

AN ALTERNATIVE FORMULATION OF MECHANICS: LAGRANGIAN MECHANICS

Nature is thrifty in all its actions.

— MAUPERTUIS, [1]

Since the fabric of the universe is most perfect and the work of a most wise Creator, nothing at all takes place in the universe in which some rule of maximum or minimum does not appear.

— EULER, [2]

The laws of movement and of rest deduced from this principle being precisely the same as those observed in nature, we can admire the application of it to all phenomena. The movement of animals, the vegetative growth of plants ... are only its consequences; and the spectacle of the universe becomes so much the grander, so much more beautiful, the worthier of its Author, when one knows that a small number of laws, most wisely established, suffice for all movements.

— MAUPERTUIS, [1]

1.1 ACTION

[Section taken from [3]]

Action, \mathbb{R} , is an attribute of a dynamical physical system. It is represented by a mathematical functional that takes the *system trajectory* as its argument and results in a real number. Generally, the action takes different values for different paths. Action has the dimensions of ET.

system trajectory, aka
system path or system
history

1.1.1 Notation

The symbol to represent physical action was chosen after a Borge's story: The Aleph [4]. In Borges' story, the Aleph is a point in space that contains all other points. Anyone who gazes into it can see everything in the universe from every angle simultaneously, without distortion, overlapping or confusion.

Aleph or Alef, \aleph , is the first letter of the Hebrew alphabet and the number 1 in Hebrew. Its esoteric meaning in Judaic Kabbalah, as denoted in the theological treatise *Sefer-ha-Bahir*, relates to the origin of the universe, the « primordial one that contains all numbers ». The aleph is also the first letter of the Arabic alphabet, as well as the Phoenician, Aramaic and Syriac alphabets. Aleph is also the first letter of the Persian alphabet. [...] In mathematics, aleph numbers denote the cardinality (or size) of infinite sets. This relates to the theme of infinity present in Borges's story. [...] The aleph also recalls the *monad* as conceptualized by Gottfried Wilhelm Leibniz, the 17th-century philosopher and mathematician. Just as Borges's aleph registers the traces of everything else in the universe, so Leibniz's monad is a mirror onto every other object of the world [4].

1.2 INTRODUCTION

Classical mechanics postulates that *the actual path followed by a physical system is that for which the action is minimized or, more generally, is stationary; i.e., the action satisfies a variational principle: the principle of stationary action*. The action is defined by an integral; the classical equations of motion of a system can be *derived* by minimizing the value of that integral.

This simple principle provides deep insights into physics and is an important concept in modern theoretical physics. The equivalence of these two approaches is contained in *Hamilton's principle: the differential equations of motion for any physical system can be re-formulated as an equivalent integral equation*. It applies not only to the classical mechanics of a single particle, but also to classical fields such as the electromagnetic and gravitational fields. Hamilton's principle has also been extended to quantum mechanics and quantum field theory.

1.2.1 Mathematical definition

Expressed in mathematical language, using the calculus of variations, the *evolution of a physical system* corresponds to a *stationary point* – usually a minimum – of the action. Several different definitions of « the action » are in common use in physics. The action is usually an integral over time. But for action pertaining to fields, it may be integrated over spatial variables as well. In some cases, the action is integrated along the path followed by the physical system.

system evolution: how the system progresses from one state to another.

The action is typically represented as an integral over time, taken along the path of the system between the initial time t_1 and the final time t_2 of the development of the system,

abbreviated notation for integrals in force [5]

$$\aleph = \int_i e_{la} = \int_{[t_1, t_2]} e_{la} dt ,$$

where the integrand e_{la} is called the *Lagrangian*. For the action integral to be well-defined the trajectory has to be bounded in time and space.

1.2.2 Action in classical physics

In classical physics, the term « action » has a number of meanings.

Action

Most commonly, the term is used for a functional \aleph which takes a function of time and (for fields) space as input and returns a scalar. In classical mechanics, the input function is the evolution $q[t]$ of the system between two times t_1 and t_2 , where q represent the generalized position. The action $\aleph[q[t]]$ is defined as the integral of the Lagrangian for an input evolution between the two times

$$\aleph[q[t]] = \int_i e_{\text{la}}[q[t], \dot{q}[t], t] = \int_{[t_1, t_2]} e_{\text{la}}[q[t], \dot{q}[t], t] dt.$$

where the endpoints of the evolution are fixed and defined as $q_1 = q[t_1]$ and $q_2 = q[t_2]$. According to Hamilton's principle, the true evolution $q_{\text{true}}[t]$ is an evolution for which the action is stationary (a minimum, maximum, or a saddle point). This principle results in the equations of motion in Lagrangian mechanics.

