

# Home Work 4

John E. Palenchar  
Department of Mathematics  
Student—University of Florida

April 14, 2023

**Definition 1.** *Let  $C$  be the set of all circles in a plane, and let  $R$  be a relation on  $C$  defined as: for any two circles  $a$  and  $b$ ,  $aRb$  if circles  $a$  and  $b$  intersect. We will examine the properties of reflexivity, symmetry, and transitivity for relation  $R$ .*

**Theorem 1.** *The relation  $R$  on set  $C$  is symmetric but not reflexive or transitive.*

*Proof.* We will analyze each property separately:

1. Reflexivity: For a relation to be reflexive, it must satisfy  $aRa$  for all circles  $a \in C$ . However, a circle does not intersect itself by definition, because all points on a circle are equidistant from its center, and self-intersection would require two distinct points on the circle to coincide. Therefore, the relation  $R$  is not reflexive.

2. Symmetry: For a relation to be symmetric, it must satisfy  $aRb \implies bRa$  for all circles  $a, b \in C$ . If circle  $a$  intersects circle  $b$  at some point  $P$ , then circle  $b$  must also intersect circle  $a$  at the same point  $P$ . Thus, the intersection relationship is symmetric by definition, and the relation  $R$  is symmetric.

3. Transitivity: For a relation to be transitive, it must satisfy  $aRb$  and  $bRc \implies aRc$  for all circles  $a, b, c \in C$ . Consider three circles  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$  such that:

- Circle  $a$  intersects circle  $b$ . - Circle  $b$  intersects circle  $c$ .

However, it is not guaranteed that circle  $a$  intersects circle  $c$ . For example, imagine circles  $a$  and  $c$  are disjoint but both intersect circle  $b$ , which lies in between them. In this case, the transitivity property does not hold. Therefore, the relation  $R$  is not transitive.

In summary, the relation  $R$  on the set  $C$  of circles is symmetric but not reflexive or transitive.  $\square$

**Definition 2.** Let  $D$  be the set of all lines in a plane, and let  $S$  be a relation on  $D$  defined as: for any two lines  $h$  and  $k$ ,  $h S k$  if lines  $h$  and  $k$  are either parallel or perpendicular. We will prove that relation  $S$  is an equivalence relation.

**Theorem 2.** The relation  $S$  on set  $D$  is an equivalence relation.

*Proof.* To show that  $S$  is an equivalence relation, we must prove that it is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.

1. *Reflexivity:* For a relation to be reflexive, it must satisfy  $h S h$  for all lines  $h \in D$ . By definition, a line is parallel to itself. Therefore,  $h S h$  holds for all lines  $h \in D$ , and the relation  $S$  is reflexive.
2. *Symmetry:* For a relation to be symmetric, it must satisfy  $h S k \implies k S h$  for all lines  $h, k \in D$ . If line  $h$  is parallel or perpendicular to line  $k$ , then line  $k$  is also parallel or perpendicular to line  $h$ . Thus, the relation  $S$  is symmetric.
3. *Transitivity:* For a relation to be transitive, it must satisfy  $h S k$  and  $k S l \implies h S l$  for all lines  $h, k, l \in D$ . Consider the following cases:
  - If  $h$  is parallel to  $k$  and  $k$  is parallel to  $l$ , then by the transitive property of parallelism,  $h$  is parallel to  $l$ .
  - If  $h$  is perpendicular to  $k$  and  $k$  is perpendicular to  $l$ , then  $h$  and  $l$  are parallel, since the angle between them is  $90^\circ + 90^\circ = 180^\circ$ .
  - If  $h$  is parallel to  $k$  and  $k$  is perpendicular to  $l$ , then  $h$  is perpendicular to  $l$ .
  - If  $h$  is perpendicular to  $k$  and  $k$  is parallel to  $l$ , then  $h$  is perpendicular to  $l$ .

In all cases,  $h S l$  holds, so the relation  $S$  is transitive.

In summary, the relation  $S$  on the set  $D$  of lines is an equivalence relation, as it is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.  $\square$