

TABLE 6.1 *Attitudes Toward Nazis*
(How did you feel about Nazis taking power in your country?)

	Negative (%)	Accommo- dating (%)	Other ^a (%)	Significance (chi-square)
<i>a. Rescuers vs. Nonrescuers</i>				
Rescuers (n = 123)	82.1	7.3	10.6	
Nonrescuers (n = 119)	72.3	12.6	15.1	NS
<i>b. Rescuers vs. Bystanders</i>				
Rescuers (n = 123)	82.1	7.3	10.6	
Bystanders (n = 71)	66.2	19.7	14.1	.02

^a"Other" responses focused primarily on comments regarding Nazi power without any indication of feelings.

TABLE 6.2 *Reasons Given for Activity^a*
(Can you summarize for me the main reasons you became involved?)

a. Rescuers vs. Actives

	Rescuers (n = 222) (%)	Actives (n = 43) (%)	Significance (chi-square)
Religion	15.3	0.0	.01
Patriotism	8.1	44.2	.0000
Hatred of Nazis	16.7	37.2	.004
External approval	2.7	0.0	NS
Ethical	86.5	34.9	.0000
Equity	19.4	14.0	NS
Care	76.1	23.3	.0000
Friend	15.8	0.0	.01
Jews	3.6	0.0	NS
Universal ethical	49.5	20.9	.001
Universal equity	14.9	7.0	NS
Universal care	38.3	14.0	.003

b. Rescuers vs. Rescued Survivors^b

	Rescuers (n = 222) (%)	Rescued Survivors (n = 93) (%)
Self-centered motives (money, desire to convert to Christianity, exploitation)	0.0	5.4
Religion	15.3	25.8
Patriotism	8.1	1.1
Hatred of Nazis	16.7	10.8
External approval	2.7	0.0
Ethical	86.5	82.8
Equity	19.4	25.8
Care	76.1	66.7
Friends, lovers, relations	15.8	28.0
Jews	3.6	2.2
Universal ethical	49.5	29.1
Universal equity	14.9	6.5
Universal care	38.3	23.7

^aRespondents frequently gave more than one reason for their activities. Each reason received one tally in the appropriate category. If the respondent repeated the same type of reason more than once (e.g., "I did it because I am an obedient Christian; God would have wanted me to do it"), it was recorded as one tally in the appropriate category for that respondent.

^bReasons here refer to those rescued survivors attribute to people who helped them. Coding followed the same procedures as described in note a above.

TABLE 6.3 *Parental Attitudes Toward Jews*

<i>a. Rescuers vs. Nonrescuers</i>	Rescuers (n = 216)	Nonrescuers (n = 117)	Significance (chi-square)
Neither parent discussed	25.9	41.0	.007
Stereotypes mentioned	11.6	21.4	.02
Positive	4.2	5.1	NS
Neutral	4.2	6.8	NS
Negative	2.8	10.2	.008
Other comments	44.4	37.6	NS
Positive	39.8	29.9	NS
Neutral	2.8	9.4	.01

<i>b. Rescuers vs. Bystanders</i>	Rescuers (n = 216)	Bystanders (n = 67)	Significance (chi-square)
Neither parent discussed	25.9	46.3	.002
Stereotypes mentioned	11.6	23.9	.02
Positive	4.2	7.5	NS
Neutral	4.2	10.4	NS
Negative	2.8	16.4	.0001
Other comments	44.4	35.8	NS
Positive	39.8	25.4	.04
Neutral	2.8	10.4	.02

TABLE 6.4 *Religious Affiliation and Education*

	Catholic (%)	Protestant (%)	Non- sectarian (%)	Other (%)	Significance (chi-square)
<i>a. Rescuers vs. Nonrescuers</i>					
Affiliation while growing up					
Rescuers (n = 224)	61.6	32.1	4.9	1.3	
Nonrescuers (n = 123)	72.4	22.8	4.1	.8	NS
Type of elementary school					
Rescuers (n = 203)	25.6	20.2	52.7	1.5	
Nonrescuers (n = 123)	35.8	9.8	51.2	3.3	.02
Type of gymnasium					
Rescuers (n = 153)	26.1	14.4	56.9	2.6	
Nonrescuers (n = 90)	28.9	3.3	62.2	5.6	.03
<i>b. Rescuers vs. Bystanders</i>					
Affiliation while growing up					
Rescuers (n = 224)	61.6	32.1	4.9	1.3	
Bystanders (n = 73)	72.6	23.3	4.1	0.0	NS
Type of elementary school					
Rescuers (n = 203)	25.6	20.2	52.7	1.5	
Bystanders (n = 71)	33.8	9.9	53.8	2.8	NS
Type of gymnasium					
Rescuers (n = 153)	26.1	14.4	56.9	2.6	
Bystanders (n = 47)	29.8	2.1	63.8	4.3	NS

