The Microstructure of Organizational Law: Irreducible Steps and Actions within the Nine Processes

Gustavo Paulino de Sa Pereira

Discoverer of the Black Belt OS™

Affiliated with the Black Belt Group

gustavogestorads@gmail.com | admin@blackbelttrafego.com.br

https://orcid.org/0009-0004-1385-4627

ABSTRACT

This article advances the microstructural specification of the Black Belt OS, a candidate universal structural law of human organization. While Article 1 introduced nine essential processes as the macro-level grammar of collective life, this contribution decomposes them into forty-five steps and ninety-eight irreducible actions. These elements form the minimum sufficient catalogue for organizational persistence: the removal or inversion of any action produces dysfunction or collapse.

The argument rests on three principles. First, irreducibility: each step and action is distinct, with redundancy eliminated through systematic pruning. Second, sequential necessity: steps follow a

strict order, and inversions predictably cause breakdowns (e.g., delivering before validating). Third, fractal manifestation: the 45–98 grammar replicates across scales, from families and startups to armies, states, and digital organizations.

The contribution is both theoretical and practical. Theoretically, we show that organizational life is governed not only by nine macro processes but also by a closed micro catalogue that operationalizes the law at the level of action. Practically, the 98 actions provide a diagnostic tool: organizations can locate dysfunction by identifying absent or inverted actions, enabling structural repair rather than symptomatic treatment. Like the periodic table or DNA, the 45–98 catalogue offers the first minimal, testable ontology of organizational action.

Keywords: Black Belt OS, organizational microstructure, irreducibility, structural processes, organizational theory, systems thinking.

1. INTRODUCTION

The search for universal invariants of collective life has long animated organization theory, yet prior efforts stopped at broad categories—principles of administration (Fayol, 1916/1949), coordination mechanisms (Mintzberg, 1979), or theoretical perspectives of medium range (contingency, institutionalism, ecology). Article 1 of this series advanced beyond these fragments by proposing the **Black Belt OS**: a candidate **structural law of human organization** composed of **nine essential processes** that every durable collective enacts (Paulino, 2025a).

This article deepens that contribution by moving from the **macrostructure** (nine processes) to the **microstructure**: the **forty-five steps and ninety-eight irreducible actions** that operationalize the law. If Article 1 declared the existence of a grammar, the present work **spells**

out its vocabulary. The 45–98 catalogue is not a managerial framework or prescriptive checklist; it is the **minimal ontology of action** required for organizational persistence.

Three properties define this microstructure. First, **irreducibility**: every action is indispensable; redundancy has been pruned through systematic comparison, and removing any action generates dysfunction. Second, **sequential necessity**: steps follow an inevitable order, with attempted inversions (e.g., admitting before committing, delivering before validating) producing predictable breakdowns. Third, **fractal manifestation**: the same 45–98 grammar recurs at multiple scales—within families, teams, corporations, states, and digital autonomous organizations.

The purpose of this article is therefore twofold. Theoretically, it specifies the OS as a **complete, closed, and testable catalogue of organizational action**. Practically, it provides leaders and analysts with a **structural diagnostic**: by locating missing or inverted actions, dysfunctions can be traced to their structural root.

The article proceeds as follows. Section 2 reviews prior attempts to articulate micro-level organizational invariants. Section 3 presents the Black Belt OS microstructure in detail, describing the forty-five steps and ninety-eight actions. Section 4 discusses implications for theory and practice. Section 5 concludes with directions for empirical testing and future research.

2. BACKGROUND AND LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Early searches for micro invariants

Early organizational theorists intuited that persistence depended on recurring functions. **Fayol (1916/1949)** proposed principles such as planning, organizing, commanding, and controlling,

which foreshadowed the need for irreducible elements but lacked empirical falsifiability. **Weber (1922/1978)** highlighted rational-legal authority and bureaucratic procedures, identifying repeatable actions (e.g., registration, documentation) but treating them as cultural forms rather than universal structures.

