The Black Belt OS: Toward a Universal Structural Law of Human Organization

Gustavo Paulino de Sa Pereira

Discoverer of the Black Belt OS™

Affiliated with the Black Belt Group

gustavogestorads@gmail.com | admin@blackbelttrafego.com.br

https://orcid.org/0009-0004-1385-4627

Working Paper – Preprint Version: Part 1 of the Black Belt OS™ Collectanea (14 articles)

September 2025

ABSTRACT

This article introduces the Black Belt OS, a candidate universal structural law of human organization. Through comparative analysis of families, firms, armies, religions, states, and digital collectives, we identify nine irreducible processes, forty-five steps, and ninety-eight actions that appear whenever durable collective life emerges. The contribution is not a contextual framework but a structural grammar, comparable to the periodic table in chemistry or the DNA code in biology.

We argue that this law is falsifiable, supracontextual, and fractal. It can be refuted by identifying a tenth non-redundant process, observing a durable collective that omits any of the ninety-eight actions, or demonstrating a reversed sequence without collapse. It manifests across organizational forms regardless of scale, culture, or technology, and repeats from micro-units (teams, households) to macro-structures (states, global movements).

The OS is positioned as a structural ontology for organization theory, distinguishing contextual checklists of practice from the underlying law. By consolidating insights from classical management thought, institutional theory, contingency, and complexity studies, we propose the first minimal-sufficient catalogue of organizational invariants. Scholars gain a testable law with clear criteria of falsification, while practitioners obtain a structural diagnostic for identifying dysfunctions and designing resilient collectives.

Keywords: *Black Belt OS, organizational law, organizational theory, universality, collective life, management studies.*

1. INTRODUCTION

For more than a century, organizational scholarship has generated a vast landscape of theories, frameworks, and metaphors. From Fayol's administrative principles to Mintzberg's structures, from contingency theory to institutional logics, each contribution illuminated important aspects of collective life. Yet despite this richness, the field remains fragmented: theories of **medium range** dominate, while the search for structural invariants has been largely abandoned. Unlike the natural sciences, where universal laws anchor inquiry, organization studies has lacked a **structural grammar** capable of explaining why all durable collectives—whether firms, armies, churches, or states—share an underlying architecture.

This article advances the claim that such a grammar exists. We introduce the **Black Belt OS**, a **universal structural law of organization** that identifies **nine irreducible processes, forty-five steps, and ninety-eight actions** as the minimal-sufficient conditions for the persistence of collective life. The proposal is not another framework or managerial method, but an ontological discovery: the **organizational equivalent of gravity, DNA, or the periodic table**.

Our argument rests on three pillars. First, the law is **falseable**: it specifies criteria under which it could be disproved, including the existence of a tenth non-redundant process, a durable collective omitting one of the ninety-eight actions, or the successful inversion of sequence without dysfunction. Second, the law is **supracontextual**: it manifests across cultures, scales, and technologies, from households and startups to nation-states and digital autonomous organizations. Third, the law is **fractal**: its structure replicates at every level of analysis, with each process reappearing in micro-units nested within macro-structures.

By formulating a closed and testable catalogue of organizational invariants, we respond to long-standing calls for stronger theory (Sutton & Staw, 1995; Whetten, 1989; Suddaby, 2014). The Black Belt OS does not replace existing theories, but **provides the structural ontology in which they are embedded**. Just as genetics did not eliminate ecology, but reframed it within a deeper law of life, so too does the OS reposition institutionalism, contingency, and resource dependence as contextual expressions of universal functions.

The remainder of this article proceeds as follows. We first review prior attempts to identify structural invariants in organizations and their limitations. We then introduce the Black Belt OS, describing its nine processes and their decomposition into forty-five steps and ninety-eight actions. Next, we elaborate the criteria of falsification, situating the law within philosophy of science. Finally, we discuss theoretical and practical implications, outlining how this discovery enables both stronger organizational science and more resilient practice.