TABLE 6.5 *Parental Religiosity*

	Very (%)	Somewhat (%)	Not Very (%)	Not At All (%)	Significance (chi-square)
<i>a. Rescuers vs. Nonrescuers</i>					
MOTHER					
Rescuers (n = 164)	49.4	36.0	9.8	4.9	
Nonrescuers (n = 111)	43.2	43.2	9.0	4.5	NS
FATHER					
Rescuers (n = 140)	32.9	31.4	25.0	10.7	
Nonrescuers (n = 106)	21.7	40.6	24.5	13.2	NS
<i>b. Rescuers vs. Bystanders</i>					
MOTHER					
Rescuers (n = 164)	49.4	36.0	9.8	4.9	
Bystanders (n = 66)	43.9	43.9	4.5	7.6	NS
FATHER					
Rescuers (n = 140)	32.9	31.4	25.0	10.7	
Bystanders (n = 61)	16.4	47.5	18.0	18.0	.02

TABLE 6.6 *Religiosity Growing Up, Before War, Today: Self*

	Very (%)	Somewhat (%)	Not Very (%)	Not At All (%)	Significance (chi-square)
<i>a. Rescuers vs. Nonrescuers</i>					
GROWING UP					
Rescuers (n = 210)	38.6	34.8	18.6	8.1	
Nonrescuers (n = 120)	25.0	46.7	19.2	9.2	NS
BEFORE WAR					
Rescuers (n = 119)	31.1	37.8	19.3	11.8	
Nonrescuers (n = 120)	21.7	42.5	24.2	11.7	NS
TODAY					
Rescuers (n = 206)	40.3	32.5	11.7	15.5	
Nonrescuers (n = 103)	25.2	45.6	18.4	10.7	.01
<i>b. Rescuers vs. Bystanders</i>					
GROWING UP					
Rescuers (n = 210)	38.6	34.8	18.6	8.1	
Bystanders (n = 71)	21.1	54.9	12.7	11.3	.008
BEFORE WAR					
Rescuers (n = 119)	31.1	37.8	19.3	11.8	
Bystanders (n = 72)	23.6	47.2	15.3	13.9	NS
TODAY					
Rescuers (n = 206)	40.3	32.5	11.7	15.5	
Bystanders (n = 65)	27.7	49.2	15.4	7.7	NS

TABLE 6.7 *Values: Most Influential Parent/Role Model^a**a. Rescuers vs. Nonrescuers*

	Rescuers (n = 219) (%)	Nonrescuers (n = 118) (%)	Significance (chi-square)
Religion	15.5	16.9	NS
Patriotism	8.2	4.2	NS
Values relating to self	24.2	34.7	.05
Economic competence	19.2	28.8	.05
Independence	6.4	8.5	NS
Conventional	35.2	41.5	NS
Propriety	21.0	27.1	NS
Dependability	16.0	13.6	NS
Obedience	1.4	8.5	.003
Ethical	70.3	55.9	.01
Equity	43.8	45.8	NS
Care	43.8	24.6	.0007
Universal ethical	39.3	15.3	.0000
Equity	14.2	7.6	NS
Care	28.3	8.5	.0000

b. Rescuers vs. Bystanders

	Rescuers (n = 219) (%)	Bystanders (n = 67) (%)	Significance (chi-square)
Religion	15.5	22.4	NS
Patriotism	8.2	4.5	NS
Values relating to self	24.2	39.4	.01
Economic competence	19.2	34.3	.01
Independence	6.4	7.5	NS
Conventional	35.2	40.3	NS
Propriety	21.0	29.9	NS
Dependability	16.0	7.5	NS
Obedience	1.4	11.9	.0004
Ethical	70.3	56.7	.05
Equity	43.8	47.8	NS
Care	43.8	20.9	.001
Universal ethical	39.3	13.4	.0002
Equity	14.2	10.4	NS
Care	28.3	4.5	.0001

^aRespondents characteristically noted multiple values they learned from their most influential parent or role model. Each value noted was recorded as one tally in the appropriate category. If the respondent repeated the same value more than once (e.g., "My mother taught me to care for people and help them"), it was recorded as a single tally in the appropriate category for that respondent.

