# Chap 9 der 2

We are given the transformation

$$Q = q \cos \alpha - p \sin \alpha$$
$$P = q \sin \alpha + p \cos \alpha.$$

Lets see if this transformation satisfies the symplectic condition. The matrix M is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial q} & \frac{\partial Q}{\partial p} \\ \frac{\partial P}{\partial q} & \frac{\partial P}{\partial p} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha \\ \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{bmatrix}$$

If, we evaluate the product  $MJM^{T}$ , we get

$$\begin{bmatrix} \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha \\ \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos \alpha & \sin \alpha \\ -\sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \\ -\cos \alpha & \sin \alpha \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos \alpha & \sin \alpha \\ -\sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

thus this transformation satisfies the symplectic condition. Now, we need to find a generating function for this transformation. I have decided to find a function of the first kind. First, I will need to solve for p

$$Q = q \cos \alpha - p \sin \alpha$$
$$P = q \sin \alpha + p \cos \alpha$$

$$p = \frac{q \cos \alpha - Q}{\sin \alpha}$$
$$p = \frac{P - q \sin \alpha}{\cos \alpha}.$$

Notice that I can solve for p in terms of q and Q, or in terms of q and P. The first solution is valid only if  $\sin \alpha \neq 0$ , and the second is valid only if  $\cos \alpha \neq 0$ . Since I have decided to find a generating function of the first kind, I will need to use the solution for p in terms of q and Q. This means I must restrict myself to the case where  $\sin \alpha \neq 0$ , or equivalently  $\alpha \neq n\pi$   $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . For these points, the transformation simplifies to the identity transformation, whose generating function is well known. Now, we can solve for the generating function

$$-\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial Q} = P$$

$$-\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial Q} = q \sin \alpha + p \cos \alpha$$

$$-\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial Q} = q \sin \alpha + \frac{q \cos \alpha - Q}{\sin \alpha} \cos \alpha$$

$$F_1 = -Qq \sin \alpha + \left(\frac{1}{2}Q^2 - Qq \cos \alpha\right) \cot \alpha + g(q).$$

However, we also require that

$$\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial q} = p$$

$$-Q\sin\alpha + (-Q\cos\alpha)\cot\alpha + g'(q) = \frac{q\cos\alpha - Q}{\sin\alpha}$$

$$-Q\sin^2\alpha + -Q\cos^2\alpha + \sin\alpha g'(q) = q\cos\alpha - Q$$

$$\sin\alpha g'(q) = q\cos\alpha$$

$$g(q) = \frac{1}{2}q^2\cot\alpha.$$

So, the generating function is

$$F_1 = -Qq \sin \alpha + \left(\frac{1}{2}Q^2 + \frac{1}{2}q^2 - Qq \cos \alpha\right) \cot \alpha + g(q).$$

The physical significance of the transformation at  $\alpha=0$ , is the identity transformation, and the physical significance of the transformation at  $\alpha=\frac{\pi}{2}$  is that coordinate is exchanged with the negative of momentum, and momentum is exchanged with coordinate. In the first case, the generating function fails, this is because a generating function of the first kind assumes that q and Q are independent, which is not the case if q=Q.

# Chap 9 der 10

The transformation is given by

$$Q = \frac{\alpha p}{x}$$
$$P = \beta x^2.$$

In order for this transformation to be canonical, we must have

$$\begin{split} [Q,P]_{qp} &= 1 \\ \frac{\partial Q}{\partial q} \frac{\partial P}{\partial p} - \frac{\partial Q}{\partial p} \frac{\partial P}{\partial q} &= 1 \\ -2\alpha\beta &= 1 \\ \beta &= -\frac{1}{2\alpha}. \end{split}$$

Thus, the transformation is only canonical if  $\beta = -\frac{1}{2\alpha}$ . A generating function of the first kind for this transformation is

$$F_1 = \frac{Qx^2}{2\alpha}.$$

This is easy to verify

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x} &= \frac{Qx}{\alpha} = p \\ -\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial Q} &= -\frac{x^2}{\alpha} = P. \end{split}$$

The Hamiltonian in the new variables is

$$H = \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{kx^2}{2} = -\frac{Q^2P}{m\alpha} - Pk\alpha,$$

and the equations of motion are

$$\dot{P} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial Q}$$

$$\dot{Q} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial P}$$

$$\dot{P} = \frac{2QP}{m\alpha}$$

$$\dot{Q} = -\frac{Q^2}{m\alpha} - k\alpha.$$

# Chap 9 ex 22

In terms of Poisson brackets, the canonical condition is the following system of equations

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial P_1}{\partial p_1} + \frac{\partial P_1}{\partial p_2} &= 0 \\ 2q_1 \frac{\partial P_2}{\partial p_1} &= 0 \\ 2q_1 \frac{\partial P_1}{\partial p_1} &= 1 \\ q_2 \frac{\partial P_2}{\partial p_1} + q_1 \frac{\partial P_2}{\partial p_2} &= 1. \end{split}$$

