

# Final Exam 2012

---

## Part I

**1. Show that if  $\sqrt{n}(Y_n - \mu) \rightarrow_d N(0, \sigma^2)$ , then  $Y_n \rightarrow_p \mu$ .**

Answer:  $\sqrt{n}(Y_n - \mu) \rightarrow_d N(0, \sigma^2)$   
 $\therefore \exists X_n \text{ such that } Y_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum X_n, \text{ where } E(X_n) = \mu, \text{ and } \text{var}(X_n) = \sigma^2 \quad \text{CLT}$   
 $Y_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum X_n \rightarrow_p E(X_n) = \mu \quad \text{WLLN}$   
 $\therefore Y_n \rightarrow_p \mu$

**2. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample from an exponential( $\lambda$ ) distribution, i.e.  $f_X(x) = \lambda \exp(-\lambda x)$ . What is the Cramér-Rao lower bound for the variance of an unbiased estimator of  $\lambda$ ?**

Answer: (i) Let  $\hat{\lambda}$  be an unbiased estimator for  $\lambda$   
(ii)  $f_X(x)$  is well-behaved and twice differentiable  
(iii)  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  is a random sample  
 $\therefore \text{var}(\hat{\lambda}) \geq \frac{1}{-n \mathbb{E}\left(\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda^2} \log f(X_i|\lambda)\right]\right)} \quad \text{CRLB}$   
 $\log f(X_i|\lambda) = \log \lambda e^{-\lambda x} = \log \lambda - \lambda x$   
 $\therefore \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda^2} \log f(X_i|\lambda) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda^2} (\log \lambda - \lambda x) = -\frac{1}{\lambda^2}$   
 $\therefore -n \mathbb{E}\left(\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda^2} \log f(X_i|\lambda)\right]\right) = -n \mathbb{E}\left(-\frac{1}{\lambda^2}\right) = -n \left(-\frac{1}{\lambda^2}\right) = \frac{n}{\lambda^2}$   
 $\therefore \frac{1}{-n \mathbb{E}\left(\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda^2} \log f(X_i|\lambda)\right]\right)} = \frac{1}{\frac{n}{\lambda^2}} = \frac{\lambda^2}{n}$   
 $\therefore \text{var}(\hat{\lambda}) \geq \frac{\lambda^2}{n}$

**3. Define  $\beta$  as the slope parameter of the best linear predictor of  $Y$  given  $X$ . Prove that  $\hat{\beta} = \frac{S_{XY}}{S_X^2} \rightarrow_p \beta$ . You may assume (without proving) that  $S_{XY}$  and  $S_X^2$  are consistent estimators of  $\sigma_{XY}$  and  $\sigma_X^2$ , respectively.**

Answer:  $S_X^2 \rightarrow_p \sigma_X^2 \quad \text{Given}$   
 $\therefore \frac{1}{S_X^2} \rightarrow_p \frac{1}{\sigma_X^2} \quad \text{Th 5.5.4 (CMT)}$   
 $S_{XY} \rightarrow_p \sigma_{XY} \quad \text{Given}$   
 $\therefore S_{XY} \times \frac{1}{S_X^2} \rightarrow_p \sigma_{XY} \times \frac{1}{\sigma_X^2} \quad \text{Slutsky}$   
 $\beta = \frac{\sigma_{XY}}{\sigma_X^2} \text{ and } \hat{\beta} = \frac{S_{XY}}{S_X^2} \quad \text{Given}$   
 $\therefore \hat{\beta} \rightarrow_p \beta \quad \text{Substitution}$

4. Let  $X_n \rightarrow_p a$  and  $\sqrt{n}(Y_n - \mu) \rightarrow_d N(0, \sigma^2)$ . What is the asymptotic distribution of  $W_n = b\sqrt{n}X_n^2(Y_n^2 - \mu^2) + c$ ?

