### The Extremes of Good and Evil

Master Thesis

presented by Oliver Frendo (1510432), Dandan Li (), Zehui Wang () and Yi-Ru Cheng ()

submitted to the
Data and Web Science Group
Prof. Dr. Right Name Here
University of Mannheim

November 26, 2015

## **Contents**

1	Web	Data I	ntegration	1
	1.1	Introdu	uction to data and use case	2
	1.2	Data tr	ranslation	3
		1.2.1	Data collection	3
		1.2.2	Integrated schema	4
		1.2.3	Data transformations	4
	1.3	Identit	y resolution	8
		1.3.1	Gold standards	8
		1.3.2	Matching rules	8
		1.3.3	Blocking functions	8
		1.3.4	Learning matching rules	8
	1.4	Data fı	usion	ç
		1.4.1	Input data	ç
		1.4.2	Gold standard	ç
		1.4.3	Conflict resolution functions	ç
		1.4.4	Accuracy	ç

# **List of Figures**

1.1	DBpedia Query For Company											5
1.2	DBpedia Query For Location .											6

## **List of Tables**

1.1	Basic Profile of Each Dataset	4
1.2	Integrated Schema	7

## Chapter 1

# **Web Data Integration**

## 1.1 Introduction to data and use case

#### 1.2 Data translation

#### 1.2.1 Data collection

In order to collect suitable data we tried different data service providers such as Datahub, finally we have collected total four datasets from three different sources and in three different formats, including:

#### **Forbes: Company**

The Forbes offers a .xls file with a list of Top 2000 companies during the period 2000 to 2014 which were published in Forbes magazine because of great performance in terms of business achievements. This dataset describes the basic information about these top 2000 companies. For example, location shows where this company is founded, industry depicts what fields the company focus on and so on.

#### **DBpedia: Company**

The information of company is extracted from DBpedia, since it provides relatively complete information. To access information from DBPedia we used the public SPARQL endpoint (at http://dbpedia.org/sparql). Figure 1.1 is our query for company, actually there is total 764398 companies in DBpedia, which would be too much for us and also not easy to handle in terms of processing time and space. In order to reduce the number of data, we limit the company types to "company" and "public company" and only extract the companies that provide attributes "LocationCity" and "LocationCountry", these two attributes can also be related with Location Information, that's why these two attributes are necessary and others are optional. On the other hand, if all these attributes are necessary, there will be only few thousands companies and few overlapping data in the final integration results. In addition to this, as many attributes such as KeyPeople, locationCity have multiple values which result in the same company would appear more than one times, to avoid these duplicates we used "group contac", a function in Sparql, to group many value together. There are also many values for Revenue but without date notation, so we just took the maximum value.

Freebase: Company
DBpedia: Location

We also extracted Location information from DBpedia with the same method as Company. Figure 1.2 is the query for location. For the same reason as Company,

Table 1.1: Basic Profile of Each Dataset

	Source	Format	Class	#Entities	#Attributes					
	List of Attributes									
Forbes Global	forbes.com	xlsx	company	2000	7					
	Company,country,industry,revenue,assets,marketvalue,pr									
DBpedia	dbpedia.org/sparql	csv	company	9						
	Company,country,industry,revenue,numberOfemployees,									
	foundir	ets,keyPeop	le,location							
Freebase	freebase.com/query	json	company		9					
Company,country,industry,revenue,numberOfemploy										
	founding Year, profit, key People, location									
Dbpeida	dbpedia.org/sparql	csv	location	3270	5					
	Location, country, population, area, elevation									

we limit the location types to "city" and "AdministrativeRegion", which are more relevant to our company dataset. Also some attributes have many values without extra information, it's hard to identify which one represents the current state, thus, we just took the maximum number of them among multiple values. Furthermore, the name of locations are provide in different languages, while in our project we just focus on english, so we filtered language as english.

