil C^*/\epsilon \rceil}$	bm	bl	bd
Optimal?	Yes*	Yes	No	No	Yes*

Graph search

function GRAPH-SEARCH(*problem*, *fringe*) **returns** a solution, or failure

closed ← an empty set

fringe ← INSERT(MAKE-NODE(INITIAL-STATE[*problem*]), *fringe*)

loop do

if *fringe* is empty **then return** failure

node ← REMOVE-FRONT(*fringe*)

if GOAL-TEST(*problem*, STATE[*node*]) **then return** *node*

if STATE[*node*] is not in *closed* **then**

 add STATE[*node*] to *closed*

fringe ← INSERTALL(EXPAND(*node*, *problem*), *fringe*)

end