Abbreviated action

Usually denoted as \aleph_o , this is also a functional. Here the input function is the *path* followed by the physical system without regard to its parameterization by time. For example, the path of a planetary orbit is an ellipse, and the path of a particle in a uniform gravitational field is a parabola; in both cases, the path does not depend on how fast the particle traverses the path. The abbreviated action is defined as the integral of the generalized momenta along a path in the generalized position

$$\aleph_o = \int p \cdot q = \int p_k dq^k.$$

According to Maupertuis' principle, the true path is a path for which the abbreviated action is stationary.

1.2.3 Euler-Lagrange equations for the action integral

As noted above, the requirement that the action integral be stationary under small perturbations of the evolution is equivalent to a set of differential equations (called *Euler-Lagrange equations*) that may be determined using the calculus of variations. We illustrate this derivation here using only one coordinate, x ; the extension to multiple coordinates is straightforward.

Adopting Hamilton's principle, we assume that the Lagrangian e_{la} (the integrand of the action integral) depends only on the coordinate $x[t]$ and its time derivative $\dot{x}[t]$, and may also depend explicitly on time. In that case, the action integral can be written

$$\aleph = \int_{[t_1, t_2]} e_{\text{la}}[x, \dot{x}, t] dt,$$

where the initial and final times (t_1 and t_2) and the final and initial positions are specified in advance as $x^1 = x[t_1]$ and $x^2 = x[t_2]$. Let $x_{\text{true}}[t]$ represent the true evolution that we seek, and let x_{per} be a slightly perturbed version of it, albeit with the same endpoints, $x_{\text{per}}[t_1] = x^1$ and

$x_{\text{per}}[t_2] = x^2$. The difference between these two evolutions, which we will call $\epsilon = x_{\text{per}} - x_{\text{true}}$, is small at all times

$$\epsilon[t] = x_{\text{per}}[t] - x_{\text{true}}[t] .$$

At the end points, it vanishes; viz. $\epsilon[t_1] = \epsilon[t_2]$.

...

The requirement that \aleph be stationary implies that the first-order change must be zero for *any* possible perturbation $\epsilon[t]$ about the true evolution. This can be true only if

$$\mathbf{d}_t (\partial_{\dot{x}} e_{1a}) - \partial_x e_{1a} = 0 .$$

The quantity $\partial_{\dot{x}} e_{1a}$ is called *conjugate momentum for the coordinate x* .

An important consequence of Euler-Lagrange's equations is that if e_{1a} does not explicitly contain coordinate x ; i.e., if $\partial_x e_{1a} = 0$, then the conjugate momentum is constant in time: $\partial_{\dot{x}} e_{1a}$. In such cases, the coordinate x is called a *cyclic coordinate*, and its conjugate momentum is conserved.

1.2.4 Classical fields

The action principle can be extended to obtain the equations of motion for fields, such as the electromagnetic field or gravitational field. The Einstein equation utilizes the Einstein-Hilbert action as constrained by a variational principle. The trajectory (path in spacetime) of a body in a gravitational field can be found using the action principle. For a free falling body, this trajectory is a geodesic.

1.2.5 Conservation laws

Implications of symmetries in a physical situation can be found with the action principle, together with Euler-Lagrange's equations, which are derived from the action principle. An example is Noether's theorem, which states that to every continuous symmetry in a physical situation there corresponds a conservation law (and conversely). This deep connection requires that the action principle be assumed.

[Based upon [6]]

2.1
NEWTON'S
LAWS OF
MOTION

2.1.1 *Introduction*

Newton's *Principia* sums up the fundamental principles of classical mechanics based on previous knowledge and his ideas. A particle's motion, for instance, can be found applying Newton's *three laws of motion*. These laws and the motto *focus on forces* started a whole program of research for future scientists. Newton's method for finding particles' motion is:

- given a collection of particles, acted upon by a collection of forces, draw a nice diagram, with the particles as points and the forces as arrows;
- added up the forces and
- apply Newton's famous $f = ma$ to figure out where the particle's velocities are heading next.

Post Newtonian researchers found out Newton's method unsatisfactory, since

- it's messy and inelegant;
- it's hard to model extended objects, rather than point particles;
- it obscures certain features of dynamics – chaos theory took over 200 years to discover – and
- it's unclear the relationship between Newton's classical laws and quantum physics.

To resolve these issues, modelers established a new formalism that brought new perspectives on Newton's ideas by reformulating them using more powerful techniques. Simultaneously, such a formalism provides an elegant viewpoint that reveals the basic principles underling Newton's familiar laws of motion: it pries open $f = ma$ to reveal the structures and symmetries that lie beneath.

Moreover, the formalism has become the basis for *all* of fundamental modern physics. Every theory of Nature is best described in the newly developed language. Finally, it also provides the bridge between the classical and the quantum world.

Newton's second law of motion: give me a particle, tell me the forces applied on it and I'll tell you how it moves.

Better techniques result into an immediate practical advantage to quantify certain complicated phenomena with relative ease.

However, there are phenomena in Nature for which these formalism is not particularly useful: *dissipative systems*, for example, are not so well suited to these new techniques.