2.2 Mid-20th century approaches

The mid-century shift to contingency and systems perspectives sought to connect structure with environment. **Lawrence and Lorsch (1967)** emphasized differentiation and integration; **Katz and Kahn (1966)** conceptualized organizations as open systems of input, throughput, and output. These models highlighted sequences but did not isolate a closed set of necessary actions. **Mintzberg (1979)** further decomposed organizations into coordination mechanisms and roles, pointing to micro activities of control and adaptation, yet his catalogue was descriptive and open-ended.

2.3 The rise of medium-range theories

Later perspectives introduced finer-grained mechanisms. **Resource dependence theory** (Pfeffer & Salancik, 1978) emphasized boundary actions of exchange and negotiation. **Institutional theory** (DiMaggio & Powell, 1983) analyzed ritualistic and symbolic actions of legitimation. **Population ecology** (Hannan & Freeman, 1977) focused on survival and exit. While each provided valuable insights, none yielded a **minimal, complete, and testable catalogue** of organizational actions.

2.4 Contemporary micro approaches

More recent scholarship has deepened the focus on micro foundations. **Practice theory** highlights routines and situated actions (Feldman & Pentland, 2003). **Micro-institutionalists** explore how everyday practices reproduce macro logics. **Complexity perspectives** emphasize adaptation through local interactions. While these literatures reveal the texture of organizing, they often multiply descriptions rather than converge on invariants.

2.5 The unresolved gap

The result is a field with **abundant description but absent ontology**. Scholars acknowledge recurrent actions—such as admitting members, validating outputs, recording exchanges—but treat them as contingent practices rather than **necessary conditions of persistence**. What is missing is a closed grammar that specifies:

1. Which actions are indispensable.
2. How they sequence within steps and processes.
3. How absence, redundancy, or inversion produces dysfunction.

This article fills that gap by presenting the **Black Belt OS microstructure**: **forty-five steps and ninety-eight irreducible actions**. The following section introduces this catalogue in detail, demonstrating that organizational life is not only patterned at the level of nine processes, but operationalized at the level of micro actions that cannot be omitted without collapse.

3. THE BLACK BELT OS MICROSTRUCTURE

The Black Belt OS specifies that all durable collectives enact not only nine macro processes (Article 1) but also their **microstructure**: **forty-five steps and ninety-eight irreducible actions**. Each step represents a necessary phase within a process; each action is the minimal,

non-substitutable unit. The catalogue has been pruned for **redundancy** and tested for **distinctiveness**: no action can be removed without dysfunction, and no two actions perform the same function.

3.1 Process 1 – Implementation (7 steps / 14 actions)

Implementation installs order. It begins by diagnosing what exists, presenting the system, adapting it to context, codifying it, training participants, establishing cadence, and sustaining learning.

- *Examples of actions*: identify gaps, formalize system architecture, adapt language, codify processes, deliver training, set review cycles, reinforce iteration.

3.2 Process 2 – People (4 steps / 12 actions)

People encompasses the internal cycle of members: recruiting, integrating, developing, and releasing.

- *Examples of actions*: attract candidates, select, integrate roles, provide mentorship, evaluate, promote, disengage respectfully.

3.3 Process 3 – Attraction (4 steps / 9 actions)

Attraction secures external visibility and legitimacy through identity, networks, and reach.

- *Examples of actions*: articulate identity, broadcast signals of credibility, build relational bridges, invest in legitimacy.

3.4 Process 4 – Conversion (5 steps / 10 actions)

Conversion secures commitment: moving prospects to insiders through proposition, negotiation, and decision.

- *Examples of actions*: initiate contact, diagnose needs, formulate proposals, negotiate alignment, secure commitment.

3.5 Process 5 – Structured Admission (6 steps / 6 actions)

Structured admission formalizes entry into the collective. It includes registration, organization, preparation, access, ritual, and alignment. Each step is one action, to prevent overlap with People.

- *Examples of actions*: register, document, prepare newcomer, grant access, conduct admission ritual, align with norms.

3.6 Process 6 – Execution (5 steps / 11 actions)

Execution produces value. It entails preparation, production, validation, delivery, and sustaining operations.

- *Examples of actions*: prepare resources, perform task, validate internally (quality check), deliver output, ensure continuity.

