

# Onion Plan

## Usability Roadmap DRAFT Proposal

Silvio Rhatto

2022.Q4

Onion Support Group - The Tor Project



## Intro

# What

Onion Plan: an ongoing strategy to increase the adoption and enhance the usability of **Onion Services**.

Have you ever?

**Have you ever considered that we work with one of the coolest technologies?**

**And that our job consists in making it even cooler?**

# Now imagine

**Imagine a communication technology** that has:

1. Built-in resistance against surveillance, censorship and denial of service.
2. Built-in end-to-end encryption.
3. A huge address space (maybe bigger than IPv6) without allocation authority.
4. Support for multiple, pluggable naming systems.
5. And that also works as an anonymization layer.

# Enhanced Onion Services

We may call this technology **Enhanced Onion Services!**

Note a shift in how the technology is presented: instead of first stating that it's an anonymization technology, now the focus is *protection against surveillance, censorship and DoS* with *built-in anonymity in the Onion Service protocol*. This can make it easier to showcase the technology and attract potential funders.

# What's still missing

1. Built-in DoS resistance.
2. Pluggable discoverability (multiple naming systems).
3. Many other enhancements in usability and tooling.

This plan is split into the following roadmap tracks:

1. Health: DoS protections, performance improvements etc.
2. **Usability: Onion Names, Tor Browser improvements etc.**
3. Tooling: Onionbalance, Onionprobe, Oniongroove etc.
4. Outreach: documentation, support, usage/adoption campaigns etc.



**Health, tooling and outreach**

## Health, tooling and outreach

- Onion Services DoS: biggest issue right now, highest priority.
- But this presentation does not cover any proposals for solving this track, nor tooling or outreach, to be handled by another proposal(s).

# Usability

Proposals grouped in these categories:

1. **Address translation:** links a “traditional” domain name with an Onion Service address. Examples: *Onion-Location*; *Sauteed Onions*; *DNS-based*, *Alt-Svc*.
2. **Onion Names:** alternative schemes for human-friendly names linked with Onion Services. Examples: ruleset-based (like *Secure Drop’s Onion Names*); blockchain-based (like *Namecoin*); other P2P-based (like GUNet’s *LSD*); etc.
3. **HTTPS certificates:** easier integration of CA-validated TLS certificates into Onion Services. Examples: *ACME for .onion*; *X.509 for .onion* (self-signed by the .onion address).

1. **Address translation:** some implemented (*Onion-Location*, *Alt-Svc*), others are still research (*Sauteed-Onions*).
2. **Onion Names:** many proposals, difficult to evaluate, difficult to decide.
3. **HTTPS certificates:** needs work and currently the Let's Encrypt team may not be available for this.

So... what can we do???

## **The Zen Approach**

# The Zen Approach

- More meditation and reflection.
- Wait until [draft-ietf-dnsop-svcb-https-11](#) (similar to *Alt-Svc*, but in the DNS) gets RFC status and Firefox fully implements it (needs risk assessment for that).
- Then recommend [HTTP DNS resource records for Onion Services](#).

# HTTPS records

The Tor Project > Applications > Tor Browser > Issues > #41325

Open Issue created 3 weeks ago by Saklad5

## Using HTTPS records for onion services

As of this writing, HTTPS records are still a [draft standard](#). However, experimental support for them has already been implemented in numerous browsers, including Firefox, and I think it is worth noting their value for Tor Browser and onion services.

Exit nodes are difficult to operate, and anything that conserves their bandwidth is important. The primary way to do this is to have website operators run onion services alongside their clearnet addresses. The [Onion-Location](#) header accomplishes this by (loosely speaking) redirecting the user to an onion address.

This leaves several issues:

- The user has to contact the original server over an exit node, which does little to help latency. This does not apply to links pointing at the onion service itself, but those create the problem below.
- The user ends up at an unfamiliar onion address, and if they grow accustomed to that they become vulnerable to trivial phishing attacks by anyone who can set up an onion service with a similar-looking address. TLS certificates cannot help with this.
- Tor Browser does not support cleartext HTTP/2, and it remains difficult to automate TLS certificates for an onion address. As such, this tends to force the user into HTTP/1.1, which is noticeably less performant in many cases.

What ultimately matters to the user is that they type in an address and get an authoritative response for it. In this respect, Opportunistic Onions are a superior approach: the user still has to make initial contact over an exit node, but they get responses that are provably authoritative for the original address. On top of that, they can still use HTTP/2.

HTTPS records solve the final outstanding issue of Opportunistic Onions: the initial connection. A website that offers an onion service capable of issuing authoritative responses for a host can easily instruct browsers to take advantage, without even requiring non-standard tags. And thanks to DNSSEC<sup>1</sup>, this is still resistant to tampering.

The following set of records, based on [draft-ietf-dnsop-svcb-https-10](#), demonstrate how to use this.

```
saklad5.com. 86400 IN HTTPS 1 xuahkwjssci42ywuenj5zvn5jdm4o5zcgrrqghbs25sd75dhmz6yyvmqd.onion alpn="h2"
saklad5.com. 86400 IN HTTPS 2 . alpn="h3,h2"
```



# Is this enough?

If that works out, it will be a **huge usability improvement without having to develop anything by ourselves.**

But will it work? And how long we'll have to wait for that?

And how long for all clients to implement this (not just Tor Browser)?

