

Exercise 13.1

We write the moment equations as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{x}_i(y_i - \mathbf{x}_i'\boldsymbol{\beta})) &= 0 \\ \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{z}_i((y_i - \mathbf{x}_i'\boldsymbol{\beta})^2 - \mathbf{z}_i'\boldsymbol{\gamma})) &= 0\end{aligned}$$

The method of moments estimators $(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}})$ for $(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma})$ is obtained by replacing the population moments with the sample moments, and solving for the parameters; therefore, $(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}})$ satisfy following equations;

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i(y_i - \mathbf{x}_i'\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) &= 0 \\ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{z}_i((y_i - \mathbf{x}_i'\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})^2 - \mathbf{z}_i'\hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}) &= 0\end{aligned}$$

Parameter estimates are $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i')^{-1} (\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i y_i)$, $\hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} = (\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{z}_i \mathbf{z}_i')^{-1} (\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{z}_i \hat{e}_i^2)$ where $\hat{e}_i = y_i - \mathbf{x}_i'\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$. These are equivalent to the LS estimator of y on \mathbf{x} , and \hat{e}^2 on \mathbf{z} , since the model is just-identified.

Exercise 13.2

Let's consider over identified case where dimension of \mathbf{z}_i is greater or equal than dimension of \mathbf{x}_i . Moreover, consider the following unconditional moment equations implied by the conditional moment equations $\mathbb{E}(e_i|\mathbf{z}_i) = 0$

$$\mathbb{E}g_i(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = 0, \quad g_i(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \mathbf{z}_i(y_i - \mathbf{x}_i'\boldsymbol{\beta})$$

The GMM estimator $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{GMM}$ minimizes $J_n(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = n \cdot \bar{g}_n(\boldsymbol{\beta})'W_n\bar{g}_n(\boldsymbol{\beta})$ with weight matrix W_n , where $\bar{g}_n(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\boldsymbol{\beta})$.

If we use $W_n = (Z'Z)^{-1}$, we get $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = ((X'Z)(Z'Z)^{-1}(Z'X))^{-1} ((X'Z)(Z'Z)^{-1}(Z'y)) = (X'P_ZX)^{-1}(X'P_Zy)$ by proposition 13.2.1. (This is equivalent to the 2SLS estimator).

Note that $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \boldsymbol{\beta} + (X'P_ZX)^{-1}(X'P_Ze)$.

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}) = \left(\left(\frac{1}{n} Z'X \right)' \left(\frac{1}{n} Z'Z \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{n} Z'X \right) \right)^{-1} \left(\left(\frac{1}{n} Z'X \right)' \left(\frac{1}{n} Z'Z \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} Z'e \right) \right)$$

Since $\frac{1}{n} Z'X \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{E}\mathbf{z}_i\mathbf{x}_i' \equiv Q$, $\frac{1}{n} Z'Z \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{E}\mathbf{z}_i\mathbf{z}_i' \equiv M$ by WLLN, and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} Z'e \xrightarrow{p} N(0, \mathbb{E}\mathbf{z}_i\mathbf{z}_i'e_i^2)$ by CLT. By the assumption $\mathbb{E}(e_i^2|\mathbf{z}_i) = \sigma^2$, we get $\mathbb{E}\mathbf{z}_i\mathbf{z}_i'e_i^2 = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{z}_i\mathbf{z}_i'e_i^2|\mathbf{z}_i)) = \sigma^2 M$. Therefore,

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}) \xrightarrow{d} (Q'M^{-1}Q)^{-1}Q'M^{-1}N(0, \sigma^2 M) = N(0, \sigma^2(Q'M^{-1}Q)^{-1})$$

by the continuous mapping theorem and Slutsky's lemma.

Exercise 13.3

Assume that the 4th moment of \mathbf{x}_i, y_i , and \mathbf{z}_i exist.

$$W_n^{-1} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{z}_i \mathbf{z}_i' \hat{e}_i^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{z}_i \mathbf{z}_i' e_i^2 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{z}_i \mathbf{z}_i' (\hat{e}_i^2 - e_i^2)$$

Since $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{z}_i \mathbf{z}_i' e_i^2 \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{z}_i \mathbf{z}_i' e_i^2) = \Omega$, it is enough to show that $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{z}_i \mathbf{z}_i' (\hat{e}_i^2 - e_i^2) \xrightarrow{p} 0$ to show the consistency of W_n^{-1} . Then, $W_n \xrightarrow{p} \Omega^{-1}$ follows immediately from the continuous mapping theorem.