TABLE 6.8 *Political Affiliations: Self**a. Rescuers vs. Nonrescuers*

	Rescuers (%)	Nonrescuers (%)	Significance (chi-square)
Belong to political party before war?	(n = 209)	(n = 121)	
Yes	21.1	10.8	
No	79.0	89.3	NS
Type of political affiliation ^a	(n = 40)	(n = 13)	
Economic left	27.5	30.8	NS
Democratic	80.0	30.8	.001
Tolerant of minorities	80.0	69.3	NS
Tolerant of Jews	77.5	69.3	NS

b. Rescuers vs. Bystanders

	Rescuers (%)	Bystanders (%)	Significance (chi-square)
Belong to political party before war?	(n = 209)	(n = 71)	
Yes	21.1	9.9	
No	79.0	90.2	.05
Type of political affiliation ^a	(n = 40)	(n = 7)	
Economic left	27.5	14.2	NS
Democratic	80.0	14.3	.001
Tolerant of minorities	80.0	57.2	NS
Tolerant of Jews	77.5	57.2	NS

^aEach party identified was coded with respect to its economic orientation (whether socialist, capitalist or mixed), subscription to autocratic or democratic principles, attitudes toward minorities generally and attitudes toward Jews. For specific examples see Chap. 6, Fn. 11.

TABLE 6.9 *Parents' Political Affiliations**a. Rescuers vs. Nonrescuers*

	Rescuers (%)	Nonrescuers (%)	Significance (chi-square)
Father or mother belong to political party before war?	(n = 195)	(n = 111)	
Yes	28.8	19.9	
No	71.3	80.2	NS
Type of political affiliation ^a	(n = 56)	(n = 22)	
Economic left	28.6	13.7	NS
Democratic	78.6	54.6	.05
Tolerant of minorities	87.5	72.8	NS
Tolerant of Jews	85.8	68.2	NS

b. Rescuers vs. Bystanders

	Rescuers (%)	Bystanders (%)	Significance (chi-square)
Father or mother belong to political party before war?	(n = 195)	(n = 61)	
Yes	28.8	19.7	
No	71.5	80.4	NS
Type of political affiliation ^a	(n = 56)	(n = 12)	
Economic left	28.6	16.7	NS
Democratic	78.6	66.7	NS
Tolerant of minorities	87.5	75.0	NS
Tolerant of Jews	85.8	83.4	NS

^aEach party identified was coded with respect to its economic orientation (whether socialist, capitalist, or mixed) subscription to autocratic or democratic principles, attitudes toward minorities generally, and attitudes toward Jews. For specific examples, see Chap. 6, Fn. 11.

TABLE 6.10 *Father's Education**a. Rescuers vs. Nonrescuers*

	Rescuers (n = 150) (%)	Nonrescuers (n = 114) (%)	Significance (chi-square)
Self-taught or elementary school only	48.7	59.7	
Attended gymnasium but not university	29.4	24.6	
Attended university	22.0	15.8	NS

b. Rescuers vs. Bystanders

	Rescuers (n = 150) (%)	Bystanders (n = 66) (%)	Significance (chi-square)
Self-taught or elementary school only	48.7	60.6	
Attended gymnasium but not university	29.4	25.8	
Attended university	22.0	13.7	NS

TABLE 6.11 *Father's Occupational Status**a. Rescuers vs. Nonrescuers*

	Rescuers (n = 198) (%)	Nonrescuers (n = 116) (%)	Significance (chi-square)
Professional	12.6	12.1	
Business	15.2	11.2	
Administrative	18.7	18.1	
Clerical	15.2	22.4	
Skilled	23.2	23.3	
Semiskilled	7.6	10.3	
Unskilled	7.6	2.6	NS

b. Rescuers vs. Bystanders

	Rescuers (n = 198) (%)	Bystanders (n = 69) (%)	Significance (chi-square)
Professional	12.6	8.7	
Business	15.2	7.2	
Administrative	18.7	24.6	
Clerical	15.2	21.7	
Skilled	23.2	26.1	
Semiskilled	7.6	8.7	
Unskilled	7.6	2.9	NS