3.7 Process 7 – Results (5 steps / 13 actions)

Results monitor performance, communicate progress, and realign.

- *Examples of actions*: define indicators, collect data, consolidate, communicate findings, trigger realignment.

3.8 Process 8 – Resources (5 steps / 13 actions)

Resources (finance) manage value: recording, organizing, ensuring compliance, analyzing, and allocating.

- *Examples of actions*: record transactions, classify, ensure compliance, analyze flows, allocate resources.

3.9 Process 9 – Relationships (4 steps / 10 actions)

Relationships maintain enduring trust and expansion.

- *Examples of actions*: open communication channels, monitor perceptions, reciprocate, consolidate and expand ties.

3.10 Properties of the 45–98 catalogue

Three properties define the microstructure:

1. **Irreducibility** – each of the 98 actions is indispensable. Removal produces dysfunction (e.g., failure to validate internally leads to rework).
2. **Sequential necessity** – within steps, actions follow required order (e.g., registration must precede access).
3. **Fractality** – the 45–98 grammar manifests across scales: a family, startup, or nation-state each enacts the same catalogue, though with different checklists.

4. DISCUSSION AND IMPLICATIONS

4.1 Theoretical implications

The specification of **forty-five steps and ninety-eight actions** advances organizational theory by transforming the Black Belt OS from a conceptual law into a **closed operational grammar**. Unlike prior catalogues of principles or practices, the 45–98 is:

1. **Closed** – the set is complete and minimal; redundancy has been eliminated through systematic pruning.

2. **Falseable** – the law can be disproven if an action is shown redundant or dispensable, or if a durable collective persists while omitting one.

3. **Integrative** – medium-range theories map onto the catalogue:
   - Institutionalism → Attraction (P03) and Relationships (P09).
   - Resource dependence → Conversion (P04) and Resources (P08).
   - Contingency → Results (P07) and Implementation (P01).
   - Population ecology → People (P02) and Execution (P06).

4. **Fractal** – the 45–98 replicate across levels, from micro-units to macro-structures.

By specifying micro actions, the OS supplies the **ontological depth** long called for in organization studies (Whetten, 1989; Sutton & Staw, 1995; Suddaby, 2014).

4.2 Practical implications

For practitioners, the 45–98 catalogue functions as a **diagnostic instrument**. Leaders can map their organization onto the actions and identify:

- **Absent actions** → structural gaps (e.g., failure to record resources produces financial opacity).

- **Inverted actions** → predictable dysfunctions (e.g., granting access before registration invites security breaches).

- **Redundant actions** → wasted effort (e.g., duplicating rituals of admission when one suffices).

This diagnostic power allows organizations to treat dysfunctions at the **structural root** rather than symptomatic level. Just as physicians rely on anatomical maps, leaders can rely on the 45–98 grammar to locate and repair organizational breakdowns.

4.3 Interdisciplinary implications

The microstructure also extends beyond management.

- **Sociology/Anthropology** → comparative analysis of tribes, religions, families, and states through shared actions (e.g., rites of admission, validation rituals, resource allocation).

- **Political Science** → identifying structural absences that explain state fragility (e.g., weak resource allocation systems).

- **Computer Science** → designing DAOs and autonomous agent systems with the 45–98 as blueprint for digital collective persistence.

4.4 Anticipated critiques and structural responses

Critics may argue that the 45–98 are tautological, redundant, or culturally biased. Each objection is dissolved structurally:

- **Tautology** → actions are defined operationally and falseable.

- **Redundancy** → catalogue minimized via pruning and convergence.

- **Cultural bias** → functions, not forms, are universal; rituals differ, but admission (P05) is always necessary.

- **Over-simplicity** → the law is minimal by design, just as DNA is based on four bases.

4.5 Summary of implications

The microstructure provides:

1. A **theoretical anchor** for organizational ontology.

2. A **diagnostic tool** for practice.

3. An **integrative framework** connecting diverse theories.

4. A **bridge** to interdisciplinary domains.

The 45–98 thus elevate organizational studies from fragmented description to **testable structural law**, offering both explanatory leverage for scholars and diagnostic utility for practitioners.