Answer:  $Y_n^2 = g(Y_n)$   
 $\sqrt{n}[g(Y_n) - g(\mu)] \rightarrow_d N(0, \sigma^2[g'(\mu)]^2)$  Delta Method  
where  $g(\mu) = \mu^2$  and  $[g'(\mu)]^2 = \left[\frac{d}{d\mu}g(\mu)\right]^2 = 4\mu^2$   
 $\therefore \sqrt{n}(Y_n^2 - \mu^2) \rightarrow_d N(0, 4\sigma^2\mu^2)$   
For simplicity, define  $Z_n = \sqrt{n}(Y_n^2 - \mu^2)$   
 $\therefore Z_n \rightarrow_d Z$ , where  $Z \sim N(0, 4\sigma^2\mu^2)$   
 $X_n \rightarrow_p a \Rightarrow bX_n^2 \rightarrow_p ba^2$  Th 5.5.4 (CMT)  
Since  $bX_n^2 \rightarrow_p a^2b$  and  $Z_n \rightarrow_d Z$ ,  $bX_n^2Z_n \rightarrow_d a^2bZ$  Slutsky  
 $\therefore W_n = bX_n^2Z_n + c \rightarrow_d a^2bZ + c$  Th 5.5.4 (CMT)  
 $\mathbb{E}(a^2bZ + c) = a^2b\mathbb{E}(Z) + c = c$   
 $\text{var}(a^2bZ + c) = \text{var}(a^2bZ) = a^4b^2\text{var}(Z) = 4a^4b^2\sigma^2\mu^2$   
 $\therefore W_n \rightarrow_d N(c, 4a^4b^2\sigma^2\mu^2)$

5. Assume  $\mathbb{E}(Y|X) = \theta X^2$ ,  $\mathbb{E}(X) = 0$ , and  $\text{var}(X) = \sigma^2$ . What is  $\mathbb{E}(Y)$ ?

Answer:  $\mathbb{E}(Y|X) = \theta X^2$   
 $\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}(Y|X)] = \mathbb{E}(\theta X^2)$   
 $\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}(Y) = \theta \mathbb{E}(X^2)$   
 $\text{var}(X) = \mathbb{E}(X^2) - \mathbb{E}(X)^2 = \sigma^2$   
Since  $\mathbb{E}(X) = 0$ ,  $\mathbb{E}(X^2) = \sigma^2$   
 $\therefore \mathbb{E}(Y) = \theta \sigma^2$

6. Let  $U \sim U(0, 1)$ . Show that  $-\log U$  is an exponential random variable.

Answer:  $F_U(u) = \begin{cases} 1, & u \geq b \\ \frac{u-a}{b-a}, & u \in (a, b) \\ 0, & u \leq a \end{cases} \Rightarrow F_U(u) = \begin{cases} 1, & u \geq 1 \\ u, & u \in (0, 1) \\ 0, & u \leq 0 \end{cases}$   
Define  $Z = g(U) = -\log U$   
 $\therefore u \in (0, 1) \Rightarrow z \in (-\log 0, -\log 1) = (0, \infty)$   
(i)  $U$  has a properly defined cdf  
(ii)  $g(U)$  is decreasing in  $U$   
 $\therefore F_Z(z) = 1 - F_U(g^{-1}(z)) = 1 - F_U(e^{-z}) = \begin{cases} 1 - e^{-z}, & z > 0 \\ 0, & z \leq 0 \end{cases}$  Th 2.1.3  
 $\therefore Z \sim \text{exp}(1)$

## Part II

7. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be iid with pdf  $f(x|\theta) = \theta x^{\theta-1}$ , where  $0 < x \leq 1$  and  $0 < \theta < \infty$ .

(a) Show that  $\prod_{i=1}^n X_i$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .

Answer:

$$\begin{aligned} L(\theta|x) &= \prod (\theta x^{\theta-1}) 1_{x_{(1)} \in (0,\infty)} 1_{x_{(n)} \in (-\infty,1]} \\ &\Rightarrow L(\theta|x) = \theta^n (\prod x)^{\theta-1} I_{x_{(1)} \in (0,\infty)} I_{x_{(n)} \in (-\infty,1]} \\ &\text{Let } h(x) = I_{x_{(1)} \in (0,\infty)} I_{x_{(n)} \in (-\infty,1]} \\ &\text{Let } g(T(x)|\theta) = \theta^n (\prod x)^{\theta-1}, \text{ where } T(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \\ &\therefore L(\theta|x) = g(T(x)|\theta) h(x) \\ &\therefore \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \text{ is a sufficient statistic} \end{aligned}$$

Factorization Th

(b) Find the maximum likelihood estimate (MLE) of  $\theta$ .

