CMSI 371-01

COMPUTER GRAPHICS

Spring 2013

Assignment 0326 Feedback

For this assignment, outcomes 2a, 2b, 3d, and 3e max out at | because the requested functionality in this assignment do not yet reach the culmination of what these outcomes represent overall.

Quin Thames

- 2a You've taken a few more concrete steps toward full 3D transform proficiency—now on to using these functions in your scene! (|)
- 2b The mechanics of your ortho and frustum functions look good. The next test will be to use them in your scene. (|)
- 3d Your matrix library is certainly moving in the right direction. Actual "field testing" in your 3D scene code is up next. (1)
- 3e Your matrices represent additional progress toward 3D scene rendering, but as mentioned will not top out this outcome yet because we haven't covered the full range of shader functionality yet. (|)
- 4a The code that you have works well so far, and this is bolstered by having a unit test suite available to "keep it honest." (+)
- 4b Separation of concerns looks well taken care of in the code that you have so far. My only comment is that functions that are not object-oriented (i.e., they do not operate on this) do not have to be assigned to Matrix4x4's prototype. Not a huge deal; more of a very JavaScript-specific fine point. (+)
- 4c Your matrix code is decently readable, but as always has a tendency tobealittletightinplaces. Thankfully, you at least kept the getRotationMatrix spacing generally unscathed! Will we ever possibly be able to break you from this habit? (1)
- 4d Your work shows fine resource use, including leveraging the rotation matrix code that is already in the sample programs and the projection matrices that are already in the handouts. (+)
- 4e Your commit phasing can be finer grained than it is. You have a couple of "lump sum" commits were you did a bunch of work in one sitting then just committed when you're done. Instead, for something like this you should really be working one function at a time. True, these functions were all relatively small and probably happened in short order for you. But it would still be good to establish this as a work habit: write the test; write the implementation; commit when the test succeeds. That gives your commit log a very logical, trackable evolutionary trajectory. (1)
- 4f Submitted on time. (+)