We want to show that $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{z}_i \mathbf{z}_i' (\hat{e}_i^2 - e_i^2) \xrightarrow{p} 0$. This can be shown by the similar arguments as in chapter 6.7. Specifically,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{z}_i \mathbf{z}_i' (\hat{e}_i^2 - e_i^2) \right\| &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|\mathbf{z}_i \mathbf{z}_i' (\hat{e}_i^2 - e_i^2)\| \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|\mathbf{z}_i\|^2 |\hat{e}_i^2 - e_i^2| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|\mathbf{z}_i\|^2 \left(2|e_i| \|\mathbf{x}_i\| \|\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}\| + \|\mathbf{x}_i\|^2 \|\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}\|^2 \right) \quad (\text{Using inequality (6.31)}) \\ &= 2 \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|\mathbf{z}_i\|^2 \|\mathbf{x}_i\| |e_i| \right) \|\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}\| + \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|\mathbf{z}_i\|^2 \|\mathbf{x}_i\|^2 \right) \|\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}\|^2 \\ &= o_p(1) \end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows from the $\|\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}\| \xrightarrow{p} 0$ and $\mathbb{E}(\|\mathbf{z}_i\|^2 \|\mathbf{x}_i\| |e_i|) < \infty, \mathbb{E}(\|\mathbf{z}_i\|^2 \|\mathbf{x}_i\|^2) < \infty$. (The last two immediately follows from Cauchy-Schwartz inequality under the assumption of fourth finite moments of $\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{z}_i, y_i$.)

Exercise 13.4

(a)

$$V_0 = (Q'\Omega^{-1}Q)^{-1}Q'\Omega^{-1}\Omega\Omega^{-1}Q(Q'\Omega^{-1}Q)^{-1} = (Q'\Omega^{-1}Q)^{-1}$$

(b)

$$V_0 = B'\Omega B$$

where $B = \Omega^{-1}Q(Q'\Omega^{-1}Q)^{-1}$.

$$V = A'\Omega A$$

where $A = WQ(Q'WQ)^{-1}$

(c)

$$\begin{aligned} B'\Omega A &= (Q'\Omega^{-1}Q)^{-1}Q'\Omega^{-1}\Omega WQ(Q'WQ)^{-1} \\ &= (Q'\Omega^{-1}Q)^{-1} = V_0 = B'\Omega B \end{aligned}$$

Thus $B'\Omega(A - B) = 0$

(d)

$$\begin{aligned} V &= A'\Omega A = (B + A - B)'\Omega(B + A - B) \\ &= B'\Omega B + B'\Omega(A - B) + (A - B)'\Omega B + (A - B)'\Omega(A - B) \\ &= V_0 + (A - B)'\Omega(A - B) \quad (\text{from (c), } B'\Omega(A - B) = 0) \end{aligned}$$

Since Ω is positive definite, $(A - B)'\Omega(A - B)$ is positive semi-definite. Thus $V \geq V_0$

Exercise 13.5

The moment condition is,

$$\mathbb{E}g_i(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = 0, \quad g_i(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \mathbf{z}_i(y_i - m(\mathbf{x}_i, \boldsymbol{\beta}))$$

Let $\bar{g}_n(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\boldsymbol{\beta})$. To compute the efficient GMM estimator, we use the following procedure. (Note that a closed form solution for $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ usually doesn't exist for a nonlinear function $m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\beta})$.)

- (i) Find a preliminary consistent estimator $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$. One typical choice will be the GMM estimator with identity weight matrix $W_n = I$, i.e. $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \arg \min_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} n \cdot \bar{g}_n(\boldsymbol{\beta})' \bar{g}_n(\boldsymbol{\beta})$.
- (ii) Construct the residuals using the estimator from the previous step. $\hat{e}_i = y_i - m(x_i, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}})$, $\hat{g}_i = \mathbf{z}_i \hat{e}_i$. Then, compute the second step GMM estimator $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{GMM}$ that minimizes $J_n(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = n \cdot \bar{g}_n(\boldsymbol{\beta})' W_n \bar{g}_n(\boldsymbol{\beta})$ with weight matrix,

$$W_n = \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{g}_i \hat{g}_i' - \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{g}_i \right) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{g}_i \right)' \right)^{-1}$$

- (iii) Iterate (ii) for a refinement if possible, updating the weight matrix. (Two-step GMM is asymptotically efficient anyway, but iterated GMM performs better.)