Answer:

$$\begin{aligned} L(\theta|x) &= \prod \theta x^{\theta-1} \\ \therefore \log L(\theta|x) &= \log(\prod \theta x^{\theta-1}) = \sum [\log(\theta x^{\theta-1})] \\ \Rightarrow \log L(\theta|x) &= n \log \theta + (\theta - 1) \sum \log x \\ \text{Maximize } \log L(\hat{\theta}|x) & w.r.t. \hat{\theta} \\ \therefore \frac{d}{d\theta} \log L(\hat{\theta}|x) &= \frac{n}{\hat{\theta}} + \sum \log x = 0 \\ \Rightarrow \hat{\theta}_{MLE} &= -\frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n \log x_i} \end{aligned}$$

(c) Write the Likelihood Ratio Test statistic,  $\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , for  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$ ,  $H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0$

Answer:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(x) &= \frac{L(\theta_0|x)}{L(\hat{\theta}_{MLE}|x)} = \frac{\theta_0^n (\prod x)^{\theta_0-1}}{\hat{\theta}_{MLE}^n (\prod x)^{\hat{\theta}_{MLE}-1}} = \left( \frac{\theta_0}{-\frac{n}{\sum \log x}} \right)^n (\prod x)^{\theta_0-1 - \left( -\frac{n}{\sum \log x} - 1 \right)} \\ &\Rightarrow \lambda(x) = \left( -\frac{\theta_0}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log x_i \right)^n (\prod_{i=1}^n x_i)^{\theta_0 + \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n \log x_i}} \end{aligned}$$

It turns out that, given the above pdf for  $X_i$ ,  $\mathbb{E}(\log X_i) = -\frac{1}{\theta}$  and  $\text{var}(\log X_i) = \frac{1}{\theta^2}$ . Using the above facts, answer questions (d) and (f).

(d) Find two alternative method of moment estimators for  $\theta$ . Which one is more efficient?

Answer:

The first method of moments estimator,  $\hat{\theta}_{MoM}^1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(\log X_i) &= -\frac{1}{\theta} \\ \therefore \frac{1}{n} \sum \log X_i &= -\frac{1}{\hat{\theta}_{MoM}^1} \\ \Rightarrow \hat{\theta}_{MoM}^1 &= -\frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n \log X_i} \end{aligned}$$

Analogy Prin

The second method of moments estimator,  $\hat{\theta}_{MoM}^2$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{var}(\log X_i) &= \frac{1}{\theta^2} \\ \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[(\log X_i)^2] - \mathbb{E}(\log X_i)^2 &= \frac{1}{\theta^2} \\ \text{Since } \mathbb{E}(\log X_i) &= -\frac{1}{\theta}, \mathbb{E}[(\log X_i)^2] = \frac{1}{\theta^2} + \frac{1}{\theta^2} = \frac{2}{\theta^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum [(\log X_i)^2] = \frac{2}{(\hat{\theta}_{MoM}^2)^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\theta}_{MoM}^2 = \sqrt{\frac{2n}{\sum_{i=1}^n (\log X_i)^2}}$$

Analogy Prin

Efficiency between estimators:

$$\hat{\theta}_{MLE} = -\frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n \log x_i} \text{ achieves minimum variance}$$

$$\therefore \hat{\theta}_{MoM}^1 = \hat{\theta}_{MLE} \text{ also achieves minimum variance}$$

$$\hat{\theta}_{MoM}^2 \neq g(\hat{\theta}_{MLE})$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\theta}_{MoM}^2 \text{ does not achieve minimum variance}$$

$$\therefore \hat{\theta}_{MoM}^1 \text{ is more efficient than } \hat{\theta}_{MoM}^2$$

Th 10.1.12

Th 10.1.12

(e) Derive the probability limit of  $W(X) = (\prod_{i=1}^n X_i)^{\frac{1}{n}}$ .