One of the poisson brackets is zero for any choice of P, and the last poisson bracket I will deal with later. Here is the most general form for  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ 

$$P_1 = \frac{p_1 - p_2}{2q_1} + f_1(q_1, q_2)$$

$$P_2 = p_2 + f_2(q_1, q_2).$$

Now, a good choice for  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  is

$$P_1 = \frac{p_1 - p_2}{2q_1}$$

$$P_2 = p_2 + (q_1 + q_2)^2.$$

The hamiltonian can be written entirely in terms of  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ , but lets see if this transformation is canonical. The last poisson bracket is

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial P_1}{\partial p_1} \frac{\partial P_2}{\partial q_1} + \frac{\partial P_1}{\partial p_2} \frac{\partial P_2}{\partial q_2} - \frac{\partial P_1}{\partial q_1} \frac{\partial P_2}{\partial p_1} - \frac{\partial P_1}{\partial q_2} \frac{\partial P_2}{\partial p_2} &= 0 \\ \frac{partial f_1}{\partial q_1} - \left( \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial q_1} \frac{1}{2q_1} - \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial q_2} \frac{1}{2q_1} \right) &= 0. \end{split}$$

So, if  $f_1 = 0$  and  $f_2 = (q_1 + q_2)^2$ , then this condition will be satisfied so the transformation will be canonical. The new hamiltonian is

$$H = \left(\frac{p_1 - p_2}{2q_1}\right)^2 + p_2 + (q_1 + q_2)^2$$
  
=  $(P_1)^2 + P_2$ .

So, the equations of motion are

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 &= \alpha \\ P_2 &= \beta \\ Q_1 &= 2\alpha t + c_1 \\ Q_2 &= t + c_2. \end{aligned}$$

With a little bit more algebra, these equations can be put in terms of  $q_1, q_2$ , and  $p_1, p_2$  using the inverse of the original canonical transformation. In this for the solution will probably not be linear, so by doing a transformation we essentially solved the problem without having to solve the original Hamilton's equations.

# Chap 9 ex 24

a)

I will show that this transformation is canonical using Poisson brackets

$$\begin{split} [Q,P]_{qp} &= \frac{\partial Q}{\partial q} \frac{\partial P}{\partial p} - \frac{\partial Q}{\partial p} \frac{\partial P}{\partial q} \\ [Q,P]_{qp} &= \frac{i\alpha}{2i\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} = 1. \end{split}$$

Thus, the transformation is canonical. A generating function of the first kind is given by

$$F_1 = -\frac{Q^2}{4i\alpha} + qQ - \frac{i\alpha}{2}q^2.$$

This can be easily verified

$$-\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial Q} = \frac{Q}{2i\alpha} - q$$
$$= \frac{Q - 2qi\alpha}{2i\alpha} = \frac{p - qi\alpha}{2i\alpha} = P$$

$$\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial q} = Q - i\alpha q = p.$$

b)

In terms of there new variables, the solution to the harmonic oscillator is given

by

$$\dot{Q} = -kq + i\alpha \frac{p}{m} = \frac{Q}{m} - P \frac{(1+k)2i\alpha}{(1-i\alpha)m}$$

$$\dot{P} = \frac{-kq}{2i\alpha} - \frac{p}{2m} = \frac{-Q}{2mi\alpha} - P \frac{(k-1)}{m(1-i\alpha)}$$

## Chap 9 ex 25

**a**)

The equations of motion are given by:

$$\begin{split} \dot{p} &= -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q} \\ \dot{q} &= \frac{\partial H}{\partial p} \end{split}$$

$$\dot{p} = \frac{1}{2q} + 2p^2q^3$$

$$\dot{q} = pq^4.$$

**b)** The following transformation:

$$q = \frac{1}{Q\sqrt{k}}$$
$$p = \frac{PQ^2k}{\sqrt{m}},$$

will make the Hamiltonian in part a reduce to a harmonic oscillator. However, this transformation is not canonical, since  $[Q,P]=\sqrt{\frac{k}{m}}$ . The only canonical transformation I could find was

$$q = \frac{\sqrt{m}}{P}$$
$$p = \frac{QP^2}{\sqrt{m}},$$

but this reduces to the special harmonic oscillator with k=m. For this transformation, the equation of motion from part a is

$$\dot{q} = pq^4$$

$$-\dot{P}\frac{\sqrt{m}}{P^2} = \frac{m^2}{P^2}\frac{Q}{\sqrt{m}}$$

$$\dot{P} = -mQ,$$

which is just the equation of motion for the harmonic oscillator with k=m. So, the equation from part a is satisfied.