Exercise 13.6

(a)

$$\begin{aligned}
J_n(\boldsymbol{\beta}) &= \frac{1}{n}(y - X\boldsymbol{\beta})'X\hat{\Omega}^{-1}X'(y - X\boldsymbol{\beta}) \\
&= \frac{1}{n}(X\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} + \hat{e} - X\boldsymbol{\beta})'X\hat{\Omega}^{-1}X'(X\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} + \hat{e} - X\boldsymbol{\beta}) \\
&= \frac{1}{n}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta})'X'X\hat{\Omega}^{-1}X'X(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}) \quad (\because X'\hat{e} = 0) \\
&= n(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta})' \left(\left(\frac{1}{n}X'X \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{n}X'DX \right) \left(\frac{1}{n}X'X \right)^{-1} \right)^{-1} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}) \\
&= n(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta})'\hat{V}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{-1}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta})
\end{aligned}$$

where $D = \text{diag}(\hat{e}_1^2, \dots, \hat{e}_n^2)$. Thus $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \arg \min_{h(\boldsymbol{\beta})=0} J_n(\boldsymbol{\beta})$ is the same as the minimum distance estimator with weight matrix $\hat{V}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{-1}$

(b)

Case 1) $h(\boldsymbol{\beta})$ is linear in $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ ($h(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = R'\boldsymbol{\beta} - c$)

From the equation (7.22)(or exercise 7.7),

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \hat{V}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}R(R'\hat{V}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}R)^{-1}(R'\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - c)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
D_n &= J_n(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) - J_n(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) = n(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}})'\hat{V}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{-1}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) \quad (\because (a) \text{ and } J_n(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) = 0) \\
&= n \left(\hat{V}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}R(R'\hat{V}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}R)^{-1}(R'\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - c) \right)' \hat{V}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{-1} \left(\hat{V}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}R(R'\hat{V}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}R)^{-1}(R'\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - c) \right) \\
&= n(R'\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - c)'(R'\hat{V}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}R)^{-1}R'\hat{V}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}'\hat{V}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{-1}\hat{V}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}R(R'\hat{V}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}R)^{-1}(R'\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - c) \\
&= n(R'\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - c)'(R'\hat{V}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}R)^{-1}(R'\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - c)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus D_n equals to the Wald statistic to test $H_0 : h(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = 0 (R'\boldsymbol{\beta} = c)$.

Case 2) $h(\boldsymbol{\beta})$ is nonlinear

We can show D_n and Wald statistics are generally different, but they are asymptotically equivalent, since they converge in distribution to χ_q^2 , where $q = \text{rank}(\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}}h(\boldsymbol{\beta})')$. If you want to learn more about this, see the Handbook of Econometrics, chapter 36, “*Large Sample Estimation and Hypothesis Testing*”, by Newey and McFadden. (And take Econ 715!)

Exercise 13.7

(a) Since Ω is positive definite, Ω^{-1} is also positive definite. By the spectral decomposition (see chapter A.8) $\Omega^{-1} = H\Lambda H'$ where $H'H = I$ and Λ is diagonal matrix with strictly positive diagonal elements. Thus we can write $\Omega^{-1} = CC'$ where $C = H\Lambda^{1/2}$, and $\Omega = C'^{-1}C^{-1}$

(b)

$$J_n = n\bar{g}_n(\hat{\beta})'\hat{\Omega}^{-1}\bar{g}_n(\hat{\beta}) = n\bar{g}_n(\hat{\beta})'CC^{-1}\hat{\Omega}^{-1}C'^{-1}C'\bar{g}_n(\hat{\beta}) = n\left(C'\bar{g}_n(\hat{\beta})\right)'\left(C'\hat{\Omega}C\right)^{-1}C'\bar{g}_n(\hat{\beta})$$