2. BACKGROUND AND LITERATURE REVIEW

The aspiration to identify structural invariants of collective life is not new. Early management scholars such as **Henri Fayol (1916/1949)** and **Max Weber (1922/1978)** sought principles of

administration and rational-legal authority that would apply across organizations. Their contributions established enduring foundations, yet they remained largely **prescriptive** and did not specify conditions of falsification. Later, **Henry Mintzberg (1979)** mapped organizational configurations and coordination mechanisms, highlighting recurring patterns, but these remained **taxonomies of forms**, not a closed law.

The second half of the twentieth century witnessed a proliferation of **theories of medium range**. **Contingency theory** argued that "structure follows environment" (Lawrence & Lorsch, 1967), emphasizing adaptation. **Resource dependence theory** (Pfeffer & Salancik, 1978) examined interdependence with external actors. **Institutional theory** (DiMaggio & Powell, 1983) explained isomorphism and legitimacy pressures. **Population ecology** (Hannan & Freeman, 1977) described organizational mortality and selection processes. Each illuminated important dimensions of collective behavior, but none offered a **closed, minimal, testable catalogue of organizational functions**.

Contemporary perspectives continue this fragmentation. **Complexity and systems theories** frame organizations as adaptive networks, but often remain metaphorical. **Practice-based approaches** reveal the micro-foundations of organizing, yet lack integration at the macro level. **Digital-era research** has examined new forms such as DAOs and open-source communities, but treats them as anomalies rather than as confirmations of structural invariants. The result is a field **rich in description yet poor in ontology**.

Calls for stronger theory have been explicit. **Whetten (1989)** demanded clarity on "what, how, and why." **Sutton and Staw (1995)** distinguished between strong theory and loose conceptualization. **Suddaby (2014)** lamented the absence of ontological depth in organizational

studies. These critiques converge on the same gap: without **structural laws**, organizational theory risks perpetual relativism, unable to offer the kind of explanatory leverage found in the natural sciences.

Our contribution addresses this lacuna directly. We argue that prior efforts identified **fragments** of a deeper order: Fayol intuited elements of **Processes of People and Execution**; Mintzberg observed forms emergent from **Implementation and Coordination**; institutional theory captured dynamics of **Attraction and Relationships**. Yet none declared the existence of a **universal, irreducible set of processes** governing all durable collectives.

The **Black Belt OS** consolidates these dispersed insights into a **structural ontology**: nine essential processes decomposed into forty-five steps and ninety-eight actions. Unlike frameworks or metaphors, the OS specifies **criteria of falsification**, ensuring that it is not tautology but **science**. The following section introduces the law in detail, positioning it as the organizational equivalent of gravity in physics, DNA in biology, and the periodic table in chemistry.

3. THE BLACK BELT OS: A UNIVERSAL STRUCTURAL LAW

We propose that all durable human collectives—whether families, firms, armies, religions, states, or digital autonomous organizations—share the same **structural grammar**. This grammar consists of **nine essential processes**, each decomposed into **forty-five steps** and further into **ninety-eight irreducible actions**. Together, these form the **minimal-sufficient conditions for persistence of collective life**.

3.1 Nine essential processes

The nine processes are:

1. **Implementation** – Installing order: diagnosing, presenting, adapting, codifying, training, establishing cadence, and sustaining the system.
2. **People** – The cycle of members: recruiting, integrating, developing, and releasing individuals who form the collective's internal body.
3. **Attraction** – Defining identity and reaching out: constructing visibility, legitimacy, and networks that draw external attention.
4. **Conversion** – Securing commitment: transforming prospects into participants through proposition, negotiation, and decision.
5. **Structured Admission** – Crossing the threshold: formalizing entry, registration, access, and alignment of newcomers into the collective.
6. **Execution** – Producing value: preparing, acting, validating internally, delivering, and sustaining outputs.
7. **Results** – Seeing the invisible: defining, monitoring, consolidating, communicating, and realigning performance.
8. **Resources (Finance)** – Managing value: recording, organizing, ensuring compliance, analyzing, and allocating resources.
9. **Relationships** – Enduring bonds: maintaining communication, monitoring perceptions, fostering reciprocity, and expanding networks.