2.1.1.2 Newtonian mechanics: single particle

Particle: an object of insignificant size; e.g., an electron, a tennis ball or a planet. The validity of this statement depends on the context: to a first approximation, the earth can be treated as a particle when computing its orbit around the sun. But if you want to understand its spin, it must be treated as an extended object.

The motion of a particle of mass m at the position q is modeled by *Newton's Second Law*:

$$f = \dot{p}, \quad (2.1)$$

wherein $f = f[q, \dot{q}]$ represents the *force* that, in general, can depend on both the position q and the velocity \dot{q} and $p = m\dot{q}$ represents the *momentum*. Equation (2.1) reduces to $f = ma$ when mass is constant, $dm = 0$.

The goal of classical dynamics: given positions and velocities at an initial time $t = t_0$, integrate eq. (2.1) to determine $q[t]$ for all t , as long as f remains finite.

Equation (2.1) holds only in an *inertial frame*: a frame where a *free particle* with constant mass travels in a straight line:

$$q = q_0 + vt. \quad (2.2)$$

Newton's first law states that such frames exist.

An inertial frame is *not* unique: there are an infinite number of inertial frames. Let \mathcal{F} be an inertial frame. Then, there are ten *linearly independent transformations* $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'$ such that \mathcal{F}' is also an inertial frame; i.e., if eq. (2.2) holds in \mathcal{F} , then it also holds in \mathcal{F}' . These are

- three rotations: $q' = Oq$, where O is a 3×3 orthogonal matrix;
- three spatial translations: $q' = q + q_k$, for a constant position q_k ;
- three boosts: $q' = q + v_k t$, for a constant velocity v_k ;
- one time translation: $t' = t + t_k$, for a constant time t_k .

They will be important later, where we will see that these symmetries of space and time are the underlying reason for conservation laws.

As a parenthetical remark, recall from special relativity that *Einstein's laws of motion* are invariant under *Lorentz transformations*, which, together with translations, make up the *Poincaré group*. We can recover the Galilean group from the Poincaré group by taking the speed of light to infinity.

Angular momentum

We define the angular momentum ϕ of a particle and the torque τ acting upon it as

$$\phi = q \times p \quad \text{and} \quad \tau = q \times f \quad (2.3)$$

friction forces depend on velocity.

free particle: particle subject to no force

Invariant: if you have a particle moving in a straight line and apply any or all of the transformations to eq. (2.2), then you end up with a particle also moving in a straight line.

Note that, unlike linear momentum, both ϕ and τ depend on where we take the origin: *we measure angular momentum with respect to a particular point.*

REFERENCES

Standing on the shoulder of giants

— NEWTON, NEWTON:WIKIQUOTE

- [1] Wikipedia, “Principle of least action,” (2014).
- [2] Wikiquote, “Leonhard euler,” (2014).
- [3] Wikipedia, “Action (physics),” (2014).
- [4] Wikipedia, “The aleph (short story),” (2014).
- [5] T. M. Apostol, *Calculus, One-Variable Calculus with an Introduction to Linear Algebra* (Xerox, 1967).
- [6] D. Tong, *Classical Dynamics* (University of Cambridge, 2004).
- [7] R. D. Blandford and K. S. Thorne, *Applications of Classical Physics* (California Institute of Technology, 2011).
- [8] S. Mahajan, *Order of Magnitude Physics – A Textbook with Applications to the Retinal Rod and to the Density of Prime Numbers*, Ph.D. thesis, California Institute of Technology (1998).
- [9] S. Mahajan, *Street-Fighting Mathematics: The Art of Educated Guessing and Opportunistic Problem Solving* (MIT Press, 2010).



QUOTES

The laws of physics must all be expressible as geometric (coordinate-independent and reference-frame-independent) relationships between geometric objects, which represent physical entities.

— BLANDFORD AND THORNE, [7, PART I, P. III]

The idea of dimensional analysis is that units [...] are artificial. The universe cares not for our choice of units. Valid physical laws must have the same form in any system of units. Only dimensionless quantities – pure numbers – are the same in every unit system, so we write equations in a universe-friendly, dimensionless form. Often, there is only one such form. Then, without doing any work, we have solved the problem.

— MAHAJAN, [8, P. 27]

We believe that technology is at its very best and it's more empowering when it simply disappears.

— IVES, [WE BELIEVE THE SAME ABOUT MATHEMATICS.]

In almost every quantitative problem, the analysis simplifies when you follow the proverbial advice of doing first things first. First approximate and understand the most important effect – the big part – then refine your analysis and understanding. This procedure of successive approximation or «taking out the big part» generates meaningful, memorable, and usable expressions.

— MAHAJAN, [9, P. 77]

DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY

The following table describes the changes to « An alternative formulation of mechanics ».

VERSION	DATE	NOTES
0.0.1	27/12/2013	First release
0.1.0	05/08/2014	Changes in libraries and file organization
0.1.0	16/08/2014	Current release