## Math Camp: PS 1 Logic and Sets

Casey O'Hara

2017-09-04

## 1. Using truth tables, prove both of DeMorgan's Laws for logical connectives.

(a)  $\neg (P \land Q)$  is logically equivalent to  $\neg P \lor \neg Q$ 

P	Q	$\neg P$	$\neg Q$	$\neg (P \land Q)$	$\neg P \vee \neg Q$
Т	Τ	F	F	$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$
${ m T}$	$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$	${ m T}$	${f T}$	${f T}$
$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{T}$	$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$	${f T}$	${f T}$
$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$	${ m T}$	${f T}$	${f T}$

The bolded columns are identical, thus  $\neg (P \land Q)$  is logically equivalent to  $\neg P \lor \neg Q$ .

(b)  $\neg (P \lor Q)$  is logically equivalent to  $\neg P \land \neg Q$ 

I	) (	Q	$\neg P$	$\neg Q$	$\neg(P\vee Q)$	$\neg P \wedge \neg Q$
П		Γ	F	F	${f F}$	${f F}$
Г	. ]	F	$\mathbf{F}$	${ m T}$	${f F}$	${f F}$
F	ָר ק	Γ	$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$	${f F}$	${f F}$
F	[ יי	F	$\mathbf{F}$	${ m T}$	${f T}$	${f T}$

The bolded columns are identical, thus  $\neg (P \lor Q)$  is logically equivalent to  $\neg P \land \neg Q$ .

- 2. Find the contrapositive and converse of each of the following statements:
  - (a) "If squares have four sides, then triangles have four sides."
    - Contrapositive: "If triangles do not have four sides, then squares do not have four sides."
    - Converse: "If triangles have four sides, then squares have four sides."
  - (b) "A sequence a is bounded whenever a is convergent."
    - Rewritten in  $p \Rightarrow q$  form: "If a sequence a is convergent, then a is bounded."
    - Contrapositive: "If sequence a is not bounded, then a is not convergent."
    - Converse: "If sequence a is bounded, then a is convergent."
  - (c) "The differentiability of a function f is sufficient for f to be continuous."
    - Rewritten in  $p \Rightarrow q$  form: "If function f is differentiable, then f is continuous."
    - Contrapositive: "If function f is not continuous, then f is not differentiable."
    - Converse: "If function f is continuous, then f is differentiable."
- 3. Let x and y be integers. Prove that if x and y are even, then x+y is even. To show: x+y is even.

## **Proof:**

Let 
$$x$$
 and  $y$  be even integers. (by hypothesis)   
 $\Rightarrow \exists i, j \in \mathbb{Z} \ni x = 2i \land y = 2j$  (by definition of even)   
 $\Rightarrow x + y = 2i + 2j$  (substitution)   
 $\Rightarrow x + y = 2(i + j)$  (distributivity)   
 $\Rightarrow \exists k \in \mathbb{Z} \ni k = i + j$  (closure of integer addition)   
 $\Rightarrow x + y = 2k$  (substitution)   
 $\Rightarrow x + y$  is even  $\blacksquare$  (by definition of even)

4. Let A and B be sets. Prove that  $A \subset B$  if and only if  $A - B = \emptyset$ . By contrapositive,  $A - B \neq \emptyset \iff A \not\subset B$ 

Proof by contraposition, to show:  $A \not\subset B$ , and then back to  $A - B \neq \emptyset$ . **Proof:** 

Let 
$$A$$
 and  $B$  be sets such that  $A - B \neq \emptyset$  (toward contrapositive)  
 $\iff \exists C \neq \emptyset \ni A - B = C$  (definition of not empty set)  
 $\iff \exists x \in C \ni x \in A \land x \notin B$  (definition of set subtraction)  
 $\iff A \not\subset B \blacksquare$  (by definition of subset)

While I believe each of these steps should be biconditional, it seems like by creating a set C to contain an element x (on the way toward  $A \not\subset B$ ) may not be so reversible, since moving upward I would invoke  $\exists x \in C$  before  $\exists C$ . Maybe I can skip invoking set C entirely? Looking forward to the answer key!