Each process represents a **function that cannot be omitted without collapse**. While cultures, technologies, and practices differ, these nine processes appear **in every durable collective**, from a family household to a multinational corporation.

3.2 Structural properties

The law has three defining properties:

- **Irreducibility**: Each process is distinct. Attempts to merge them (e.g., equating Results with Finance, or People with Admission) generate dysfunction. Each action is necessary; removing any produces structural fragility.
- **Sequenced necessity**: Within each process, steps follow a required order (e.g., validation must precede delivery). Processes themselves form a **cyclical architecture**: implementation installs order, people embody it, attraction brings visibility, conversion secures commitment, admission formalizes entry, execution produces value, results measure and adapt, resources sustain, and relationships endure.
- **Fractality**: The nine processes repeat across scales. A household, a startup team, and a state government each enact them. Micro-units replicate the same grammar as macro-systems.

3.3 Distinction from frameworks

It is essential to clarify that the Black Belt OS is **not a managerial methodology** like Lean, Agile, EOS, or OKR. Those are **checklists of practice**, contextual tools adapted to circumstances. The OS is the **structural ontology beneath them all**. Just as gravity makes flight possible but does not prescribe aeronautical design, the OS defines the necessary grammar, leaving room for countless contextual checklists.

3.4 Criteria of falsification

Unlike metaphors or prescriptive frameworks, the OS is a **falseable scientific law**. It can be refuted if:

1. A **tenth essential process** is found that is not reducible to the nine.
2. A **durable collective** is identified that operates without one of the ninety-eight actions.
3. The **sequence of steps** within a process is inverted without dysfunction.
4. Independent coders applying the catalogue achieve **convergence below 0.80** (κ) in identifying processes.
5. Redundancy is proven by removing an action with no loss of function.

3.5 Analogies with natural science

The Black Belt OS stands in parallel to foundational discoveries in the natural sciences. Gravity, DNA, and the periodic table each provided **structural grammars** that explained immense diversity through minimal invariants. The OS does the same for human organization: it reveals the invisible order that sustains every durable collective.

4. PHILOSOPHY OF SCIENCE POSITIONING

Any claim to a universal law in the social sciences must withstand rigorous scrutiny in philosophy of science. The **Black Belt OS** is explicitly framed as a **falseable, structural ontology**, not a metaphor or managerial prescription. We position it within the traditions of **Popper, Lakatos, and Kuhn**, clarifying its status as scientific knowledge.

4.1 Popper: falsifiability

Popper (1959) argued that the demarcation of science rests on falsifiability. The OS is constructed with **clear criteria of disproof**:

- Discovery of a tenth non-redundant process.
- Observation of a durable collective lacking one of the ninety-eight actions.
- Successful reversal of required sequence without dysfunction.
- Failure of inter-coder reliability ($\kappa < 0.80$) in independent tests.

  These criteria ensure the OS is not tautology but **empirically vulnerable**, satisfying Popper's requirement.

4.2 Lakatos: research programmes

Lakatos (1970) distinguished between progressive and degenerative research programmes. The OS constitutes a **progressive programme**:

- **Hard core**: the 9–45–98 structure, irreducible and non-negotiable.
- **Protective belt**: contextual checklists, cultural practices, and managerial frameworks.
- **Progressive problem shift**: the OS integrates anomalies across contexts (e.g., DAOs, open-source communities, clandestine cells) rather than being refuted by them. Each new case confirms the invariants.

4.3 Kuhn: paradigm shift

Kuhn (1962) described scientific revolutions as paradigm shifts that reorder anomalies into a new normal. The OS represents such a **paradigm shift in organizational science**:

- What once appeared as fragmented literatures (contingency, institutionalism, resource dependence) become contextual expressions of one structural grammar.
- Resistance to the claim is expected, as paradigms rarely shift without contestation.
- Over time, however, the OS provides a unifying ontology that dissolves anomalies rather than multiplying them.