#### 1.2.2 Integrated schema

We looked into four datasets and did the following Integrated Schema.In this table we use prefix dataset 1, 2, 3,4 respectively represent Forbes, DBpedia(company), Freebase and DBpedia(Location)

#### 1.2.3 Data transformations

```
SELECT ?company
group_concat(distinct str(?locationCity);separator=";;") as ?locationCity
group_concat(distinct str(?locationCountry);separator=";;")
as ?locationCountry
group_concat(distinct str(?keyPeople);separator=";;") as ?keyPeople
group_concat(distinct str(?foundingYear);separator=";;")
as ?foundingYear
group_concat(distinct str(?industry);separator=";;") as ?industry
max(?revenue) as ?revenue
                           ?numberOfEmployees ?asset
WHERE {
{?company rdf:type dbo:Company}
UNION
{?company dbr:type dbr:Public_company}.
optional {?company dbo:number Of Employees ?number Of Employees .}
?company dbp:locationCity ?locationCity.
?company dbp:locationCountry ?locationCountry.
optional{?company dbp:keyPeople ?keyPeople .}
optional {?company dbo:founding Year ?founding Year .}
optional {?company dbo:industry ?industry .}
optional {?company dbo:revenue ?revenue .}
optional{?company dbo:assets ?asset .}
ORDER BY ?company
```

Figure 1.1: DBpedia Query For Company

Figure 1.2: DBpedia Query For Location

Table 1.2: Integrated Schema

Table 1.2. Integrated Schema									
Class Name	Attributes Name	Datasets in which	Conflict resolution						
Class Ivallic	7 ttirroutes rvaine	attribute is found	strategies						
			LongestString,						
company	(company)name	dataset 1, 2, 3	FavourSources,						
			Voting						
company,location	country	dataset 1, 2, 3, 4	Voting						
company	industries	dataset 1, 2, 3	Union						
company	Sales/ revenue	dataset 1, 2, 3	FavourSources						
company	number Of	dataset 2, 3	Average,						
company	Employees	uataset 2, 3	Growth: Max						
			MostComplete						
	founding year/ date founded		(complete date)						
company		dataset 2, 3	AND,						
	date founded		MostComplete						
			(sample)						
company	Assets	dataset 1, 2	FavourSources						
company	Market Value	dataset 1	/						
company	profit	dataset 1, 3	FavourSources						
company	continent	dataset 1	/						
company	keyPeople/	dataset 2, 3	Union						
company	leadership	dataset 2, 3							
company,	name	dataset 2, 3, 4	Union AND						
location		Gataset 2, 3, 7	FavourSources						
location	population total	dataset 4	/						
location	area total	dataset 4	/						
location	elevation	dataset 4	/						

### 1.3 Identity resolution

#### 1.3.1 Gold standards

 $Forbes \leftrightarrow Freebase$ 

 $\textbf{Freebase} \leftrightarrow \textbf{DBpedia companies}$ 

DBpedia companies  $\leftrightarrow$  DBpedia locations

#### 1.3.2 Matching rules

Aim here was to generate correspondences between companies of two different sources.

#### 1.3.3 Blocking functions

Notes on blocking function:

Freebase vs Forbes: - Country is always available in Forbes, sparse in Freebase (EXACT NUMBER)

Freebase vs DBPedia: - Country is somewhat sparse in both datasets (EXACT NUMBER) - DateFounded somewhat sparse in both (EXACT NUMBER)

Company vs Location - Country is only way to go

Own idea: Implement own partitioning blocker, but more fuzzy logic - I.E. Country first, then date, then location or so as alternative (EXACT NUMBER) - Generate more pairs, matching rule will take care if its same company or not-more conservative approach

#### 1.3.4 Learning matching rules

### 1.4 Data fusion

- 1.4.1 Input data
- 1.4.2 Gold standard
- 1.4.3 Conflict resolution functions
- 1.4.4 Accuracy

## Ehrenwörtliche Erklärung

Ich versichere, dass ich die beiliegende Master-/Bachelorarbeit ohne Hilfe Dritter und ohne Benutzung anderer als der angegebenen Quellen und Hilfsmittel angefertigt und die den benutzten Quellen wörtlich oder inhaltlich entnommenen Stellen als solche kenntlich gemacht habe. Diese Arbeit hat in gleicher oder ähnlicher Form noch keiner Prüfungsbehörde vorgelegen. Ich bin mir bewusst, dass eine falsche Er- klärung rechtliche Folgen haben wird.

Mannheim, November 26, 2015

Unterschrift