TABLE 7.1 *Family Closeness*

	Very (%)	Somewhat (%)	Not Very (%)	Not At All (%)	Significance (chi-square)
<i>a. Rescuers vs. Nonrescuers</i>					
Closeness of family					
Rescuers (n = 222)	78.4	15.5	4.5	1.4	
Nonrescuers (n = 122)	55.7	32.8	7.4	4.1	.0002
Closeness to mother					
Rescuers (n = 118)	67.8	28.0	4.2	0.0	
Nonrescuers (n = 116)	53.4	31.0	12.1	3.4	.01
Closeness to father					
Rescuers (n = 110)	53.6	34.5	7.3	4.5	
Nonrescuers (n = 110)	40.9	34.5	18.2	6.4	NS
Closeness to most influential person other than mother or father ^a					
Rescuers (n = 33)	75.8	21.2	3.0	0.0	
Nonrescuers (n = 32)	43.8	46.9	3.1	6.3	.04
<i>b. Rescuers vs. Bystanders</i>					
Closeness of family					
Rescuers (n = 222)	78.4	15.8	4.5	1.4	
Bystanders (n = 69)	52.2	31.9	10.1	5.8	.0003
Closeness to mother					
Rescuers (n = 118)	67.8	28.0	4.2	0.0	
Bystanders (n = 69)	55.1	29.0	11.6	4.3	.02

(Continued)

TABLE 7.10 Respondents' Perceptions of Ever Having Received Discipline

	Yes (%)	No (%)	Significance (chi-square)
<i>a. Rescuers vs. Nonrescuers</i>			
Father			
Rescuers (<i>n</i> = 108)	60.2	39.8	
Nonrescuers (<i>n</i> = 110)	67.3	32.7	NS
Mother			
Rescuers (<i>n</i> = 117)	67.5	32.5	
Nonrescuers (<i>n</i> = 116)	81.9	18.1	.01
Other			
Rescuers (<i>n</i> = 34)	29.4	70.6	
Nonrescuers (<i>n</i> = 33)	66.7	33.3	.005
Father, mother, other combined			
Rescuers (<i>n</i> = 259)	59.5	40.5	
Nonrescuers (<i>n</i> = 259)	73.7	26.3	.001
<i>b. Rescuers vs. Bystanders</i>			
Father			
Rescuers (<i>n</i> = 108)	60.2	39.8	
Bystanders (<i>n</i> = 64)	68.8	31.3	NS
Mother			
Rescuers (<i>n</i> = 117)	67.5	32.5	
Bystanders (<i>n</i> = 68)	80.9	19.1	NS
Other			
Rescuers (<i>n</i> = 34)	29.4	70.6	
Bystanders (<i>n</i> = 18)	77.8	22.2	.002
Father, mother, other combined			
Rescuers (<i>n</i> = 259)	59.5	40.5	
Bystanders (<i>n</i> = 150)	75.3	24.7	.01

TABLE 7.11 Type of Discipline^a

	Physical Punishment (%)	Verbal (%)	Reasoning (%)	Miscel- laneous (%)	Significance (chi-square)
Rescuers (<i>n</i> = 192)	32	33	21	14	
Nonrescuers (<i>n</i> = 240)	40	37	06	18	.001
Rescuers (<i>n</i> = 192)	32	33	21	14	
Bystanders (<i>n</i> = 144)	39	40	06	15	.01

^aResponses are for father, mother, and "other" combined. The *n* refers to the number of incidents of discipline reported. Each subject could report from zero to four incidents. If more than one incident was reported in a type of discipline, it was counted as one incident in that type.