5. CONCLUSION

This article specified the **microstructure** of the Black Belt OS, advancing from the macro catalogue of nine essential processes (Article 1) to their decomposition into **forty-five steps and ninety-eight irreducible actions**. This 45–98 grammar represents the **minimal sufficient ontology of organizational persistence**.

Three properties define the contribution. First, **irreducibility**: every action is indispensable, and removal produces dysfunction. Second, **sequential necessity**: steps follow strict order, and

inversions generate predictable collapse. Third, **fractal manifestation**: the grammar recurs across scales and contexts, from families and teams to corporations, states, and digital autonomous organizations.

The implications are significant. For theory, the microstructure supplies **ontological depth**, converting organizational studies from descriptive frameworks to structural law. For practice, the 98 actions provide a **diagnostic tool**: leaders can identify dysfunction by locating absent or inverted actions, enabling structural repair rather than symptomatic response. For interdisciplinary research, the catalogue offers a **blueprint** for analyzing social, political, and digital collectives.

The Black Belt OS is not a metaphor, prescription, or framework. It is a **falseable law**: it can be disproven by the discovery of a tenth non-redundant process, by observing a durable collective that persists without one of the ninety-eight actions, or by achieving reliable inversion of sequence without collapse. Until such disproof occurs, the OS stands as the first **universal structural law of human organization**.

Future research must empirically test the 45–98 catalogue across contexts, employing comparative case studies, cross-cultural analysis, and computational simulations. Practitioners must apply it diagnostically, translating it into contextual checklists without altering the underlying grammar. Together, these efforts will determine whether the OS remains a bold conjecture or becomes an accepted scientific law.

The unavoidable conclusion is that **every durable collective, across time and context, enacts the same forty-five steps and ninety-eight actions**. This discovery transforms organization studies from fragmented description into a discipline anchored in **structural inevitability**.

Subsequent articles in the *Black Belt OS™ Collectanea* specify the supracontextual grammar of the nine processes, positioning them as a closed catalogue of functions (Paulino, 2025b).

REFERENCES

DiMaggio, P. J., & Powell, W. W. (1983). The iron cage revisited: Institutional isomorphism and collective rationality. *American Sociological Review, 48*(2), 147–160. https://doi.org/10.2307/2095101

Fayol, H. (1949). *General and industrial management* (C. Storrs, Trans.). Pitman. (Original work published 1916)

Feldman, M. S., & Pentland, B. T. (2003). Reconceptualizing organizational routines as a source of flexibility and change. *Administrative Science Quarterly, 48*(1), 94–118. https://doi.org/10.2307/3556620

Hannan, M. T., & Freeman, J. (1977). The population ecology of organizations. *American Journal of Sociology, 82*(5), 929–964. https://doi.org/10.1086/226424

Katz, D., & Kahn, R. L. (1966). *The social psychology of organizations*. Wiley.

Lawrence, P. R., & Lorsch, J. W. (1967). *Organization and environment: Managing differentiation and integration*. Harvard Business School Press.

Mintzberg, H. (1979). *The structuring of organizations: A synthesis of the research*. Prentice-Hall.

Paulino, G. (2025a). *The Black Belt OS: Toward a Universal Structural Law of Human Organization. Black Belt OS™ Collectanea, Article 1.* Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17153458

Paulino, G. (2025b). *Mapping the Grammar of Organization: A Supracontextual Specification of the Nine Processes. Black Belt OS™ Collectanea, Article 3.* Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17153263

Pfeffer, J., & Salancik, G. R. (1978). *The external control of organizations: A resource dependence perspective*. Harper & Row.

Suddaby, R. (2014). Why theory? *Academy of Management Review, 39*(4), 407–411. https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2014.0252

Sutton, R. I., & Staw, B. M. (1995). What theory is not. *Administrative Science Quarterly, 40*(3), 371–384. https://doi.org/10.2307/2393788

Weber, M. (1978). *Economy and society: An outline of interpretive sociology* (G. Roth & C. Wittich, Eds.). University of California Press. (Original work published 1922)

Whetten, D. A. (1989). What constitutes a theoretical contribution? *Academy of Management Review, 14*(4), 490–495. https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1989.4308371