Answer:  $\log W(X) = \frac{1}{n} \log(\prod_{i=1}^n X_i) = \frac{1}{n} \sum \log X_i$

Define  $T(X) = \frac{1}{n} \sum \log X_i$

$\therefore \log W(X) = T(X)$

$\Rightarrow W(X) = e^{T(X)}$

$T(X) \rightarrow_p \mathbb{E}[T(X)]$

$\therefore e^{T(X)} \rightarrow_p e^{\mathbb{E}[T(X)]}$

WLLN

Th 5.5.4 (CMT)

Since  $\mathbb{E}[T(X)] = -\frac{1}{\theta}$ ,  $W(X) \rightarrow_p e^{-\frac{1}{\theta}}$

(f) What is the asymptotic distribution of  $\sqrt{n} [\log(W(X)) + \frac{1}{\theta}]$ ?

Answer:  $\log W(X) = \frac{1}{n} \sum \log X_i$

$\sqrt{n} \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum \log X_i - \mathbb{E}(\log X_i) \right] \rightarrow_d N(0, \text{var}(\log X_i))$

CLT

$\mathbb{E}(\log X_i) = -\frac{1}{\theta}$  and  $\text{var}(\log X_i) = \frac{1}{\theta^2}$

$\therefore \sqrt{n} \left[ \log(W(X)) + \frac{1}{\theta} \right] \rightarrow_d N\left(0, \frac{1}{\theta^2}\right)$

8. (Intertemporal Investment Decision Model) Consider the following model of a two period investment decision:  $(X_1, X_2)$  is a random bivariate vector that denotes the cost of the production technology in period 1 and period 2. In period 1 the investor can observe the realization of the technology price for that period,  $X_1 = x_1$ , but does not know what the price of the technology will be in period 2.

Define the choice variable  $C$ , which takes the value of one if the investor chooses to purchase the technology in period 1 and the value of 0 if he chooses to purchase the technology in period 2. If the investor purchases the technology in period 1, then the present discounted value of the profit flow is  $\Pi_{C=1} = r_1 - X_1 + \beta r_2$ , where  $r_1$  is revenue in period 1,  $r_2$  is revenue in period 2, and  $\beta$  is the time-discount factor. If the investor purchases the technology in period 2, then the present discounted value of the profit flow is  $\Pi_{C=0} = \beta(r_2 - X_2)$ .

For simplicity, assume the investor has to purchase the technology in one of the two periods, i.e. he cannot choose not to invest. Answer the following questions assuming the investor is risk-neutral.

- (a) Characterize the decision to invest in period 1 if  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are independent (this should be an inequality condition). Note that in period 1 the investor only has information about  $X_1$ .

Answer: The investor invests in period 1 iff  $\mathbb{E}(\Pi_{C=1}) \geq \mathbb{E}(\Pi_{C=0})$   
 $\therefore \mathbb{E}(r_1 - X_1 + \beta r_2) \geq \mathbb{E}[\beta(r_2 - X_2)]$   
 $\Rightarrow r_1 - X_1 + \beta r_2 \geq \beta r_2 - \beta \mathbb{E}(X_2)$   
 $\Rightarrow X_1 \leq r_1 + \beta \mathbb{E}(X_2)$

- (b) Characterize the decision to invest in period 1 if  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are not independent (this should be an inequality condition). Note that in period 1 the investor only has information about  $X_1$ .

Answer: The investor invests in period 1 iff  $\mathbb{E}(\Pi_{C=1}) \geq \mathbb{E}(\Pi_{C=0})$   
 $\therefore \mathbb{E}(r_1 - X_1 + \beta r_2 | X_1) \geq \mathbb{E}[\beta(r_2 - X_2) | X_1]$   
 $\Rightarrow r_1 - X_1 + \beta r_2 \geq \beta r_2 - \beta \mathbb{E}(X_2 | X_1)$   
 $\Rightarrow X_1 \leq r_1 + \beta \mathbb{E}(X_2 | X_1)$

For the rest of the questions, assume  $(X_1, X_2)$  are bivariate normally distributed with parameters  $\mu_{X_1}, \mu_{X_2}, \sigma_X^2, \sigma_Y^2$ , and  $\rho \neq 0$ .