(c)

$$\begin{aligned} C'\bar{g}_n(\beta) &= C'\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n z_i(y_i - \mathbf{x}_i'\hat{\beta}) = C'\frac{1}{n}(Z'y - Z'X\hat{\beta}) \\ &= C'\frac{1}{n}(Z'e - Z'X(\hat{\beta} - \beta_0)) \quad (y = X\beta_0 + e) \\ &= C'\frac{1}{n}(Z'e - Z'X(X'Z\hat{\Omega}^{-1}Z'X)^{-1}X'Z\hat{\Omega}^{-1}Z'e) \\ &\quad (\because \hat{\beta} = (X'Z\hat{\Omega}^{-1}Z'X)^{-1}X'Z\hat{\Omega}^{-1}Z'y \text{ by proposition 13.2.1}) \\ &= (I_l - C'Z'X(X'Z\hat{\Omega}^{-1}Z'X)^{-1}X'Z\hat{\Omega}^{-1}C'^{-1})C'\frac{1}{n}Z'e \\ &= \left(I_l - C'\left(\frac{1}{n}Z'X\right)\left(\left(\frac{1}{n}X'Z\right)\hat{\Omega}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n}Z'X\right)\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n}X'Z\right)\hat{\Omega}^{-1}C'^{-1}\right)C'\frac{1}{n}Z'e \\ &= D_nC'\bar{g}_n(\beta_0) \end{aligned}$$

(d) Note that $\frac{1}{n}Z'X \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{E}(z_i\mathbf{x}_i')$, $\hat{\Omega}^{-1} \xrightarrow{p} \Omega^{-1} = CC'$ by WLLN.

$$\begin{aligned} D_n &= I_l - C'\left(\frac{1}{n}Z'X\right)\left(\left(\frac{1}{n}X'Z\right)\hat{\Omega}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n}Z'X\right)\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n}X'Z\right)\hat{\Omega}^{-1}C'^{-1} \\ &\xrightarrow{p} I_l - C'\mathbb{E}(z_i\mathbf{x}_i')\left(\left(\mathbb{E}(z_i\mathbf{x}_i')\right)'\Omega^{-1}\left(\mathbb{E}(z_i\mathbf{x}_i')\right)\right)^{-1}\left(\mathbb{E}(z_i\mathbf{x}_i')\right)'\Omega^{-1}C'^{-1} \\ &= I_l - C'\mathbb{E}(z_i\mathbf{x}_i')\left(\left(\mathbb{E}(z_i\mathbf{x}_i')\right)'CC'\left(\mathbb{E}(z_i\mathbf{x}_i')\right)\right)^{-1}\left(\mathbb{E}(z_i\mathbf{x}_i')\right)'C \\ &= I_l - R(R'R)^{-1}R' \end{aligned}$$

where $R = C'\mathbb{E}(z_i\mathbf{x}_i')$

(e)

$$\begin{aligned} n^{1/2}C'\bar{g}_n(\beta_0) &= \sqrt{n}C'\frac{1}{n}Z'e = C'\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{i=1}^n z_ie_i \\ &\xrightarrow{d} C'N(0, \mathbb{E}z_iz_i'e_i^2) \quad (\text{by CLT}) \\ &= N(0, C'\Omega C) = N(0, I_l) \equiv u \quad (\text{Since } \Omega = C'^{-1}C^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

(f)

$$\begin{aligned}
J_n &= n \left(C' \bar{g}_n(\hat{\beta}) \right)' \left(C' \hat{\Omega} C \right)^{-1} C' \bar{g}_n(\hat{\beta}) \quad (\text{from (b)}) \\
&= \left(D_n n^{1/2} C' \bar{g}_n(\beta_0) \right)' \left(C' \hat{\Omega} C \right)^{-1} D_n n^{1/2} C' \bar{g}_n(\beta_0) \quad (\text{from (c)}) \\
&\xrightarrow{d} (Du)' (C' \Omega C)^{-1} (Du) \quad (\text{from (d), (e), and CMT}) \\
&= u' Du
\end{aligned}$$

where $D = I_l - R(R'R)^{-1}R'$. The last equality holds because $C'\Omega C = I, D^2 = D$. (Note that $D = I_l - R(R'R)^{-1}R'$ is a projection matrix, thus D is idempotent)

(g) $u' Du \sim \chi_{\text{rank}(D)}^2$. Since D is symmetric idempotent matrix, $\text{rank}(D) = \text{tr}(D) = \text{tr}(I_l - R(R'R)^{-1}R') = \text{tr}(I_l) - \text{tr}((R'R)^{-1}R'R) = l - k$. Therefore, $u' Du \sim \chi_{l-k}^2$

(a)-(g) imply that $J_n \xrightarrow{d} \chi_{l-k}^2$.