TABLE 7.12 Behaviors Related to Punishment^a*a. Rescuers vs. Nonrescuers*

	Rescuers (<i>n</i> = 146) (%)	Nonrescuers (<i>n</i> = 119) (%)	Significance (chi-square)
Failure to carry out responsibilities	.39	.36	
Aggressive behavior	.05	.08	
Disobedience	.30	.26	
Impolite behaviors	.19	.24	
Immoral behaviors	.06	.07	NS

b. Rescuers vs. Bystanders

	Rescuers (<i>n</i> = 146) (%)	Bystanders (<i>n</i> = 114) (%)	Significance (chi-square)
Failure to carry out responsibilities	.39	.31	
Aggressive behavior	.05	.11	
Disobedience	.30	.25	
Impolite behaviors	.19	.24	
Immoral behaviors	.06	.10	NS

^aResponses include those given for mother, father, and other significant role model.

TABLE 7.13 *Perceptions of Discipline as Gratuitous^a*

	Gratuitous Perception Noted (%)	No Gratuitous Perception Noted (%)	Significance (chi-square)
Rescuers (n = 116) ^b	0.9	99.1	
Nonrescuers (n = 145)	7.6	92.4	.01
Rescuers (n = 116)	0.9	99.1	
Bystanders (n = 78)	9.0	91.0	.01

^a"Gratuitous" refers to perceptions of discipline as unrelated to the respondent's behavior.

^bThe ns reflect codable pooled responses in relation to mother, father, and other significant role model.

TABLE 7.14 ANOVA: *Personal Integrity^a**a. Rescuers vs. Nonrescuers*

MEANS ^b	Total population:	.00			
SOURCE OF VARIATION	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Significance of F
COVARIATES	4.145	2	2.072	4.453	
Sex	3.871	1	3.871	8.318	0.004 ^c
Age	0.077	1	0.077	0.166	0.684
MAIN EFFECTS	5.739	1	5.739	12.332	0.001 ^d

b. Rescuers vs. Bystanders

MEANS	Total population:	.01			
SOURCE OF VARIATION	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Significance of F
COVARIATES	1.894	2	0.947	2.156	
Sex	1.830	1	1.830	4.166	0.043 ^c
Age	0.025	1	0.025	0.058	0.810
MAIN EFFECTS	7.762	1	7.762	17.673	0.001 ^e

^aPersonal Integrity, consisting of four intercorrelated variables, assesses the degree to which individuals attribute to themselves qualities of honesty and helpfulness. Individual items comprising this factor and factor loadings are given in Table 7.16, Personal Integrity.

^bA higher score indicates a stronger self-attribution of personal integrity.

^cCovariates indicate that sex is significantly related to feelings of personal integrity.

^dThe main effects show that rescuers considered themselves to have higher personal integrity than nonrescuers independently of sex and age.

^eThe main effects show that rescuers considered themselves to have higher personal integrity than bystanders independently of sex and age.

TABLE 9.5 *Health Today*

	Excellent (%)	Good (%)	Fair (%)	Poor (%)	Very Poor (%)	Significance (chi-square)
Rescuers <i>n</i> = 120)	5.8	25.8	40.0	20.8	7.5	
Nonrescuers <i>n</i> = 120)	18.1	37.5	26.7	11.7	5.8	.002
Rescuers <i>n</i> = 120)	5.8	25.8	40.0	20.8	7.5	
Bystanders <i>n</i> = 72)	16.7	40.3	30.6	9.7	2.8	.005

APPENDIX C

Questionnaire

Section A: Growing Up

- A1 I would like to begin by asking you some questions about you and your family when you were growing up. First of all, when were you born, in which month and what year?
- A2 Where were you born, in which town and which country?
- A3 Where did you grow up, in which town and which country?
INT: IF RESPONDENT INDICATES SEVERAL PLACES, ASK FOR THE PLACE OF LONGEST RESIDENCE.
- A4 (RB., page 1) Please look at page 1 of the Respondent Booklet. Did you live in a large city, a middle city, a small city, a village, or did you live on an isolated farm when you were growing up?
- A5 What was the approximate size of the population?
- A6 Now, I would like you to think of your home when you were 10 years old. That would be in 19—. Were your father and mother both living in your home when you were 10?
- A7 Did you have any older brothers or sisters living with you when you were 10?
- A7a How many older brothers?
- A7b How many older sisters?
- A7c How much older than you was the oldest child in your family?
- A8 Did you have any younger brothers or sisters living with you when you were 10?
- A8a How many younger brothers?
- A8b How many younger sisters?