- (c) Characterize the investor's decision to buy technology in period 1 as a function of the parameters above (this should be an inequality condition).

Answer: Since  $\rho \neq 0$ , part (b) characterizes the answer  
 $\therefore X_1 \leq r_1 + \beta \mathbb{E}(X_2 | X_1)$   
 $\mathbb{E}(X_2 | X_1) = \mu_{X_2} + \frac{\rho \sigma_{X_1}}{\sigma_{X_2}} (X_1 - \mu_{X_1})$   
 $\therefore X_1 \leq r_1 + \beta \left[ \mu_{X_2} + \frac{\rho \sigma_{X_1}}{\sigma_{X_2}} (X_1 - \mu_{X_1}) \right]$   
 $\Rightarrow X_1 \left[ 1 - \beta \rho \frac{\sigma_{X_1}}{\sigma_{X_2}} \right] \leq r_1 + \beta \left[ \mu_{X_2} - \rho \frac{\sigma_{X_1}}{\sigma_{X_2}} \mu_{X_1} \right]$   
 $\Rightarrow X_1 \leq \frac{r_1 + \beta \left[ \mu_{X_2} - \rho \frac{\sigma_{X_1}}{\sigma_{X_2}} \mu_{X_1} \right]}{1 - \beta \rho \frac{\sigma_{X_1}}{\sigma_{X_2}}}$   
 $\Rightarrow X_1 \leq \frac{r_1 \sigma_{X_2} + \beta [\sigma_{X_2} \mu_{X_2} - \rho \sigma_{X_1} \mu_{X_1}]}{\sigma_{X_2} - \beta \rho \sigma_{X_1}}$

- (d) What is the probability density function of a discrete variable,  $C$ , that takes the value of 1 if an investor chooses to invest in period 1? Write the pdf as a function of the parameters of the joint normal distribution.

Answer:  $f_C(c) = P(C = c) = \begin{cases} P\left(X_1 > \frac{r_1 \sigma_{X_2} + \beta [\sigma_{X_2} \mu_{X_2} - \rho \sigma_{X_1} \mu_{X_1}]}{\sigma_{X_2} - \beta \rho \sigma_{X_1}}\right), & c = 0 \\ P\left(X_1 \leq \frac{r_1 \sigma_{X_2} + \beta [\sigma_{X_2} \mu_{X_2} - \rho \sigma_{X_1} \mu_{X_1}]}{\sigma_{X_2} - \beta \rho \sigma_{X_1}}\right), & c = 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

This is just a binomial!

$$\therefore f_C(c) = \begin{cases} F_X\left(\frac{r_1\sigma_{X_2} + \beta(\sigma_{X_2}\mu_{X_2} - \rho\sigma_{X_1}\mu_{X_1})}{\sigma_{X_2} - \beta\rho\sigma_{X_1}}\right)^c \times \left[1 - F_X\left(\frac{r_1\sigma_{X_2} + \beta(\sigma_{X_2}\mu_{X_2} - \rho\sigma_{X_1}\mu_{X_1})}{\sigma_{X_2} - \beta\rho\sigma_{X_1}}\right)\right]^{1-c}, & c = 0,1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- (e) Consider a test for inside information about the technology price in period 2. The null hypothesis for this test is the lack of inside information. In other words, under the null an investor cannot use the realization of  $X_2$  to make her investment decision. Note, however, that an investor can use information on  $X_1$ . Write an expression for the null hypothesis. Hint: think of the multivariate vector  $(C, X_1, X_2)$ . The answer involves conditional pdf's.**

Answer:

$$\begin{aligned} H_0: f_{C|X_1, X_2}(c|x_1, x_2) &= f_{C|X_1}(c|x_1) \\ \Rightarrow H_0: P(c|x_1, x_2) &= P(c|x_1) \\ \text{Define } h &\equiv \frac{r_1\sigma_{X_2} + \beta[\sigma_{X_2}\mu_{X_2} - \rho\sigma_{X_1}\mu_{X_1}]}{\sigma_{X_2} - \beta\rho\sigma_{X_1}} \\ H_0: P(c|x_1, x_2) &= I_{x_1 \in (-\infty, h]} \end{aligned}$$