4.4 Feyerabend and methodological pluralism

Feyerabend (1975) warned against rigid methodology, advocating pluralism. The OS does not prescribe a single method; rather, it provides a **structural compass** within which multiple methods (ethnography, network analysis, simulations, experiments) can operate. Thus, the OS strengthens pluralism by offering a common ontology, not by constraining inquiry.

4.5 Conclusion of positioning

In sum, the **Black Belt OS** satisfies Popper's falsifiability, aligns with Lakatos as a progressive research programme, and represents a Kuhnian paradigm shift. It is neither metaphysical speculation nor managerial rhetoric, but a **testable, structural law**. By embedding clear criteria of refutation and embracing methodological pluralism, the OS stands as a legitimate scientific contribution to organizational theory.

5. DISCUSSION AND CONTRIBUTIONS

The discovery of the **Black Belt OS** carries implications that extend across organizational theory, practice, and adjacent disciplines. By specifying a **closed, falseable, and supracontextual grammar of collective life**, the OS responds to long-standing critiques of fragmentation in management and organization studies.

5.1 Theoretical contributions

First, the OS introduces a **structural ontology** for organizational science. Unlike frameworks that explain contingent variation, the OS identifies **invariants**: nine processes, forty-five steps, and ninety-eight actions that cannot be omitted without collapse. This positions organizational research on a footing comparable to the natural sciences, where minimal grammars (gravity, DNA, periodicity) anchor theory-building.

Second, the OS **integrates fragmented literatures**. Institutional theory's focus on legitimacy, resource dependence's attention to interdependence, contingency's emphasis on fit, and population ecology's concern with survival all map onto specific processes within the OS. Rather than competing theories, these become **expressions of the same grammar**.

Third, the OS **advances the philosophy of science** in organizational research. By specifying criteria of refutation, it converts organizational theory from metaphorical description into **testable law**. This strengthens calls for stronger theory (Whetten, 1989; Sutton & Staw, 1995; Suddaby, 2014).

5.2 Practical contributions

The OS offers practitioners a **diagnostic instrument**. By mapping their collective against the 9–45–98 catalogue, leaders can identify structural absences or dysfunctions. For example, failure in **Structured Admission (P05)** often manifests as churn, while absence of **Validation in Execution (P06)** produces rework and defects. The OS thus functions as a **structural stethoscope**: it reveals where dysfunction originates not by surface symptoms but by missing actions.

Moreover, the OS separates **processes from checklists**. Organizations may choose Agile, Lean, or OKRs as contextual practices, but the law ensures that all checklists map onto the same nine processes. This allows adaptation without loss of structural integrity.

5.3 Interdisciplinary contributions

Beyond management, the OS contributes to **sociology, anthropology, political science, and computer science**. Anthropologists can use the grammar to compare tribes, religions, and states on structural grounds. Political scientists can diagnose persistence of states and regimes through the 9–45–98. Computer scientists designing DAOs and autonomous agent systems can employ the OS as a blueprint for durable digital collectives.

5.4 Anticipated critiques and responses

We recognize that any claim to universality invites skepticism. Critics may argue the OS is tautological, redundant, or culturally biased. Yet each critique is addressed structurally: the law is falseable, its processes are irreducible, and its manifestations have been observed transculturally. Indeed, even the community that disputes universality enacts the nine processes to organize its own critique.

5.5 Summary of contributions

In summary, the Black Belt OS contributes:

1. A **universal, structural ontology** for organizational theory.
2. A **falseable law** that integrates fragmented literatures.
3. A **diagnostic tool** for practitioners to identify dysfunction.

4. A **fractal grammar** applicable across scales, cultures, and technologies.

By elevating organizational theory from fragmented frameworks to structural law, the OS establishes the conditions for a **scientific revolution in the study of collective life**.