Also, this approach:

- Seems highly dependent on whether [RFC 7686](#) will be honored by clients to either use or skip .onion addresses found in HTTPS DNS records.
- Does not pave a way for Onion Names or opportunist discovery of .onion addresses.
- Still needs a further and thorough security analysis to evaluate it's security properties, attack scenarios and mitigations.

# Usability Roadmap

# Usability Roadmap

As an alternative, the following roadmap is proposed **without counting on any further/uncertain upstream improvement and without focusing only on Tor Browser or Firefox.**

- Here follows a **non-orthodox strategy** to improve Onion Services UX.
- It's meant to **balance** between the present and **urgent user needs** and the wish to have **fully distributed Onion Names in the future**.
- It's an **incremental** roadmap, focusing on what's more **feasible** to do first instead of targeting in systems that still need to mature.

# Usability Roadmap

- **Focus:** **human-friendly** names for Onion Services with **HTTPS** support.
- **Goal:** **coexistence** between different methods and **opportunistic discovery**.
- **Characteristics:** **pragmatic, modular, incremental, backwards compatible, future-proof and risk-minimizing** phases.

# Phases

- **Phase 0:** current functionality.
- **Phase 1: accessing URLs** like `https://torproject.org` **directly** using Onion Services and HTTPS!
- **Phase 2: opportunistic discovery** of .onion addresses (increased censorship resistance).
- **Phase 3:** bringing “pure” **Onion Names** into Tor.

At any Phase, low-hanging fruit can be included, such as fixes and improvements to existing features like `Onion-Location`.

# Phases comparison

Phase	Category	Method	Technology	Status
0	Addr. trans.	Onion-Location v1, Alt-Svc	HTTP	Done
1	Addr. trans.	DNS-based discovery	DNS	Planning
2	Addr. trans.	Sauteed Onions or other	CT Logs or other	Research
3	Onion Names	?	P2P/Blockchain	Research

# Decentralization comparison

Phase	Technology	Decentralization
-----	-----	-----
0	HTTP headers	Centralized (a single point of failure)
1	DNS	Very decentralized, but hierarchical
2	CT Logs?	Decentralized, less hierarchical, few nodes
3	P2P/Blockchain	Decentralized, non-hierarchical, many nodes



# Censorship resistance comparison

Phase	Technology	Censorship resistance
-----	-----	-----
0	HTTP headers	Does not work when the site is blocked
1	DNS	Even if site is blocked, not if DNS is
2	CT Logs?	Even if site/DNS blocked, not if CT Logs is
3	P2P/Blockchain	Should be fully censorship resistant

# Phase 0

We're at Phase 0, but not starting from zero! :)

- We have **Onion Services v3!**
- We have accumulated lots of **discussions**, **proposals** and **analyses**.

**Objective:** accessing URLs like `https://torproject.org` directly using Onion Services and HTTPS!

That means:

1. It *can be transparent*, either by always preferring the Onion Service or using it automatically if the regular site is blocked.
2. Users will *not need to know the actual Onion Service address*!
3. Can *work for all clients* and not only Tor Browser.

For Tor Browser, it can be possible to have special interface indicators to inform users:

- How the connection to the site is happening.
- Which available connection options exists for the site (regular or via .onion) as an **improved “.onion available” widget**.

## But how it would work?

1. **Transparent resolution** of torproject.org into  
2gzyxa5ihm7nsggfxnu52rck2vv4rvmdlkiu3zzui5du4xyclen53wid.onion  
using **DNS via Tor** with (optional?) **signature checking** (DNSSEC?).
2. Use the **existing HTTPS certificate** for torproject.org, with no need to have  
2gzyxa5ihm7nsggfxnu52rck2vv4rvmdlkiu3zzui5du4xyclen53wid.onion in  
the certificate!
3. **Transparent TLS SNI (Server Name Indication)** connection to  
`https://2gzyxa5ihm7nsggfxnu52rck2vv4rvmdlkiu3zzui5du4xyclen53wid.onion`  
using torproject.org as the server name.

# What it needs to work?

1. Transparent resolution:
  - 1.1 A pluggable interface, maybe [Proposal 279](#) (Tor Name System API): specification and implementation.
  - 1.2 Define a way to securely add Onion Service addresses entries into the DNS.
  - 1.3 Write a Tor NS API plugin that securely maps regular domains into Onion Services.
  - 1.4 The minimum UX changes needed in the Tor Browser.
2. HTTPS Certificates: Already supported! No need to coordinate with Let's Encrypt or any other Certificate Authority.
3. TLS SNI: Already supported! Should be fully compatible with ECH (Encrypted Client Hello) when [draft-ietf-tls-esni-15](#) gets approved and implemented.

**Objective:** *increase the censorship resistance* of accessing URLs like `https://torproject.org` directly using Onion Services and HTTPS!

That means:

- Implementing *opportunistic discovery* of Onion Service addresses by having an additional method to get the .onion address for `torproject.org`.
- In this phase, another Tor NS plugin is created, like one for **Sauteed Onions**.

**Objective:** bring “pure” / “real” Onion Names into Tor.

That means:

- Transparent access to `http://somesite.some.onion`.
- Having technical and governance specs to decide which Onion Names are officially accepted.
- Allocating a namespace (at `.onion?`) to each proposal.
- Optionally shipping the implementation into a bundle for distribution.



**More information**

## More information

Check the full [Onion Plan Usability Roadmap Proposal](#).

**Questions?**

# Questions?

`rhatto@torproject.org`