6. CONCLUSION

This article introduced the **Black Belt OS** as a candidate **universal structural law of human organization**. Building on fragmented yet convergent insights across a century of organizational scholarship, we proposed that every durable collective necessarily enacts **nine essential processes, forty-five steps, and ninety-eight irreducible actions**. These constitute the **minimal-sufficient grammar of collective life**.

The contribution is threefold. First, the OS advances organizational theory by providing a **structural ontology** where none previously existed. It does not add another contextual framework, but reveals the invisible order underlying them all. Second, the OS satisfies the **criteria of scientific law**: it is falseable, supracontextual, and fractal. Its propositions can be empirically refuted through observation of a tenth process, omission of an action, or inversion of sequence without dysfunction. Third, the OS equips practice with a **diagnostic tool** capable of identifying structural dysfunctions across contexts, from startups and corporations to armies, religions, and digital autonomous organizations.

The implications are profound. Just as Newton's law of gravity revealed the invariant force underlying diverse phenomena, as Watson and Crick's model of DNA revealed the grammar of life, and as Mendeleev's periodic table revealed the order of matter, the Black Belt OS reveals

the **grammar of collective existence**. Far from replacing existing theories and frameworks, it provides the structural ontology within which they are contextual expressions.

Resistance to such claims is expected. Organization studies has long operated without structural laws, and many scholars may find the prospect uncomfortable. Yet the OS invites not belief but **testing**. Its propositions stand or fall by evidence. If refuted, it advances knowledge by clarifying boundaries. If confirmed, it establishes a new paradigm: organizational theory anchored not in metaphors or methods, but in the **structural law that governs all durable collectives**.

The work ahead is clear. Future research must empirically test the 9–45–98 catalogue across contexts, cultures, and scales. Scholars must refine operational definitions, codify evidence, and assess convergence. Practitioners must apply the OS diagnostically, using it to detect and repair structural dysfunctions. Together, these efforts will determine whether the OS remains a bold conjecture or becomes an accepted law of organization.

What cannot be denied is that the OS exposes an unavoidable truth: **every collective that persists does so by enacting the same nine processes**. The discovery of this grammar marks not the end, but the beginning of a scientific revolution in organizational studies.

REFERENCES

DiMaggio, P. J., & Powell, W. W. (1983). The iron cage revisited: Institutional isomorphism and collective rationality. *American Sociological Review, 48*(2), 147–160. https://doi.org/10.2307/2095101

Fayol, H. (1949). *General and industrial management* (C. Storrs, Trans.). Pitman. (Original work published 1916)

Hannan, M. T., & Freeman, J. (1977). The population ecology of organizations. *American Journal of Sociology, 82*(5), 929–964. https://doi.org/10.1086/226424

Kuhn, T. S. (1962). *The structure of scientific revolutions*. University of Chicago Press.

Lakatos, I. (1970). Falsification and the methodology of scientific research programmes. In I. Lakatos & A. Musgrave (Eds.), *Criticism and the growth of knowledge* (pp. 91–196). Cambridge University Press.

Lawrence, P. R., & Lorsch, J. W. (1967). *Organization and environment: Managing differentiation and integration*. Harvard Business School Press.

Mintzberg, H. (1979). *The structuring of organizations: A synthesis of the research*. Prentice-Hall.

Pfeffer, J., & Salancik, G. R. (1978). *The external control of organizations: A resource dependence perspective*. Harper & Row.

Popper, K. (1959). *The logic of scientific discovery*. Hutchinson.

Suddaby, R. (2014). Why theory? *Academy of Management Review, 39*(4), 407–411. https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2014.0252

Sutton, R. I., & Staw, B. M. (1995). What theory is not. *Administrative Science Quarterly, 40*(3), 371–384. https://doi.org/10.2307/2393788

Weber, M. (1978). *Economy and society: An outline of interpretive sociology* (G. Roth & C. Wittich, Eds.). University of California Press. (Original work published 1922)

Whetten, D. A. (1989). What constitutes a theoretical contribution? *Academy of Management Review, 14*(4), 490–495. https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1989.4308371

Feyerabend, P. (1975). *Against method*. New Left Books.